TTI: 9-1002-12



# CRASH TEST AND EVALUATION OF LOCKING ARCHITECTURAL MAILBOXES





## Test Report No. 9-1002-12-9

**Cooperative Research Program** 

#### TEXAS A&M TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS

#### **TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration and the Texas Department of Transportation http://tti.tamu.edu/documents/9-1002-12-9.pdf

Technical	Report	Documentation	Page

1. Report No. FHWA/TX-14/9-1002-12-9	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.
4. Title and Subtitle CRASH TEST AND EVALUATION OF LOCKING		5. Report Date Published: September 2014
ARCHITECTURAL MAILBOXES		6. Performing Organization Code
7. Author(s) Roger P. Bligh, Wanda L. Menges, a	and Darrell L. Kuhn	8. Performing Organization Report No. Test Report No. 9-1002-12-9
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Texas A&M Transportation Institute Proving Ground		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)
3135 TAMU College Station, Texas 77843-3135		11. Contract or Grant No. Project 9-1002-12
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Texas Department of Transportation		13. Type of Report and Period Covered Test Report:
Research and Technology Implement 125 E. 11 <sup>th</sup> Street Austin, Texas 78701-2483	itation Office	14. Sponsoring Agency Code
15. Supplementary Notes Project performed in cooperation wi Administration.	th the Texas Department of Tra	insportation and the Federal Highway

Project Title: Roadside Safety Device Crash Testing Program URL: http://tti.tamu.edu/documents/9-1002-12-9.pdf

16. Abstract

Some homeowners and businesses are becoming increasingly concerned about mail-identity theft. Consequently, there is a growing demand for the use of locking mailboxes for theft deterrence and vandal resistance. There are a number of mailbox products on the market that offer enhanced security for mail and small parcels. They typically feature an upper hopper for incoming mail, and a lower lockable compartment for mail retrieval.

These lockable mailboxes are significantly larger and can be 4–5 times heavier than standard mailboxes. Therefore, TxDOT requested evaluation of their crashworthiness before permitting their use on the state highway system.

Under this project, crash tests were performed following *MASH* guidelines and procedures to assess the impact performance of lockable, secure mailboxes on both single and multiple mount configurations. A single locking mailbox was successfully crash tested on a thin-wall steel tube support post installed in a releasable wedge-and-socket foundation. Testing of the larger, heavier locking mailboxes on multiple-mount support posts was unsuccessful due to windshield deformation and intrusion.

17. Key Words		18. Distribution Statement		
Mailbox, Breakaway Support, Crash Testing.		No restrictions. This document is available to the		
Roadside Safety		public through NTIS:		
		National Technical Information Service		
		Alexandria, Virgi	inia 22312	
		http://www.ntis.g	<u>gov</u>	
19. Security Classif.(of this report)	20. Security Classif.(of th	nis page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price
Unclassified	Unclassified		168	

# CRASH TEST AND EVALUATION OF LOCKING ARCHITECTURAL MAILBOXES

by

Roger P. Bligh, Ph.D., P.E. Research Engineer Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Wanda L. Menges Research Specialist Texas A&M Transportation Institute

and

Darrell L. Kuhn Technology Licensing Manager Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Report 9-1002-12-9 Project 9-1002-12 Project Title: Roadside Safety Device Crash Testing Program

> Performed in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration

> > Published: September 2014

TEXAS A&M TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE College Station, Texas 77843-3135

### DISCLAIMER

This research was performed in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official view or policies of the FHWA or TxDOT. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, and its contents are not intended for construction, bidding, or permit purposes. In addition, the above listed agencies assume no liability for its contents or use thereof. The United States Government and the State of Texas do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report. The engineer in charge of the project was Roger P. Bligh, P.E. (Texas, #78550).

### TTI PROVING GROUND DISCLAIMER

The results of the crash testing reported herein apply only to the article being tested.



Wanda L. Menges, Research Specialist Deputy Quality Manager

Richard A. Zimmer, Senior Research Specialist Test Facility Manager Quality Manager Technical Manager

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research project was conducted under a cooperative program between the Texas A&M Transportation Institute, the Texas Department of Transportation, and the Federal Highway Administration. The TxDOT project manager for this research was Wade Odell, RTI. Technical support and guidance were provided by Justin Obinna, Maintenance Division. The authors acknowledge and appreciate their assistance.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF TABLES	. xv
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 BACKGROUND	1
1.3 OBJECTIVES/SCOPE OF RESEARCH	1
CHAPTER 2. TEST REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA	3
2.1 CRASH TEST MATRIX	3
2.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA	3
CHAPTER 3. CRASH TEST PROCEDURES	5
3.1 TEST FACILITY	5
3.2 VEHICLE TOW AND GUIDANCE PROCEDURES	5
3.3 DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEMS	5
3.3.1 Vehicle Instrumentation and Data Processing	5
3.3.2 Anthropomorphic Dummy Instrumentation	6
3.3.3 Photographic Instrumentation and Data Processing	6
CHAPTER 4. LOCKING ARCHITECTURAL MAILBOX ON SHUR-TITE <sup>®</sup> SINGLE-	
MOUNT POST	7
4.1 TEST ARTICLE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION	7
4.2 <i>MASH</i> TEST 3-60 (CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-1)	. 10
4.2.1 Test Designation and Actual Impact Conditions	. 10
4.2.2 Test Vehicle	. 10
4.2.3 Weather Conditions	. 11
4.2.4 Test Description	. 11
4.2.5 Damage to Test Installation	. 12
4.2.6 Vehicle Damage	. 13
4.2.7 Occupant Risk Factors	. 13
4.2.8 Assessment of Test Results	. 14
4.2.8.1 Structural Adequacy	. 14
4.2.8.2 Occupant Risk	. 14
4.2.8.3 Vehicle Trajectory	. 16
4.3 <i>MASH</i> TEST 3-61 (CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-2)	. 16
4.3.1 Test Designation and Actual Impact Conditions	. 16
4.3.2 Test Vehicle	. 16
4.3.3 Weather Conditions	. 17
4.3.4 Test Description	. 17
4.3.5 Damage to Test Installation	. 18
4.3.6 Vehicle Damage	. 18
4.3.7 Occupant Risk Factors	. 19
4.3.8 Assessment of Test Results	. 21
4.3.8.1 Structural Adequacy	. 21
4.3.8.2 Occupant Risk	. 21

### TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

4383 Vehicle Trajectory	22
CHAPTER 5 DUAL LOCKING ARCHITECTURAL MAILBOXES ON SHUR-TITE <sup>®</sup>	
MULTIPLE-MOUNT POST	23
5.1 TEST ARTICLE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION	23
5.2 <i>MASH</i> TEST 3-60 (CRASH TEST NO 490023-9-3)	26
5.2.1 Test Designation and Actual Impact Conditions	
5.2.2 Test Vehicle	
5.2.3 Weather Conditions	
5.2.4 Test Description	
5.2.5 Damage to Test Installation	28
5.2.6 Vehicle Damage	
5.2.7 Occupant Risk Factors	
5.2.8 Assessment of Test Results	30
5.2.8.1 Structural Adequacy	30
5.2.8.2 Occupant Risk	30
5.2.8.3 Vehicle Trajectory	32
5.3 <i>MASH</i> TEST 3-61 (CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-4)	32
5.3.1 Test Designation and Actual Impact Conditions	32
5.3.2 Test Vehicle	32
5.3.3 Weather Conditions	33
5.3.4 Test Description	33
5.3.5 Damage to Test Installation	34
5.3.6 Vehicle Damage	35
5.3.7 Occupant Risk Factors	35
5.3.8 Assessment of Test Results	36
5.3.8.1 Structural Adequacy	36
5.3.8.2 Occupant Risk	36
5.3.8.3 Vehicle Trajectory	38
CHAPTER 6. LOCKING ARCHITECTURAL MAILBOXES and STANDARD	
MAILBOXES ON MULTIPLE-MOUNT SUPPORTS	39
6.1 TEST ARTICLE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION – CRASH TEST NO.	
490023-9-5	39
6.2 <i>MASH</i> TEST 3-61 (CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-5)	39
6.2.1 Test Designation and Actual Impact Conditions	39
6.2.2 Test Vehicle	39
6.2.3 Weather Conditions	44
6.2.4 Test Description	44
6.2.5 Damage to Test Installation	44
6.2.6 Vehicle Damage	46
6.2.7 Occupant Risk Factors	46
6.2.8 Assessment of Test Results	47
6.2.8.1 Structural Adequacy	47

### TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

6.2.8.2 Occupant Risk	47
6.2.8.3 Vehicle Trajectory	49
6.3 TEST ARTICLE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION – CRASH TEST NO.	
490023-9-6	49
6.4 <i>MASH</i> TEST 3-61 (CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-6)	50
6.4.1 Test Designation and Actual Impact Conditions	50
6.4.2 Test Vehicle	57
6.4.3 Weather Conditions	57
6.4.4 Test Description	58
6.4.5 Damage to Test Installation	58
6.4.6 Vehicle Damage	60
6.4.7 Occupant Risk Factors	60
6.4.8 Assessment of Test Results	61
6.4.8.1 Structural Adequacy	61
6.4.8.2 Occupant Risk	61
6.4.8.3 Vehicle Trajectory	62
CHAPTER 7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	65
7.1 LOCKING ARCHITECTURAL MAILBOX ON SINGLE-MOUNT POST	65
7.2 LOCKING ARCHITECTURAL MAILBOX ON MULTIPLE-MOUNT POST	65
7.2.1 Dual Locking Architectural Mailboxes on the SHUR-TITE <sup>+</sup> Multiple-Mount	(5
Post	65
7.2.2 Combination Locking Architectural Mandoxes and Standard Mandoxes on Multiple Mount Posts	60
7 2 2 1 SHUR-TITE <sup>®</sup> Multiple-Mount Support Post	69
7.2.2.1 SHOK-THE Multiple-Mount Support Post	69
CHAPTER 8 IMPLEMENTATION STATEMENT	07
8.1 I OCKING ARCHITECTURAL MAILBOX ON SINGLE-MOUNT POST	73
8.2 I OCKING ARCHITECTURAL MAILBOX ON MULTIPLE-MOUNT POST	75
REFERENCES	/ 1
APPENDIX A CRASH TEST NO 490023-9-1	72
A1 VEHICLE INFORMATION	77
A2. SEOUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS	
A3. VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS	82
A4. VEHICLE ACCELERATION TRACES	83
APPENDIX B. CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-2	89
B1. VEHICLE INFORMATION	89
B2. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS	92
B3. VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS	94
B4. VEHICLE ACCELERATION TRACES	95
APPENDIX C. CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-3	101
C1. VEHICLE INFORMATION	101
C2. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS	104

### TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

### Page

VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS	
VEHICLE ACCELERATION TRACES	
DIX D. CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-4	
VEHICLE INFORMATION	
SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS	
VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS	
VEHICLE ACCELERATION TRACES	
DIX E. CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-5	
VEHICLE INFORMATION	
SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS	
VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS	
VEHICLE ACCELERATION TRACES	
DIX F. CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-6	
VEHICLE INFORMATION	
SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS	
VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS	
VEHICLE ACCELERATION TRACES	
	VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS VEHICLE ACCELERATION TRACES DIX D. CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-4 VEHICLE INFORMATION SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS VEHICLE ACCELERATION TRACES DIX E. CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-5 VEHICLE INFORMATION SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS VEHICLE ACCELERATION TRACES VEHICLE ACCELERATION TRACES VEHICLE INFORMATION SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS VEHICLE INFORMATION SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS VEHICLE INFORMATION SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS VEHICLE INFORMATION SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.1.	Details of the Locking Architectural Mailbox on the SHUR-TITE <sup>®</sup>	0
Eigura 4 2	Single-Mount Post.	ة
Figure 4.2.	Connection Details for the Locking Architectural Mandox	9
Figure 4.5.	before Testing	10
Figure 4.4.	Vehicle/Installation Geometrics for Test No. 490023-9-1	
Figure 4.5	Vehicle before Test No 490023-9-1	11
Figure 4 6	Vehicle/Installation Positions after Test No 490023-9-1	12
Figure 4 7	Installation after Test No 490023-9-1	12
Figure 4.8	Vehicle after Test No. 490023-9-1	13
Figure 4 9	Interior of Vehicle for Test No 490023-9-1	13
Figure 4 10	Summary of Results for <i>MASH</i> Test 3-60 on the Locking Architectural	
119410 1110.	Mailbox on the SHUR-TITE <sup>®</sup> Single-Mount Post	15
Figure 4 11	Vehicle/Installation Geometrics for Test No 490023-9-2	17
Figure 4 12	Vehicle before Test No. 490023-9-2	17
Figure 4 13	Vehicle/Installation Positions after Test No 490023-9-2	18
Figure 4 14	Installation after Test No 490023-9-2	18
Figure 4 15	Vehicle after Test No. 490023-9-2	19
Figure 4 16	Interior of Vehicle after Test No 490023-9-2	19
Figure 4 17	Summary of Results for <i>MASH</i> Test 3-61 on the Locking Architectural	
118410 1117	Mailbox on the SHUR-TITE <sup>®</sup> Single-Mount Post	20
Figure 5.1	Details of the Dual Locking Architectural Mailbox on SHUR-TITE <sup>®</sup>	
1.9010011	Multiple-Mount Post	24
Figure 5.2.	Connection Details for the Locking Architectural Mailbox.	
Figure 5.3.	Dual Locking Architectural Mailboxes on SHUR-TITE <sup>®</sup> Multiple-Mount	
8	Post before Testing	
Figure 5.4.	Vehicle/Installation Geometrics for Test No. 490023-9-3.	27
Figure 5.5.	Vehicle before Test No. 490023-9-3.	27
Figure 5.6.	Vehicle/Installation Positions after Test No. 490023-9-3	28
Figure 5.7.	Installation after Test No. 490023-9-3.	28
Figure 5.8.	Vehicle after Test No. 490023-9-3.	29
Figure 5.9.	Interior of Vehicle for Test No. 490023-9-3	29
Figure 5.10.	Summary of Results for MASH Test 3-60 on the Dual Locking Architectural	
0	Mailboxes on the SHUR-TITE <sup>®</sup> Multiple-Mount Post.	31
Figure 5.11.	Vehicle/Installation Geometrics for Test No. 490023-9-4.	33
Figure 5.12.	Vehicle before Test No. 490023-9-4.	33
Figure 5.13.	Vehicle/Installation Positions after Test No. 490023-9-4	34
Figure 5.14.	Installation after Test No. 490023-9-4.	34
Figure 5.15.	Vehicle after Test No. 490023-9-4.	35
Figure 5.16.	Interior of Vehicle after Test No. 490023-9-4.	35
Figure 5.17.	Summary of Results for MASH Test 3-61 on the Dual Locking Architectural	
-	Mailboxes on the SHUR-TITE <sup>®</sup> Multiple-Mount Post.	37

## LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

Figure 6.1.	Details of the Locking Architectural Mailboxes and Standard Mailboxes	
-	Installation	40
Figure 6.2.	Connection Details for the Locking Architectural Mailbox.	41
Figure 6.3.	Connection Details for the Standard Mailbox.	42
Figure 6.4.	Locking Architectural Mailboxes and Standard Mailboxes before Crash Test	43
Figure 6.5	Vehicle/Installation Geometrics for Test No. 490023-9-5	43
Figure 6.6	Vehicle before Test No. 490023-9-5	13
Figure 6 7	Vehicle/Installation Positions after Test No 490023-9-5	45
Figure 6.8	Installation after Test No. 490023-9-5	45
Figure 6.9	Vehicle after Test No 490023-9-5	46
Figure 6 10	Interior of Vehicle for Test No 490023-9-5	46
Figure 6 11	Summary of Results for <i>MASH</i> Test 3-60 on the Combination Locking	
1.8010 0111	Architectural Mailboxes and Standard Mailboxes on the SHUR-TITE <sup>®</sup>	
	Multiple-Mount Post	48
Figure 6.12.	Details of the Locking Architectural Mailboxes and Standard Mailboxes	<b>7</b> 1
E	Installation.	31
Figure 6.13. Eigure $6.14$	Details of the Connection for the Locking Architectural Mailboxes	33
Figure 6.14. Eigenvector $(15)$	Details of the Connection for the Standard Mailboxes.	54
Figure 6.15. $E_{\rm example}$	Details of the Brackets.	33
Figure 6.16. $\Gamma$	Details of the Formed Thin-wall Steel Tube Multiple-Mount Support Post	30
Figure 6.17.	Locking Architectural Mandoxes and Standard Mandoxes before Crash	57
Figure 6 19	Vehiale/Installation Geometries for Test No. 400022.0.6	37
Figure 0.18.	Vehicle hafara Tast No. 400022 0 6	30
Figure 0.19.	Vehicle/Installation Positions after Test No. 400022-0.6	50
Figure 0.20.	Installation after Test No. 400022 0.6	59
Figure 0.21.	Vahiala after Test No. 490023-9-0.	60
Figure 6.22.	Interior of Vehicle for Test No. 490023-9-0.	00 60
Figure 6.23.	Summary of Results for $MASH$ Test 3-61 on the Locking Architectural	00
Figure 0.24.	Mailboxes and Standard Mailboxes on the Formed Thin Wall Steel Tube	
	Multiple Mount Post	63
Figure $\Lambda 1$	Sequential Photographs for Test No. 400023-0-1 (Perpendicular and Oblique	05
Figure A1.	Views)	80
Figure $\Delta 2$	Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 490023-9-1	
Figure $\Delta 3$	Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-1	02
Figure AJ.	(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity)	83
Figure $\Delta A$	Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-1	05
1 iguit A4.	(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity)	84
Figure 45	Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 400023-0-1	04
i iguit AJ.	(Accelerometer I ocated at Center of Gravity)	85
	(According to Located at Center of Olavity).	05

### LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

Figure A6.	Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-1	
C	(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	86
Figure A7.	Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-1	
C	(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	87
Figure A8.	Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-1	
U	(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	88
Figure B1.	Sequential Photographs for Test No. 490023-9-2 (Perpendicular and Oblique	
U	Views).	92
Figure B2.	Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 490023-9-2.	94
Figure B3.	Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-2	
U	(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	95
Figure B4.	Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-2	
U	(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	96
Figure B5.	Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-2	
U	(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	97
Figure B6.	Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-2	
U	(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	98
Figure B7.	Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-2	
U	(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	99
Figure B8.	Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-2	
U	(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	100
Figure C1.	Sequential Photographs for Test No. 490023-9-3 (Perpendicular and Oblique	
U	Views).	104
Figure C2.	Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 490023-9-3.	106
Figure C3.	Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-3	
U	(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	107
Figure C4.	Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-3	
C	(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	108
Figure C5.	Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-3	
-	(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	109
Figure C6.	Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-3	
-	(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	110
Figure C7.	Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-3	
-	(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	111
Figure C8.	Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-3	
-	(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	112
Figure D1.	Sequential Photographs for Test No. 490023-9-4 (Perpendicular and Oblique	
-	Views).	116
Figure D2.	Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 490023-9-4.	118
Figure D3.	Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-4	
	(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	119

## LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

Figure D4.	Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-4	
C	(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	. 120
Figure D5.	Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-4	
C	(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	. 121
Figure D6.	Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-4	
-	(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	. 122
Figure D7.	Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-4	
	(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	. 123
Figure D8.	Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-4	
	(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	. 124
Figure E1.	Sequential Photographs for Test No. 490023-9-5 (Perpendicular and Oblique	
	Views).	. 128
Figure E2.	Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 490023-9-5.	. 130
Figure E3.	Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-5	
	(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	. 131
Figure E4.	Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-5	
-	(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	. 132
Figure E5.	Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-5	
	(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	. 133
Figure E6.	Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-5	
	(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	. 134
Figure E7.	Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-5	
	(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	. 135
Figure E8.	Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-5	
	(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	. 136
Figure F1.	Sequential Photographs for Test No. 490023-9-6 (Perpendicular and Oblique	
	Views).	. 140
Figure F2.	Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 490023-9-6.	. 143
Figure F3.	Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-6	
	(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	. 144
Figure F4.	Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-6	
	(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	. 145
Figure F5.	Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-6	
	(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	. 146
Figure F6.	Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-6	
	(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	. 147
Figure F7.	Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-6	
	(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	. 148
Figure F8.	Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-6	
	(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	. 149

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 7.1.	Performance Evaluation Summary for MASH Test 3-60 on the Locking	
	Architectural Mailbox on the SHUR-TITE <sup>®</sup> Single-Mount Post.	66
Table 7.2.	Performance Evaluation Summary for MASH Test 3-60 on the Locking	
	Architectural Mailbox on the SHUR-TITE <sup>®</sup> Single-Mount Post.	67
Table 7.3.	Performance Evaluation Summary for MASH Test 3-60 on the Dual Locking	
	Architectural Mailbox on the SHUR-TITE <sup>®</sup> Multiple-Mount Post	68
Table 7.4.	Performance Evaluation Summary for MASH Test 3-60 on the Dual Locking	
	Architectural Mailbox on the SHUR-TITE <sup>®</sup> Multiple-Mount Post	70
Table 7.5.	Performance Evaluation Summary for MASH Test 3-60 on the Combination	
	Locking Architectural Mailboxes and Standard Mailboxes on the	
	SHUR-TITE <sup>®</sup> Multiple-Mount Post.	71
Table 7.6.	Performance Evaluation Summary for MASH Test 3-60 on the Combination	
	Locking Architectural Mailboxes and Standard Mailboxes on the Formed	
	Thin-Wall Steel Tube Multiple Mailbox Support	72
Table A1.	Vehicle Properties for Test No. 490023-9-1.	77
Table A2.	Exterior Vehicle Crush Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-1	78
Table A3.	Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-1	79
Table B1.	Vehicle Properties for Test No. 490023-9-2.	89
Table B2.	Exterior Vehicle Crush Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-2.	90
Table B3.	Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-2	91
Table C1.	Vehicle Properties for Test No. 490023-9-3.	101
Table C2.	Exterior Vehicle Crush Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-3.	102
Table C3.	Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-2	103
Table D1.	Vehicle Properties for Test No. 490023-9-4.	113
Table D2.	Exterior Vehicle Crush Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-4.	114
Table D3.	Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-4	115
Table E1.	Vehicle Properties for Test No. 490023-9-5	125
Table E2.	Exterior Vehicle Crush Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-5.	126
Table E3.	Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-5	127
Table F1.	Vehicle Properties for Test No. 490023-9-6	137
Table F2.	Exterior Vehicle Crush Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-6.	138
Table F3.	Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-6	139

### **CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 INTRODUCTION**

This project was set up to provide the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) with a mechanism to quickly and effectively evaluate high priority issues related to roadside safety devices. Roadside safety devices shield motorists from roadside hazards such as non-traversable terrain and fixed objects. Some obstacles that cannot be moved out of the clear zone (e.g., mailboxes, sign supports) are designed to breakaway. To maintain the desired level of safety for the motoring public, these safety devices must be designed to accommodate a variety of site conditions, placement locations, and a changing vehicle fleet. Periodically, there is a need to assess the compliance of existing safety devices with current vehicle testing criteria.

Under this project, roadside safety issues are identified and prioritized for investigation. Each roadside safety issue is addressed with a separate work plan, and the results are summarized in an individual test report.

#### **1.2 BACKGROUND**

Some homeowners and businesses are becoming increasingly concerned about mailidentity theft. Consequently, there is a growing demand for the use of locking mailboxes for theft deterrence and vandal resistance. There are a number of mailbox products on the market that offer enhanced security for mail and small parcels. They typically feature an upper hopper for incoming mail, and a lower lockable compartment for mail retrieval.

The dual compartment security feature makes the lockable mailboxes larger and heavier than standard mailboxes. As an example, the Oasis Jr. locking architectural mailbox is 15 inches tall  $\times$  11.5 inches wide  $\times$  18 inches deep and weighs 22.4 lb. By contrast, a common sized rural mailbox (T1) is approximately 6 inches tall  $\times$  5 inches wide  $\times$  18.5 inches long and weighs less than 5 lb.

Currently, TxDOT mailbox mounting standards (MB-11(1)) do not permit the use of heavy steel or decorative/architectural mailboxes. Concerns exist that the mailbox attachment hardware may be inadequate for these heavy mailboxes. Unacceptable occupant compartment intrusion can result if a mailbox detaches from its support during a vehicle impact.

#### 1.3 OBJECTIVES/SCOPE OF RESEARCH

The objective of this research task was to evaluate the impact performance of lockable, secure mailboxes on both single and multiple mount configurations. It was desired to use existing TxDOT supports and mounting hardware to the extent possible. The full-scale crash testing followed the procedures recommended in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH)* (2).

Reported herein are details of the lockable mailbox installations evaluated, descriptions of the tests performed, assessment of test results, and implementation recommendations.

### **CHAPTER 2. TEST REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA**

#### 2.1 CRASH TEST MATRIX

According to *MASH*, three tests are recommended for evaluation of breakaway support structures to test level three (TL-3). Details of these tests are described below.

*MASH* Test 3-60: A 2420-lb passenger car (denoted 1100C) impacting the support structure at a nominal speed of 19 mi/h. The purpose of this test is to evaluate the breakaway, fracture, or yielding mechanism of the support, as well as occupant risk.

*MASH* Test 3-61: A 2420-lb passenger car impacting the support structure at a nominal speed of 62 mi/h. The test is intended to evaluate the behavior of the support structure, vehicle trajectory, and occupant risk during high-speed impacts.

*MASH* Test 3-62: A 5000-lb pickup truck (denoted 2270P) impacting the support structure at a nominal speed of 62 mi/h. The test is intended to evaluate the behavior of the support structure, vehicle trajectory, and occupant risk during high-speed impacts.

The impact performance of the lockable, secure mailbox configurations was evaluated using Tests 3-60 and 3-61 with the small passenger car. The small passenger car is considered the critical design vehicle based on the mailbox mounting height. The taller hood height and longer wrap-around distance (i.e., the distance from the ground, around the front end, and across the hood to the base of the windshield) of the pickup truck significantly decrease the probability of windshield impact and occupant compartment intrusion.

The crash test and data analysis procedures were in accordance with guidelines presented in *MASH*. Chapter 3 presents brief descriptions of these procedures.

#### 2.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA

The crash tests were evaluated in accordance with applicable criteria presented in *MASH*. The performance of breakaway support structures is judged primarily on the basis of structural adequacy and occupant risk. Structural adequacy is judged upon the ability of the support to readily activate in a predicable manner by breaking away, fracturing, or yielding. Occupant risk is evaluated based on factors such as occupant compartment deformation, intrusion of structural components into the vehicle windshield, vehicle stability, and occupant impact velocity. The appropriate safety evaluation criteria from Table 5-1 of *MASH* were used to evaluate the crash tests reported herein. These criteria are listed in further detail under the assessment of the crash tests.

#### **CHAPTER 3. CRASH TEST PROCEDURES**

#### 3.1 TEST FACILITY

The full-scale crash tests reported here were performed at the Texas A&M Transportation Institute Proving Ground, an International Standards Organization (ISO) 17025 accredited laboratory with American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) Mechanical Testing certificate 2821.01. The full-scale crash tests were performed according to TTI Proving Ground quality procedures and according to *MASH* guidelines.

The Texas A&M Transportation Institute Proving Ground is a 2000-acre complex of research and training facilities located 10 miles northwest of the main campus of Texas A&M University. The site, formerly an Air Force base, has large expanses of concrete runways and parking aprons well-suited for experimental research and testing in the areas of vehicle performance and handling, vehicle-roadway interaction, durability and efficacy of highway pavements, and safety evaluation of roadside safety hardware. The site selected for construction and testing of the Locking Architectural Mailboxes evaluated under this project was within a broken out section of an out-of-service apron that had been backfilled with crushed limestone. The apron consists of an unreinforced jointed-concrete pavement in 12.5-ft  $\times$  15-ft blocks nominally 6 inches deep. The apron is over 50 years old, and the joints have some displacement, but are otherwise flat and level.

#### 3.2 VEHICLE TOW AND GUIDANCE PROCEDURES

Each test vehicle was towed into the test installation using a steel cable guidance and reverse tow system. A steel cable for guiding the test vehicle was tensioned along the path, anchored at each end, and threaded through an attachment to the front wheel of the test vehicle. In the low-speed tests, a steel tow cable was connected to the test vehicle, passed around a pulley near the impact point, and then attached to the tow vehicle, providing a one-to-one speed ratio between the test and tow vehicles. In the high-speed tests, the steel tow cable was connected to the test vehicle, passed around a pulley near the impact point, through a pulley on the tow vehicle, and then anchored to the ground. A two-to-one speed ratio between the test and tow vehicle existed with this system. Just prior to impact with the installation, the test vehicle was released to be unrestrained. The vehicle remained freewheeling (i.e., no steering or braking inputs) until it cleared the immediate area of the test site, after which the brakes were activated to bring it to a safe and controlled stop.

#### 3.3 DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEMS

#### 3.3.1 Vehicle Instrumentation and Data Processing

Each test vehicle was instrumented with a self-contained, on-board data acquisition system. The signal conditioning and acquisition system is a 16-channel, Tiny Data Acquisition System (TDAS) Pro manufactured by Diversified Technical Systems, Inc. The accelerometers used to measure the x, y, and z axes of vehicle acceleration are strain gauge type with linear millivolt output proportional to acceleration. The angular rate sensors that measure vehicle roll,

pitch, and yaw rates are ultra-small, solid state units designed for crash test service. The TDAS Pro hardware and software conform to the latest SAE J211, *Instrumentation for Impact Test*. Each of the 16 channels is capable of providing precision amplification, scaling, and filtering based on transducer specifications and calibrations. During each test, data are recorded from each channel at a rate of 10,000 values per second with a resolution of one part in 65,536. Internal batteries back up the recorded inside the unit until it can be downloaded after the test. Initial contact of a pressure tape switch on the vehicle bumper provides a time zero mark as well as initiates the recording process. After each test, the data are downloaded from the TDAS Pro unit into a laptop computer at the test site. The Test Risk Assessment Program (TRAP) software then processes the raw data to produce reports of the test results. Each of the TDAS Pro units is returned to the factory annually for complete recalibration. Accelerometers and rate transducers are also calibrated annually with traceability to the National Institute for Standards and Technology. Acceleration data are measured with an expanded uncertainty of  $\pm 1.7$  percent at a confidence factor of 95 percent (k=2).

TRAP uses the data from the TDAS Pro to compute occupant impact velocities, time of occupant impact after vehicle impact, and the highest 10-millisecond (ms) average ridedown acceleration. In addition, maximum average accelerations over 50-ms intervals in each of the three directions are computed. The data from the vehicle-mounted accelerometers are filtered with a 60-Hz digital filter for reporting purposes, and acceleration versus time curves for the longitudinal, lateral, and vertical directions are plotted using TRAP.

TRAP uses the data from the yaw, pitch, and roll rate transducers to compute angular displacement in degrees at 0.0001-s intervals, then plots yaw, pitch, and roll versus time. These displacements are in reference to the vehicle-fixed coordinate system with the initial position and orientation of the vehicle-fixed coordinate systems being initial impact. Rate of rotation data is measured with an expanded uncertainty of  $\pm 0.7$  percent at a confidence factor of 95 percent (k=2).

#### **3.3.2** Anthropomorphic Dummy Instrumentation

An Alderson Research Laboratories Hybrid II, 50<sup>th</sup> percentile male anthropomorphic dummy, restrained with lap and shoulder belts, was placed in the driver's position of each 1100C vehicle. The dummy was uninstrumented.

#### 3.3.3 Photographic Instrumentation and Data Processing

Photographic coverage of each test included two high-speed cameras: one placed perpendicular to the vehicle path/installation; and a second placed to have a field of view in front of the installation at a 45 degree angle. A flashbulb activated by a pressure-sensitive tape switch was positioned on the impacting vehicle to indicate the instant of contact with the installation and was visible from each camera. The films from these high-speed cameras were analyzed on a computer-linked motion analyzer to observe phenomena occurring during the collision and to obtain time-event, displacement, and angular data. A mini-DV camera and still cameras recorded and documented conditions of the test vehicle and installation before and after the test.

### CHAPTER 4. LOCKING ARCHITECTURAL MAILBOX ON SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> SINGLE-MOUNT POST

#### 4.1 TEST ARTICLE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

The test installation consisted of a single, locking architectural mailbox mounted on a single 2.375-inch outside diameter (OD) thin-wall steel tube (DHT# 162911), which was installed in a plastic socket (DHT# 160891) that was embedded in a concrete footing. The mailbox tested was an Oasis Jr manufactured by Architectural Mailboxes, LLC. It was fabricated from 16-gauge and 14-gauge galvanized steel and had a black powder-coat finish. The mailbox was 15 inches tall  $\times 11\frac{1}{2}$  inches wide  $\times 18$  inches deep, and weighed 22.6 lb. The mailbox had two distinct compartments: an upper hopper for receiving incoming mail, and a lower lockable compartment for mail retrieval. The mailbox was tested with the lower door locked and no "mail" in the compartment.

A bracket (DHT# 161443), weighing approximately 1.8 lb, was attached to the bottom of the locking mailbox using four  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch diameter  $\times 1\frac{1}{4}$ -inch long Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) Grade 5 bolts using existing holes in the mailbox and bracket. A 2-inch wide  $\times 5\frac{1}{2}$ -inch long  $\times \frac{1}{8}$ -inch thick plate washer was positioned over the bracket to help secure each set of two bolts toward the front and back of the mailbox. The plate washers were fabricated using American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) A36 steel. A  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch flat washer, lock washer, and nut were used for each bolt. The collar of the mailbox bracket (DHT# 161443) was secured to the support post using a  $\frac{5}{16}$ -inch  $\times 3$  inch long SAE Grade 5 bolt and  $\frac{5}{16}$ -inch hex nut.

The mailbox support post was a SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Products single mailbox post (DHT# 162911) fabricated from 2-inch nominal, 13-gauge, galvanized steel tube with a white powder coat. The steel tube had a  $2\frac{3}{8}$  inch OD, a 0.095 inch wall thickness, a 55-inch length, and a weight of 10.0 lb. The support post was installed with a SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Products plastic wedge anchor system. The socket (DHT# 160891) was  $3\frac{1}{2}$  inches OD ×  $\frac{7}{16}$  inch wall thickness × 17 inches long. The socket was embedded in a non-reinforced concrete footing that was approximately 12 inches in diameter × 24 inches deep. The concrete was specified as Class B having a minimum 28-day unconfined compressive strength of 2000 psi. The support post inserted approximately 13 inches into the socket and was secured in place with a plastic locking wedge (DHT# 160892) that was driven between the socket and impact side of the support post. The total mass of the mailbox and post assembly was 34.4 lb.

Figures 4.1 and 4.2 show details of the mailbox connection and installation. Figure 4.3 provides photographs of the completed installation.



Figure 4.1. Details of the Locking Architectural Mailbox on the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Single-Mount Post.

T:\2012-2013\490023 TxDOT-9 Mailbox\Drafting\490023-9 Drawing



Figure 4.2. Connection Details for the Locking Architectural Mailbox.



Figure 4.3. Locking Architectural Mailbox on the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Single Mount Post before Testing.

#### 4.2 *MASH* TEST 3-60 (CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-1)

#### 4.2.1 Test Designation and Actual Impact Conditions

*MASH* Test 3-60 involves an 1100C passenger car weighing 2420 lb  $\pm$ 55 lb impacting the support structure at the critical impact angle at an impact speed of 19 mi/h. The critical impact angle (CIA) was determined to be center of car aligned with center of mailbox support post with the mailbox oriented perpendicular to path of vehicle. The 2009 Kia Rio used in the test weighed 2451 lb, and the actual impact speed was 19.2 mi/h. The actual impact point was at the CIA as stated above.

#### 4.2.2 Test Vehicle

Figures 4.4 and 4.5 show the 2009 Kia Rio used for the crash test. Test inertia weight of the vehicle was 2451 lb, and its gross static weight was 2628 lb. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was 6.75 inches, and the height to the upper edge of the bumper was 22.0 inches. Table A1 in Appendix A gives additional dimensions and information on the

vehicle. The vehicle was directed into the installation using a cable reverse tow and guidance system, and was released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.



Figure 4.4. Vehicle/Installation Geometrics for Test No. 490023-9-1.



Figure 4.5. Vehicle before Test No. 490023-9-1.

#### 4.2.3 Weather Conditions

The test was performed on the morning of August 12, 2013. Weather conditions at the time of testing were as follows: (a) wind speed: 6 mi/h; (b) wind direction: 222 degrees with respect to the vehicle (vehicle was traveling in a southerly direction); (c) temperature: 84°F; (d) relative humidity: 74 percent.

#### 4.2.4 Test Description

The 1100C vehicle, traveling at an impact speed of 19.2 mi/h, impacted the locking architectural mailbox on the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> single-mount post at 0 degrees with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the mailbox. At approximately 0.029 s, the mailbox

support post began to deform and the mailbox began to rotate toward the vehicle. The vehicle began to ride over the mailbox support post at 0.074 s. The vehicle subsequently rode over the mailbox support and mailbox. At 0.346 s, the mailbox snagged under the front part of the vehicle and detached from the support post. At 0.847 s, the vehicle lost contact with the support post, and the mailbox traveled under the vehicle as it continued forward. At 1.908 s, the vehicle lost contact with the mailbox and was traveling at an exit speed of 11.6 mi/h. Brakes on the vehicle were applied at 2.25 s, and the vehicle came to rest 45 ft downstream of impact. Figure A1 in Appendix A shows sequential photographs of the test period.

#### 4.2.5 Damage to Test Installation

Figure 4.6 and 4.7 show damage to the locking architectural mailbox installation. The post was deformed and bent over at ground line. The connection bracket was torn from the support and the mailbox came to rest 21 ft downstream of impact. Several pieces of the mailbox became detached and lay along the path of the vehicle.



Figure 4.6. Vehicle/Installation Positions after Test No. 490023-9-1.



Figure 4.7. Installation after Test No. 490023-9-1.

#### 4.2.6 Vehicle Damage

Figure 4.8 shows damage to the exterior of the vehicle, and Figure 4.9 shows the interior of the vehicle. A very small dent was noted on the hood and bumper. There was no contact of any components of the mailbox system with the windshield. Tables A2 and A3 in Appendix A provide exterior crush and occupant compartment measurements for the vehicle.



Figure 4.8. Vehicle after Test No. 490023-9-1.



**Before Test** 

After Test

Figure 4.9. Interior of Vehicle for Test No. 490023-9-1.

#### 4.2.7 Occupant Risk Factors

Data from the accelerometer, located at the vehicle center of gravity, were digitized for evaluation of occupant risk. In the longitudinal direction, the occupant impact velocity was 6.9 ft/s at 0.460 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was 1.9 Gs from 0.585 to 0.595 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average acceleration was -1.5 Gs between 0.162 and 0.212 s. In the lateral direction, the occupant impact velocity was 0.7 ft/s at 0.460 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was 0.8 Gs from 0.635 to 0.645 s, and the maximum 0.050-s

average was -0.4 Gs between 0.624 and 0.674 s. Theoretical Head Impact Velocity (THIV) was 7.4 km/h or 2.1 m/s at 0.460 s; Post-Impact Head Decelerations (PHD) was 1.9 Gs between 0.585 and 0.595 s; and Acceleration Severity Index (ASI) was 0.15 between 0.593 and 0.643 s. Figure 4.10 summarizes these data and other pertinent information from the test. Figures A2 through A8 in Appendix A show the vehicle angular displacements and accelerations versus time traces.

#### 4.2.8 Assessment of Test Results

An assessment of the test based on the applicable *MASH* safety evaluation criteria for Test 3-60 is provided below.

- 4.2.8.1 Structural Adequacy
  - *B.* The test article should readily activate in a predictable manner by breaking away, fracturing, or yielding.
  - <u>Results</u>: The locking architectural mailbox on the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> single-mount post yielded to the vehicle. (PASS)
- 4.2.8.2 Occupant Risk
  - D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone.

Deformation of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH. (roof  $\leq 4.0$  inches; windshield =  $\leq 3.0$  inches; side windows = no shattering by test article structural member; wheel/foot well/toe pan  $\leq 9.0$  inches; forward of A-pillar  $\leq 12.0$  inches; front side door area above seat  $\leq 9.0$  inches; front side door below seat  $\leq 12.0$  inches; floor pan/transmission tunnel area  $\leq 12.0$  inches).

- <u>Results</u>: The locking architectural mailbox detached from the support post and separated into several pieces while being carried along beneath the vehicle. The detached pieces did not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, nor present hazard to others in the area. (PASS) No occupant compartment deformation occurred. (PASS)
- *F.* The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.
- <u>Results</u>: The 1100C vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles were 5 degrees and 4 degrees, respectively. (PASS)



Exit Conditions

Occupant Risk Values Impact Velocity

Ridedown Accelerations

#### Vehicle Stability

Maximum Yaw Angle	1 degree
Maximum Pitch Angle	4 degrees
Maximum Roll Angle	5 degrees
Vehicle Snagging	NA
Vehicle Pocketing	NA

#### **Debris Pattern**

45 ft
1.5 ft
12FC1
12FCEN1
None
FS000000
None

Figure 4.10. Summary of Results for MASH Test 3-60 on the Locking Architectural Mailbox on the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Single-**Mount Post.** 

Max. 0.050-s Average

Location/Orientation ......Perpendicular

Speed.....11.6 mi/h

Lateral ......0.7 ft/s

Longitudinal.....1.9 G

Lateral.....0.8 G

Longitudinal.....-1.5 G

Lateral .....-0.4 G

Vertical .....-1.3 G

PHD .....1.9 G

ASI .....0.15

THIV......7.4 km/h (2.1 m/s)

Angle.....~0 degrees

Test Date ...... 2013-08-12

Name ..... Locking Architectural Mailbox on

Material or Key Elements .... Locking mailbox on thin-wall steel tube:

Soil Type and Condition...... Concrete footing in crushed limestone, dry

wedge and socket

SURE-TITE® Single-Mount Post

secured in concrete footing using plastic

Type..... Mailbox

Type/Designation ..... 1100C

Curb ..... 2459 lb

Test Inertial ..... 2451 lb

Dummy..... 177 lb

Gross Static..... 2628 lb

Make and Model..... 2009 Kia Rio

Test Article

Test Vehicle

TR No. 9-1002-12-9

Н.	Occupant impact velocities sho	Occupant impact velocities should satisfy the following:	
	Longitudinal and Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity		
	Preferred	Maximum	
	10 ft/s	16.4 ft/s	

- <u>Results</u>: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 6.9 ft/s, and lateral occupant impact velocity was 0.7 ft/s. (PASS)
- I. Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Longitudinal and Lateral Occupant Ridedown Accelerations <u>Preferred</u> <u>Maximum</u> 15.0 Gs 20.49 Gs

4.2.8.3 Vehicle TrajectoryN. Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable.

<u>Result</u>: The 1100C vehicle came to rest behind the mailbox installation. (PASS)

#### 4.3 *MASH* TEST 3-61 (CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-2)

#### 4.3.1 Test Designation and Actual Impact Conditions

*MASH* Test 3-61 involves an 1100C passenger car weighing 2420 lb  $\pm$ 55 lb impacting the support structure at the critical impact angle at an impact speed of 62 mi/h. The critical impact angle (CIA) was determined to be center of car aligned with center of mailbox support post with the mailbox oriented perpendicular to path of vehicle. The 2008 Kia Rio used in the test weighed 2437 lb, and the actual impact speed was 63.8 mi/h. The actual impact point was at the CIA as stated above.

#### 4.3.2 Test Vehicle

Figures 4.11 and 4.12 show the 2008 Kia Rio used for the crash test. Test inertia weight of the vehicle was 2437 lb, and its gross static weight was 2617 lb. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was 6.75 inches, and the height to the upper edge of the bumper it was 22.0 inches. Table B1 in Appendix B gives additional dimensions and information on the vehicle. The vehicle was directed into the installation using a cable reverse tow and guidance system, and was released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>Results</u>: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 1.9 Gs, and lateral ridedown acceleration was 0.8 Gs. (PASS)



Figure 4.11. Vehicle/Installation Geometrics for Test No. 490023-9-2.



Figure 4.12. Vehicle before Test No. 490023-9-2.

#### 4.3.3 Weather Conditions

The test was performed on the afternoon of August 12, 2013. Weather conditions at the time of testing were as follows: (a) wind speed: 4 mi/h; (b) wind direction: 161 degrees with respect to the vehicle (vehicle was traveling in a southerly direction); (c) temperature: 93°F; (d) relative humidity: 52 percent.

#### 4.3.4 Test Description

The 1100C vehicle, traveling at an impact speed of 63.8 mi/h, impacted the locking architectural mailbox installation at 0 degrees with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the mailbox. At approximately 0.016 s, the support post began to deform at bumper height, and at 0.032 s, the mailbox rotated onto the hood of the vehicle. The support post pulled out of the socket at 0.042 s and began traveling along the front of the vehicle. The mailbox began to slide off the hood of the vehicle at 0.081 s. At 0.102 s, the vehicle lost contact with the

mailbox and was traveling at an exit speed of 61.9 mi/h. Brakes on the vehicle were applied at 0.600 s, and the vehicle came to rest 245 ft downstream of impact. Figure B1 in Appendix B shows sequential photographs of the test period.

#### 4.3.5 Damage to Test Installation

Figures 4.13 and 4.14 show damage to the mailbox installation. The support post pulled out of the socket and was deformed. The mailbox came apart at several connection seams, but all the pieces remained together and the mailbox remained attached to the support post. The mailbox and support post came to rest in front of the vehicle 245 ft downstream of impact.



Figure 4.13. Vehicle/Installation Positions after Test No. 490023-9-2.



Figure 4.14. Installation after Test No. 490023-9-2.

#### 4.3.6 Vehicle Damage

Figure 4.15 shows damage to the exterior of the vehicle, and Figure 4.16 shows the interior of the vehicle. The vehicle sustained a small cut on the hood, and the hood was
deformed inward 1.25 inches. There was no contact of any components of the mailbox system with the windshield. Tables B2 and B3 in Appendix B provide exterior crush and occupant compartment measurements.



Figure 4.15. Vehicle after Test No. 490023-9-2.



Figure 4.16. Interior of Vehicle after Test No. 490023-9-2.

#### 4.3.7 Occupant Risk Factors

Data from the accelerometer, located at the vehicle center of gravity, were digitized for evaluation of occupant risk. In the longitudinal direction, the occupant impact velocity was 4.9 ft/s at 0.820 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was 1.0 Gs from 0.836 to 0.846 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average acceleration was -1.6 Gs between 0.000 and 0.050 s. No occupant contact occurred in the lateral direction, and the maximum 0.050-s average was -0.3 Gs between 0.085 and 0.135 s. THIV was 5.5 km/h or 1.5 m/s at 0.819 s; PHD was 1.0 Gs between 0.836 and 0.846 s; and ASI was 0.13 between 0.016 and 0.066 s. Figure 4.17 summarizes these data and other pertinent information from the test. Figures B2 through B8 in Appendix B show the vehicle angular displacements and accelerations versus time traces.

0.000 s	0.058 s		0.116 s		0.17	74 s
	245'					18 20 (19) 17 16 15 Traffic side Ground Line 14 28
General Information		Impact Condit	ions	Post-Imp	pact Trajectory	
Test Agency Texas A&M Tr	ansportation Institute (TTI)	Speed	63.8 mi/h	Stoppir	ng Distance	245 ft downstram
Test Standard Test No MASH Test 3-	61	Angle	0 degrees		-	
TTI Test No 490023-9-2		Location/Orie	entationPerpendicular	Vehicle \$	Stability	
Test Date 2013-08-12		Exit Condition	IS	Maxim	um Yaw Angle	4 degrees
Test Article		Speed	61.9 mi/h	Maxim	um Pitch Angle	3 degrees
Type Mailbox		Angle	~0 degree	Maxim	um Roll Angle	7 degrees
Name Locking Archite	ectural Mailbox on	Occupant Risl	k Values	Vehicle	e Snagging	NA
SURE-TITE®	Single-Mount Post	Impact Veloc	sity	Vehicle	e Pocketing	NA
Installation Height 42 inches		Longitudina	al4.9 ft/s			
Material or Key Elements Locking mailbo	ox on thin-wall steel tube;	Lateral	No contact	Debris P	attern	
secured in con	crete footing using plastic	Ridedown Ac	ccelerations	Longitu	udinal	245 ft
wedge and so	cket	Longitudina	al1.0 G	Lateral		Centerline
Soil Type and Condition Concrete footing	ng in crushed limestone, dry	Lateral	0.2 G			
Test Vehicle		THIV	5.5 km/h (1.5 m/s)	Vehicle I	Damage	
Type/Designation 1100C		PHD	1.0 G	VDS		12FC1

est Vehicle	•
Type/Designation	1100C
Make and Model	2008 Kia Rio
Curb	2301 lb
Test Inertial	2437 lb
Dummy	180 lb
Gross Static	2617 lb

PHD .....1.0 G ASI .....0.13 Max. 0.050-s Average Longitudinal.....-1.6 G Lateral.....-0.3 G Vertical.....0.8 G

VDS ..... 12FC1 CDC ..... 12FCEN1 Max. Exterior Deformation ...... 1.25 inches OCDI..... FS000000 Max. Occupant Compartment Deformation ...... None

Figure 4.17. Summary of Results for MASH Test 3-61 on the Locking Architectural Mailbox on the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Single-**Mount Post.** 

20

#### 4.3.8 Assessment of Test Results

An assessment of the test based on the applicable *MASH* safety evaluation criteria is provided below.

- 4.3.8.1 Structural Adequacy
  - *B.* The test article should readily activate in a predictable manner by breaking away, fracturing, or yielding.
  - <u>Results</u>: The locking architectural mailbox on the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> single-mount post yielded to the vehicle and released from its foundation. (PASS)
- 4.3.8.2 Occupant Risk
  - Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone.
    Deformation of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not

Deformation of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH. (roof  $\leq 4.0$  inches; windshield =  $\leq 3.0$  inches; side windows = no shattering by test article structural member; wheel/foot well/toe pan  $\leq 9.0$  inches; forward of A-pillar  $\leq 12.0$  inches; front side door area above seat  $\leq 9.0$  inches; front side door below seat  $\leq 12.0$  inches; floor pan/transmission tunnel area  $\leq 12.0$  inches).

- <u>Results</u>: The locking architectural mailbox separated at several connection seams; however, the pieces remained connected and attached to the support post and traveled along the front of the vehicle. The mailbox installation did not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, nor present hazard to others in the area. (PASS)
- <u>Results</u>: No occupant compartment deformation occurred. (PASS)
- *F.* The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.
- <u>Results</u>: The 1100C vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles were 7 degrees and 3 degrees, respectively. (PASS)

#### I. Occupant impact velocities should satisfy the following: Longitudinal and Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity <u>Preferred</u> <u>Maximum</u> 10 ft/s 16.4 ft/s

<u>Results</u>: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.9 ft/s, and no contact occurred in the lateral direction. (PASS)

I.	Occupant ridedown acceleration	is should satisfy the following:
	Longitudinal and Lateral (	Occupant Ridedown Accelerations
	<u>Preferred</u>	<u>Maximum</u>
	15.0 Gs	20.49 Gs

- <u>Results</u>: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 1.0 Gs, and no contact occurred in the lateral direction. (PASS)
- 4.3.8.3 Vehicle Trajectory

<u>Result</u>: The 1100C vehicle came to rest behind the mailbox installation. (PASS)

*N. Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable.* 

# CHAPTER 5. DUAL LOCKING ARCHITECTURAL MAILBOXES ON SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> MULTIPLE-MOUNT POST

#### 5.1 TEST ARTICLE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

The test installation consisted of two locking architectural mailbox mounted on a SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Products multiple mailbox post (DHT# 164116) installed in a concrete footing using a plastic socket (DHT# 160891) and wedge (DHT# 160892). The mailboxes were Oasis Jr. models manufactured by Architectural Mailboxes, LLC. They were fabricated from 16-gauge and 14-gauge galvanized steel and had a black powder-coat finish. The mailboxes were 15 inches tall  $\times$  11½ inches wide  $\times$  18 inches deep, and weighed 22.6 lb. The mailboxes had two distinct compartments: an upper hopper for receiving incoming mail and a lower lockable compartment for mail retrieval. The mailboxes was tested with the lower door locked and no "mail" in the compartment.

A bracket (DHT# 161443), weighing approximately 1.8 lb, was attached to the bottom of each locking mailbox using four  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch diameter  $\times 1\frac{1}{4}$ -inch long SAE Grade 5 bolts using existing holes in the mailbox and bracket. A 2-inch wide  $\times 5\frac{1}{2}$ -inch long  $\times \frac{1}{8}$ -inch thick plate washer was positioned over the bracket to help secure each set of two bolts toward the front and back of the mailbox. The plate washers were fabricated using ASTM A36 steel. A  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch flat washer, lock washer, and nut were used for each bolt.

The mailbox support post was a SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Products Multiple Mailbox Support (DHT# 164116). The support is comprised of semi-circular tube with a 25-inch centerline radius and horizontal cross member fabricated from  $2\frac{3}{8}$  inch OD × 0.065 thick galvanized steel tube with a white powder coat. The ends of the semi-circular tube were designed to accept mailbox attachments. Additional, two intermediate thin-wall steel tube stubs were vertically welded to the horizontal cross member to accept two additional mailboxes. The lockable, secure mailboxes were positioned at the upstream end adjacent to impact, and at the interior location adjacent to the downstream end. A  $22\frac{1}{2}$ -inch long thin-wall steel tube was vertically welded at the bottom center of the semi-circular steel tube. The weight of the fabricated multiple mailbox support was 23.6 lb.

The vertical steel tube at the bottom of the support was installed with a SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Products plastic wedge anchor system. The socket (DHT# 160891) was  $3\frac{1}{2}$  inches OD ×  $^{7}/_{16}$  inch wall thickness × 17 inches long. The socket was embedded in a non-reinforced concrete footing that was approximately 12 inches in diameter × 30 inches deep. The concrete was specified as Class B having a minimum 28-day unconfined compressive strength of 2000 psi. The compressive strength of the batch of concrete used in the post foundation footing measured an average of 4360 psi (at 6 days).

The support post was inserted approximately 13 inches into the socket and secured in place with a plastic locking wedge (DHT# 160892) that was driven between the socket and front face of the support post. The total mass of the two mailboxes and post assembly was 72.4 lb.

Figures 5.1 and 5.2 show details of the installation and connection, and Figure 5.3 provides photographs of the completed installation.

### Multiple-mount Install

T:\2012-2013\490023 TxDOTv9 Mailbox\Drafting\490023-9 Drawing



Figure 5.1. Details of the Dual Locking Architectural Mailbox on SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Multiple-Mount Post.



Figure 5.2. Connection Details for the Locking Architectural Mailbox.

2013-10-22



Figure 5.3. Dual Locking Architectural Mailboxes on SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Multiple-Mount Post before Testing.

#### 5.2 *MASH* TEST 3-60 (CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-3)

#### 5.2.1 Test Designation and Actual Impact Conditions

*MASH* Test 3-60 involves an 1100C passenger car weighing 2420 lb  $\pm$ 55 lb and impacting the support structure at the critical impact angle at an impact speed of 19 mi/h. The critical impact angle (CIA) was determined to be center of car aligned with center of mailbox support post with the mailbox oriented perpendicular to path of vehicle The 2009 Kia Rio used in the test weighed 2451 lb, and the actual impact speed was 19.5 mi/h. The actual impact point was at the CIA as stated above.

#### 5.2.2 Test Vehicle

Figures 5.4 and 5.5 shows the 2009 Kia Rio used for the crash test. Test inertia weight of the vehicle was 2451 lb, and its gross static weight was 2628 lb. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was 6.75 inches, and the height to the upper edge of the bumper was 22.0 inches. Table C1 in Appendix C gives additional dimensions and information on the

vehicle. The vehicle was directed into the installation using a cable reverse tow and guidance system, and was released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.



Figure 5.4. Vehicle/Installation Geometrics for Test No. 490023-9-3.



Figure 5.5. Vehicle before Test No. 490023-9-3.

### 5.2.3 Weather Conditions

The test was performed on the morning of August 16, 2013. Weather conditions at the time of testing were as follows: (a) wind speed: 2 mi/h; (b) wind direction: 53 degrees with respect to the vehicle (vehicle was traveling in a southerly direction); (c) temperature: 83°F; (d) relative humidity: 78 percent.

#### 5.2.4 Test Description

The 2009 Kia Rio, traveling at an impact speed of 19.5 mi/h, impacted the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> multiple-mount post with two locking architectural mailboxes at 0 degrees with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the support. At approximately 0.077 s after impact, the

support post lifted and pulled out of the ground. At 0.169 s, the mailboxes and support post began to rotate away from the vehicle. The mailboxes contacted the ground surface at 0.389 s. As the bottom of the support rotated upward, it caught on the front bumper at 0.412 s. At 0.535 s, the vehicle lost contact with the mailboxes while traveling at a speed of 17.0 mi/h. Brakes on the vehicle were applied at 1.4 s after impact, and the vehicle came to rest 50 ft downstream of impact with the mailboxes in front of the vehicle. Figure C1 in Appendix C shows sequential photographs of the test period.

#### 5.2.5 Damage to Test Installation

Figures 5.6 and 5.7 show damage to the locking architectural mailbox installation. The support post lifted out of the socket and was carried in front of the vehicle. The support post was deformed as well as the bracket attaching the mailboxes to the support post. The mailboxes remained attached to the support post and the assembly came to rest in front of the vehicle 58 ft downstream of impact.



Figure 5.6. Vehicle/Installation Positions after Test No. 490023-9-3.



Figure 5.7. Installation after Test No. 490023-9-3.

#### 5.2.6 Vehicle Damage

Figure 5.8 shows damage to the exterior of the vehicle, and Figure 5.9 shows the interior of the vehicle. The hood and bumper were dented. There was no contact of any components of the mailbox system with the windshield. Tables C2 and C3 in Appendix C provide exterior crush and occupant compartment measurements.



Figure 5.8. Vehicle after Test No. 490023-9-3.



**Before Test** 



Figure 5.9. Interior of Vehicle for Test No. 490023-9-3.

#### 5.2.7 Occupant Risk Factors

Data from the accelerometer, located at the vehicle center of gravity, were digitized for evaluation of occupant risk. In the longitudinal direction, the occupant impact velocity was 3.0 ft/s at 0.719 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was 1.4 Gs from 1.577 to 1.587 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average acceleration was -1.0 Gs between 0.000 and 0.050 s. In the lateral direction, the occupant impact velocity was 0.3 ft/s at 0.719 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was 0.3 Gs from 1.570 to 1.580 s, and the maximum 0.050-s

average was 0.1 Gs between 0.091 and 0.141 s. THIV was 3.4 km/h or 0.9 m/s at 0.720 s; PHD was 1.4 Gs between 1.577 and 1.587 s; and ASI was 0.12 between 0.016 and 0.066 s. Figure 5.10 summarizes these data and other pertinent information from the test. Figures C2 through C8 in Appendix C show the vehicle angular displacements and accelerations versus time traces.

#### 5.2.8 Assessment of Test Results

An assessment of the test based on the applicable *MASH* safety evaluation criteria is provided below.

- 5.2.8.1 Structural Adequacy
  - *B.* The test article should readily activate in a predictable manner by breaking away, fracturing, or yielding.
  - <u>Results</u>: The locking architectural mailboxes on the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> multiple-mount post activated by yielding to the vehicle and lifting out of the foundation socket. (PASS)
- 5.2.8.2 Occupant Risk
  - D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone.

Deformation of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH. (roof  $\leq 4.0$  inches; windshield =  $\leq 3.0$  inches; side windows = no shattering by test article structural member; wheel/foot well/toe pan  $\leq 9.0$  inches; forward of A-pillar  $\leq 12.0$  inches; front side door area above seat  $\leq 9.0$  inches; front side door below seat  $\leq 12.0$  inches; floor pan/transmission tunnel area  $\leq 12.0$  inches).

- <u>Results</u>: The locking architectural mailbox separated at several connection seams, however, the pieces remained together and attached to the support post and traveled along the front of the vehicle. The mailbox installation did not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, nor present hazard to others in the area. (PASS)
- <u>Results</u>: No occupant compartment deformation occurred. (PASS)
- *F.* The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.
- <u>Results</u>: The 1100C vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles were 4 degrees and 2 degrees, respectively. (PASS)

TR No. 9-1002-12-9



Test AgencyTexas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI)Špeed.19.5 mi/hTest Standard Test No.MASH Test 3-60Angle0 degreesTTI Test No.490023-9-3Location/OrientationPerpendicularTest Date2013-08-16Exit ConditionsPerpendicularTest ArticleMailboxSpeed.17.0 mi/hType.MailboxSpeed.17.0 mi/hNameLocking Architectural Mailboxes on SURE-TITE® Multiple-Mount PostImpact VelocityInstallation Height42 inchesImpact VelocityMaterial or Key ElementsTwo locking mailboxes on semi-circular steel tube support inserted into concrete footing and secured using plastic wedge and socketIngle degreeSoil Type and ConditionConcrete footing in crushed limestone, dry1.4 G LateralType/Designation1100C 2459 lbASIMake and Model2009 Kia Rio 2459 lbASITest Inertial2451 lb Dummy.2451 lbDummy.177 lbLateralOur S Static2628 lb	General Information		Impact Conditions	
Test Standard Test No.MASH Test 3-60Angle0 degreesTTI Test No.490023-9-3Location/OrientationPerpendicularTest Date2013-08-16Exit ConditionsPerpendicularTest ArticleMailboxSpeed17.0 mi/hTypeMailboxSURE-TITE® Multiple-Mount PostInstallation Height.42 inchesImpact VelocityInstallation Height42 inchesTwo locking mailboxes on semi-circular steelImpact VelocityImpact VelocityInstallation Height42 inchesLocking plastic wedge and socketLateral0.3 ft/sSoil Type and ConditionConcrete footing in crushed limestone, dryConcrete footing in crushed limestone, dryLateral0.3 GType/Designation1100CPHD1.4 GAsl0.12Make and Model2009 Kia RioAsl0.12Asl0.12Curb2459 lbMax. 0.050-s AverageLongitudinal-1.0 GTest Inertial2628 lbVertical-0.6 G0.1 G	Test Agency	. Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI)	Speed	19.5 mi/h
TTI Test No.490023-9-3Location/OrientationPerpendicularTest Date2013-08-16Exit ConditionsSpeed17.0 mi/hTest ArticleMailboxSpeed17.0 mi/hTypeMailboxSpeed1 degreeNameLocking Architectural Mailboxes on SURE-TITE® Multiple-Mount PostImpact VelocityInstallation Height42 inchesImpact VelocityMaterial or Key ElementsTwo locking mailboxes on semi-circular steel tube support inserted into concrete footing and secured using plastic wedge and socketRidedown AccelerationsSoil Type and ConditionConcrete footing in crushed limestone, dry1.4 G LateralType/Designation1100CTHIVMake and Model2009 Kia Rio CurbAslCurb2459 lbMax. 0.050-s Average LongitudinalTest Inertial2451 lbLongitudinalDummy.177 lbLateralGross Static2628 lbVertical	Test Standard Test No	. MASH Test 3-60	Angle	0 degrees
Test Date2013-08-16Exit ConditionsTest ArticleMailboxSpeed17.0 mi/hTypeMailboxAngle1 degreeNameLocking Architectural Mailboxes on SURE-TITE® Multiple-Mount PostImpact VelocityInstallation Height42 inchesImpact VelocityMaterial or Key ElementsTwo locking mailboxes on semi-circular steel tube support inserted into concrete footing and secured using plastic wedge and socketImpact VelocitySoil Type and ConditionConcrete footing in crushed limestone, dryLateral0.3 ft/sTest VehicleType/Designation1100CTHIV3.4 km/h (0.9 m/s)Type/Designation1100CASI0.12Curb2459 lbMax. 0.050-s AverageLongitudinal-1.0 GTest Inertial2451 lbLongitudinal-1.0 GDummy177 lbLateral0.1 GGross Static2628 lbVertical-0.6 G	TTI Test No.	. 490023-9-3	Location/Orientation	Perpendicular
Test ArticleSpeed	Test Date	. 2013-08-16	Exit Conditions	•
TypeMailboxAngle1 degreeNameLocking Architectural Mailboxes on SURE-TITE® Multiple-Mount PostImpact VelocityImpact VelocityInstallation Height42 inchesImpact VelocityLongitudinal3.0 ft/sMaterial or Key ElementsTwo locking mailboxes on semi-circular steel tube support inserted into concrete footing and secured using plastic wedge and socketLongitudinal0.3 ft/sSoil Type and ConditionConcrete footing in crushed limestone, dryLateral0.3 GType/Designation1100CPHD1.4 GMake and Model2009 Kia RioASI0.12Curb2459 lbMax. 0.050-s Average0.10 GDummy177 lbLateral0.1 GGross Static2628 lbVertical-0.6 G	Test Article		Speed	17.0 mi/h
NameLocking Architectural Mailboxes on SURE-TITE® Multiple-Mount PostOccupant Risk ValuesInstallation Height42 inchesImpact VelocityMaterial or Key ElementsTwo locking mailboxes on semi-circular steel tube support inserted into concrete footing and secured using plastic wedge and socketImpact VelocitySoil Type and ConditionConcrete footing in crushed limestone, dryLateral.0.3 ft/sType/Designation1100CLateral.0.3 GMake and Model2009 Kia RioASI.0.12Curb2459 lbMax. 0.050-s AverageTest Inertial2451 lbLongitudinalDummy177 lbLateral.0.1 GGross Static2628 lbVertical-0.6 G	Туре	. Mailbox	Angle	1 degree
SURE-TITE® Multiple-Mount Post    Impact Velocity      Installation Height    42 inches      Material or Key Elements    Two locking mailboxes on semi-circular steel      tube support inserted into concrete footing    and secured using plastic wedge and socket      Soil Type and Condition    Concrete footing in crushed limestone, dry      Test Vehicle    Concrete footing in crushed limestone, dry      Type/Designation    1100C      Make and Model    2009 Kia Rio      Curb    2459 lb      Test Inertial    2451 lb      Dummy.    177 lb      Gross Static    2628 lb	Name	. Locking Architectural Mailboxes on	Occupant Risk Values	•
Installation Height42 inchesLongitudinal3.0 ft/sMaterial or Key ElementsTwo locking mailboxes on semi-circular steel tube support inserted into concrete footing and secured using plastic wedge and socketLongitudinal3.0 ft/sSoil Type and ConditionConcrete footing in crushed limestone, dryLateral0.3 GTest VehicleT100CHIV3.4 km/h (0.9 m/s)Type/Designation1100CASI0.12Curb2459 lbMax. 0.050-s AverageTest Inertial2451 lbLongitudinal-1.0 GDummy177 lbLateral0.1 GGross Static2628 lbVertical-0.6 G		SURE-TITE® Multiple-Mount Post	Impact Velocity	
Material or Key Elements    Two locking mailboxes on semi-circular steel tube support inserted into concrete footing and secured using plastic wedge and socket    Lateral    0.3 ft/s      Soil Type and Condition    Concrete footing in crushed limestone, dry    Lateral    0.3 G      Test Vehicle    Time 2009 Kia Rio    THIV    3.4 km/h (0.9 m/s)      Make and Model    2009 Kia Rio    ASI    0.12      Curb    2459 lb    Max. 0.050-s Average    Longitudinal    -1.0 G      Test Inertial    2451 lb    Lateral    0.1 G      Dummy    177 lb    Lateral    0.1 G      Vertical    2628 lb    Vertical    -0.6 G	Installation Height	. 42 inches	Longitudinal	<u>3</u> .0 ft/s
tube support inserted into concrete footing and secured using plastic wedge and socket    Ridedown Accelerations      Soil Type and Condition    Concrete footing in crushed limestone, dry    Longitudinal1.4 G      Test Vehicle    Type/Designation	Material or Key Elements	. Two locking mailboxes on semi-circular steel	Lateral	<u>0</u> .3 ft/s
and secured using plastic wedge and socket    Longitudinal1.4 G      Soil Type and Condition    Concrete footing in crushed limestone, dry    Lateral0.3 G      Test Vehicle    Type/Designation	-	tube support inserted into concrete footing	Ridedown Accelerations	
Soil Type and Condition      Concrete footing in crushed limestone, dry      Lateral		and secured using plastic wedge and socket	Longitudinal	1.4 G
Test Vehicle      THIV	Soil Type and Condition	. Concrete footing in crushed limestone, dry	Lateral	0.3 G
Type/Designation    1100C    PHD    1.4 G      Make and Model    2009 Kia Rio    ASI    0.12      Curb    2459 lb    Max. 0.050-s Average    1.0 G      Test Inertial    2451 lb    Longitudinal    -1.0 G      Dummy    177 lb    Lateral    0.1 G      Gross Static    2628 lb    Vertical    -0.6 G	Test Vehicle		THIV	3.4 km/h (0.9 m/s)
Make and Model	Type/Designation	. 1100C	PHD	1.4 G
Curb      2459 lb      Max. 0.050-s Average        Test Inertial      2451 lb      Longitudinal        Dummy      177 lb      Lateral        Gross Static      2628 lb      Vertical	Make and Model	. 2009 Kia Rio	ASI	0.12
Test Inertial      2451 lb      Longitudinal      -1.0 G        Dummy      177 lb      Lateral      0.1 G        Gross Static      2628 lb      Vertical      -0.6 G	Curb	. 2459 lb	Max. 0.050-s Average	
Dummy	Test Inertial	. 2451 lb	Longitudinal	−1.0 G
Gross Static	Dummy	. 177 lb	Lateral	0.1 G
	Gross Static	. 2628 lb	Vertical	<b>-</b> 0.6 G

Post-Impact Trajectory Stopping Distance ...... 50 ft downstream

#### Vehicle Stability

•	
Maximum Yaw Angle	2 degrees
Maximum Pitch Angle	2 degrees
Maximum Roll Angle	4 degrees
Vehicle Snagging	NA
Vehicle Pocketing	NA

#### **Debris Pattern**

Longitudinal	58 ft
Lateral	3.5 ft
Vehicle Damage	
VDS	12FC1
CDC	12FCEN1
Max. Exterior Deformation	None
OCDI	FS000000
Max. Occupant Compartment	
Deformation	None

Figure 5.10. Summary of Results for MASH Test 3-60 on the Dual Locking Architectural Mailboxes on the SHUR-TITE® **Multiple-Mount Post.** 

Н.	Occupant impact velocities	should satisfy the following:	
	Longitudinal and Lateral (	Decupant Impact Velocity	
	Preferred	Maximum	
	10 ft/s	16.4 ft/s	
<u>Results</u> :	Longitudinal occupant imp impact velocity was 0.3 ft/	act velocity was 3.0 ft/s, and lateral ocos. (PASS)	cupant
I. Occ	cupant ridedown acceleration	ns should satisfy the following:	
	Longitudinal and Lateral (	Occupant Ridedown Accelerations	
	<u>Preferred</u>	<u>Maximum</u>	
	15.0 Gs	20.49 Gs	

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>Results</u>: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 1.4 Gs, and lateral ridedown acceleration was 0.3 Gs. (PASS)

#### 5.2.8.3 *Vehicle Trajectory N. Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable.*

<u>Result</u>: The 1100C vehicle came to rest behind the mailbox installation. (PASS)

#### 5.3 *MASH* TEST 3-61 (CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-4)

#### 5.3.1 Test Designation and Actual Impact Conditions

*MASH* Test 3-61 involves an 1100C passenger car weighing 2420 lb  $\pm$ 55 lb and impacting the support structure at the critical impact angle at an impact speed of 62 mi/h. The critical impact angle (CIA) was determined to be center of car aligned with center of mailbox support post with the mailbox oriented perpendicular to path of vehicle. The 2009 Kia Rio used in the test weighed 2423 lb and the actual impact speed was 63.0 mi/h. The actual impact point was at the CIA as stated above.

#### 5.3.2 Test Vehicle

Figures 5.11 and 5.12 show the 2009 Kia Rio used for the crash test. Test inertia weight of the vehicle was 2423 lb, and its gross static weight was 2588 lb. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was 7.0 inches, and height to the lower edge of the bumper was 22.0 inches. Table D1 in Appendix D gives additional dimensions and information on the vehicle. The vehicle was directed into the installation using a cable reverse tow and guidance system, and was released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.



Figure 5.11. Vehicle/Installation Geometrics for Test No. 490023-9-4.



Figure 5.12. Vehicle before Test No. 490023-9-4.

#### 5.3.3 Weather Conditions

The test was performed on the afternoon of August 16, 2013. Weather conditions at the time of testing were as follows: (a) wind speed: 6 mi/h; (b) wind direction: 211 degrees with respect to the vehicle (vehicle was traveling in a southerly direction); (c) temperature: 92°F; (d) relative humidity: 54 percent.

#### 5.3.4 Test Description

The 1100C vehicle, traveling at an impact speed of 63.0 mi/h, impacted the locking architectural mailbox installation at 0 degrees with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the mailboxes. Shortly after impact, the semi-circular support began to deform and collapse and the mailboxes began to rotate toward the vehicle. At approximately 0.014 s, the support post began to pull out of the socket, and at 0.031 s, it began to ride up on the hood of the vehicle. The mailbox nearest the vehicle upon impact contacted the windshield at 0.061 s, and

the windshield shattered and deformed inward. At 0.087 s, the mailboxes and support post began to rotate upward and over the vehicle, and at 0.138 s, the mailbox in the windshield began to rotate out of the windshield. The vehicle lost contact with the mailbox installation at 0.176 s, and was traveling at an exit speed of 60.1 mi/h. Brakes on the vehicle were applied at 0.4 s after impact, and the vehicle came to rest 238 ft downstream of impact. Figures D1 and D2 in Appendix D show sequential photographs of the test period.

#### 5.3.5 Damage to Test Installation

Figures 5.13 and 5.14 show damage to the mailbox installation. The support post collapsed inward and was pulled out of the socket. The mailboxes remained attached to the support post, however, the doors separated from the mailboxes. The mailboxes and support post came to rest 110 ft downstream of impact.



Figure 5.13. Vehicle/Installation Positions after Test No. 490023-9-4.



Figure 5.14. Installation after Test No. 490023-9-4.

#### 5.3.6 Vehicle Damage

The windshield sustained four cuts. One cut was 19 inches long, a second was 4.5 inches long, a third was 2 inches long, and the fourth was 3 inches long. The windshield was depressed 4.5 inches toward the occupant compartment over an area measuring 30 inches  $\times$  36 inches. Figure 5.15 shows damage to the exterior of the vehicle, and Figure 5.16 shows the interior of the vehicle. Tables D2 and D3 in Appendix D provide exterior crush and occupant compartment measurements.



Figure 5.15. Vehicle after Test No. 490023-9-4.



Figure 5.16. Interior of Vehicle after Test No. 490023-9-4.

#### 5.3.7 Occupant Risk Factors

Data from the accelerometer, located at the vehicle center of gravity, were digitized for evaluation of occupant risk. In the longitudinal direction, the occupant impact velocity was 5.6 ft/s at 0.649 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was 1.0 Gs from 0.674 to 0.684s, and the maximum 0.050-s average acceleration was -1.6 Gs between 0.003 and 0.053 s. In the lateral direction, the occupant impact velocity was 0.3 ft/s at 0.649 s, the highest 0.010-s

occupant ridedown acceleration was 0.3 Gs from 0.764 to 0.774 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average was -0.2 Gs between 0.121 and 0.171 s. THIV was 6.0 km/h or 1.7 m/s at 0.648 s; PHD was 1.0 Gs between 0.674 and 0.684 s; and ASI was 0.14 between 0.011 and 0.061 s. Figure 5.17 summarizes these data and other pertinent information from the test. Figures D2 through D8 in Appendix D show the vehicle angular displacements and accelerations versus time traces.

#### 5.3.8 Assessment of Test Results

An assessment of the test based on the applicable *MASH* safety evaluation criteria is provided below.

- 5.3.8.1 Structural Adequacy
  - *B.* The test article should readily activate in a predictable manner by breaking away, fracturing, or yielding.
  - <u>Results</u>: The locking architectural mailbox installation yielded to the vehicle and pulled out of the ground socket. (PASS)
- 5.3.8.2 Occupant Risk
  - D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone.

Deformation of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH (roof  $\leq 4.0$  inches; windshield =  $\leq 3.0$  inches; side windows = no shattering by test article structural member; wheel/foot well/toe pan  $\leq 9.0$  inches; forward of A-pillar  $\leq 12.0$  inches; front side door area above seat  $\leq 9.0$  inches; front side door below seat  $\leq 12.0$  inches; floor pan/transmission tunnel area  $\leq 12.0$  inches).

- Results:The mailboxes and support contacted and penetrated the windshield.<br/>(FAIL)<br/>Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 4.5 inches in the<br/>windshield area. (FAIL)
- *F.* The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.
- <u>Results</u>: The 1100C vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles were both 2 degrees. (PASS)

0.000 s		0.084 s		0.168 s		0.25	2 s
	238-0"		Impact Path			TYP x2 () () () () () () () () () () () () () (	2 0 0 12 12 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
General Information			Impact Cond	itions	Post-Im	pact Trajectory	
Test Agency	. Texas A&M Transporta	ation Institute (TTI)	Speed		Stoppi	ng Distance	238 ft dw
Test Standard Test No	MASH Test 3-61	. ,	Angle	0 degrees	Vehicle	Stability	
TTI Test No.	. 490023-9-4		Location/Or	ientationPerpendicular	Maxim	um Yaw Angle	4 degrees
Test Date	. 2013-08-16		Exit Conditio	ns	Maxim	um Pitch Angle	3 degrees
Test Article			Speed	61.9 mi/h	Maxim	um Roll Angle	7 degrees
Туре	Mailbox		Angle	~0 degree	Vehicle	e Snagging	NA
Name	Locking Architectural N	Aailbox on	Occupant Ris	sk Values	Vehicle	e Pocketing	NA
	SURE-TITE® Multiple-	-Mount Post	Impact Velo	ocity	Debris F	Pattern	
Installation Height	. 42 inches		Longitudi	nal4.9 ft/s	Longit	udinal	110 ft
Material or Key Elements .	. Two locking mailboxes	on semi-circular steel	Lateral	No contact	Latera	l	Centerline
2	tube support inserted i	nto concrete footing	Ridedown A	Accelerations			
	and secured using plas	stic wedge and socket	Longitudir	nal1.0 G	Vehicle	Damage	
Soil Type and Condition	. Concrete footing in cru	ished limestone, drv	Lateral	0.2 G	VDS		NA
Test Vehicle		····)	THIV		s) CDC		12FCEN6
Type/Designation	. 1100C		PHD		Max. E	xterior Deformation	4.5 inches
Make and Model	2009 Kia Rio		ASI	0 13			(windshield)
Curb	2418 lb		Max 0.050-s	Average			FS0000011
Test Inertial	2423 lb			nal –16G	Max C	ccupant Compartment	
Dummy	165 lb		Lateral	-0.3 G		formation	4.5 inches
Gross Static	2588 lb		Vertical	0.8 G	De		(windshield)
G1055 Static	. 2000 ID		vertical	0.0 G			(willusilielu)

Figure 5.17. Summary of Results for *MASH* Test 3-61 on the Dual Locking Architectural Mailboxes on the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Multiple-Mount Post.

Н.	Occupant impact velocities	should satisfy the following:
	Longitudinal and Lateral O	ccupant Impact Velocity
	<u>Preferred</u>	<u>Maximum</u>
	10 ft/s	16.4 ft/s

- <u>Results</u>: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 5.6 ft/s, and lateral occupant impact velocity was 0.3 ft/s. (PASS)
- I. Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Longitudinal and Lateral Occupant Ridedown Accelerations <u>Preferred</u> <u>Maximum</u> 15.0 Gs 20.49 Gs
- <u>Results</u>: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 1.0 G, and lateral ridedown acceleration was 0.3 G. (PASS)
- 5.3.8.3 Vehicle Trajectory
  - *N. Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable.*
  - <u>Result</u>: The 1100C vehicle came to rest behind the mailbox installation. (PASS)

# CHAPTER 6. LOCKING ARCHITECTURAL MAILBOXES AND STANDARD MAILBOXES ON MULTIPLE-MOUNT SUPPORTS

## 6.1 TEST ARTICLE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION – CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-5

Except for the mailbox configuration, the test installation was identical to that used in the previous multiple mailbox tests described in Chapter 5. In this test, four mailboxes (two architectural and two standard) were attached to the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Products Multiple Mailbox Support.

The two architectural mailboxes were Oasis Jr. manufactured by Architectural Mailboxes, LLC. The architectural mailboxes were attached to the two inside mounting posts.

Two standard mailboxes were attached to the outside mounting posts. The standard mailboxes were "PostMaster Classic" from Solar Group, Inc., a division of Gibraltar Industries: Each of the standard mailboxes was  $8\frac{3}{4}$  inches tall  $\times 6\frac{3}{4}$  inches wide  $\times 20\frac{1}{8}$  inches deep, and weighed 4.4 lb. All of the mailboxes were empty.

The total mass of the four mailboxes, attachment hardware, and post assembly was 86.2 lb. Figures 6.1 through 6.3 show details of the mailbox installation, and Figure 6.4 provides photographs of the completed installation.

#### 6.2 *MASH* TEST 3-61 (CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-5)

#### 6.2.1 Test Designation and Actual Impact Conditions

*MASH* Test 3-60 involves an 1100C passenger car weighing 2420 lb  $\pm$ 55 lb and impacting the support structure at the critical impact angle at an impact speed of 62 mi/h. The critical impact angle (CIA) was determined to be center of car aligned with center of mailbox support post with the mailbox oriented perpendicular to path of vehicle The 2008 Kia Rio used in the test weighed 2420 lb, and the actual impact speed was 62.0 mi/h. The actual impact point was at the CIA as stated above.

#### 6.2.2 Test Vehicle

Figures 6.5 and 6.6 show the 2008 Kia Rio used for the crash test. Test inertia weight of the vehicle was 2420 lb, and its gross static weight was 2585 lb. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was 7.50 inches, and the height to the upper edge of the bumper was 22.0 inches. Table E1 in Appendix E gives additional dimensions and information on the vehicle. The vehicle was directed into the installation using a cable reverse tow and guidance system, and was released to be freewheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.



Figure 6.1. Details of the Locking Architectural Mailboxes and Standard Mailboxes Installation.



Figure 6.2. Connection Details for the Locking Architectural Mailbox.



Figure 6.3. Connection Details for the Standard Mailbox.

2013-10-22



Figure 6.4. Locking Architectural Mailboxes and Standard Mailboxes before Crash Test No. 490023-9-5.



Figure 6.5. Vehicle/Installation Geometrics for Test No. 490023-9-5.



Figure 6.6. Vehicle before Test No. 490023-9-5.

#### 6.2.3 Weather Conditions

The test was performed on the morning of August 30, 2013. Weather conditions at the time of testing were as follows: (a) wind speed: 6 mi/h; (b) wind direction: 222 degrees with respect to the vehicle (vehicle was traveling in a southerly direction); (c) temperature: 84°F; (d) relative humidity: 74 percent.

#### 6.2.4 Test Description

The 2008 Kia Rio, traveling at an impact speed of 62.0 mi/h, impacted the multiple mailbox installation at 0 degrees with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the mailbox. At approximately 0.015 s, the semi-circular tube separated from the lower vertical ground stub that extended into the socket, and at 0.029 s, the hood rode up under the released mailboxes and upper support. The lower ground stub caught on the vehicle at 0.041 s, began to pull out of the ground, and subsequently bent over 90 degrees at ground level. At 0.052 s, the mailboxes and upper support post contacted the windshield, and at 0.069 s, the windshield began to deflect into the occupant compartment. The mailboxes penetrated the windshield at 0.080 s, and then rotated upward into the roof at 0.125 s. At 0.298 s, the support and mailboxes rotated up and out of the windshield, and at 0.409 s, the vehicle lost contact with the mailboxes. Figure E1 in Appendix E shows sequential photographs of the test period.

#### 6.2.5 Damage to Test Installation

Figures 6.7 and 6.8 show damage to the mailbox installation. The mailboxes remained attached to the support post; however, the support post fractured into three pieces. The support post pulled up inside the socket, but did not completely pull out of the socket. The piece remaining in the socket was deformed 90 degrees at ground level. One of the standard mailboxes and a piece of the support post came to rest 177 ft downstream of impact and 14 ft to the left of centerline of the vehicle path. The two locking architectural mailboxes, the second

standard mailbox, and a section of the support post came to rest 192 ft downstream of impact and 10 ft to the left of centerline of the vehicle path.



Figure 6.7. Vehicle/Installation Positions after Test No. 490023-9-5.



Figure 6.8. Installation after Test No. 490023-9-5.

#### 6.2.6 Vehicle Damage

The windshield of the vehicle sustained an open tear measuring 14 inches  $\times$  24 inches. The roof of the vehicle was deformed downward into the occupant compartment 4.75 inches. Figure 6.9 shows damage to the exterior of the vehicle, and Figure 6.10 shows the interior of the vehicle. Tables E2 and E3 in Appendix E provide exterior crush and occupant compartment measurements.



Figure 6.9. Vehicle after Test No. 490023-9-5.



Figure 6.10. Interior of Vehicle for Test No. 490023-9-5.

#### 6.2.7 Occupant Risk Factors

Data from the accelerometer, located at the vehicle center of gravity, were digitized for evaluation of occupant risk. In the longitudinal direction, the occupant impact velocity was 6.2 ft/s at 0.493 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was 1.2 Gs from 0.660 to 0.670 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average acceleration was -1.7 Gs between 0.019 and 0.069 s. In the lateral direction, the occupant impact velocity was 1.0 ft/s at 0.493 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was 0.5 Gs from 0.586 to 0.596 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average was -0.2 Gs between 0.024 and 0.074 s. THIV was 6.9 km/h or 1.9 m/s at 0.494 s; PHD

was 1.2 Gs between 0.660 and 0.670 s; and ASI was 0.16 between 0.145 and 0.195 s. Figure 6.11 summarizes these data and other pertinent information from the test. Figures E2 through E8 in Appendix E show the vehicle angular displacements and accelerations versus time traces.

#### 6.2.8 Assessment of Test Results

An assessment of the test based on the applicable *MASH* safety evaluation criteria is provided below.

- 6.2.8.1 Structural Adequacy
  - *B.* The test article should readily activate in a predictable manner by breaking away, fracturing, or yielding.
  - <u>Results</u>: The multiple-mailbox support initially yielded to the 1100C vehicle and released by rupturing. (PASS)
- 6.2.8.2 Occupant Risk
  - D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone.

Deformation of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH (roof  $\leq 4.0$  inches; windshield =  $\leq 3.0$  inches; side windows = no shattering by test article structural member; wheel/foot well/toe pan  $\leq 9.0$  inches; forward of A-pillar  $\leq 12.0$  inches; front side door area above seat  $\leq 9.0$  inches; front side door below seat  $\leq 12.0$  inches; floor pan/transmission tunnel area  $\leq 12.0$  inches).

- Results: The mailbox support fractured just above ground level and the fractured support and all four mailboxes traveled up the hood and into the windshield creating a large hole in the windshield. (FAIL) Maximum occupant compartment deformation/intrusion was 4.75 inches in the roof and the windshield had a large hole measuring 14 inches × 24 inches. (FAIL)
- *F.* The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.
- <u>Results</u>: The 1100C vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles were 1 degree and 2 degrees, respectively. (PASS)



General Information Test Agency Test Standard Test No	Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI) MASH Test 3-60	Impact Conditions Speed Angle
TTI Test No.	490023-9-5	Location/Orientati
Test Date	2013-08-30	Exit Conditions
Test Article		Speed
Туре	Mailbox	Angle
Name	Locking Architectural Mailbox	Occupant Risk Val
Installation Height	42 inches	Impact Velocity
Material or Key Elements	Two locking mailboxes and two standard	Longitudinal
	mailboxes on semi-circular support; concrete	Lateral
	footing and plastic wedge and socket	Ridedown Accele
Soil Type and Condition	Concrete footing in crushed limestone, dry	Longitudinal
Test Vehicle		Lateral
Type/Designation	1100C	THIV
Make and Model	2008 Kia Rio	PHD
Curb	2344 lb	ASI
Test Inertial	2420 lb	Max. 0.050-s Avera
Dummy	165 lb	Longitudinal
Gross Static	2585 lb	Lateral
		Vertical

npace conditions	
Speed	62.0 mi/h
Angle	0 degrees
Location/Orientation	Perpendicular
xit Conditions	
Speed	Out of view
Angle	Out of view
Occupant Risk Values	
Impact Velocity	
Longitudinal	6.2 ft/s
Lateral	1.0 ft/s
Ridedown Accelerations	
Longitudinal	1.2 G
Lateral	0.5 G
THIV	6.9 km/h (1.9 m/s)
PHD	1.2 G
ASI	0.16
lax. 0.050-s Average	
Longitudinal	–1.7 G
Lateral	–0.2 G
Vertical	1.4 G

#### Vehicle Stability

Maximum Yaw Angle	2 degrees
Maximum Pitch Angle	2 degrees
Maximum Roll Angle	1 degree
Vehicle Snagging	NA Č
Vehicle Pocketing	NA

#### **Debris Pattern**

Longitudinal	177 ft
Lateral	14 ft
Vehicle Damage	
VDS	NA
CDC	12FCEN6
Max. Exterior Deformation	4.75 (windshield)
OCDI	FS0000011
Max. Occupant Compartment	
Deformation	4.75 inches
	(windshield)

Figure 6.11. Summary of Results for *MASH* Test 3-60 on the Combination Locking Architectural Mailboxes and Standard Mailboxes on the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Multiple-Mount Post.

Н.	Occupant impact velocities	should satisfy the following:
	Longitudinal and Lateral O	ccupant Impact Velocity
	<u>Preferred</u>	<u>Maximum</u>
	10 ft/s	16.4 ft/s

- <u>Results</u>: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 6.2 ft/s, and lateral occupant impact velocity was 1.0 ft/s. (PASS)
- I. Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Longitudinal and Lateral Occupant Ridedown Accelerations <u>Preferred</u> <u>Maximum</u> 15.0 Gs 20.49 Gs
- <u>Results</u>: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 1.2 G, and lateral ridedown acceleration was 0.5 G. (PASS)
- 6.2.8.3 Vehicle Trajectory
  - *N. Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable.*
  - <u>Result</u>: The 1100C vehicle came to rest 202 ft behind the mailbox installation. (PASS)

## 6.3 TEST ARTICLE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION – CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-6

The mailbox configuration used in this test was the same as used in Test 490023-9-5. Two Oasis Jr. locking architectural mailboxes manufactured by Architectural Mailboxes, LLC were attached to the interior of the multiple mount support with the centerline of each box located 8 inches from the centerline of the support post. Two PostMaster Classic standard mailboxes from Solar Group, Inc., a division of Gibraltar Industries, were attached on either side of the locking mailboxes with the centerline of each box located 21 inches from the centerline of the support post. All of the mailboxes were empty.

The attachment of the locking architectural mailboxes to the horizontal segment of the thin-wall steel mounting post was accomplished using two mailbox brackets (DHT# 148939), two Part "A" angle bracket connectors (DHT# 159489), and two plate washers per mailbox. One mailbox bracket was attached flush with the bottom of the locking mailbox (flanges pointed outward) using four  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch diameter  $\times 1\frac{1}{4}$ -inch long SAE Grade 5 bolts using existing holes in the mailbox and bracket. A 2-inch wide  $\times 5\frac{1}{2}$ -inch long  $\times \frac{1}{8}$ -inch thick ASTM A36 steel plate washer was positioned over the bracket to help secure each set of two bolts toward the front and back of the mailbox. A  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch flat washer, lock washer, and nut were used for each bolt.

The two angle bracket connectors were attached to the second mailbox bracket using a  $\frac{3}{8}$  inch diameter  $\times$  1-inch long SAE Grade 5 bolt through existing slots in the mailbox bracket. The flanges of the second mailbox bracket faced away from the angle bracket connectors. The two mailbox brackets were then nested together and connected using four  $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch diameter  $\times$ 

 $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch long SAE Grade 5 bolts on each side using hand holes through the bottom of the bracket. A hole was drilled through the horizontal section of the thin-wall steel tube support post at the desired mailbox position. The angle connection brackets were clamped to the thin-wall steel tube support using a  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch diameter × 4-inch long SAE Grade 5 bolt through the support post and connection brackets.

The standard mailboxes were attached to the horizontal segment of the thin-wall steel mounting post using a mailbox bracket (DHT# 148939) and two Part "A" angle bracket connectors (DHT# 159489) per mailbox. The two angle bracket connectors were attached to the mailbox bracket using a  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch diameter  $\times$  1-inch long SAE Grade 5 bolt through existing slots in the mailbox bracket. The flanges of the mailbox bracket faced away from the angle bracket connectors. The mailbox bracket was nested inside the flanges at the bottom of the mailbox and connected together with three  $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch diameter  $\times$   $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch long SAE Grade 5 bolts on each side. A hole was drilled through the horizontal section of the thin-wall steel tube support post at the desired mailbox position. The angle connection brackets were clamped to the thin-wall steel tube support using a  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch diameter  $\times$  4-inch long SAE Grade 5 bolt through the support post and connection brackets.

The thin-wall steel tube mailbox support post (DHT# 149339) was formed from 2-inch OD  $\times$  0.065 thick galvanized welded mechanical tubing. The support post had outwardly sloping sides and a horizontal section on top to which the mailboxes were attached. The support had an overall width of 56 inches and weighed 18 lb. The shorter end of the bent support post was bolted to the longer end using two  $\frac{3}{16}$ -inch diameter  $\times$  5-inch long SAE Grade 5 bolts.

The longer end of the support was inserted approximately 9 inches into a V-wing socket (DHT# 160446) that was embedded flush with the top of a non-reinforced concrete footing that was approximately 12 inches in diameter × 30 inches deep. The concrete was specified as Class B having a minimum 28-day unconfined compressive strength of 2000 psi. A triangular wedge (DHT# 46625) was driven into the V-wind socket on the impact side of the support post to secure it inside the foundation.

The total mass of the four mailboxes, connection hardware, and post assembly was 88.0 lb. Figures 6.12 through 6.16 show details of the installation, and Figure 6.17 provides photographs of the completed installation.

#### 6.4 *MASH* TEST 3-61 (CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-6)

#### 6.4.1 Test Designation and Actual Impact Conditions

*MASH* Test 3-61 involves an 1100C passenger car weighing 2420 lb  $\pm$ 55 lb impacting the support structure at the critical impact angle at an impact speed of 62 mi/h. The critical impact angle (CIA) was determined to be center of car aligned with center of mailbox support post with the mailbox oriented perpendicular to path of vehicle The 2008 Kia Rio used in the test weighed 2425 and the actual impact speed was 62.4 mi/h. The actual impact point was at the CIA as stated above.





Figure 6.12. Details of the Locking Architectural Mailboxes and Standard Mailboxes Installation.

TR No. 9-1002-12-9	

PARTNAME	DH1 Number	QIY.
Galvanized Multiple Mailbox Support	149339	1
Standard Mailbox		2
Architectural Mailbox		2
Plate Washer for Architectural Mailbox		-4
Bracket for Mailbox	148939	6
Angle Bracket Part A	159489	8
Washer, 3/8 flat		40
Washer, 3/8 lock		20
Nut, 3/8 hex	1	20
Bolt, 3/8 x 1 hex		16
Bolt, 3/8 x 4 hex		4
Washer, 1/4 flat		56
Washer, 1/4 lock		28
Nut, 1/4 hex		28
Bolt, 1/4 x 3/4 hex		28
V-wing Socket for Type 1 Foundation	149340	1
Wedge for V-wing Socket	46625	1
	1	<u>_</u>

	_	16				
		4	-			
		56				
	1	28				
		28				
		28				
49340		1				
46625		1				
1	Te Tra	xas A&M	on	Roa Physica	dside Safet al Security (	y and Division -
Project 490	023-9-5	TxDO	OT Mailb	ox.	Toving Grou	2013-08-29
Drawn By	GES	No Scale	Sheet	2 of 6	Parts List	Design of the local division of

Figure 6.12. Details of the Locking Architectural Mailboxes and Standard Mailboxes Installation (continued).



Figure 6.13. Details of the Connection for the Locking Architectural Mailboxes.



Figure 6.14. Details of the Connection for the Standard Mailboxes.




Figure 6.15. Details of the Brackets.

55

2013-10-22



Figure 6.16. Details of the Formed Thin-Wall Steel Tube Multiple-Mount Support Post.



Figure 6.17. Locking Architectural Mailboxes and Standard Mailboxes before Crash Test No. 490023-9-6.

### 6.4.2 Test Vehicle

Figures 6.18 and 6.19 show the 2008 Kia Rio used in the crash test. Test inertia weight of the vehicle was 2425 lb, and its gross static weight was 2590 lb. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was 7.50 inches, and the height to the upper edge of the bumper was 21.75 inches. Table F1 in Appendix F gives additional dimensions and information on the vehicle. The vehicle was directed into the installation using a cable reverse tow and guidance system, and was released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

### 6.4.3 Weather Conditions

The test was performed on the afternoon of August 30, 2013. Weather conditions at the time of testing were as follows: (a) wind speed: 2 mi/h; (b) wind direction: 276 degrees with respect to the vehicle (vehicle was traveling in a southerly direction); (c) temperature: 100°F; (d) relative humidity: 37 percent.



Figure 6.18. Vehicle/Installation Geometrics for Test No. 490023-9-6.



Figure 6.19. Vehicle before Test No. 490023-9-6.

### 6.4.4 Test Description

The 2008 Kia Rio, traveling at an impact speed of 62.4 mi/h, impacted the multiple mailbox installation at 0 degrees with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the mailbox. At approximately 0.007 s, the support tube began to deform on impact side, and at 0.014 s, the support post and wedge began to pull out of the ground socket. The support tube on the impact side began to fracture at 0.023 s, and the post and wedge pulled out the ground socket at 0.035 s. At 0.057 s, the mailbox contacted the windshield, and at 0.0150 s, the vehicle lost contact with the mailbox as it traveled up and over the vehicle. Brakes on the vehicle were applied 1.6 s after impact, and the vehicle came to rest 272 ft downstream from impact. Figures F1 and F2 in Appendix F show sequential photographs of the test period.

### 6.4.5 Damage to Test Installation

Figures 6.20 and 6.21 show damage to the mailbox installation. The support pulled out of the foundation socket and was fractured on the impact side. The mailboxes remained attached

to the support post. The system came to rest 188 ft downstream of impact and 8 ft to the left of centerline of the vehicle path.



Figure 6.20. Vehicle/Installation Positions after Test No. 490023-9-6.



Figure 6.21. Installation after Test No. 490023-9-6.

### 6.4.6 Vehicle Damage

The hood of the vehicle was dented and pushed downward. The windshield was shattered and pushed into the occupant compartment 3.5 inches and there were several small tears at the bottom edge of the windshield behind the dashboard. Figure 6.22 shows damage to the exterior of the vehicle, and Figure 6.23 shows the interior of the vehicle. Tables F2 and F3 in Appendix F provide exterior crush and occupant compartment measurements.



Figure 6.22. Vehicle after Test No. 490023-9-6.



Figure 6.23. Interior of Vehicle for Test No. 490023-9-6.

### 6.4.7 Occupant Risk Factors

Data from the accelerometer, located at the vehicle center of gravity, were digitized for evaluation of occupant risk. In the longitudinal direction, the occupant impact velocity was 4.9 ft/s at 0.462 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was 0.5 Gs from 0.687 to 0.697 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average acceleration was -1.7 Gs between 0.036 and 0.086 s. In the lateral direction, the occupant impact velocity was 5.2 ft/s at 0.462 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was 1.0 Gs from 0.533 to 0.543 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average was -0.8 Gs between 0.117 and 0.167 s. THIV was 7.6 km/h or 2.1 m/s at 0.419 s; PHD

was 1.1 Gs between 0.451 and 0.461 s; and ASI was 0.16 between 0.064 and 0.114 s. Figure 6.24 summarizes these data and other pertinent information from the test. Figures F2 through F8 in Appendix F show the vehicle angular displacements and accelerations versus time traces.

### 6.4.8 Assessment of Test Results

An assessment of the test based on the applicable *MASH* safety evaluation criteria is provided below.

- 6.4.8.1 Structural Adequacy
  - *B.* The test article should readily activate in a predictable manner by breaking away, fracturing, or yielding.
  - <u>Results</u>: The multiple-mount support yielded to the 1100C vehicle and pulled out of the foundation socket. (PASS)
- 6.4.8.2 Occupant Risk
  - D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone.

Deformation of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH (roof  $\leq 4.0$  inches; windshield =  $\leq 3.0$  inches; side windows = no shattering by test article structural member; wheel/foot well/toe pan  $\leq 9.0$  inches; forward of A-pillar  $\leq 12.0$  inches; front side door area above seat  $\leq 9.0$  inches; front side door below seat  $\leq 12.0$  inches; floor pan/transmission tunnel area  $\leq 12.0$  inches).

- Results: Contact of the locking architectural mailboxes and standard mailboxes on multiple-mount support with the windshield caused several small tears at the base of the windshield. (FAIL) Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 3.5 inches in the windshield on the driver's side. (FAIL)
- *F.* The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.
- <u>Results</u>: The 1100C vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch were 4 degrees and 1 degree, respectively. (PASS)

Ι.	Occupant impact velocities sho	uld satisfy the following:
	Longitudinal and Lateral C	ccupant Impact Velocity
	Preferred	Maximum
	10 ft/s	16.4 ft/s

- <u>Results</u>: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.9 ft/s, and lateral occupant impact velocity was 5.2 ft/s. (PASS)
- I. Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Longitudinal and Lateral Occupant Ridedown Accelerations <u>Preferred</u> <u>Maximum</u> 15.0 Gs 20.49 Gs
- <u>Results</u>: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 0.5 G, and lateral occupant ridedown acceleration was 1.0 G. (PASS)
- 6.4.8.3 Vehicle Trajectory

*N. Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable.* 

<u>Result</u>: The 1100C vehicle came to rest 272 ft behind the test installation. (PASS)



63

Figure 6.24. Summary of Results for MASH Test 3-61 on the Locking Architectural Mailboxes and Standard Mailboxes on the Formed Thin-Wall Steel Tube Multiple-Mount Post.

Lateral .....1.0 G

Longitudinal.....-1.7 G

Lateral.....-0.8 G

Vertical.....1.0 G

PHD .....1.1 G

ASI .....0.16

Max. 0.050-s Average

THIV......7.6 km/h (2.1 m/s)

VDS ..... NA

Max. Occupant Compartment

CDC ..... 12FCEN6

Max. Exterior Deformation ...... 3.5 inches

Deformation ...... 3.5 inches

(windshield)

(windshield)

Test Vehicle

### **CHAPTER 7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

Concern about mail-identity theft has increased the demand for locking mailboxes. The dual compartment security feature incorporated into these lockable mailboxes makes them considerably larger and heavier than standard mailboxes. Therefore, before TxDOT can permit use of these mailboxes on the state highway system, their crashworthiness had to be evaluated.

Under this project, crash tests were performed following *MASH* guidelines and procedures to assess the impact performance of lockable, secure mailboxes on both single and multiple mount configurations. A summary of the findings is presented below.

### 7.1 LOCKING ARCHITECTURAL MAILBOX ON SINGLE-MOUNT POST

An Oasis Jr. locking architectural mailbox was successfully tested on a steel thin-wall single-mount post with a passenger car impacting at both low speed (Test 3-60) and high speed (Test 3-61). In the low-speed test, the mailbox support yielded to the vehicle, and the vehicle overrode the installation. There was minimal vehicle damage and no contact with the vehicle windshield. Occupant risk parameters were below preferred values. As summarized in Table 7.1, the single mailbox support with locking architectural mailbox met all applicable *MASH* criteria for Test 3-60.

In the high-speed test, the mailbox support released from the wedge and socket foundation as designed. The locking architectural mailbox remained attached to the support, and there was no contact with the vehicle windshield. Vehicle damage was minor, and occupant risk parameters were below preferred values. As summarized in Table 7.2, the single mailbox support with locking architectural mailbox met all applicable *MASH* criteria for Test 3-61.

### 7.2 LOCKING ARCHITECTURAL MAILBOX ON MULTIPLE-MOUNT POST

### 7.2.1 Dual Locking Architectural Mailboxes on the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Multiple-Mount Post

Two locking architectural mailboxes were evaluated on a SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> multiple-mount support post. One mailbox was placed at the critical location at the upstream exterior mount position adjacent to the impacting vehicle, and the second was positioned at an interior location. This configuration was tested with a passenger car at both low speed (Test 3-60) and high speed (Test 3-61).

In the low-speed test, the vehicle lifted the support out of the foundation socket as designed and pushed it forward of the vehicle. The mailboxes remained attached to the support, and there was no contact with the vehicle windshield. Vehicle damage was minor and occupant risk parameters were below preferred values. As summarized in Table 7.3, the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> multiple-mount support post with dual locking architectural mailboxes met all applicable *MASH* criteria for Test 3-60.

## Table 7.1. Performance Evaluation Summary for MASH Test 3-60 on the Locking Architectural Mailbox on the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Single-Mount Post.

Tes	at Agency: Texas A&M Transportation Institute	Test No.: 490023-9-1 Te	est Date: 2013-08-12
	MASH Test 3-60 Evaluation Criteria	Test Results	Assessment
Stru	uctural Adequacy		
В.	The test article should readily activate in a predictable manner by breaking away, fracturing, or yielding.	The locking architectural mailbox on the SHUR- $TITE^{\mathbb{R}}$ single-mount post yielded to the vehicle.	Pass
Occ	cupant Risk		
D.	Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone.	The locking architectural mailbox detached from the support post and separated into several pieces while being carried along beneath the vehicle. The detached pieces did not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, nor present hazard to others in the area.	Pass
	Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH.	No occupant compartment deformation occurred.	Pass
<i>F</i> .	<i>The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.</i>	The 1100C vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angels were 5 degrees and 4 degrees, respectively.	Pass
Н.	Longitudinal and lateral occupant impact velocities should fall below the preferred value of 10 ft/s, or at least below the maximum allowable value of 16.4 ft/s.	Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 6.9 ft/s, and lateral occupant impact velocity was 0.7 ft/s.	Pass
Ι.	Longitudinal and lateral occupant ridedown accelerations should fall below the preferred value of 15.0 Gs, or at least below the maximum allowable value of 20.49 Gs.	Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 1.9 Gs, and lateral ridedown acceleration was 0.8 Gs.	Pass
Veł	hicle Trajectory		
<i>N</i> .	<i>Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable.</i>	The 1100C vehicle came to rest behind the mailbox installation.	Pass

## Table 7.2. Performance Evaluation Summary for MASH Test 3-60 on the Locking Architectural Mailbox on the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Single-Mount Post.

Tes	t Agency: Texas A&M Transportation Institute	Test No.: 490023-9-2	Test Date: 2013-08-12
	MASH Test 3-61 Evaluation Criteria	Test Results	Assessment
Stru B.	actural Adequacy The test article should readily activate in a predictable manner by breaking away, fracturing, or yielding.	The locking architectural mailbox on the SHUR- TITE <sup>®</sup> single-mount post yielded to the vehicle and released from its foundation.	Pass
Occ	cupant Risk		
D.	Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone.	The locking architectural mailbox separated at several connection seams; however, the pieces remained connected and attached to the support post and traveled along the front of the vehicle. The mailbox installation did not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, nor present hazard to others in the area.	Pass
	Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH.	No occupant compartment deformation occurred.	Pass
<i>F</i> .	The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.	The 1100C vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles were 7 degrees and 3 degrees, respectively.	Pass
Н.	Longitudinal and lateral occupant impact velocities should fall below the preferred value of 10 ft/s, or at least below the maximum allowable value of 16.4 ft/s.	Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.9 ft/s, and no contact occurred in the lateral direction.	Pass
Ι.	Longitudinal and lateral occupant ridedown accelerations should fall below the preferred value of 15.0 Gs, or at least below the maximum allowable value of 20.49 Gs.	Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 1.0 Gs, and no contact occurred in the lateral direction.	Pass
Veł	nicle Trajectory		
<i>N</i> .	<i>Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable.</i>	The 1100C vehicle came to rest behind the mailbox installation.	Pass

67

2013-10-22

# Table 7.3. Performance Evaluation Summary for MASH Test 3-60 on the Dual Locking Architectural Mailbox on the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Multiple-Mount Post.

Tes	t Agency: Texas A&M Transportation Institute	Test No.: 490023-9-3	Test Date: 2013-08-16
	MASH Test 3-60 Evaluation Criteria	Test Results	Assessment
Stru B.	ictural Adequacy The test article should readily activate in a predictable manner by breaking away, fracturing, or yielding.	The locking architectural mailboxes on the SHUR- TITE <sup>®</sup> multiple-mount post activated by yielding to the vehicle and lifting out of the foundation socket.	Pass
Occ	eupant Risk		
D.	Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone.	The locking architectural mailbox separated at several connection seams; however, the pieces remained together and attached to the support post and traveled along the front of the vehicle. The mailbox installation did not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, nor present hazard to others in the area.	Pass
	Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH.	No occupant compartment deformation occurred.	Pass
<i>F</i> .	The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.	The 1100C vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles were 4 degrees and 2 degrees, respectively.	Pass
Н.	Longitudinal and lateral occupant impact velocities should fall below the preferred value of 10 ft/s, or at least below the maximum allowable value of 16.4 ft/s.	Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 3.0 ft/s, and lateral occupant impact velocity was 0.3 ft/s.	Pass
Ι.	Longitudinal and lateral occupant ridedown accelerations should fall below the preferred value of 15.0 Gs, or at least below the maximum allowable value of 20.49 Gs.	Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 1.4 Gs, and lateral ridedown acceleration was 0.3 Gs.	Pass
Veł	nicle Trajectory		
Ν.	Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable.	The 1100C vehicle came to rest behind the mailbox installation.	Pass

In the high-speed test, the mailbox support released from the foundation socket. However, the support post collapsed in the region in contact with the vehicle, and the released mailbox system rotated into the vehicle windshield. The windshield had 4.5 inches of deformation, which exceeds the *MASH* threshold. Consequently, as summarized in Table 7.4, the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> multiple-mount support post with dual locking architectural mailboxes did not satisfy *MASH* criteria for Test 3-61.

### 7.2.2 Combination Locking Architectural Mailboxes and Standard Mailboxes on Multiple-Mount Posts

Given the failure encountered in the test of dual locking architectural mailboxes in the critical placement location, additional testing was performed to determine if impact performance would be improved if the locking architectural mailboxes were placed on the interior of the multiple mailbox mounting post. Standard mailboxes were placed on the exterior of the multiple mailbox support post for a total of four mailboxes. It was theorized that the small outer mailbox might restrict the rotation of the heavier, taller lockable mailboxes and, thereby, help limit windshield engagement. This mailbox combination was evaluated on two different multiple mailbox mounts at high-speed with the passenger car (Test 3-61). The previous low-speed test of the multiple mailbox mount was successful with the lockable architectural mailboxes in their critical locations. Therefore, it was concluded that the low-speed tests did not need to be performed for what was considered to be a less critical mailbox configuration.

### 7.2.2.1 SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Multiple-Mount Support Post

In the high-speed test of the combination mailbox configuration on the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> multiple-mount support post, the support did not release from the foundation socket. It fractured into multiple pieces, leaving the foundation stub partially embedded in the foundation socket. The fractured support and mailboxes impacted and created a large hole in the vehicle windshield. Consequently, as summarized in Table 7.5, the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> multiple-mount support post with a combination of standard and locking architectural mailboxes did not satisfy *MASH* criteria for Test 3-61.

### 7.2.2.2 Formed Thin-Wall Steel Tube Multiple-Mount Support Post

In the high-speed test of the combination mailbox configuration on the formed thin-wall steel tube multiple-mount support post, the support released from the foundation socket as designed but fractured in the impacted region. The ruptured support and attached mailboxes contacted and shattered the windshield of the vehicle. Maximum deformation of the windshield was 3.5 inches which exceeds the *MASH* threshold. Also, there were several small tears at the base of the windshield behind the dashboard. Consequently, as summarized in Table 7.6, the formed thin-wall steel tube multiple-mount support post with a combination of standard and locking architectural mailboxes did not satisfy *MASH* criteria for Test 3-61.

# Table 7.4. Performance Evaluation Summary for MASH Test 3-60 on the Dual Locking Architectural Mailbox on the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Multiple-Mount Post.

Test Age	ency: Texas A&M Transportation Institute	Test No.: 490023-9-4	Test Date: 2013-08-16
	MASH Test 3-61 Evaluation Criteria	Test Results	Assessment
Structura B. The ma	al Adequacy e test article should readily activate in a predictable unner by breaking away, fracturing, or yielding.	The locking architectural mailbox installation yielded to the vehicle and pulled out of the ground socket.	Pass
Occupar D. De the for an per	nt Risk etached elements, fragments, or other debris from e test article should not penetrate or show potential e penetrating the occupant compartment, or present undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or rsonnel in a work zone.	The mailboxes and support contacted and penetrated the windshield.	Fail
De cor Sec	formations of, or intrusions into, the occupant mpartment should not exceed limits set forth in ction 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH.	Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 4.5 inches in the windshield area.	Fail
F. The col to e	e vehicle should remain upright during and after llision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not exceed 75 degrees.	The 1100C vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles both were 2 degrees.	Pass
H. Lor sho lea	ngitudinal and lateral occupant impact velocities ould fall below the preferred value of 10 ft/s, or at ust below the maximum allowable value of 16.4 ft/s.	Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 5.6 ft/s, and lateral occupant impact velocity was 0.3 ft/s.	Pass
I. Loi acc 15. val	ngitudinal and lateral occupant ridedown celerations should fall below the preferred value of .0 Gs, or at least below the maximum allowable lue of 20.49 Gs.	Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 1.0 G, and lateral ridedown acceleration was 0.3 G.	Pass
Vehicle N. Vei	Trajectory <i>hicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable.</i>	The 1100C vehicle came to rest behind the mailbox installation.	Pass

## Table 7.5. Performance Evaluation Summary for MASH Test 3-60 on the Combination Locking Architectural Mailboxes and Standard Mailboxes on the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Multiple-Mount Post.

Test	t Agency: Texas A&M Transportation Institute	Test No.: 490023-9-5	Test Date: 2013-08-30
	MASH Test 3-60 Evaluation Criteria	Test Results	Assessment
Stru	ictural Adequacy		
В.	The test article should readily activate in a predictable manner by breaking away, fracturing, or yielding.	The multiple-mailbox support initially yielded to the 1100C vehicle and released by rupturing.	Pass
Occ	upant Risk		
D.	Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone.	The mailbox support fractured just above ground level and the fractured support and all four mailboxes traveled up the hood and into the windshield creating a large hole in the windshield.	Fail
	Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH.	Maximum occupant compartment deformation/intrusion was 4.75 inches in the roof and the windshield had a large hole measuring 14 inches $\times$ 24 inches.	Fail
<i>F</i> .	<i>The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.</i>	The 1100C vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles were 1 degree and 2 degrees, respectively.	Pass
Н.	Longitudinal and lateral occupant impact velocities should fall below the preferred value of 10 ft/s, or at least below the maximum allowable value of 16.4 ft/s.	Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 6.2 ft/s, and lateral occupant impact velocity was 1.0 ft/s.	Pass
Ι.	Longitudinal and lateral occupant ridedown accelerations should fall below the preferred value of 15.0 Gs, or at least below the maximum allowable value of 20.49 Gs.	Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 1.2 G, and lateral ridedown acceleration was 0.5 G.	Pass
Veh N.	icle Trajectory Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable.	The 1100C vehicle came to rest 202 ft behind the mailbox installation.	Pass

## Table 7.6. Performance Evaluation Summary for MASH Test 3-60 on the Combination Locking Architectural Mailboxes and Standard Mailboxes on the Formed Thin-Wall Steel Tube Multiple Mailbox Support.

Tes	t Agency: Texas A&M Transportation Institute	Test No.: 490023-9-6	Test Date: 2013-08-30
	MASH Test 3-61 Evaluation Criteria	Test Results	Assessment
Stru B.	ictural Adequacy The test article should readily activate in a predictable manner by breaking away, fracturing, or yielding.	The multiple-mount support yielded to the 1100C vehicle and pulled out of the foundation socket.	Pass
Occ	cupant Risk		
D.	Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone.	Contact of the locking architectural mailboxes and standard mailboxes on multiple-mount support with the windshield caused several small tears at the base of the windshield.	Fail
	Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH.	Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 3.5 inches in the windshield on the driver's side.	Fail
<i>F</i> .	The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.	The 1100C vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch was 4 degrees and 1 degree, respectively.	Pass
Н.	Longitudinal and lateral occupant impact velocities should fall below the preferred value of 10 ft/s, or at least below the maximum allowable value of 16.4 ft/s.	Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.9 ft/s, and lateral occupant impact velocity was 5.2 ft/s.	Pass
<i>I</i> .	Longitudinal and lateral occupant ridedown accelerations should fall below the preferred value of 15.0 Gs, or at least below the maximum allowable value of 20.49 Gs.	Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 0.5 G, and lateral occupant ridedown acceleration was 1.0 G.	Pass
Veh N.	nicle Trajectory Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable.	The 1100C vehicle came to rest 272 ft behind the test installation.	Pass

### **CHAPTER 8. IMPLEMENTATION STATEMENT**

Mail-identity theft is a rising concern among homeowners. Consequently, there is an increased demand for locking mailboxes. These mailboxes contain a mail receiving hopper above a lockable compartment that is used for mail retrieval. Under this project, the crashworthiness of lockable mailboxes was evaluated in both single and multiple-mount configurations to determine if TxDOT can permit their use on the state highway system. The crash tests were performed following the latest *MASH* guidelines and procedures.

### 8.1 LOCKING ARCHITECTURAL MAILBOX ON SINGLE-MOUNT POST

An Oasis Jr. locking architectural mailbox manufactured by Architectural Mailboxes, LLC was successfully crash tested on a SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Single-Mount Post (DHT# 162911) in a Type 4 foundation with a plastic wedge (DHT# 160892) and socket (DHT# 160891) system. This single-mount configuration is, therefore, considered suitable for implementation and use on the state highway system. Implementation can be accomplished through appropriate revision of the TxDOT Mailbox Mounting and Spacing standard (MB-11(1)) by the Maintenance Division.

There are a variety of lockable mailboxes on the market. The mailbox that was selected for testing was an Oasis Jr. locking architectural mailbox manufactured by Architectural Mailboxes, LLC. This mailbox is 15 inches tall  $\times$  11½ inches wide  $\times$  18 inches deep, and weighs approximately 22.4 lb without connection hardware attached. Other lockable mailboxes are considered acceptable alternatives provided the size and weight are not exceeded. The use of larger, heavier mailboxes than the model tested will require further evaluation.

In addition to the SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Single-Mount Post, other single mailbox support posts with similar flexural capacity installed in a crashworthy breakaway foundation system are also considered acceptable. The SHUR-TITE<sup>®</sup> Single-Mount Post is a thin-wall steel tube with a 2<sup>3</sup>/<sub>8</sub> inch outside diameter (OD) and a 0.095 inch wall thickness. The thin-wall galvanized steel tube (DHT# 143426) in a Type 2 foundation with a steel wedge (DHT# 143433) and steel anchor socket (DHT# 143434) system is considered an acceptable alternative. This support has a similar OD and wall thickness to the support that was crash tested. Other crashworthy single support posts with equal or greater moment capacity are also considered acceptable for use with a lockable mailbox.

Due to the heavier lockable mailbox, the thin-wall galvanized steel tube (DHT# 143426) may experience some long-term movement when installed in the soil embedded Type 2 foundation. To avoid this long-term movement and associated maintenance, it is recommended that the steel anchor socket (DHT# 143434) be embedded in a 12-inch diameter  $\times$  24-inch deep unreinforced concrete footer similar to the Type 4 foundation. In fact, this modification can be applied to all mailbox configurations installed in a Type 2 foundation regardless of size or weight.

The connection of the mailbox to the support post is of critical importance. The lockable mailbox should be attached to the single support using the improved connection developed and tested under this project. A mailbox bracket (DHT# 161443) was attached to the bottom of the locking mailbox using four  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch diameter  $\times 1\frac{1}{4}$ -inch long SAE Grade 5 bolts using existing holes in the mailbox and bracket. A 2-inch wide  $\times 5\frac{1}{2}$ -inch long  $\times 1/8$ -inch thick plate washer fabricated from ASTM A36 steel (or equivalent) was positioned over each end of the bracket to help secure each set of two bolts at the front and back of the mailbox. A  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch flat washer, lock washer, and nut were used for each bolt. The collar on the mailbox bracket was secured to the support post using a  $\frac{5}{16}$ -inch diameter  $\times 3$ -inch long SAE Grade 5 bolt.

This same connection detail can be used with the galvanized thin-wall steel support post (DHT# 143426). Similar connections can be adapted to other acceptable support types provided they have equal or greater strength than the tested connection.

### 8.2 LOCKING ARCHITECTURAL MAILBOX ON MULTIPLE-MOUNT POST

The Oasis Jr. lockable mailbox was evaluated on two different multiple mailbox supports in combination with standard mailboxes. Both systems failed to satisfy *MASH* criteria due to windshield damage and penetration. Further research is required to develop a multiple mailbox support that can be used with the larger, heavier lockable mailboxes. Possible modifications may include increasing the strength of the support post to facilitate release of the support from the foundation and prevent localized collapse and/or rupture of the support.

### REFERENCES

- H. E. Ross, Jr., D. L. Sicking, R. A. Zimmer and J. D. Michie. *Recommended Procedures for the Safety Performance Evaluation of Highway Features*, National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 350, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 1993.
- 2. AASHTO, *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware*, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, D.C., 2009.

## APPENDIX A. CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-1

### A1. VEHICLE INFORMATION

		Table A1	. Vehic	ele Prop	erties for To	est No. 49	0023-9-1.		
Date:	2013-08-05	Tes	st No.:	490023	-9-1	VIN No.:	KNADE2	23996535907	
Year:	2009	Ma	ke:	Kia		Model:	Rio		
Tire Infl	ation Pressure	e: <u>32 psi</u>		Odome	ter: <u>96956</u>		Tire Size:	165/65R14	
Describ	e any damage	e to the veh	icle prio	r to test:					
• Deno	otes accelerom	eter locatio	on.					CCELEROMETERS	
NOTES	•.			1					
NUTES	). 			-					Ì
					CK				WHEEL N -
Engine	Туре: <u>4 с</u>	ylinder							
Engine	CID: <u>1.6</u>	liter		<u> </u>					
<u>X</u>	Auto or	Ma	anual						
<u>x</u> Optiona	FWD F FWD F	RWD	_ 4WD	_					
				. †	, <del></del>			•	
									 +- L Y
Dummy Type <sup>.</sup>	/ Data: 50 <sup>tt</sup>	<sup>1</sup> percentile	male			)	S		K
Mass:		porcontilo	maio		-	— W — <b>-</b>			
Seat F	Position: Driv	ver side		-	F - F	front	E	M <sub>rear</sub> D	
Geome	try: inches				-		— C		
Α	66.38	F <u>3</u>	3.00	<u> </u>	11.75	. Р	4.12	U	15.50
В	57.75	G		_ L _	25.25	Q	22.18	_ V	22.00
	165.75	H <u>3</u>	5.17 6 75	N	57.75	<u> </u>	15.38	_ W	39.50
D	34.00	I	0.75	N	31.1Z	. з_ т	8.00		108.00
└ Wheel (	 Center Ht Fror	11 J	1.00	Wheel (	Center Ht Rea	_ ' _ ar	11.00		
GVWF	R Ratings:	Ма	ass: Ib	<u>(</u>	<u>Curb</u>	Test	Inertial	<u>Gross S</u>	Static
Front	19 <sup>.</sup>	<u>18</u> N	M <sub>front</sub>		1603		1578		1668
Back	18	7 <u>4</u> N	M <sub>rear</sub>		856		873		960
Total	363	<u>38</u> N	M <sub>Total</sub>		2459		2451		2628
Mass D	)istribution:								
lb		LF:	793	RF:	785	LR:	454	RR: 419	)

Date:	2013-08-05	Test No.:	490023-9-1	VIN No.:	KNADE223996535907
Year:	2009	Make:	Kia	Model:	Rio

VEHICLE CRUSH MEASUREMENT SHEET <sup>1</sup>					
Complete Wh	en Applicable				
End Damage	Side Damage				
Undeformed end width	Bowing: B1 X1				
Corner shift: A1	B2 X2				
A2					
End shift at frame (CDC)	Bowing constant				
(check one)	X1+X2 _				
< 4 inches					
$\geq$ 4 inches					

## Table A2. Exterior Vehicle Crush Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-1.

#### Note: Measure $C_1$ to $C_6$ from Driver to Passenger Side in Front or Rear Impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

a : c		Direct Damage									
Impact Number	Plane* of C-Measurements	Width** (CDC)	Max*** Crush	Field L**	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	$C_4$	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub>	±D
	No measurable deformation noted										
	Measurements recorded										
	in inches										

<sup>1</sup>Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

\*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

\*\*Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

\*\*\*Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.



### Table A3. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-1.

TR No. 9-1002-12-9

### A2. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS



Figure A1. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 490023-9-1 (Perpendicular and Oblique Views).



Figure A1. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 490023-9-1 (Perpendicular and Oblique Views) (Continued).



Figure A2. Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 490023-9-1.





Α4.

VEHICLE ACCELERATION TRACES

Figure A3. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-1 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



Figure A4. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-1 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



Figure A5. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-1 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



### Figure A6. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-1 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).



Y Acceleration Rear of CG

Figure A7. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-1 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).



### Figure A8. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-1 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).

## APPENDIX B. CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-2

### **B1. VEHICLE INFORMATION**

Table	e B1. Vehio	cle Propertio	es for Test	No. 490	0023-9-2.	
Date: 2013-08-05	Test No.:	490023-9-2	V	'IN No.:	KNADE1	23786431909
Year: 2008	Make:	Kia	N	lodel:	Rio	
Tire Inflation Pressure: 32	2 psi	Odometer:	64862		Tire Size:	165/65R14
Describe any damage to the	e vehicle prio	r to test:				
Denotes accelerometer la	ocation					NCCELEROMETERS
NOTES:		-		$\ $		
		A WHEEL				LE WHEEL N
Engine Type: <u>4 cylinder</u>	r	_				
Engine CID: <u>1.6 liter</u>					TEST	
<u>x</u> Auto or	Manual	TIRE I WHEEL				
<u>x</u> FWD RWD Optional Equipment:	4WD					
		- P++				
		- 0		•		
Dummy Data:	ontilo				S S	K I
Mass: <u>180 lb</u>		-	-	- w		
Seat Position: Driver sid	le		- F		Е Х	M <sub>rear</sub> D
Geometry: inches					- C	<b>_</b> _
A <u>66.38</u> F	33.00	κ	11.75	Ρ	4.12	U 15.50
B <u>57.75</u> G		<u> </u>	25.25	Q _	22.18	V 22.00
С <u>165.75</u> Н	40.16	M	57.75	R _	15.38	W <u>40.00</u>
D <u>34.00</u> I	6.75	<u> </u>	<u>51.12</u>	S _	8.00	X <u>108.00</u>
E <u>98.75</u> J	22.00		<u>31.35</u>	<u>ا</u>	66.12	
	11.00	wheel Cent	er Ht Rear _		11.00	
GVWR Ratings:	Mass: Ib	<u>Curb</u>		Test	Inertial	Gross Static
Front 1918	M <sub>front</sub>	1	440		1446	1541
Back1874	M <sub>rear</sub>		861		991	1076
Total <u>3638</u>	M <sub>Total</sub>	2	301		2437	2617
Mass Distribution:						
lb LF:	732	RF:	714	LR:	493	RR: <u>498</u>

Date:	2013-08-05	Test No.:	490023-9-2	VIN No.:	KNADE123786431909
Year:	2008	Make:	Kia	Model:	Rio

VEHICLE CRUSH MEASUREMENT SHEET <sup>1</sup>								
Complete When Applicable								
End Damage	Side Damage							
Undeformed end width	Bowing: B1 X1							
Corner shift: A1	B2 X2							
A2								
End shift at frame (CDC)	Bowing constant							
(check one)	X1+X2 _							
< 4 inches	2							
$\geq$ 4 inches								

#### Note: Measure C<sub>1</sub> to C<sub>6</sub> from Driver to Passenger Side in Front or Rear Impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

G		Direct Damage									
Impact Number	Plane* of C-Measurements	Width** (CDC)	Max*** Crush	Field L**	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	$C_4$	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub>	±D
	No measurable deformation noted										
	Measurements recorded										
	in inches										

<sup>1</sup>Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

\*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

\*\*Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

\*\*\*Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.


#### Table B3. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-2.

## **B2. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS**



Figure B1. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 490023-9-2 (Perpendicular and Oblique Views).







Figure B2. Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 490023-9-2.



#### Figure B3. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-2 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).

TR No. 9-1002-12-9



Figure B4. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-2 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



#### Figure B5. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-2 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



# X Acceleration Rear of CG

Figure B6. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-2 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).



## Y Acceleration Rear of CG



#### Figure B7. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-2 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).



#### Figure B8. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-2 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).

## APPENDIX C. CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-3

### C1. VEHICLE INFORMATION

		Table	e C1. Vehi	cle Prope	rties for T	est No. 49	0023-9-3.	
Date:	2013-08	8-16	Test No.:	490023-9	9-3	VIN No.:	KNADE2	23996535907
Year:	2009		Make:	Kia		Model:	Rio	
Tire Inf	lation Pre	ssure: <u>3</u> 2	2 psi	Odomete	er: <u>96956</u>		Tire Size:	165/65R14
Describ	be any dai	mage to the	e vehicle pric	or to test:				
• Deno	otes accel	erometer lo	ocation.					ACCELEROMETERS note:
NOTES	S:			- A WHEEL				SLE WHEEL N
Engine Engine Transm	Type: CID: hission Ty	4 cylinder	r				TEST	
$\frac{x}{x}$ Optiona	Auto FWD al Equipm	or RWD ent:	_ Manual 4WD	- +	TIRE DIA Q-			
Dummy Type: Mass: Seat F	y Data: : Position:	50 <sup>th</sup> perce 177 lb Driver sid	entile male le	-		W W		
Geome	etry: ind	ches	33.00	K	11 75	D	4 12	
А В	57 75			_ <u>r</u> _	25.25		22.18	0 <u></u> 
с —	165 75	– <u>с</u> –	35 17	_ L M	57 75	_ <u>~</u> _ R	15.38	
о П	34 00	- '' -	6 75	_ <u></u>	57 12	- <u> </u>	8 00	XXXX
- <u> </u>	98.75	_ · _ J	22.00		31.25	- <u>с</u> - т	66.18	
Wheel	Center Ht	Front	11.00	Wheel Co	enter Ht Rea	ar	11.00	_
GVWI	R Ratings	6:	Mass: Ib	<u>Cı</u>	<u>urb</u>	Test	Inertial	Gross Static
Front		1918	M <sub>front</sub>		1603		1578	1668
Back		1874	M <sub>rear</sub>		856		873	960
Total		2638	M <sub>Total</sub>		2459		2451	2628
Mass D	Distributio	on:	700	<b>D</b> E	705		454	
ID		LF:	/93	KF:	/ 85	lk:	454	KK: <u>419</u>

Date:	2013-08-16	Test No.:	490023-9-3	VIN No.:	KNADE223996535907
Year:	2009	Make:	Kia	Model:	Rio

Table C2. Exterior Vehicle Crush Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-3.

VEHICLE CRUSH MEASUREMENT SHEET <sup>1</sup>								
Complete Whe	en Applicable							
End Damage	Side Damage							
Undeformed end width	Bowing: B1 X1							
Corner shift: A1	B2 X2							
A2								
End shift at frame (CDC)	Bowing constant							
(check one)	X1+X2 _							
< 4 inches	2							
$\geq$ 4 inches								

#### Make: Kia Model: <u>Rio</u>

#### Note: Measure $C_1$ to $C_6$ from Driver to Passenger Side in Front or Rear Impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

G		Direct Damage									
Impact Number	Plane* of C-Measurements	Width** (CDC)	Max*** Crush	Field L**	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	$C_4$	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub>	±D
	No measurable deformation noted										
	Measurements recorded										
	in inches										

<sup>1</sup>Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

\*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

\*\*Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

\*\*\*Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.



#### Table C3. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-2.

\*Lateral area across the cab from driver's side kick panel to passenger's side kick panel.

## C2. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS



Figure C1. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 490023-9-3 (Perpendicular and Oblique Views).



Figure C1. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 490023-9-3 (Perpendicular and Oblique Views) (Continued).



Figure C2. Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 490023-9-3.



**C**4.

VEHICLE ACCELERATION TRACES

#### Figure C3. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-3 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



Figure C4. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-3 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



Figure C5. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-3 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).

109

2013-10-22

# X Acceleration Rear of CG



Figure C6. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-3 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).



#### Figure C7. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-3 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).



Figure C8. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-3 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).

## APPENDIX D. CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-4

### **D1. VEHICLE INFORMATION**

Table D1.Vehicle Properties for Test No. 490023-9-4.										
Date: 2013-08-16	Test No.:	490023-9-3	۱	/IN No.:	KNADE2	23496567602				
Year: 2009	Make:	Kia	N	Nodel:	Rio					
Tire Inflation Pressure:	_ Odometer:	62695		Tire Size:	165/65R14					
Describe any damage to	the vehicle price	or to test:								
						ACCELEROMETERS				
<ul> <li>Denotes acceleromete</li> </ul>	er location.	C				<u> </u>				
NOTES:										
					E E	WHEEL				
					VEHIC	TRACK IN				
Engine Type: Inline	4 cylinder									
Engine CID: 1.6 lite	er									
I ransmission Type:	x Manual	TIRE			TEST	NERTIAL C.M.				
x FWD RW	D 4WD	WHEEL								
Optional Equipment:		P→ +								
		- 1		(						
		- ĢÌV								
Dummy Data:					S I	К				
Mass: 165 lb	ercentile male	-	-	– W						
Seat Position: Driver	side	-	— F — + M.	<u>     н                               </u>	— E	D				
		-		t	X					
Geometry: inches	22.00	L.	11 75	Р	4 4 0					
A <u>66.38</u> F	33.00	_ K	11.75	P _	4.12	U <u>15.38</u>				
D <u>37.75</u> C 165.75	 1 37.05	_ L M	<u>20.20</u> 57.75	ע _ פ	22.10 15.38	V <u></u>				
D 34.00	7 00	N	57 12	<u> </u>	8 00	Υ <u>+0.25</u> χ 100.00				
E <u>98</u> 75 J	21.00	0	31.25	т –	66 18					
Wheel Center Ht Front	11.00	Wheel Cent	er Ht Rear	• -	11.00					
GVWR Ratings:	Mass: Ib	Curb	<u>.</u>	<u>Test</u>	Inertial	Gross Static				
Front 1918	M <sub>front</sub>	1	523		1514	1604				
Back1874	M <sub>rear</sub>		895		909	984				
Total <u>3638</u>	M <sub>Total</sub>	2	418		2423	2588				
Mass Distribution										
lb L	.F:757	RF:	757	LR:	453	RR: 456				

Date:	2013-08-16	Test No.:	490023-9-3	VIN No.:	KNADE223496567602
Year:	2009	Make:	Kia	Model:	Rio

Table D2. Exterior Vehicle Crush Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-4.

VEHICLE CRUSH ME.	ASUREMENT SHEET <sup>1</sup>						
Complete When Applicable							
End Damage	Side Damage						
Undeformed end width	Bowing: B1 X1						
Corner shift: A1	B2 X2						
A2							
End shift at frame (CDC)	Bowing constant						

X1 + X2

Make: <u>Kia</u> Model: <u>Rio</u>

#### Note: Measure C<sub>1</sub> to C<sub>6</sub> from Driver to Passenger Side in Front or Rear Impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

а. : с		Direct Damage			_						
Impact Number	Plane* of C-Measurements	Width** (CDC)	Max*** Crush	Field L**	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	$C_4$	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub>	±D
	No measurable deformation noted										
	Measurements recorded										
	in inches										

<sup>1</sup>Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

(check one)

< 4 inches  $\_$  $\geq$  4 inches  $\_$ 

\*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

\*\*Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

\*\*\*Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.



#### Table D3. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-4.

## D2. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS



Figure D1. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 490023-9-4 (Perpendicular and Oblique Views).



Figure D1. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 490023-9-4 (Perpendicular and Oblique Views) (Continued).



Figure D2. Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 490023-9-4.



**D**4.

VEHICLE ACCELERATION TRACES

#### Figure D3. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-4 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



Figure D4. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-4 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



#### Figure D5. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-4 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).

2013-10-22

TR No. 9-1002-12-9



#### Figure D6. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-4 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).



Figure D7. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-4 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).



# Z Acceleration Rear of CG

Figure D8. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-4 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).

TR No. 9-1002-12-9

## APPENDIX E. CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-5

### E1. VEHICLE INFORMATION

Date:	2013-08-30	Test No.:	490023-9-5	5	VIN No.:	KNADE1	234863612	219
Year:	2008	Make:	Kia		Model:	Rio		
Tire Infl	ation Pressure:	32 psi	Odometer:	37198		Tire Size:	165/65R1	4
Describ	e any damage t	o the vehicle pric	or to test:					
• Deno	ites accelerome	ter location					ACCELEROMETERS	
NOTES							$\rightarrow$	
NOTES			_     _		17	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	R	
			$ \begin{bmatrix} A & WHEEL \\ M & TRACK \\ \end{bmatrix}$					WHEEL N TRACK
Engine	Type: <u>4 cyl</u>	inder tor	_   •					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Transm	ission Type:					TEST	NERTIAL C.M.	
<u> </u>	Auto or FWD RV	<u>x</u> Manual ND 4WD	WHEEL			hr	<u></u>	
Optiona	al Equipment:		P-+	-				
Dummv	/ Data:					G	Ţ Ţ	
Type:	<u>50<sup>th</sup> p</u>	percentile male	- + + + + +		W	- <del>ī</del> t		
Seat F	Position: Drive	er side		— F — +	H	— E	Mran D	
Geome	trv: inches		-	<u> </u>	Tone	X C		
A	<u>66.38</u>	F <u>33.00</u>	ĸ	12.00	P	4.12	U	15.50
В	57.75	G	_ L	24.25	Q	22.19	V	22.00
C	165.75	H <u>37.09</u>	M	57.75		15.38	_ W_	42.50
D	34.00	I <u>7.50</u>	_ N	57.12	<u> </u>	9.00	_ x_	108.00
C Wheel (	<u>90.75</u> Center Ht Front	J <u>22.00</u>	 Wheel Cen	<u>31.50</u> ter Ht Rea	 pr	11.00		
WIICCI					u	11.00		
GVWF	R Ratings:	Mass: Ib	Curt	<u>0</u>	Test	Inertial	Gro	<u>ss Static</u>
Front	1918	B M <sub>front</sub>		1473		1517		1589
Back	1874	M <sub>rear</sub>		871		909		996
Total	3638	BM <sub>Total</sub>	2	2344		2720		2585
Mass D	istribution:							
lh		LE <sup>.</sup> 751	RE.	760	I D·	182	DD.	107

Date:	2013-08-30	Test No.:	490023-9-5	VIN No.:	KNADE123486361219
Year:	2008	Make:	Kia	Model:	Rio

VEHICLE CRUSH MEA	ASUREMENT SHEET <sup>1</sup>
Complete Whe	en Applicable
End Damage	Side Damage
Undeformed end width	Bowing: B1 X1
Corner shift: A1	B2 X2
A2	
End shift at frame (CDC)	Bowing constant
(check one)	X1+X2 _
< 4 inches	2
$\geq$ 4 inches	

#### Table E2. Exterior Vehicle Crush Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-5.

#### Note: Measure $C_1$ to $C_6$ from Driver to Passenger side in Front or Rear Impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

G		Direct Damage									
Impact Number	Plane* of C-Measurements	Width** (CDC)	Max*** Crush	Field L**	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	$C_4$	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub>	±D
	No measurable deformation noted										
	Measurements recorded										
	in inches										

<sup>1</sup>Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

\*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

\*\*Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

\*\*\*Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.


#### Table E3. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-5.

\*Lateral area across the cab from driver's side kick panel to passenger's side kick panel.

## E2. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS



Figure E1. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 490023-9-5 (Perpendicular and Oblique Views).





0.260 s



Out of view

Out of view

0.390 s

0.325 s



Figure E1. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 490023-9-5 (Perpendicular and Oblique Views) (Continued).

Out of view

TR No. 9-1002-12-9

1 VII



Figure E2. Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 490023-9-5.

TR No. 9-1002-12-9



### Figure E3. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-5 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



Figure E4. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-5 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



#### Figure E5. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-5 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



## X Acceleration Rear of CG



Figure E6. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-5 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).



Figure E7. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-5 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).





#### Figure E8. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-5 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).

## APPENDIX F. CRASH TEST NO. 490023-9-6

## F1. VEHICLE INFORMATION

Date:	ate: 2013-08-30 Test No		Test No.:	490023-9-6		VIN No.:	KNADE123686431979				
Year:	2008		Make:	Kia		Model:	Rio				
Tire Inflation Pressure: <u>32 psi</u>			Odomete	er: <u>103678</u>	}	Tire Size:	185/65	iR14			
Descrit	be any da	mage to the	e vehicle pric	or to test:							
• Deno	otes acce	lerometer lo	ocation.					ACCELEROMETERS	5		
NOTES	S:			_							
				–     A   WHEEI –   M <sup>TRACK</sup>					WHEEL N		
Engine	Type:	4 cylinder	r	_							
Engine	CID: hission Tv	<u>1.6 liter</u> /pe:		_ I		l	TEST	NERTIAL C.M.	-Q		
	Auto	or <u>x</u>	Manual	w	TIRE DIA		-				
$\frac{X}{Ontion}$	FWD	RWD	4WD					$\sum /$	2/		
Option		ient.		P-		TI /		•			
				-  +			•	T			
Dumm	y Data:					))	G S	₩((			
Type:		50 <sup>th</sup> perce	entile male	_ +_+		W <b>-</b>	+ + +				
Seat I	Position:	Driver Sid	de	-	F	— Н —	└┥ - E		— D—+		
				-		M <sub>front</sub>	X	M <sub>rear</sub>			
Geome	etry: in	ches			H	_					
A	66.38	_ F_	33.00	_ K_	11.205	- P_	4.12	_ U	15.50		
в	58.00	G	25.06	_ L _	24.75	Q	22.18	_ V	22.00		
с <u> </u>	34.00		7 50	_ <sup>IVI</sup>	57.10	_ <u> </u>	8.00	_ ^\ 	10 800		
Б <u>—</u> Е	98 75	_ ' _ .!	21 75		31.50	_ <u>з</u> _ т	66 12	_ ^	10.000		
L Wheel	Center H	t Front	11.00	Wheel C	enter Ht Rea	_ ' ar	11.00				
GVW	R Rating	s:	Mass: Ib	<u>C</u>	<u>urb</u>	Test	Inertial	<u>G</u>	Gross Static		
Front		1918	M <sub>front</sub>		1555		1542		1630		
Back		1874	M <sub>rear</sub>		882		883		960		
Total		3638	M <sub>Total</sub>		2437		2425		2590		
Mass [	Distributi	on:									
11-		1 5.	770	DE	700	L D.	407	<b>DD</b> .	450		

Date:	2013-08-30	Test No.:	490023-9-6	VIN No.:	KNADE123686431979
Year:	2008	Make:	Kia	Model:	Rio

#### Table F2. Exterior Vehicle Crush Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-6.

VEHICLE CRUSH MEASUREMENT SHEET <sup>1</sup>								
Complete When Applicable								
End Damage	Side Damage							
Undeformed end width	Bowing: B1 X1							
Corner shift: A1	B2 X2							
A2								
End shift at frame (CDC)	Bowing constant							
(check one)	X1+X2 _							
< 4 inches	2							
$\geq$ 4 inches								

#### Note: Measure $C_1$ to $C_6$ from Driver to Passenger side in Front or Rear Impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

G		Direct Damage									
Impact Number	Plane* of C-Measurements	Width** (CDC)	Max*** Crush	Field L**	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub>	±D
	No measurable deformation noted										
	Measurements recorded										
	in inches										

<sup>1</sup>Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

\*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

\*\*Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

\*\*\*Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.



#### Table F3. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test No. 490023-9-6.

\*Lateral area across the cab from driver's side kick panel to passenger's side kick panel.

## F2. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS



Figure F1. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 490023-9-6 (Perpendicular and Oblique Views).



Figure F1. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 490023-9-6 (Perpendicular and Oblique Views) (Continued).



Figure F2. Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 490023-9-6.



F4.

VEHICLE ACCELERATION TRACES

### Figure F3. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-6 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



Figure F4. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-6 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).

TR No. 9-1002-12-9



Figure F5. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-6 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



# X Acceleration Rear of CG

Figure F6. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-6 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).



#### Figure F7. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-6 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).



#### Z Acceleration Rear of CG 10 5 Vertical Acceleration (G) Test Number: 490023-9-6 -5 Test Standatd Test No.: MASH Test 3-61 Test Article: Multiple Mailboxes Test Vehicle: 2008 Kia Rio Inertial Mass: 2425 lbm -10 Gross Mass: 2590 lbm Impact Speed: 62.4 mph Impact Angle: 0 degrees -15<del>|</del>0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 Time (s) SAE Class 60 Filter 50-msec average

Figure F8. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 490023-9-6 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).