Tracking the Deployment of the Integrated Metropolitan ITS Infrastructure in West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray

FY99 Results

For additional information, please contact:

Joseph I. Peters, Ph.D.
ITS Program Assessment Coordinator
ITS Joint Program Office, Room 3416
400 Seventh St., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 366-2202
FAX: (202) 493-2027
E-mail: joe.peters@fhwa.dot.gov

Table of Contents

Part 1 - Background and Purpose	1
Part 2 - Summary 1999 Survey Results	3
Part 3 - Detailed 1999 Survey Results	7
Freeway Management Component Indicators	9
Freeway Management Integration Indicators	11
Incident Management Component Indicators	13
Incident Management Integration Indicators	15
Arterial Management Component Indicators	17
Arterial Management Integration Indicators	19
Electronic Toll Collection Component Indicators	21
Electronic Toll Collection Integration Indicators	22
Transit Management Component Indicators	23
Transit Management Integration Indicators	
Electronic Fare Payment Component Indicators	
Electronic Fare Payment Integration Indicators	
Highway-Rail Intersection Component Indicators	
Highway-Rail Intersection Integration Indicators	
Emergency Management Component Indicators	
Emergency Management Integration Indicators	
Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Component Indicators	
Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Integration Indicators	33
Appendix A. Survey Coverage Area	
Appendix B. Surveyed Agencies	
Appendix C. Freeway Management Components	
Appendix D. Freeway Management Integration	
Appendix E. Freeway Management Information Collection and Dissemination	
Appendix F. Arterial Management Components	
Appendix G. Arterial Management Integration	
Appendix H. Arterial Management Information Collection and Dissemination	
Appendix I. Transit Management Components	
Appendix J. Transit Management Integration	
Appendix K. Transit Management Information Collection and Dissemination	
Appendix L. Emergency Management	L.1

Part 1 - Background and Purpose

In January 1996, Secretary Peña set a goal of deploying the integrated metropolitan Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) infrastructure in 75¹ of the nation's largest metropolitan areas by 2006:

"I'm setting a national goal: to build an intelligent transportation infrastructure across the United States to save time and lives, and improve the quality of life for Americans. I believe that what we do, we must measure . . . Let us set a very tangible target that will focus our attention . . . I want 75 of our largest metropolitan areas outfitted with a complete intelligent transportation infrastructure in 10 years." ²

-- Secretary Peña, 1996

In 1997, the U.S. Department of Transportation initiated an effort to track progress toward fulfillment of this goal by conducting a survey of deployment in the nation's largest metropolitan areas. Traditionally, the product of a transportation infrastructure investment consists of a fixed asset such as a highway, bridge, or public transportation vehicle developed, constructed, or purchased by a single agency. Tracking the level of deployment for such traditional fixed assets can be accomplished by simply counting the number of such assets deployed. Measuring the deployment of the metropolitan ITS infrastructure is more complex because it consists of a set of systems, often deployed by multiple agencies, and integrated through a combination of complex institutional and technical arrangements. In brief, it is often difficult to simply count the number of systems deployed without first devising a measurement approach that captures the essential features of such systems in a consistent fashion across many deployment environments.

In order to track progress toward fulfillment of the Secretary's goal for deployment, the U.S. Department of Transportation ITS Joint Program Office developed the metropolitan ITS deployment tracking methodology. This methodology tracks deployment of the nine components that make up the Metropolitan ITS infrastructure: Freeway Management; Incident Management; Arterial Management; Emergency Management; Transit Management; Electronic Toll Collection; Electronic Fare Payment; Highway-Rail Intersections; and Regional Multimodal Traveler Information. Through a set of indicators tied to the major functions of each component, the level of deployment is tracked for the nation's largest metropolitan areas. In addition, the integration links between agencies operating the infrastructure are also tracked. The details of

¹ Since Secretary Peña's speech, the number of metropolitan areas that DOT will measure has been increased from 75 to 78. However, to maintain reporting consistency across the 10-year goal period, this report considers only the original 75 metropolitan areas.

² Excerpt of a speech delivered by Secretary of Transportation Peña at the Transportation Research Board in Washington, DC on January 10, 1996.

the methodology are explained elsewhere.³

During the summer and fall of 1999, the U.S. DOT undertook a new data collection effort for the purpose of examining ITS deployment progress in the nation's largest metropolitan areas. The West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray metropolitan area was among the areas surveyed in 1997 and again in 1999. This report presents the results of the 1999 survey efforts and compares the results of the 1997 survey against those observed in 1999. The overall response rate for the surveys administered in the West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray region was 100% in 1997 and 91% in 1999.

Part 2 contains a summary of the 1999 survey results, and Part 3 provides a comparison of 1999 survey results and the 1997 survey results.

The report also contains a set of appendices containing a map of the survey area, the list of local contacts surveyed along with a status of their response to the survey and a summary of the data collected from the surveys.

Agencies are encouraged to review the data presented in this report for completeness and accuracy and to direct any comments or corrections to the data provided to the contacts listed below:

Steve Gordon
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
P.O. Box 2008, 4500N, MS-6207
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6207
(865) 576-8416 (voice)
(865) 574-3895 (fax)
gordonsr@ornl.gov

Jeff Trombly
Science Applications International Corporation
301 Laboratory Road
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-2501
(865) 481-8563 (voice)
(865) 481-2941 (fax)
jeffrey.w.trombly@saic.com

³ Additional Resources: "Measuring ITS Deployment and Integration" (Electronic Document Number: 4372). U.S. Department of Transportation, Joint Program Office for Intelligent Transportation Systems, 400 Seventh St., SW (HVH-1), Washington, DC 20590, Phone: 202-366-9536, Fax: 202-366-3302, Web: http://www.its.dot.gov.

Part 2 - Summary 1999 Survey Results

Deployment indicators have been developed for two broad areas of interest: (1) the individual components, including their basic functions and characteristics and (2) integration of components, including how these components work together to provide coordinated regional service. As mentioned earlier, these indicators are expressed as percentages of the possible deployment opportunity and not necessarily what should be deployed based on local needs. Requirements for deployment and integration between each component will vary based on local conditions and cannot be assigned without extensive coordination with individual metropolitan areas.

The following two figures portray the surrogate indicators for each of the nine components in West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray and the same indicators at the national level. These are judged to be the single best representative of a component and are being used as summary indicator for component. The summary indicators are expressed as a percentage; however, because deployment goals have yet to be established, these indicators should not be read as a comparison of what is deployed versus eventual deployment goals. Instead, they only reflect what is deployed compared to full market saturation (i.e., opportunity for deployment).


Each component indicator was selected to reflect a critical function of the individual components. For example, in the case of Freeway Management, three basic functions were defined: surveillance, traffic control, and information display. The three indicators developed to reflect these functions are: percentage of freeway centerline miles under electronic surveillance (surveillance function), percentage of freeway entrance ramps managed by ramp meters (traffic control function), and percentage of freeway centerline miles covered by permanent VMS, HAR, or in-vehicle signing (information display function). The indicators are surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of metropolitan ITS deployment activity.

A critical aspect of ITS that provides much of its capability is the integration of individual components to form a unified regional traffic control system. Individual ITS components routinely collect information that is used for purposes internal to that component. For example, the Arterial Management component monitors arterial conditions to revise signal timing and to convey these conditions to travelers through such technologies as variable message signs and highway advisory radio. Other ITS components can make use of this information in formulating their control strategies. For example, Transit Management may alter routes and schedules based on real-time information on arterial traffic conditions, and Freeway Management may alter ramp metering or diversion recommendations based on the same information.

As with the component indicators, definitions for inter- and intra-component integration were developed for each component, and indicators, derived from these definitions, were produced for each component. A total of 34 individual integration indicators was specified and is portrayed in the third figure which follows. Each integration indicator has been assigned a number and an origin/destination path from one ITS infrastructure component to another. For example, the

integration of information from the Freeway Management component to the Regional Multimodal Traveler Information component is identified by the number "10."


West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray
Summary Indicators*



^{*} Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.

^{**} Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.






^{*} Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

^{**} Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray Integration Links



Note: Shading indicates the value of the link. For example a circle half shaded equals 50%

Link	Description	Link	Description
1	Arterial Management to Regional	2	Arterial Management to Freeway
	Multimodal Traveler Information		Management
3	Arterial Management to Transit	4	Arterial Management to Incident
	Management		Management
5	Incident Management to Arterial	6	Incident Management to Regional
	Management		Multimodal Traveler Information
7	Incident Management to Emergency	8	Incident Management to Freeway
	Management.		Management
9	Incident Management to Transit	10	Freeway Management to Regional
	Management		Multimodal Traveler Information
11	Freeway Management to Arterial	12	Freeway Management to Transit
	Management		Management

Link	Description	Link	Description
13	Freeway Management to Incident	14a	Transit Management to Regional
	Management		Multimodal Traveler Information
	_		(static route information)
		14b	Transit Management to Regional
			Multimodal Traveler Information
			(schedule adherence information)
15a	Transit Management to Freeway	16a	Transit Management to Arterial
	Management		Management
15b	Transit Management to Freeway	16b	Transit Management to Arterial
	Management (transit vehicle probes)		Management (transit vehicle probes)
17	Electronic Toll Collection to	18	Electronic Toll Collection to Arterial
	Freeway Management (ETC		Management (ETC equipped probes)
	equipped probes)		
19	Electronic Fare Payment and	20	Electronic Fare Payment to Transit
	Electronic Toll Collection		Management
21a	Emergency Management to Incident	22	Emergency Management to Arterial
	Management (incident notification)		Management
21b	Emergency Management to Incident		
	Management (incident clearance)		
23	Highway-rail intersections to	24	Highway-rail intersections to Arterial
	Incident Management (crossing		Management (crossing status)
	status)		
25	Incident Management intra	26	Arterial Management intra component
	component		
27	Electronic Fare Payment intra	28	Electronic Toll Collection intra
	component.		component
29	Transit Management to Incident	30	Freeway Management intra
	Management (incident reporting)		component

Part 3 - Detailed 1999 Survey Results

The following figures and tables summarize the complete set of component and integration indicators developed for the West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray metropolitan area. The figures summarizing the component indicators consist of a bar chart portraying the deployment levels for 1997, 1999, and 2005 accompanied by detailed tables of the data used to calculate each component indicator value (*Num* stands for numerator and *Den* stands for denominator; blank space indicates that no response was received.)

Example: Calculating Component Indicators for Freeway Management

Consider a metropolitan area with 100 miles of freeway and 25 freeway entrance ramps. The area has no ramp meters, 10 freeway miles for which traffic data are collected electronically, and 5 freeway miles, which are covered by highway advisory radio.

The component indicator for electronic surveillance is calculated as (10/100) or 10%.

The component indicator for ramp meter control is calculated as (0/25) or 0%.

The component indicator for HAR coverage is calculated as (5/100) or 5%.


The summary indicator for the metropolitan area is calculated as (10%+0%+5%)/3 = 5%.

The figures summarizing the integration indicators consist of a diagram for each of the nine metropolitan ITS components portraying the integration level for 1999 (*italic*) and 2005 (**bold**), accompanied by tables providing an explanation of the data and calculations performed to develop each integration indicator value for 1999 and 2005. Each diagram portrays the proportion of agencies providing information to a component (e.g., the flow of incident information from Incident Management to Freeway Management) and the proportion of agencies providing information from one component to other components (e.g., the flow of freeway travel condition information from Freeway Management to Arterial Management).

Example: Calculating Integration between Arterial Management and Regional Multimodal Traveler Information

Consider a metropolitan area with three arterial management agencies. One out of three provides information to the public using a Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Media (e.g., internet, kiosk, pager, etc...). The integration indicator is 1/3 or 33%.

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray Freeway Management*



^{*} Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.


^{**} Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Freeway centerline miles	0	85	0%	0	85	0%	45	85	53%
are under electronic									
surveillance for									
monitoring traffic flow									
Freeway entrance ramps	0	85	0%						
are controlled by ramp									
meters or miles under lane									
control									
Freeway entrance ramps					84			84	
are controlled by ramp									
meters									

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Freeway centerline miles					85			85	
will be controlled by lane									
control									
Freeway miles are	0	85	0%						
covered by VMS, HAR,									
or IVS									
Freeway miles are					85		40	85	47%
covered by VMS									
Freeway miles are					85			85	
covered by HAR									
Freeway miles are					85			85	
covered by IVS									

Freeway Management Integration Indicators

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray Freeway Management Integration*




^{*} Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
2. Arterial Management agencies sending information to Freeway	(1/2)	(2/2)
Management	50%	100%
8. Incident Management agencies sending information to Freeway	(0/1)	(0/1)
Management	0%	0%
15a. Transit management agencies with vehicles equipped with	(0/1)	(0/1)
ramp meter priority	0%	0%
15b. Transit Management agencies with vehicles equipped as	(0/1)	(0/1)
probes	0%	0%
17. Freeway Management agencies receiving freeway conditions	(0/1)	(0/1)
from vehicle probes	0%	0%
30. Freeway Management agencies sending information to another	(0/1)	(0/1)
Freeway Management agency	0%	0%

Link Description	1999	2005
11. Freeway Management agencies sending information to Arterial	(1/1)	(1/1)
Management	100%	100%
10. Freeway Management agencies disseminating freeway	(0/1)	(1/1)
conditions to the public	0%	100%
12. Freeway Management agencies sending freeway conditions to	(0/1)	(0/1)
Transit Management	0%	0%
13. Freeway Management agencies sending freeway conditions to	(0/1)	(0/1)
Incident Management	0%	0%

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray Freeway and Arterial Incident Management*



^{*} Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.


^{**} Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Freeway miles are	0	85	0%		85			85	
covered by incident									
detection algorithms									
Freeway miles are	85	85	100%		85		85	85	100%
covered by free cellular									
phone calls to a									
dedicated number									
Freeway miles are	0	85	0%		85		45	85	53%
covered by surveillance									
cameras.									

	1997		1999			2005			
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Freeway miles are	40	85	47%	45	85	53%		85	
covered by on-call									
publicly-sponsored									
service patrol or towing									
services.									
Arterial miles are	0	449	0%	80	449	18%	80	449	18%
covered by incident									
detection algorithms									
Arterial miles are	449	449	100%	449	449	100	449	449	100%
covered by free cellular						%			
phone calls to a									
dedicated number									
Arterial miles are	2	449	0%		449		40	449	9%
covered by surveillance									
cameras									
Arterial miles are	0	449	0%		449			449	
covered by on-call									
publicly-sponsored									
service patrol or towing									
services									

Incident Management Integration Indicators

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray Incident Management Integration*




* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
21a. Incident management agencies receiving incident severity from	(0/1)	(1/1)
Emergency Management	0%	100%
21b. Incident management agencies receiving incident clearance	(0/1)	(1/1)
activities from Emergency Management	0%	100%
13. Freeway Management agencies sending freeway conditions to	(0/1)	(0/1)
Incident Management	0%	0%
4. Arterial Management agencies sending arterial conditions to Incident	(1/2)	(2/2)
Management	50%	100%
23. Arterial Management agencies receive information on highway-rail	(0/2)	(0/2)
intersection crossing blockages for the purpose of managing incident	0%	0%
response		
29. Transit Management agencies report traffic incidents as part of an	(0/1)	(0/1)
organized regional incident management program	0%	0%

Link Description	1999	2005
7. Incident management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(1/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Emergency Management agencies	0%	100%
9. Incident Management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(0/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Transit Management agencies	0%	0%
6. Incident Management agencies disseminate information describing	(0/1)	(1/1)
incident severity, location, and type to the public	0%	100%
5. Incident Management agencies transfer information describing	(1/1)	(1/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Arterial Management agencies	100%	100%
8. Incident Management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(0/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Freeway Management agencies	0%	0%
25. Police, fire, and EMS agencies participating in a formal incident	(5/7)	(5/7)
management plan/team	71%	71%

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray Arterial Management*



^{*} Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.


^{**} Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Arterial miles covered	32	449	7%						
by electronic									
surveillance									
Signalized intersections				88	990	9%	200	1122	18%
are covered by									
electronic surveillance									
for monitoring traffic									
flow									
Signalized intersections	505	915	55%	505	990	51%	820	1122	73%
are under centralized or									
closed loop control									

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Arterial miles are	0	449	0%						
covered by VMS, HAR,									
or IVS									
Arterial miles are					449			449	
covered by VMS									
Arterial miles are					449			449	
covered by HAR									
Arterial miles are					449			449	
covered by IVS									

Arterial Management Integration Indicators

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray Arterial Management Integration*




* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily refrect the run breadin of 115 deproyment detryty									
Link Description	1999	2005							
16a. Transit management agencies with vehicles equipped with traffic	(0/1)	(0/1)							
signal priority	0%	0%							
16b. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped as probes on	(0/1)	(0/1)							
arterials	0%	0%							
22. Emergency Management agencies have vehicles equipped with	(0/7)	(2/7)							
traffic signal preemption capability	0%	29%							
24. Arterial Management agencies have traffic signals within 200 feet of	(2/2)	(2/2)							
a highway rail intersection with the capability of having their signal	100%	100%							
timing adjusted in response to a train crossing									
18. Number of Arterial Management agencies receiving information	(0/2)	(0/2)							
from vehicle probes	0%	0%							
5. Incident Management agencies transfer information describing	(1/1)	(1/1)							
incident severity, location, and type to Arterial Management	100%	100%							

Link Description	1999	2005
11. Freeway Management agencies transfer freeway travel times,	(1/1)	(1/1)
speeds, and conditions to Arterial Management agencies	100%	100%
3. Arterial Management agencies transfer arterial travel times, speeds,	(0/2)	(1/2)
and conditions to Transit Management	0%	50%
1. Arterial Management agencies disseminate arterial travel times,	(0/2)	(2/2)
speeds, and conditions to the public	0%	100%
2. Arterial Management agencies send traffic condition information to	(1/2)	(2/2)
Freeway Management	50%	100%
4. Arterial Management agencies transfer arterial travel times, speeds,	(1/2)	(2/2)
and conditions to Incident Management	50%	100%
26. Arterial Management agencies under cooperative agreement to share	(1/2)	(2/2)
traffic signal timing for coordinated response	50%	100%

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray

Electronic Toll Collection*



^{*} Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.


^{**} Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Toll collection plazas									
with ETC capability									
Toll collection lanes									
with ETC capability									

Electronic Toll Collection Integration Indicators

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray Electronic Toll Collection Integration*

Inputs Outputs




^{*} Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
18. Number of Arterial Management agencies receiving information	(0/2)	(0/2)
from vehicle probes	0%	0%
19. Transit agencies that accept electronic payment through the use of	(0/1)	(0/1)
electronic toll collection media	0%	0%
17. Freeway Management agencies receiving information from vehicle	(0/1)	(0/1)
probes	0%	0%
28. Toll operators using common toll tag technology	(0/)	(0/)

Transit Management Component Indicators

Data as of 5/1/00

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray Transit Management*




^{*} Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.

^{**} Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Fixed-route transit	0	121	0%		124				
vehicles are equipped with AVL									
Fixed-route transit	0	121	0%		124				
vehicles are equipped with electronic									
monitoring of vehicle									
component									
Paratransit vehicles	0	20	0%		20				
operate under computer-aided									
dispatch									
Percent fixed-route	0	0							
transfer locations with									
electronic display of									
information Due stone display									
Bus stops display information to the									
public									

Transit Management Integration Indicators

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray Transit Management Integration*



^{*} Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
3. Arterial Management agencies transfer arterial travel times, speeds,	(0/2)	(1/2)
and conditions to Transit Management	0%	50%
9. Incident management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(0/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Transit Management	0%	0%
12. Freeway Management agencies transfer freeway travel times,	(0/1)	(0/1)
speeds, and conditions to Transit Management	0%	0%
20. Transit Management agencies using Electronic Fare Payment data in	(0/1)	(0/1)
transit service planning	0%	0%
16a. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped with traffic	(0/1)	(0/1)
signal priority capability	0%	0%
16b. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped as probes on	(0/1)	(0/1)
arterials	0%	0%
14a. Transit Management agencies disseminate information describing	(0/1)	(0/1)
transit routes, schedules, and fares to travelers	0%	0%
14b. Transit Management agencies disseminate information describing	(0/1)	(0/1)
schedule/route adherence to travelers	0%	0%


Link Description	1999	2005
15a. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped with ramp	(0/1)	(0/1)
meter priority capability	0%	0%
15b. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped as probes on	(0/1)	(0/1)
freeways	0%	0%
29. Transit Management agencies that report traffic incidents as part of	(0/1)	(0/1)
an organized regional Incident Management program	0%	0%

Electronic Fare Payment Component Indicators

Data as of 5/1/00

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray

Electronic Fare Payment*



^{*} Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.


^{**} Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Fixed-route transit vehicles that accept electronic payment	0	121	0%		124				
Rail transit stations that accept electronic payment	0	0							

Electronic Fare Payment Integration Indicators

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray Electronic Fare Payment Integration*

<u>Inputs</u> Outputs




Legend
1999
2005

^{*} Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
19. Transit agencies that accept electronic payment through the use of	(0/1)	(0/1)
electronic toll collection media	0%	0%
20. Transit Management agencies use Electronic Fare Payment data in	(0/1)	(0/1)
transit service planning	0%	0%
27. Transit Management agencies that use the same electronic payment	(0/1)	(0/1)
system	0%	0%

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray

Highway-Rail Intersections*



^{*} Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.


^{**} Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Highway-rail intersections	0	14	0%		44			44	
are under electronic									
surveillance									

Highway Rail Intersection Integration Indicators

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray Highway Rail Intersections Integration*

<u>Inputs</u> <u>Outputs</u>




Legend							
1999, 2005							

^{*} Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
24. Arterial Management agencies with traffic signals within 200 feet of	(2/2)	(2/2)
a highway rail intersection with the capability of having their signal	100%	100%
timing adjusted in response to a train crossing		
23. Arterial Management agencies receive information on highway-rail	(0/2)	(0/2)
intersection crossing blockages for the purpose of managing incident	0%	0%
response		

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray

Emergency Management*



^{*} Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.


^{**} Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Public sector emergency vehicles that operate under computer-aided dispatch	710	952	75%	1212	1352	90%	443	448	99%
Public sector emergency vehicles that have invehicle route guidance capability	0	952	0%	0	1352	0%	443	448	99%

Emergency Management Integration Indicators

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray Emergency Management Integration*

<u>Inputs</u> <u>Outputs</u>




Legend							
1999, 2005							

^{*} Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
7. Freeway Management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(1/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Emergency Management agencies	0%	100%
22. Emergency Management agencies have vehicles equipped with	(0/7)	(2/7)
traffic signal preemption capability	0%	29%
21a. Freeway Management agencies receive incident severity, location,	(0/1)	(1/1)
and type data from Emergency Management agencies	0%	100%
21b. Freeway Management agencies receive incident clearance	(0/1)	(1/1)
activities information from Emergency Management agencies	0%	100%

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray Regional Multimodal Traveler Information*




^{*} Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.

^{**} Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Freeway conditions	0	85	0%	0	85	0%	45	85	53%
disseminated to									
travelers									
Possible RMTI media				0	8	0%	2	8	25%
types are used to									
display information to									
travelers									
Possible RMTI media				0	8	0%	2	8	25%
are used to display									
information on two or									
more modes to									
travelers									

Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Integration Indicators

West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Delray Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Integration*




* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

* indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of 115 deployment activity						
Link Description	1999	2005				
14a. Transit Management agencies that disseminate information	(0/1)	(0/1)				
describing transit routes, schedules, and fares to travelers	0%	0%				
14b. Transit Management agencies that disseminate information	(0/1)	(0/1)				
describing schedule/route adherence to travelers	0%	0%				
1. Arterial Management agencies that disseminate arterial travel times,	(0/2)	(2/2)				
speeds, and conditions to the public	0%	100%				
10. Freeway Management agencies that disseminate freeway travel	(0/1)	(1/1)				
times, speeds, and conditions to travelers	0%	100%				
6. Incident Management agencies that disseminate information	(0/1)	(1/1)				
describing incident severity, location, and type to the public	0%	100%				

Appendix A Survey Coverage Area

METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION OF PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL





City Included in Surveys

Metropolitan Planning
Area Boundary

County Boundary

Urbanlized Area

Outside Survey Area

Percentage on the Map Represents Percentage of County Population Included within MPO Boundary Appendix B Surveyed Agencies

Surveyed Agencies

Agency Name	Phone	Fax	1999		199	97			
			Out	In	Out	In			
WEST PALM BEACH, BOCA RATON, DELRAY									
Arterial Management									
Palm Beach County	(561) 684-4030	(561) 478-5770	8/5/1999	9/30/1999	8/14/1997	9/2/1997			
Boca Raton City	(561) 393-7700	(561) 416-3343	8/5/1999	8/23/1999	8/14/1997	9/24/1997			
Emergency Management									
Palm Beach County Sheriff Department	(561) 688-3012	(561) 688-3027	6/17/1999	6/22/1999	8/14/1997	8/22/1997			
West Palm Beach City Police Department	(561) 653-3545	(561) 653-3409	6/17/1999	6/23/1999	8/14/1997	7/14/1998			
West Palm Beach City Fire & EMS Department	(561) 835-2900	(561) 835-2936	6/17/1999	6/22/1999	8/14/1997	8/19/1997			
Delray Beach Police Department	(561)-243-7888	(561)-243-7816	6/17/1999	6/21/1999	8/14/1997	8/18/1997			
Delray Beach Fire Department	(561) 243-7410	(561) 243-7461	6/17/1999	8/23/1999	8/14/1997	7/14/1998			
Boca Raton City Police Department	(561) 338-1257	(561) 338-7098	6/17/1999	6/28/1999	8/14/1997	8/22/1997			
Boca Raton City Fire Department	(561) 367-6700	(561) 367-6750	6/17/1999	6/17/1999	8/14/1997	7/10/1998			
Freeway Management									
Florida Department of Transportation-District 4	(954) 777-4366	(954) 777-4398	7/29/1999	9/20/1999	8/14/1997	9/2/1997			
MPO									
Palm Beach County Metropolitan Planning	(561) 684-4170	(561) 233-5664	7/15/1999						
Transit Management									
Palm Tran operated by Florida Transit	(561) 841-4221	(561) 841-4290	8/9/1999	12/1/1999	8/14/1997	10/23/1997			

Appendix C Freeway Management Components

	Florida Department of Transportation-Distr		
	1999	2005	
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes		
FREEWAY MANAGEMENT SECTION	1.65		
Number of freeway centerline miles that agency owns or maintains	45		
Number of freeway centerline miles that is used for planning	45		
Number of freeway entrance ramps that agency owns, operates or maintains	NR NR		
Number of freeway entrance ramps that is used for planning	16		
Type of facilities used to conduct freeway/incident management activities	1.0		
Activities housed in a free-standing dedicated building?	No		
Activities housed in a building shared with other activities?	No		
Activities conducted in a dedicated control room?	Yes		
Control room contains operator console(s)?	Yes		
Control room contains electronic wall map?	Yes		
Control room contains CCTV display(s)?	Yes		
Activities conducted in a room containing workstations or PCs that manage traffic?	No		
Facilities are electronically linked to other transportation mgt facilities?	No		
Staffing and hours of operation of freeway/incident management activities			
Number of full-time agency staff members	1		
Number of full time contractor staff members	2		
Number of part-time agency staff members	NR		
Number of part-time contractor staff members	NR		
Staffed 24 hours day by agency staff or by others	NR		
Staffed during peak hours only by agency staff or by others	NR		
Staffed by others during off-peak hours	No		
Agency staff perform transportation management as an ancillary duty	No		
Agency staff dedicated to transportation management duty	No		
Types of operations conducted for freeway/incident management			
Incident detection and management?	No		
This metropolitan area?	No		
Other metropolitan area?	No		
Statewide?	No		
Monitoring and troubleshooting status of system components?	No		
Manual override of ramp metering rates at freeway on-ramps?	No		
Operating transportation management roadside devices?	Yes		
Radio communications with other agencies?	No		
Exchange of electronic data with other agencies such as computer aided dispatch?	No		

	Florida Department of 1	ransportation-District 4
	1999	2005
Total number of miles under surveillance with real-time data collection tech.	0	45
Number of Stations with data collection technologies		
Loop detectors	0	0
Video imaging detectors	0	22
Probe readers (elec. toll tags, transit vehicles, other technology)	0	0
Microwave radar	0	0
	0	0
Other (e.g., acoustic detectors)	0	0
Number of Miles covered with data collection technologies	0	0
Loop detectors	0	45
Video imaging detectors	0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Probe readers (elec. toll tags, transit vehicles, other technology)		0
Microwave radar	0	0
Other (e.g., acoustic detectors)	0	0
Variable Message Signs (VMS) on Freeways		
Candidate locations for deployment of VMS where VMS has been deployed	NR	16
Candidate locations for deployment of VMS	NR	16
Roadside Technologies used to Distribute Traveler Information		
Total number of miles where information is distributed	NR	NR
Number deployed		
Highway advisory radio	0	0
In-vehicle signing	0	0
Portable variable message signs	0	0
Other	0	0
Miles covered		
Highway advisory radio	0	0
In-vehicle signing	0	0
Portable variable message signs	0	0
Other	0	0
Ramp Meters on Freeways		
Number of entrance ramp meters operated under isolated control	NR	NR
Number of entrance ramp meters operated under central control	NR	NR
Number of entrance ramp meters that provide preemption for emergency vehicles	NR	NR
Number of entrance ramp meters that provide priority for transit vehicles	NR	NR
Total number of metered ramps	NR	NR
Freeway centerline miles under lane control	NR	NR
Communication Links		
Freeway centerline miles covered by the following type of communication		
Twisted pair cable	0	0
Coaxial cable	0	0
Fiber-optic cable	0	45
Microwave radio	0	0
Other	0	0
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ŭ

	T	
	Florida Department of 3	Fransportation-District 4
	1999	2005
ITS Standards Used Related to Freeway Management		
ATMS Data Dictionary Sections 1 and 2 (ITE TM 1.01)	Yes	
ATMS Data Dictionary Sections 3 and 4 (ITE TM 1.02)	No	
Message Set for External TMC Communication (ITE-9604-1)	No	
NTCIP Class B Profile (AASHTO TS 3.3)	Yes	
NTCIP Data Collection and Monitoring Devices (AASHTO TS 3.DCM)	Yes	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Environmental Sensor Stations (AASHTO TS 3.7)	No	
NTICP Object Definitions for Dynamic Message Signs (AASHTO TS 3.6)	Yes	
NTICP Object Definitions for Highway Advisory Radio (AASHTO TS 3.HAR)	No	
NTICP Object Definitions for Ramp Meter Control (AASHTO TS 3.RMC)	No	
NTICP Object Definitions for Transportation Sensor Systems (AASHTO TS 3.TSS)	No	
NTICP Object Definitions for Video Camera Control (AASHTO TS 3.VCC)	Yes	
Would agency be willing to participate in testing of ITS Standards?	Yes	
Have agreements in place with other agencies to use similar hardware		
and software to aid maintenance and interoperability?	No	
INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SECTION		
Use of Service Patrols to Assist in Detection and Response to Incidents		
Publicly operated service patrol vehicles	No	
Privately operated service patrol vehicles operated under public contract	No	
Total number of freeway miles patrolled by these services	45	NR
Miles Covered by Methods to Detect and Verify Incidents		
Free cellular phone call to a dedicated phone number other than 911	NR	45
Police patrols	NR	NR
Computer algorithms linked to traffic surveillance equipment	NR	NR
CCTV	NR	45
Private sector sources (e.g., Shadow Traffic, SmartRoutes)	NR	45
Other (e.g., free cell phone call to an area radio system, etc.)	NR	NR
Procedures in place for Freeway Incident Response?		
Working agreement(s)/arrangement(s) with other agencies	Yes	
Inter-agency incident management admin. team that meets regularly	Yes	
Major incident response team that responds to major incidents	No	
Set of goals/objectives for incident mgt that has been adopted by agencies in region	No	
Central focal point for facilitating the two-way flow of information		
among agencies responding to an incident?		
The central focal point is a Freeway or Traffic Management Center	Yes	
The central focal point is a Police, Fire or joint dispatch center	No	
The central focal point is another center	No	
Methods of Communication Used On-Site at an Incident		
Police		
Two-way radio	No	
800 MHz trunked radio	No	

		Fransportation-District 4
	1999	2005
Cellular telephone	No	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
<u>Fire</u>		
Two-way radio	No	
800 MHz trunked radio	No	
Cellular telephone	No	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
DOT		
Two-way radio	No	
800 MHz trunked radio	No	
Cellular telephone	Yes	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
Towing		
Two-way radio	No	
800 MHz trunked radio	No	
Cellular telephone	No	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
Which police agencies typically respond to incidents on freeways?	1.22	
State Police	Yes	
County Police or Sheriff	No	
City Police	No	
Who provides on-site emergency medical response?		
Fire	Yes	
Emergency Management Service Agency	Yes	
Private hospital	No	
Has a multi-agency contact list been developed in area containing the		
names, phone numbers, etc. for the appropriate response personnel?	Yes	
s the Incident Command System used to manage incident scenes?	Yes	
s there a legal specification by state law or formal agreement as to who		
is "in charge" at the incident scene?		
Specified by state law?	No	
Formal agreement?	No	
Not specified or don't know?	Yes	
On-scene command post used to manage activities of responding agencies?	Yes	
Are there communication linkages to a communications traffic/freeway mgt center?	No	
Plan developed and adopted by responding agencies for staging and parking		

	Florida Department of Tr	ansportation-District 4
	1999	2005
and facilitates the re-opening of lanes?	DK	
Respondents protected through law or court opinion for liability claims		
for damages to vehicles or cargoes during clearance activities?	DK	
Are overturned tank trucks, which are intact and not leaking, uprighted		
without first off-loading?	No	
Does your state or local jurisdiction have a law that requires drivers		
involved in property-damage-only accidents to move the vehicles		
from travel lanes to a safe location to exchange info and wait for police?	No	
Have laws or policies regarding the removal of stalled/abandoned vehicles		
from freeway shoulders?	Yes	
Hours abandoned vehicles are allowed to remain on a freeway shoulder?	DK	
Have policies or procedures for quick removal of vehicles?	NR	
Is Total Station equipment used to investigate major incidents?	No	
Handling of Towing Responses to Incidents		
Formal contract based on qualifications?	Yes	
Rotation with companies under contract?	No	
Separate lists kept for light and heavy response and for specialty recovery?	NR	
Rotation list with minimal qualifications?	No	
In towing qualifications, do you require towers to be certified under the		
Towing and Recovery Ass. of America's National Drivers Cert. Program?	Considered	
DK: Don't know		_
NR: No Response		
Leg: Legislation or action being planned		

Appendix D Freeway Management Integration

	Florida Departm	nent of Transportation-District 4
Agency Name	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes	
Freeway Management Section		
Agencies your agency provides freeway travel times, speeds, and		
conditions information, share infrastructure or coordinates operation		
Freeway Management Agencies		
Provide Information	None listed	Florida Department of Transportation-District 6
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	Florida Department of Transportation-District 6
Incident Management Agencies		
Provide Information	None listed	Florida Department of Transportation-District 6
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	Florida Department of Transportation-District 6
Arterial Management Agencies		
Provide Information	Palm Beach County, Broward County	Broward County
Share Infrastructure	Palm Beach County	Palm Beach County
Coordinate Operation	None listed	Palm Beach County, Broward County
Public Transit Operators		·
Provide Information	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others		
Incident Management agencies from which your agency receives		
incident severity, location, and type information	Florida Department of Transportation	Florida Department of Transportation
Arterial Management agencies from which your agency receives		
arterial travel times, speeds, and conditions	Palm Beach County	Boca Raton City
Public Transit operators from which your agency receives		
freeway travel times derived from vehicle probes	None listed	None listed
Toll Collection agencies from which your agency receives freeway travel	Name Catad	Florida Turralla
times derived from vehicles probes Freeway Incident Management Section	None listed	Florida Turnpike
Agencies your agency provides incident severity, location, and type info.		
and/or shares infrastructure and/or coordinates operation		
Arterial Management Agencies		
Provide Information	Boca Raton City	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	Boca Raton City
Coordinate Operation	None listed	Boca Ration City Boca Ration City
Emergency Management Agencies	INOTIC IISTEA	Doca Ratori City
Provide Information		
1 TONGS INDITIQUON	None listed	Boca Raton City Fire Department, Palm Beach County Sheriff Department
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed

	Florida De	Florida Department of Transportation-District 4			
Agency Name	1999	2005			
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed			
Freeway Management Agencies					
Provide Information	None listed	None listed			
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed			
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed			
Public Transit Operators					
Provide Information	None listed	None listed			
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed			
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed			
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others					
Emergency Management agencies from which your agency receives					
incident clearance and/or incident severity and type					
Receive Arterial Incident Clearance Information	None listed	Boca Raton City Fire Department, Boca Raton City Police Department, Delray Beach Police Department, Palm Beach County Sheriff Department			
Receive Arterial Incident Severity Information	None listed	Boca Raton City Fire Department, Delray Beach Police Department, Palm Beach County Sheriff Department			
Arterial Management agencies from which your agency receives					
arterial travel times, speeds, and conditions	Palm Beach County	Boca Raton City, Palm Beach County			
Freeway Management agencies from which your agency receives					
freeway travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	Florida Department of Transportation			

^{*}short survey: Agency responded using a short survey. The survey did not include names of individual agencies, but only identified whether integration exists.

Appendix E Freeway Management Information Collection and Dissemination

	Florida Department o	f Transportation-District 4		
Agency Name	1999	2005		
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes			
Freeway Management Section				
Data collected, archived, and/or transferred to another agency				
Collected by your agency	Traffic volumes, Traffic speeds, Lane occupancy, Vehicle classification, Current work zones	Road conditions, Weather conditions, Incidents, Scheduled work zones, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures, Highway operations coordination information		
Archived by your agency	NR	NR		
Transferred to another agency by your agency	NR	NR		
Importance of making information available to the public				
Ranked High	Traffic volumes, Traffic speeds, Lane occupa Weather conditions, Incidents, Current work a procedures			
Ranked Medium	Scheduled work zones, Highway operations coordination information			
Ranked Low	NR			
Groups that make requests for the data	Consultants, Advanced Traveler Information Systems (ATIS) provi			
What is the data used for?	Traffic analysis, Planning, Dissemination to the	he public		
Methods used to disseminate freeway information to the public	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:	NR	Internet Web sites		
Technologies your agency (through another agency or org.) uses to disseminate:	NR	Pagers or personal data assistants, Kiosks, E mail or other direct PC communication, Cell phone/data, Facsimile		
Internet web site reporting freeway conditions	NR	•		
Telephone system for reporting freeway information to the public	NR			
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	NR			
Freeway Incident Management Section				
Methods used to distribute incident location and severity information				
to the public				
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:	NR	Internet Web sites		
Technologies your agency (through another agency or org.) uses to disseminate:	NR	Dedicated cable TV, Telephone system, Pagers or personal data assistants, Kiosks, E mail or other direct PC communication, Cell phone/voice, Cell phone/data, Facsimile		
Internet web site reporting incident information	NR	•		
Telephone system for reporting incident information to the public	NR			
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	NR			

Appendix F Arterial Management Components

	Boca R	aton City	Palm Beach County		Totals	
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes		Yes		2	
ARTERIAL MANAGEMENT SECTION						
Number of arterial miles that agency owns or maintains	550		1,400		1950	
Number of arterial miles that is used for planning	NR		2,000		2000	
Number of highway-rail intersections that agency maintains	14		30		44	
Number of highway-rail intersections that is used for planning	14		50		64	
Type of facilities used to conduct arterial management activities						
Activities housed in a free-standing dedicated building?	No		No		0	
Activities housed in a building shared with other activities?	Yes		Yes		2	
Activities conducted in a dedicated control room?	No		No		0	
Control room contains operator console(s)?	Yes		No		1	
Control room contains electronic wall map?	No		No		0	
Control room contains CCTV display(s)?	No		No		0	
Activities conducted in a room containing workstations or PCs that manage traffic?	Yes		Yes		2	
Facilities are electronically linked to other transportation mgt facilities?	No		No		0	
Staffing and hours of operation of arterial management activities						
Number of full-time agency staff members	NR		4		4	
Number of full time contractor staff members	NR		1		1	
Number of part-time agency staff members	NR		NR		0	
Number of part-time contractor staff members	NR		NR		0	
Staffed 24 hours day by agency staff or by others	NR		NR		0	
Staffed during peak hours only by agency staff or by others	NR		NR		0	
Staffed by others during off-peak hours	No		No		0	
Agency staff perform transportation management as an ancillary duty	No		No		0	
Agency staff dedicated to transportation management duty	No		Yes		1	
Types of operations conducted for arterial management						
Incident detection and management?	No		No		0	
This metropolitan area?	No		No		0	
Other metropolitan area?	No		No		0	
Monitoring and troubleshooting status of system components?	Yes		Yes		2	
Radio communications with other agencies?	No		No		0	
Exchange of electronic data with other agencies such as computer aided dispatch?	No		No		0	
Manual override of traffic signal timing plans	Yes		Yes		2	
Operating transportation mgt roadside devices (e.g., VMS, CCTV, etc.)	No		No		0	
Describe agency's role in traffic signal control		corporated area	-	in county		

	Boca Raton City		Palm Beach County		Totals	
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
raffic Signals Operated by Agency						
Number of signalized intersections operated and owned by agency	59	68	900	1,020	959	1088
Number of signalized intersections operated by agency but owned by another	31	34	0	0	31	34
Total number of signalized intersections operated by agency	90	102	900	1,020	990	1122
Characteristics of signalized intersections that agency operates				,		
Under closed loop or central system control	88	100	417	720	505	820
Under real-time traffic adaptive control using advanced software	0	0	0	0	0	0
Using SCOOT	No		No		0	
Using SCATS	No		No		0	
Name of software	NR		NR			
Allow signal preemption for emergency vehicles	1	30	90	110	91	140
Allow signal priority for transit vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0
Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection	10	10	50	50	60	60
Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection that adjust signal timing	10	10	50	50	60	60
Software used to control the signals agency operates						
Date of last upgrade to traffic signal control system software?	1998 ve	rsion 2.40	6	5/99		
How often do you update signal timing?	every year; o	complaint basis	all th	ne time		
Software used and number of signalized intersections under control (1999, 2005)		op Direct Connect, , 102		'AYS, 23, 23 418, 700		
Controllers used to control signals						
NEMA	88	102	900	320	988	422
170/179	0	0	0	0	0	0
2070 controller	0	0	0	700	0	700
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
echnologies Associated with Highway-Rail Intersections	ND	ND	ND	ND	2	•
Total number of highway-rail intersections under electronic surveillance	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Highway-Rail intersection capapbilities Video surveillance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Electronic surveillance other than video	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ability to predict train arrival electronically	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equipped with electronic traffic violator devices	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Real-Time Electronic Traffic Data Collection Technologies						
otal number of signalized intersections covered by electronic surveillance	88	100	NR	100	88	200
Number of signalized intersections with data collection technologies						
Loop detectors	88	100	150	600	238	700
Video detection cameras	0	0	6	50	6	50
Probe readers reading toll tags	0	0	0	0	0	0
Probe readers reading license plates	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Boca R	aton City	Palm Beach County		Totals	
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Roadside Technologies used to Distribute Traveler Information						
Number deployed						
Highway Advisory Radio	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
In-Vehicle Signing (IVS)	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
VMS controlling parking access	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Miles covered						
Highway Advisory Radio	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
In-Vehicle Signing (IVS)	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Variable Message Signs (VMS) on Arterials						
Candidate locations for deployment of VMS where VMS has been deployed	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Candidate locations for deployment of VMS	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Communication Technologies						
Signalized intersections communicated with by each type of communication						
Twisted pair cable	0	0	417	700	417	700
Coaxial cable	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fiber-optic cable	48	98	417	700	465	798
Other (e.g., wireless, dial-up modems, leased lines, etc.)	40	4	0	0	40	4
Does agency convey information on highway-rail intersection crossing						
status to travelers via roadside media such as VMS or HAR?	No		No		0	
ITS Standards Used Related to Traffic Signal Control						
Advanced Transportation Controller (ATC) Software Application Interface (ITE 9603-1)	No		No		0	
ATC Physical Cabinet Functional Design (ITE-9603-2)	No		No		0	
ATC Functionality and Interface Definitions (ITE-9603-3)	No		No		0	
Natl. Trans. Communications for ITS Protocol (NTCIP) Class B Profile (AASHTO TS 3.3)	No		No		0	
NTCIP Data Collection and Monitoring Devices (AASHTO TS 3.DCM)	No		No		0	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Video Camera Control (AASHTO TS 3.VCC)	No		No		0	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Actuated Traffic Signal Controller Units (AASHTO TS 3.5)	Yes		No		1	
Would agency be willing to participate in testing of ITS Standards?	Yes		Yes		2	
Have agreements in place with other agencies to use similar hardware	100		100			
and software to aid maintenance and interoperability?	No		No		0	
INCIDENT MANAGEMENT ON ARTERIAL STREETS	110		140		0	
Receive information on highway-rail intersection crossing blockages for						
the purpose of managing incident response?	No		No		0	
Use of Service Patrols to Assist in Detection and Response to Incidents	110		110		0	
Publicly operated service patrol vehicles	No		No		0	
					0	
Privately operated service patrol vehicles operated under public contract Tetal number of ortarial miles patrolled by these convices	No NR	NR	No ND	ND	0	0
Total number of arterial miles patrolled by these services Miles Covered by Methods to Detect and Verify Incidents	INK	INK	NR	NR	U	U
Miles Covered by Methods to Detect and Verify Incidents Free cellular phone call to a dedicated phone number other than 911	0	0	80	80	80	80
Free cellular phone call to a dedicated phone number other than 9 i i Free cellular phone call to an area radio station	0	0	80	80	80	80
Police patrols	0	0	80	80	80	80

	Boca Ra	aton City	Palm Bea	ach County	Tot	tals
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Computer algorithms linked to traffic surveillance equipment	0	0	80	80	80	80
CCTV	0	0	NR	40	0	40
Private sector sources (e.g., Shadow Traffic, Smart Routes)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procedures in place for Arterial Incident Response?						
Working agreement(s)/arrangement(s) with other agencies	No		Yes		1	
Inter-agency incident management admin. team that meets regularly	No		Yes		1	
Major incident response team that responds to major incidents	No		Yes		1	
Set of goals/objectives for incident mgt that has been adopted by agencies in region	No		No		0	
lethods of Communication Used On-Site at an Incident						
Police _						
Two-way radio	No		No		0	
800 MHz trunked radio	No		No		0	
Cellular telephone	No		Yes		1	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No		No		0	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No		No		0	
Other	No		No		0	
Fire						
Two-way radio	No		No		0	
800 MHz trunked radio	No		No		0	
Cellular telephone	No		No		0	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No		No		0	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No		No		0	
Other	No		No		0	
DOT	-		-			
Two-way radio	No		No		0	
800 MHz trunked radio	No		No		0	
Cellular telephone	No		No		0	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No		No		0	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No		No		0	
Other	No		No		0	
Towing	140		140		 	
Two-way radio	No		No		0	
800 MHz trunked radio	No		No		0	
Cellular telephone	No		No		0	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No		No No		0	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD) Other	No No		No No		0	

	Poor D	aton City	Dalm Dar	ach County	Tai	tals
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Which police agencies typically respond to incidents on arterials?	1555	2000	1555	2000	1000	2000
State Police	No		Yes		1	
County Police or Sheriff	No		Yes		1	
City Police	No		Yes		1	
Who provides on-site emergency medical response?	110		100		'	
Fire	No		Yes		1	
Emergency Management Service Agency	No		No		0	
Private hospital	No		No		0	
Has a multi-agency contact list been developed in area containing the	110		110			
names, phone numbers, etc. for the appropriate response personnel?	NR		Yes		1	
Is the Incident Command System used to manage incident scenes?	NR		No		0	
Is there a legal specification by state law or formal agreement as to who					<u> </u>	
is "in charge" at the incident scene?						
Specified by state law?	No		Yes		1	
Formal agreement?	No		No		0	
Not specified or don't know?	No		No		0	
On-scene command post used to manage activities of responding agencies?	NR		Yes		1	
Are there communication linkages to a communications traffic/freeway mgt center?	NR		Yes		1	
Plan developed and adopted by responding agencies for staging and parking						
response vehicles and equip. at incident site that minimizes lane blockage						
and facilitates the re-opening of lanes?	NR		Yes		1	
Respondents protected through law or court opinion for liability claims						
for damages to vehicles or cargoes during clearance activities?	NR		DK		0	
Are overturned tank trucks, which are intact and not leaking, uprighted						
without first off-loading?	NR		NR		0	
Does your state or local jurisdiction have a law that requires drivers						
involved in property-damage-only accidents to move the vehicles						
from travel lanes to a safe location to exchange info and wait for police?	NR		NR		0	
Have laws or policies regarding the removal of stalled/abandoned vehicles						
from freeway shoulders?	NR		NR		0	
Hours abandoned vehicles are allowed to remain on a freeway shoulder?	NR		DK		0	
Have policies or procedures for quick removal of vehicles?	NR		NR		0	
Is Total Station equipment used to investigate major incidents?	NR		DK		0	

	Boca R	aton City	Palm Bea	ch County	Tot	tals
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Handling of Towing Responses to Incidents						
Formal contract based on qualifications?	No		No		0	
Rotation with companies under contract?	No		No		0	
Separate lists kept for light and heavy response and for specialty recovery?	NR		NR		0	
Rotation list with minimal qualifications?	No		No		0	
n towing qualifications, do you require towers to be certified under the						
Towing and Recovery Ass. of America's National Drivers Cert. Program?	NR		DK		0	
DK: Don't know						
NR: No Response						
Leg: Legislation or action being planned						

Appendix G Arterial Management Integration

	Boca R	aton City	Palm Beach County		
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005	
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes		Yes		
Arterial Management Section					
Arterial Mgt. agencies in metropolitan area with which you share info.					
Share Timing Plans Information	Broward County, Palm				
· ·	Beach County	None listed	None listed	Boca Raton City	
Coordinate Changes to Timing Plans	Beach County		None listed	Boca Naton City	
Coordinate Changes to Timing Flans	Name Baked	Broward County, Palm Beach County	Niana Batad	Dana Datas Otto	
Turn over Control of Signals	None listed None listed	None listed	None listed None listed	Boca Raton City None listed	
Agencies your agency provides arterial travel times, speeds, and	Notic listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	
conditions information, share infrastructure or coordinates operation					
Freeway Management Agencies					
Provide Information		Florida Department of	Florida Department of		
		Transportation-District	Transportation-District		
	None listed	4	4	None listed	
Share Infrastructure		Florida Department of			
		Transportation-District			
	None listed	4	None listed	None listed	
Coordinate Operation		Florida Department of			
		Transportation-District			
	None listed	4	None listed	None listed	
Incident Management Agencies					
Provide Information		Florida Department of	Florida Department of		
		Transportation-District	Transportation-District		
	None listed	4	4	None listed	
Share Infrastructure		Florida Department of			
		Transportation-District			
	None listed	4	None listed	None listed	
Coordinate Operation		Florida Department of			
		Transportation-District			
	None listed	4	None listed	None listed	
Public Transit Operators Agencies					
Provide Information					
		Palm Tran operated by			
		Florida Transit			
	None listed	Management Incorporated	None listed	None listed	
Chara Infrastructura	None listed	· ·	None listed	None listed	
Share Infrastructure		Palm Tran operated by			
		Florida Transit			
	None listed	Management	None listed	None listed	
	None listed	Incorporated	None listed	None listed	

	Во	ca Raton City	Palm Bea	ch County
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005
Coordinate Operation		Palm Tran operated by Florida Transit Management		
	None listed	Incorporated	None listed	None listed
Arterial Management Agencies				
Provide Information	None listed	Palm Beach County, Broward County	None listed	Boca Raton City
Share Infrastructure	None listed	Palm Beach County, Broward County	None listed	Boca Raton City
Coordinate Operation	None listed	Palm Beach County, Broward County	None listed	Boca Raton City
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others		,		,
Freeway Management agencies from which your agency receives				
freeway travel times, speeds, and conditions		Florida Department of Transportation-District		Florida Department of Transportation-District 4, Florida Department of Transportation-
	None listed	4	None listed	Turnpike District
Public Transit operators from which your agency receives				
arterial travel times derived from vehicle probes	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Incident Management agencies from which your agency receives				
incident clearance and/or incident severity, location, and type information Receive information on Incident Clearance	None listed	Florida Department of Transportation-District 4	Florida Department of Transportation-District 4, Florida Highway Patrol	None listed
Receive information on Incident Severity, Location, and Type Toll Collection agencies from which your agency receives arterial travel	None listed	Florida Department of Transportation-District 4	Florida Highway Patrol	None listed
times derived from vehicles probes	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Arterial Incident Management Section	140110 IIOLOG	110110 IIOLOG	Trono notou	Tiono notou
Agencies your agency provides incident severity, location, and type info.				
and/or shares infrastructure and/or coordinates operation				
Emergency Management Agencies				
Provide Information	None listed	None listed	Palm Beach County Sheriff Department	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed

	Во	ca Raton City	Palm Bea	ach County
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005
Coordinate Operation			Palm Beach County	
	None listed	None listed	Sheriff Department	None listed
Freeway Management Agencies				
Provide Information				
	None listed	None listed	Florida Department of Transportation-District 4, Florida Department of Transportation- Turnpike District	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation				
	None listed	None listed	Florida Department of Transportation-District 4, Florida Department of Transportation- Turnpike District	None listed
Public Transit Operators				
Provide Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others				
Emergency Management agencies from which your agency receives				
arterial incident clearance and/or arterial incident severity				
Receive Arterial Incident Clearance Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Receive Arterial Incident Severity Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Arterial Management agencies from which your agency receives				
arterial travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Freeway Management agencies from which your agency receives				
freeway travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed

^{*}short survey: Agency responded using a short survey. The survey did not include names of individual agencies, but only identified whether integration exists.

Appendix H
Arterial Management Information Collection and Dissemination

	Boca Raton City			
Agency Name	1999	2005		
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes			
Arterial Management Section				
Data collected, archived, and/or transferred to another agency				
Collected by your agency	Traffic volumes, Traffic speeds, Lane occupancy, Turning movements, Phasing/cycle lengths, Road conditions, Route designations (snow emergency, etc.), Current work zones, Scheduled work zones, Intermodal (air, rail, water) connections, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures	vehicle signal preemption, Route designations (snow emergency, etc.), Incidents, Current work zones,		
Archived by your agency				
	Traffic volumes, Traffic speeds, Lane occupancy, Turning movements, Phasing/cycle lengths, Road conditions, Route designations (snow emergency, etc.), Current work zones, Scheduled work zones, Intermodal (air, rail, water) connections, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures	vehicle signal preemption, Route designations (snow emergency, etc.), Incidents, Current work zones,		
Transferred to another agency by your agency	Traffic volumes, Turning movements, Route designations (snow emergency, etc.), Current work zones, Scheduled work zones, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures	Traffic volumes, Turning movements, Route designations (snow emergency, etc.), Current work zones, Scheduled work zones, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures		
Importance of making information available to the public				
Ranked High	Road conditions, Route designations (snow emergency, e Scheduled work zones, Intermodal (air, rail, water) connec			
Ranked Medium	Traffic volumes, Traffic speeds, Lane occupancy, Turning vehicle signal preemption, Transit vehicle signal priority	movements, Queues, Phasing/cycle lengths, Emergency		
Ranked Low	Vehicle classification, Probe vehicles, Highway operations coordination information			
Groups that make requests for the data	Universities, State DOT personnel, Federal DOT personnel, Media (I.e., TV stations, radio stations), MPOs, Consultants, Advanced Traveler Information Systems (ATIS) provi			
What is the data used for?	Traffic analysis, Construction impact determination, Planr models, Dissemination to the public	ing, Roadway impact analysis, Accident prediction		
Methods used to disseminate arterial information to the public				

	Boca Raton City		
Agency Name	1999	2005	
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:			
	NB	Internet Web sites, E-mail or other direct PC	
	NR	communication	
Technologies your agency (through another agency or org.) uses to disseminate:			
		Internet Web sites, E-mail or other direct PC	
	NR	communication	
Internet web site reporting arterial conditions		•	
	NR		
Telephone system for reporting arterial information to the public	NR		
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	NR		
Arterial Incident Management Section			
Methods used to distribute incident location and severity information			
to the public			
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:	NR	NR	
Technologies your agency (through another agency or org.) uses to disseminate:	NR	NR	
Internet web site reporting incident information	NR	•	
Telephone system for reporting incident information to the public	NR		
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	NR		

	Palm Beach County			
Agency Name	1999	2005		
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes			
Arterial Management Section				
Data collected, archived, and/or transferred to another agency				
Collected by your agency				
	Traffic volumes, Traffic speeds, Turning movements,			
	Queues, Phasing/cycle lengths, Emergency vehicle			
	signal preemption, Incidents, Current work zones,			
	Scheduled work zones	NR		
Archived by your agency				
	Traffic volumes, Traffic speeds, Turning movements,			
	Queues, Phasing/cycle lengths, Current work zones,			
	Scheduled work zones	NR		
Transferred to another agency by your agency				
	Traffic volumes, Turning movements, Scheduled work			
In a set of	zones	NR		
Importance of making information available to the public				
Ranked High				
	Traffic volumes, Turning movements, Current work zones	s. Scheduled work zones		
Ranked Medium	, <u>,</u> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	Traffic speeds, Phasing/cycle lengths, Emergency vehicle	e signal preemption, Incidents, Highway operations		
Degled Low	coordination information			
Ranked Low	Queues			
Groups that make requests for the data	Queues			
	State DOT personnel, MPOs, Consultants			
What is the data used for?				
	Traffic analysis, Planning, Roadway impact analysis, Accident prediction models			
Methods used to disseminate arterial information to the public	Traine analysis, Flaming, Roadway impact analysis, Acc	prediction models		
mounded about to allocalimate afternal information to the paone				

	Palm Beach County		
Agency Name	1999	2005	
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:			
		Internet Web sites, E-mail or other direct PC	
	NR	communication	
Technologies your agency (through another agency or org.) uses to disseminate:			
	NR	NR	
Internet web site reporting arterial conditions			
	NR		
Telephone system for reporting arterial information to the public	NR		
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	Metro Traffic		
Arterial Incident Management Section			
Methods used to distribute incident location and severity information			
to the public			
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:		Internet Web sites, E-mail or other direct PC	
	NR	communication, Cell phone/data	
Technologies your agency (through another agency or org.) uses to disseminate:	NR	NR	
Internet web site reporting incident information	NR		
Telephone system for reporting incident information to the public	NR		
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	NR		

Appendix I Transit Management Components

		ransit Management Incorporated
	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes	
Number of vehicles used in revenue service		
Fixed Route Bus	124	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	20	NR
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR	NR
Have of plan to have an Automated Vehicle Location System?	No	
Primary and Secondary Location Technologies Used		
Primary Technologies		
GPS	No	No
Sign/Odometer	No	No
Dead-Reckoning	No	No
LORAN C	No	No
Other	No	No
Backup Technologies		
GPS	No	No
Sign/Odometer	No	No
Dead-Reckoning	No	No
LORAN C	No	No
Other	No	No
Number of Vehicles Equipped with AVL		
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	NR
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR	NR
Motor Buses Operated as Vehicle Probes		
Number of Motor Buses equipped as probes on freeways?	NR	
Number of Motor Buses equipped as probes on arterials?	NR	
Have Organized Regional Incident Management Program?	No	
Have Automated Traveler Information System?	No	

	Palm Tran operated by Florida Tr	ansit Management Incorporated
	1999	2005
Services Automated Traveler Info. System Applies:		
Fixed Route	No	
Heavy Rail	No	
Light Rail	No	
Demand Responsive	No No	
Commuter Rail	No	
Ferry	No	
Locations where traveler information is displayed to public		
Number of bus stops on fixed transit routes	NR	NR NR
Bus stops on fixed transit routes that display traveler info to the public	NR	NR
Number of rail stations	NR	NR
Number of rail stations that display traveler information	NR	NR
Number of other locations that display traveler information to public	NR	NR
Number of vehicles the traveler information system has available		
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	NR
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR	NR
Deployment of Communications Technology		
Attributes of Radio System:		
Digital?	No	
Analog?	No	
Trunked?	No	
Regular?	No	
Services that use a Digital or Trunked Radio System		
<u>Digital Only</u>		
Fixed Route Bus	No	No
Heavy or Rapid Rail	No	No
Light Rail	No	No
Demand Responsive	No	No
Commuter Rail	No	No
Ferry Boat	No	No
<u>Trunked Only</u>		
Fixed Route Bus	No	No
Heavy or Rapid Rail	No	No
Light Rail	No	No

		ransit Management Incorporated
	1999	2005
Demand Responsive	No	No
Commuter Rail	No	No
Ferry Boat	No	No
Have of plan to have Automatic Passenger Counters (APCs)?	No	
Methods used to count passengers		
Treadle Mats	No	
Infrared Beams	No	
Primary and Secondary Location Technologies Used		
Primary Technologies		
GPS	No	No
Differential GPS	No	No
Signpost/Odometer	No	No
Dead_Reckoning	No	No
LORAN C	No	No
Other	No	No
Backup Technologies		
GPS	No	No
Differential GPS	No	No
Signpost/Odometer	No	No
Dead_Reckoning	No	No
LORAN C	No	No
Other	No	No
Number of Vehicles with APCs		
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	NR
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR	NR
Remote Real-Time Monitoring and Computer Assisted Dispatching		
Remote Real-Time Monitoring		
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	NR
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR NR	NR NR
Automated Dispatching or Control Software	1417	1417

		ransit Management Incorporated
	1999	2005
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	NR
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR	NR
Coordinate or plan to coordinate travel request and vehicle		
dispatching for multiple agencies?	No	
Is there or will there be a Transportation Management Center		
(TMC) in the region that controls transit and highway modes?	No	
Modes that TMC currently controls:		
Highways	No	No
Fixed Route Bus	No	No
Heavy or Rapid Rail	No	No
Light Rail	No	No
Demand Responsive	No	No
Commuter Rail	No	No
Ferry Boat	No	No
Other	No	No
Priority at Traffic Signals and Ramp Meter Priority	140	140
Priority at Traffic Signals		
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	NR
Ramp Meter Priority		
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	NR
Number of Vehicles Equipped with Navigation Aids		
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	NR
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR	NR
ITS Standards Used Related to Transit Management		
TCIP On Boad Objects (TCIP-OB)	No	

	Palm Tran operated by Florida Tra	ansit Management Incorporated
	1999	2005
TCIP Traffic Management Objects (TCIP-TM)	No	
TCIP Common Public Transportation Objects (TCIP-CPT)	No	
TCIP Passenger Information Objects (TCIP-PI)	No	
TCIP Incident Management Objects (TCIP-IM)	No	
TCIP Fare Collection Objects (TCIP-FC)	No	
TCIP Spatial Representation Objects (TCIP-SP)	No	
TCIP Control Center Objects (TCIP-CC)	No	
TCIP Scheduling/Runcutting Objects (TCIP-SCH)	No	
Send data communication between micro computer and heavy duty		
vehicle applications (SAE J1708)	No	
Would agency be willing to participate in testing of ITS Standards?	No	
Have agreements in place with other agencies to use similar hardware	-	
and software to aid maintenance and interoperability?	No	
Electronic Fare Payment		
Have full operational Electronic Fare Payment System?	No	
Methods of Fare Payment		
Stored value card with fare deducted for each trip		
Magnetic Stripe	No	
Smart Card	No	
Debit Card	No	
Billed by the month for trips taken		
Magnetic Stripe	No	
Smart Card	No	
Credit Card	No	
Monthly Pass		
Magnetic Stripe	No	
Smart Card	No	
Vehicles/Stations Equipped with Automated Payment Mechanism		
Magnetic Stripe Readers		
Fixed Route Bus Vehicles	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail Stations	NR	NR
Light Rail Stations	NR	NR
Demand Responsive Vehicles	NR	NR
Commuter Rail Stations	NR	NR
Ferry Boat Landings	NR	NR
Smart Card Readers		
Fixed Route Bus Vehicles	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail Stations	NR	NR
Light Rail Stations	NR	NR

	Palm Tran operated by Florida T	ransit Management Incorporate
	1999	2005
Demand Responsive Vehicles	NR	NR
Commuter Rail Stations	NR	NR
Ferry Boat Landings	NR	NR
<u>Credit Card</u>		
Fixed Route Bus Vehicles	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail Stations	NR	NR
Light Rail Stations	NR	NR
Demand Responsive Vehicles	NR	NR
Commuter Rail Stations	NR	NR
Ferry Boat Landings	NR	NR
<u>Debit Card</u>		
Fixed Route Bus Vehicles	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail Stations	NR	NR
Light Rail Stations	NR	NR
Demand Responsive Vehicles	NR	NR
Commuter Rail Stations	NR	NR
Ferry Boat Landings	NR	NR

Appendix J Transit Management Integration

	Palm Tran operated by Florida Transit Management Incorporated						
Agency Name	1999	2005					
Aganay Patrignad Curriay 2							
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes						
Transit operators in the region that use the same electronic payment system	None listed						
Toll operators from whom you accept electronic payment of transit							
fare through the use of ETC media	None listed						
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others							
Freeway Management agencies from which your agency receives							
freeway travel times, speeds, and conditions							
Receive Information	None listed	None listed					
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed					
Arterial Management agencies from which your agency receives							
arterial travel times, speeds, and conditions							
Receive Information	None listed	None listed					
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed					
Incident Management agencies from which your agency receives							
incident severity, location, and type							
Receive Information	None listed	None listed					
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed					

Appendix K
Transit Management Information Collection and Dissemination

	· ·	erated by Florida Transit ment Incorporated				
Agency Name	1999	2005				
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes					
Methods used to disseminate transit information to the public						
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:						
Transit routes, schedules and fares	NR	NR				
Real-time transit schedule adherence or arrival and departure times	NR	NR				
Technologies employed by other organization receiving your data						
Transit routes, schedules and fares	NR	NR				
Real-time transit schedule adherence or arrival and departure times	NR	NR				
Internet web site reporting transit routes, schedules and fare, etc.	NR					
Telephone system for reporting transit information to the public	NR					
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	NR					
Data collected, archived, and/or transferred to another agency						
Collected by your agency	NR	NR				
Archived by your agency	NR	NR				
Transferred to another agency by your agency	NR	NR				
Importance of making information available to the public						
Ranked High	NR					
Ranked Medium	NR					
Ranked Low	NR					
Groups that make requests for the data	NR					
What is the data used for?	NR					

Appendix L Emergency Management

	Total V	/ehicles	Navigation Capabilities		AVL		CAD		CAD Equipped with Mobile Data Terminal		Vehicles Equipped with Preemption		ormal rogram	Info to other	
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	Participate in Formal Incident Mgt Program	Send Incident Info to agencies	List of agencies receiving data
David Balan O'th Fire David and	00	00	0	47		47			0	17	0	17	V	V	Palm Beach County
Boca Raton City Fire Department	20	22	0		0		15	17	0		0		Yes	Yes	Health Care District
Boca Raton City Police Department	85	105	0	105	0	105	85	105	0	105	0	105	Yes	No	None listed
															State Fire Marshals Office Tallahassee, Palm Beach County
Delray Beach Fire Department	20	NR	0	NR	0	NR	20	NR	0	NR	0	NR	Yes	Yes	Health Care District
Delray Beach Police Department	30	32	0	32	0			32	30	32	0	0	No	No	None listed
Palm Beach County Sheriff Department	947	NR	0	NR	0	NR	947	NR	250	NR	0	NR	No	No	None listed
West Palm Beach City Fire & EMS Department	15	19	0	19	0	19	15	19	15	19	0	0	Yes	No	None listed
															West Palm Beach City Emergency Operations Center, West Palm Beach County Emergency Operations
West Palm Beach City Police Department	235	270	0	270	0	270	100	270	60	270	0	0	Yes	Yes	Center