





Midwest States Regional Pooled Fund Research Program Fiscal Years 2012 and 2014 (Years 22 and 24) Research Project Number TPF-5(193) sup. 44 and sup. 64 NDOR Sponsoring Agency Code RPFP-14-CABLE-1

MASH TEST NOS. 3-17 AND 3-11 ON A NON-PROPRIETARY

CABLE MEDIAN BARRIER

Submitted by

Robert W. Bielenberg, M.S.M.E., E.I.T. Research Associate Engineer

Scott K. Rosenbaugh., M.S.C.E., E.I.T. Research Associate Engineer Ronald K. Faller, Ph.D., P.E. Research Associate Professor MwRSF Director

Brandt M. Humphrey, B.S.C.E., E.I.T. Graduate Research Assistant Tyler L. Schmidt, B.S.C.E. Graduate Research Assistant

Karla A. Lechtenberg, M.S.M.E., E.I.T. Research Associate Engineer John D. Reid, Ph.D. Professor

MIDWEST ROADSIDE SAFETY FACILITY

Nebraska Transportation Center University of Nebraska-Lincoln 130 Whittier Research Center 2200 Vine Street Lincoln, Nebraska 68583-0853 (402) 472-0965

Submitted to

MIDWEST STATES REGIONAL POOLED FUND PROGRAM

Nebraska Department of Roads 1500 Nebraska Highway 2 Lincoln, Nebraska 68502

MwRSF Research Report No. TRP-03-303-15

November 3, 2015

TECHNICAL REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1. Report No. TRP-03-303-15	2.	3. Recipient's Accession No.		
^{4.} Title and Subtitle MASH Test Nos. 3-17 and 3-11 on a Non-Proprietary Cable Median Barrier		5. Report Date November 3, 2015 6.		
^{7.} Author(s) Bielenberg, R.W., Rosenbaug Humphrey, B.M., Schmidt, T and Reid, J.D.	gh, S.K., Faller, R.K., .L., Lechtenberg, K.A.,	8. Performing Organization Report No. TRP-03-303-15		
9. Performing Organization Name and Addr Midwest Roadside Safety Fac	ess cility (MwRSF)	10. Project/Task/Work Unit No.		
Nebraska Transportation Center University of Nebraska-Lincoln 130 Whittier Research Center 2200 Vine Street Lincoln, Nebraska 68583-0853		11. Contract © or Grant (G) No. TPF-5(193) sup. 44 TPF-5(193) sup. 64		
12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Add Midwest States Regional Poo	tress led Fund Program	13. Type of Report and Period Covered Final Report: 2012 – 2015		
Nebraska Department of Road 1500 Nebraska Highway 2 Lincoln, Nebraska 68502	ds	14. Sponsoring Agency Code RPFP-12-CABLE1&2 RPFP-14-CABLE-1		

15. Supplementary Notes

Prepared in cooperation with U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration.

16. Abstract (Limit: 200 words)

The Midwest States Pooled Fund has been developing a new design for a non-proprietary high-tension cable median barrier. This new system incorporates four evenly spaced cables, Midwest Weak Posts (MWPs) spaced at 8 to 16 ft (2.4 to 4.9 m) intervals, and a bolted, tabbed bracket to attach the cables to the post. Full-scale crash testing was needed to evaluate the barrier's safety performance. According to the proposed *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH)* testing matrix for cable barriers installed within a median ditch, a series of eight full-scale tests are required to evaluate the safety performance of the system. Additionally, a ninth test is required to establish the working width for systems with variable post spacings.

Three full-scale crash tests were performed on the cable barrier system for use anywhere within 6H:1V V-ditches. Test no. MWP-1 was conducted according to MASH test no. 3-17 and utilized a 1500A passenger car impacting the barrier at the slope break point. The vehicle was contained and redirected by the barrier, and the test was deemed acceptable. Test no. MWP-2 was conducted according to MASH test no. 3-11 and utilized a 2270P pickup truck impacting the barrier on level terrain. The vehicle was contained and redirected by the barrier, and the test was deemed acceptable. Test no. MWP-3 was also conducted with a 2270P vehicle according to MASH test no. 3-11. However, the post spacing was reduced from 16 ft (4.9 m), utilized during the first two tests, to 8 ft (2.4 m). After initially capturing the vehicle, three cables were eventually overridden as the vehicle was being redirected. Subsequently, the vehicle rolled and the test was deemed unacceptable.

17. Document Analysis/Descriptors		18. Availability Statement		
Highway Safety, Crash Test,	MASH, Compliance Test,	No restrictions. Document available from:		
Longitudinal Barrier, Cable B	arrier, Median Barrier,	National Technical Information Services,		
High-Tension, 6H:1V Mediar	NV-Ditch	Springfield, Virginia 22161		
19. Security Class (this report)	20. Security Class (this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price	
Unclassified	Unclassified	293		

DISCLAIMER STATEMENT

This report was completed with funding from the Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation. The contents of this report reflect the views and opinions of the authors who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the state highway departments participating in the Midwest States Regional Pooled Fund Program nor the Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, regulation, product endorsement, or an endorsement of manufacturers.

UNCERTAINTY OF MEASUREMENT STATEMENT

The Midwest Roadside Safety Facility (MwRSF) has determined the uncertainty of measurements for several parameters involved in standard full-scale crash testing and non-standard testing of roadside safety features. Information regarding the uncertainty of measurements for critical parameters is available upon request by the sponsor and the Federal Highway Administration.

INDEPENDENT APPROVING AUTHORITY

The Independent Approving Authority (IAA) for the data contained herein was Dr. Jennifer Schmidt, P.E., Research Assistant Professor.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge several sources that made a contribution to this project: (1) the Midwest States Regional Pooled Fund Program funded by the Illinois Department of Transportation, Indiana Departement of Transportation, Iowa Department of Transportation, Kansas Department of Transportation, Minnesota Department of Transportation, Missouri Department of Transportation, Nebraska Department of Roads, New Jersey Department of Transportation, Ohio Department of Transportation, South Dakota Department of Transportation, Wisconsin Department of Transportation, and Wyoming Department of Transportation for sponsoring this project; and (2) MwRSF personnel for constructing the barriers and conducting the crash tests.

Acknowledgement is also given to the following individuals who made a contribution to the completion of this research project.

Midwest Roadside Safety Facility

J.C. Holloway, M.S.C.E., E.I.T., Test Site Manager J.D. Schmidt, Ph.D., P.E., Research Assistant Professor C.S. Stolle, Ph.D., Research Assistant Professor A.T. Russell, B.S.B.A., Shop Manager K.L. Krenk, B.S.M.A., Maintenance Mechanic, Retired S.M. Tighe, Laboratory Mechanic D.S. Charroin, Laboratory Mechanic Undergraduate and Graduate Research Assistants

Illinois Department of Transportation

Priscilla A. Tobias, P.E., State Safety Engineer/Bureau Chief Tim Sheehan, P.E., Safety Design Engineer Paul L. Lorton, P.E., Safety Programs Unit Chief

Indiana Department of Transportation

Todd Shields, P.E., Maintenance Field Support Manager

Iowa Department of Transportation

Deanna Maifield, P.E., Methods Engineer Chris Poole, P.E., Roadside Safety Engineer Brian Smith, Methods Engineer

Kansas Department of Transportation

Ron Seitz, P.E., Bureau Chief Scott King, P.E., Road Design Bureau Chief Kelly Keele, P.E., Road Design Leader Thomas Rhoads, P.E., Engineering Associate III, Bureau of Road Design

Minnesota Department of Transportation

Michael Elle, P.E., Design Standards Engineer

Missouri Department of Transportation

Ronald Effland, P.E., ACTAR, LCI, Non-Motorized Transportation Engineer Joseph G. Jones, P.E., Former Engineering Policy Administrator

Nebraska Department of Roads

Phil TenHulzen, P.E., Design Standards Engineer Jim Knott, P.E., State Roadway Design Engineer Jodi Gibson, Research Coordinator

New Jersey Department of Transportation

Dave Bizuga, Manager 2, Roadway Design Group 1

Ohio Department of Transportation

Don Fisher, P.E., Roadway Standards Engineer Maria E. Ruppe, P.E., Former Roadway Standards Engineer

South Dakota Department of Transportation

David Huft, Research Engineer Bernie Clocksin, Lead Project Engineer

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

Jerry Zogg, P.E., Chief Roadway Standards Engineer Erik Emerson, P.E., Standards Development Engineer Rodney Taylor, P.E., Roadway Design Standards Unit Supervisor

Wyoming Department of Transportation

William Wilson, P.E., Architectural and Highway Standards Engineer

Federal Highway Administration

John Perry, P.E., Nebraska Division Office Danny Briggs, Nebraska Division Office

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TECHNICAL REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	i
DISCLAIMER STATEMENT	ii
UNCERTAINTY OF MEASUREMENT STATEMENT	ii
INDEPENDENT APPROVING AUTHORITY	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
1 INTRODUCTION 1.1 Background 1.2 Research Objectives 1.3 Research Scope	
2 TEST REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA 2.1 Test Requirements 2.2 Evaluation Criteria 2.3 Soil Strength Requirements	
3 TEST CONDITIONS. 3.1 Test Facility	9 9 9 9 11 11 11 11 11 21 21 22 23
4 DESIGN DETAILS TEST NO. MWP-1	28
5 FULL-SCALE CRASH TEST NO. MWP-1 5.1 Static Soil Test 5.2 Test No. MWP-1 5.3 Weather Conditions 5.4 Test Description 5.5 Barrier Damage 5.6 Vehicle Damage	57 57 57 57 58 59 60

5.7 Occupant Risk	62
5.8 Load Cells and String Potentiometers	63
5.9 Discussion	63
6 DESIGN DETAILS TEST NO. MWP-2	79
7 EULI SCALE CRASH TEST NO MWD 2	งา
7 1 Statia Soil Test	02
7.1 Staue Son Test	02
7.2 Test NO. MWP-2	02
7.5 weather Conditions	02
7.4 Test Description	05 Ng
7.5 Damage	04
7.0 Vehicle Dahlage	80
7.7 Occupatit RISK	07
7.8 Load Cents and String Potentionneters	00
7.9 Discussion	09
8 DESIGN DETAILS TEST NO. MWP-3	103
A FULL SCALE OD A SULTEST NO. MAND 2	120
9 FULL-SCALE CRASH TEST NO. MWP-3	130
9.1 Static Soli Test	130
9.2 Test No. MWP-3.	130
9.5 weather Conditions	130
9.4 Test Description	131
9.5 Barrier Damage	132
9.6 Venicle Damage	133
9.7 Occupant Risk	133
9.8 Load Cells and String Potentiometers	130
9.9 Discussion	136
10 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	155
11 REFERENCES	159
12 APPENDICES	161
Appendix A. Material Specifications	162
Appendix B. Vehicle Center of Gravity Determination	201
Appendix C. Static Soil Tests	205
Appendix D. Vehicle Deformation Records	210
Appendix E. Accelerometer and Rate Transducer Data Plots. Test No MWP-1	229
Appendix F. Load Cell and String Potentiometer Data. Test No. MWP-1	238
Appendix G. Accelerometer and Rate Transducer Data Plots. Test No. MWP-2	245
Appendix H. Load Cell and String Potentiometer Data. Test No. MWP-2	262
Appendix I. Accelerometer and Rate Transducer Data Plots. Test No. MWP-3	269
Appendix J. Load Cell and String Potentiometer Data, Test No. MWP-3	286

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Test Vehicle, Test No. MWP-1	12
Figure 2. Vehicle Dimensions, Test No. MWP-1	13
Figure 3. Test Vehicle, Test No. MWP-2	14
Figure 4. Vehicle Dimensions, Test No. MWP-2	15
Figure 5. Test Vehicle, Test No. MWP-3	16
Figure 6. Vehicle Dimensions, Test No. MWP-3	17
Figure 7. Target Geometry, Test No. MWP-1	18
Figure 8. Target Geometry, Test No. MWP-2	19
Figure 9. Target Geometry, Test No. MWP-3	20
Figure 10. Locations of Load Cells and String Potentiometer	23
Figure 11. Camera Locations, Speeds, and Lens Settings, Test No. MWP-1	25
Figure 12. Camera Locations, Speeds, and Lens Settings, Test No. MWP-2	26
Figure 13. Camera Locations, Speeds, and Lens Settings, Test No. MWP-3	27
Figure 14. Test Installation Layout, Test No. MWP-1	31
Figure 15. Cable Splice Location and Detail, Test No. MWP-1	32
Figure 16. Cable Terminal Detail, Test No. MWP-1	33
Figure 17. Cable Anchor Detail, Test No. MWP-1	34
Figure 18. Load Cell and Turnbuckle Configuration, Test No. MWP-1	35
Figure 19. Load Cell Assembly Component Details, Test No. MWP-1	36
Figure 20. Cable Anchor Detail Post Nos. 1 and 40, Test No. MWP-1	37
Figure 21. Cable Anchor Bracket, Test No. MWP-1	38
Figure 22. Cable Anchor Bracket Components, Test No. MWP-1	39
Figure 23. Cable Release Lever, Test No. MWP-1	40
Figure 24. Second Post Details, Post Nos. 2 and 39, Test No. MWP-1	41
Figure 25. Cable Hanger Assembly, Post Nos. 2 and 39, Test No. MWP-1	42
Figure 26. Cable Hanger Assembly, Post Nos. 2 and 39, Test No. MWP-1	43
Figure 27. Foundation Tube Assembly, Post Nos. 2 and 39, Test No. MWP-1	44
Figure 28. Z-Post Details, Test No. MWP-1	45
Figure 29. Z-Post Details, Test No. MWP-1	46
Figure 30. Z-Post Details, Test No. MWP-1	47
Figure 31. Z-Post Details, Flat Pattern, Test No. MWP-1	48
Figure 32. Tabbed Bracket Details, 12-Gauge, Test No. MWP-1	49
Figure 33. Tabbed Bracket Details, Flat Pattern, Test No. MWP-1	50
Figure 34. J-Hook Anchor and Brass Clips, Test No. MWP-1	51
Figure 35. Hardware Details, Test No. MWP-1	52
Figure 36. Bill of Materials, Test No. MWP-1	53
Figure 37. Bill of Materials, Test No. MWP-1	54
Figure 38. Test Installation Photographs, Test No. MWP-1	55
Figure 39. Cable Heights Relative to Test Vehicle, Test No. MWP-1	56
Figure 40. Summary of Test Results and Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-1	65
Figure 41. Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-1	66
Figure 42. Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-1	67
Figure 43. Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-1	68
Figure 44. Impact Location, Test No. MWP-1	69
Figure 45. Vehicle Final Position and Trajectory Marks, Test No. MWP-1	70

Figure 46.	System Damage, Post Nos. 15 through 17, Test No. MWP-1	71
Figure 47.	System Damage, Post Nos. 18 through 20, Test No. MWP-1	72
Figure 48.	System Damage, Post Nos. 21 through 23, Test No. MWP-1	73
Figure 49.	System Damage, Post Nos. 24 through 26, Test No. MWP-1	74
Figure 50.	System Damage, Post Nos. 27 through 29, Test No. MWP-1	75
Figure 51.	Vehicle Damage, Test No. MWP-1	76
Figure 52.	Vehicle Damage, Left Side and Roof, Test No. MWP-1	77
Figure 53.	Vehicle Damage, Undercarriage, Test No. MWP-1	78
Figure 54.	Test Installation Layout, Test No. MWP-2	80
Figure 55.	Installation Photographs, Test No. MWP-2	81
Figure 56.	Summary of Test Results and Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-2	90
Figure 57.	Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-2	91
Figure 58.	Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-2	92
Figure 59.	Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-2	93
Figure 60.	Documentary Photographs, Test No. MWP-2	94
Figure 61.	Documentary Photographs, Test No. MWP-2	95
Figure 62.	Impact Location, Test No. MWP-2	96
Figure 63.	Vehicle Trajectory and Final Position, Test No. MWP-2	97
Figure 64.	Damage to System, Test No. MWP-2	98
Figure 65.	Damage to Anchorages, Test No. MWP-2	99
Figure 66.	Damage to Lower Keyhole, Post Nos. 27 through 29, Test No. MWP-2	.100
Figure 67.	Damage to Lower Keyhole, Post Nos. 30 through 32, Test No. MWP-2	.101
Figure 68.	Vehicle Damage, Test No. MWP-2	.102
Figure 69.	Test Installation Layout, Test No. MWP-3	.105
Figure 70.	Cable Splice Location and Detail, Test No. MWP-3	.106
Figure 71.	Cable Terminal Detail, Test No. MWP-3	.107
Figure 72.	Cable Anchor Detail, Test No. MWP-3	.108
Figure 73.	Load Cell and Turnbuckle Configuration, Test No. MWP-3	.109
Figure 74.	Load Cell Assembly Component Details, Test No. MWP-3	.110
Figure 75.	Cable Anchor Details, Post Nos. 1 and 40, Test No. MWP-3	.111
Figure 76.	Cable Anchor Bracket, Test No. MWP-3	.112
Figure 77.	Cable Anchor Bracket Components, Test No. MWP-3	.113
Figure 78.	Cable Release Lever, Test No. MWP-3	.114
Figure 79.	Second Post Details, Post Nos. 2 and 39, Test No. MWP-3	.115
Figure 80.	Cable Hanger Assembly, Post Nos. 2 and 39, Test No. MWP-3	.116
Figure 81.	Cable Hanger Assembly, Post Nos. 2 and 39, Test No. MWP-3	.117
Figure 82.	Foundation Tube Assembly, Post Nos. 2 and 39, Test No. MWP-3	.118
Figure 83.	Z-Post Details, Test No. MWP-3	.119
Figure 84.	Z-Post Details, Test No. MWP-3	.120
Figure 85.	Z-Post Details, Test No. MWP-3	.121
Figure 86.	Z-Post Details, Flat Pattern, Test No. MWP-3	.122
Figure 87.	Tabbed Bracket Details, 12-Gauge, Test No. MWP-3	.123
Figure 88.	Tabbed Bracket Details, Flat Pattern, Test No. MWP-3	.124
Figure 89.	J-Hook Anchor and Brass Clips, Test No. MWP-3	.125
Figure 90.	Hardware Details, Test No. MWP-3	.126
Figure 91.	Bill of Materials, Test No. MWP-3	.127
Figure 92.	Bill of Materials, Test No. MWP-3	.128

Figure 93. Test Installation Photographs, Test No. MWP-3	.129
Figure 94. Summary of Test Results and Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-3	.137
Figure 95. Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-3	.138
Figure 96. Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-3	.139
Figure 97. Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-3	.140
Figure 98. Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-3	.141
Figure 99. Documentary Photographs, Test No. MWP-3	.142
Figure 100. Documentary Photographs, Test No. MWP-3	.143
Figure 101. Impact Location, Test No. MWP-3	.144
Figure 102. Vehicle Final Position and Trajectory Marks, Test No. MWP-3	.145
Figure 103. System Damage, Post Nos. 32 through 34. Test No. MWP-3	.146
Figure 104. System Damage, Post Nos. 35 through 37. Test No. MWP-3	.147
Figure 105. System Damage, Post Nos. 38 through 40. Test No. MWP-3	.148
Figure 106. System Damage, Post Nos. 41 through 43. Test No. MWP-3	.149
Figure 107. System Damage, Post Nos. 44 through 46. Test No. MWP-3	150
Figure 108. System Damage, Post Nos. 47 through 49. Test No. MWP-3	.151
Figure 109 Vehicle Damage, Test No. MWP-3	152
Figure 110 Vehicle Damage, Upright, Test No, MWP-3	153
Figure 111 Cable Marks and Gouges on Left Side Wheel Assemblies Test No. MWP-3	154
Figure A-1 Cable End Threaded Rod. Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3	167
Figure A-2. Cable End Threaded Rod, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3	168
Figure A-3 ³ / ₄ in Flat Washer, Test Nos, MWP-1 and MWP-2	169
Figure A-4 ³ / ₄ in Flat Washer Test No MWP-3	170
Figure A-5 Concrete Anchor. Test No. MWP-1	171
Figure A-6 Steel Rebar within Hanger Post Foundations Test Nos MWP-1 through MWP-3	172
Figure A-7 Steel Rebar within Concrete Anchors, Test Nos, MWP-1 through MWP-3	173
Figure A-8 Rebar in Concrete Anchors Test Nos MWP-1 through MWP-3	174
Figure A-9. Concrete Anchor. Test Nos. MWP-2 and MWP-3	175
Figure A-10. Concrete Anchor. Test Nos. MWP-2 and MWP-3	176
Figure A-11, #3 Straight Rebar, Test Nos, MWP-1 through MWP-3	.177
Figure A-12 #11 Straight Rebar, Test Nos, MWP-1 through MWP-3	178
Figure A-13 UNC I-Hook Anchor. Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3	179
Figure A-14 Foundation Tube, Test Nos, MWP-1 through MWP-3	180
Figure A-15, 7-Gauge, 83-in, Long Bent Z-Section Post, Test Nos, MWP-1 and MWP-2	.181
Figure A-16, 7-Gauge, 83-in, Long Bent Z-Section Post, Test No. MWP-3	.182
Figure A-17. Bennet Short Threaded Turnbuckle. Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3	.183
Figure A-18. Straight Rod Cable Clip. Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3	184
Figure A-19. ⁷ / ₈ in. Hex Nut. Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3.	185
Figure A-20. Bennett Cable End Fitter. Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3	.186
Figure A-21. Bennet Cable End Fitter. Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3	.187
Figure A-22 Cable Wedges Test Nos MWP-1 and MWP-2	188
Figure A-23 CMB High Tension Anchor Plate Washer. Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3	189
Figure A-24. Second Post Base Plate. Test Nos MWP-1 through MWP-3	.190
Figure A-25. Second Post Cable Hanger. Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3	191
Figure A-26, S3x5.7 Steel Posts, Test Nos, MWP-1 and MWP-2	192
Figure A-27. 12 Gauge Tabbed Bracket. Version 10. Test Nos. MWP-1 and MWP-2	193
Figure A-28, 12-Gauge Tabbed Bracket, Version 10, Test No. MWP-3	194

Figure A-29. High-Strength Pre-Stretched Cable Guiderail, Test Nos. MWP-1 and MWF	P -2195
Figure A-30. ⁵ / ₈ -in. Dia. UNC 9 ¹ / ₂ -in. Long Hex Bolt, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-	3196
Figure A-31. ⁵ / ₈ -in. Dia. Heavy Hex Nut and ⁵ / ₁₆ -in. Dia. Nut, Test Nos. MWP-1 through	1
MWP-3	197
Figure A-32. ¹ / ₂ -in. Dia. UNC 2-in. Long Hex Bolt and Nut and ³ / ₄ -in. Dia. UNC 5 ¹ / ₂ -in. I	Long
Hex Bolt and Nut, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3	198
Figure A-33. ⁵ / ₁₆ -in. Dia. UNC 1-in. Long Hex Cap Screw, Test Nos. MWP-1 through M	IWP-
3	199
Figure A-34. ¹ / ₂ -in. Dia. Washer with 1 ¹ / ₁₆ -in. OD, Test Nos. MWP-1 and MWP-2	
Figure B-1. Vehicle Mass Distribution, Test No. MWP-1	202
Figure B-2. Vehicle Mass Distribution, Test No. MWP-2	203
Figure B-3. Vehicle Mass Distribution, Test No. MWP-3	204
Figure C-1. Soil Strength, Initial Calibration Tests, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3	
Figure C-2. Static Soil Test, Test No. MWP-1	207
Figure C-3. Static Soil Test, Test No. MWP-2	
Figure C-4. Static Soil Test, Test No. MWP-3	209
Figure D-1. Floorpan Deformation Data – Set 1, Test No. MWP-1	
Figure D-2. Floorpan Deformation Data – Set 2, Test No. MWP-1	
Figure D-3. Occupant Compartment Deformation Data – Set 1, Test No. MWP-1	
Figure D-4. Occupant Compartment Deformation Data – Set 2, Test No. MWP-1	
Figure D-5. Exterior Vehicle Crush (NASS) - Front, Test No. MWP-1	
Figure D-6. Exterior Vehicle Crush (NASS) - Side, Test No. MWP-1	
Figure D-7. Floorpan Deformation Data – Set 1, Test No. MWP-2	
Figure D-8. Floorpan Deformation Data – Set 2, Test No. MWP-2	
Figure D-9. Occupant Compartment Deformation Data – Set 1, Test No. MWP-2	
Figure D-10. Occupant Compartment Deformation Data – Set 2, Test No. MWP-2	
Figure D-11. Exterior Vehicle Crush (NASS) - Front, Test No. MWP-2	221
Figure D 12. Exterior Venicle Crush (NASS) - Side, Test No. WWP-2	
Figure D 14 Eleorpan Deformation Data – Set 2 Test No. MWP 3	223
Figure D-15. Occupant Compartment Deformation Data – Set 1. Test No. MWP-3.	224
Figure D-16. Occupant Compartment Deformation Data – Set 2, Test No. MWP-3	225
Figure D-17 Exterior Vehicle Crush (NASS) - Front Test No. MWP-3	220
Figure D-18 Exterior Vehicle Crush (NASS) - Side Test No. MWP-3	228
Figure E-1 10-ms Average Longitudinal Deceleration (SLICE-2). Test No. MWP-1	230
Figure E-2, Longitudinal Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE-2), Test No. MWP-1	231
Figure E-3. Longitudinal Occupant Displacement (SLICE-2), Test No. MWP-1	
Figure E-4. 10-ms Average Lateral Deceleration (SLICE-2), Test No. MWP-1	
Figure E-5. Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE-2), Test No. MWP-1	
Figure E-6. Lateral Occupant Displacement (SLICE-2), Test No. MWP-1	
Figure E-7. Vehicle Angular Displacements (SLICE-2), Test No. MWP-1	
Figure E-8. Acceleration Severity Index (SLICE-2), Test No. MWP-1	
Figure F-1. Combined Load Cell Data, Test No. MWP-1	
Figure F-2. Load Cell Data, Cable 4, Test No. MWP-1	
Figure F-3. Load Cell Data, Cable 3, Test No. MWP-1	
Figure F-4. Load Cell Data, Cable 2, Test No. MWP-1	
Figure F-5. Load Cell Data, Cable 1, Test No. MWP-1	

Figure F-6. String Potentiometer Data, Test No. MWP-1	244
Figure G-1. 10-ms Average Longitudinal Deceleration (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-2	246
Figure G-2. Longitudinal Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-2	247
Figure G-3. Longitudinal Occupant Displacement (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-2	248
Figure G-4. 10-ms Average Lateral Deceleration (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-2	249
Figure G-5. Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-2	250
Figure G-6. Lateral Occupant Displacement (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-2	251
Figure G-7. Vehicle Angular Displacements (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-2	252
Figure G-8. Acceleration Severity Index (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-2	253
Figure G-9. 10-ms Average Longitudinal Deceleration (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-2	254
Figure G-10. Longitudinal Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-2	255
Figure G-11. Longitudinal Occupant Displacement (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-2	256
Figure G-12. 10-ms Average Lateral Deceleration (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-2	257
Figure G-13. Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-2	258
Figure G-14. Lateral Occupant Displacement (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-2	259
Figure G-15. Vehicle Angular Displacements (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-2	260
Figure G-16. Acceleration Severity Index (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-2	261
Figure H-1. Combined Load Cell Data, Test No. MWP-2	263
Figure H-2. Load Cell Data, Cable 4, Test No. MWP-2	264
Figure H-3. Load Cell Data, Cable 3, Test No. MWP-2	265
Figure H-4. Load Cell Data, Cable 2, Test No. MWP-2	266
Figure H-5. Load Cell Data, Cable 1, Test No. MWP-2	267
Figure H-6. String Potentiometer Data, Test No. MWP-2	268
Figure I-1. 10-ms Average Longitudinal Deceleration (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-3	270
Figure I-2. Longitudinal Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-3	271
Figure I-3. Longitudinal Occupant Displacement (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-3	272
Figure I-4. 10-ms Average Lateral Deceleration (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-3	273
Figure I-5. Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-3	274
Figure I-6. Lateral Occupant Displacement (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-3	275
Figure I-7. Vehicle Angular Displacements (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-3	276
Figure I-8. Acceleration Severity Index (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-3	277
Figure I-9. 10-ms Average Longitudinal Deceleration (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-3	278
Figure I-10. Longitudinal Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-3	279
Figure I-11. Longitudinal Occupant Displacement (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-3	280
Figure I-12. 10-ms Average Lateral Deceleration (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-3	281
Figure I-13. Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-3	282
Figure I-14. Lateral Occupant Displacement (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-3	283
Figure I-15. Vehicle Angular Displacements (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-3	284
Figure I-16. Acceleration Severity Index (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-3	285
Figure J-1. Combined Load Cell Data, Test No. MWP-3	287
Figure J-2. Load Cell Data, Cable 4, Test No. MWP-3	288
Figure J-3. Load Cell Data, Cable 3, Test No. MWP-3	289
Figure J-4. Load Cell Data, Cable 2, Test No. MWP-3	290
Figure J-5. Load Cell Data, Cable 1, Test No. MWP-3	291
Figure J-6. String Potentiometer Data, Test No. MWP-3	292

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Proposed MASH TL-3 Test Matrix for Barrier Placement Anywhere Within a	
6V:1H V-Ditch	6
Table 2. MASH Evaluation Criteria for Longitudinal Barrier	7
Table 3. Pre-Stretched Cable Tension Chart.	29
Table 4. Weather Conditions, Test No. MWP-1	57
Table 5. Sequential Description of Impact Events, Test No. MWP-1	58
Table 6. Maximum Occupant Compartment Deformations by Location	61
Table 7. Summary of OIV, ORA, THIV, PHD, and ASI Values, Test No. MWP-1	62
Table 8. Maximum Cable Loads, Test No. MWP-1	63
Table 9. Weather Conditions, Test No. MWP-2	82
Table 10. Sequential Description of Impact Events, Test No. MWP-2	83
Table 11. Maximum Occupant Compartment Deformations by Location	86
Table 12. Summary of OIV, ORA, THIV, PHD, and ASI Values, Test No. MWP-2	88
Table 13. Maximum Cable Loads	89
Table 14. Weather Conditions, Test No. MWP-3	.130
Table 15. Sequential Description of Impact Events, Test No. MWP-3	.131
Table 16. Maximum Occupant Compartment Deformations by Location, Test No. MWP-3	.134
Table 17. Summary of OIV, ORA, THIV, PHD, and ASI Values, Test No. MWP-3	.135
Table 18. Maximum Cable Loads, Test No. MWP-3	.136
Table 19. Summary of Safety Performance Evaluation Results, Test Nos. MWP-1 through	
MWP-3	.158
Table A-1. Table 20. Bill of Materials, Test Nos. MWP-1 and MWP-2	.163
Table A-2. Table 21. Bill of Materials, Test No. MWP-3	.165

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

In recent years, the Midwest States Pooled Fund has been developing a non-proprietary high-tension cable median barrier in conjunction with the Midwest Roadside Safety Facility (MwRSF). The design of the cable median barrier system had progressed through a series of crash tests that identified flaws in the system related to capturing vehicles traveling within a median V-ditch and to excessive occupant compartment deformations of sedans [1-3]. These performance issues highlighted the need to develop new barrier components to improve the safety performance of the cable median barrier.

Several design changes were made to improve system performance and satisfy the Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH) TL-3 safety requirements for cable median barrier [4]. First, the top cable attachment was modified to alleviate vehicle override concerns when the barrier was placed down the front slope of a depressed median. During test no. 4CMB-5, the vehicle impacted the system at a post, which pulled down the top cable and allowed the vehicle to override the barrier system [2]. To prevent this behavior, a new top cable attachment was developed, in which the cable resided within a V-notch cut into the top of the post and held in place with a brass keeper rod. Component testing demonstrated that the cable would be quickly released during impacts to the post, thus preventing the cable from being pulled down and preventing vehicle override [5-7].

Second, a new post section was developed to reduce the lateral stiffness of each support post. Test no. 4CMBLT-1 resulted in the upper cables crushing the A-pillar of an impacting sedan while the vehicle was being redirected [3]. Review of the full-scale test illustrated that the posts immediately downstream from the vehicle were not yielding and bending over prior to the vehicle impacting them. Thus, the upper cables formed steep angles as they ran between the downstream post and the vehicle A-pillar during redirection. The combination of this angle and the tensile load in the cables resulted in high loads being imparted to the A-pillar and, eventually, the A-pillar crushing inward. Therefore, a new post section, the Midwest Weak Post (MWP), was developed to be more forgiving and reduce the lateral force required to bend a post. Component testing demonstrated that the MWP had approximately half of the strong-axis bending strength of the previous S3x5.7 (S76x8.5) posts [8]. Thus, MWPs should yield and bend over prior to the cables forming steep angles and imparting high loads to the vehicle A-pillar. Furthermore, the cable tension was decreased from 4,200 lb (18.7 kN) at 100 degrees Fahrenheit to 2,500 lb (11.1 kN) at 100 degrees Fahrenheit. Cable tension was expected to decrease occupant compartment penetration and reduce A-pillar crush [5].

Additionally, review of the behavior of the old cable barrier design found that the performance of the cable-to-post attachment clips was not optimized. The attachments appeared to be too strong vertically to release cables safely and effectively. Additionally, it was observed that the lateral release forces were not sufficient to yield and displace the posts in the system, thus limiting the amount of energy absorbed by the barrier during impact. Through an extensive research and development phase, new bolted-tabbed brackets were developed to optimize the cable-to-post release loads. These brackets provided only a third of the vertical release load of the previous clips, while also providing enough lateral strength to allow the MWP to yield [5].

The cable barrier system was originally targeted for placement anywhere within a 4H:1V median V-ditch. However, the unsuccessful testing of the original system led the sponsors and developers of the barrier to reuse the slope criteria for the barriers. Subsequently, the design criteria was lessened to placement anywhere within a 6H:1V V-ditch. The cable heights were adjusted accordingly to reflect the reduced envelope of possible vehicle impact heights into the

system [6]. The top cable height was reduced from 45 in. (1,142 mm) to 40 in. (1,016 mm) and the vertical cable spacing was reduced from $10\frac{1}{2}$ in. (267 mm) to $8\frac{3}{4}$ in. (222 mm).

After the barrier components had been redesigned to improve system performance, the cable barrier system needed to be evaluated through full-scale crash testing. This report highlights the first three full-scale tests conducted on the redesigned non-proprietary four-cable median barrier system according to the MASH Test Level 3 (TL-3) criteria [4].

1.2 Research Objectives

The primary objective of this project was to evaluate a high-tension four-cable median barrier that satisfies MASH TL-3 criteria when placed anywhere within 6H:1V median Vditches.

1.3 Research Scope

Evaluation of the non-proprietary four-cable median barrier began with the three fullscale crash tests documented herein. The first test was proposed MASH test designation no. 3-17 conducted with a 3,300-lb (1,500-kg) sedan impacting at a speed of 62 mph (100 km/h) and an angle of 25 degrees. The barrier was placed at the slope break point of a 6H:1V slope to optimize the probability of vehicle penetration between adjacent cables. The second and third tests were MASH test designation no. 3-11 conducted with 5,000-lb (2,270-kg) pickup trucks impacting at a speed of 62 mph (100 km/h) and at an angle of 25 degrees on level terrain. The two MASH test designation no. 3-11 tests were conducted to establish the barrier's working width utilizing 16-ft and 8-ft (4.9-m and 2.4-m) post spacing configurations. The results from all three tests were analyzed, evaluated, and documented. Conclusions and recommendations were then made pertaining to the safety performance of the new cable barrier system.

2 TEST REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

2.1 Test Requirements

Longitudinal barriers, such as cable median barriers, must satisfy impact safety standards in order to be declared eligible for federal reimbursement by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) for use on the National Highway System (NHS). For new hardware, these safety standards consist of the guidelines and procedures published in MASH [4]. Note that current longitudinal barrier testing in MASH is for level terrain. However, recent development of cable median barrier systems on slopes has led to the development of a proposed test matrix for cable median barriers in V-ditches. According to the proposed MASH testing matrix for cable barriers placed anywhere within median V-ditches, the barrier system must be subjected to eight full-scale vehicle crash tests. Although the impact speed and angle are consistent for all eight tests, the critical location of the barrier system within the median ditch is dependent upon the specific crash test and the size/slope of the ditch. The proposed MASH TL-3 testing matrix for a cable median barrier system designed for placement anywhere within a 6H:1V or flatter V-ditch is shown in Table 1. Note, the proposed MASH update specifies that barrier systems designed for 6H:1V V-ditches are to be tested within a 30-ft (9.1-m) wide, 6H:1V V-ditch.

Many cable barrier systems have variable post spacings which allow roadside designers to select the optimal configuration for a specific installation. When evaluating these variable post spacing systems, the critical post spacing should be utilized during crash testing. The proposed MASH update has identified the critical post spacing, either the narrowest or the widest spacing, for each individual test within the testing matrix. However, since the 2270P test on level terrain is utilized to establish the working width of the system, proposed MASH test designation no. 3-11 must be conducted with both the narrowest and the widest post spacings to establish the working width bounds of the barrier system. It is for this reason that multiple proposed MASH test designation no. 3-11 tests were conducted on the new non-proprietary four-cable median barrier.

In accordance with MASH requirements, the critical impact point for the 2270P vehicle was 12 in. (305 mm) upstream of a post. The critical impact point for the 1500A vehicle in test no. 3-17 was determined to be located at the midspan between posts. This impact location was determined to maximize the potential for vehicle penetration by allowing the vehicle to split the cables.

When non-symmetrical cable barriers are tested, it is important to test the orientation that produces the greatest risk of failure. To accomplish this, the orientation of the cables was selected such that primary capture cable would be located on the non-impact side of the post. The primary capture cable for the 2270P vehicle was determined to be the third cable from the bottom. Selecting this orientation allowed for the greatest risk of failure due to the post pushing the backside cables down and preventing vehicle capture. This would then allow the vehicle to overrun the barrier. The primary capture cable for the 1500A vehicle was determined to be the second cable form the bottom. Selecting this orientation allowed for the post pushing the backside form the bottom. Selecting this orientation allowed for the the second cable form the bottom. Selecting this orientation allowed for the second cable form the bottom. Selecting this orientation allowed for the second cable form the bottom. Selecting this orientation allowed for the greatest risk of failure delaying vehicle interlock with the barrier and increasing the potential for the vehicle to penetrate the system.

Table 1	. Proposed	MASH	TL-3 Tes	t Matrix	for I	Barrier	Placement	Anywhere	Within	a 6V:1H V	-
---------	------------	------	----------	----------	--------------	---------	-----------	----------	--------	-----------	---

Ditch

		Vehicle	Impact Conditions		System Confi		
Test No.	Test Vehicle	Weight, lb (kg)	Speed, mph (km/h)	Angle, deg.	System Location ¹	Post Spacing	Evaluation Criteria ²
3-10	1100C	2,425 (1,100)	62 (100)	25	Level Terrain	Narrow	A,D,F,H,I
3-11	2270P	5,000 (2,270)	62 (100)	25	Level Terrain Both		A,D,F,H,I
3-13	2270P	5,000 (2,270)	62 (100)	25	9 ft Down Front Slope	Narrow	A,D,F,H,I
3-14	1100C	2,425 (1,100)	62 (100)	25	9 ft Down Front Slope	Narrow	A,D,F,H,I
3-15	1100C	2,425 (1,100)	62 (100)	25	4 ft Up Back Slope	Wide	A,D,F,H,I
3-16	1100C	2,425 (1,100)	62 (100)	25	1 ft Down Back Slope Narrow		A,D,F,H,I
3-17	1500A	3,300 (1,500)	62 (100)	25	See Note ³	Wide	A,D,F,H,I
3-18	2270P	5,000 (2,270)	62 (100)	25	At Back Slope Break Point	Wide	A,D,F,H,I

¹ Test nos. 3-13 through 3-18 shall be conducted within a 30-ft (9.1-m) wide, 6H:1V V-ditch

² Evaluation criteria explained in Table 2.

³ Testing laboratory to determine critical barrier position on front slope of ditch to maximize propensity for front end of 1500A vehicle to penetrate between vertically adjacent cables.

2.2 Evaluation Criteria

Evaluation criteria for full-scale vehicle crash testing are based on three appraisal areas: (1) structural adequacy, (2) occupant risk, and (3) vehicle trajectory after collision. Criteria for structural adequacy are intended to evaluate the ability of the cable median barrier to contain and redirect impacting vehicles. In addition, controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable. Occupant risk evaluates the degree of hazard to occupants in the impacting vehicle. Post-impact vehicle trajectory is a measure of the potential of the vehicle to result in a secondary collision with other vehicles and/or fixed objects, thereby increasing the risk of injury to the occupants of the impacting vehicle and/or other vehicles. These evaluation criteria are

summarized in Table 2 and defined in greater detail in MASH. The full-scale vehicle crash tests

were conducted and reported in accordance with the procedures provided in MASH.

In addition to the standard occupant risk measures, the Post-Impact Head Deceleration (PHD), the Theoretical Head Impact Velocity (THIV), and the Acceleration Severity Index (ASI) were determined and reported on the test summary sheet. Additional discussion on PHD, THIV and ASI is provided in MASH.

Table 2. MASH Evaluation Criteria for Longitudinal Barrier

		m							
Structural Adequacy	A.	Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle or bring the vehicle to a controlled stop; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.							
Occupant	D.	Detached elements, fragment should not penetrate or show compartment, or present a pedestrians, or personnel in intrusions into, the occupant set forth in Section 5.3 and Ap	should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH.						
	F.	The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.							
	H.	H. Occupant Impact Velocity (OIV) (see Appendix A, Section A5.3 of MASH for calculation procedure) should satisfy the followin limits:							
Risk		Occupant Impact Velocity Limits							
		Component	Preferred	Maximum					
		Longitudinal and Lateral	30 ft/s (9.1 m/s)	40 ft/s (12.2 m/s)					
	I.	(see Appendix A, should satisfy the							
		Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Limits							
		Component	Preferred	Maximum					
		Longitudinal and Lateral	15.0 g's	20.49 g's					

2.3 Soil Strength Requirements

In accordance with Chapter 3 and Appendix B of MASH, foundation soil strength must be verified before any full-scale crash testing can occur. During the installation of a soil dependent system, additional W6x16 (W152x23.8) posts are to be installed near the impact region utilizing the same installation procedures as the system itself. Prior to full-scale testing, a dynamic impact test must be conducted to verify a minimum dynamic soil resistance of 7.5 kips (33.4 kN) at post deflections between 5 and 20 in. (127 and 508 mm) measured at a height of 25 in. (635 mm). If dynamic testing near the system is not desired, MASH instead permits a static test to be conducted and compared against the results of a previously established baseline test. In this situation, the soil must provide a resistance of at least 90 percent of the static baseline test at deflections of 5, 10, and 15 in. (127, 254, and 381 mm). Further details can be found in Appendix B of MASH.

3 TEST CONDITIONS

3.1 Test Facility

The testing facility is located at the Lincoln Air Park on the northwest side of the Lincoln Municipal Airport, and is approximately 5 miles (8.0 km) northwest of the city campus of the University of Nebraska-Lincoln.

3.2 Vehicle Tow and Guidance System

A reverse cable tow system with a 1:2 mechanical advantage was used to propel the test vehicle. The distance traveled and the speed of the tow vehicle were one-half those of the test vehicle. The test vehicle was released from the tow cable before impact with the barrier system. A digital speedometer on the tow vehicle increased the accuracy of the test vehicle impact speed.

A vehicle guidance system developed by Hinch [9] was used to steer the test vehicle. A guide flag, attached to the left-front wheel and the guide cable, was sheared off before impact with the barrier system. The ³/₈-in. (9.5-mm) diameter guide cable was tensioned to approximately 3,500 lb (15.6 kN) and supported both laterally and vertically every 100 ft (30.5 m) by hinged stanchions. The hinged stanchions stood upright while holding up the guide cable, but as the vehicle was towed down the line, the guide flag struck and knocked each stanchion to the ground.

3.3 Test Vehicles

For test no. MWP-1, a 2006 Ford Taurus was used as the test vehicle. This vehicle model was selected for three reasons: (1) it fit the requirements for a MASH 1500A test vehicle, (2) in a previous analysis of real-world cable crashes, Taurus impacts into cable barriers were found to result in abnormally high penetration rates [10], and (3) it had one of the narrowest front end geometry heights of all popular sedan models on United States roadways. Thus, the Ford Taurus represented a critical vehicle model for evaluating penetration through a cable barrier system.

The curb, test inertial, and gross static vehicle weights were 3,205 lb (1,454 kg), 3,298 lb (1,496 kg), and 3,462 lb (1,570 kg), respectively. The test vehicle is shown in Figure 1, and vehicle dimensions are shown in Figure 2.

For test no. MWP-2, a 2008 Dodge Ram was used as the test vehicle. The curb, test inertial, and gross static vehicle weights were 5,058 lb (2,294 kg), 5,023 lb (2,278 kg), and 5,189 lb (2,354 kg), respectively. The test vehicle is shown in Figure 3, and vehicle dimensions are shown in Figure 4.

For test no. MWP-3, a 2007 Dodge Ram was used as the test vehicle. The curb, test inertial, and gross static vehicle weights were 5,074 lb (2,302 kg), 4,992 lb (2,264 kg), and 5,158 lb (2,340 kg), respectively. The test vehicle is shown in Figure 5, and vehicle dimensions are shown in Figure 6.

The longitudinal component of the center of gravity (c.g.) was determined using the measured axle weights. The vertical component of the c.g. for the 1500A vehicle was determined utilizing a procedure published by the Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) [11]. The Suspension Method [12] was used to determine the vertical component of the c.g. for the 2270P vehicles. This method is based on the principle that the c.g. of any freely suspended body is in the vertical plane through the point of suspension. The vehicle was suspended successively in three positions, and the respective planes containing the c.g. were established. The intersection of these planes pinpointed the final c.g. location for the test inertial condition. The locations of the c.g.'s for test nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3 are shown in Figures 2, 4, and 6, respectively. Data used to calculate the locations of the c.g.'s and ballast information are shown in Appendix B.

Square, black and white-checkered targets were placed on the vehicles for reference to be viewed from the high-speed digital video cameras and aid in the video analysis, as shown in Figures 7 through 9 for test nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3, respectively. Round, checkered

targets were placed on the centers of gravity on the left-side doors, the right-side doors, and the roofs of the vehicles.

The front wheels of the test vehicles were aligned to vehicle standards except the toe-in value was adjusted to zero so that the vehicles would track properly along the guide cable. A 5B flash bulb was mounted on the left side of each vehicle's dash and was fired by a pressure tape switch mounted at the impact corner of the bumper. The flash bulb was fired upon initial impact with the test article to create a visual indicator of the precise time of impact on the high-speed videos. A remote controlled brake system was installed in the test vehicles so the vehicles could be brought safely to a stop after each test.

3.4 Simulated Occupant

A Hybrid II 50th-Percentile, Adult Male Dummy, equipped with clothing and footwear, was placed in the left-front seat of all three test vehicles with the seat belts fastened. The dummy, which had an approximate weight of 165 lb (75 kg), was represented by model no. 572, serial no. 451, and was manufactured by Android Systems of Carson, California. As recommended by MASH, the dummy was not included in calculating the c.g locations.

3.5 Data Acquisition Systems

3.5.1 Accelerometers

Two environmental shock and vibration sensor/recorder systems were used to measure the accelerations in the longitudinal, lateral, and vertical directions. Both accelerometers were mounted near the center of gravity of each test vehicle. The electronic accelerometer data obtained in dynamic testing was filtered using the SAE Class 60 and the SAE Class 180 Butterworth filters conforming to the SAE J211/1 specifications [13].



Figure 1. Test Vehicle, Test No. MWP-1

Date:	3/26/2014	Test Number:	MWP-1	Model: taurus				
Make:	FORD	Vehicle I.D.#:	1FAFP53	U16A147065				
Tire Size:	P215/60R16	Year:	2006	Odometer: 73050				
*(All Measurer	Tire Inflation Pressure:	30 Side)						
		Vehicle Geometry in. (mm)						
				a <u>68 3/4 (1746)</u> b <u>57 3/4 (1467)</u>				
a m —			<u> </u>	c <u>197 3/4 (5023)</u> d <u>47 1/2 (1207)</u>				
				e <u>108 1/2 (2756)</u> f <u>41 3/4 (1060)</u>				
			•	g <u>21 1/4 (540)</u> h <u>40 1/4 (1023)</u>				
				$i \underline{101/2} (267) \underline{j} \underline{23} (584)$				
				$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
_	- - -		Ī	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 29 \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} (737) \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $				
4				$q = 26$ (660) $r = 17 \frac{1}{2}$ (445)				
				$s = 10 \frac{1}{26} (267) + 69 \frac{1}{4} (1759)$				
<u>+ + '+</u>		5	+ + + +	Wheel Center Height Front 12 1/4 (311)				
	Wfrant	e d		Wheel Center Height Rear <u>12 1/2 (318)</u>				
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Wheel Well Clearance (F) 28 (711)				
Mass Distrib	oution lb (kg)			Wheel Well Clearance (R) <u>26 1/2</u> (673)				
Gross Static	LF 1092 (495)	RF 1066 (484)		Frame Height (F) 7 (178)				
	LR 661 (300)	RR 643 (292)		Frame Height (R) <u>16</u> (406)				
				Engine Type V-6				
Weights lb (kg)	Curb	Test Inertial G	ross Static	Engine Size 3.0L				
W-front	2115 (959)	2074 (941)	2158 (979)	Transmission Type:				
W-rear	1090 (494)	1224 (555)	1304 (591)	Automatic Manual				
W-total	3205 (1454)	3298 (1496)	3462 (1570)	WD RWD 4WD				
GVWR F	GVWR Ratings							
Front		2552	Type: Hybrid					
	Rear	2132		Mass: 164				
Total		4684	Seat Position: Driver					
Note any damage prior to test: HAIL								

Figure 2. Vehicle Dimensions, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 3. Test Vehicle, Test No. MWP-2

Date:	4/18/20	014			Test Num	ber:	N	IWP-2		Model:	Ram 15	00
Make:	Dodg	e			Vehicle I.	D.#:_	1D	7HA18N2	88611689	_		
Tire Size:	275/60 1	R20			Y	ear:	20	08		Odometer:	140962	2
*(******	Tire Inflation	Pressure:	•• •		35 psi	i						
*(All Measurem	ents Refer to	Impacting S	ide)					_				
					LJ		1		Ve	hicle Geome	try in. (mm)	
l n t Whee	el		Ð				m Wheel d	L	a <u>77 3/4</u>	(1975)	b <u>75</u>	(1905)
Trac	k						Track		c 228 1/4	(5798)	d 44 3/4	(1137)
			<u> </u>				<u> </u>	_	e 140 1/2	(3569)	f 43	(1092)
	Test Inerti	аі с.м.—	/						g 28 1/2	(724)	h 61 3/8	(1559)
			\backslash		<u>→</u> a _→ -	<u>—</u> ті	RE DIA		i 12	(305)	j 27 3/4	(705)
Ť			ÀF	M	+ r ++		HEEL DIA		k 20 3/4	(527)	1 29	(737)
	6				<u> </u>		p		m 68 1/4	(1734)	n 66	(1676)
b _						d			o 46 3/4	(1187)	p 3	(76)
	k ($\mathcal{A}(\bigcirc)^{T}$				q 31 1/2	(800)	r 18 1/2	(470)
<u>+ +</u>	1	+		1	\rightarrow		+ +		s 15 1/2	(394)	t 75	(1905)
			-	—— h —					Wheel Cen	ter Height Fi	ront 14 3/4	(375)
	d		—— e —		f	·			Wheel Cer	ter Height R	Rear 15	(381)
	-	V Wrear	— c —	Wf	ront/				Wheel We	ell Clearance	e (F) 35 1/2	(902)
Mass Distrib	ution lb (kg)								Wheel We	ll Clearance	(R) 38	(965)
Gross Static	LF 1531	(694)	RF	1400	(635)				F	rame Height	t (F) 18 1/2	(470)
	LR 1117	(507)	RR	1141	(518)				F	rame Height	(R) 25 1/4	(641)
			_							Engine T	ype 8cyl	Gas
Weights	Cumb		Ta	t Inortic	.1	C	noss Stati			Engine	Sizo 4.7	л
ID (Kg) W. front	2871	(1302)	10	2820	u (1283)	9	2021	(1320)		Transmitia	512c <u>4.7</u>	L
W roor	2071	(002)	-	2023	(005)	-	2751	(1024)			Automotio	Monual
w-rear	5059	(992)	_	5022	(2278)	-	<u>2230</u> 5190	(1024)		E E		
w-totai	5058	(2294)	_	5025	(2278)	-	5189	(2354)		F	WD KWD	4WD
GVWR Ratings												
- Front 3700		Tunny Data Tuno- Hubrid II										
Rear 3000			Mass: 166 lbs									
Total 6700			Seat Position: Driver									
	10000		0700					Scarl	Juni Direi			
Note any damage prior to test: <u>None</u>												

Figure 4. Vehicle Dimensions, Test No. MWP-2







Figure 5. Test Vehicle, Test No. MWP-3

Date:	7/11/2014		Te	st Number:_	MWP-3	3 Model: 2270P			
Make:	Dodge Ran	n	Ve	hicle I.D.#:_	1D7HA1	8P47J552280			
Tire Size:	265/70 R17	7		Year:	2007	Odometer:144031			
	Tire Inflation Pr	essure:		35psi					
*(All Measuren	(All Measurements Refer to Impacting Side)								
	L			LJ		Vehicle Geometry in. (mm)			
l n t Whe	el				m Wheel a	a 78 (1981) b 75 3/8 (19	915)		
	:k				Track	c 227 1/2 (5779) d 47 1/2 (12	207)		
					<u>]</u>	e <u>140 1/2 (3569)</u> f <u>39 1/2 (10</u>)03)		
	Test Inertial	с.м.—				g_28 2/9 (717) h_62 1/2 (15	588)		
				q	TIRE DIA	i <u>16</u> (406) j <u>29</u> (75	37)		
Ì				- r - '	WHEEL DIA	k 20 (508) l 28 (7	11)		
	6					m <u>68 1/2 (1740)</u> n <u>67 5/8 (17</u>	(18)		
						o <u>45</u> (1143) p <u>31/2</u> (8	39)		
	K (C)		$(\varphi)^{-}$		q <u>31 1/2 (800)</u> r <u>18 1/2 (4</u>	70)		
					t i	s <u>16 (406)</u> t <u>75 (19</u>	05)		
			n			Wheel Center Height Front 15 1/8 (3	84)		
	a	7	— e —			Wheel Center Height Rear 15 (3)	81)		
		reur	c		-	Wheel Well Clearance (F) 35 1/4 (8)	95)		
Mass Distrib	ution lb (kg)					Wheel Well Clearance (R) 37 1/2 (9	53)		
Gross Static	LF <u>1480</u> (671)	RF <u>1390</u>	630)		Frame Height (F) <u>18 3/4 (4</u>	76)		
	LR <u>1167</u> (529)	RR <u>1121 (</u>	508)		Frame Height (R) 24 7/8 (6.	32)		
						Engine Type <u>8 cyl. Gas</u>	5		
Weights lb (kg)	Curb		Test Inertial	Gr	oss Static	Engine Size 4.7L			
W-front	2832 (1	1285)	2770 (2	1256)	2870 (1302	Transmition Type:			
W-rear	2242 (1	1017)	2222 (1	1008)	2288 (1038	Automatic Ma	nual		
W-total	5074 (2	2302)	4992 (2	2264)	5158 (2340	FWD RWD 4V	ND		
GVWR Ratings				Dummy Data					
Front			3700		Type: Hybrid II				
Rear			3900		Mass: 166 lbs				
Total			6700		Seat Position: Driver				
Note	any damage prior	to test:	shallow der	nt along bott	om of passeng	er front door. Dent in front bumper			

Figure 6. Vehicle Dimensions, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 7. Target Geometry, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 8. Target Geometry, Test No. MWP-2



Figure 9. Target Geometry, Test No. MWP-3

The accelerometer systems, the SLICE-1 and SLICE-2 units, were modular data acquisition systems manufactured by Diversified Technical Systems, Inc. (DTS) of Seal Beach, California. The acceleration sensors were mounted inside the bodies of the custom-built SLICE 6DX event data recorders and recorded data at 10,000 Hz to the onboard microprocessor. Each SLICE 6DX was configured with 7 GB of non-volatile flash memory, a range of ±500 g's, a sample rate of 10,000 Hz, and a 1,650 Hz (CFC 1000) anti-aliasing filter. The "SLICEWare" computer software program and a customized Microsoft Excel worksheet were used to analyze and plot the accelerometer data. SLICE-2 was designated as the primary unit for test nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3.

3.5.2 Rate Transducers

Two identical angle rate sensor systems mounted inside the bodies of the SLICE-1 and SLICE-2 event data recorders were used to measure the rates of rotation of the vehicles. Each SLICE MICRO Triax ARS unit had a range of 1,500 degrees/sec in each of the three directions (roll, pitch, and yaw) and recorded data at 10,000 Hz to the onboard microprocessor. The raw data measurements were then downloaded, converted to the proper Euler angles for analysis, and plotted. The "SLICEWare" computer software program and a customized Microsoft Excel worksheet were used to analyze and plot the angular rate sensor data.

3.5.3 Retroreflective Optic Speed Trap

The retroreflective optic speed trap was used to determine the speed of the test vehicles before impact. Five retroreflective targets, spaced at approximately 18-in. (457-mm) intervals, were applied to the sides of the vehicles. When the emitted beam of light was reflected by the targets and returned to the Emitter/Receiver, a signal was sent to the data acquisition computer, which recorded at 10,000 Hz, as well as activated the external LED box. The speed was then calculated using the spacing between the retroreflective targets and the time between the signals.

LED lights and high-speed digital video analysis are only used as a backup in the event that vehicle speeds cannot be determined from the electronic data.

3.5.4 Load Cells and String Potentiometers

Load cells were spliced into each cable upstream from the point of impact. The load cells were located between post nos. 4 and 5 for test nos. MWP-1 and MWP-2, and between post nos. 6 and 8 for test no. MWP-3. All four load cells were Transducer Techniques model no. TLL-50K, with a load range of up to 50 kips (222 kN). A string potentiometer was also attached to the upstream anchor foundation, labeled as post no. 1, for all three tests. The string potentiometer was a Unimeasure model no. PA-50-70124, with a displacement range of up to 50 in. (127 cm). During testing, output voltage signals were sent from the five transducers to a National Instruments PCI-6071E data acquisition board, acquired with LabView software, and stored on a personal computer at a sample rate of 10,000 Hz. The positioning and set up of the system transducers are shown in Figure 10.



Load Cells, Test Nos. MWP-1 and MWP-3


String Potentiometer, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3

Figure 10. Locations of Load Cells and String Potentiometer

3.5.5 Digital Photography

Four AOS VITcam high-speed digital video cameras, three AOS X-PRI high-speed digital video cameras, four JVC digital video cameras, two GoPro Hero 3+ digital video cameras, and two GoPro Hero 3 digital video cameras were utilized to film test no. MWP-1. Camera details, camera operating speeds, lens information, and a schematic of the camera locations relative to the system are shown in Figure 11.

Two AOS VITcam high-speed digital video cameras, one AOS S-VIT 1531 high-speed digital video camera, three AOS X-PRI high-speed digital video cameras, four JVC digital video cameras, two GoPro Hero 3+ digital video cameras, and two GoPro Hero 3 digital video cameras

were utilized to film test no. MWP-2. Camera details, camera operating speeds, lens information, and a schematic of the camera locations relative to the system are shown in Figure 12.

Two AOS Vitcam high-speed digital video cameras, one AOS S-VIT 1531 high-speed digital video camera, three AOS X-PRI high-speed digital video cameras, three JVC digital video cameras, two GoPro Hero 3+ digital video cameras, and two GoPro Hero 3 digital video cameras were utilized to film test no. MWP-3. Camera details, camera operating speeds, lens information, and a schematic of the camera locations relative to the system are shown in Figure 13.

The high-speed videos were analyzed using ImageExpress MotionPlus and RedLake MotionScope software programs. Actual camera speed and camera divergence factors were considered in the analysis of the high-speed videos. A Nikon D50 digital still camera was also used, to document pre- and post-test conditions for all tests.



Camera No.	Туре	Operating Speed (frames/sec)	Lens	Lens Setting
AOS-1	AOS Vitcam CTM	500	Kowa 8mm fixed	Fixed 8mm
AOS-2	AOS Vitcam CTM	500	Cosmicar 12.5mm fixed	12.5
AOS-3	AOS Vitcam CTM	500	Nikon Nikkor 20mm fixed	Fixed 20mm
AOS-5	AOS X-PRI Gigabit	500	Canon 17-102	75
AOS-6	AOS X-PRI Gigabit	500	Nikon Nikkor 28mm fixed	28mm
AOS-7	AOS X-PRI Gigabit	500	Minolta 50mm fixed	Fixed 50mm
AOS-8	AOS S-VIT 1531	500	Fujinon 50mm fixed	50mm
GP-1	GoPro Hero 3	120		
GP-2	GoPro Hero 3	120		
GP-3	GoPro Hero 3+	120		
GP-4	GoPro Hero 3+	120		
JVC-1	JVC – GZ-MC500 (Everio)	29.97		
JVC-2	JVC – GZ-MG27u (Everio)	29.97		
JVC-3	JVC – GZ-MG27u (Everio)	29.97		
JVC-4	JVC – GZ-MG27u (Everio)	29.97		

Figure 11. Camera Locations, Speeds, and Lens Settings, Test No. MWP-1



29.97

29.97

29.97

No.	Туре	Operating Speed (frames/sec)	Lens
AOS-1	AOS VITcam CTM	500	Cosmicar 12.5mm fixed
AOS-2	AOS VITcam CTM	500	Kowa 8mm fixed
AOS-5	AOS X-PRI Gigabit	500	Sigma 24-135
AOS-6	AOS X-PRI Gigabit	500	Nikon 28-70
AOS-7	AOS X-PRI Gigabit	500	Sigma 28-70 Nikon
AOS-8	AOS S-VIT Gigabit 1531	500	Nikon 28mm
GP-1	GoPro Hero 3	120	
GP-2	GoPro Hero 3	120	
GP-3	GoPro Hero 3+	120	
GP-4	GoPro Hero 3+	120	
JVC-1	JVC – GZ-MC500 (Everio)	29.97	

Figure 12. Camera Locations, Speeds, and Lens Settings, Test No. MWP-2

JVC - GZ-MG27u (Everio)

JVC - GZ-MG27u (Everio)

JVC - GZ-MG27u (Everio)

Lens Setting

100

35

50

JVC-2

JVC-3

JVC-4



\sim
~ 1
-

No.	Туре	Operating Speed (frames/sec)	Lens	Lens Setting
AOS-1	AOS VITcam CTM	500	Cosmicar 12.5mm fixed	
AOS-2	AOS VITcam CTM	500	Sigma 28-70	28
AOS-5	AOS X-PRI Gigabit	500	Canon 17-102	102
AOS-6	AOS X-PRI Gigabit	500	Fujinon 50mm	
AOS-7	AOS X-PRI Gigabit	500	Nikkor 20mm	
AOS-8	AOS S-VIT Gigabit 1531	500	Nikkor 20mm	
GP-1	GoPro Hero 3	120		
GP-2	GoPro Hero 3	120		
GP-3	GoPro Hero 3+	120		
GP-4	GoPro Hero 3+	120		
JVC-2	JVC – GZ-MG27u (Everio)	29.97		
JVC-3	JVC – GZ-MG27u (Everio)	29.97		
JVC-4	JVC – GZ-MG27u (Everio)	29.97		

Figure 13. Camera Locations, Speeds, and Lens Settings, Test No. MWP-3

4 DESIGN DETAILS TEST NO. MWP-1

The non-proprietary four-cable median barrier system evaluated in test no. MWP-1 is detailed in Figures 14 through 37. The test installation was constructed with a total length of 608 ft (185.3 m) and was placed on the front slope break point of a 6H:1V V-ditch. The cable barrier system was comprised of several distinct components: (1) high-tension cables or wire ropes, (2) cable splices, (3) steel support posts, (4) cable-to-post attachment brackets, (5) breakaway end terminals, and (6) reinforced concrete foundations. Photographs of the test installation are shown in Figure 38. Material specifications, mill certifications, and certificates of conformity for the system materials are shown in Appendix A.

Four ³/₄-in. (19-mm) diameter, Class A galvanized 3x7 (pre-stretched) wire ropes were utilized for the longitudinal cables. The barrier utilized a consistent 16-in. (406-mm) cable spacing, as the cables were placed at heights of 13³/₄ in. (349 mm), 22¹/₂ in. (572 mm), 31¹/₄ in. (794 mm), and 40 in. (1,016 mm) above the ground surface. The cables were numbered 1 through 4 going from the bottom cable to the top cable. Cable nos. 1 and 3 were attached to the impact side of each post, while cable no. 2 was attached to the non-impact side of each post, as shown in Figure 28. Cable no. 4 resided within the V-notch cut into the top of each post.

MASH requires that cable barrier systems be tested with cable tensions set to the recommended tension at 100 degrees Fahrenheit. Utilizing a cable tensioning chart developed as a function of ambient air temperature for use when installing the barrier system, as shown in Table 3, the cables were tensioned to a pre-load of 2,500 lb (11.1 kN). Each of the four wire ropes contained a splice in the impact region, between post nos. 19 and 22, as shown in Figure 15. Additionally, a load cell was spliced into each wire rope upstream from the point of impact, between post nos. 4 and 5, as shown in Figure 18. Details for the load cells, threaded rods, turnbuckles, end fittings, and rod couplers are provided in Figure 19.

Table 3. Pre-Stretched Cable Tension Chart

A 1 A .	0.11
Ambient Air	Cable
Temperature	Tension
(Degrees Fahrenheit)	(lb)
110	2240
100	2500
90	2760
80	3021
70	3281
60	3541
50	3801
40	4062
30	4322
20	4582
10	4842
0	5102
-10	5363
-20	5623
-30	5883
-40	6143

The cables were supported by 36 line posts and anchored at the upstream and downstream ends with breakaway end terminals. Posts nos. 3 through 38 were Midwest Weak Posts (MWPs) [8] installed in soil measuring 83 in. (2,108 mm) in length. The MWPs were fabricated from bent 7-gauge (4.6-mm) sheet steel to a 3-in. x 1³/₄-in. (76-mm x 44-mm) cross section, as shown in Figures 28 through 31. The post spacing between adjacent MWPs was 16 ft (4.9 m).

A breakaway cable end terminal system was utilized at each end of the cable barrier system, as shown in Figures 16, 17, and 20 through 23. Post nos. 1 and 40 consisted of a 4-cable anchor bracket assembly that was anchored to reinforced concrete foundations at both ends of the system. Post nos. 2 and 39 were slip-base support posts anchored to reinforced concrete foundations with attached hanger hardware, as shown in Figures 24 through 27. The spacing

between the cable anchor bracket assembly and the adjacent slip-base support posts was 8 ft (2.4 m).

Cables 1 through 3 were attached to the MWPs using tabled brackets [5]. These brackets were fabricated from 12-gauge (2.7-mm) steel and bolted to the post utilizing a $\frac{5}{16}$ -in. (8-mm) bolt. The top cable was secured within the V-notch on top of each post with a $\frac{3}{16}$ -in. (5-mm) diameter brass keeper rod [7]. Details for the cable-to-post attachment brackets and brass keeper rods can be found in Figures 28 through 34.

For MASH test designation no. 3-17, the barrier was placed on the front slope of a 6H:1V V-ditch to maximize the propensity for the front end of a 1500A vehicle to penetrate between vertically adjacent cables. A 400-ft (121.9-m) long simulated V-ditch was constructed using an overall width of 30 ft (9.1 m) in combination with 6H:1V side slopes. Utilizing the individual cable heights and the front end geometry of the 2006 Ford Taurus, it was determined that the front bumper was located directly between cables 1 and 2 on level terrain, as shown in Figure 39. All of the cables were spaced evenly in the vertical direction, so the vehicle had the greatest propensity for penetration with the barrier placed on level terrain. Therefore, the four-cable median barrier system was placed at the front slope break point of the 6H:1V V-ditch, as shown in Figure 14.



Figure 14. Test Installation Layout, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 15. Cable Splice Location and Detail, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 16. Cable Terminal Detail, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 17. Cable Anchor Detail, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 18. Load Cell and Turnbuckle Configuration, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 19. Load Cell Assembly Component Details, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 20. Cable Anchor Detail Post Nos. 1 and 40, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 21. Cable Anchor Bracket, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 22. Cable Anchor Bracket Components, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 23. Cable Release Lever, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 24. Second Post Details, Post Nos. 2 and 39, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 25. Cable Hanger Assembly, Post Nos. 2 and 39, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 26. Cable Hanger Assembly, Post Nos. 2 and 39, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 27. Foundation Tube Assembly, Post Nos. 2 and 39, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 28. Z-Post Details, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 29. Z-Post Details, Test No. MWP-1





Figure 31. Z-Post Details, Flat Pattern, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 32. Tabbed Bracket Details, 12-Gauge, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 33. Tabbed Bracket Details, Flat Pattern, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 34. J-Hook Anchor and Brass Clips, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 35. Hardware Details, Test No. MWP-1

Item No.	QTY.	Description	Material Specification
a1	2	Cable Anchor Base Plate	ASTM A36
a2	4	Exterior Cable Plate Gusset	ASTM A36
a3	6	Interior Cable Plate Gusset	ASTM A36
a4	2	Anchor Bracket Plate	ASTM A36
a5	2	3/16" [5] Dia. Brass Keeper Rod, 16" [406] long	Brass
a6	4	Release Gusset	A36 Steel
a7	2	Release Lever Plate	A36 Steel
a8	4	1.25x1.25x0.1875" [32x32x5] TS CT Kicker Lever Tube	ASTM A500 Gr. B
a9	8	CMB High Tension Anchor Plate Washer	ASTM A36
a10	2	1.25x1.25x0.1875" [32x32x5] TS CT Kicker Lever Connecting Tube	ASTM A 500 Gr. B
a11	2	3x10x0.5" [76x254x13] Kicker Plate	ASTM A36
a12	4	CT kicker - gusset	ASTM A36
a13	20	3/4" [19] Dia. Flat Washer	ASTM F844
a14	16	3/4" [19] Dia. UNC J-Hook Anchor and Hex Nut	J-Hook ASTM A449/Nut ASTM A563 DH
a15	2	1/4" [6] Dia. Aircraft Retaining Cable, 36" [914] long	7x19 Galv.
a16	2	5/8" [16] Dia. Heavy Hex Nut	ASTM A563C
a17	2	5/8" [16] Dia. UNC, 9 1/2" [241] Long Hex Bolt	ASTM A449 or SAE J429 Gr. 5
a18	2	24" [610] Dia. Concrete Anchor, 120" [3048] long	4,000 psi f'c
a19	16	#11 Straight Rebar, 114" [2896] long	Grade 60
a20	44	#4 Anchor Hoop Rebar with 21" [533] Dia.	Grade 60
b1	2	S3x5.7 [S76x8.5] Post by 28 1/8" [714]	ASTM A572 GR50-07, ASTM A709 GR50-09A, ASTM A992-06A
b2	2	S3x5.7 [S76x8.5] Post by 19" [483]	ASTM A572 GR50-07, ASTM A709 GR50-09A, ASTM A992-06A
b3	8	#3 Straight Rebar, 43" [1092] long	Grade 60
b4	22	7 1/4" [184] Dia. No. 3 Hoop Reinforcement	Grade 60
b5	2	2nd Post Keeper Plate, 28 Gauge	ASTM A36
b6	2	3/4" [19] Dia. UNC, 5 1/2" [140] Long Hex Bolt and Nut	Bolt ASTM A307 Gr. A/Nut ASTM A563A
b7	24	1/2" [13] Dia. Washer with 1 1/16" [27] OD	ASTM F844
b8	8	1/2" [13] Dia. UNC, 2" [51] long Hex Bolt and Nut	Bolt ASTM A307 Gr. A/Nut ASTM A563A
b9	2	4x3x1/4" [102x76x6] Foundation Tube, 48" [1168] long	ASTM A500 Grade B
ь10	2	2nd Post Cable Hanger	ASTM A36
b11	2	2nd Post Anchor Aggregate 12 in, Depth	
b12	2	12" Dia. 2nd Post Concrete Anchor, 46" long	4,000 psi f'c
b13	4	2nd Post Base Plate	ASTM A36
			SHEET: 23 of 24
			System
			07/28/201
			5,77,77
			Bill of Materials
			MIdwest Koadside DB/AH
			Surery Fucility 4CMB-ZPost_R7 UNITS: in.[mm] SKR

Item No.	QTY.	Description		Material Spec		
c1	36	3"x1-3/4"x7 Gauge [76x44x4.6], 83" [2108] Long Bent Z-Section Post	Hot-Rolle	ed ASTM A1011 HSLA G	r. 50	
c2	108	12 Gauge Tabbed Bracket - Version 10	Hot-Rolled	ASTM A1011 HSLA Gr	de 50	
c3	108	5/16" [8] Dia. UNC, 1" [25] Long Hex Cap Screw and Nut	Bolt SAE J429 Gr.	5 or ASTM A449/Nut	ASTM A563	DH
c4	36	Straight Rod - Ø3/16" [5] Cable Clip	ASTM B16 Brass C3 68.0	6000 Half Hard (HO2), ksi, YS >= 52.0 ks	ROUND. T	S >=
d1	1	3/4" [19] Dia. High Strength Pre-Stretched Cable Guiderail		3x7 CI A Galv.		
d2	16	7/8" [22] Dia. Hex Nut		ASTM A563C		
d3	28	Cable End Threaded Rod		ASTM A449		
d4	24	Bennet Cable End Fitter		ASTM A47		
d5	24	7/8" [22] Dia. Square Nut		SAE J429 Gr. 5		
e1	8	Bennet Short Threaded Turnbuckle		Not Specified		
e2	8	Threaded Loadcell Coupler		N/A		
e3	4	50,000-lb [222.4-kN] Load Cell		N/A		
Midwest A-Cable Barrier System Midwest Roadside Sofety Roadside Trease Sofety Roadside						



Figure 38. Test Installation Photographs, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 39. Cable Heights Relative to Test Vehicle, Test No. MWP-1

5 FULL-SCALE CRASH TEST NO. MWP-1

5.1 Static Soil Test

Before full-scale crash test no. MWP-1 was conducted, the strength of the foundation soil was evaluated with a static test, as described in MASH. The static test results, as shown in Appendix C, demonstrated a soil resistance above the baseline test limits. Thus, the soil provided adequate strength, and full-scale crash testing could be conducted on the barrier system.

5.2 Test No. MWP-1

The 3,298-lb (1,496-kg) passenger vehicle impacted the high-tension four-cable median barrier at a speed of 60.4 mph (97.2 km/h) and an angle of 27.9 degrees. A summary of the test results and sequential photographs are shown in Figure 40. Additional sequential photographs are shown in Figures 41 through 43.

5.3 Weather Conditions

Test no. MWP-1 was conducted on March 26, 2014 at approximately 1:45 p.m. The weather conditions, as per the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (station 14939/LNK), were reported and are shown in Table 4.

Temperature	63° F
Humidity	26 %
Wind Speed	33 mph
Wind Direction	18° from True North
Sky Conditions	Sunny
Visibility	9 Statute Miles
Pavement Surface	Dry
Previous 3-Day Precipitation	0 in.
Previous 7-Day Precipitation	0 in.

Table 4. Weather Conditions, Test No. MWP-1

5.4 Test Description

Initial vehicle impact was to occur 8 ft (2.4 m) downstream from post no. 16, as shown in Figure 44, which was selected according to MASH. The actual point of impact was approximately 9 in. (229 mm) downstream from the target impact point. A sequential description of the impact events is contained in Table 5. The vehicle came to rest in line with the system 180 ft - 3 in. (54.9 m) downstream from the point of impact between post nos. 27 and 28. The vehicle trajectory and final position are shown in Figures 40 and 45.

Table 5. Sequential Description of Impact Events, Test No. MWP-1

TIME	EVENT
(sec)	
0.000	Vehicle front bumper contacted cable no. 1 between post no. 16 and 17.
0.002	The left side of the vehicle began to deform.
0.010	Vehicle left headlight contacted cable no. 2 between posts no. 16 and 17.
0.014	Vehicle left fender contacted cable no. 3.
0.022	Post no. 16 began to deflect downstream and post no. 17 began to deflect backward.
0.032	Post nos. 18 and 19 began to bend backward and the vehicle left fender contacted
0.052	cable no. 2.
0.042	Post nos. 14 and 15 began to deflect backward.
0.060	Vehicle left-front tire overrode cable no. 1 and cable no. 3 slid up the left side of the
0.000	hood.
0.062	Vehicle left A-pillar contacted cable no. 4.
0.066	Vehicle left A-pillar contacted cable no. 3 and began to deform.
0.072	The vehicle front bumper impacted post no. 17.
0.074	Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 17 and the vehicle hood began to deform.
0.080	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 17.
0.004	Post nos. 16 through 20 were bending backward. The left side mirror disengaged as
0.094	cable no. 4 slid up the A-pillar.
0.112	Cable no. 3 detached from post no. 16 and 18.
0.122	Vehicle left-front tire became airborne.
0.134	Vehicle left headlight began to detach.
0.144	Vehicle left door began to deform from contact with cable no. 2.
0.148	Vehicle left-rear tire overrode cable no. 1.
0.156	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 18. Cable no. 4 slid over the A-pillar and on to
0.150	the roof as the vehicle underrode it.
0.166	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 16.
-------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
0.174	The vehicle began to roll toward the barrier (down into the ditch).
0.186	Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 18.
0.100	Vehicle right-front tire overrode cable no. 1. Cable no. 3 slid up the A-pillar to the
0.170	roof as the vehicle underrode it.
0.192	Post no. 21 began to deflect backward and cable no. 2 detached from post nos. 15
0.000	
0.232	Cable no. 4 lost contact with the venicle.
0.234	Vehicle right rear tire overrode cable no. 1.
0.240	Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 20.
0.254	Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 21.
0.272	Cable no. 3 lost contact with the vehicle.
0.282	Vehicle left-rear tire became airborne.
0.312	Vehicle right-rear tire became airborne as it entered the V-ditch.
0.452	Vehicle left-rear tire regained contact with the ground.
0.462	Vehicle left-front tire ruptured.
0.548	Vehicle was parallel to the system.
0.566	Vehicle began to yaw toward the barrier as the left headlight detached.
0.850	Vehicle reached its maximum lateral position 153 in. (3.9 m) behind post no. 20.
0.910	Vehicle began to yaw away from the barrier.
2.012	Vehicle contacted post no. 24 as the right-side of the vehicle returned to be in line
2.012	with the system.
2.120	Vehicle right-front bumper contacted post no. 25 and it bent downstream.
2.188	Vehicle right fender contacted cable no. 3.
2.242	Vehicle right A-pillar contacted cable no. 4.
2.426	Vehicle front bumper contacted post no. 26.
2.432	Vehicle right-front tire ruptured.
2.878	Vehicle front bumper contacted post no. 27.
3.800	Vehicle came to rest in line with the system, 180 ft-3 in. (54.9 m) downstream of
	impact.

5.5 Barrier Damage

Damage to the barrier was moderate, as shown in Figures 46 through 50. Barrier damage consisted of bent posts, disengaged cables, and deformed brackets. At its final resting position, the vehicle was still in contact with the cables. Cable no. 2 was on the left side and the remaining cables were on the right side.

Cable no. 4 disengaged from post nos. 16 through 19, as well as post nos. 24 through 28, due to fracture of the brass keeper rods. Cable no. 3 disengaged from post nos. 12 through 20 and 25 through 28, cable no. 2 disengaged from post nos. 17 through 28, and cable no. 1 disengaged from post nos. 17, and 23 through 28. All of the brackets releasing cable no. 2 fractured due to high lateral cable loads pulling away from the posts. All other brackets releasing cables nos. 1 and 3 opened up with the tabs rotating through the keyway to release the cables vertically. Separation of the cable splices was documented, but the displacements were small. The maximum displacement at a splice was ¹/₄ in. (6 mm) at the splice of cable no. 2.

Post nos. 16 through 29 had varying degrees of plastic deformations in the form of bending and twisting. The posts typically twisted to face upstream, unless they were directly contacted by the vehicle. Post nos. 17 and 25 through 27 were bent nearly to the groundline from the vehicle running over them. All bent posts formed plastic hinges at or just below the groundline.

The maximum dynamic deflection of the system was 148 in. (3.8 m), and the working width of the system was 153 in. (3.9 m), as determined from high-speed video analysis. The upstream anchor was displaced ¹/₈ in. (3 mm) downstream. The downstream anchor did not show signs of displacement.

5.6 Vehicle Damage

The damage to the vehicle was moderate, as shown in Figures 51 through 53. The maximum occupant compartment deformations are listed in Table 6 along with the deformation limits established in MASH for various areas of the occupant compartment. Note that none of the MASH-established deformation limits were violated. Complete occupant compartment and vehicle deformations and the corresponding locations are provided in Appendix D.

LOCATION	MAXIMUM DEFORMATION in. (mm)	MASH ALLOWABLE DEFORMATION in. (mm)
Wheel Well & Toe Pan	1⁄4 (6)	≤ 9 (229)
Floor Pan & Transmission Tunnel	0 (0)	≤12 (305)
Side Front Panel (in Front of A-Pillar)	¹ ⁄ ₄ (6)	≤12 (305)
Side Door (Above Seat)	¹ /2 (13)	≤ 9 (229)
Side Door (Below Seat)	¹ ⁄ ₄ (6)	≤ 12 (305)
Roof	21/2 (64)	≤4 (102)

Table 6. Maximum Occupant Compartment Deformations by Location

The majority of the vehicle damage was concentrated on the left-front corner and left side of the vehicle where the impact occurred. The front bumper was under the front of the car. The left-front fender disengaged from the front bumper. There was a 2¹/₂-in. (64-mm) gap at the left headlight on the fender. The left-front tire was torn and a 10¹/₂-in. (267-mm) tear was located on the left-front fender. There were scrapes and gouges along the left side of the vehicle. Gouges were present along the A-pillar, and there were multiple cracks along the left side of the windshield. The left-side mirror was disengaged. There was a 6- in. (152-mm) wide, 32-in. (813mm) long tear in the left-front door, starting at the back of the left-front fender and continuing along the left side of the car. The roof was scraped from the cables and was indented 21/2 in. (64 mm). There was a 12-in. (305-mm) long dent on the right-rear quarter panel. The right side of the vehicle was scraped, including the right-front rim. The right-front bumper was crushed around post no. 28. The right-front headlight was broken but still attached. The remaining window glass remained undamaged. Minor scrapes were found on the undercarriage along the engine and transmission oil pans, control arms, exhaust pipe, and driver's side floor pan. A 23-in. (584-mm) long and ¹/₄-in. (6.4-mm) deep gouge was located on the fuel tank.

5.7 Occupant Risk

The calculated occupant impact velocities (OIVs) and maximum 0.010-sec occupant ridedown accelerations (ORAs) in both the longitudinal and lateral directions are shown in Table 7. The SLICE 1 failed to record data due to a faulty trigger. Note that the OIVs and ORAs were within the suggested limits provided in MASH. The calculated THIV, PHD, and ASI values are also shown in Table 7. The results of the occupant risk analysis, as determined from the accelerometer data, are also summarized in Figure 40. The recorded data from the accelerometers and the rate transducers are shown graphically in Appendix E.

Evaluation Criteria		Tran	MASH	
		SLICE 1	SLICE 2 (Primary)	Limits
OIV	Longitudinal	NA	-8.50 (-2.59)	≤ 40 (12.2)
ft/s (m/s)	Lateral	NA	9.42 (2.87)	≤40 (12.2)
ORA	Longitudinal	NA	-5.08	≤ 20.49
g's	Lateral	NA	5.37	≤ 20.49
MAX.	Roll	NA	-13.59	≤75
ANGULAR DISPL.	Pitch	NA	-4.26	≤75
deg.	Yaw	NA	32.12	not required
THIV ft/s (m/s) PHD g's ASI		NA	12.70 (3.87)	not required
		NA	5.63	not required
		NA	0.31	not required

Table 7. Summary of OIV, ORA, THIV, PHD, and ASI Values, Test No. MWP-1

5.8 Load Cells and String Potentiometers

The pertinent data from the load cells and string potentiometer was extracted from the bulk signal and analyzed using the transducers' calibration factors. The maximum displacement of the upstream anchor was recorded as 0.26 in. (7 mm), while a summary of the maximum cable loads can be found in Table 8. The recorded data and analyzed results are detailed in Appendix F. The exact moment of impact could not be determined from the transducer data, as impact may have occurred prior to a measurable signal increase in the data. Thus, the extracted data curves should not be taken as precise time after impact, but rather a general timeline between events within the data curve itself.

Cable Leastian	Sensor Location	Maximum	Time	
Cable Location		kips	kN	(sec)
Combined Cable Load	Upstream of Impact	32.56	144.83	0.615
Cable No. 4	Upstream of Impact	5.47	24.33	0.111
Cable No. 3	Upstream of Impact	6.13	27.27	0.222
Cable No. 2	Upstream of Impact	21.15	94.08	0.673
Cable No. 1	Upstream of Impact	7.08	31.49	2.544

Table 8. Maximum Cable Loads, Test No. MWP-1

5.9 Discussion

The analysis of the test results for test no. MWP-1 showed that the high-tension fourcable median barrier adequately contained and redirected the 1500A vehicle, with controlled lateral displacements of the barrier. There were no detached elements or fragments which showed potential for penetrating the occupant compartment or which presented undue hazard to other traffic. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could have caused serious injury did not occur. The test vehicle did not penetrate or ride over the barrier and remained upright during and after the collision. Vehicle roll, pitch, and yaw angular displacements, as shown in Appendix E, were deemed acceptable, because they did not adversely influence occupant risk safety criteria or cause rollover. After impact, the vehicle was captured and retained within the system, so there was no exit information. Therefore, test no. MWP-1 conducted on the four-cable median barrier was determined to be acceptable according to the MASH safety performance criteria for test designation no. 3-17.



Figure 40. Summary of Test Results and Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-1

65

November 3, 2015 MwRSF Report No. TRP-03-303-15



Figure 41. Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-1







0.098 sec



0.232 sec



0.544 sec



0.784 sec



1.062 sec



2.026 sec



2.850 sec

Figure 42. Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-1



0.000 sec



0.056 sec



0.144 sec



0.282 sec



0.452 sec



0.566 sec



0.784 sec



2.012 sec

Figure 43. Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 44. Impact Location, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 45. Vehicle Final Position and Trajectory Marks, Test No. MWP-1





Figure 46. System Damage, Post Nos. 15 through 17, Test No. MWP-1





Figure 47. System Damage, Post Nos. 18 through 20, Test No. MWP-1





Post No. 22



Post No. 23

Figure 48. System Damage, Post Nos. 21 through 23, Test No. MWP-1

73





Post No. 24

Post No. 25

Figure 49. System Damage, Post Nos. 24 through 26, Test No. MWP-1











Post No. 29



Figure 51. Vehicle Damage, Test No. MWP-1





November 3, 2015 MwRSF Report No. TRP-03-303-15



Figure 52. Vehicle Damage, Left Side and Roof, Test No. MWP-1



Figure 53. Vehicle Damage, Undercarriage, Test No. MWP-1

6 DESIGN DETAILS TEST NO. MWP-2

The four-cable barrier test installation for test no. MWP-2 was nearly identical to that of test no. MWP-1, but the installation was on level terrain in accordance with MASH test designation no. 3-11, as shown in Figure 54. Additionally, the system was mirrored so that cable no. 2 was on the impact side of the barrier, and cable nos. 1 and 3 were on the non-impact side. The spacing between post nos. 35 and 36 was reduced to 12 ft (3.7 m) in order to fit the entire system within the bounds of the test site. Thus, the total system length for test no. MWP-2 was 604 ft (184 m). The reduced post spacing was selected at post nos. 35 and 36 to be outside of the vehicle contact region and away from the system anchorage. Photographs of the test installation are shown in Figure 55. Material specifications, mill certifications, and certificates of conformity for the system materials are shown in Appendix A.



Figure 54. Test Installation Layout, Test No. MWP-2



Figure 55. Installation Photographs, Test No. MWP-2

7 FULL-SCALE CRASH TEST NO. MWP-2

7.1 Static Soil Test

Before full-scale crash test no. MWP-2 was conducted, the strength of the foundation soil was evaluated with a static test, as described in MASH. The static test results, as shown in Appendix C, demonstrated a soil resistance above the baseline test limits. Thus, the soil provided adequate strength, and full-scale crash testing could be conducted on the barrier system.

7.2 Test No. MWP-2

The 5,023-lb (2,278-kg) pickup truck impacted the high-tension four-cable median barrier at a speed of 62.1 mph (100.0 km/h) and an angle of 26.8 degrees. A summary of the test results and sequential photographs are shown in Figure 56. Additional sequential photographs are shown in Figures 57 through 59. Documentary photographs of the crash test are shown in Figures 60 and 61.

7.3 Weather Conditions

Test no. MWP-2 was conducted on April 18, 2014 at approximately 2:30 p.m. The weather conditions, as per the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (station 14939/LNK), were reported and are shown in Table 9.

Table 9. Weather Conditions, Test No. MWP-2

Temperature	72° F
Humidity	30 %
Wind Speed	24 mph
Wind Direction	160° from True North
Sky Conditions	Sunny
Visibility	8 Statute Miles
Pavement Surface	Dry
Previous 3-Day Precipitation	0 in.
Previous 7-Day Precipitation	1.14 in.

7.4 Test Description

Initial vehicle impact was to occur 12 in. (305 mm) upstream from post no. 17, as shown in Figure 62, which was selected according to MASH. The actual point of impact was approximately 11 in. (279 mm) upstream from post no. 17. A sequential description of the impact events is contained in Table 10. The vehicle came to rest 187 ft – 1 in. (57.0 m) downstream from the point of impact and 4 ft – 1 in. (1.2 m) laterally behind the barrier's initial position after successfully being captured by the barrier system. The vehicle trajectory and final position are shown in Figures 56 and 63.

Time (sec)	Event Description
0.000	Vehicle left-front bumper contacted cable no. 2 near post no. 17 and the post started to deflect downstream and backwards.
0.008	Vehicle left headlight contacted post no. 17 and began to crack.
0.016	Vehicle left fender contacted cable nos. 3 and 4 and began to deform. The left-front tire contacted post no. 17 causing the tire to rupture.
0.026	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 17 and the left headlight shattered.
0.032	Vehicle left-front tire lost contact with the ground as it overrode post no. 17.
0.034	Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 17.
0.046	The left-front tire overrode cable no. 1.
0.060	The left-front tire contacted cable no. 3.
0.090	Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 18.
0.096	Vehicle left-front tire overrode cable no. 3.
0.098	Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 16 and cable no. 4 detached from post no. 18.
0.122	Vehicle left-front tire regained contact with the ground
0.138	Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 19.
0.142	Vehicle right-front tire overrode cable no. 1.
0.144	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 19.
0.150	Vehicle began to roll toward the barrier and yaw away from the barrier.
0.162	The vehicle right-front bumper began to deform as it contacted post no. 18 causing post no. 18 to bend downstream.
0.172	Vehicle right-front tire contacted and overrode post no. 18.
0.186	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 16.
0.196	Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 20.

Table 10. Sequential Description of Impact Events, Test No. MWP-2

0.222	Cable no. 4 detached from post nos. 20 and 21. Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 21.
0.228	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 15.
0.252	Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 22.
0.268	Vehicle began to pitch downward as the right-front tire overrode cable no. 3.
0.318	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 22.
0.332	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 14.
0.344	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 23.
0.370	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 24.
0.402	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 25.
0.424	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 26.
0.468	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 27 and the left-rear bumper contacted cable no. 4.
0.506	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 28 and cable no. 2 detached from post no. 29.
0.518	Vehicle was parallel to the system.
0.550	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 13 and cable no. 2 detached from post no. 31.
0.572	Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 32.
0.592	Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 33 and left-rear tire ruptured.
0.610	Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 34 and cable no. 4 detached from post no. 29.
0.632	Vehicle left fender contacted cable no. 4 splice. Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 35.
0.648	The vehicle left front door began to deform as cable no. 4 contacted it. Vehicle
0.010	reached its maximum lateral position of 221 in. behind post no 21.
0.670	Vehicle left A-pillar began to deform and left front door began to separate.
0.698	Cable nos. 2 and 4 detached from post no. 12. Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 11.
0.740	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 10. Vehicle began to yaw back toward barrier.
0.774	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 9.
0.852	Vehicle left front bumper contacted cable no. 2 splice. Vehicle was again parallel to system.
0.916	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 30.
0.966	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 31.
1.030	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 32.
1.204	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 33.
1.238	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 34.
4.600	Vehicle came to rest 187 ft – 1 in. (57.0 m) downstream of impact while still in contact with the barrier.

7.5 Barrier Damage

Damage to the barrier was moderate, as shown in Figures 63 through 66. Barrier damage consisted of bent posts, disengaged cables, deformed cable brackets, and movement of cable end anchor foundations. At the vehicle's final resting place it was still in contact with the system,

with cable nos. 2 and 4 resting on the impact side of the vehicle, while cable nos. 1 and 3 were overridden and were on the non-impact side of the vehicle.

Post nos. 8 through 34 had varying degrees of bending and twisting. The posts typically bent backward and toward the initial impact location, except for post nos. 17 and 18, which were directly impacted and run over, causing bending downstream and backward. Furthermore, post nos. 27 through 32 tore in the post flange around the bottom keyway on the impact side of the post, as seen in Figures 66 and 67. The posts typically fractured on the impact side between the keyway and free edge while buckling occurred on the back side of these posts.

Cable no. 2 released from the retaining brackets by exiting vertically and pulling the tabs out through the keyhole in post nos. 9 through 36. Similarly, cable no. 4 released from post nos. 9 through 34 by fracturing the brass retaining rod. The brass rods on post nos. 8 and 35 were bent but still attached. Cable nos. 1 and 3 typically deformed their respective brackets on post nos. 11 through 33, but these cables remained attached to the posts. Cable no. 3 only released from post nos. 18 and 19.

Both cable end anchor foundations were displaced in the soil. The upstream cable end anchor foundation displaced 1.55 in. (39 mm) as measured by the string potentiometer, while post-test examinations determined that the downstream cable end anchor foundation left a $\frac{1}{2}$ -in. (13-mm) soil gap on the downstream side. Post no. 2, the cable support post on the upstream end, was also slightly bent downstream from the tensile loading within the cables. The brass keeper rod on the downstream end cable anchor was bent. The maximum cable splice pullout of $\frac{5}{8}$ in. (16 mm) was observed on cable no. 4 at the load cell splice located between post nos. 4 and 5. The maximum dynamic deflection of the system was 219 in. (5,563 mm), and the working width of the system was 221 in. (5,613 mm), as determined from high-speed video analysis.

7.6 Vehicle Damage

The damage to the vehicle was moderate, as shown in Figure 68. The maximum occupant compartment deformations are listed in Table 11 along with the deformation limits established in MASH for various areas of the occupant compartment. Note that none of the MASH-established deformation limits were violated. Complete occupant compartment and vehicle deformations are provided in Appendix D.

LOCATION	MAXIMUM DEFORMATION in. (mm)	MASH ALLOWABLE DEFORMATION in. (mm)
Wheel Well & Toe Pan	$^{3}/_{8}$ (10)	≤ 9 (229)
Floor Pan & Transmission Tunnel	¹ / ₂ (13)	≤ 12 (305)
Side Front Panel (in Front of A-Pillar)	1⁄4 (6)	≤12 (305)
Side Door (Above Seat)	1⁄4 (6)	≤ 9 (229)
Side Door (Below Seat)	³ ⁄ ₄ (19)	≤ 12 (305)

Table 11. Maximum Occupant Compartment Deformations by Location

The majority of the vehicle damage was concentrated on the left-front corner and left side of the vehicle where the impact occurred. The front bumper was kinked as the left side of the bumper was pushed inward. Similarly, the front of the left front fender was pushed/crushed inward. Scratches from the cable were found on the top-left of the front bumper. Scratches and gouges from the cables were found on the left side of the grille, and the grille was cracked horizontally above the center mark. The left headlight was shattered and only remained attached to the vehicle by the electrical cables. The left front quarter panel showed gouges from cable nos. 2 and 4. Two gouges from cable no. 4 were found at the mounting location of the left headlight. These gouges continued to the rear of the vehicle. Tearing and gouging occurred on the driver's side door from the two capture cables and their associated splice hardware. A 9-in. x 19-in. (229x483-mm) dent was found in the driver's door. Tearing also occurred approximately a quarter of the way from the bottom of the door panel leaving a 21-in. x 5-in. (533x127-mm) section of torn and gouged sheet metal. The damage to the door caused the top of the driver's side door to bow outward, leaving a small gap between the body and the door frame. The left-front tire was deflated and gouged. Striation marks on the left-rear tire wall were visible, and the outer edge of the wheel rim was gouged along the entire circumference. A dent on the rear of the rear left quarter panel was also evident above the cable marks. A 2-in. (51-mm) dent was left in the left corner of the rear bumper.

Slight damage was found on the right side of the vehicle including a 7-in. (178-mm) dent located 20 in. (508 mm) right of center on the lower font bumper. Also, a 3-in. (76-mm) long cable mark was left on the right rear quarter panel in front of the rear wheel arch. A section of the lower rear portion of the right rear quarter panel was bent inward and upward underneath the rear quarter panel. Cable marks were also found on the outside of the right rear tire. Two small dents were found on the right half of the rear bumper.

7.7 Occupant Risk

The calculated occupant impact velocities (OIVs) and maximum 0.010-sec occupant ridedown accelerations (ORAs) in both the longitudinal and lateral directions are shown in Table 12. Note that the OIVs and ORAs were within the suggested limits provided in MASH. The calculated THIV, PHD, and ASI values are also shown in Table 12. The results of the occupant risk analysis, as determined from the accelerometer data, are also summarized in Figure 56. The recorded data from the accelerometers and the rate transducers are shown graphically in Appendix G.

Evaluation Criteria		Trans	MASH	
		SLICE 1	SLICE 2 (Primary)	Limits
ΟΙV	Longitudinal	-9.39 (-3.48)	-9.45 (-3.48)	≤ 40 (12.2)
ft/s (m/s)	Lateral	8.66 (2.64)	9.18 (2.80)	≤40 (12.2)
ORA	Longitudinal	10.00	9.82	≤ 20.49
g's	Lateral	4.19	3.74	≤ 20.49
MAX.	Roll	-9.58	-8.27	≤75
ANGULAR DISPL.	Pitch	-3.72	-3.63	≤75
deg.	Yaw	27.98	27.28	not required
THIV ft/s (m/s)		12.70 (3.87)	12.93 (3.94)	not required
PHD g's		10.13	9.87	not required
ASI		0.29	0.27	not required

Table 12. Summary of OIV, ORA, THIV, PHD, and ASI Values, Test No. MWP-2

7.8 Load Cells and String Potentiometers

The pertinent data from the load cells and string potentiometer was extracted from the bulk signal and analyzed using each transducers' calibration factor. The maximum displacement of the upstream cable end anchor foundation was recorded as 1.55 in. (39 mm). A summary of the maximum cable loads can be found in Table 13. The recorded transducer data and analyzed results are detailed in Appendix H. The exact moment of impact could not be determined from the transducer data, as impact may have occurred prior to a measurable signal increase in the data. Thus, the extracted data curves should not be taken as precise time after impact, but rather a general timeline between events within the data curve itself.

Cable Legation	Sensor Location	Maximum	Time	
		kips	kN	(sec)
Combined Cable Load	Upstream of Impact	39.25	174.60	0.471
Cable No. 4	Upstream of Impact	16.27	72.39	0.690
Cable No. 3	Upstream of Impact	8.71	38.77	0.262
Cable No. 2	Upstream of Impact	19.90	88.52	0.458
Cable No. 1	Upstream of Impact	5.80	25.81	0.187

Table 13. Maximum Cable Loads

7.9 Discussion

The analysis of the test results for test no. MWP-2 showed that the four-cable hightension median barrier system adequately contained and redirected the 2270P vehicle, with controlled lateral displacements of the barrier. There were no detached elements or fragments which showed potential for penetrating the occupant compartment or which presented undue hazard to other traffic. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could have caused serious injury did not occur. The test vehicle did not penetrate or ride over the barrier and remained upright during and after the collision. Vehicle roll, pitch, and yaw angular displacements, as shown in Appendix G, were deemed acceptable, because they did not adversely influence occupant risk safety criteria or cause rollover. After impact, the vehicle trajectory was limited by the barrier system and was brought to rest 187 ft – 1 in. (57.0 m) downstream from the point of impact. Therefore, test no. MWP-2 conducted on the four-cable high-tension median barrier system was determined to be acceptable according to the MASH safety performance criteria for test designation no. 3-11.





 Dimensions
 3 x 1¾ x 83 in. (76 x 44 x 2,108 mm)

 Spacing
 16 ft (4.9 m)

 Soil Type
 Compacted, coarse, crushed limestone

 Vehicle Make /Model
 2008 Dodge Ram

 Curb
 5,058 lb (2,294 kg)

 Test Inertial
 5,023 lb (2,278 kg)

 Gross Static
 5,189 lb (2,354 kg)

SpeedNA AngleNA

Key Component – Bolted Tab Bracket v_10

Key Component – Cable

Key Component - MWP

Impact Conditions

Exit Conditions



•	Test Article Damage	Moderate
•	Maximum Test Article Deflections	
	Permanent Set	NΔ

	I emianent Set	······································
	Dynamic	219 in. (5.563 mm)
	Working Width	221 in. (5.613 mm)
_		(e ,o - e ,

Transduce	r Data

Evaluation Criteria		Transducer		MACII
		SLICE 1	SLICE 2 (Primary)	Limit
OW	Longitudinal	-9.39	-9.45	≤ 40
01V ft/o		(-3.48)	(-3.48)	(12.2)
(m/s)	Lateral	8.66	9.18	≤ 40
(11/8)		(2.64)	(2.80)	(12.2)
ORA	Longitudinal	10.00	9.82	≤ 20.49
g's	Lateral	4.19	3.74	≤ 20.49
	Roll	-9.58	-8.27	≤75
DISP.	Pitch	-3.72	-3.63	≤75
deg.	Yaw	27.98	27.28	not required
THIV – ft/s (m/s)		12.70 (3.87)	12.93 (3.94)	not required
PHD – g's		10.13	9.87	not required
ASI		0.29	0.27	not required

 November 3, 2015 MwRSF Report No. TRP-03-303-15

90

.

٠



Figure 57. Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-2



0.000 sec



0.056 sec



0.134 sec



0.254 sec



0.422 sec



0.612 sec



0.966 sec



1.298 sec

Figure 58. Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-2



0.000 sec



0.068 sec



0.170 sec



0.348 sec



0.550 sec



0.698 sec

















Figure 60. Documentary Photographs, Test No. MWP-2












Figure 61. Documentary Photographs, Test No. MWP-2













Figure 62. Impact Location, Test No. MWP-2



Figure 63. Vehicle Trajectory and Final Position, Test No. MWP-2



Figure 64. Damage to System, Test No. MWP-2



86





Downstream Anchor



Post No. 2

Figure 65. Damage to Anchorages, Test No. MWP-2





Post No. 27



Post No. 28



Post No. 29

Figure 66. Damage to Lower Keyhole, Post Nos. 27 through 29, Test No. MWP-2



Post No. 30



Post No. 31



Post No. 32

Figure 67. Damage to Lower Keyhole, Post Nos. 30 through 32, Test No. MWP-2











November 3, 2015 MwRSF Report No. TRP-03-303-15

102

8 DESIGN DETAILS TEST NO. MWP-3

The four-cable barrier test installation for test no. MWP-3 was similar to that of test nos. MWP-1 and MWP-2, but it utilized a refined design that varied from the previous tests in a number of key aspects. First, the installation utilized a half-post spacing of 8 ft (2.4 m). Similar to test no. MWP-2, the system remained oriented with cable no. 2 on the impact side of the barrier and cable nos. 1 and 3 on the non-impact side. Second, the spacing between post nos. 68 and 69 was 12 ft (3.7 m) in order to utilize the same anchors from MWP-2. Thus, the total system length for test no. MWP-3 remained 604 ft (184 m). The enlarged post spacing was selected to be outside of the vehicle contact region and away from the system anchorage.

Modifications were made to the system posts in order to prevent the MWPs from bending and tearing at the keyways for cable no. 1 as seen in test no. MWP-2. The keyways were adjusted so that each post contained only four keyways as opposed to the six seen in the previous tests. Three keyways were placed on one side of each post at the same heights used previously. However, the opposite side had only one keyway, located at the middle cable position. This modification eliminated the symmetry of the posts, but still allowed for median and roadside versions of the system (all cables on front) with a single post. The sizes of the keyways were also modified. The height of the upper portion of each keyway was reduced by ¹/₄ in. (6 mm) to increase the buckling strength of the steel strip located between the keyway and the free edge of the post. This change was intended to increase bending strength in the post and prevent plastic hinges from forming around the first cable keyway. Based on analysis of previous bogie testing results, this reduced keyway height was determined to not affect the bracket exiting the keyway. Finally, the tops of the posts were extended $\frac{1}{4}$ in. (6 mm) to prevent damage to the brass rod installation slot in the post flange when driving the posts into the ground. During installation of the posts for test no. MWP-2 some of the slots closed slightly from the repeated impacts of the

driver, and the brass rods were difficult to install. The added material above the slots should strengthen the post and prevent the slot from deforming. However, the bottom of the V-notch holding the top-cable was also deepened ¹/₄ in. (6 mm) with the extension. This adjustment produced slightly steeper slopes for the V-notch. The complete drawing set can be found in Figures 69 through 92. Photographs of the test installation are shown in Figure 93. Material specifications, mill certifications, and certificates of conformity for the system materials are shown in Appendix A.



Figure 69. Test Installation Layout, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 70. Cable Splice Location and Detail, Test No. MWP-3





November 3, 2015 MwRSF Report No. TRP-03-303-15

Figure 72. Cable Anchor Detail, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 73. Load Cell and Turnbuckle Configuration, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 74. Load Cell Assembly Component Details, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 75. Cable Anchor Details, Post Nos. 1 and 40, Test No. MWP-3





Figure 77. Cable Anchor Bracket Components, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 78. Cable Release Lever, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 79. Second Post Details, Post Nos. 2 and 39, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 80. Cable Hanger Assembly, Post Nos. 2 and 39, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 81. Cable Hanger Assembly, Post Nos. 2 and 39, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 82. Foundation Tube Assembly, Post Nos. 2 and 39, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 83. Z-Post Details, Test No. MWP-3



November 3, 2015 MwRSF Report No. TRP-03-303-15

Figure 84. Z-Post Details, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 85. Z-Post Details, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 86. Z-Post Details, Flat Pattern, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 87. Tabbed Bracket Details, 12-Gauge, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 88. Tabbed Bracket Details, Flat Pattern, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 89. J-Hook Anchor and Brass Clips, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 90. Hardware Details, Test No. MWP-3

Item	No. QTY	Description	Material Specification
a1	2	Cable Anchor Base Plate	ASTM A36
a2	2 4	Exterior Cable Plate Gusset	ASTM A36
a3	6	Interior Cable Plate Gusset	ASTM A36
a4	- 2	Anchor Bracket Plate	ASTM A36
a5	5 2	3/16" [5] Dia. Brass Keeper Rod, 14" [356] long	Brass
a6	6 4	Release Gusset	A36 Steel
a7	2	Release Lever Plate	A36 Steel
a8	3 4	1.25x1.25x0.1875" [32x32x5] TS CT Kicker Lever Tube	ASTM A500 Gr. B
a9) 8	CMB High Tension Anchor Plate Washer	ASTM A36
a1(0 2	1.25x1.25x0.1875" [32x32x5] TS CT Kicker Lever Connecting Tube	ASTM A 500 Gr. B
a1	1 2	3x10x0.5" [76x254x13] Kicker Plate	ASTM A36
a12	2 4	CT kicker – gusset	ASTM A36
a1.	3 20	3/4" [19] Dia. Flat Washer	ASTM F844
a14	4 16	3/4" [19] Dia. UNC J-Hook Anchor and Hex Nut	J-Hook ASTM A449/Nut ASTM A563 DH
a15	5 2	1/4" [6] Dia. Aircraft Retaining Cable, 36" [914] long	7x19 Galv.
a16	6 2	5/8" [16] Dia. Heavy Hex Nut	ASTM A563C
a17	7 2	5/8" [16] Dia. UNC, 9 1/2" [241] Long Hex Bolt	ASTM A449 or SAE J429 Gr. 5
a18	8 2	24" [610] Dia. Concrete Anchor, 120" [3048] long	4,000 psi f'c
a19	9 16	#11 Straight Rebar, 114" [2896] long	Grade 60
a20	0 44	#4 Anchor Hoop Rebar with 21" [533] Dia.	Grade 60
b1	2	S3x5.7 [S76x8.5] Post by 28 1/8" [714]	ASTM A572 GR50-07, ASTM A709 GR50-09A, ASTM A992-06A
b2	2 2	S3x5.7 [S76x8.5] Post by 19" [483]	ASTM A572 GR50-07, ASTM A709 GR50-09A, ASTM A992-06A
b3	5 8	#3 Straight Rebar, 43" [1092] long	Grade 60
b4	- 22	7 1/4" [184] Dia. No. 3 Hoop Reinforcement	Grade 60
ь5	5 2	2nd Post Keeper Plate, 28 Gauge	ASTM A36
b6	5 2	3/4" [19] Dia. UNC, 5 1/2" [140] Long Hex Bolt and Nut	Bolt ASTM A307 Gr. A/Nut ASTM A563A
ь7	24	1/2" [13] Dia. Washer with 1 1/16" [27] OD	ASTM F844
b8	8 8	1/2" [13] Dia. UNC, 2" [51] long Hex Bolt and Nut	Bolt ASTM A307 Gr. A/Nut ASTM A563A
b9	2	4x3x1/4" [102x76x6] Foundation Tube, 48" [1168] long	ASTM A500 Grade B
b1(0 2	2nd Post Cable Hanger	ASTM A36
b1	1 2	2nd Post Anchor Aggregate 12 in, Depth	-
b12	2 2	12" Dia. 2nd Post Concrete Anchor, 46" long	4,000 psi f'c
b1.	3 4	2nd Post Base Plate	ASTM A36
b14	4 8	3/16" [5] Dia. 5 1/4" [133] Long Brass Rod	ASTM B16-00
			SHEET:
			Midwest 4-Cable Barrier
		*	DATE:
1			5/20/2014
			Bill of Materials
			Midwest Roadside
			Safety Facility DWG. NAME. SCALE: NONE REV. BY:
1			MWP-3_K6 UNITS: IN.[MM] KAL

Figure 91. Bill of Materials, Test No. MWP-3

Item No. QTY	Description	Material Spec		
c1 72	3"x1-3/4"x7 Gauge [76x44x4.6], 83 1/4" [2115] Long Bent Z-Section Post	Hot-Rolled ASTM A1011 HSLA Gr. 50		
c2 216	12 Gauge Tabbed Bracket - Version 10	Hot-Rolled ASTM A1011 HSLA Grade 50		
c3 216	5/16" [8] Dia. UNC, 1" [25] Long Hex Cap Screw and Nut	Bolt SAE J429 Gr. 5 or ASTM A449/Nut ASTM A563 DH		
c4 72	Straight Rod — Ø3/16" [5] Cable Clip	ASTM B16 Brass C36000 Half Hard (HO2), ROUND. TS >= 68.0 ksi, YS >= 52.0 ksi		
d1 1	3/4" [19] Dia. 3x7 Cable Guiderail	AASHTO M30-92(2000)/ASTM A741-98 Type 1 Class A coating except with Type 1 minimum breaking strength = 39 kips [173.5 kN]		
d2 16	7/8" [22] Dia. Hex Nut	ASTM A563C		
d3 28	Cable End Threaded Rod	ASTM A449		
d4 24	Bennet Cable End Fitter	ASTM A47		
d5 24	7/8" [22] Dia. Hex Nut	SAE J429 Gr. 5		
e1 8	Bennet Short Threaded Turnbuckle	Not Specified		
e2 8	Threaded Load Cell Coupler	N/A		
e3 4	50,000-lb [222.4-kN] Load Cell	N/A		
Midwest A-Cable Barrier Midwest A-Cable Barrier Midwest Roadside Sterty Facility Bill of Materials Bill				

Figure 92. Bill of Materials, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 93. Test Installation Photographs, Test No. MWP-3

9 FULL-SCALE CRASH TEST NO. MWP-3

9.1 Static Soil Test

Before full-scale crash test no. MWP-3 was conducted, the strength of the foundation soil was evaluated with a static test, as described in MASH. The static test results, as shown in Appendix C, demonstrated a soil resistance above the baseline test limits. Thus, the soil provided adequate strength, and full-scale crash testing could be conducted on the barrier system.

9.2 Test No. MWP-3

The 4,992-lb (2,264-kg) pickup truck impacted the high-tension four cable median barrier at a speed of 60.0 mph (96.6 km/h) and an angle of 26.3 degrees. A summary of the test results and sequential photographs are shown in Figure 94. Additional sequential photographs are shown in Figures 95 through 98. Documentary photographs of the crash test are shown in Figures 99 and 100.

9.3 Weather Conditions

Test no. MWP-3 was conducted on July 11, 2014 at approximately 1:50 p.m. The weather conditions, as per the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (station 14939/LNK), were reported and are shown in Table 14.

Table 14. Weather Conditions, Test No. MWP-3

Temperature	82° F
Humidity	67 %
Wind Speed	9 mph
Wind Direction	19° from True North
Sky Conditions	Overcast
Visibility	10 Statute Miles
Pavement Surface	Dry
Previous 3-Day Precipitation	0.14 in.
Previous 7-Day Precipitation	0.29 in.
9.4 Test Description

Initial vehicle impact was to occur 12 in. (305 mm) upstream from post no. 32, as shown in Figure 101, which was selected according to MASH. The actual point of impact was approximately 8 in. (203 mm) upstream from post no. 32. A sequential description of the impact events is contained in Table 15. The vehicle came to rest on its right side in line with the system 114 ft – 1 in. (34.8 m) downstream from the point of impact, with the system cables underneath it. The vehicle trajectory and final position are shown in Figures 94 and 102.

Table 15. Sequential Description of Impact Events, Test No. MWP-3

TIME	EVENT		
(sec)	Valiala franciska na stania da aldana 2. 8 in (202 mm) anatra an af mari		
0.000	venicle front bumper contacted cable no. $2 - 8$ in. (203 mm) upstream of post		
0.000			
0.002	Post no. 32 began to deflect backward and downstream as front bumper contacted		
	post no. 32.		
0.024	Post no. 33 began to deflect backward and downstream.		
0.026	Vehicle left headlight contacted cable no. 4 and the left-front tire contacted post no.		
	32.		
0.034	Vehicle left-front rim began to deform.		
0.040	Vehicle left headlight contacted cable no. 3.		
0.046	Vehicle left fender contacted cable no. 4 between posts no. 32 and 33.		
0.050	Vehicle left-front tire became airborne and post no. 33 began to bend backward.		
0.064	Vehicle hood contacted cable no. 4 and began to deform. Vehicle left-front tire		
	overrode cable no. 1 and cable no. 4 detached from post no. 32.		
0.072	Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 33.		
0.080	Vehicle front bumper contacted Post no. 33. Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 33		
	and the vehicle began to yaw away from the barrier and roll toward the barrier.		
	Cable no. 4 slid up over the headlight and grill and onto the vehicle hood.		
0.092	Cable no. 3 detached from post no. 33 and the front bumper impacted post no. 33.		
0.096	Cable nos. 4 and 3 detached from post no. 34.		
0.102	Vehicle left A-pillar and left side mirror contacted Cable no. 4.		
0.120	The vehicle overrode post no. 33. Cable no. 4 detached from post no. 35.		
0.132	Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 35 and the left-side mirror detached from the		
	vehicle as cable no. 4 slid upward.		
0.148	Cable no. 3 detached from post no. 34.		
0.166	Cable no. 3 detached from post no. 35.		
0.174	Vehicle front bumper contacted post no. 34.		
0.186	Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 36.		

0.202	Cable no. 3 detached from Post no. 36.
0.210	Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 37. The vehicle overrode post no. 34.
0.222	Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 38.
0.238	Vehicle right rear tire became airborne. Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 39 and
	cable no. 4 detached from post no. 29.
0.246	Cable no. 3 detached from post no. 37.
0.274	Vehicle front bumper contacted post no. 35.
0.292	Cable no. 2 detached from post no. 40 and the left-front door began to deform.
	Vehicle underrode cable no. 4, which had slid up the A-pillar and was now in
	contact with the roof.
0.324	Vehicle right-front tire contacted post no. 35.
0.358	Cable no. 3 detached from post no. 39. Vehicle left-front tire regained contact with
	the ground and began rotating again.
0.378	Cable no. 3 detached from post no. 40. Vehicle left-front tire overrode cable no. 2.
0.392	Vehicle front bumper contacted post no. 36.
0.404	Vehicle was parallel to the system. Cable no. 4 was no longer in contact with the
	roof and was on the right side of the vehicle.
0.414	Cable no. 3 detached from post no. 41.
0.418	Vehicle right-front tire was airborne.
0.450	Cable no. 3 began to be passed down by the rotating front-left tire.
0.460	Vehicle right-side tires became airborne.
0.496	Vehicle began to yaw toward the barrier.
0.528	Vehicle left front tire overrode cable no. 3.
0.540	Cable nos. 2 and 3 were wrapped around the rear-left tire causing the vehicle to
	rapidly yaw and roll toward the barrier.
0.582	Vehicle was again parallel to the system.
0.800	Vehicle rear-right tire overrode cable no. 4 as the bed of the pickup swung in front
	of the barrier. Front-right tire overrode cables nos. 2 and 3. All cables were now
	under the vehicle running between the right-side tires.
1.024	Vehicle underside contacted posts no. 40 and 41.
1.122	Vehicle left-rear tire became airborne.
1.136	Vehicle right-front door contacted post no. 42 and began to deform. Vehicle left-
1.150	front tire became airborne.
1.170	Vehicle right-rear and right-front tires regained contact with ground. Vehicle
1.000	heading angle was approximately 45 degrees toward the barrier.
1.300	venicle right rear door contacted post no. 43 and began to deform.
2.014	Vehicle right rear tire contacted post no. 46 causing the vehicle to roll downstream.
2.696	venicle root contacted ground before beginning to roll back onto its right side.
4.328	Vehicle came to a rest on its right side 114 ft -1 in. (34.8 m) downstream of impact
	location. Barrier cables were underneath the vehicle.

9.5 Barrier Damage

Damage to the barrier was moderate, as shown in Figures 103 through 108. Barrier damage consisted of bent posts, disengaged cables, and bent and fractured brackets. The concrete

foundation at the upstream end anchor shifted downstream 0.84 in. (21 mm), as measured by the string potentiometer. The maximum splice pullout was observed on cable no. 3 located at the downstream cable end anchor with a pullout of ¹/₄ in. (6 mm).

Cable no. 4 disengaged from post nos. 25 and 27 through 47 due to the brass rods fracturing. Cable no. 3 disengaged from post nos. 33 through 43 and post nos. 45 through 53. Cable no. 2 disengaged from post nos. 33 through 61. Cable no. 1 disengaged from post nos. 34 through 36, 38, and 39. The majority of the released brackets on cable nos. 1 and 2 opened up, with the tabs rotating through the keyhole to release the cables vertically. The brackets that released cable no. 3 were typically fractured due to high lateral loads.

Plastic deformation, in the form of bending and twisting, occurred to post nos. 32 through 48. The posts typically bent backward and downstream. In addition to the bending, post nos. 31 through 41 typically twisted to face upstream while post nos. 42 through 48 typically twisted to face downstream. The bent posts formed plastic hinges at or just below the groundline.

The maximum dynamic deflection of the system was 81 in. (2,057 mm), as determined from high-speed digital video analysis. The working width of the system was found to be 101 in. (2,565 mm), also determined from high-speed digital analysis.

9.6 Vehicle Damage

The damage to the vehicle was extensive, as shown in Figures 109 and 110. The maximum occupant compartment deformations are listed in Table 16, along with the deformation limits established in MASH for various areas of the occupant compartment. Note that the MASH-established deformation limits for the roof were violated. Complete occupant compartment and vehicle deformations and the corresponding locations are provided in Appendix D.

LOCATION	MAXIMUM DEFORMATION in. (mm)	MASH ALLOWABLE DEFORMATION in. (mm)
Wheel Well & Toe Pan	¹ ⁄ ₄ (6)	≤ 9 (229)
Floor Pan & Transmission Tunnel	¹ ⁄ ₄ (6)	≤ 12 (305)
Side Front Panel (in Front of A-Pillar)	¹ ⁄ ₄ (6)	≤ 12 (305)
Side Door (Above Seat)	¹ / ₂ (13)	≤ 9 (229)
Side Door (Below Seat)	¹ ⁄ ₄ (6)	≤ 12 (305)
Roof	>4 (102)	$\leq 4 (102)$

Table 16. Maximum Occupant Compartment Deformations by Location, Test No. MWP-3

Due to the vehicle rolling, numerous dents, gouges, and scrapes were present on the right side and roof of the vehicle. The right-rear window was completely broken out, and the right-front window and windshield were both shattered. A 7-in. x 1-in. (178-mm x 25-mm) hole was found in the front windshield. Extensive crushing of the right A-pillar and roof were observed, causing the gaps to form between the right-front and right-rear doors and the roof. A large dent was present on the right quarter panel, and the sheet metal below the right-front door handle was punctured from a post during the rollover.

Gouges and scrapes from cable nos. 2 and 3 were present along the left side of the vehicle starting just above the front bumper for cable no. 3 and on the front bumper for cable no. 2. Striations from cable nos. 2 and 3 were present along the outer circumference of both left tires. Gouging along the outer rims of both left wheels were caused by cable no. 3. The left-front wheel rim also had a ¹/₂-in. (13-mm) dent. Contact marks from cable no. 4 were present along the left fender and extended onto the hood and over the length of the left A-pillar. A 4-in. x 8-in. (102-mm x 203-mm) dent was located behind the left headlight, which disengaged completely. Minor scrapes were present along the leading edge of the left lower control arm and the exhaust. Scrapes and dents were also found along the length of the driveshaft.

9.7 Occupant Risk

The calculated occupant impact velocities (OIVs) and maximum 0.010-sec occupant ridedown accelerations (ORAs) in both the longitudinal and lateral directions are shown in Table 17. Note that the OIVs and ORAs were within the suggested limits provided in MASH. The maximum angular displacements are also given in Table 17. However, due the vehicle rolling, the maximum roll limit provided by MASH was violated. The calculated THIV, PHD, and ASI values are also shown in Table 17. The results of the occupant risk analysis, as determined from the accelerometer data, are also summarized in Figure 94. The recorded data from the accelerometers and the rate transducers are shown graphically in Appendix I.

Evaluation Criteria		Trans	MASH	
		SLICE 1	SLICE 2 (Primary)	Limits
ΟΙV	Longitudinal	-10.60 (-3.23)	-10.70 (-3.26)	≤40 (12.2)
ft/s (m/s)	Lateral	11.25 (3.43)	11.88 (3.62)	≤40 (12.2)
ORA	Longitudinal	-6.58	-6.65	≤20.49
g's	Lateral	-5.64	-5.48	≤20.49
MAX.	Roll	156.76	149.47	≤75
ANGULAR DISPL.	Pitch	-8.80	-10.91	≤75
deg.	Yaw	-94.93	-96.67	not required
THIV ft/s (m/s)		15.22 (4.64)	15.49 (4.72)	not required
PHD g's		5.51	5.61	not required
ASI		0.49	0.50	not required

Table 17. Summary of OIV, ORA, THIV, PHD, and ASI Values, Test No. MWP-3

9.8 Load Cells and String Potentiometers

The pertinent data from the load cells and string potentiometer was extracted from the bulk signal and analyzed using the transducers' calibration factor. The maximum displacement of the upstream cable end anchor foundation was recorded as 0.84 in. (21 mm), while a summary of the maximum cable loads can be found in Table 18. The recorded data and analyzed results are detailed in Appendix J. The exact moment of impact could not be determined from the transducer data, as impact may have occurred prior to a measurable signal increase in the data. Thus, the extracted data curves should not be taken as precise time after impact, but rather a general timeline between events within the data curve itself.

Cable Leastion	Sensor Location	Maximum	Time	
Cable Location		kips	kN	(sec)
Combined Cable Load	Upstream of Impact	37.21	165.52	0.211
Cable No. 4	Upstream of Impact	8.42	37.45	2.064
Cable No. 3	Upstream of Impact	13.76	61.21	0.480
Cable No. 2	Upstream of Impact	14.80	65.83	0.370
Cable No. 1	Upstream of Impact	4.81	21.40	0.043

Table 18. Maximum Cable Loads, Test No. MWP-3

9.9 Discussion

The analysis of the test results for test no. MWP-3 showed that the high-tension fourcable median barrier did not adequately contain and redirect the 2270P vehicle. A hole was found in the windshield, qualifying as penetration of the occupant compartment. Additionally, the test vehicle penetrated the barrier system and did not remain upright during the collision. Vehicle roll, pitch, and yaw angular displacements, as shown in Appendix I, were deemed unacceptable because the vehicle rolled over. Test no. MWP-3 conducted on the cable median barrier was determined to be unacceptable according to the MASH safety performance criteria for test designation no. 3-11.



Figure 94. Summary of Test Results and Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-3

137

November 3, 2015 MwRSF Report No. TRP-03-303-15



0.000 sec



0.084 sec



0.136 sec



0.274 sec







0.516 sec



0.000 sec



0.064 sec



0.088 sec



0.148 sec



0.210 sec



0.292 sec

Figure 95. Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-3



0.000 sec



0.102 sec



0.274 sec



0.414 sec



0.538 sec



0.676 sec



0.868 sec



1.136 sec



1.334 sec



2.072 sec



2.308 sec



2.726 sec

Figure 96. Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-3



0.000 sec



0.074 sec



0.136 sec



0.242 sec



0.410 sec



0.496 sec



0.676 sec



0.868 sec



1.148 sec



1.334 sec



1.686 sec



4.328 sec

Figure 97. Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-3







0.050 sec



0.098 sec



0.134 sec



0.222 sec



0.328 sec



0.454 sec



0.744 sec

Figure 98. Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 99. Documentary Photographs, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 100. Documentary Photographs, Test No. MWP-3







Figure 101. Impact Location, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 102. Vehicle Final Position and Trajectory Marks, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 103. System Damage, Post Nos. 32 through 34, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 104. System Damage, Post Nos. 35 through 37, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 105. System Damage, Post Nos. 38 through 40, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 106. System Damage, Post Nos. 41 through 43, Test No. MWP-3



Post No. 45

Post Nos. 44 through 46, Test I



Post No. 46

Figure 107. System Damage, Post Nos. 44 through 46, Test No. MWP-3

Post No. 44

150

November 3, 2015 MwRSF Report No. TRP-03-303-15



Figure 108. System Damage, Post Nos. 47 through 49, Test No. MWP-3



Figure 109. Vehicle Damage, Test No. MWP-3





Figure 110. Vehicle Damage, Upright, Test No. MWP-3







Left-Front Wheel Assembly



Left-Rear Wheel Assembly



10 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The objective of this study was to evaluate a high-tension four-cable median barrier. The barrier design was developed through a series of component testing and computer simulation efforts at the MwRSF [5-7]. Through these efforts, the Midwest Weak Post (MWP) was developed to obtain the desired strong- and weak-axis bending strengths. Additionally, bolted-tabbed brackets were developed to attach the cables to the flanges of the MWPs, and a brass keeper rod was designed to hold the top cable within a V-notch cut into the top of the MWP. Although these individual barrier components performed as desired in component testing, the performance of the entire barrier system needed to be evaluated. Therefore, the high-tension four-cable median barrier was subjected to full-scale crash testing according to the proposed MASH testing matrix for cable barrier systems installed within median V-ditches.

The first full-scale crash test, test no. MWP-1, was conducted in accordance with proposed MASH test no. 3-17. The barrier was placed at the slope breakpoint of a 6H:1V slope and utilized a 16-ft (4.9-m) post spacing, representing the widest spacing recommended for the barrier system. During the test, the 1500A sedan was captured by cable no. 2 (lower middle cable) and came to rest in line with the barrier 180 ft (54.9 m) downstream from the point of impact. All occupant risk criteria were satisfied, and the A-pillar received only ¹/₄ in. (6 mm) of deformation, as the vehicle underrode cable nos. 3 and 4. Subsequently, it was determined test no. MWP-1 had satisfied the safety performance criteria for proposed MASH test no. 3-17.

Test no. MWP-2, conducted in accordance with MASH test no. 3-11, involved a 2270P pickup truck impacting the four-cable median barrier system with 16-ft (4.9-m) post spacing on level terrain. During the test, the pickup overrode cable nos. 1 and 3, but was captured and redirected by cable nos. 2 and 4. A working width of 18 ft-5 in. (5.6 m) was observed during the test before the pickup was brought to rest 187 ft (57 m) downstream from the point of impact.

The vehicle remained stable throughout the test, and the OIV and ORA values were well below the MASH limits. Thus, test no. MWP-2 was determined to have satisfied the safety performance criteria of MASH no. 3-11.

Barrier damage resulting from test no. MWP-2 included bending of the MWPs adjacent to cable no. 1 and the tearing of the steel strip between the bottom keyway and the free edge of the post throughout a series of six posts downstream from the point of impact. To strengthen the MWPs and prevent such bending and tearing, some minor alterations were made to the posts. First, the height of the keyway was reduced by ¹/₄ in. (6 mm). Second, the number of cable attachment points, or keyways, per post was reduced from six to four by eliminating the keyway for cable nos. 1 and 3 from one side of the post. The resulting post was no longer symmetrical, but the strength of the post cross section at these cable locations was increased. Finally, the top of the post was extended ¹/₄ in. (6 mm) to prevent the brass keeper rod slot from collapsing during post installation/driving.

Test no. MWP-3 was also conducted in accordance with MASH test no. 3-11. However, the post spacing was reduced to 8 ft (2.4 m) to evaluate the working width of the system with the tightest recommended post spacing. During the test, the 2270P pickup was initially captured by cable nos. 2 and 3 after overriding cable no. 1 and underriding cable no. 4. However, the capture cables were eventually pushed downward and overridden by the left-front tire of the pickup. Specifically, cable nos. 2 and 3 were overridden 0.378 sec. and 0.528 sec. after impact, respectively. As a point of reference, the pickup became parallel to the system 0.404 sec. after impact. After containment of the vehicle was lost, the cables wrapped around the left-rear tire and yawed the pickup rapidly toward the barrier. The pickup ultimately rolled over as the right-side tires dug into the ground and the right side of the pickup impacted system posts. Therefore,

test MWP-3 was deemed unacceptable according to MASH test no. 3-11 criteria. All three fullscale crash tests are summarized in Table 19.

As a result of the unsuccessful test, the high-tension four-cable median barrier system will need to be redesigned to prevent the loss of cable containment observed during test no. MWP-3. Possible design changes may include, but are not limited to, alternative post spacings, alternative cable heights, and the addition of a fifth cable. After the cable barrier has been redesigned, it will need to be reevaluated according to MASH test no. 3-11 criteria before being subjected to the remaining six tests listed within the recommended testing matrix for cable barriers installed within median V-ditches. Additionally, depending on the nature of the design changes, it may be necessary to retest the barrier to MASH test nos. 3-17 and 3-11 with wide post spacings.

		5	
Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria	Test No.	
Factors	Evaluation efficita	MWP-1	

Tuble 17. Dummury of Dubley I enternance Dyunumon Results, 105(1005, 10101 11100 gn 10101 5

158

Evaluation Factors	Evaluation Factors Evaluation Criteria					Test No. MWP-2	Test No. MWP-3
Structural Adequacy	A. Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle or bring the vehicle to a controlled stop; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.			S	S	U	
	 D. Detached elements, fragments or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH. 			S	S	U	
Occupant Risk	F.	The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.			S	S	U
	Н.	H. Occupant Impact Velocity (OIV) (see Appendix A, Section A5.3 of MASH for calculation procedure) should satisfy the following limits:					
		Occupant Impact Velocity Limits		S	S	S	
		Component	Preferred	Maximum	_		
		Longitudinal and Lateral	30 ft/s (9.1 m/s)	40 ft/s (12.2 m/s)			
	I. The Occupant Ridedown Acceleration (ORA) (see Appendix A, Section A5.3 of MASH for calculation procedure) should satisfy the following limits:						
	Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Limits		S	S	S		
		Component	Preferred	Maximum			
		Longitudinal and Lateral	15.0 g's	20.49 g's			
MASH Test Designation					Proposed 3-17	3-11	3-11
Pass/Fail Pass Pass Fail					Fail		
S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory NA - Not Applicable							

11 REFERENCES

- Johnson, E.A., Sicking, D.L., Faller, R.K., Lechtenberg, K.A., Rohde, J.R., Bielenberg, R.W., Reid, J.D., and Rosenbaugh, S.K., *Phase I Development of a Non-Proprietary, Four-Cable, High-Tension Median Barrier*, Final Report to the Midwest States Regional Pooled Fund Program, Transportation Research Report No. TRP-03-213-11, Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, December 28, 2011.
- Schmidt, J.D., Sicking, D.L., Faller, R.K., Lechtenberg, K.A., Bielenberg, R.W., Reid, J.D., and Rosenbaugh, S.K., *Phase II Development of a Non-Proprietary, Four-Cable, High-Tension Median Barrier*, Final Report to the Midwest States Regional Pooled Fund Program, Transportation Research Report No. TRP-03-253-12, Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, March 21, 2012.
- Kampschneider, L.R., Homan, D. H., Lechtenberg, K.A., Faller, R.K., Bielenberg, R.W., Sicking, D.L., Reid, J.D., and Rosenbaugh, S.K., *Evaluation of a Non-Proprietary, High-Tension, 4-Cable Median Barrier on Level Terrain*, Final Report to the Midwest States Regional Pooled Fund Program, Transportation Research Report No. TRP-03-258-12, Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, November 29, 2012.
- 4. *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH)*, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), Washington, D.C., 2009.
- Bateman, R.J., Faller, R.K., Bielenberg, R.W., Sicking, D.L., Reid, J.D., Stolle, C.S., Lechtenberg, K.A., and Rosenbaugh, S.K., *Design of Cable-to-Post Attachments for use in Non-Proprietary, High-Tension, Cable Median Barrier,* Final Report to the Midwest States Regional Pooled Fund Program, Transportation Research Report No. TRP-03-285-13, Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, August 29, 2013.
- Mongiardini, M., Faller, R.K., Rosenbaugh, S.K., and Reid, J.D., *Test Matrices for Evaluating Cable Median Barriers Placed in V-Ditches*, Final Report to the Midwest States Regional Pooled Fund Program, Transportation Research Report No. TRP-03-265-12, Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, July 13, 2012.
- Rosenbaugh, S.K., Bielenberg, R.W., Humphrey, B.M., Faller, R.K., Reid, J.D., and Lechtenberg, K.A, *Cable-to-Post Attachments for Use in Non-Proprietary, High-Tension, Cable Median Barrier, Phase II*, Final Report to the Midwest States Regional Pooled Fund Program, Transportation Research Report No. TRP-03-313-15, Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, June 2, 2015.
- Bielenberg, R.W., Schmidt, T.L., Faller, R.K., Lechtenberg, K.A., Rosenbaugh, S.K., Reid, J.D., and Sicking, D.L., *Design of an Improved Post for use in a Non-Proprietary, High-Tension, Cable Median Barrier*, Final Report to the Midwest States Regional Pooled Fund Program, Transportation Research Report No. TRP-03-286-14, Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, May 7, 2015.

- 9. Hinch, J., Yang, T.L., and Owings, R., *Guidance Systems for Vehicle Testing*, ENSCO, Inc., Springfield, Virginia, 1986.
- Stolle, C.S., and Sicking, D.L., *Cable Median Barrier Failure Analysis and Prevention*, Final Report to the Midwest States Regional Pooled Fund Program, Transportation Research Report No. TRP-03-275-12, Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, December 17, 2012.
- 11. MacInnis, D., Cliff, W., and Ising, K., A Comparison of the Moment of Inerita Estimation Techniques for Vehicle Dynamics Simulation, SAE Technical Paper Series – 970951, Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc., Warrendale, Pennsylvania, 1997.
- 12. *Center of Gravity Test Code SAE J874 March 1981,* SAE Handbook Vol. 4, Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc., Warrendale, Pennsylvania, 1986.
- 13. Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE), *Instrumentation for Impact Test Part 1 Electronic Instrumentation*, SAE J211/1 MAR95, New York City, NY, July, 2007.
- 14. *Vehicle Damage Scale for Traffic Investigators*, Second Edition, Technical Bulletin No. 1, Traffic Accident Data (TAD) Project, National Safety Council, Chicago, Illinois, 1971.
- 15. Collision Deformation Classification Recommended Practice J224 March 1980, Handbook Volume 4, Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE), Warrendale, Pennsylvania, 1985.

12 APPENDICES

Appendix A. Material Specifications

Item No.	Description	Material Specification	Reference
a1	Cable Anchor Base Plate	ASTM A36	N/A
a2	Exterior Cable Plate Gusset	ASTM A36	N/A
a3	Interior Cable Plate Gusset	ASTM A36	N/A
a4	Anchor Bracket Plate	ASTM A36	N/A
a5	3/16" [5] Dia. Brass Keeper Rod 14" [356] Long	Brass	Grainger COC
a6	Release Gusset	A36 Steel	N/A
a7	Release Lever Plate	A36 Steel	N/A
a8	1.25"x1.25"x0.1875" [32x32x5] TS CT Kicker Lever Tube	ASTM A500 Gr. B	N/A
a9	CMB High-Tension Anchor Plate Washer	ASTM A36	H# 64047117
a10	1.25"x1.25"x0.1875" [32x32x5] TS CT Kicker Lever Connecting Tube	ASTM A 500 Gr. B	N/A
a11	3"x10"x0.5" [76x254x13] Kicker Plate	ASTM A36	N/A
a12	CT Kicker - Gusset	ASTM A36	N/A
a13	3/4" [19] Dia. Flat Washer	ASTM F844	Grainger COC 8/03/2012
a14	3/4" [19] Dia. UNC J-Hook Anchor and Hex Nut	J-Hook ASTM A449/Nut ASTM A563 DH	BOLT: H# 11618020
a15	1/4" [6] Dia. Aircraft Retaining Cable 36" [914] Long	7x19 Galv.	N/A
a16	5/8" [16] Dia. Heavy Hex Nut	ASTM A563C	Hodel Natco Ind. COC (Lot # 49045494)
a17	5/8" [16] Dia. UNC 9 1/2" [241] Long Hex Bolt	ASTM A449 or SAE J429 Gr. 5	Hodel Natco Ind. COC (Lot # 49045494)
a18	24" [610] Dia. Concrete Anchor 120" [3048] long	4,000 psi f'c	T# 4156617
a19	#11 Straight Rebar, 114" [2896] long	Grade 60	H# 58196113
a20	#4 Anchor Hoop Rebar with 21" [533] Dia.	Grade 60	H# 111485
b1	S3x5.7 [S76x8.5] Post by 28 1/8" [714] Long	ASTM A572 GR50-07, ASTM A709 GR50-09A, ASTM A992-06A	H# 11935540
b2	S3x5.7 [S76x8.5] Post by 19" [483] Long	ASTM A572 GR50-07, ASTM A709 GR50-09A, ASTM A992-06A	H# 11935540
b3	#3 Straight Rebar, 43" [1092] Long	Grade 60	H# JW12105480
b4	7 1/4" [184] Dia. No. 3 Hoop Reinforcement	Grade 60	H# 537484
b5	2nd Post Keeper Plate, 28 Gauge	ASTM A36	N/A
b6	3/4" [19] Dia. UNC 5 1/2" [140] Long Hex Bolt and Nut	Bolt ASTM A307 Gr. A/Nut ASTM A563A	Structural Bolt Distributor's Affidavit R# 14-0343
b7	1/2" [13] Dia. Washer with 1 1/16" [27] OD	ASTM F844	Lot #: 504612-1

Table A-1. Table 20. Bill of Materials, Test Nos. MWP-1 and MWP-2

b8	1/2" [13] Dia. UNC 2" [51]	Bolt ASTM A307 Gr.	Structural Bolt Distributor's Affidavit R#
	Long Hex Bolt and Nut	A/Nut ASTM A563A	14-0343
b9	4"x3"x1/4" [102x76x6]	ASTM A500 Grade B	H#B200931
	Foundation Tube 48" [1219]		
	Long		
b10	2nd Post Cable Hanger	ASTM A36	H# A307682
b11	2nd Post Anchor Aggregate 12"	-	-
	[305], Depth		
b12	12" [305] Dia. 2nd Post	4,000 psi f'c	T# 4156617
	Concrete Anchor 46" [1168]		
1.1.0	Long "		XXII + 0100.45
b13	2nd Post Base Plate	ASTM A36	H# A312845
c1	3"x1-3/4"x7 Gauge [76x44x4.6]	Hot-Rolled ASTM	H# 106387 Coil# 1118689850
	83" [2108] Long Bent Z-Section	A1011 HSLA Gr. 50	
	Post		11// 0010/7
c 2	12-Gauge Tabbed Bracket -	Hot-Rolled ASTM	H# 031067
- 2	Version 10	A 1011 HSLA Grade 50	L # 1D122170C U# 1220022C 4
05	J/10 [8] Dia. UNC 1 [23]	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Doll SAE J429 OL 5 OF} \\ \text{ASTM} \text{A440/Nut ASTM} \end{array}$	L# 1B1551700 П# 15500550-4
	Long Hex Cap Selew and Nut	ASTM A449/Nut ASTM A563 DH	
c4	Straight Rod - 3/16" [5] Cable	ASTM B16 Brass	H# 155008 1 1
01	Clip	C36000 Half Hard	
	P	(HO2), ROUND. TS	
		>=68.0 ksi, YS >= 52.0	
		ksi	
d1	3/4" [19] Dia. High-Strength	3x7 Cl A Galv.	Bekaert 4060145416
	Pre-Stretched Cable Guiderail		
d2	7/8" [22] Dia. Hex Nut	ASTM A563C	H# M643354
d3	Cable End Threaded Rod	ASTM A449	H# 133079
d4	Bennet Cable End Fitter	ASTM A47	H# 9Q4 AND OP5
х	Cable Wedges	ASTM A47	H# CG6
d5	7/8" [22] Dia. Square Nut	SAE J429 Gr. 5	N/A
e1	Bennet Short Threaded	Not Specified	Ken Forging COC (9/8/1999)
	Turnbuckle		
e2	Threaded Loadcell Coupler	N/A	N/A
e3	50,000-lb [222.4-kN] Load Cell	N/A	N/A

Item No.	Description	Material Specification	Reference
a1	Cable Anchor Base Plate	ASTM A36	N/A
a2	Exterior Cable Plate Gusset	ASTM A36	N/A
a3	Interior Cable Plate Gusset	ASTM A36	N/A
a4	Anchor Bracket Plate	ASTM A36	N/A
a5	3/16" [5] Dia. Brass Keeper Rod 14" [356] Long	Brass	H# 155008.1.1
a6	Release Gusset	A36 Steel	N/A
a7	Release Lever Plate	A36 Steel	N/A
a8	1.25"x1.25"x0.1875" [32x32x5] TS CT Kicker Lever Tube	ASTM A500 Gr. B	N/A
a9	CMB High-Tension Anchor Plate Washer	ASTM A36	H# 64047117
a10	1.25"x1.25"x0.1875" [32x32x5] TS CT Kicker Lever Connecting Tube	ASTM A 500 Gr. B	N/A
a11	3"x10"x0.5" [76x254x13] Kicker Plate	ASTM A36	N/A
a12	CT Kicker - Gusset	ASTM A36	N/A
a13	3/4" [19] Dia. Flat Washer	ASTM F844	PFC COC R# 14-0082
a14	3/4" [19] Dia. UNC J-Hook Anchor and Hex Nut	J-Hook ASTM A449/Nut ASTM A563 DH	BOLT: H# 11618020 NUT: Item# DHHNO75CG Lot# 170277
a15	1/4" [6] Dia. Aircraft Retaining Cable 36" [914] Long	7x19 Galv.	N/A
a16	5/8" [16] Dia. Heavy Hex Nut	ASTM A563C	Hodell Natco Ind. COC
a17	5/8" [16] Dia. UNC 9 1/2" [241] Long Hex Bolt	ASTM A449 or SAE J429 Gr. 5	Hodell Natco Ind. COC
a18	24" [610] Dia. Concrete Anchor 120" [3048] Long	4,000 psi f'c	T# 4156617
a19	#11 Straight Rebar, 114" [2896] Long	Grade 60	H# 58196113
a20	#4 Anchor Hoop Rebar with 21" [533] Dia.	Grade 60	H# 111485
b1	S3x5.7 [S76x8.5] Post by 28 1/8" [714] Long	ASTM A572 GR50-07, ASTM A709 GR50-09A, ASTM A992-06A	H# 11935540
b2	S3x5.7 [S76x8.5] Post by 19" [483] Long	ASTM A572 GR50-07, ASTM A709 GR50-09A, ASTM A992-06A	H# 11935540
b3	#3 Straight Rebar, 43" [1092] Long	Grade 60	H# JW12105480
b4	7 1/4" [184] Dia. No. 3 Hoop Reinforcement	Grade 60	H# 537484
b5	2nd Post Keeper Plate, 28 Gauge	ASTM A36	N/A
b6	3/4" [19] Dia. UNC 5 1/2" [140] Long Hex Bolt and Nut	Bolt ASTM A307 Gr. A/Nut ASTM A563A	Structural Bolt Distributor's Affidavit R# 14-0343
b7	1/2" [13] Dia. Washer with 1 1/16" [27] OD	ASTM F844	H# A32336 BL# 195624

Table A-2. Table 21. Bill of Materials, Test No. MWP-3

b8	1/2" [13] Dia. UNC 2" [51]	Bolt ASTM A307 Gr.	Structural Bolt Distributor's Affidavit R#
	Long Hex Bolt and Nut	A/Nut ASTM A563A	14-0343
b9	4"x3"x1/4" [102x76x6]	ASTM A500 Grade B	H#B200931
	Foundation Tube 48" [1219]		
	Long		
b10	2nd Post Cable Hanger	ASTM A36	H# A402276
b11	2nd Post Anchor Aggregate 12"	-	-
1.1.0	[305], Depth	4.000 1.0	
b12	12" Dia. 2nd Post Concrete	4,000 psi f'c	1#4156617
1.12	Anchor 46 [305] Long		U# D214020
013	2nd Post Base Plate	ASTM A36	H# B314839
b14	3/16" [5] Dia. 5 1/4" [133] Long Brass Rod	ASTM B16-00	H# 155008.1.1
c1	3"x1-3/4"x7-Gauge [76x44x4.6]	Hot-Rolled ASTM	H# 667827 Coil# 1131814950
	83" [2108] Long Bent Z-Section	A1011 HSLA Gr. 50	
	Post		
c2	12-Gauge Tabbed Bracket -	Hot-Rolled ASTM	H# 832D32560
	Version 10	A1011 HSLA Grade 50	
c3	5/16" [8] Dia. UNC 1" [25]	Bolt SAE J429 Gr. 5 or	Bolts: H# 13104654-4
	Long Hex Cap Screw and Nut	ASTM A449/Nut ASTM	Nuts: H# 328711
		A563 DH	
c4	Straight Rod - 3/16" [5] Cable	ASTM B16 Brass	H# 155008.1.1
	Clip	(102) POLND TS	
		(HO2), ROUND. IS	
		>=08.0 KSI, 1.5 $>= 32.0$	
d1	3/1" [10] Dia High Strength	3x7 Cl A Galy	Berkzert 1060115116
uı	Pre-Stretched Cable Guiderail	JAT CI A Gaiv.	Derkaelt 4000145410
d2	7/8" [22] Dia. Hex Nut	ASTM A563C	H# M643354
d3	Cable End Threaded Rod	ASTM A449	H# 133079
d4	Bennet Cable End Fitter	ASTM A47	H# 9Q4 AND OP5
X	Cable Wedges	ASTM A47	H# BR1
d5	7/8" [22] Dia. Square Nut	SAE J429 Gr. 5	N/A
e1	Bennet Short Threaded	Not Specified	Ken Forging COC (4/8/1999)
	Turnbuckle		XY / A
e2	Threaded Loadcell Coupler	N/A	N/A
e3	50,000-lb [222.4-kN] Load Cell	N/A	N/A
BILL TO:

TC Industries Test Center 3703 South Route 31 Crystal Lake, IL 60012-1412 Telephone (815) 459-2400 Fax (815) 459-3419

AMERICAN EAGLE STEEL

CHICAGO HEIGHTS, IL 60411

317 EAST 11TH STREET

TEST REPORT **REPORT NO: 168646** DATE: JULY 30, 2013 PAGE 1 OF 1

SHIP TO: AMERICAN EAGLE STEEL 317 EAST 11TH STREET CHICAGO HEIGHTS, IL 60411

DESC:362 PC PO: 15	S .875"RD 63-TC	X 24'	043	HEAT: 133079 MO: 60190		GRADE: 1045 CO; 1563		WT: LOT:	17740 88006
SPEC: QUEN PINK	ICH, TEMP	ER,STRAI	GHTEN	**	ASTM A44	9-10			*
PROCESS:	FURN T TEMPER	EMP: 160 TEMP: 112 TEMP:	0 5	FURN TIME TEMPER TIME STRESS TIME	hh.mm: 1.00 hh.mm: 1.00 hh.mm:		QUENCH:	WATER	
PARAMETER	UNITS	LIMITS		TEST RESULTS	(See 1	sempling plan on b	ack)		
TENSILE YIELD .2% ELONG 2" RED AREA SURF HB	KSI KSI % HBW	120.0 92.0 14.0 35.0 255	N/A N/A N/A 321	143.0 130.0 17.0 48.0 282 285 285 285	285	293	285	285	
	8	× .		4 CMwP		10 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 -	3		C1
		1		Cable End	Threade	d Rod A4	49/104	5	
				White Pair	t for L	eft			
				Red Paint	for Rig	ht			
				Bennett Bo	lt Lot#	83219(1	eft)83	218(rig	ght)
3				Feb 2014 S	BMT			ACCRED	TED 1281-01
			TC IND	STRIES and SUBCONTRA	CTED LABS (A2LA	AGCREDITED)			
Tensile, Stand Tensile, Full S Charpy V Noto Microhardness	ard TC ize h s,Knoop*			Rockwell Brinell Ultra Son Bend Test	TC *		Micro Analy Decarb Mea Chemistry*	ysia asure	
TC: TC Test Co	enter	1	BE: Berg	Eng.	EX: Exova	~	MSI	Metallurgica	al Ser.

Cert #1281.01 Cert #L1157-1 Cert #104.02 Cert #0510.01 2/4/14 6/30/14 12/31/14 2/28/15 *not included in our scope of accreditation FC 4.12.16F 7/15/10 Time 17:39 DATE IN: 7/20/13 NOTES:

Ken Righ

Ken Rueff Test Center Supervisor

There are no deviations from test methods unless noted. Il aboutd not be assumed that mechanical properties of raw material heal treated to a festence finibled fusioner whose original material characteristics may have been significantly altered. No marcury was usediaded and no welding/seld repair was particular in anterial white in the possession of TC industria, inc. This tast specification to the items tested and shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written permission of TC industrias. Test Contex. nor slandard will have the seme properties of a No me

Figure A-1. Cable End Threaded Rod, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3

.



CERTIFIED MILL TEST REPORT

Alton Steel Test Lab #5 Cut Street Alton, IL 62002-9011 (618) 463-4490 EXT 2486 (618) 463-4491 (Fex)

BILL TO							5	SHIP TO			514 -1 5 -	•					
	A	mencar 17 Each	11th Street	Co., Inc					Ameri	v To Be De	Steel Co.,	Inc Ostomer's	True				
	0	hicago	Heights, IL	50411					Alton	. IL 52002	Invereu To	Custonier	S VIUL				
Date	06/10	/2013	Customer P	0		15	63		Specifica	tions							
ASI Ord No.		60190	Customer D	r.													
ASI Ord Line Item		1	Customer P						SAE 1	045							
Item Description					88										Strand	I Cast, RR	=81.49:1
Steel Bar, Hot Rolled	, 0.8750, 2	24' 0 "								0	1200-0000						
Heat Number	4.4	а з 3	a 199 i	·····	CHEM		LYSIS TE	ST METI	Yield PS	I M E-415 8	Tensile E-1019	PSI	% Elong	ation	% ROA	Bend	Test
Heat Number	c	M	P	5	si	Cu	NI	G	Mo	Sn	AI	Nb/Cb	v	в	т	N	Ca
133079	0.48	0.78	0.007	0.022	0.24	0.22	0.080	0.127	0.019	0.011	0.001	0.001	0.035	0.0002	0.0008	0.0090	0.0022
<u></u>	L			101111	NADDE			CTM A.						<u> </u>			
				JUMIN	HARDE	ADILLI	051107	SIM A-	233 CALCO		Nord Che	MACAL DI					
Heat Number	GS	DI															
133079	8	1.55															
_							SPECI	AL TEST	RESULTS								
							ADDIT	IONAL C	OMMENTS								
C. AND ST.						55. 3 6 1 11						1				100	
No mercury, lead, radi	um, of aloba		ing material or						Alteration or n	eproduction	of this rape	at, except in	full, is not				
equipment is used or of steel. No weld or weld	leiberately a repairs we	added in re perform	the production med on this m	n of this aterial.				,	llowed witho Iton Steel In:	ut written ap corporated.	oproval by a	representati	ive of			1	
This Steel is 100% Ele U.S.A. Material qualifie	etric Arc Fur es as NAFTA	nace Mel Coriginat	ted and Rolled Ion.	i in the				1	hereby certil	fy that the a	bove tests a	are correct as	contained	1			
Subscribed and swom	to before m	e, a Noti	sry Public, in a	ind for					n the records	OF ALLOW S	STEEL INCO	RPOKATED					
the county of Madison	, State of Ill	linois							Quality Lead	der: Rub	pert Cauley	1					_
this	Day of _	- 25 15		_						1							
My commission expire	·									4 C	ant	in					
												1			- 11 - 71		
(Notary Public)																	

Figure A-2. Cable End Threaded Rod, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3



August 03 2012

W.W. Grainger, Inc. 100 Grainger Parkway Lake Forest, IL. 60045-5201

Attn: KEN KRENK UNIVERSITY HEALTH CENTER 1500 U STREET LINCOLN, NE, 68503-0000 Fax #

Grainger Sales Order #: 1157994181 Customer PO #: 045562765

Dear KEN KRENK

As you requested, we are providing you with the following information. We certify that, to the best of Grainger's actual knowledge, the products described below conform to the respective manufacturer's specifications as described and approved by the manufacturer.

Item #	Description	Vendor Part #	Catalog Page #
4FGZ8	Threaded Rod, Gr 2,3/4-10 x 6 Ft, RH, UNC	4FGZ8	3060
2FE85	Hex Nut, Grade 2,3/4-10, PK20	HNG20750010020Z	2929
6PU26	Flat Washer, Ylw Zinc, Fits 3/4 In, Pk 20	HS-0750SAEHZYBAGGR	2957

If you need any additional information, please contact our Compliance Team at 847-647-4649 or prod_mgmt_support@grainger.com.

Jary Tigiel

Gary Figiel Engineering Technician Compliance Team Grainger Industrial Supply

Figure A-3. ¾ in. Flat Washer, Test Nos. MWP-1 and MWP-2

Low Deflection Washers R#14-0082



Porteous Fastener Company BOLTS NUTS SCREWS WASHERS

CORPORATE OFFICE 1040 Watson Center Road, Carson, CA 90745 (310) 549-9180 Fax (310) 835-0415 www.porteousfastener.com

February 7, 2013

Attn: Chris

The Structural Bolt Dear: Chris,

You contacted our Denver office and requested that I write to you concerning specifications under which we purchase our USS Flat Washers

Firstly, our products are purchased to specifications where applicable. Our Purchase Orders clearly state that each product supplied to Porteous Fastener Company is to meet the proper specification as referenced in the Industrial Fastener Institute manual for that product when such specifications exist.

(ANSI B18.22.1 and ASTM F844. All HDG plating shall be done per ASTM A153)

Secondly, we require certifications from our suppliers of all products Grade 5 or better: A325 Structural Bolts, Grade 5 Hex Cap Screws, Grade 8 Hex Cap Screws, ASTM A194 2H Hvy, Hex Nuts, F436 Structural Washers, Grade 8 Finished Hex Nuts, ASTM A193 Grade B7 Threaded Rod, SAE Hi Nuts and Grade C Hex Locknuts. These certifications are on file at Porteous corporate office and copies of same are available to our customers.

We trust that you can be confident, as we are, that the product furnished to you meets specifications.

Please let me know if we can be of further service.

Sincerely, Herbert Recinos Inventory Control Ce: Mike Hall – Denver

Figure A-4. ¾ in. Flat Washer, Test No. MWP-3

CANT MIX CODE VARDS TRUCK DRVER DESTINATION CLASS TIME DATE TICKET 04 255130(P0) 3.00 0135 056 10:23/12/14 41566. 000003 CUSTOMER NAME TAX CODE PARTAL Month 10 48000 NH. 35TH N OF N GDADBTDE SAFTEY PARTAL Monutify 48000 NH. 35TH N OF N GDADDYEAR HANGER 402-450-6250 UAN CUMMUATIVE ONDERED PRODUCT PRODUCT DESCRIPTION VMT A02-450-6250 UAN CUMMUATIVE ONDERED PRODUCT PRODUCT DESCRIPTION VMT A02-450-6250 ATER ADDED ON JOB 3.00 2.00 2.5000 L5500 (HE) .40 4.00 104.91 314.73 ATER ADDED ON JOB VAL BECEVED BY WHEM SUBTOTAL 366.73 TOTAL CERVED BY MEXAL SUBTOTAL 366.73 366.73		FRES Body and or concrete short tains alkali an	CAUT CHCC eye cont uld be ave d is caus	TION DNCR tact with 1 oided bec stic.	RETE fresh (moist) cause it con-			Read Conc 6200 Corr Lincoln, N Telephone	y Mixed rete Com husker Highway, F ebraska 68529 e 402-434-1844	pany P.O. Box 29288
Open Holds State Open Holds State Open Holds	ANT N	AIX CODE YA	RDS	TRUCK	DRIVER	DESTINATION	CLASS	TIME	DATE	TICKET
Querry 20083 CIA+MIDWEST BOADSIDE SAFTEY 1 4B000 NW. 35TH N OF N GODDYEAR HANGER 402-450-6250 LOAD CUMULATIVE ORDERED PRODUCT PRODUCT DESCRIPTION UNIT ANOUNT 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.5513000 L5500 (HE) .40 4.00 104.91 314.73 4.000 NUM 3.00 3.00 2.5513000 L5500 (HE) .40 4.00 104.91 314.73 4.000 NOR ANOUNT COUNTRY COUNTRY 00000 12.00 12.00 ATER ADDED ON JOB ANOUNT RECEIVED BY WILL TAX 366.73 7.011 TAX SUBTORAL NOTAL 366.73 7.012 TAX RECEIVED BY WILL TAX 366.73 7.011 TAX SUBTORAL NOTAL 366.73 7.012 TAX RECEIVED BY NOTAL 366.73 7.012 TAX RECEIVED BY NOTAL 366.73 <td>U14 JSTOMER</td> <td>JOB</td> <td>CUSTOME</td> <td>R NAME</td> <td>35 056</td> <td>× × .</td> <td>TAX CODE</td> <td>PARTIAL</td> <td>NIGHT R.</td> <td>14 415661 LOADS</td>	U14 JSTOMER	JOB	CUSTOME	R NAME	35 056	× × .	TAX CODE	PARTIAL	NIGHT R.	14 415661 LOADS
48000 N.W. 35TH N. OF N. GODDYEAR HANGER 402-450-6250 UAN CUMULATIVE ORDERED PRODUCT PRODUCT DESCRIPTION UNIT OUANTTY OUANTY OUANTY <td></td> <td>ess</td> <td>CIA-</td> <td></td> <td>SPECIAL INST</td> <td>DE SAFTEY</td> <td>No. of Concession, Name</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>1</td>		ess	CIA-		SPECIAL INST	DE SAFTEY	No. of Concession, Name	1		1
LOAD OLANTTY CUMULATIVE OLANTTY ORDERED OLANTTY PRODUCT CODE PRODUCT DESCRIPTION UNIT PRICE AMOUNT 3.00 3.00 25513000 LS500 (HE).40 4.00 104.91 314.73 40.00 MITTY OLANTTY OLANTTY OLANTTY OLANTTY OLANTTY 0.00 25513000 HS00UCT DESCRIPTION UNIT 40.00 104.91 314.73 40.00 40.00 104.91 314.73 40.00 40.00 104.91 314.73 40.00 40.00 12.00 VTER ADDED ON JOB CUSTOMER'S REQUEST OLA RECEIVED BY WHEW MUST HE CONTACT 366.73 366.73 TRUCK USER LOSIN DISP TIONET NUM TICKET NUM TICKET ID TIME DATE (1355 TAX 366.73 TOTAL SEE LOSIN TAX 366.73 366.73 TOTAL SUBTORIAL (155.00 TO SEGN TAX 366.73 366.73 TOTAL SUBTORIAL (155.00 TO SEGN TAX 366.73 3722017 SUBTORIAL MSTER ADDIN DISP TIONET NOT SEGN 366.73 3722017 3722017	4800	NW. 35TH	view d. K		N OF N G	GOODYEAR HA	ANGER		402-45	0-6250
3.00 3.00 3.00 25513000 L5500 (HE) .40 4.00 104.91 314.73 40.00 MINIMUM HAUL WINTER SERVICE MINIMUM HAUL WINTER SERVICE 104.91 314.73 AUER ADDED ON JOB CUSTOMER'S REQUEST Joan AL WINTER SERVICE 366.73 MIRCHA UGRD SIZE JOAN RECEIVED BY WILL MINIMUM HAUL WINTER SERVICE 366.73 MIRCHA UGRD SIZE JOAN RECEIVED BY WILL MINIMUM HAUL WINTER 366.73 MIRCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WITCHA WI	LOAD QUANTITY	CUMULATIVE QUANTITY	OF	RDERED	PRODUCT	PRODU	ICT DESCRIPTION		UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
ATER ADDED ON JOB John RECEIVED BY JUNEX SUBTOTAL TAX 366.73 TOUSTOMER'S REQUEST John RECEIVED BY JUNEX John <	3.0	0 3.00		3.00	25513000	L5500 (HE MINIMUM H WINTER SE	E) .40 HAUL ERUICE	4.00	104.91	314.73 40.00 12.00
THER ADDED ON JOB CUSTOMER'S REQUEST SAL RECEIVED BY WILL WILL 366.73 366.73 TRUCK USER LOGIN DISP TICKET NUM TICKET NUM TICKET ID USED USER TIME DATE WILL WILL TIME DATE TOTAL 366.73 366.73 TRUCK USER LOGIN DISP TICKET NUM TICKET NUM TICKET ID USED USER 300 vd TIME TOTAL TIME DATE WILL WILL TIME DATE WILL WILL TIME DATE WILL WILL UGRD STZE WILL WILL UGRD STZE USER 478 DESIGN OTY FEGULAR DESIGN WILL BOSTON WILL WILL WILL WILL WILL WILL WILL WILL							an IV V di Listan			
INUCXUSER LOGINDISP TICKET NUM TICKET NUM TICKET ID 4156617TIME 16448DATE 191052DATE 191052DATE 191052INTERIAL 478SOURCEDESIGN OTY 255 478EGUINED 191052BATCHED 191052VAR 191052NOT 1910 191050INTERIAL 478SOURCEDESIGN OTY 255 478EGUINED 191050BATCHED 191050VAR 191052NOT 1910 191050INTERIAL 478SOURCEDESIGN OTY 255 478EGUINED 191050BATCHED 255 4748VAR 140015100E 4700 STANLE 4000 MINTERIAL 478SOURCE 478DESIGN OTY 255 4788EGUINED 4788BATCHES 4789INTERIAL 478SOURCE 4788DESIGN OTY 4788EGUINED 4789BATCHES 4789INTERNATION 478933.0 16 4.0 0 12256.0 16 4.0 0 25.0 0-4484 4.0 0 4.0 0 0 0000 4.0 0 4.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.							an IVV sh Lo kaa			366.73
MWP-1 Concrete Anchorage (6:1 Slope) R# 14-0353 SMT	ATER ADDED O		ZGAL		RECEIVED BY	WRG\$ 1	unt		SUBTOTAL TAX TOTAL	366.73 366.73 366.73
R# 14-0353 SMT	TRUCK 0135 LOAD SIZE 3. 00 yd MATERIAL GA7B L47B L47B L47B CEM1 L8MR AIR WATER WATER WATER WATER2 NON-SIMUL LOAD TOT SLUMP:	USER LOGIN DI USER LOGIN DI USER MIV FORF 255 SOURCE DESI 478 GRAVEL ISI 478 GRAVEL ISI 478 ROCK BE CEMENT TYP 75 POZZ 322N 2 MB-AE 90 A WATER R RECYCLE WA RECYCLE WA RECYCLE WA RECYCLE WA RECYCLE WA ATED NUM BATCHE 4.00 "# WATER IN	SP TICKET 4150 GON QTY RI 53.0 015 22 3.0 02 3.0 02 3.0 02 1.10 22 3.0 02 1.10 22 3.0 02 1.10 22 3.0 02 1.10 22 1.10 22 1.1	NUM TICKET 6617 17 EQUIRED 1 509.0 1b 2 256.0 1b 2 256.0 1b 2 256.0 1b 2 9.0 0z 9.0 0z 9.0 0z 9.4.2 g1 0.0 g1 0.377 WATE 0.0 g1	RECEIVED BY	$\begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline $	UMU E ACTUAL WAT 9.60 gl 1.19 gl 94.91 gl 102.0 gl ACT	UAL WATER;	SUBTOTAL TAX TOTAL	366.73 366.73 366.73
	TRUCK OI35 LOAD SIZE 3.00 vd MATERIAL 547B L47B CEM1 LRWR AIR WATER WATER WATER WATER UDN-SIMUL LOAD TOT SLUMP:	USER LOGIN DI USER LOGIN DI USER COMP 255 47B GRAVEL 191 47B GRAVEL 191 47B ROCK BS CEMENT TYP 77 POZZ 322N 2 MB-AE 90 A WATER A RECYCLE WA ALTED NUM BATCHE FAL: 11342 15 DES 4,00 "# WATER IN	(SP TICKET 4150 (SN 0TY R 5.0 16 5 3.0 16 2 3.0 0 z 3.0 0 z 3.	NUM TICKE 6617 17 509.0 15 256.0 15 256.0 15 256.0 15 256.0 15 30 02 94.2 g1 0.0 g1 0.377 WATE 0.0 g1	RECEIVED BY	TIME DATE 19:23 0371272014 SEQ LOAD U W 193041 × VAR ×MOISTUR 44% 1.40 M 45% 0.40 M 49% 0.00% 0.74% 0.00% 0.74% DESIGN WATER: 1 Anchorage	E ACTUAL WAT 9.60 gl 1.19 gl 94.91 gl 102.0 gl ACT	UAL WATER:	SUBTOTAL TAX TOTAL	366.73 366.73 366.73

Figure A-5. Concrete Anchor, Test No. MWP-1

P.O. Box 316 Pueblo, CO 81002 USA

MATERIAL TEST REPORT

Date Printed: 16-DEC-10

Date Shipped: 16-DEC-10	Product: DEF 10mm	Specification:	ASTM-A-615M09b GR 420/ ASTM-A-706M09l
	FWIP: 52815347	Customer: CONCRETE INDUSTRIES INC	Cust. PO: 86205

Heat						СНН	EMIC	CAL	ANA	LYS	IS		(Heat cast	09/27/10)		
Number	С	Mn	Р	S	Si	Cu	Ni	Cr	Mo	Al	v	В	Cb	Sn	N	Ti
537484	0.26	1.24	0.015	0.007	0.24	0.25	0.08	0.14	0.013	0.004	0.037	0.0006	0.000	0.013	0.0081	0.002
	Carbon Eq	juivalent =	0.487													

to a way				МЕСН	ANICAL	PROPERTI	E S	24 A. J.	÷
Heat Number	Sample No.		Yield (Psi)	High Number	Ultimate (Psi)).	Elongation (%)	Reduction (%)	innute Bend	Wt/ft: arrest
537484	01		68260	187284	98900	17.3	3 iss	ogd(1 OK	0.372
*5 +4		(MPa)	470.6	1.15	681.9			2	
537484	02		66012	537484	96040	16.5	1000	OK	0.372
		(MPa)	455.1		662.2			N 1	

All melting and manufacturing processes of the material subject to this test certificate occurred in the United States of America. ERMS also certifies this material to be free from Mercury contamination.

This material has been produced and tested in accordance with the requirements of the applicable specifications. We hereby certify that the above test results represent those contained in the records of the Company.

Markt Expanse

Quality Assurance Department

Figure A-6. Steel Rebar within Hanger Post Foundations, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3

EVRAZ ROCKY MOUNTAIN STEEL A DIVISION OF EVRAZ INC. NA

MATERIAL TEST REPORT

P.O. Box 316 Pueblo, CO 81002 USA

Date Printed: 21-MAR-12

Date Ship	pped: 21-	MAR-12			Product:	DEF 13m	n			Spec	cification	: ASTM-	A-615M09b	GR 420/AS	FM-A-70	06M09b
				FWIP: 52	815348		Cust	omer: Co	DNCRETE INI	DUSTRIES I	NC			Cust. PO: 9	3051	
Heat						СНІ	EMIC	AL	ANAL	YSIS	5	(He	at chemistry c	ntered 03/05/	/12)	
Number	с	Min	P	S	Si	Cu	Ni	Cr	Mo	Al .	v	B	Съ	Sn	N	Ti

 111485
 0.27
 1.23
 0.012
 0.024
 0.31
 0.13
 0.10
 0.044
 0.046
 0.0003
 0.014
 0.0108
 0.001

 Carbon Equivalent = 0.494

				MECHANICAL	PROPERT	IES		
Heat Number	Sample No.		Yield (Psi)	Ultimate (Psi)	Elongation (%)	Reduction (%)	Bend	Wi/R
111485	01		74160	103330	14.4		ok	0.664
		(MPa)	511.3	712.4				
111485	02		74037	102730	15.6		ok	0.663
		(MPa)	510.5	708.3				

All melting and manufacturing processes of the material subject to this

test certificate occurred in the United States of America.

ERMS also certifies this material to be free from Mercury contamination.

This material has been produced and tested in accordance with the requirements of the applicable specifications. We hereby certify that the above test results represent those contained in the records of the Company.

Markt Expanse

Quality Assurance Department

Figure A-7. Steel Rebar within Concrete Anchors, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3

C	oncrete	Industrie	es						BOB NU 800	OMISC	D.	PA	se number FTI-46	5	REC) DELIVER'	OATE	PAGE 1 of	1
63 P.C Lin	00 Cornhus D. Box 2952 Icoln, NE 68	ker Highwa 3 529-	ау	1000					JOB NA	S COM	IPLET	TE						RA	M
Ph	ione: (402)43	84-1800 FA	X: (402)434-	1899					MID	WEST	RO	ADSID	ESAF	ETY F	ACILI	TY		PKL	
Ret	erial Type bar, Grad	le 60, B	lack		REFERENCE			D	RAWING ID			4-CAE	BLE M	EDIAN	BAR	RIER			
Itm	Qty	Size	Length	N	Aark	Shape	Lbs	A	В	С	D	E	F/R	G	Н	J	K	0	E
1	48	11	9-06				2423												
-	48.						2423.												
2	135	4	7-00	401		T3	631			5-06		-		1-06				1-09	
	135.						631.												
3	66	3	3-01	301		T3	76			2-01		_		1-00				0-08	
4	27	3	3-07				36	_											
Г	SIZE	ITEMS	TOTAL	LBS	2	ITEMS		LBS					LBS	1	H			NG	
5	-	- ALLER	11000			Traino	Rebar	Gr	ade 6	0. BI	ack	TILOLO			- Hellin		Loco	200	
	3	2	93	1	12	1	27	1	36	0, 0,	1	66	7	5		0	0	0	
	4	1	135	6	31	0	0		0		1	135	63	1		0	0	0	
	11	1	48	24	23	1	48	24	23		0	0	()		0	0	0	
		4	276	31	66	2	75	24	159		2	201	70	7		0	0	0	
1	Total We	ight: 3,1	66 Lbs																
1	Longest I	ength:	9-06																
	3 4 11 Total We Longest I	2 1 4 ight: 3,1 _ength:	93 135 48 276 66 Lbs 9-06	1 6. 24: 31:	12 31 23 66	1 0 1 2	27 0 48 75	24	36 0 123 159		1 1 2		66 135 0 201	66 76 135 63 0 (201 707	66 76 135 631 0 0 201 707	66 76 135 631 0 0 201 707	66 76 0 135 631 0 0 0 0 201 707 0	66 76 0 0 135 631 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 201 707 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
									4 (Cabl	le	Anch	or	Cage	e Re	ebai	c		
									4 (Pi	Cabl	le . Pai:	Anch nt	or	Cage	e Re	ebai	c		

v7.20.1846 (T) (LIN)

02012 aSa UNAUTHORIZED REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Thursday, August 16, 2012 9:33 AM

Figure A-8. Rebar in Concrete Anchors, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3



Figure A-9. Concrete Anchor, Test Nos. MWP-2 and MWP-3



LINCOLN OFFICE 825 "J" Street Lincoln, NE 68508 Phone: (402) 479-2200 Fax: (402) 479-2276

COMPRESSION TEST OF CYLINDRICAL CONCRETE SPECIMENS - 6x12

ASTM Designation: C 39

Date 01-Apr-14

Client Name: Midwest Roadside Safety Facility Project Name: <u>MWP-2 (Level Terrain)</u> Placement Location: MWP-2 (Level Terrain)

Mix Designation: 4000

Required Strength: 4000

								Laboratory	Test Dat	a						
	Lohoratory Identification	Field Identification	Date Cost	Date Received	Date Tested	Days Cured in Field	Days Cured in Laboratory	Age of Test, Days	Leogth of Specimen, in.	Diameter of Specimen, in.	Cross-Sectional Area, sq.in.	Maximum Lood, Ibf	Compressive Strength, psi.	Required Strenyth, psi.	Type of Fracture	ASTM Practice for Copping Specimen
-	ZIT- 1	А	3/21/2014	3/31/2014	4/1/2014	10	1	11	12	6.02	28.46	156,750	5,510	4,000	5	C 1231

These were actually poured in 2013. SMT



Figure A-10. Concrete Anchor, Test Nos. MWP-2 and MWP-3

LD ADELPHI 411 MAIN NEW PRA	A METALS I LLC IST E AGUE, MN 56071-	NUCOR CORP	ORATION TEXAS	v		CERTIFII Ship from:	ED MILL	TEST R	EPOR	T	Page: 1		
IP ADELPHI N/A JEWETT,	A METALS-CUST PU TX 75846-					Nucor Stee 8812 Hwy 7 JEWETT, 1 800-527-64	I - Texas 79 W FX 75846 I45			B.L. Nu Load Nu	Date: 25 mber: 61 mber: 21	-Jul-2012 1543 7850	2
Aaterial Safety Data	a Sheets are available at www.nucorbar.co	om or by contacting	your inside	sales repres	sentative.						NBM	G-08 January	1, 201
LOT # HEAT #	DESCRIPTION	YIELD P.S.I.	TENSILE P.S.I.	ELONG % IN 8"	BEND	WT% DEF	C NI N	In Cr	Mo	S V S	Si Cb C	Sn Sn	С
PO# => JW1210548001 JW12105480	804132 Nucor Steel - Texas 10/#3 Rebar 40' A615M GR 420 (Gr60) ASTM A615/A615M-12 GR 60[420] AASHTO M31-07	77,800 536MPa	111,200 767MPa	12.0%			.38 .17	.86 .18	.012 .045	.026 .015	.14 .002	.38	

Figure A-11. #3 Straight Rebar, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3

				CERTIF	IED MATERIAI	TEST REPOR	Т	_			Page 1	
ରେ ଜା	ERDAU	CUSTOMER S	SHIP TO	CUS	TOMER BILL TO	TRIES INC	GRAD 60/420	DE.	SHAP	E / SIZE R ROUND / #11	(36MM)	
S-ML-MIDLOTHIAN	1	HAVELOCK	LNE 68529	LINUSA	COLN,NE 68529	-0529	LENG 60'00"	тн		WEIGHT 33,790 LB	HEAT / BATCH 5819611302	
0 WARD ROAD IDLOTHIAN, TX 76 SA	065	SALES ORE 126287/0000	DER 20				SPECI	IFICATION / DA	ATE or REVISION			
USTOMER PURCHAS	SE ORDER NUMBER		BILL OF LA 1327-000001	DING 5536	DATE 08/01/2	012						
HEMICAL COMPOSITIO C M % %	DN n P 6 % 87 0.012	\$ %	Si % 0.23	Cu % 0.24	Ni % 0.07	Cr % 0.09	Mo %	5n % 0.007	V %	Nb %	Al % 0:002	
HEMICAL COMPOSITIO CEA706 % 0.60	N											
IECHANICAL PROPERT YS KSI 73.4	IES M 5	'S Pa 06	L N	ITS IPa 30	Gi In 8.0	L. ch 00	G/L min 200	0	Elo 5 12	ng. 90		
MECHANICAL PROPERT Bend tes OK	IES											
DMMENTS / NOTES												
	The above figures are cer the USA. We certify that	tified chemical these data are c	and physical test orrect and in com	records as contain pliance with spec	ned in the perman cified requirement	ent records of cor s. CMTR complie	npany. This mate	rial, including th	e billets, was me	Ited and manufact	tured in	
									11.11			

Figure A-12. #11 Straight Rebar, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3



Figure A-13. UNC J-Hook Anchor, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3

26Apr12 9:26	TEST CER	TIFICATE	No: MAR 877775
INDEFENDER 6226 W. 74 CHICAGO, J Tel: 708-4	NCE TUBE CORPORATION 17TH STREET IL 60638 196-0380 Fax: 708-563-1950	P/0 No 4500179833 Rel S/0 No MAR 212696-00 B/L No MAR 123862-00 Inv No	1 4 Shp 23Apr12 Inv
Sold To: STEEL & PI 401 NEW CE KANSAS CIT NEW CENTUR	(5017) FE SUFFLY INTURY PARKWAY Y WHSE. Y, KS 66031	Ship To: (1) STEEL & PIFE SUPFLY 401 NEW CENTURY FKWY NEW CENTURY, KS 660	31
Tel: 913-7	68-4333 Fax: 913 768-6683		
-1000 1414	CERTIFICATE of ANALYSIS an	nd TESTS Cert.	No: MAR 877775 19Apr12
Part No TUBING A500 GRA 4" X 3" X 1/4"	ADE B(C)		Fics Wgt 20 8,408
Heat Number B200931	Taig No 621072 YLD=69070/TEN=81790/EL	.G=23.9	Fcs Wyt 20 8,408
Heat Number B200931	*** Chemical Analysis C=0.2000 Mn=0.4500 F=0.012 Cu=0.1200 Cr=0.0400 Mo=0.0	5 *** 20 5=0.0020 51=0.0300 A 2100 V=0.0010 N1=0.0400	1=0,0330
WE FROUDLY MANU INDEFENDENCE TU AND INSPECTED I	FACTURE ALL OF OUR HSS IN 1 DE FRODUCT IS MANUFACTURED, N ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM STAN DEMEMBER & WITH ASTM STAN	THE USA. , TESTED. : : : : : :	
CURRENT STANDAR	D6: 	₩-10a (2002)	
		6.5	
		R	
Page: 1.	Last		· · ·

Figure A-14. Foundation Tube, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3

	36513			M	ill Certifical	te	CI	USTOME	RORIGI	
Order - Iten 27519-220	1 Ca 11	ertificate N 18689850	lumber)	Delive 80351	ry No 618-10	Ship 03/0	Date 6/2013	Pa 1 c	ige of 1	
Customer	lo: 10780		Constant and an Or		Cust PO: 010	010892	(a) canada (a)			
CustomerF	art No. 275	09			AL 8.11 B.	-				
CustomerS Nortolk Iran 3001 North NORFOLK USA	old to: & Metal Co Victory Rd. NE 68702	mpany	Cus Nort 300: Wes NOF USA	tomer Ship folk Iron & 3 North Vid st Pit RFOLK NE N	to Metal Compar story Rd. 68702	ny	Contact - Ci Company ThyssenKru PO Box 456 CALVERT 4 USA	ustomer So upp Steel U 3 Al 36513	ervice JSA	
Type of Proc	GRADE 50	[340] /	<mark>0 1750 *</mark>)	× 60.0000 '	' ACCORDINC	5 TO <mark>A1(</mark>	<mark>011</mark> (Light < 0	230"(6 0 m	(וזאו)}	ec/4 44
THE OTEXPOS										
ASTM)()									
AGIM										
MATERIAL	SCRIPTION									
			Heat	Coil	Weigl	bt et	Weight Gross			
	ORDERE	D	No.	No.	L.	в	1.B			
(mm)	ORDERE	D 45 <mark>11</mark>	No. <mark>36387 111</mark>	No. 8689850	L 59,149.030	B 0	1 B 59,149 030			
(mm) (in)	ORDERE 4.4 0.17	D 45 <mark>11</mark> 50	No. 0 <mark>6387</mark> 111	No. 8689850	L 59,149.03(B	1 B 59,149 030			
(mm) (in) CHEMICAL C	ORDERE 4.4 0.17 OMPOSITION	D 45 <mark>11</mark> 50 OF THE L	No. 06387 111 ADILE	No. 8689850	L 59,149.030	B D	1 B 59,149 030			
(mm) (in) CHEMICAL C(Heat	ORDERE 4.4 0.17 DMPOSITION	D 45 11 50 OF THE L	No. 36387 111 ADLE	No. 8689850	L 59,149.030	6 D	1 B 59,149 030			
(mm) (in) CHEMICAL C(Heat No. 106387	ORDERE 4.4 0.17 OMPOSITION C 0.0487	D 45 11 50 OF THE L Si 0.01	No. 36387 111 ADLE Mo 0.45	No. 8689850 P	L 59,149.030 S 0.003	Al	1B 59,149 030 Cr	Cu	Mo 0.00	N 0.0048
(mm) (in) CHEMICAL C(Heat No. 106387	ORDERE 4.4 0.17 OMPOSITION C 0.0487	D 45 11 50 OF THE L Si 0.01	No. 36387 111 ADLE Mn 0.45	No. 8689850 P 0.009	L 59,149.030 S 0.003	AI 0.042	1B 59,149 030 Cr 0.01	Cu 0.00	Μο 0.00	N 0.0048
(mm) (in) CHEMICAL C(Heat No. 106387	ORDERE 4.4 0.17 DMPOSITION C 0.0487 Ni 0.009	D 45 11 50 OF THE L Si 0.01 Nb 0.022	No. 36387 111 ADLE 0.45 Ti 0.001	No. 8689850 P 0.009 B 0.0001	L 59,149.030 S 0,003 V 0,001	Al 0.042 Ca 0.003	118 59,149 030 Cr 0.01	Cu 0.00	Мо 0.00	N 0.0048
(mm) (in) CHEMICAL C(Heat No. 106387	ORDERE 4.4 0.17 OMPOSITION C 0.0487 Ni 0.009	D 45 11 50 OF THE L 51 0.01 Nb 0.022	No. 36387 111 ADLE 0.45 11 0.001	No. 8689850 P 0.009 B 0.0001	L 59,149.030 S 0,003 V 0.001	Al 0.042 Ca 0.003	1B 59,149.030 Cr 0.01	Cu 0.00	Mo 0.00	N 0.0048
(mm) (in) CHEMICAL CO Heat No. 106387 TENSILE TES	ORDERE 4.4 0.17 OMPOSITION C 0.0487 Ni 0.009 T	D 45 11 50 OF THE L 51 0.01 Nb 0.022	No, 36387 (111 ADLE 0.45 11 0.001	No. 8689850 P 0.009 B 0.0001	L 59,149.030 S 0,003 V 0.001	AI 0.042 Ca 0.003	1B 59,149.030 Cr 0.01	Cu 0.00	Mo 0.00	N 0.0048
(mm) (in) CHEMICAL CO Heat No. 106387 TENSILE TES Heat	ORDERE 4.4 0.17 DMPOSITION C 0.0487 Ni 0.009 T Colil	D 45 11 50 ОГ ТНЕ L Si 0.01 № 0.022 [est	No. 36387 111 ADLE 0.45	No. 8689850 P 0.009 B 0.0001 Tensile	L 59,149.030 S 0.003 V 0.001 % Total	Al 0.042 Ca 0.003	118 59,149 030 Cr 0.01	Cu 0.00	Mo 0.00	N 0.0048
(mm) (in) CHEMICAL CO Heat No. 106387 TENSILE TES Heat No. 106387	ORDERE 4.4 0.17 DMPOSITION C 0.0487 Ni 0.009 T Coll No. 1118689850	D 45 11 50 OF THE L Si 0.01 Nb 0.022 Fest Direction	No. 36387 111 ADLE 0.45	No. 8689850 P 0.009 B 0.0001 Tensile Strength 64.8 kg	L 59,149.030 S 0,003 V 0.001 % Total Flong. 34.6	AI 0.042 Ca 0.003	118 59,149 030 Cr 0.01	Cu 0.00	М¤ 0.00	N 0.0048
(mm) (in) CHEMICAL C(Heat No. 106387 TENSILE TES Heat No. 106387	ORDERE 4.4 0.17 OMPOSITION C 0.0487 Ni 0.009 T Coll * No. 1118689850	D 45 11 50 OF THE L Si 0.01 Nb 0.022 Test Direction L	No, 36387 111 ADLE Mn 0.45 Ti 0.001 Yield Strength 55 2 ksr	No. 86899850 P 0.009 B 0.0001 Tensile Strength 64.8 xsi	L 59,149.030 S 0,003 V 0.001 % Total Flong, 34.6	AI 0.042 Ca 0.003	1B 59,149.030 Cr 0.01	Cu 0.00	Мо 0.00	N 0.0048
(mm) (m) CHEMICAL CO Heat No. 106387 TENSILE TES Heat No. 106387	ORDERE 4.4 0.17 DMPOSITION C 0.0487 Ni 0.009 T Coll * No. 1118689850	D 45 11 50 OF THE L Si 0.01 Nb 0.022 Test Direction L	No, 36387 (111 ADLE 0.45 1 0.001 Yield Strength 55 2 kst	No. 8689950 P 0.009 B 0.0001 Tensile Strength 64.8 ksi	L 59,149.030 S 0,003 V 0.001 % Total Flong. 34.6	B 0 0.042 Ca 0.003	1B 59,149.030 Cr 0.01	Cu 0.00	Мо 0.00	N 0.0048
(mm) (in) CHEMICAL CO Heat No. 106387 TENSILE TES Heat No. 106387	ORDERE 4.4 0.17 DMPOSITION C 0.0487 Ni 0.009 T Coil * No. 1118689850	D 45 11 50 OF THE L Si 0.01 Nb 0.022 fest Direction L	No. 36387 111 ADLE 0.45 1i 0.001 Yield Strength 55 2 ksi	No. 8689950 P 0.009 B 0.0001 Tensile Strength 64.8 ksi	L 59,149.030 S 0,003 V 0.001 % Total Flong, 34.6	В 0 0.042 Са 0.003 76	118 59,149.030 Cr 0.01	Cu. 0.00	Mo 0.00	N 0.0048
(mm) (in) CHEMICAL C(Heat No. 106387 TENSILE TES Heat No. 106387 ThyssenKrup	ORDERE 4.4 0.17 DMPOSITION C 0.0487 Ni 0.009 T Coll No. 1118689850 1118689850	D 45 11 50 OF THE L/ Si 0.01 Nb 0.022 Test Direction L A, LLC cel with the c	No. 36387 111 ADLE Mn 0.45 Ti 0.001 Yield Strength 55 2 ksr rtify that th	No. B689950 P 0.009 B 0.0001 Tensile Strength 64.8 xsi 64.8 xsi	L 59,149.030 S 0,003 V 0.001 % Total Flong, 34.6 0.1 I herein descri s and is fully in	Al 0.042 Ca 0.003 76 bed has	118 59,149 030 Cr 0.01	Cu 0.00	Mo 0.00 ampled, t	N 0.0048 tested ar
(mm) (in) CHEMICAL CO Heat No. 106387 TENSILE TES Heat No. 106387 ThyssenKrup nspected in a	ORDERE 4.4 0.17 DMPOSITION C 0.0487 Ni 0.009 T Coli No. 1118689850 pp Steel US/ accordance	D 45 11 50 OF THE L/ Si 0.01 Nb 0.022 fest Direction L	No. 36387 111 ADLE Mn 0.45	No. B689850 P 0.009 B 0.0001 Tensile Strength 64.8 ksi ce materia quirement	L 59,149.030 S 0,003 V 0.001 % Total Flong. 34.6 0.1 I herein descri s and is fully in	AI 0.042 Ca 0.003 n 76 ibed has n compli	TB 59,149.030 Cr 0.01	Cu 0.00 actured, sa	Mo 0.00 ampled, t	N 0.0048 tested ar

Figure A-15. 7-Gauge, 83-in. Long Bent Z-Section Post, Test Nos. MWP-1 and MWP-2

Calvert, Al. 36	513			Mill C	Certifica	te	cu	STOME	RORIGI	NAL
Order - Item 12820-70	Cer 113	tificate Nun 1814950	nber	Delivery N 80554939	lo -10	Ship 02/27	Date 7/2014	Pag 1 o	ge f 1	
Customer No:	10779			Cu	ist PO: 01	013159				
Customer Par	t No: 26576	6								
Customer Sold Norfolk Iron & 3001 North Vi NORFOLK NE JSA	to: Metal Corr ctory Rd. 68702	ipany	Custo Norfol 3001 NORF USA	mer Ship to: Ik Iron & Mer North Victor FOLK NE 68	tal Compa y Rd. 3702	ny	Contact - Cus Company ThyssenKrup P.O. Box 456 CALVERT AI USA Email: CS.Ca Ph : 1-251-2	stomer Se p Steel L 36513 Ivert@Th 289-3000	ervice JSA hyssenkri	upp.com
Type of Produc Hot Roll Black	ct/Surface Coil Semi e	xposed								
TEST METHOD				An					892-	
MATERIAL DES	CRIPTION									
			-	0-11	Weig	iht	Weight			
	ORDERE	י כ	No.	No.	1	_B	LB			
(mm) (in)	4.44 0.175	5 6671 0	327 1131	814950	47,81	8	47,818			
	POSITION	OF THE LAD	DLE *							
Heat No.	с	Si	Mn	Р	S	AI	Cr	Cu	Мо	N
667827	0.0550	0.02	0.42	0.013	0.004	0.049	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.0058
	Ni	Nb	Ti	В	v	Ca				
	0.011	0.018	0.000	0.0001	0.001	0.0032				
ENSILE TEST										
Test Direction	Yield Strength	Tensile Strength	% Total Elong.							
L	60.7 ksi	67.1 ksi	33.0							
A										
ThyssenKrupp	Steel USA	, LLC cert	fy that th	e material h	erein desc	ribed has	been manufa	ctured, sa	ampled, t	ested and
nspected in a	ccordance	with the co	ntract rec	quirements a	and is fully	in compl	iance.			
÷ -			Butru	- Ehh	ardt	а •				
			Bertrar Directo	m Ehrhardt or, Quality Ass	urance and	Developm	ent .			a c
							1.41		Rev.	

Figure A-16. 7-Gauge, 83-in. Long Bent Z-Section Post, Test No. MWP-3



OCTOBER 5, 1999

BENNETT BOLT WORKS, INC. 12 ELBRIDGE STREET JORDAN, NY 13080 4CMwP Turnbuckles R# 14-0325 White Paint Bennett Bolt Lot# 21331/18305 COC Feb 2014 SMT

CERIFICATION OF CONFORMANCE

THIS LETTER IS TO ADVISE THE TURNBUCKLES NOTED BELOW ARE MANUFACTURED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY KEN FORGING, INC,

THESE TURNBUCKLES ARE MANUFACTURED IN COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL SPECIFICATION FF-T-791 1b TYPE 1

> PURCHASED ORDER NO. 7158 PART NUMBER : TB109-G TB110-G QUANTITY SHIPPED: 8PCS. 100PCS DATE SHIPPED: 9/8/99

KEN FORGING, INC.

1049 Griggs Road • Post Office Box 277 • Jefferson, OH 44047 (440) 993-8091 • Fax: (440) 992-0360

Figure A-17. Bennet Short Threaded Turnbuckle, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3



Figure A-18. Straight Rod Cable Clip, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3

INSPECTION CERTIFICATE

Lot No.

Date

Size

4CMwP 7/8" Nuts

R# 14-0325 White Paint Feb 2014 SMT



UNYTITE, INC. One Unytite Drive Peru, Illinois 61354

BENNETT BOLT WORKS GRADE DH 7/8- 9 UNC 12 ELBRIDGE STREET MW471 Aug. 19,'08 815-224-2221 - FAX# 815-224-3434 HEAVY HEX NUT UORDAN, N.Y. 13080 Mechanical properties tested in accordance to ASTM F606/F606M, ASTM A370, ASTM E18 Chemical Composition (%) Shape & Dimension ANSI B18.2.2 С Si Material Heat Mn P S Cu Ni Cr Mo Spec. Mill Maker Size No. Inspection .20 IN. MAX . MAX. GOOD RDAU AMER CARBON . 55 .60 0 040 d.050 --ISTEEL (NO **Thread Precision** STEEL M643354 .45 0 20 0.70 0 009 .029 .24 0.12 0.07 0.03 -ANSI B1.1 d CLASS 2B Mechanical Property Inspection GOOD Inspection Proof Load Cone Stripping Item Hardness Hardness Absorbed Energy Heat Treatment Appearance 80,850 -24-38 Inspection T:MIN.800 F Spec. GOOD Ibí kN . kgf . Ibf HAC HEB . HB J · kgfm · ftlbf Remarks: n n . 27.1 Q:FORGING Q 27.2 (W.Q.) "DH U" 5 27.1 27.5 27.6 T:1149 F/45M. Production Quantity 9 Result (W.C. 71,940 pcs. Results Results 27.3 × Q: Quenching 0 T: Tempering Hardness Treatment GOOD ST : Solution Treatment After 24 Hr.X *F (*C) Chief of Quality Assurance Section Material used for the nut was melted and manufactured in the USA. The nut was manufactured in the USA to the above specification. We hereby certify that the material described has been manufactured and inspected satisfactorily with the requirement of the above specification

Figure A-19. $7/_8$ in. Hex Nut, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3

Specification

ASTM A-563

Customer

. 09/27/2007 10:02 3156893999

BENNETT BOLT WORKS

PAGE 83 39622

Southeastern Bolt & Screw, Inc 1037 16th Avenue West Birmingham, AL 35204 (205) 328-4551

MATERIAL TEST REPORT

DATE: July 7, 2004

CUSTOMER P.O.: 013218

LAB REPORT NO.: 11065

SIZE: 7/8-9 X 48 Double End Rod

LOT NO.: L15532 (296489-01)

SURFACE COATING: A158 Class C

SPECIFICATION: A449 Type 1

CUSTOMER: Bennett Bolt Works, Inc.

QUANITY: 57

MARKINGS: SBS, Three Radial Lines

CHEMISTRY

 C
 MN
 P
 S
 SI
 V
 Cb
 CR
 MO

 .47
 .75
 .010
 .030
 .20
 .013

MATERIAL GRADE: 1045

HEAT NO.: 734281

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

PROOF LOAD	
Applied Tensile Force, lbf	39,250
Length Measurement Differential, in	-0.0005

AXIAL TENSILE Axial Tensile Load, lbf 60,600 Failure Location Threads

WEDGE TENSILE 10 Degree Wedge Tensile Load, lbf Failure Location

HARDNESS MEASUREMENTS Rockwell C Scale

28

TEST METHODS: ASTM F606

We certify that the above test results do conform to the requirements of the specifications as shown. These test results relate only to the item tested. This document may be reproduced, but only in its entirety. All material was melted and manufactured in the USA.

halded Jim Washell, Quality Assurance Manager

Figure A-20. Bennett Cable End Fitter, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3

, 09/27/2007 10:02 3156	393999	BENNETT BOLT WORKS	PAGE 04
	BUCK 897 Lancaste Phone (7) www.buckeompany.co	COMPANY, IP r Pike, Quarryville, PA 1756 7) 284-4114 Fax (717) 284-43 ap greatenstings@bac	NC. 6-9738 321 ekeompany.com
Date 8-30-0 CUSTOMER 1 ORDER NUMBER	MATERIAL (Sennett Bol 75590	ERTIFICATIO	N m# CERT-7A Rev C 4-21-116
PATTERN NUMBER This is to certify th with the drawing or ord requirements and / or su data is on file and availa Type Materlal: Specifications: Grade or Class:	<u>C.G.D.D.W</u> It the castings listed conform ered requirements. All Quality pplementary Quality Assura the upon request. <u>MAILCABIC</u> <u>ASTM-147</u> <u>32510</u> 2011	IH REV. nothe following specificat ty Assumance provisions and nec provisions have been co	tions and comply in all respects d / or Quality Assurance ompleted and accepted, SPC
Heat Number: MECHANICAL PRO Tensile Str. PSI Yield Str. PSI Elongation PHYSICAL PROPER	TOTA TOTA TOTA TOTA TOTA TOTA TOTA TOTA	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS Total Carbon Silicon Manganese Sulfur Phosphorus Chrome Magnesium Copper	
Brinell Hardness PCS SHIPPED of	Quality	DATE SHIPPED S- Quality Asso	30-07 Budulurance Representative
Fe	ritic and Pearlitic Mullenble Iron,	, Gray and Ductile Iron. Brass. A	Jaminum

Figure A-21. Bennet Cable End Fitter, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3

	4 016 - D
	40MWF Bennett Bolt Lot# 0100
	Beimett Bort Lot# 8108
	$R_{\rm H} = 14 - 0525$
11 W	FED ZOIA SMI
BUCK	COMPANY, INC.
897 Lancast	er Pike, Quarryville, PA 17566-9738
Phone (7	17) 284-4114 Fax (717) 284-4321
www.buckcompany.co	om greatcastings@buckcompany.com
MATERIAL	CERTIFICATION
Date 61713	Form# CERT-7C Rev A 4/21/06
CUSTOMER: BEDDETT B)-	t
ORDER NUMBER 10010903	
INIA INIER	0
PATTERN NUMBER VVL VVCCC	
This is to certify that the castings listed confi- in all respects with the drawing or ordered requiren	orm to the following specifications and comply nents. All Quality Assurance provisions and / or Quality
Assurance requirements and / or supplementary Qu	ality Assurance provisions have been completed and
in the USA.	T
Type Material:	de Iron
Specifications: ASTM-	Ach
35 cm 27	510
Grade or Class: OZ	
Heat Number:	
MECHANICAL PROPERTIES	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS
Tensile Str. PSI 53,417	Silicon 1.42
Yield Str. PSI 35,3(0)	ManganeseS
Florention	Phosphorus 015
	Magnesium
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES	Copper41/
Brinell Hardness 24	1.1.1
PCS SHIPPED 9,218	DATE SHIPPED 4123
OF	Dita LODE
	Quality Assurance Representative
Quali	ty Castings
ISO 900 Equitie and Depulitie Mellenhe I	ron, Gray and Ductile Iron, Brass, Aluminum
Ferritic and Fearitic Maileable I	
Ferric and Fearinic Maneable I	

Figure A-22. Cable Wedges, Test Nos. MWP-1 and MWP-2

GÐ GERDAU	CUSTOMER SI STATE STEE 13433 CENTE	HP TO L SUPPLY CO INC CH RD	CUSTOMER BILL STATE STEEL S	TO UPPLY CO INC	GRADE A36		SHAP Flat / 1	E/SIZE /2 X 3			
S-ML-WILTON	OMAHA,NE	58138-3492	SIOUX CITY,IA USA	51102-3224	LENGTH 20'00"		-	WEIGHT 34,272 LB	HI 64	047117/02	4
/ILTON, IA 52778	SALES ORD 639595/00005	ER 50	CUSTOMER	MATERIAL Nº	SPECIFIC 1-ASTM A 2-A36/A36						
CUSTOMER PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER 931101SW251		BILL OF LADING 1334-0000007548	DA 11/	ATE /05/2013	3-A709-11 4-AASHTC	D M278-11	* - *	8			х
CHEMICAL COMPOSITION & Mn & 0.18 0.56 0.007	s 0.036	Şi (0.18 0	21 Ni 27 0.08	Çr 0.11	Mo 0.023	¥ 0.000	Nb 0.001	A1 0.000	Pb %	3	
CHEMICAL COMPOSITION Sp 0.010						1	1				:
MECHANICAL PROPERTIES Elong. Gi 26.30 8.0 30.00 8.0	/L. ch 000	UTS PSI 66800 67600		UTS MPa 461 466	YS PSI 43700 44100		Y M 30	'S Pa 01 04	iit.	-	
20.52											
4CMB Cable Anchor	Plate	Washer					8				
4CMB Cable Anchor	Plate	Washer	. ej	2				ir,			
4CMB Cable Anchor	Plate	Washer	ं ल े	2		×P	311015	425105*			
4CMB Cable Anchor	Plate	Washer				*P *6484	31101Si 7117*	-{25105×			
COMMENTS / NOTES 4CMB Cable Anchor 4CMB Cable Anchor The above figures are or the USA. CMTR compli	Plate rtified chemical ies with EN 102	Washer I and physical test record 04 3.1.	s as contained in the p	ermanent records of oc	Drupany. This materia	#P #6484 al, including the t	31101Si 2117%	J25105#	actured in	-	
COMMENTS/NOTES 4CMB Cable Anchor 4CMB Cable Anchor The above figures are oc the USA. CMTR compl Maadk	Plate rtified chemical ics with EN 102	Washer I and physical test record 043.1. IMASKAR YALAMANCHILI IVALITY DIBECTOR	s as costained in the p	ermanent records of co	Dompany. This materia	*P *6484 al, including the t	311015 7117% rillets, was n r Autor BRET QOAL	25105# melted and manufit T ERAUSE .TY ASSURANCE M	actured in GR.		
COMMENTS / NOTES 4CMB Cable Anchor 4CMB Cable Anchor The above figures are or the USA. CMTR compli Mack	Plate	Washer I and physical test record 04 3.1. RALFAR YALAMANCHELJ RALFAR YALAMANCHELJ RALFAR YALAMANCHELJ	s as contained in the p	ermanent records of co	ompany. This materia	*P *6484 al, including the t	311015 7117ж 7117ж 7117ж Pillets, was n Pillets, was n QOAL	225105# Delited and manufit T ERAUSE	actured in GR.		

Figure A-23. CMB High Tension Anchor Plate Washer, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3

SPS Coil F 275 Bird Port of Cal	rocessi Creek A toosa, O	ng Tulsa ve. oK 74015			S	& PIPE SUPPLY COMPANY INC.	TES	TRE	POR	T		PA DA TII US	AGE 1 of ATE 01/20 ME 12:42 SER WILL	1 /2014 :58 IAMR	
12946 Whee 3100 Musko	ler Metal W. 40th S ogee OK	s, Inc. Street North 74401						S 129 H WH P 310 T MU	946 neeler Met 00 W. 40th skogee O	tals, Inc. h Street No K 74401	rth				
)rder 633447-0120	Mat 0 701:	erial No. 272120	Descrip <mark>3/8</mark> 7	otion 72 X 120 A:	36 STP M	IIL PLT	Qu	uantity	Weight	t Custome	er Part	C N	Sustomer PO ISK-0110-JP	5	Ship Date)1/20/2014
		-					Chemical Ar	nalysis							
leat No. A31	2845	10 54	Vendor SEV	ERSTAL CO	LUMBUS		DOMESTIC		Mill SE	EVERSTAL C	OLUMBUS		Melted and Mar	nufactured	in the US.
arbon Mar 2000	nganese 0.8200	Phosphorus 0.0100	0.0010	Silicon 0.0200	Nickel 0.0400	Chromium 0.0700	Molybdenum 0.0100	Boron 0.0001	Copper 0.0700	Aluminum 0.0290	Titanium 0.0010	Vanadium 0.0040	Columbium 0.0010	Nitrogen 0.0072	Ti 0.005
						Mecha	anical/ Physic	al Prope	rties						
fill Coil No.	A312845-0	14													
Tens	lle	Yield		26 10	Hckwi		Grain	Charpy		Charpy Dr	C	harpy Sz	Tempera	ture	Olse
71752.0	00	47961.000		33.40	0		0.000	0		NA					
72022.0	00	49126.000		32.10	0		0.000	0		NA					
71999.0	.00	49570.000		31.00	o		0.000	0		NA					
- S1:	ip Bas	se													

Figure A-24. Second Post Base Plate, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3

PS Coil Processi 275 Bird Creek A ort of Catoosa, C 23015 PCI Manufact 296 Sulphur Sprin	ng Tulsa Ive. DK 74015 :uring LLC Igs TX 7548	3		SPSS SUPPY COMPANY INC	TES	T REI	015 I Manufac 6 North H phur Spri	turing LLC illorest Driv ngs TX 75	ve 482	PA DA TII US	GE 1 of NTE 08/30 ME 13:30 SER GIAN	1)/ 2013):18 GRER	
rder Ma 578953-0020 70	iterial No. 1672120	Description	1 X 120 A36	STP MIL PLT	٩	uantity	Weight	Custome	r Part	с 2	ustomer PO 10449	s	hip Date 8/30/2013
		Varder CD/C	STAL COLUMN	PLIC	Chemical A	nalysis	Mill C		OLUMPUS		Maltad and Ma		- 4h - 110 A
atch 0002552987 arbon Manganese 1900 0.8300	6 EA Phosphorus 0.0140	7,351.200 I Sulphur 0.0030 (B Silicon N 0.0400 0.0	ckel Chromium 400 0.0600	Molybdenum 0.0100	Boron 0.0001	Copper 0.1000	Aluminum 0.0300	Titanium 0.0020	Vanadium 0.0030	Columbium 0.0010	Nitrogen 0.0066	Tin 0.0050
				Mech	nanical/ Physi	cal Proper	ties						
ill Coil No. A30768	2-04												
Tensile	Yield	Bo	ong R	kwl	Grain	Charpy		Charpy Dr	Ch	arpy Sz	Tempera	iture	Olsen
71202.000	47760.000	33.	30	0 0	0.000	0		NA					
								1011					
Cable	Hanger												

Figure A-25. Second Post Cable Hanger, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3

															42				
5	Bill To: STEEL AND P.O. BOX MANHATTAN 66502	PIPE : 1688	SUPPLY	KS US	Ship STEEL 401 M GARDN 66031	To: 6 L AND PI NEW CENT NER L	PE SUPP URY PAR	LY KWAY KS US	Mi	Order 11 Ord Lo Manife	Date:01 PO No:41 er No:33 ad No:14 st No:20	9/23/2 5/1670 933756 100655 95217	011 08	CERTI	FIED	MATE: G	RIAL S ERDAU Mid 300 Midlot	TEST AMER lothia 0 Ward hian,	REPORT ISTEEL n Mill Road TX 760
õ	CORATELAN	roug			SIZE	5.7# / s	75 X 8.	5 A	RADE	250		LENG	STH	.192 M		PRO	(9) DUCT DEAMS	72)775	-8241
	ASTM A6-09	A36-0	A. A572	2-07. A	992-06a	-													2.4
		110000											12						
	HEAT NO:	1193354	0			0		-		HEMICAL	ANALYSI	5						a 12	.7
	C	Mn	P	S	51	Cu	NI	Cr	Mo	Sn	V	AL	ND	CE				3 4	
	.09	.85	.010	.021	. 24	.19	.07	.09	.023 <u>P</u>	.004 HYSICAL	PROPERT	.003	.014	.21	-			-	
	Yield St	ength			Tensile :	Strength	r.	Spec	imen A	rea	El	ongati	on			Be	nd Test		ROA
	KSI CO 1	MP	a		KS1 73 7	MPa	14	o tor		o or	. 22.2	Ga	de ren	200		Dia	. Kesu	TC	× .
	58 6	414.	9		75.0	517 1		0.125		0.81	23.3		8 Tn	200 mm	n				
	20.0	404.	v		15.0	211.1		0.139		0.90	23.9		0 111	200 111					
							ti			· .			x' +		••	• •		а 4	9
a. ¹⁷	80			2	2		197			~		1.5			5				
	9	34											- K						
1	2part	Post	s																
						-													
							t, inclu	uding e	electr	ic arc	MELTING	and o	contin	uous CA	STING.		anad it	-	
	All manuf CMTR comp	acturin lies wi	ng pro	cesses 10204	of this 3.1	product										occu	rred 1	n the	U.S.A.
	All manuf CMTR comp "I hereby material specifica	acturin lies wi certii manufac tions a	ig pro th EN y tha turer ind app	cesses 10204 t the o or its plicab	of this 3.1 contents s sub-co le purch	of this ontractor	s report rs, when signated	t are c n appli d requi	correc cable	t and a , are i ts."	accurate In compl	. All iance	l test with	s and o the reg	perati uireme	ons p nts o	erforme f the r	n the ed by materi	this al
	All manuf CMTR comp "I hereby material specifica Signed	acturin lies wi certii manufac tions a	th EN th EN turer and app	t the or it: plicab	of this 3.1 contents s sub-co le purch	of this ontractor maser des	s report rs, when signated	t are c n appli d requi :Sep. 2	correc cable remen 8, 201	t and a , are i ts." 1 Sid	accurate in compl gned:	. All iance	l test with	s and o the req	perati uireme	ons p nts o	erforme f the r Date:	n the ed by materi	this al

Figure A-26. S3x5.7 Steel Posts, Test Nos. MWP-1 and MWP-2



Figure A-27. 12 Gauge Tabbed Bracket, Version 10, Test Nos. MWP-1 and MWP-2



Figure A-28. 12-Gauge Tabbed Bracket, Version 10, Test No. MWP-3

, (47 LEX	79) 474-5211 537439	FAX (479) 47	4-9075				
Our Or Produc Custon	ner Mi der No 40 t 3/ Mer Part No	awest Roadside 60145416 4" 3X7 CL A G	e Safety Facili 2010 ALV GUIDERAIL S	UU Qt HORTS	stomer Order No Y	sample 3	Carriers
MFĢ SM	IP NO AS	T3043SE10S		Customer Spe	c No ASTM A 741		
nished g#	Diameter	Lay Length	Breaking Load	Adherence Appearance	Steel Ductility		
509409	1n 0.79	(in.) 6	46525	of Wires Pass	Pass		
5 09459	0.75	7	46548	Pass	Pass >		
09513	0.75	7.3	49219	Pass	Pass		
		28					

terial was melted and made in the U.S.A.
s undersigned certifies that the results are actual results and conform to the specification indicated
contained in the records of this Corporation.

Manager Control

12 47 12

- -

Notary Public Commission Expires

Figure A-29. High-Strength Pre-Stretched Cable Guiderail, Test Nos. MWP-1 and MWP-2

CERTIFICATE OF CONFORMANCE

TO: Structrual Bolt Co.,LLC

 PO #:
 15306

 DATE OF SHIPMENT:
 2/14/2014

 ITEM #:
 IHMB0630950CZ

 ITEM DESCRIPTION:
 5/8-11 X 9-1/2 GR 5 Hex bolt zinc import

QUANTITY: 4 LOT #: 49045494 COUNTRY OF ORIGIN: CANADA

The above described material was produced in accordance with the applicable standards and/or applicable specifications as designated on purchase order and/or drawing that are current for these parts on the date on which the inquiry and/or order was placed.

William H. Rex

William H. Rex Operations Manager



 Hadell - Malca Industrias. Inc.

 7825 Hub Parkway, Valley View, Ohio 44125

 (216) 447-0165 • (800) 321-4862

Figure A-30. ⁵/₈-in. Dia. UNC 9 ¹/₂-in. Long Hex Bolt, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3



Porteous Fastener Company BOLTS NUTS SCREWS WASHERS

CORPORATE OFFICE 1040 Watson Center Road, Carson, CA 90745 (310) 549-9180 Fax (310) 835-0415 www.porteousfastener.com

May 30, 2013

Attn: Chris Burris

Structural Bolt 2140 Cornhusker Hwy Lincoln NE 68521 Fax: 402-435-3135

Dear: Chris,

You contacted our Denver office concerning specifications under which we purchase our N.C. Gr. 5 Finished. Hex Nuts.

Firstly, our products are purchased to specification where applicable. Our Purchase Orders clearly state that each product supplied to Porteous Fastener Company is to meet the proper specification as referenced in the Industrial Fastener Institute manual for that product when such specifications exist.

(ASME / ANSI B18.2.2 And SAE J995, GRADE 5.)

Secondly, we require certifications from our suppliers of all products Grade 5 or better: A325 Structural Bolts, Grade 5 Hex Cap Screws, Grade 8 Hex Cap Screws, ASTM A194 2H Hvy, Hex Nuts, F436 Structural Washers, Grade 8 Finished Hex Nuts, ASTM A193 Grade B7 Threaded Rod, SAE Hi Nuts and Grade C Hex Locknuts. These certifications are on file at Porteous corporate office and copies of same are available to our customers.

We trust that you can be confident, as we are, that the product furnished to you meets specifications.

Please let me know if we can be of further service.

Sincerely,

Herbert Recinos Inventory Control

Cc: Carrie- Denver

Figure A-31. ⁵/₈-in. Dia. Heavy Hex Nut and ⁵/₁₆-in. Dia. Nut, Test Nos. MWP-1 through

MWP-3

DISTRIBUTOR'S AFFIDAVIT STRIBUTOR E STRUCTURAL BOLT CO 40 CORNHUSKER HWY COLN, NE 68521 The Structrual Bolt Co, hereby certifies that the items below meets or exceeds requirements per your purchase order <u>antity Size Descripition Spec Finish 20 3/4 x 5 1/2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 20 3/4 10 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL 100 1/2 VASHER FLAT WASHER A307 PL 100 1/2 VA</u>
STREUTOR: REFERENCE PO# 4CMB STRUCTURAL BOLT CO 40 CORNHUSKER HWX ICOLN, NE 68521 REFERENCE PO# 4CMB The Structural Bolt Co, hereby certifies that the items below meets or exceeds requirements per your purchase order Spec Finish Antity Size Descripition Spec Finish 20 3/4 x 5-1/2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 20 3/4 x 5-1/2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 20 3/4 - 10 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL 20 1/2 - 13 X 2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 20 1/2 - 13 X 2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 20 1/2 - 13 X 2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 20 1/2 - 13 X 2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 20 1/2 - 13 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL 20 1/2 - 13 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL 20 1/2 - 13 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL 20 1/2 - 13 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL
STRIBUTOR: E STRUCTURAL BOLT CO 40 CORNHUSKER HWY NCOLN, NE 68521 The Strcutrual Bolt Co, hereby certifies that the items below meets or exceeds requirements per your purchase order antity Size Descripition Spec Finish 20 3/4 x 5-1/2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 20 3/4-10 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL 100 1/2 WASHER FLAT WASHER A307 PL 100 1/2 WASHER FLAT WASHER A307 PL 50 1/2-13 X 2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 50 1/2-13 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL 100 1/2 UASHER FLAT WASHER A307 PL 1
ACMINE ACCEPCE POR ACCMINE ACCCMINE ACCMINE AC
ACOLN, NE 68521 he Strutrual Bolt Co, hereby certifies that the items below meets or exceeds requirements per your purchase order antity Size Descripition Spec Finish 20 3/4 x 5-1/2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 20 3/4-10 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL 100 1/2 WASHER FLAT WASHER A307 PL 50 1/2-13 X 2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 50 1/2-13 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 50 1/2-13 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Bestrutrual Bolt Co, hereby certifies that the items below meets or exceeds requirements per your purchase order antity Size Descripition Spec Finish 20 3/4 x 5-1/2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 20 3/4-10 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL 100 1/2 WASHER FLAT WASHER A307 PL 50 1/2-13 X 2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 50 1/2-13 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL 6 0 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Size Descripition Spec Finish 20 3/4 x 5-1/2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 20 3/4-10 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL 100 1/2 WASHER FLAT WASHER A307 PL 50 1/2-13 X 2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 50 1/2-13 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL 50 1/
Size Descripition Spec Finish 20 3/4 x 5-1/2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 20 3/4-10 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL 100 1/2 WASHER FLAT WASHER A307 PL 50 1/2-13 X 2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 50 1/2-13 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL 50 1/2-13 NUT Intervention Intervention Intervention <td< th=""></td<>
20 3/4 x 5-1/2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 20 3/4-10 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL 100 1/2 WASHER FLAT WASHER A307 PL 50 1/2-13 X 2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 50 1/2-13 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
20 3/4-10 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL 100 1/2 WASHER FLAT WASHER A307 PL 50 1/2-13 X 2 HEX BOLT A307 PL 50 1/2-13 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
100 1/2 WASHER FLAT WASHER A 307 PL 50 1/2-13 X 2 HEX BOLT A 307 PL 50 1/2-13 NUT HEX NUT A 307 PL
S0 1/2-13 × 2 HEX BULL A307 PL 50 1/2-13 NUT HEX NUT A307 PL
Image:
Image:
der# 4CMB RC lov# 109423
JUIIVII 100423
Place Brania
tributor's Signature Unitris Date: 2/18/2014
le: General Manager

Figure A-32. ¹/₂-in. Dia. UNC 2-in. Long Hex Bolt and Nut and ³/₄-in. Dia. UNC 5¹/₂-in. Long

Hex Bolt and Nut, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3



GEM-YEAR TESTING LABORATORY CERTIFICATE OF INSPECTION

Tel: (0573)84185001(48Lines) Fax: (0573)84184488 84184567 DATE : 2013/08/26

PACKING NO: GEM130723036

FINISH : H.T. TRIVALENT ZINC

PART NO: 00050-2516-021

HEAT NO: 13300336-4

MATERIAL : X35ACR

INVOICE NO: GEM/PFC-130821AT3

SAMPLING PLAN : ASME B18.18/ASTM F1470

MANUFACTURER GEM-YEAR INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. ADDRESS : NO.8 GEM-YEAR ROAD, E.D.Z., JIASHAN, ZHEJIANG, P.R.CHINA

PURCHASER : PORTEOUS FASTENER COMPANY. PO. NUMBER : 13020511C3 COMMODITY : HEX CAP SCREW GR-5 SIZE : 5/16-18X1 NC

LOT NO : 1B1331706 SHIP QUANTITY : 79,200 PCS HEADMARKS: CYI & 3 RADIAL LINES

COUNTRY OF ORIGINAL : CHINA

PERCENT	AGE COM	POSITION	OF CHE	MISTRY :			
Chemistry	Al%	C%	Cr%	Mn%	P%	S%	Si%
Spec. : MIN.	0.0200	0.3500	0.2000	0.7000			
MAX.		0.3800	0.4000	0.9000	0.0250	0.0150	0.1000
Test Value	0.0260	0.3600	0.2780	0.7900	0.0140	0.0020	0.0600

DIMENSIONAL INSPECTIONS : ACCORDING TO ASME/ANSI B18.2.1

TEST DATE : 2013/08/02		SAMPLED BY : YAN WANG			SAMPLING DATE : 2013/08/02			
INSPECTIONS ITEM	SAMPLE	TEST METHOD RI	EF SPECIFIED	1	ACTUAL RESULT	ACC.	REJ	
MAJOR DIAMETER	9PCS	MIL-STD-120	7.690-7.900	MM	7.810-7.880 MM	9	0	
WIDTH ACROSS CORNERS	9PCS	MIL-STD-120	14.150-14.650	MM	14.360-14.390 MM	i 9	0	
HEIGHT	9PCS	MIL-STD-120	4.960-5.350	MM	5.030-5.040 MM	9	0	
NOMINAL LENGTH	26 PCS	MIL-STD-120	24.640-25.400	MM	25.100-25.140 MM	26	0	
WIDTH ACROSS FLATS	9 PCS	MIL-STD-120	12.430-12.700	MM	12.570-12.700 MM	9	0	
SURFACE DISCONTINUITIES	50 PCS	ASTM F788/F788M		1	PASSED	50	0	
THREAD	9 PCS	MIL-STD-120	1	3A	PASSED	9	0	

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES : ACCORDING TO SAE J 429-2011

TEST DATE : 2013/03/22		SAMPLED BY : 1	JUN	SAMPLING DATE : 2013/03/22				
INSPECTIONS ITEM	SAMPLE	TEST METHOD	REF	SPECIFIED	ACTUAL RESULT	ACC.	REJ.	
CORE HARDNESS	15PCSA	STM F606/F606M	-1	25-34 HRG	28-31 HRG	15	0	
SURFACE HARDNESS	15 PCS A	STM E18		Max. 54 HR30N	45-48 HR30N	15	0	
TENSILE STRENGTH	5 PCS A	STM F606/F606M	1 1 1 1	Min. 120 KSI	132-140 KS	5	0	

ALL TESTS ARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE METHODS PRESCRIBED IN THE APPLICABLE ASTM/SAE/ASME/MIL-STD-120 SPECIFICATION. WE CERTIFY THAT THIS DATA IS A TRUE REPRESENTATION OF INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE MATERIAL SUPPLIER AND OUR TESTING LABORATORY.

WE CERTIFY THE PARTS ARE ROHS COMPLIANT. THIS CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT APPLIES TO THE SAMPLES TESTED AND IT CANNOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL.

SIGNATURE :

1 of 1

Figure A-33. ⁵/₁₆-in. Dia. UNC 1-in. Long Hex Cap Screw, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3

SUPERIOR WASHER AND GASKET CORP. 170 Adams Avenue Hauppauge, New York 11788 Phone: (631) 273-8282 Fax: (631) 273-8088 E-Mail: swg@superiorwasher.com Web: superiorwasher.com (In the East) SUPERIOR WASHER AND GASKET CORP. 662 Bryant Blvd. Rock Hill, South Carolina 29732 Phone: (803) 366-3250 Fax: (803) 366-3511 E-Mail: swg@superiorwasher.com Web: superiorwasher.com (In the South)

ACCURATE MANUFACTURE GROUP P.O. BOX 7232 - DEPT, 168

INDIANAPOLIS , IN 46206

Customer Purchase Order Number Superio		Superior Order Number	Superior Lot Number	Tracer No	Tracer No.		
9454		504612-1	504612 - 1	SC3148	3 -3/21153114		
Date 04-02-13	Production Card	Part Number					
Drawing		Dual Cert No.	an a		10,000		
P/N S-1/2	I YBNZ A						

We hereby certify that all materials and processes conform to the required drawing specifications and that the parts have been manufactured in the U.S.A. All parts are manufactured in a Mercury-free environment

Material

1008 LOW CARBON STEEL No. 5

ZINC TRIVALENT CHROMIUM

Chemical Analysis

C	CARBON	.0700
Mn	MANGANESE	.3300
P	PHOSPHORUS	.0080
S	SULPHUR	.0070
Si	SILICON	.0100
Cr	CHROMIUM	.0200
Ni	NICKEL	.0100
Mo	MOLYBDENUM	.0100
Cu	COPPER	.0200
Fe	IRON	
Ti	TITANIUM	
Co	COBALT	
N	NITROGEN	
Cb	COLUMBIUM	
Al	ALUMINUM	.0430
Sn	TIN	
Mg	MAGNESIUM	
Zn	ZINC	
Pb	LEAD	
Va	VANADIUM	

Mechanical Properties

Yield Tensile Elongation Hardness Heat Magnetic Permeability

B 49.0 4179170

Bend Test

SUPERIOR WASHER & GASKET CORP.

chard anderso By De

Richard Anderson, Jr. Quality Control Manager

Figure A-34. $\frac{1}{2}$ -in. Dia. Washer with $1^{1}/_{16}$ -in. OD, Test Nos. MWP-1 and MWP-2

Appendix B. Vehicle Center of Gravity Determination

Test: MV	WP-1		Ve	hicle:	taurus			
_	Vehicle CC					ation		
					Weight			
VE	HICLE	Equipme	ent		(lb)			
+		Unbalast	ted Car (cur	b)	3205			
+		Brake re	ceivers/wire	S	6			
+		Brake Fr	ame		7			
+		Brake Cy	ylinder		22			
+		Strobe B	attery		6			
+		Hub			20			
+		CG Plate	e (EDRs)		14			
+		DTS			20			
-		Battery			-31			
-		Oil			-5			
-		Interior			-53			
-		Fuel			0			
-		Coolant	-		-16			
-	· · •	Washer	fluid		-3			
BAI	LLAST	Water			110			
		Misc.						
		Misc.		I				
		Entimate	- Total Wa	aht	3302	I		
		EStimate		Igni	3302	a		
whe	eel base	108.5	in					
MA	SH targets				Test Inerti	al	Difference	
Tes	st Inertial Wt (lb)	;	3300 (+/-)22	20	3302		2.0	
Lon	ng CG (in.)		N/A		40.27		NA	
Late	eral CG (in.)		N/A		0.334976		NA	
Not	e: Long. CG is m	easured	from front a	xle of	test vehicle			
Not	e: Lateral CG me	asured fr	rom centerli	ne - po	ositive to ve	hicle right ((passenger) s	side
					L	Dummy =	164lbs	
CU	RB WEIGHT (Ib)					TEST INE	RTIAL WEIG	HT (lb)
		· <u>-</u> .	5: 14			(from scales)		
		Left	Right				Left H	Right
Fro	nt		1054	1061		Front	1018	1056
Rea	ar		550	540		Rear	613	611
			. –					
	ONT	4	2115 lb			FRONI	2074 1	b
RE/	AR		1090 lb			REAR	<u>1224</u> I	b
TO	TAL		3205 lb			TOTAL	3298 I	b

Figure B-1. Vehicle Mass Distribution, Test No. MWP-1
Test: MWP-2	Vehicle:	Ram 1500	
	Vehicle C(- Determination	
	• •••••••	Weight Vert	CG Vert M
VEHICLE	Equipment	<u>(lb) (in</u>	.) (lb-i <u>n.)</u>
+	Unbalasted Truck (Curb)	5058 28.6	0061 144661.9
+	Brake receivers/wires	6	52.5 315
+	Brake Frame	9	30 270
+	Brake Cylinder (Nitrogen)	22	28 616
+	Strobe/Brake Battery	5	32 160
+	Hub	30 15.	9375 478.125
+	CG Plate (Data)	8	31.5 252
-	Battery	-43	42.5 -1827.5
-	Oil	-7	18 -126
-	Interior	-79	23 -1817
-	Fuel	-154	21 -3234
-	Coolant	-15	32 -480
-	Washer fluid	0	39 0
BALLAST	Water	166	21 3486
	DTS plate	1	27 27
	Misc.		0
			142781.5
	Vertical CG Location (in.)	28.51638	
wheel base (in.)	140.5		- 144
MASH Targets	Targets	Test Inertial	Difference
	5000 ± 110	5023	23.0
	63 ± 4	61.37	-1.63090
	NA	-0.18041	NA
Vert CG (In.) ≥	28	28.52	0.51638
Note: Long. CG is mea	sured from front axle of test	vehicle	
NOTE: Lateral CG meas	ured from centenine - positiv	e to venicie rignu	(passenger) side
CURB WEIGHT (Ib)		TEST	INERTIAL WEIGHT (Ib)
		(from so	cales)
	Left Right		Left Right
Front	1494 1377	Front	1452 1377
Rear	1079 1108	Rear	1073 1121
	•		
FRONT	2871 lb	FROM	VT 2829 lb
REAR	2187 lb	REAF	۲ 2194 lb
TOTAL	5058 lb	ΤΟΤΑ	L 5023 lb

Figure B-2. Vehicle Mass Distribution, Test No. MWP-2

	venicie.			
	Vehicle	CG Determin	ation	
		Weight	Vert CG	Vert M
VEHICLE	Equipment	(lb)	(in.)	(lb-in.)
+	Unbalasted Truck (Curb)	5074	28.30007	143594.6
+	Brake receivers/wires	6	50	300
+	Brake Frame	9	29	261
+	Brake Cylinder (Nitrogen)	28	26	728
+	Strobe/Brake Battery	6	31	186
+	Hub	27	15.125	408.375
+	CG Plate	17	32	544
-	Battery	-51	40	-2040
-	Oil	-4	17	-68
-	Interior	-59	22.5	-1327.5
-	Fuel	-160	19	-3040
-	Coolant	-13	37	-481
-	Washer fluid	0		0
BALLAST	Water	120	17	2040
	Misc.			0
	Misc.			0
	Estimated Total Weight (I Vertical CG Location (in	b) 5000 .) 28.22109		141100.4
wheel base (in)	Estimated Total Weight (II Vertical CG Location (in	b) 5000 i.) 28.22109		
wheel base (in.)	Estimated Total Weight (I Vertical CG Location (in 140.5 Targets	b) 5000) 28.22109 Test Inerti	al	Difference
wheel base (in.) MASH Targets Test Inertial Weight (Ib	Estimated Total Weight (I Vertical CG Location (in <u>140.5</u> Targets) 5000 ± 110	b) 5000 .) 28.22109 Test Inerti 4992	al	Difference -8.0
wheel base (in.) MASH Targets Test Inertial Weight (Ib) Long CG (in.)	Estimated Total Weight (II Vertical CG Location (in <u>140.5</u> Targets) 5000 ± 110 63 ± 4	b) 5000 1.) 28.22109 Test Inerti 4992 62.54	al	Difference -8.0 -0.46174
wheel base (in.) MASH Targets Test Inertial Weight (Ib Long CG (in.) Lat CG (in.)	Estimated Total Weight (II Vertical CG Location (in 140.5 Targets) 5000 ± 110 63 ± 4 NA	b) 5000 1.) 28.22109 Test Inerti 4992 62.54 -0.21815	al	Difference -8.0 -0.46174 NA
wheel base (in.) MASH Targets Test Inertial Weight (Ib) Long CG (in.) Lat CG (in.) Vert CG (in.) ≥	Estimated Total Weight (II Vertical CG Location (in 140.5 Targets) 5000 ± 110 63 ± 4 NA 28	b) 5000 28.22109 Test Inerti 4992 62.54 -0.21815 28.22	al	Difference -8.0 -0.46174 NA 0.22109
wheel base (in.) MASH Targets Test Inertial Weight (Ib) Long CG (in.) Lat CG (in.) Vert CG (in.) ≥ Note: Long. CG is mean Note: Lateral CG mean	Estimated Total Weight (II Vertical CG Location (in 140.5 Targets) 5000 ± 110 63 ± 4 NA 28 asured from front axle of tess sured from centerline - position	b) 5000 .) 28.22109 Test Inerti 4992 62.54 -0.21815 28.22 st vehicle tive to vehicle	al right (pass	Differenca -8.0 -0.46174 NA 0.22109 senger) side
wheel base (in.) MASH Targets Test Inertial Weight (Ib) Long CG (in.) Lat CG (in.) Vert CG (in.) ≥ Note: Long. CG is mean Note: Lateral CG mean CURB WEIGHT (Ib)	Estimated Total Weight (II Vertical CG Location (in 140.5 Targets) 5000 ± 110 63 ± 4 NA 28 asured from front axle of tes sured from centerline - posi	b) 5000 28.22109 Test Inerti 4992 62.54 -0.21815 28.22 St vehicle tive to vehicle	al right (pass TEST INEF	Difference -8.0 -0.46174 NA 0.22109 senger) side
wheel base (in.) MASH Targets Test Inertial Weight (Ib) Long CG (in.) Lat CG (in.) Vert CG (in.) ≥ Note: Long. CG is mean Note: Lateral CG mean CURB WEIGHT (Ib)	Estimated Total Weight (II Vertical CG Location (in 140.5 Targets 5000 ± 110 63 ± 4 NA 28 asured from front axle of test sured from centerline - posi	b) 5000 1.) 28.22109 Test Inerti 4992 62.54 -0.21815 28.22 st vehicle tive to vehicle	al right (pass TEST INEI (from scales)	Difference -8.0 -0.46174 NA 0.22109 senger) side RTIAL WE
wheel base (in.) MASH Targets Test Inertial Weight (Ib) Long CG (in.) Lat CG (in.) Vert CG (in.) ≥ Note: Long. CG is mean Note: Lateral CG mean CURB WEIGHT (Ib) Front	Estimated Total Weight (II Vertical CG Location (in 140.5 Targets) 5000 ± 110 63 ± 4 NA 28 asured from front axle of test sured from centerline - posi Left Right 1433 139	b) 5000 1.) 28.22109 Test Inerti 4992 62.54 -0.21815 28.22 st vehicle tive to vehicle	al right (pass TEST INEF (from scales) Front	Difference -8.0 -0.46174 NA 0.22109 senger) side RTIAL WE
wheel base (in.) MASH Targets Test Inertial Weight (Ib) Long CG (in.) Lat CG (in.) Vert CG (in.) ≥ Note: Long. CG is mean Note: Lateral CG mean CURB WEIGHT (Ib) Front Rear	Estimated Total Weight (II Vertical CG Location (in 140.5 Targets) 5000 ± 110 63 ± 4 NA 28 asured from front axle of test sured from centerline - posi Left Right 1433 139 1152 109	b) 5000 28.22109 Test Inerti 4992 62.54 -0.21815 28.22 St vehicle tive to vehicle	al right (pass TEST INEI ^(from scales) Front Rear	Difference -8.0 -0.46174 NA 0.22109 senger) side RTIAL WE
wheel base (in.) MASH Targets Test Inertial Weight (Ib) Long CG (in.) Lat CG (in.) Vert CG (in.) ≥ Note: Long. CG is mean Note: Lateral CG mean Note: Lateral CG mean CURB WEIGHT (Ib) Front Rear	Estimated Total Weight (II Vertical CG Location (in 140.5 Targets) 5000 ± 110 63 ± 4 NA 28 asured from front axle of test sured from centerline - positest Left Right 1433 135 1152 105	b) 5000 28.22109 Test Inerti 4992 62.54 -0.21815 28.22 St vehicle tive to vehicle	al right (pass TEST INEF (from scales) Front Rear	Difference -8.0 -0.46174 NA 0.22109 senger) side RTIAL WE
wheel base (in.) MASH Targets Test Inertial Weight (Ib) Long CG (in.) Lat CG (in.) Vert CG (in.) ≥ Note: Long. CG is mean Note: Lateral CG mean CURB WEIGHT (Ib) Front Rear FRONT	Estimated Total Weight (II Vertical CG Location (in	b) 5000 1.) 28.22109 Test Inerti 4992 62.54 -0.21815 28.22 st vehicle tive to vehicle	al right (pass TEST INEI (from scales) Front Rear FRONT	Difference -8.0 -0.46174 NA 0.22109 senger) side RTIAL WE Left 1394 1118 2770
wheel base (in.) MASH Targets Test Inertial Weight (Ib) Long CG (in.) Lat CG (in.) Vert CG (in.) ≥ Note: Long. CG is mean Note: Lateral CG mean CURB WEIGHT (Ib) Front Rear FRONT REAR	Estimated Total Weight (II Vertical CG Location (in	b) 5000 1.) 28.22109 Test Inerti 4992 62.54 -0.21815 28.22 st vehicle tive to vehicle	al right (pass TEST INEf (from scales) Front Rear FRONT REAR	Difference -8.0 -0.46174 NA 0.22109 senger) side RTIAL WE Left 1394 1118 2770 2222

Figure B-3. Vehicle Mass Distribution, Test No. MWP-3

Appendix C. Static Soil Tests



Figure C-1. Soil Strength, Initial Calibration Tests, Test Nos. MWP-1 through MWP-3



Figure C-2. Static Soil Test, Test No. MWP-1



Figure C-3. Static Soil Test, Test No. MWP-2



Figure C-4. Static Soil Test, Test No. MWP-3

Appendix D. Vehicle Deformation Records



Figure D-1. Floorpan Deformation Data – Set 1, Test No. MWP-1



Figure D-2. Floorpan Deformation Data – Set 2, Test No. MWP-1



Figure D-3. Occupant Compartment Deformation Data – Set 1, Test No. MWP-1



Figure D-4. Occupant Compartment Deformation Data - Set 2, Test No. MWP-1



Figure D-5. Exterior Vehicle Crush (NASS) - Front, Test No. MWP-1



Figure D-6. Exterior Vehicle Crush (NASS) - Side, Test No. MWP-1

VEHICLE PRE/POST CRUSH FLOORPAN - SET 1 TEST: MWP-2 Note: If impact is on driver side need to VEHICLE: Ram 1500 enter negative number for Y Y' Х Υ Ζ Х Z ΔХ ΔY ΔZ POINT (in.) (in.) (in.) (in.) (in.) (in.) (in.) (in.) (in.) 27 3/4 - 1/4 27 3/4 -28 1/4 0 -28 1/2 0 0 1 0 2 29 1/2 -23 -1 29 1/2 -23 1/2 -1 0 - 1/2 0 3 28 1/2 -2 28 1/2 -16 3/4 - 3/4 -16 -2 0 0 4 27 1/4 -9 1/2 -2 27 1/2 -9 3/4 -2 1/4 - 1/4 0 -27 -4 1/4 24 -26 3/4 -4 1/4 1/4 1/4 5 23 3/4 6 23 1/2 -20 1/4 -4 1/2 23 1/2 -20 3/4 -4 1/2 0 - 1/2 0 -4 1/4 7 -15 1/2 24 -4 1/4 0 0 0 24 -15 1/2 8 24 -10 -4 1/4 23 3/4 -10 1/2 -4 1/2 - 1/4 - 1/2 - 1/4 20 -26 1/2 20 1/4 -26 3/4 1/4 - 1/4 9 -6 -6 0 10 20 1/4 -21 3/4 20 1/4 -21 1/2 -6 1/4 0 -6 0 20 1/4 -6 1/4 0 0 - 1/4 11 20 1/4 -16 -6 -16 12 20 3/4 -10 -6 20 3/4 -10 1/4 -6 1/4 0 - 1/4 - 1/4 -27 -6 1/4 17 1/4 -26 3/4 -6 1/4 1/4 0 13 17 1/4 14 16 1/2 -21 3/4 -6 1/4 16 1/2 -21 3/4 -6 1/4 0 0 0 15 16 3/4 -16 1/2 -6 1/4 16 3/4 -16 3/4 -6 1/4 0 - 1/4 0 16 16 3/4 -10 3/4 -6 1/4 16 3/4 -11 -6 1/2 0 - 1/4 - 1/4 17 13 3/4 -12 1/2 - 1/4 14 -6 1/4 1/4 6 1/2 1/2 18 11 1/2 -27 1/4 -6 1/4 11 1/4 -27 -6 1/2 - 1/4 1/4 - 1/4 -23 1/4 19 11 1/2 -23 1/2 -6 1/4 11 1/2 -6 1/4 0 1/4 0 20 11 1/2 -19 3/4 -6 1/4 11 1/2 -19 1/2 -6 1/4 0 1/4 0 11 1/2 -15 3/4 -6 1/4 11 1/2 -15 3/4 -6 1/4 0 0 21 0 22 11 1/2 -11 -6 1/4 11 1/2 -11 -6 1/2 0 0 - 1/4 23 8 3/4 -5 1/2 - 1/4 9 -5 1/2 - 1/4 1/4 0 0 24 1 -27 -2 3/4 1 -26 3/4 -2 3/4 0 1/4 0 1 -23 -2 1/2 1 -22 3/4 -2 3/4 0 1/4 - 1/4 25 26 1 -17 1/2 -2 1/2 1 -17 -2 3/4 0 1/2 - 1/4 -2 3/4 -12 1/2 -12 1/2 0 0 27 1 1 -3 - 1/4 28 1 1/2 -5 1/4 - 1/4 1 1/2 -5 1/2 - 1/4 0 - 1/4 0 29 0 0 0 0 30 0 0 0 0 31 0 DASHBOARD 2 3 7 8 5 6 12 10 11 9 13 15 16 14 DOOR DOOR 17 18 19 20 21 22 X 28 24 25 26 -27 7

Figure D-7. Floorpan Deformation Data – Set 1, Test No. MWP-2

```
TEST: <u>MWP-2</u>
VEHICLE: <u>Ram 1500</u>
```

Note: If impact is on driver side need to enter negative number for Y

	Х	Y	Z	Х	Y'	Z	ΔX	ΔΥ	۵Z
POINT	(in.)	(in.)	(in.)	(in.)	(in.)	(in.)	(in.)	(in.)	(in.)
1	43 1/2	-35	-3	43 1/2	-35	-3	0	0	0
2	45 1/4	-29 3/4	-4 1/4	45 1/2	-30	-4 1/4	1/4	- 1/4	0
3	44 1/4	-22 1/2	-5	44 1/2	-23	-5 1/4	1/4	- 1/2	- 1/4
4	43 1/4	-16 1/2	-5 1/4	43 1/4	-17 1/4	-5 1/4	0	- 3/4	0
5	39 3/4	-34	-7	40	-34	-7	1/4	0	0
6	39 1/4	-27	-7 1/4	39 1/2	-27 1/4	-7 1/4	1/4	- 1/4	0
7	39 3/4	-22	-7 1/4	40	-22 1/2	-7 1/4	1/4	- 1/2	0
8	39 3/4	-17	-7 1/4	39 3/4	-17 1/4	-7 1/2	0	- 1/4	- 1/4
9	36	-33	-8 3/4	36 1/4	-33 1/4	-8 3/4	1/4	- 1/4	0
10	36 1/4	-28 1/2	-8 3/4	36 1/4	-28 1/4	-8 3/4	0	1/4	0
11	36	-22 1/2	-8 3/4	36 1/4	-22 1/2	-8 3/4	1/4	0	0
12	36 3/4	-17	-8 3/4	36 3/4	-17 1/4	-8 3/4	0	- 1/4	0
13	33	-34	-8 3/4	33 1/4	-34	-8 3/4	1/4	0	0
14	32 1/2	-28 1/2	-8 1/2	32 3/4	-28 1/2	-8 3/4	1/4	0	- 1/4
15	32 1/2	-23 1/4	-8 1/2	32 3/4	-23 1/4	-8 3/4	1/4	0	- 1/4
16	32 1/2	-17 1/4	-8 3/4	32 3/4	-17 1/2	-9	1/4	- 1/4	- 1/4
17	29 1/2	-12 3/4	-1 3/4	29 1/2	-12 3/4	-2	0	0	- 1/4
18	27 1/4	-34	-8 1/2	27 1/2	-33 3/4	-8 1/2	1/4	1/4	0
19	27 1/4	-30 1/4	-8 1/4	27 3/4	-30	-8 1/2	1/2	1/4	- 1/4
20	27 1/4	-26 1/4	-8 1/4	27 1/2	-26 1/2	-8 1/2	1/4	- 1/4	- 1/4
21	27 1/4	-22 1/2	-8 1/4	27 1/2	-22 3/4	-8 1/2	1/4	- 1/4	- 1/4
22	27 1/2	-17 1/2	-8 1/2	27 1/2	-18	-8 3/4	0	- 1/2	- 1/4
23	25 1/2	-12 1/4	-2 1/4	24 1/2	-12 1/4	-2 1/2	-1	0	- 1/4
24	17	-33 3/4	-4 1/2	17	-33 3/4	-4 1/2	0	0	0
25	16 3/4	-30	-4 1/4	17	-29 3/4	-4 1/4	1/4	1/4	0
26	17	-24 1/4	-5 1/4	17	-24	-4 1/2	0	1/4	3/4
27	17	-19 1/2	-4 1/4	17	19 1/2	-4 1/2	0	39	- 1/4
28	17 1/4	-12 1/4	-1 3/4	17 1/4	-12 1/4	-2	0	0	- 1/4
29							0	0	0
30							0	0	0
31							0	0	0



Figure D-8. Floorpan Deformation Data – Set 2, Test No. MWP-2



Figure D-9. Occupant Compartment Deformation Data – Set 1, Test No. MWP-2



Figure D-10. Occupant Compartment Deformation Data – Set 2, Test No. MWP-2



Figure D-11. Exterior Vehicle Crush (NASS) - Front, Test No. MWP-2



Figure D-12. Exterior Vehicle Crush (NASS) - Side, Test No. MWP-2



Figure D-13. Floorpan Deformation Data – Set 1, Test No. MWP-3

VEHICLE PRE/POST CRUSH FLOORPAN - SET 2 TEST: MWP-3 Note: If impact is on driver side need to VEHICLE: 2270P enter negative number for Y Y' ΔХ Х Υ Ζ Х Z ΔY ΔZ POINT (in.) (in.) (in.) (in.) (in.) (in.) (in.) (in.) (in.) 44 44 1/4 -32 3/4 -2 1/2 -33 -2 1/4 1/4 - 1/4 1/4 1 2 45 1/4 -28 1/4 -3 3/4 45 1/4 -28 3/4 -3 1/2 0 - 1/2 1/4 3 43 1/4 -4 1/4 43 1/4 -4 1/4 0 -22 -22 0 0 4 43 1/4 -16 1/2 -4 43 1/2 -17 -4 1/4 - 1/2 0 40 1/4 -33 3/4 -6 40 1/2 -33 1/2 -6 1/4 1/4 0 5 6 40 1/4 -29 -6 40 1/2 -28 3/4 -6 1/4 1/4 0 -5 3/4 - 1/4 1/4 7 40 1/4 -21 40 1/2 1/4 -6 -21 1/4 -16 1/2 8 40 1/4 -5 3/4 40 1/4 -16 1/2 -5 3/4 0 0 0 -7 3/4 -7 3/4 37 1/4 -33 1/2 37 1/2 -33 1/4 0 9 1/2 10 37 1/4 -29 1/4 -7 3/4 37 1/2 -28 1/2 -7 1/2 1/4 3/4 1/4 37 1/4 -22 1/4 -7 1/2 37 1/2 -22 1/2 -7 1/2 11 1/4- 1/4 0 12 37 1/4 -17 1/4 -7 1/2 37 1/2 -16 3/4 -7 1/2 1/4 1/2 0 33 3/4 -33 3/4 33 3/4 -33 -8 3/4 13 -8 0 0 14 33 3/4 -28 1/4 -7 3/4 33 3/4 -28 1/2 -7 3/4 0 - 1/4 0 15 34 -22 1/2 -7 3/4 33 3/4 -22 3/4 -7 3/4 - 1/4 - 1/4 0 16 33 3/4 -16 3/4 -7 3/4 34 -17 1/2 -7 3/4 1/4 - 3/4 0 1/4 17 30 1/4 -11 1/4 - 1/2 30 1/2 -11 1/4 - 1/2 0 0 18 28 -33 1/2 -8 28 -33 1/2 -8 0 0 0 -7 3/4 28 -28 1/2 -7 3/4 19 28 -28 1/4 0 - 1/4 0 20 28 -24 1/2 -7 3/4 28 -24 3/4 -7 3/4 0 - 1/4 0 -21 1/2 28 -21 1/4 -7 3/4 28 1/4 -7 3/4 - 1/4 0 21 1/4 22 28 -16 -7 1/2 28 -16 3/4 -7 1/2 0 - 3/4 0 23 27 -11 1/2 -1 27 1/4 -11 3/4 -1 1/4 - 1/4 0 24 18 -34 1/4 -4 3/4 18 -33 3/4 -5 0 1/2 - 1/4 17 3/4 25 -30 -4 1/4 18 -29 3/4 -4 1/4 1/4 0 1/426 17 1/2 -26 -4 17 3/4 -25 3/4 -4 1/4 1/4 1/4 - 1/4 17 1/2 17 3/4 -21 1/4 -4 27 -21 3/4 -4 1/4 1/2 0 -1 1/4 28 17 3/4 -12 1/2 -1 1/4 18 -12 1/4 1/4 1/4 0 0 29 0 0 0 30 0 0 0 0 31 0 DASHBOARD 2 1 З 5 6 8 10 11 12 9 15 13 14 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 DOOR DOOR 24 25 26 27 28 X Ζ

Figure D-14. Floorpan Deformation Data – Set 2, Test No. MWP-3



Figure D-15. Occupant Compartment Deformation Data - Set 1, Test No. MWP-3



Figure D-16. Occupant Compartment Deformation Data - Set 2, Test No. MWP-3



Figure D-17. Exterior Vehicle Crush (NASS) - Front, Test No. MWP-3



Figure D-18. Exterior Vehicle Crush (NASS) - Side, Test No. MWP-3

Appendix E. Accelerometer and Rate Transducer Data Plots, Test No. MWP-1



Figure E-1. 10-ms Average Longitudinal Deceleration (SLICE-2), Test No. MWP-1



Figure E-2. Longitudinal Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE-2), Test No. MWP-1



Figure E-3. Longitudinal Occupant Displacement (SLICE-2), Test No. MWP-1



Figure E-4. 10-ms Average Lateral Deceleration (SLICE-2), Test No. MWP-1



Figure E-5. Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE-2), Test No. MWP-1

November 3, 2015 MwRSF Report No. TRP-03-303-15



Figure E-6. Lateral Occupant Displacement (SLICE-2), Test No. MWP-1



Figure E-7. Vehicle Angular Displacements (SLICE-2), Test No. MWP-1

236



Figure E-8. Acceleration Severity Index (SLICE-2), Test No. MWP-1

Appendix F. Load Cell and String Potentiometer Data, Test No. MWP-1




Figure F-2. Load Cell Data, Cable 4, Test No. MWP-1



Figure F-3. Load Cell Data, Cable 3, Test No. MWP-1



Figure F-4. Load Cell Data, Cable 2, Test No. MWP-1



Figure F-5. Load Cell Data, Cable 1, Test No. MWP-1



Figure F-6. String Potentiometer Data, Test No. MWP-1

Appendix G. Accelerometer and Rate Transducer Data Plots, Test No. MWP-2



Figure G-1. 10-ms Average Longitudinal Deceleration (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-2



Figure G-2. Longitudinal Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-2



Figure G-3. Longitudinal Occupant Displacement (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-2



Figure G-4. 10-ms Average Lateral Deceleration (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-2



Figure G-5. Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-2



Figure G-6. Lateral Occupant Displacement (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-2



Figure G-7. Vehicle Angular Displacements (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-2

252



Figure G-8. Acceleration Severity Index (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-2



Figure G-9. 10-ms Average Longitudinal Deceleration (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-2



Figure G-10. Longitudinal Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-2



Figure G-11. Longitudinal Occupant Displacement (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-2



Figure G-12. 10-ms Average Lateral Deceleration (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-2



Figure G-13. Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-2



Figure G-14. Lateral Occupant Displacement (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-2



Figure G-15. Vehicle Angular Displacements (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-2

November 3, 2015 MwRSF Report No. TRP-03-303-15

260



Figure G-16. Acceleration Severity Index (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-2

Appendix H. Load Cell and String Potentiometer Data, Test No. MWP-2



Figure H-1. Combined Load Cell Data, Test No. MWP-2



Figure H-2. Load Cell Data, Cable 4, Test No. MWP-2



Figure H-3. Load Cell Data, Cable 3, Test No. MWP-2



Figure H-4. Load Cell Data, Cable 2, Test No. MWP-2



Figure H-5. Load Cell Data, Cable 1, Test No. MWP-2



Figure H-6. String Potentiometer Data, Test No. MWP-2

Appendix I. Accelerometer and Rate Transducer Data Plots, Test No. MWP-3



Figure I-1. 10-ms Average Longitudinal Deceleration (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-3



Figure I-2. Longitudinal Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-3



Figure I-3. Longitudinal Occupant Displacement (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-3



Figure I-4. 10-ms Average Lateral Deceleration (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-3



Figure I-5. Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-3


Figure I-6. Lateral Occupant Displacement (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-3



Figure I-7. Vehicle Angular Displacements (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-3

276



Figure I-8. Acceleration Severity Index (SLICE 1), Test No. MWP-3



Figure I-9. 10-ms Average Longitudinal Deceleration (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-3



Figure I-10. Longitudinal Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-3



Figure I-11. Longitudinal Occupant Displacement (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-3



Figure I-12. 10-ms Average Lateral Deceleration (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-3



Figure I-13. Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-3



Figure I-14. Lateral Occupant Displacement (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-3



Figure I-15. Vehicle Angular Displacements (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-3

284



Figure I-16. Acceleration Severity Index (SLICE 2), Test No. MWP-3

Appendix J. Load Cell and String Potentiometer Data, Test No. MWP-3





Figure J-2. Load Cell Data, Cable 4, Test No. MWP-3



Figure J-3. Load Cell Data, Cable 3, Test No. MWP-3



Figure J-4. Load Cell Data, Cable 2, Test No. MWP-3



Figure J-5. Load Cell Data, Cable 1, Test No. MWP-3



Figure J-6. String Potentiometer Data, Test No. MWP-3

END OF DOCUMENT