1. Report No.	2. G	overnment Accession	No. 3. N	IDOT Project Manag	ger	
RC-1571	N/A	l l	Eric	Burns		
4. Title and Subtitle			5. R	5. Report Date		
Identification of Causes and Solution Strategies for			July	July 30, 2012		
Deck Cracking in Jointless Bridges			6. Performing Organization Code			
			N/A	L		
7. Author(s)			8. P	8. Performing Org. Report No.		
David J. Stringer and Rigoberto Burgueño			CEE-RR – 2012/04			
9. Performing Organization Name and Address			10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)			
Michigan State University		N/A	l l			
3546 Engineering Building		11.	11. Contract No.			
East Lansing, MI 48824-1226			2009-0746			
			11(a). Authorization No.			
			Z1			
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			13. Type of Report & Period			
Michigan Department of Transportation			Cov	Covered		
Research Administration			Fina			
8885 Ricks Rd.			10/2	10/20/2009 – 5/31/2012		
P.O. Box 30049			14.	14. Sponsoring Agency Code		
Lansing MI 48909			N/A			
15. Supplementary Notes						
16. Abstract						
Bridges have traditionally relied on a system of expansion joints and flexible bearings to						
accommodate movements due to temperature, creep, and shrinkage loading. Joints and						
elements in their vicinity experience a high amount of degradation; thus modern design						
approaches are advocating their removal, with movement accommodated through flexible piles						
and abutment walls. While jointless bridges have been performing well, many of them suffer						
from widespread early-age transverse deck cracking. Restrained concrete shrinkage was						
identified as the most dominant source for the noted damage based on a literature review and a						
field investigation. Deck cracking is caused by the build-up of tensile forces resulting from the						
increased rigidity in jointless bridges. Experimentally calibrated finite-element models were used						
to predict deck cracking in two bridge systems under shrinkage-induced loading and a						
parametric study was conducted to investigate the influence of design parameters on restrained						
shrinkage cracking. Simulation results confirmed that the increase of system restraint increases						
the tendency for cracking. Models for steel and concrete beam bridges showed that both						
systems were equally susceptible to deck cracking due to restrained concrete shrinkage. The						
lowest amount of cracking was predicted for bridges with non-integral abutments, higher shear						
connector spacing, and a low-shrinkage concrete mix. Changing the deck reinforcement						
configuration had little effect on the predicted damage patterns. Use of a low-shrinkage concrete						
mix had the greatest impact on minimizing deck cracking. Overall, the computational simulations						
indicated that restrained shrinkage cracking in the decks of jointless bridges is unavoidable, but						
that modifying design details and improving concrete mixture designs can help reduce its extent.						
17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement						
bridge; jointless; deck; cracking; shrinkage;			No restrictions. This document is			
experiment; finite element.			available to the public through the			
			Michigan D	epartment of Trans	sportation.	
19. Security Classification -		20. Security Classifica	tion - page	21. No. of Pages	22. Price	
report						
Unclassified		Unclassified		216	N/A	