# Tracking the Deployment of the Integrated Metropolitan ITS Infrastructure in El Paso

### **FY99 Results**

For additional information, please contact:

Joseph I. Peters, Ph.D.
ITS Program Assessment Coordinator
ITS Joint Program Office, Room 3416
400 Seventh St., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 366-2202
FAX: (202) 493-2027

E-mail: joe.peters@fhwa.dot.gov

### **Table of Contents**

Part 1 - Background and Purpose	1
Part 2 - Summary 1999 Survey Results	3
Part 3 - Detailed 1999 Survey Results	7
Freeway Management Component Indicators	9
Freeway Management Integration Indicators	11
Incident Management Component Indicators	13
Incident Management Integration Indicators	15
Arterial Management Component Indicators	17
Arterial Management Integration Indicators	19
Electronic Toll Collection Component Indicators	21
Electronic Toll Collection Integration Indicators	22
Transit Management Component Indicators	23
Transit Management Integration Indicators	
Electronic Fare Payment Component Indicators	
Electronic Fare Payment Integration Indicators	
Highway-Rail Intersection Component Indicators	
Highway-Rail Intersection Integration Indicators	
Emergency Management Component Indicators	
Emergency Management Integration Indicators	
Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Component Indicators	
Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Integration Indicators	33
Appendix A. Survey Coverage Area	
Appendix B. Surveyed Agencies	
Appendix C. Freeway Management Components	
Appendix D. Freeway Management Integration	
Appendix E. Freeway Management Information Collection and Dissemination	
Appendix F. Arterial Management Components	
Appendix G. Arterial Management Integration	
Appendix H. Arterial Management Information Collection and Dissemination	
Appendix I. Transit Management Components	
Appendix J. Transit Management Integration	
Appendix K. Transit Management Information Collection and Dissemination	
Appendix L. Emergency Management	L.1

### **Part 1 - Background and Purpose**

In January 1996, Secretary Peña set a goal of deploying the integrated metropolitan Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) infrastructure in 75<sup>1</sup> of the nation's largest metropolitan areas by 2006:

"I'm setting a national goal: to build an intelligent transportation infrastructure across the United States to save time and lives, and improve the quality of life for Americans. I believe that what we do, we must measure . . . Let us set a very tangible target that will focus our attention . . . I want 75 of our largest metropolitan areas outfitted with a complete intelligent transportation infrastructure in 10 years." <sup>2</sup>

-- Secretary Peña, 1996

In 1997, the U.S. Department of Transportation initiated an effort to track progress toward fulfillment of this goal by conducting a survey of deployment in the nation's largest metropolitan areas. Traditionally, the product of a transportation infrastructure investment consists of a fixed asset such as a highway, bridge, or public transportation vehicle developed, constructed, or purchased by a single agency. Tracking the level of deployment for such traditional fixed assets can be accomplished by simply counting the number of such assets deployed. Measuring the deployment of the metropolitan ITS infrastructure is more complex because it consists of a set of systems, often deployed by multiple agencies, and integrated through a combination of complex institutional and technical arrangements. In brief, it is often difficult to simply count the number of systems deployed without first devising a measurement approach that captures the essential features of such systems in a consistent fashion across many deployment environments.

In order to track progress toward fulfillment of the Secretary's goal for deployment, the U.S. Department of Transportation ITS Joint Program Office developed the metropolitan ITS deployment tracking methodology. This methodology tracks deployment of the nine components that make up the Metropolitan ITS infrastructure: Freeway Management; Incident Management; Arterial Management; Emergency Management; Transit Management; Electronic Toll Collection; Electronic Fare Payment; Highway-Rail Intersections; and Regional Multimodal Traveler Information. Through a set of indicators tied to the major functions of each component, the level of deployment is tracked for the nation's largest metropolitan areas. In addition, the integration links between agencies operating the infrastructure are also tracked. The details of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Since Secretary Peña's speech, the number of metropolitan areas that DOT will measure has been increased from 75 to 78. However, to maintain reporting consistency across the 10-year goal period, this report considers only the original 75 metropolitan areas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Excerpt of a speech delivered by Secretary of Transportation Peña at the Transportation Research Board in Washington, DC on January 10, 1996.

the methodology are explained elsewhere.<sup>3</sup>

During the summer and fall of 1999, the U.S. DOT undertook a new data collection effort for the purpose of examining ITS deployment progress in the nation's largest metropolitan areas. The El Paso metropolitan area was among the areas surveyed in 1997 and again in 1999. This report presents the results of the 1999 survey efforts and compares the results of the 1997 survey against those observed in 1999. The overall response rate for the surveys administered in the El Paso region was 90% in 1997 and 86% in 1999.

Part 2 contains a summary of the 1999 survey results, and Part 3 provides a comparison of 1999 survey results and the 1997 survey results.

The report also contains a set of appendices containing a map of the survey area, the list of local contacts surveyed along with a status of their response to the survey and a summary of the data collected from the surveys.

Agencies are encouraged to review the data presented in this report for completeness and accuracy and to direct any comments or corrections to the data provided to the contacts listed below:

Steve Gordon
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
P.O. Box 2008, 4500N, MS-6207
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6207
(865) 576-8416 (voice)
(865) 574-3895 (fax)
gordonsr@ornl.gov

Jeff Trombly
Science Applications International Corporation
301 Laboratory Road
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-2501
(865) 481-8563 (voice)
(865) 481-2941 (fax)
jeffrey.w.trombly@saic.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Additional Resources: "Measuring ITS Deployment and Integration" (Electronic Document Number: 4372). U.S. Department of Transportation, Joint Program Office for Intelligent Transportation Systems, 400 Seventh St., SW (HVH-1), Washington, DC 20590, Phone: 202-366-9536, Fax: 202-366-3302, Web: http://www.its.dot.gov.

### Part 2 - Summary 1999 Survey Results

Deployment indicators have been developed for two broad areas of interest: (1) the individual components, including their basic functions and characteristics and (2) integration of components, including how these components work together to provide coordinated regional service. As mentioned earlier, these indicators are expressed as percentages of the possible deployment opportunity and not necessarily what should be deployed based on local needs. Requirements for deployment and integration between each component will vary based on local conditions and cannot be assigned without extensive coordination with individual metropolitan areas.


The following two figures portray the surrogate indicators for each of the nine components in El Paso and the same indicators at the national level. These are judged to be the single best representative of a component and are being used as summary indicator for component. The summary indicators are expressed as a percentage; however, because deployment goals have yet to be established, these indicators should not be read as a comparison of what is deployed versus eventual deployment goals. Instead, they only reflect what is deployed compared to full market saturation (i.e., opportunity for deployment).

Each component indicator was selected to reflect a critical function of the individual components. For example, in the case of Freeway Management, three basic functions were defined: surveillance, traffic control, and information display. The three indicators developed to reflect these functions are: percentage of freeway centerline miles under electronic surveillance (surveillance function), percentage of freeway entrance ramps managed by ramp meters (traffic control function), and percentage of freeway centerline miles covered by permanent VMS, HAR, or in-vehicle signing (information display function). The indicators are surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of metropolitan ITS deployment activity.

A critical aspect of ITS that provides much of its capability is the integration of individual components to form a unified regional traffic control system. Individual ITS components routinely collect information that is used for purposes internal to that component. For example, the Arterial Management component monitors arterial conditions to revise signal timing and to convey these conditions to travelers through such technologies as variable message signs and highway advisory radio. Other ITS components can make use of this information in formulating their control strategies. For example, Transit Management may alter routes and schedules based on real-time information on arterial traffic conditions, and Freeway Management may alter ramp metering or diversion recommendations based on the same information.


As with the component indicators, definitions for inter- and intra-component integration were developed for each component, and indicators, derived from these definitions, were produced for each component. A total of 34 individual integration indicators was specified and is portrayed in the third figure which follows. Each integration indicator has been assigned a number and an origin/destination path from one ITS infrastructure component to another. For example, the


integration of information from the Freeway Management component to the Regional Multimodal Traveler Information component is identified by the number "10."



<sup>\*</sup> Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.






<sup>\*</sup> Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

<sup>\*\*</sup> Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need

# **El Paso Integration Links**



Note: Shading indicates the value of the link. For example a circle half shaded equals 50%

Link	Description	Link	Description
1	Arterial Management to Regional	2	Arterial Management to Freeway
	Multimodal Traveler Information		Management
3	Arterial Management to Transit	4	Arterial Management to Incident
	Management		Management
5	Incident Management to Arterial	6	Incident Management to Regional
	Management		Multimodal Traveler Information
7	Incident Management to Emergency	8	Incident Management to Freeway
	Management.		Management
9	Incident Management to Transit	10	Freeway Management to Regional
	Management		Multimodal Traveler Information

Link	Description	Link	Description
11	Freeway Management to Arterial	12	Freeway Management to Transit
	Management		Management
13	Freeway Management to Incident	14a	Transit Management to Regional
	Management		Multimodal Traveler Information
			(static route information)
		14b	Transit Management to Regional
			Multimodal Traveler Information
			(schedule adherence information)
15a	Transit Management to Freeway	16a	Transit Management to Arterial
	Management		Management
15b	Transit Management to Freeway	16b	Transit Management to Arterial
	Management (transit vehicle probes)		Management (transit vehicle probes)
17	Electronic Toll Collection to	18	Electronic Toll Collection to Arterial
	Freeway Management (ETC		Management (ETC equipped probes)
	equipped probes)		
19	Electronic Fare Payment and	20	Electronic Fare Payment to Transit
	Electronic Toll Collection		Management
21a	Emergency Management to Incident	22	Emergency Management to Arterial
	Management (incident notification)		Management
21b	Emergency Management to Incident		
	Management (incident clearance)		
23	Highway-rail intersections to	24	Highway-rail intersections to Arterial
	Incident Management (crossing		Management (crossing status)
	status)		
25	Incident Management intra	26	Arterial Management intra component
	component		
27	Electronic Fare Payment intra	28	Electronic Toll Collection intra
	component.		component
29	Transit Management to Incident	30	Freeway Management intra
	Management (incident reporting)		component

### **Part 3 - Detailed 1999 Survey Results**

The following figures and tables summarize the complete set of component and integration indicators developed for the El Paso metropolitan area. The figures summarizing the component indicators consist of a bar chart portraying the deployment levels for 1997, 1999, and 2005 accompanied by detailed tables of the data used to calculate each component indicator value (*Num* stands for numerator and *Den* stands for denominator; blank space indicates that no response was received.)

Example: Calculating Component Indicators for Freeway Management

Consider a metropolitan area with 100 miles of freeway and 25 freeway entrance ramps. The area has no ramp meters, 10 freeway miles for which traffic data are collected electronically, and 5 freeway miles, which are covered by highway advisory radio.

The component indicator for electronic surveillance is calculated as (10/100) or 10%.

The component indicator for ramp meter control is calculated as (0/25) or 0%.

The component indicator for HAR coverage is calculated as (5/100) or 5%.


The summary indicator for the metropolitan area is calculated as (10%+0%+5%)/3=5%.

The figures summarizing the integration indicators consist of a diagram for each of the nine metropolitan ITS components portraying the integration level for 1999 (*italic*) and 2005 (**bold**), accompanied by tables providing an explanation of the data and calculations performed to develop each integration indicator value for 1999 and 2005. Each diagram portrays the proportion of agencies providing information to a component (e.g., the flow of incident information from Incident Management to Freeway Management) and the proportion of agencies providing information from one component to other components (e.g., the flow of freeway travel condition information from Freeway Management to Arterial Management).

Example: Calculating Integration between Arterial Management and Regional Multimodal Traveler Information

Consider a metropolitan area with three arterial management agencies. One out of three provides information to the public using a Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Media (e.g., internet, kiosk, pager, etc...). The integration indicator is 1/3 or 33%.

# El Paso Freeway Management\*



<sup>\*</sup> Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.


<sup>\*\*</sup> Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Freeway centerline miles are under electronic surveillance for monitoring traffic flow	0	104	0%	35	104	34%	55	104	53%
Freeway entrance ramps are controlled by ramp meters or miles under lane control	0	104	0%						

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Freeway entrance ramps				2	126	2%	4	126	3%
are controlled by ramp									
meters									
Freeway centerline miles				35	104	34%	55	104	53%
will be controlled by lane									
control									
Freeway miles are	32	104	31%						
covered by VMS, HAR,									
or IVS									
Freeway miles are				32	104	31%	75	104	72%
covered by VMS									
Freeway miles are					104			104	
covered by HAR									
Freeway miles are					104			104	
covered by IVS									

### **Freeway Management Integration Indicators**

# El Paso Freeway Management Integration\*




<sup>\*</sup> Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
2. Arterial Management agencies sending information to Freeway	(1/1)	(1/1)
Management	100%	100%
8. Incident Management agencies sending information to Freeway	(0/1)	(1/1)
Management	0%	100%
15a. Transit management agencies with vehicles equipped with	(0/1)	(0/1)
ramp meter priority	0%	0%
15b. Transit Management agencies with vehicles equipped as	(0/1)	(0/1)
probes	0%	0%
17. Freeway Management agencies receiving freeway conditions	(0/1)	(0/1)
from vehicle probes	0%	0%
30. Freeway Management agencies sending information to another	(0/1)	(0/1)
Freeway Management agency	0%	0%
11. Freeway Management agencies sending information to Arterial	(0/1)	(1/1)
Management	0%	100%

Link Description	1999	2005
10. Freeway Management agencies disseminating freeway	(1/1)	(1/1)
conditions to the public	100%	100%
12. Freeway Management agencies sending freeway conditions to	(0/1)	(0/1)
Transit Management	0%	0%
13. Freeway Management agencies sending freeway conditions to	(1/1)	(1/1)
Incident Management	100%	100%

El Paso Freeway and Arterial Incident Management\*



<sup>\*</sup> Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.


	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Freeway miles are	32	104	31%	35	104	34%	55	104	53%
covered by incident									
detection algorithms									
Freeway miles are	0	104	0%		104			104	
covered by free cellular									
phone calls to a									
dedicated number									

	1997			1999			2005			
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	
Freeway miles are	0	104	0%	35	104	34%	55	104	53%	
covered by surveillance										
cameras.										
Freeway miles are	32	104	31%	35	104	34%	40	104	38%	
covered by on-call										
publicly-sponsored										
service patrol or towing										
services.										
Arterial miles are	0	444	0%		444			444		
covered by incident										
detection algorithms										
Arterial miles are	0	444	0%		444			444		
covered by free cellular										
phone calls to a										
dedicated number										
Arterial miles are	0	444	0%		444		50	444	11%	
covered by surveillance										
cameras										
Arterial miles are	0	444	0%		444			444		
covered by on-call										
publicly-sponsored										
service patrol or towing										
services										

### **Incident Management Integration Indicators**

# El Paso

# **Incident Management Integration\***




 $<sup>* \</sup> Indicators \ are \ single \ surrogates \ that \ do \ not \ necessarily \ reflect \ the \ full \ breadth \ of \ ITS \ deployment \ activity$ 

Link Description	1999	2005
21a. Incident management agencies receiving incident severity from	(0/1)	(0/1)
Emergency Management	0%	0%
21b. Incident management agencies receiving incident clearance	(0/1)	(0/1)
activities from Emergency Management	0%	0%
13. Freeway Management agencies sending freeway conditions to	(1/1)	(1/1)
Incident Management	100%	100%
4. Arterial Management agencies sending arterial conditions to Incident	(1/1)	(1/1)
Management	100%	100%
23. Arterial Management agencies receive information on highway-rail	(0/1)	(0/1)
intersection crossing blockages for the purpose of managing incident	0%	0%
response		
29. Transit Management agencies report traffic incidents as part of an	(0/1)	(0/1)
organized regional incident management program	0%	0%

Link Description	1999	2005
7. Incident management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(0/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Emergency Management agencies	0%	0%
9. Incident Management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(0/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Transit Management agencies	0%	0%
6. Incident Management agencies disseminate information describing	(1/1)	(1/1)
incident severity, location, and type to the public	100%	100%
5. Incident Management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(1/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Arterial Management agencies	0%	100%
8. Incident Management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(1/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Freeway Management agencies	0%	100%
25. Police, fire, and EMS agencies participating in a formal incident	(2/3)	(2/3)
management plan/team	67%	67%

# El Paso Arterial Management\*



- \* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.
- \*\* Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.


	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Arterial miles covered	40	444	9%						
by electronic									
surveillance									
Signalized intersections				50	515	10%	305	550	55%
are covered by									
electronic surveillance									
for monitoring traffic									
flow									
Signalized intersections	440	929	47%	140	515	27%	400	550	73%
are under centralized or									
closed loop control									

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Arterial miles are	26	444	6%						
covered by VMS, HAR,									
or IVS									
Arterial miles are				12	444	3%	12	444	3%
covered by VMS									
Arterial miles are					444			444	
covered by HAR									
Arterial miles are					444			444	
covered by IVS									

### **Arterial Management Integration Indicators**

### El Paso

# Arterial Management Integration\*




<sup>\*</sup> Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
16a. Transit management agencies with vehicles equipped with traffic	(0/1)	(0/1)
signal priority	0%	0%
16b. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped as probes on	(0/1)	(0/1)
arterials	0%	0%
22. Emergency Management agencies have vehicles equipped with	(0/3)	(1/3)
traffic signal preemption capability	0%	33%
24. Arterial Management agencies have traffic signals within 200 feet of	(1/1)	(1/1)
a highway rail intersection with the capability of having their signal	100%	100%
timing adjusted in response to a train crossing		
18. Number of Arterial Management agencies receiving information	(0/1)	(0/1)
from vehicle probes	0%	0%
5. Incident Management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(1/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Arterial Management	0%	100%

Link Description	1999	2005
11. Freeway Management agencies transfer freeway travel times,	(0/1)	(1/1)
speeds, and conditions to Arterial Management agencies	0%	100%
3. Arterial Management agencies transfer arterial travel times, speeds,	(0/1)	(1/1)
and conditions to Transit Management	0%	100%
1. Arterial Management agencies disseminate arterial travel times,	(1/1)	(1/1)
speeds, and conditions to the public	100%	100%
2. Arterial Management agencies send traffic condition information to	(1/1)	(1/1)
Freeway Management	100%	100%
4. Arterial Management agencies transfer arterial travel times, speeds,	(1/1)	(1/1)
and conditions to Incident Management	100%	100%
26. Arterial Management agencies under cooperative agreement to share	(1/1)	(1/1)
traffic signal timing for coordinated response	100%	100%

# El Paso Electronic Toll Collection\*



<sup>\*</sup> Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.


<sup>\*\*</sup> Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Toll collection plazas									
with ETC capability									
Toll collection lanes									
with ETC capability									

### **Electronic Toll Collection Integration Indicators**

# El Paso Electronic Toll Collection Integration\*


<u>Inputs</u> <u>Outputs</u>



<sup>\*</sup> Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
18. Number of Arterial Management agencies receiving information	(0/1)	(0/1)
from vehicle probes	0%	0%
19. Transit agencies that accept electronic payment through the use of	(0/1)	(0/1)
electronic toll collection media	0%	0%
17. Freeway Management agencies receiving information from vehicle	(0/1)	(0/1)
probes	0%	0%
28. Toll operators using common toll tag technology	( 0/)	(0/)

# El Paso Transit Management\*




- \* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.
- \*\* Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997		1999			2005			
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Fixed-route transit					159		159	170	94%
vehicles are equipped									
with AVL									
Fixed-route transit					159		159	170	94%
vehicles are equipped									
with electronic									
monitoring of vehicle									
component									
Paratransit vehicles					54		54	65	83%
operate under computer-									
aided dispatch									
Percent fixed-route									
transfer locations with									
electronic display of									
information									
Bus stops display									
information to the									
public									

### **Transit Management Integration Indicators**

### El Paso

# **Transit Management Integration\***




<sup>\*</sup> Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
3. Arterial Management agencies transfer arterial travel times, speeds,	(0/1)	(1/1)
and conditions to Transit Management	0%	100%
9. Incident management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(0/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Transit Management	0%	0%
12. Freeway Management agencies transfer freeway travel times,	(0/1)	(0/1)
speeds, and conditions to Transit Management	0%	0%
20. Transit Management agencies using Electronic Fare Payment data in	(0/1)	(0/1)
transit service planning	0%	0%
16a. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped with traffic	(0/1)	(0/1)
signal priority capability	0%	0%
16b. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped as probes on	(0/1)	(0/1)
arterials	0%	0%
14a. Transit Management agencies disseminate information describing	(0/1)	(1/1)
transit routes, schedules, and fares to travelers	0%	100%

Link Description	1999	2005
14b. Transit Management agencies disseminate information describing	(0/1)	(1/1)
schedule/route adherence to travelers	0%	100%
15a. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped with ramp	(0/1)	(0/1)
meter priority capability	0%	0%
15b. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped as probes on	(0/1)	(0/1)
freeways	0%	0%
29. Transit Management agencies that report traffic incidents as part of	(0/1)	(0/1)
an organized regional Incident Management program	0%	0%

# El Paso

# Electronic Fare Payment\*



<sup>\*</sup> Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.


	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Fixed-route transit					159		159	170	94%
vehicles that accept									
electronic payment									
Rail transit stations that									
accept electronic									
payment									

### **Electronic Fare Payment Integration Indicators**

# El Paso

# Electronic Fare Payment Integration\*

<u>Inputs</u> Outputs




Legend	
1999	
2005	

<sup>\*</sup> Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
19. Transit agencies that accept electronic payment through the use of	(0/1)	(0/1)
electronic toll collection media	0%	0%
20. Transit Management agencies use Electronic Fare Payment data in	(0/1)	(0/1)
transit service planning	0%	0%
27. Transit Management agencies that use the same electronic payment	(0/1)	(0/1)
system	0%	0%

El Paso
Highway-Rail Intersections\*



<sup>\*</sup> Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.


	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Highway-rail intersections	3	13	23%						
are under electronic									
surveillance									

### **Highway Rail Intersection Integration Indicators**

# El Paso

# Highway Rail Intersections Integration\*

<u>Inputs</u> Outputs




Legend					
1999, <b>2005</b>					

<sup>\*</sup> Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
24. Arterial Management agencies with traffic signals within 200 feet of	(1/1)	(1/1)
a highway rail intersection with the capability of having their signal	100%	100%
timing adjusted in response to a train crossing		
23. Arterial Management agencies receive information on highway-rail	(0/1)	(0/1)
intersection crossing blockages for the purpose of managing incident	0%	0%
response		

# El Paso **Emergency Management\***



<sup>\*</sup> Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.
\*\* Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.


	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Public sector emergency	349	418	83%	492	535	92%	157	157	100%
vehicles that operate									
under computer-aided									
dispatch									
Public sector emergency	0	418	0%	0	535	0%	95	157	61%
vehicles that have in-									
vehicle route guidance									
capability									

### **Emergency Management Integration Indicators**

# El Paso

# **Emergency Management Integration\***

<u>Inputs</u> Outputs




Legend					
1999, <b>2005</b>					

<sup>\*</sup> Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
7. Freeway Management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(0/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Emergency Management agencies	0%	0%
22. Emergency Management agencies have vehicles equipped with	(0/3)	(1/3)
traffic signal preemption capability	0%	33%
21a. Freeway Management agencies receive incident severity, location,	(0/1)	(0/1)
and type data from Emergency Management agencies	0%	0%
21b. Freeway Management agencies receive incident clearance	(0/1)	(0/1)
activities information from Emergency Management agencies	0%	0%

El Paso
Regional Multimodal Traveler Information\*



<sup>\*</sup> Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.


	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Freeway conditions	0	104	0%	35	104	34%	55	104	53%
disseminated to									
travelers									
Possible RMTI media				2	8	25%	6	8	75%
types are used to									
display information to									
travelers									
Possible RMTI media				1	8	13%	5	8	63%
are used to display									
information on two or									
more modes to									
travelers									

# ${\bf Regional\ Multimodal\ Traveler\ Information\ Integration\ Indicators}$

# El Paso

# Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Integration\*

<u>Inputs</u> <u>Outputs</u>




<sup>\*</sup> Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
14a. Transit Management agencies that disseminate information	(0/1)	(1/1)
describing transit routes, schedules, and fares to travelers	0%	100%
14b. Transit Management agencies that disseminate information	(0/1)	(1/1)
describing schedule/route adherence to travelers	0%	100%
1. Arterial Management agencies that disseminate arterial travel times,	(1/1)	(1/1)
speeds, and conditions to the public	100%	100%
10. Freeway Management agencies that disseminate freeway travel	(1/1)	(1/1)
times, speeds, and conditions to travelers	100%	100%
6. Incident Management agencies that disseminate information	(1/1)	(1/1)
describing incident severity, location, and type to the public	100%	100%

Appendix A Survey Coverage Area

### CITY OF EL PASO, TX-NM



Appendix B Surveyed Agencies

### **Surveyed Agencies**

Agency Name	Phone	Phone Fax	1999		19	97
			Out	In	Out	In
	EL	PASO				
Arterial Management						
El Paso City	(915) 541-4736	(915) 541-4168	7/29/1999	8/23/1999	08/13/1997	09/02/1997
Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso	(915) 774-4307	(915) 774-4305	7/29/1999		08/12/1997	09/16/1997
Emergency Management	·					
El Paso City Fire Department	(915) 771-1004	(915) 771-1026	6/4/1999	6/8/1999	08/12/1997	10/03/1997
El Paso County Sheriff Department	(915) 546-2217	(915) 546-2028	6/7/1999	6/22/1999	08/12/199	08/21/1997
El Paso City Police Department	915-564-7000	915-564-7320	6/7/1999	6/17/1999	08/12/1997	10/03/1997
Freeway Management						
Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso	(915) 774-4307	(915) 774-4305	7/29/1999	9/20/1999	08/12/1997	09/16/1997
MPO	·					
El Paso Metropolitan Planning Organization	(915) 541-4018	(915) 541-4154	7/15/1999			
Transit Management						
Sun Metro-El Paso City	(915) 534-5890	(915) 534-5816	8/9/1999	8/25/1999	07/17/1997	

Appendix C Freeway Management Components

	Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso Distric	
	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes	
FREEWAY MANAGEMENT SECTION		
Number of freeway centerline miles that agency owns or maintains	65	
Number of freeway centerline miles that is used for planning	35	
Number of freeway entrance ramps that agency owns, operates or maintains	45	
Number of freeway entrance ramps that is used for planning	3	
Type of facilities used to conduct freeway/incident management activities		
Activities housed in a free-standing dedicated building?	Yes	
Activities housed in a building shared with other activities?	No	
Activities conducted in a dedicated control room?	Yes	
Control room contains operator console(s)?	Yes	
Control room contains electronic wall map?	Yes	
Control room contains CCTV display(s)?	Yes	
Activities conducted in a room containing workstations or PCs that manage traffic?	No	
Facilities are electronically linked to other transportation mgt facilities?	Yes	
Staffing and hours of operation of freeway/incident management activities		
Number of full-time agency staff members	5	
Number of full time contractor staff members	NR	
Number of part-time agency staff members	NR	
Number of part-time contractor staff members	NR	
Staffed 24 hours day by agency staff or by others	NR	
Staffed during peak hours only by agency staff or by others	NR	
Staffed by others during off-peak hours	No	
Agency staff perform transportation management as an ancillary duty	No	
Agency staff dedicated to transportation management duty	Yes	
Types of operations conducted for freeway/incident management		
Incident detection and management?	Yes	
This metropolitan area?	Yes	
Other metropolitan area?	No	
Statewide?	No	
Monitoring and troubleshooting status of system components?	Yes	
Manual override of ramp metering rates at freeway on-ramps?	Yes	
Operating transportation management roadside devices?	Yes	
Radio communications with other agencies?	Yes	
Exchange of electronic data with other agencies such as computer aided dispatch?	Yes	
Real-Time Traffic Data Collection Technologies		

	Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso District		
	1999	2005	
Total number of miles under surveillance with real-time data collection tech.	35	55	
Number of Stations with data collection technologies			
Loop detectors	978	1,200	
Video imaging detectors	0	0	
Probe readers (elec. toll tags, transit vehicles, other technology)	0	0	
Microwave radar	0	0	
Other (e.g., acoustic detectors)	0	0	
Number of Miles covered with data collection technologies	, and the second	<u> </u>	
Loop detectors	35	55	
Video imaging detectors	0	0	
Probe readers (elec. toll tags, transit vehicles, other technology)	0	0	
Microwave radar	0	0	
Other (e.g., acoustic detectors)	0	0	
/ariable Message Signs (VMS) on Freeways			
Candidate locations for deployment of VMS where VMS has been deployed	13	30	
Candidate locations for deployment of VMS	13	30	
Roadside Technologies used to Distribute Traveler Information			
Total number of miles where information is distributed	NR	NR	
Number deployed			
Highway advisory radio	0	0	
In-vehicle signing	0	0	
Portable variable message signs	4	8	
Other	0	0	
Miles covered			
Highway advisory radio	0	0	
In-vehicle signing	0	0	
Portable variable message signs	NR	NR	
Other	0	0	
Ramp Meters on Freeways			
Number of entrance ramp meters operated under isolated control	2	4	
Number of entrance ramp meters operated under central control	2	4	
Number of entrance ramp meters that provide preemption for emergency vehicles	0	0	
Number of entrance ramp meters that provide priority for transit vehicles	0	0	
Total number of metered ramps	2	4	
reeway centerline miles under lane control	35	55	
Communication Links			
Freeway centerline miles covered by the following type of communication			
Twisted pair cable	35	55	
Coaxial cable	0	0	
Fiber-optic cable	35	55	
Microwave radio	0	0	
Other	0	0	

	Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso District		
	1999	2005	
TS Standards Used Related to Freeway Management			
ATMS Data Dictionary Sections 1 and 2 (ITE TM 1.01)	No		
ATMS Data Dictionary Sections 3 and 4 (ITE TM 1.02)	No		
Message Set for External TMC Communication (ITE-9604-1)	No		
NTCIP Class B Profile (AASHTO TS 3.3)	No		
NTCIP Data Collection and Monitoring Devices (AASHTO TS 3.DCM)	No		
NTCIP Object Definitions for Environmental Sensor Stations (AASHTO TS 3.7)	No		
NTICP Object Definitions for Dynamic Message Signs (AASHTO TS 3.6)	Yes		
NTICP Object Definitions for Highway Advisory Radio (AASHTO TS 3.HAR)	No		
NTICP Object Definitions for Ramp Meter Control (AASHTO TS 3.RMC)	No		
NTICP Object Definitions for Transportation Sensor Systems (AASHTO TS 3.TSS)	No		
NTICP Object Definitions for Video Camera Control (AASHTO TS 3.VCC)	No		
Would agency be willing to participate in testing of ITS Standards?	Yes		
Have agreements in place with other agencies to use similar hardware			
and software to aid maintenance and interoperability?	Yes		
NCIDENT MANAGEMENT SECTION			
Jse of Service Patrols to Assist in Detection and Response to Incidents			
Publicly operated service patrol vehicles	Yes		
Privately operated service patrol vehicles operated under public contract	No		
Total number of freeway miles patrolled by these services	35	40	
Miles Covered by Methods to Detect and Verify Incidents			
Free cellular phone call to a dedicated phone number other than 911	NR	NR	
Police patrols	NR	NR	
Computer algorithms linked to traffic surveillance equipment	35	55	
CCTV	35	55	
Private sector sources (e.g., Shadow Traffic, SmartRoutes)	NR NB	NR	
Other (e.g., free cell phone call to an area radio system, etc.)	NR	NR	
Procedures in place for Freeway Incident Response?			
Working agreement(s)/arrangement(s) with other agencies	Yes		
Inter-agency incident management admin. team that meets regularly	Yes		
Major incident response team that responds to major incidents	Yes		
Set of goals/objectives for incident mgt that has been adopted by agencies in region	No		
Central focal point for facilitating the two-way flow of information			
among agencies responding to an incident?			
The central focal point is a Freeway or Traffic Management Center	Yes		
The central focal point is a Police, Fire or joint dispatch center	No		
The central focal point is another center	No		
Methods of Communication Used On-Site at an Incident			
<u>Police</u>			
Two-way radio	No		
800 MHz trunked radio	No		

		nsportation-El Paso District
	1999	2005
Cellular telephone	No	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
<u>Fire</u>		
Two-way radio	No	
800 MHz trunked radio	No	
Cellular telephone	No	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
<u>DOT</u>		
Two-way radio	No	
800 MHz trunked radio	No	
Cellular telephone	No	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
Towing		
Two-way radio	No	
800 MHz trunked radio	No	
Cellular telephone	No	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
Which police agencies typically respond to incidents on freeways?	110	
State Police	No	
County Police or Sheriff	No	
City Police	Yes	
Who provides on-site emergency medical response?		
Fire	Yes	
Emergency Management Service Agency	Yes	
Private hospital	No	
las a multi-agency contact list been developed in area containing the		
names, phone numbers, etc. for the appropriate response personnel?	Yes	
s the Incident Command System used to manage incident scenes?	No	
s there a legal specification by state law or formal agreement as to who		
is "in charge" at the incident scene?		
Specified by state law?	No	
Formal agreement?	No	
Not specified or don't know?	Yes	
On-scene command post used to manage activities of responding agencies?	No	
Are there communication linkages to a communications traffic/freeway mgt center?	NR	
Plan developed and adopted by responding agencies for staging and parking		

	Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso Distric	
	1999	2005
and facilitates the re-opening of lanes?	No	
Respondents protected through law or court opinion for liability claims		
for damages to vehicles or cargoes during clearance activities?	Yes	
Are overturned tank trucks, which are intact and not leaking, uprighted		
without first off-loading?	No	
Does your state or local jurisdiction have a law that requires drivers		
involved in property-damage-only accidents to move the vehicles		
from travel lanes to a safe location to exchange info and wait for police?	Yes	
Have laws or policies regarding the removal of stalled/abandoned vehicles		
from freeway shoulders?	Yes	
Hours abandoned vehicles are allowed to remain on a freeway shoulder?	>36	
lave policies or procedures for quick removal of vehicles?	Yes	
s Total Station equipment used to investigate major incidents?	No	
Handling of Towing Responses to Incidents		
Formal contract based on qualifications?	Yes	
Rotation with companies under contract?	No	
Separate lists kept for light and heavy response and for specialty recovery?	NR	
Rotation list with minimal qualifications?	No	
n towing qualifications, do you require towers to be certified under the		
Towing and Recovery Ass. of America's National Drivers Cert. Program?	DK	
DK: Don't know		
NR: No Response		
Leg: Legislation or action being planned		

Appendix D Freeway Management Integration

	Texas Department of Tr	ansportation-El Paso Dist
Agency Name	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes	
Freeway Management Section	Tes	
Agencies your agency provides freeway travel times, speeds, and		
conditions information, share infrastructure or coordinates operation		
Freeway Management Agencies		
Provide Information	El Paso City	None listed
Share Infrastructure	El Paso City	None listed
Coordinate Operation	El Paso City	None listed
Incident Management Agencies	El Faso City	None listed
Provide Information	Texas Department of	
1 Tovide information	Transportation-El Paso	
	Distric, El Paso City	None listed
Share Infrastructure	Texas Department of	
	Transportation-El Paso	
	Distric, El Paso City	None listed
Coordinate Operation	Texas Department of	
	Transportation-El Paso	
	Distric, El Paso City	None listed
Arterial Management Agencies		
Provide Information	None listed	El Paso City
Share Infrastructure	None listed	El Paso City
Coordinate Operation	None listed	El Paso City
Public Transit Operators		
Provide Information	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others		
Incident Management agencies from which your agency receives		
incident severity, location, and type information	Texas Department of	Texas Department of
	Transportation-El Paso	Transportation-El Paso
	Distric	Distric, El Paso City
Arterial Management agencies from which your agency receives		
arterial travel times, speeds, and conditions		El Paso City, Texas
		Department of
		Transportation-El Paso
	None listed	Distric
Public Transit operators from which your agency receives		
freeway travel times derived from vehicle probes	None listed	None listed

	Texas Department of	of Transportation-El Paso Dist
Agency Name	1999	2005
Toll Collection agencies from which your agency receives freeway travel		
times derived from vehicles probes	None listed	None listed
reeway Incident Management Section		
Agencies your agency provides incident severity, location, and type info.		
and/or shares infrastructure and/or coordinates operation		
Arterial Management Agencies		
Provide Information	None listed	El Paso City, Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso Distric
Share Infrastructure	None listed	El Paso City, Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso Distric
Coordinate Operation	None listed	El Paso City, Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso Distric
Emergency Management Agencies		
Provide Information	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed
Freeway Management Agencies		
Provide Information	None listed	Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso Distric, El Paso City
Share Infrastructure	None listed	El Paso City
Coordinate Operation	None listed	El Paso City
Public Transit Operators		·
Provide Information	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others		
Emergency Management agencies from which your agency receives		
incident clearance and/or incident severity and type		
Receive Arterial Incident Clearance Information	None listed	City Traffic
Receive Arterial Incident Severity Information	None listed	City Traffic
Arterial Management agencies from which your agency receives		
arterial travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	El Paso City

	Texas Department of Tra	ansportation-El Paso District
Agency Name	1999	2005
freeway travel times, speeds, and conditions	Texas Department of	Texas Department of
	Transportation-El Paso	Transportation-El Paso
	Distric	Distric

<sup>\*</sup>short survey: Agency responded using a short survey. The survey did not include names of individual agencies, but only identified whether integration exists.

Appendix E Freeway Management Information Collection and Dissemination Appendix F Arterial Management Components

	El Paso City	
	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes	
ARTERIAL MANAGEMENT SECTION		
Number of arterial miles that agency owns or maintains	NR	
Number of arterial miles that is used for planning	NR	
Number of highway-rail intersections that agency maintains	NR	
Number of highway-rail intersections that is used for planning	NR	
Type of facilities used to conduct arterial management activities		
Activities housed in a free-standing dedicated building?	No	
Activities housed in a building shared with other activities?	Yes	
Activities conducted in a dedicated control room?	No	
Control room contains operator console(s)?	No	
Control room contains electronic wall map?	No	
Control room contains CCTV display(s)?	No	
Activities conducted in a room containing workstations or PCs that manage traffic?	No	
Facilities are electronically linked to other transportation mgt facilities?	No	
Staffing and hours of operation of arterial management activities		
Number of full-time agency staff members	3	
Number of full time contractor staff members	NR	
Number of part-time agency staff members	NR	
Number of part-time contractor staff members	NR	
Staffed 24 hours day by agency staff or by others	NR	
Staffed during peak hours only by agency staff or by others	NR	
Staffed by others during off-peak hours	No	
Agency staff perform transportation management as an ancillary duty	No	
Agency staff dedicated to transportation management duty	Yes	
Types of operations conducted for arterial management		
Incident detection and management?	No	
This metropolitan area?	No	
Other metropolitan area?	No	
Monitoring and troubleshooting status of system components?	No	
Radio communications with other agencies?	No	
Exchange of electronic data with other agencies such as computer aided dispatch?	No	
Manual override of traffic signal timing plans	No	
Operating transportation mgt roadside devices (e.g., VMS, CCTV, etc.)	No	
Describe agency's role in traffic signal control	c signal control All roads in incorporated area	
Traffic Signals Operated by Agency		
<u> </u>		

	El Paso City	
	1999	2005
Number of signalized intersections operated and owned by agency	305	326
Number of signalized intersections operated by agency but owned by another	210	224
Total number of signalized intersections operated by agency	515	550
Characteristics of signalized intersections that agency operates		
Under closed loop or central system control	140	400
Under real-time traffic adaptive control using advanced software	0	10
Using SCOOT	No No	10
Using SCATS	No	
Name of software	NR	
Allow signal preemption for emergency vehicles	6	10
Allow signal priority for transit vehicles	0	0
Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection	12	12
Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection that adjust signal timing	10	10
Software used to control the signals agency operates		
Date of last upgrade to traffic signal control system software?	May	1999
How often do you update signal timing?	Continually, on individual basis	
Software used and number of signalized intersections under control (1999, 2005)	BI TRAN QUICNET 4, 140, 400	
Controllers used to control signals		
NEMA	325	0
170/179 2070 controller	190 0	550 0
Other	0	0
Technologies Associated with Highway-Rail Intersections	0	0
Total number of highway-rail intersections under electronic surveillance	NR	NR
Highway-Rail intersection capapbilities	INIX	INIX
Video surveillance	0	0
Electronic surveillance other than video	0	0
Ability to predict train arrival electronically	0	0
Equipped with electronic traffic violator devices	0	0
Other	0	0
Real-Time Electronic Traffic Data Collection Technologies	ū	
Total number of signalized intersections covered by electronic surveillance	50	305
Number of signalized intersections with data collection technologies		
Loop detectors	50	300
Video detection cameras	NR	5
Probe readers reading toll tags	0	0
Probe readers reading license plates	0	0
	<u> </u>	
Other	0	0
	0	0

	El Paso City	
	1999	2005
Highway Advisory Radio	NR	NR
In-Vehicle Signing (IVS)	NR	NR
VMS controlling parking access	NR	NR
Miles covered		
Highway Advisory Radio	NR	NR
In-Vehicle Signing (IVS)	NR	NR
Variable Message Signs (VMS) on Arterials		
Candidate locations for deployment of VMS where VMS has been deployed	5	5
Candidate locations for deployment of VMS	5	5
Communication Technologies		
Signalized intersections communicated with by each type of communication		
Twisted pair cable	140	400
Coaxial cable	0	0
Fiber-optic cable	0	40
Other (e.g., wireless, dial-up modems, leased lines, etc.)	0	0
Does agency convey information on highway-rail intersection crossing		
status to travelers via roadside media such as VMS or HAR?	No	
ITS Standards Used Related to Traffic Signal Control		
Advanced Transportation Controller (ATC) Software Application Interface (ITE 9603-1)	No	
ATC Physical Cabinet Functional Design (ITE-9603-2)	No	
ATC Functionality and Interface Definitions (ITE-9603-3)	No	
Natl. Trans. Communications for ITS Protocol (NTCIP) Class B Profile (AASHTO TS 3.3)	No	
NTCIP Data Collection and Monitoring Devices (AASHTO TS 3.DCM)	No	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Video Camera Control (AASHTO TS 3.VCC)	No	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Actuated Traffic Signal Controller Units (AASHTO TS 3.5)	No	
Would agency be willing to participate in testing of ITS Standards?	Yes	
Have agreements in place with other agencies to use similar hardware		
and software to aid maintenance and interoperability?	Yes	
INCIDENT MANAGEMENT ON ARTERIAL STREETS		
Receive information on highway-rail intersection crossing blockages for		
the purpose of managing incident response?	No	
Use of Service Patrols to Assist in Detection and Response to Incidents		
Publicly operated service patrol vehicles	No	
Privately operated service patrol vehicles operated under public contract	No	
Total number of arterial miles patrolled by these services	NR	NR
Miles Covered by Methods to Detect and Verify Incidents		
Free cellular phone call to a dedicated phone number other than 911	0	0
Free cellular phone call to an area radio station	0	0
Police patrols	0	0
Computer algorithms linked to traffic surveillance equipment	0	0
CCTV	NR	50
Private sector sources (e.g., Shadow Traffic, Smart Routes)	0	0
Other	0	0

	El Paso City	
	1999	2005
Procedures in place for Arterial Incident Response?		
Working agreement(s)/arrangement(s) with other agencies	Yes	
Inter-agency incident management admin. team that meets regularly	Yes	
Major incident response team that responds to major incidents	Yes	
Set of goals/objectives for incident mgt that has been adopted by agencies in region	Yes	
Methods of Communication Used On-Site at an Incident		
Police		
Two-way radio	Yes	
800 MHz trunked radio	Yes	
Cellular telephone	Yes	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
Other	No	
Fire		
Two-way radio	Yes	
800 MHz trunked radio	Yes	
Cellular telephone	Yes	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
Other	No	
<u>DOT</u>		
Two-way radio	Yes	
800 MHz trunked radio	Yes	
Cellular telephone	Yes	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
Other	No	
Towing		
Two-way radio	No	
800 MHz trunked radio	No	
Cellular telephone	Yes	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
Other	No	
Which police agencies typically respond to incidents on arterials?		
State Police	No	
County Police or Sheriff	No	
City Police	Yes	
Who provides on-site emergency medical response?	Voc	
Fire Emergency Management Service Agency	Yes No	

	El Paso City	
	1999	2005
Private hospital	No	
Has a multi-agency contact list been developed in area containing the		
names, phone numbers, etc. for the appropriate response personnel?	Yes	
Is the Incident Command System used to manage incident scenes?	Yes	
Is there a legal specification by state law or formal agreement as to who		
is "in charge" at the incident scene?		
Specified by state law?	No	
Formal agreement?	No	
Not specified or don't know?	Yes	
On-scene command post used to manage activities of responding agencies?	Yes	
Are there communication linkages to a communications traffic/freeway mgt center?	Yes	
Plan developed and adopted by responding agencies for staging and parking		
response vehicles and equip. at incident site that minimizes lane blockage		
and facilitates the re-opening of lanes?	DK	
Respondents protected through law or court opinion for liability claims		
for damages to vehicles or cargoes during clearance activities?	DK	
Are overturned tank trucks, which are intact and not leaking, uprighted		
without first off-loading?	NR	
Does your state or local jurisdiction have a law that requires drivers		
involved in property-damage-only accidents to move the vehicles		
from travel lanes to a safe location to exchange info and wait for police?	Yes	
Have laws or policies regarding the removal of stalled/abandoned vehicles		
from freeway shoulders?	Yes	
Hours abandoned vehicles are allowed to remain on a freeway shoulder?	0-24	
Have policies or procedures for quick removal of vehicles?	Yes	
Is Total Station equipment used to investigate major incidents?	DK	
Handling of Towing Responses to Incidents		
Formal contract based on qualifications?	No	
Rotation with companies under contract?	No	
Separate lists kept for light and heavy response and for specialty recovery?	NR	
Rotation list with minimal qualifications?	No	
In towing qualifications, do you require towers to be certified under the		
Towing and Recovery Ass. of America's National Drivers Cert. Program?	DK	
DK: Don't know		
NR: No Response		
Leg: Legislation or action being planned		

Appendix G Arterial Management Integration

	El Paso City	
Agency Name	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes	
Arterial Management Section		
Arterial Mgt. agencies in metropolitan area with which you share info.		
Share Timing Plans Information	El Paso City, Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso District, Ft. Bliss	Ft. Bliss
Coordinate Changes to Timing Plans	El Paso City, Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso District, Ft. Bliss	El Paso City, Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso District, Ft. Bliss
Turn over Control of Signals	El Paso City, Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso District, Ft. Bliss	El Paso City, Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso District, Ft. Bliss
Agencies your agency provides arterial travel times, speeds, and		
conditions information, share infrastructure or coordinates operation		
Freeway Management Agencies		
Provide Information	Texas Department of Transportation El Paso District	Texas Department of Transportation El Paso District
Share Infrastructure	None listed	Texas Department of Transportation El Paso District
Coordinate Operation	Texas Department of Transportation El Paso District	Texas Department of Transportation El Paso District
Incident Management Agencies		
Provide Information	Texas Department of Transportation El Paso District	Texas Department of Transportation El Paso District
Share Infrastructure	Texas Department of Transportation El Paso District	Texas Department of Transportation El Paso District
Coordinate Operation	Texas Department of Transportation El Paso District	Texas Department of Transportation El Paso District
Public Transit Operators Agencies		
Provide Information	None listed	Sun Metro-El Paso City
Share Infrastructure	None listed	Sun Metro-El Paso City
Coordinate Operation	None listed	Sun Metro-El Paso City
Arterial Management Agencies		
Provide Information	El Paso City, Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso District	El Paso City, Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso District
Share Infrastructure	El Paso City, Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso District	El Paso City, Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso District
Coordinate Operation	El Paso City, Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso District	El Paso City, Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso District

	El Paso City	
Agency Name	1999	2005
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others		
Freeway Management agencies from which your agency receives		
freeway travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	Texas Department of Transportation- El Paso District
Public Transit operators from which your agency receives		
arterial travel times derived from vehicle probes	None listed	Sun Metro-El Paso City
Incident Management agencies from which your agency receives		
incident clearance and/or incident severity, location, and type information		
Receive information on Incident Clearance	None listed	Texas Department of Transportation- El Paso District
Receive information on Incident Severity, Location, and Type	None listed	Texas Department of Transportation- El Paso District
Toll Collection agencies from which your agency receives arterial travel		
times derived from vehicles probes	None listed	None listed
Arterial Incident Management Section		
Agencies your agency provides incident severity, location, and type info.		
and/or shares infrastructure and/or coordinates operation		
Emergency Management Agencies		
Provide Information		
	El Paso City Fire Department, El Paso City Police Department, El Paso County Sheriff Department, Ft. Bliss	El Paso City Fire Department, El Pas City Police Department, El Paso County Sheriff Department, Ft. Bliss
Share Infrastructure		
	El Paso City Fire Department, El Paso City Police Department, El Paso County Sheriff Department, Ft. Bliss	El Paso City Fire Department, El Pas City Police Department, El Paso County Sheriff Department, Ft. Bliss
Coordinate Operation		
	El Paso City Fire Department, El Paso City Police Department, El Paso County Sheriff Department, Ft. Bliss	El Paso City Fire Department, El Pas City Police Department, El Paso County Sheriff Department, Ft. Bliss
Freeway Management Agencies		
Provide Information	Texas Department of Transportation- El Paso District	Texas Department of Transportation- El Paso District
Share Infrastructure	Texas Department of Transportation- El Paso District	Texas Department of Transportation- El Paso District
Coordinate Operation	Texas Department of Transportation- El Paso District	Texas Department of Transportation- El Paso District
Public Transit Operators		
Provide Information	Sun Metro-El Paso City	Sun Metro-El Paso City
Share Infrastructure	Sun Metro-El Paso City	Sun Metro-El Paso City

	El Paso City		
Agency Name	1999	2005	
Coordinate Operation	Sun Metro-El Paso City	Sun Metro-El Paso City	
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others			
Emergency Management agencies from which your agency receives			
arterial incident clearance and/or arterial incident severity			
Receive Arterial Incident Clearance Information	El Paso City Fire Department, El Pas City Police Department, El Paso County Sheriff Department, Ft. Bliss	o El Paso City Fire Department, El Paso City Police Department, El Paso County Sheriff Department, Ft. Bliss	
Receive Arterial Incident Severity Information	El Paso City Fire Department, El Paso City Police Department, El Paso County Sheriff Department, Ft. Bliss	o El Paso City Fire Department, El Paso City Police Department, El Paso County Sheriff Department, Ft. Bliss	
Arterial Management agencies from which your agency receives			
arterial travel times, speeds, and conditions	Texas Department of Transportation- El Paso District	Texas Department of Transportation- El Paso District	
Freeway Management agencies from which your agency receives			
freeway travel times, speeds, and conditions	Texas Department of Transportation- El Paso District	Texas Department of Transportation- El Paso District	

<sup>\*</sup>short survey: Agency responded using a short survey. The survey did not include names of individual agencies, but only identified whether integration exists.

Appendix H
Arterial Management Information Collection and Dissemination

#### Data Collection and Dissemination: Arterial Management Agencies for Metropolitan Area: El Paso

	El Pa	aso City	
Agency Name	1999	2005	
Agency Petrimod Curio 2			
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes		
Arterial Management Section			
Data collected, archived, and/or transferred to another agency			
Collected by your agency	Traffic volumes, Turning movements, Phasing/cycle lengths, Current work zones, Scheduled work zones	Traffic volumes, Turning movements, Queues, Phasing/cycle lengths, Road conditions, Route designations (snow emergency, etc.), Weather conditions, Incidents, Current work zones, Scheduled work zones, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures, Highway operations coordination information	
Archived by your agency		Traffic volumes, Turning movements, Queues,	
	Traffic volumes, Turning movements, Phasing/cycle lengths, Current work zones, Scheduled work zones	Phasing/cycle lengths, Road conditions, Route designations (snow emergency, etc.), Weather conditions, Incidents, Current work zones, Scheduled work zones, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures, Highway operations coordination information	
Transferred to another agency by your agency	Traffic volumes, Turning movements, Phasing/cycle lengths, Current work zones, Scheduled work zones	Traffic volumes, Turning movements, Queues, Phasing/cycle lengths, Road conditions, Route designations (snow emergency, etc.), Weather conditions, Incidents, Current work zones, Scheduled work zones, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures, Highway operations coordination information	
Importance of making information available to the public			
Ranked High	Traffic volumes, Turning movements, Phasing/cycle emergency, etc.), Weather conditions, Incidents, Cur Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures, High		
Ranked Medium	Queues, Intermodal (air, rail, water) connections		
Ranked Low	Traffic speeds, Lane occupancy, Vehicle classification	on, Probe vehicles	
Groups that make requests for the data	Universities, State DOT personnel, Federal DOT per	Universities, State DOT personnel, Federal DOT personnel, Media (I.e., TV stations, radio stations), MPOs, Consultants, Advanced Traveler Information Systems (ATIS) provi, Commercial Developers	
What is the data used for?		Traffic analysis, Construction impact determination, Planning, Roadway impact analysis, Dissemination to	
Methods used to disseminate arterial information to the public			
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:	Telephone system, E-mail or other direct PC communication, Cell phone/voice, Facsimile	Telephone system, Pagers or personal data assistants, E-mail or other direct PC communication, Cell phone/voice, Facsimile	

#### Data Collection and Dissemination: Arterial Management Agencies for Metropolitan Area: El Paso

	El Paso City	
Agency Name	1999	2005
Technologies your agency (through another agency or org.) uses to disseminate:	Telephone system, E-mail or other direct PC communication, Cell phone/voice, Facsimile	Telephone system, Pagers or personal data assistants, E-mail or other direct PC communication, Cell phone/voice, Facsimile
Internet web site reporting arterial conditions	NR	
Telephone system for reporting arterial information to the public	NR	
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	TxDOT; TV stations and radio; newspaper	
Arterial Incident Management Section		
Methods used to distribute incident location and severity information		
to the public		
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:	Telephone system, Cell phone/voice	Telephone system, Internet Web sites, Kiosks, E-mail or other direct PC communication, In-vehicle navigation systems, Cell phone/voice, Cell phone/data
Technologies your agency (through another agency or org.) uses to disseminate:	Telephone system, Cell phone/voice	Telephone system, Cell phone/voice
Internet web site reporting incident information	NR	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Telephone system for reporting incident information to the public	NR	
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	TxDOT; TV stations and radio; newspaper	

Appendix I Transit Management Components

	Sun Metro-El Paso City	
	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes	
Number of vehicles used in revenue service		
Fixed Route Bus	159	170
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	54	65
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR	NR
Have of plan to have an Automated Vehicle Location System?	Yes	
Primary and Secondary Location Technologies Used		
Primary Technologies		
GPS	No	No
Sign/Odometer	No	No
Dead-Reckoning	No	No
LORAN C	No	No
Other	No	Yes
Backup Technologies		
GPS	No	No
Sign/Odometer	No	No
Dead-Reckoning	No	No
LORAN C	No	No
Other	No	No
Number of Vehicles Equipped with AVL		
Fixed Route Bus	NR	159
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	54
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR	NR
Motor Buses Operated as Vehicle Probes		
Number of Motor Buses equipped as probes on freeways?	NR	
Number of Motor Buses equipped as probes on arterials?	NR	
Have Organized Regional Incident Management Program?	No	
Have Automated Traveler Information System?	Yes	
Services Automated Traveler Info. System Applies:		

I - 1

I - 2

	Sun Metro-El Paso City	
	1999	2005
Fixed Route	Yes	
Heavy Rail	No	
Light Rail	No	
Demand Responsive	Yes	
Commuter Rail	No	
Ferry	No	
Locations where traveler information is displayed to public	110	
Number of bus stops on fixed transit routes	NR	NR
Bus stops on fixed transit routes that display traveler info to the public	NR NR	NR
Number of rail stations	NR	NR
Number of rail stations that display traveler information	NR NR	NR
Number of other locations that display traveler information to public	NR	NR
Number of vehicles the traveler information system has available	IVIX	IVIX
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	NR NR
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR	NR
Deployment of Communications Technology	IVIX	IVIX
Attributes of Radio System:		
Digital?	No	
Analog?	Yes	
Trunked?	Yes	
Regular?	No	
Services that use a Digital or Trunked Radio System		
Digital Only		
Fixed Route Bus	No	No
Heavy or Rapid Rail	No	No
Light Rail	No	No
Demand Responsive	No	No
Commuter Rail	No	No
Ferry Boat	No	No
Trunked Only		
Fixed Route Bus	No	No
Heavy or Rapid Rail	No	No
Light Rail	No	No
Demand Responsive	No	No
Commuter Rail	No	No

	Sun Metro-El Paso City	
	1999	2005
Ferry Boat	No	No
Have of plan to have Automatic Passenger Counters (APCs)?	Yes	
Methods used to count passengers		
Treadle Mats	No	
Infrared Beams	Yes	
Primary and Secondary Location Technologies Used		
Primary Technologies		
GPS	No	No
Differential GPS	No	Yes
Signpost/Odometer	No	No
Dead_Reckoning	No	No
LORAN C	No	No
Other	No	No
Backup Technologies		
GPS	No	No
Differential GPS	No	No
Signpost/Odometer	No	No
Dead_Reckoning	No	No
LORAN C	No	No
Other	No	No
Number of Vehicles with APCs		
Fixed Route Bus	NR	16
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	NR
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR	NR
Remote Real-Time Monitoring and Computer Assisted Dispatching		
Remote Real-Time Monitoring		
Fixed Route Bus	NR	159
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	54
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR	NR
Automated Dispatching or Control Software		
Fixed Route Bus	NR	159
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR NR
Light (Yall	INIX	INIX

	Sun Metro-El Paso City	
	1999	2005
Demand Responsive	NR	54
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR	NR
Coordinate or plan to coordinate travel request and vehicle		
dispatching for multiple agencies?	No	
Is there or will there be a Transportation Management Center		
(TMC) in the region that controls transit and highway modes?	Yes	
Modes that TMC currently controls:	100	
Highways	No	Yes
Fixed Route Bus	No No	No
	-	-
Heavy or Rapid Rail	No No	No No
Light Rail	No No	No
Demand Responsive	No	No
Commuter Rail	No	No
Ferry Boat	No	No
Other	No	No
Priority at Traffic Signals and Ramp Meter Priority		
Priority at Traffic Signals		
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	NR
Ramp Meter Priority		
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	NR
Number of Vehicles Equipped with Navigation Aids		
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	NR
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR	NR
ITS Standards Used Related to Transit Management		
TCIP On Boad Objects (TCIP-OB)	No	
TCIP Traffic Management Objects (TCIP-TM)	No	
TCIP Common Public Transportation Objects (TCIP-CPT)	No	
TCIP Passenger Information Objects (TCIP-PI)	No	
TCIP Incident Management Objects (TCIP-IM)	No	
TCIP Fare Collection Objects (TCIP-FC)	No	

I - 5

	Sun Metro-El Paso City	
	1999	2005
TCIP Spatial Representation Objects (TCIP-SP)	No	
TCIP Control Center Objects (TCIP-CC)	No	
TCIP Scheduling/Runcutting Objects (TCIP-SCH)	No	
Send data communication between micro computer and heavy duty		
vehicle applications (SAE J1708)	No	
Would agency be willing to participate in testing of ITS Standards?	Yes	
Have agreements in place with other agencies to use similar hardware		
and software to aid maintenance and interoperability?	No	
Electronic Fare Payment		
Have full operational Electronic Fare Payment System?	Yes	
Methods of Fare Payment		
Stored value card with fare deducted for each trip		
Magnetic Stripe	No	
Smart Card	Yes	
Debit Card	No	
Billed by the month for trips taken		
Magnetic Stripe	No	
Smart Card	No	
Credit Card	No	
Monthly Pass		
Magnetic Stripe	No	
Smart Card	Yes	
Vehicles/Stations Equipped with Automated Payment Mechanism		
Magnetic Stripe Readers		
Fixed Route Bus Vehicles	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail Stations	NR	NR
Light Rail Stations	NR	NR
Demand Responsive Vehicles	NR	NR
Commuter Rail Stations	NR	NR
Ferry Boat Landings	NR	NR
Smart Card Readers		
Fixed Route Bus Vehicles	NR	159
Heavy or Rapid Rail Stations	NR	NR
Light Rail Stations	NR	NR
Demand Responsive Vehicles	NR	54
Commuter Rail Stations	NR	NR
Ferry Boat Landings	NR	NR
Credit Card		
Fixed Route Bus Vehicles	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail Stations	NR	NR

	Sun Metro-El Paso City				
	1999	2005			
Light Rail Stations	NR	NR			
Demand Responsive Vehicles	NR	NR			
Commuter Rail Stations	NR	NR			
Ferry Boat Landings	NR	NR			
Debit Card					
Fixed Route Bus Vehicles	NR	NR			
Heavy or Rapid Rail Stations	NR	NR			
Light Rail Stations	NR	NR			
Demand Responsive Vehicles	NR	NR			
Commuter Rail Stations	NR	NR			
Ferry Boat Landings	NR	NR			
NR: No Response					

Appendix J Transit Management Integration

	Sun M	Metro-El Paso City				
Agency Name	1999	2005				
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes					
Transit operators in the region that use the same electronic payment system	None listed					
Toll operators from whom you accept electronic payment of transit						
fare through the use of ETC media	None listed					
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others						
Freeway Management agencies from which your agency receives						
freeway travel times, speeds, and conditions						
Receive Information	None listed	Texas Department of Transportation-El Paso District				
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed				
Arterial Management agencies from which your agency receives arterial travel times, speeds, and conditions						
Receive Information	None listed	El Paso City				
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed				
Incident Management agencies from which your agency receives						
incident severity, location, and type						
Receive Information	None listed	El Paso Police Department				
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed				

Appendix K
Transit Management Information Collection and Dissemination

#### Data Collection and Dissemination: Transit Management Agencies for Metropolitan Area: El Paso

	Sun Metro-El Paso City							
Agency Name	1999	2005						
A								
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes							
Methods used to disseminate transit information to the public								
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:								
Transit routes, schedules and fares	NR	Audible Enunciators, Monitors/VMS (not in vehicle), Kiosks, Internet Web Sites, Telephone System						
Real-time transit schedule adherence or arrival and departure times		Monitors/VMS (not in vehicle), Kiosks, Internet						
	NR	Web Sites						
Technologies employed by other organization receiving your data								
Transit routes, schedules and fares	NR	NR						
Real-time transit schedule adherence or arrival and departure times	NR	NR						
Internet web site reporting transit routes, schedules and fare, etc.	NR	•						
Telephone system for reporting transit information to the public	NR							
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	NR							
Data collected, archived, and/or transferred to another agency								
Collected by your agency	NR	Intermodal (air, rail, water) conditions, Route designations (snow emergency, etc), Vehicle monitoring status, Passenger information (e.g., surveys, O/D), Trip itinerary planning records, Passenger count, Vehicle time and location						
Archived by your agency	NR	Route designations (snow emergency, etc), Vehicle time and location						
Transferred to another agency by your agency	NR	NR						
Importance of making information available to the public								
Ranked High	Intermodal (air, rail, water) conditions, location	Route designations (snow emergency, etc), Vehicle time and						
Ranked Medium	Incidents, Trip itinerary planning records							
Ranked Low	Vehicle monitoring status, Passenger information (e.g., surveys, O/D), Passenger count							
Groups that make requests for the data	Consultants, Media (I.e., TV stations, radio stations), Universities							
What is the data used for?	Dissemination to the public, Planning							

Appendix L Emergency Management

	Total Vehicles		Navigation ehicles Capabilities		AVL		CAD		CAD Equipped with Mobile Data Terminal		Vehicles Equipped with Preemption		ormal	Info to other	
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	Participate in F Incident Mgt P	Send Incident agencies	List of agencies receiving data
El Paso City Fire Department El Paso City Police Department El Paso County Sheriff Department	52 399	62 NR	0 0	0 NR 95	0	62 NR	399	62 NR	52 232	62 NR	0	0 NR	Yes Yes No	Yes Yes	El Paso City Police Department None listed None listed

El Paso L - 1 Emergency Management