



Evaluating the Need to Seal Thermal Cracks in Alaska's Asphalt Concrete Pavements



Authors Robert McHattie, Anthony Mullin, and Juanyu Liu

Date 04/30/2013

Prepared By:

Alaska University Transportation Center Duckering Building Room 245 P.O. Box 755900 Fairbanks, AK 99775-5900 Alaska Department of Transportation Research, Development, and Technology Transfer 2301 Peger Road Fairbanks, AK 99709-5399

INE/AUTC 12.27

FHWA-AK-RD-12-20

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			orm approved OMB No.
Public reporting for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestion for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-1833), Washington, DC 20503			
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (LEAVE BLANK)		3. REPORT TYPE AND DAT	ES COVERED
FHWA-AK-RD-12-20	March 2013	Final Report(8/11/2011-8/31/2013)	
Evaluating the Need to Seal Thermal Cracks in Alaska's Asphalt Concrete Pavements 6. AUTHOR(S) DTF AUTHOR(S)			FUNDING NUMBERS TRT06-G-0011 UTC Project No. 510005 2-11-06
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PER Alaska University Transportation Center NUME P.O. Box 755900 1000000000000000000000000000000000000			PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT UMBER NE/AUTC 12.27
Alaska Department of Transportation & Public FacilitiesREPORT NResearch, Development, and Technology TransferREPORT N). SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY EPORT NUMBER HWA-AK-RD-12-20
11. SUPPLENMENTARY NOTES			
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTR			2b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
No restrictions			
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The AKDOT&PF has promoted routine sealing of all cracks in asphalt concrete (AC) pavements for many years. In doing so, AKDOT&PF follows the generally accepted "best practice" of sealing pavement cracks to the extent that time and money allows. This study of 91 sites on 20+ year old AC pavements in AKDOT&PF's Central and Interior Regions identified two distinct types of thermal cracks. Both types are known to be ubiquitous on AC pavements throughout all but the most southern parts of the State. Based on the field observations during 2012, researchers conclude that significant maintenance funds can be saved or redirected by not sealing or reduced sealing of thermal cracks in AC pavements. Furthermore, the authors suggest that thermal crack maintenance be significantly reduced without negatively influencing general long-term pavement performance. The report addresses, separately, each of the two recognized forms of thermal cracking. It recommends that "lessor thermal cracking" receive little or no maintenance. The report recommends that maintenance treatment of even the relatively large "major transverse thermal cracks" can be greatly reduced based on inexpensive, long-term assessments following new pavement construction.			
14- KEYWORDS:			15. NUMBER OF PAGES
Cracking (Smfd), Pavement cracking (Smfdp), Pavement maintenance (Fmuhp), Cracking of asphalt concrete pavements (Smfdpb)			16. PRICE CODE
			N/A
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFIC OF ABSTRACT	
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	N/A
NSN 7540-01-280-5500			STANDARD FORM 298 (Rev. 2-98)

Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18 298-1

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

The Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities (ADOT&PF) has promoted routine sealing (or in severe cases, patching) of all cracks in asphalt concrete (AC) pavements for many years. Crack sealing is a common maintenance practice for all pavement types in most areas of the United States. In fact, a diligent effort at crack sealing has long been considered one of the chief hallmarks of good pavement maintenance throughout the world. The ADOT&PF has followed the generally accepted "best practice" of sealing pavement cracks to the extent that time and money have allowed, hoping that new technology might someday eliminate pavement cracking, or at least eliminate or minimize certain types of cracking. To date, no paving material or construction innovations used in Alaska have been *confirmed* as improving the long-term outlook for eliminating cracking. Therefore, it is assumed that considerable funds will continue to go toward crack sealing in Alaska.

Accepted "best practice" may not necessarily be *the* best practice after all. This study, which documents careful examination of a selected sampling of Alaska's AC pavements, concentrated on the colder, dryer interior area of Alaska's contiguous highway system, where a very high incidence of thermal cracking occurs. A conclusion drawn from this study is that significant maintenance funds can be saved or redirected by *not sealing certain types of cracks*. The process used for selecting study sections is fully explained in the main body of this report.

Based on m any field observations made by ADOT&PF research engineers over the preceding 30 years, a conjecture had developed that certain crack types may sometimes be ignored, that is, left completely unsealed for the life of the pavement with no negative effects. The research reported herein represents the first attempt in Alaska to verify or reject this conjecture through a systematic field study of a significant portion of Alaska's paved highway system.

Only certain crack types are the subject of speculation regarding required sealing. These include the two most common types of thermal cracks found on nearly every paved road in colder parts of the state nominally bound by T ok, Fairbanks, Anchorage, Homer, and Valdez. The shaded area on the following map indicates the general area of Alaska included in the study.