Tracking the Deployment of the Integrated Metropolitan ITS Infrastructure in Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River

FY99 Results

For additional information, please contact:

Joseph I. Peters, Ph.D. ITS Program Assessment Coordinator ITS Joint Program Office, Room 3416 400 Seventh St., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20590 (202) 366-2202 FAX: (202) 493-2027 E-mail: joe.peters@fhwa.dot.gov

Table of Contents	
Part 1 - Background and Purpose	1
Part 2 - Summary 1999 Survey Results	3
Part 3 - Detailed 1999 Survey Results	7
Freeway Management Component Indicators	9
Freeway Management Integration Indicators	11
Incident Management Component Indicators	
Incident Management Integration Indicators	15
Arterial Management Component Indicators	17
Arterial Management Integration Indicators	
Electronic Toll Collection Component Indicators	
Electronic Toll Collection Integration Indicators	
Transit Management Component Indicators	
Transit Management Integration Indicators	
Electronic Fare Payment Component Indicators	
Electronic Fare Payment Integration Indicators	
Highway-Rail Intersection Component Indicators	
Highway-Rail Intersection Integration Indicators	
Emergency Management Component Indicators	
Emergency Management Integration Indicators	
Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Component Indicators	
Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Integration Indicators	
Appendix A. Survey Coverage Area	A.1
Appendix B. Surveyed Agencies	B.1
Appendix C. Freeway Management Components	C.1
Appendix D. Freeway Management Integration	D.1
Appendix E. Freeway Management Information Collection and Dissemination	E.1
Appendix F. Arterial Management Components	F.1
Appendix G. Arterial Management Integration	G.1
Appendix H. Arterial Management Information Collection and Dissemination	H.1
Appendix I. Transit Management Components	I.1
Appendix J. Transit Management Integration	J.1
Appendix K. Transit Management Information Collection and Dissemination	K.1
Appendix L. Emergency Management	L.1

Part 1 - Background and Purpose

In January 1996, Secretary Peña set a goal of deploying the integrated metropolitan Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) infrastructure in 75¹ of the nation's largest metropolitan areas by 2006:

"I'm setting a national goal: to build an intelligent transportation infrastructure across the United States to save time and lives, and improve the quality of life for Americans. I believe that what we do, we must measure . . . Let us set a very tangible target that will focus our attention . . . I want 75 of our largest metropolitan areas outfitted with a complete intelligent transportation infrastructure in 10 years."²

-- Secretary Peña, 1996

In 1997, the U.S. Department of Transportation initiated an effort to track progress toward fulfillment of this goal by conducting a survey of deployment in the nation's largest metropolitan areas. Traditionally, the product of a transportation infrastructure investment consists of a fixed asset such as a highway, bridge, or public transportation vehicle developed, constructed, or purchased by a single agency. Tracking the level of deployment for such traditional fixed assets can be accomplished by simply counting the number of such assets deployed. Measuring the deployment of the metropolitan ITS infrastructure is more complex because it consists of a set of systems, often deployed by multiple agencies, and integrated through a combination of complex institutional and technical arrangements. In brief, it is often difficult to simply count the number of systems deployed without first devising a measurement approach that captures the essential features of such systems in a consistent fashion across many deployment environments.

In order to track progress toward fulfillment of the Secretary's goal for deployment, the U.S. Department of Transportation ITS Joint Program Office developed the metropolitan ITS deployment tracking methodology. This methodology tracks deployment of the nine components that make up the Metropolitan ITS infrastructure: Freeway Management; Incident Management; Arterial Management; Emergency Management; Transit Management; Electronic Toll Collection; Electronic Fare Payment; Highway-Rail Intersections; and Regional Multimodal Traveler Information. Through a set of indicators tied to the major functions of each component, the level of deployment is tracked for the nation's largest metropolitan areas. In addition, the integration links between agencies operating the infrastructure are also tracked. The details of

¹ Since Secretary Peña's speech, the number of metropolitan areas that DOT will measure has been increased from 75 to 78. However, to maintain reporting consistency across the 10-year goal period, this report considers only the original 75 metropolitan areas.

² Excerpt of a speech delivered by Secretary of Transportation Peña at the Transportation Research Board in Washington, DC on January 10, 1996.

the methodology are explained elsewhere.³

During the summer and fall of 1999, the U.S. DOT undertook a new data collection effort for the purpose of examining ITS deployment progress in the nation's largest metropolitan areas. The Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River metropolitan area was among the areas surveyed in 1997 and again in 1999. This report presents the results of the 1999 survey efforts and compares the results of the 1997 survey against those observed in 1999. The overall response rate for the surveys administered in the Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River region was 77% in 1997 and 63% in 1999.

Part 2 contains a summary of the 1999 survey results, and Part 3 provides a comparison of 1999 survey results and the 1997 survey results.

The report also contains a set of appendices containing a map of the survey area, the list of local contacts surveyed along with a status of their response to the survey and a summary of the data collected from the surveys.

Agencies are encouraged to review the data presented in this report for completeness and accuracy and to direct any comments or corrections to the data provided to the contacts listed below:

Steve Gordon Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box 2008, 4500N, MS-6207 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6207 (865) 576-8416 (voice) (865) 574-3895 (fax) gordonsr@ornl.gov

Jeff Trombly Science Applications International Corporation 301 Laboratory Road Oak Ridge, TN 37831-2501 (865) 481-8563 (voice) (865) 481-2941 (fax) jeffrey.w.trombly@saic.com

³ Additional Resources: "Measuring ITS Deployment and Integration" (Electronic Document Number: 4372). U.S. Department of Transportation, Joint Program Office for Intelligent Transportation Systems, 400 Seventh St., SW (HVH-1), Washington, DC 20590, Phone: 202-366-9536, Fax: 202-366-3302, Web: http://www.its.dot.gov.

Part 2 - Summary 1999 Survey Results

Deployment indicators have been developed for two broad areas of interest: (1) the individual components, including their basic functions and characteristics and (2) integration of components, including how these components work together to provide coordinated regional service. As mentioned earlier, these indicators are expressed as percentages of the possible deployment opportunity and not necessarily what should be deployed based on local needs. Requirements for deployment and integration between each component will vary based on local conditions and cannot be assigned without extensive coordination with individual metropolitan areas.

The following two figures portray the surrogate indicators for each of the nine components in Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River and the same indicators at the national level. These are judged to be the single best representative of a component and are being used as summary indicator for component. The summary indicators are expressed as a percentage; however, because deployment goals have yet to be established, these indicators should not be read as a comparison of what is deployed versus eventual deployment goals. Instead, they only reflect what is deployed compared to full market saturation (i.e., opportunity for deployment).

Each component indicator was selected to reflect a critical function of the individual components. For example, in the case of Freeway Management, three basic functions were defined: surveillance, traffic control, and information display. The three indicators developed to reflect these functions are: percentage of freeway centerline miles under electronic surveillance (surveillance function), percentage of freeway entrance ramps managed by ramp meters (traffic control function), and percentage of freeway centerline miles covered by permanent VMS, HAR, or in-vehicle signing (information display function). The indicators are surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of metropolitan ITS deployment activity.

A critical aspect of ITS that provides much of its capability is the integration of individual components to form a unified regional traffic control system. Individual ITS components routinely collect information that is used for purposes internal to that component. For example, the Arterial Management component monitors arterial conditions to revise signal timing and to convey these conditions to travelers through such technologies as variable message signs and highway advisory radio. Other ITS components can make use of this information in formulating their control strategies. For example, Transit Management may alter routes and schedules based on real-time information on arterial traffic conditions, and Freeway Management may alter ramp metering or diversion recommendations based on the same information.

As with the component indicators, definitions for inter- and intra-component integration were developed for each component, and indicators, derived from these definitions, were produced for each component. A total of 34 individual integration indicators was specified and is portrayed in the third figure which follows. Each integration indicator has been assigned a number and an origin/destination path from one ITS infrastructure component to another. For example, the

integration of information from the Freeway Management component to the Regional Multimodal Traveler Information component is identified by the number "10."

Data as of 5/1/00

Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River Summary Indicators*

Freeway miles with real-time data collection technolog	traffic ies)%	15%		4	4%					
Freeway miles covered by c service patrols	on-call	No Response	15%								
Arterial miles covered by or service patrols	n-call	0% No Response No Response									
Signalized intersections ur centralized or closed loop c	nder ontrol	12%	15% %								
Toll collection lanes with E capability	TC	No Response No Response No Response									
Fixed-route transit vehicl equipped with AVL	es a	0% 0%									100%
Fixed-route buses accept electronic fare paymen	t ing	0% No Response									100%
Highway-rail intersections u electronic surveillance	under	No Response D%	23%								
Emergency management ve under CAD	hicles			32%				68% 71%			
Freeway conditions dissemi to the public	nated)%	15%		4	4%					
		(100(0001	200/	100(E 00/	609/	700/	200/		
☐ 1997	0%	o IU%	20%	30%	40%	30%	00%	70%	00%	90%	100%
2005			Perce	ent D	eploy	ment	Оррс	ortuni	t y **		

* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity. ** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need



Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River Integration Links

Note: Shading indicates the value of the link. For example a circle half shaded equals 50%

Link	Description	Link	Description
1	Arterial Management to Regional	2	Arterial Management to Freeway
	Multimodal Traveler Information		Management
3	Arterial Management to Transit	4	Arterial Management to Incident
	Management		Management
5	Incident Management to Arterial	6	Incident Management to Regional
	Management		Multimodal Traveler Information
7	Incident Management to Emergency	8	Incident Management to Freeway
	Management.		Management
9	Incident Management to Transit	10	Freeway Management to Regional
	Management		Multimodal Traveler Information
11	Freeway Management to Arterial	12	Freeway Management to Transit
	Management		Management

Link	Description	Link	Description
13	Freeway Management to Incident	14a	Transit Management to Regional
	Management		Multimodal Traveler Information
			(static route information)
		14b	Transit Management to Regional
			Multimodal Traveler Information
			(schedule adherence information)
15a	Transit Management to Freeway	16a	Transit Management to Arterial
	Management		Management
15b	Transit Management to Freeway	16b	Transit Management to Arterial
	Management (transit vehicle probes)		Management (transit vehicle probes)
17	Electronic Toll Collection to	18	Electronic Toll Collection to Arterial
	Freeway Management (ETC		Management (ETC equipped probes)
	equipped probes)		
19	Electronic Fare Payment and	20	Electronic Fare Payment to Transit
	Electronic Toll Collection		Management
21a	Emergency Management to Incident	22	Emergency Management to Arterial
	Management (incident notification)		Management
21b	Emergency Management to Incident		
	Management (incident clearance)		
23	Highway-rail intersections to	24	Highway-rail intersections to Arterial
	Incident Management (crossing		Management (crossing status)
	status)		
25	Incident Management intra	26	Arterial Management intra component
	component		
27	Electronic Fare Payment intra	28	Electronic Toll Collection intra
	component.		component
29	Transit Management to Incident	30	Freeway Management intra
	Management (incident reporting)		component

Part 3 - Detailed 1999 Survey Results

The following figures and tables summarize the complete set of component and integration indicators developed for the Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River metropolitan area. The figures summarizing the component indicators consist of a bar chart portraying the deployment levels for 1997, 1999, and 2005 accompanied by detailed tables of the data used to calculate each component indicator value (*Num* stands for numerator and *Den* stands for denominator; blank space indicates that no response was received.)

Example: Calculating Component Indicators for Freeway Management

Consider a metropolitan area with 100 miles of freeway and 25 freeway entrance ramps. The area has no ramp meters, 10 freeway miles for which traffic data are collected electronically, and 5 freeway miles, which are covered by highway advisory radio.

The component indicator for electronic surveillance is calculated as (10/100) or 10%.

The component indicator for ramp meter control is calculated as (0/25) or 0%.

The component indicator for HAR coverage is calculated as (5/100) or 5%.

The summary indicator for the metropolitan area is calculated as (10%+0%+5%)/3 = 5%.

The figures summarizing the integration indicators consist of a diagram for each of the nine metropolitan ITS components portraying the integration level for 1999 (*italic*) and 2005 (**bold**), accompanied by tables providing an explanation of the data and calculations performed to develop each integration indicator value for 1999 and 2005. Each diagram portrays the proportion of agencies providing information to a component (e.g., the flow of incident information from Incident Management to Freeway Management) and the proportion of agencies providing information to other components (e.g., the flow of freeway travel condition information from Freeway Management to Arterial Management).

Example: Calculating Integration between Arterial Management and Regional Multimodal Traveler Information

Consider a metropolitan area with three arterial management agencies. One out of three provides information to the public using a Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Media (e.g., internet, kiosk, pager, etc...). The integration indicator is 1/3 or 33%.

Freeway Management Component Indicators

Data as of 5/1/00



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.

** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Freeway centerline miles	0	137	0%	20	137	15%	60	137	44%
are under electronic									
surveillance for									
monitoring traffic flow									
Freeway entrance ramps	0	137	0%						
are controlled by ramp									
meters or miles under lane									
control									

		1997		1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Freeway entrance ramps					248			248	
meters									
Freeway centerline miles					137			137	
will be controlled by lane control									
Freeway miles are	6	137	4%						
covered by VMS, HAR,									
or IVS									
Freeway miles are				48	137	35%		137	
covered by VMS									
Freeway miles are				40	137	29%	60	137	44%
covered by HAR									
Freeway miles are					137			137	
covered by IVS									

Freeway Management Integration Indicators

Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River Freeway Management Integration*



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
2. Arterial Management agencies sending information to Freeway	(0/3)	(0/3)
Management	0%	0%
8. Incident Management agencies sending information to Freeway	(0/1)	(0/1)
Management	0%	0%
15a. Transit management agencies with vehicles equipped with	(0/2)	(0/2)
ramp meter priority	0%	0%
15b. Transit Management agencies with vehicles equipped as	(0/2)	(0/2)
probes	0%	0%
17. Freeway Management agencies receiving freeway conditions	(0/1)	(0/1)
from vehicle probes	0%	0%
30. Freeway Management agencies sending information to another	(0/1)	(0/1)
Freeway Management agency	0%	0%
11. Freeway Management agencies sending information to Arterial	(0/1)	(0/1)
Management	0%	0%

Link Description	1999	2005
10. Freeway Management agencies disseminating freeway	(0/1)	(1/1)
conditions to the public	0%	100%
12. Freeway Management agencies sending freeway conditions to	(0/1)	(0/1)
Transit Management	0%	0%
13. Freeway Management agencies sending freeway conditions to	(0/1)	(0/1)
Incident Management	0%	0%

Incident Management Component Indicators

Data as of 5/1/00

Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River Freeway and Arterial Incident Management*



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.

** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Freeway miles are	0	137	0%		137			137	
covered by incident									
detection algorithms									
Freeway miles are	0	137	0%		137			137	
covered by free cellular									
phone calls to a									
dedicated number									
Freeway miles are	0	137	0%		137		27	137	20%
covered by surveillance									
cameras.									

		1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	
Freeway miles are	20	137	15%		137		6	137	4%	
covered by on-call										
publicly-sponsored										
service patrol or towing										
services.										
Arterial miles are	0	751	0%		751			751		
covered by incident										
detection algorithms										
Arterial miles are	0	751	0%		751			751		
covered by free cellular										
phone calls to a										
dedicated number										
Arterial miles are	0	751	0%		751			751		
covered by surveillance										
cameras										
Arterial miles are	0	751	0%		751			751		
covered by on-call										
publicly-sponsored										
service patrol or towing										
services										

Incident Management Integration Indicators

Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River Incident Management Integration*



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
21a. Incident management agencies receiving incident severity from	(1/1)	(1/1)
Emergency Management	100%	100%
21b. Incident management agencies receiving incident clearance	(0/1)	(0/1)
activities from Emergency Management	0%	0%
13. Freeway Management agencies sending freeway conditions to	(0/1)	(0/1)
Incident Management	0%	0%
4. Arterial Management agencies sending arterial conditions to Incident	(0/3)	(0/3)
Management	0%	0%
23. Arterial Management agencies receive information on highway-rail	(0/3)	(0/3)
intersection crossing blockages for the purpose of managing incident	0%	0%
response		
29. Transit Management agencies report traffic incidents as part of an	(0/ 2)	(0/2)
organized regional incident management program	0%	0%

Link Description	1999	2005
7. Incident management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(0/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Emergency Management agencies	0%	0%
9. Incident Management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(0/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Transit Management agencies	0%	0%
6. Incident Management agencies disseminate information describing	(1/1)	(1/1)
incident severity, location, and type to the public	100%	100%
5. Incident Management agencies transfer information describing	(1/1)	(1/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Arterial Management agencies	100%	100%
8. Incident Management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(0/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Freeway Management agencies	0%	0%
25. Police, fire, and EMS agencies participating in a formal incident	(13/	(13/
management plan/team	18)	18)
	72%	72%

Data as of 5/1/00





* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity. ** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997				1999		2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Arterial miles covered	0	751	0%						
by electronic									
surveillance									
Signalized intersections					189			188	
are covered by									
electronic surveillance									
for monitoring traffic									
flow									
Signalized intersections	10	66	15%	22	189	12%	25	188	13%
are under centralized or									
closed loop control									

	1997				1999		2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Arterial miles are	0	751	0%						
covered by VMS, HAR,									
or IVS									
Arterial miles are				18	751	2%	0	751	0%
covered by VMS									
Arterial miles are					751			751	
covered by HAR									
Arterial miles are					751			751	
covered by IVS									

Arterial Management Integration Indicators

Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River Arterial Management Integration*



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
16a. Transit management agencies with vehicles equipped with traffic	(0/2)	(1/2)
signal priority	0%	50%
16b. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped as probes on	(0/2)	(0/2)
arterials	0%	0%
22. Emergency Management agencies have vehicles equipped with	(2/18)	(3/18)
traffic signal preemption capability	11%	17%
24. Arterial Management agencies have traffic signals within 200 feet of	(1/3)	(1/3)
a highway rail intersection with the capability of having their signal	33%	33%
timing adjusted in response to a train crossing		
18. Number of Arterial Management agencies receiving information	(0/3)	(0/3)
from vehicle probes	0%	0%
5. Incident Management agencies transfer information describing	(1/1)	(1/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Arterial Management	100%	100%

Link Description	1999	2005
11. Freeway Management agencies transfer freeway travel times,	(0/1)	(0/1)
speeds, and conditions to Arterial Management agencies	0%	0%
3. Arterial Management agencies transfer arterial travel times, speeds,	(1/3)	(1/3)
and conditions to Transit Management	33%	33%
1. Arterial Management agencies disseminate arterial travel times,	(0/3)	(0/3)
speeds, and conditions to the public	0%	0%
2. Arterial Management agencies send traffic condition information to	(0/3)	(0/3)
Freeway Management	0%	0%
4. Arterial Management agencies transfer arterial travel times, speeds,	(0/3)	(0/3)
and conditions to Incident Management	0%	0%
26. Arterial Management agencies under cooperative agreement to share	(0/3)	(0/3)
traffic signal timing for coordinated response	0%	0%

Electronic Toll Collection Component Indicators

Data as of 5/1/00

Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River

Electronic Toll Collection*



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity. ** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Toll collection plazas									
with ETC capability									
Toll collection lanes									
with ETC capability									



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
18. Number of Arterial Management agencies receiving information	(0/3)	(0/3)
from vehicle probes	0%	0%
19. Transit agencies that accept electronic payment through the use of	(0/2)	(0/2)
electronic toll collection media	0%	0%
17. Freeway Management agencies receiving information from vehicle	(0/1)	(0/1)
probes	0%	0%
28. Toll operators using common toll tag technology	(0/)	(0/)

Transit Management Component Indicators



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.

** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

		1997		1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Fixed-route transit	0	264	0%	0	256	0%	256	256	100%
vehicles are equipped with AVL									
Fixed-route transit	225	264	85%		256		236	256	92%
vehicles are equipped									
with electronic									
monitoring of vehicle									
Component Demotre mail and interest	0	170	00/	14	150	00/	150	150	1000/
Paratransit venicies	0	172	0%	14	156	9%	156	156	100%
computer-aided									
dispatch									
Percent fixed-route	1	3	33%						
transfer locations with									
electronic display of									
information									
Bus stops display								50	
information to the									
public									

Transit Management Integration Indicators

Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River Transit Management Integration*



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
3. Arterial Management agencies transfer arterial travel times, speeds,	(1/3)	(1/3)
and conditions to Transit Management	33%	33%
9. Incident management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(0/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Transit Management	0%	0%
12. Freeway Management agencies transfer freeway travel times,	(0/1)	(0/1)
speeds, and conditions to Transit Management	0%	0%
20. Transit Management agencies using Electronic Fare Payment data in	(0/2)	(0/2)
transit service planning	0%	0%
16a. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped with traffic	(0/2)	(1/2)
signal priority capability	0%	50%
16b. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped as probes on	(0/2)	(0/2)
arterials	0%	0%
14a. Transit Management agencies disseminate information describing	(1/2)	(2/2)
transit routes, schedules, and fares to travelers	50%	100%

Link Description	1999	2005
14b. Transit Management agencies disseminate information describing	(0/2)	(2/2)
schedule/route adherence to travelers	0%	100%
15a. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped with ramp	(0/2)	(0/2)
meter priority capability	0%	0%
15b. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped as probes on	(0/2)	(0/2)
freeways	0%	0%
29. Transit Management agencies that report traffic incidents as part of	(0/2)	(0/2)
an organized regional Incident Management program	0%	0%

Electronic Fare Payment Component Indicators

Data as of 5/1/00

Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River

Electronic Fare Payment*



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity. ** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Fixed-route transit	0	264	0%		256		256	256	100%
vehicles that accept									
electronic payment									
Rail transit stations that	0	0			5			5	
accept electronic									
payment									

Electronic Fare Payment Integration Indicators Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River Electronic Fare Payment Integration* Outputs Inputs Share Transit 0% 0% Common Service (20) Fare 0% 0% Planning Media (27 50% **Transit Operators** 50% with Common Fare Media Legend 1999 2005

* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
19. Transit agencies that accept electronic payment through the use of	(0/2)	(0/2)
electronic toll collection media	0%	0%
20. Transit Management agencies use Electronic Fare Payment data in	(0/2)	(0/2)
transit service planning	0%	0%
27. Transit Management agencies that use the same electronic payment	(1/2)	(1/2)
system	50%	50%

Highway Rail Intersection Component Indicators

Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River

Highway-Rail Intersections*



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity. ** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Highway-rail intersections	0	0		0	22	0%	5	22	23%
are under electronic									
surveillance									

Highway Rail Intersection Integration Indicators

Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River Highway Rail Intersections Integration*

Inputs

Outputs



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
24. Arterial Management agencies with traffic signals within 200 feet of	(1/3)	(1/3)
a highway rail intersection with the capability of having their signal	33%	33%
timing adjusted in response to a train crossing		
23. Arterial Management agencies receive information on highway-rail	(0/3)	(0/3)
intersection crossing blockages for the purpose of managing incident	0%	0%
response		

Emergency Management Component Indicators

Data as of 5/1/00

Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River

Emergency Management*



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.

** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Public sector emergency	201	624	32%	392	578	68%	431	584	74%
vehicles that operate									
under computer-aided									
dispatch									
Public sector emergency	0	624	0%	1	578	0%	200	584	34%
vehicles that have in-									
vehicle route guidance									
capability									

Emergency Management Integration Indicators

Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River Emergency Management Integration*



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
7. Freeway Management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(0/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Emergency Management agencies	0%	0%
22. Emergency Management agencies have vehicles equipped with	(2/18)	(3/18)
traffic signal preemption capability	11%	17%
21a. Freeway Management agencies receive incident severity, location,	(1/1)	(1/1)
and type data from Emergency Management agencies	100%	100%
21b. Freeway Management agencies receive incident clearance	(0/1)	(0/1)
activities information from Emergency Management agencies	0%	0%

Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Component Indicators

Data as of 5/1/00

Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River Regional Multimodal Traveler Information*



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.

** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Freeway conditions	0	137	0%	20	137	15%	60	137	44%
disseminated to									
travelers									
Possible RMTI media				2	8	25%	5	8	63%
types are used to									
display information to									
travelers									
Possible RMTI media				1	8	13%	3	8	38%
are used to display									
information on two or									
more modes to									
travelers									

Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Integration Indicators Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Integration*



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
14a. Transit Management agencies that disseminate information	(1/2)	(2/2)
describing transit routes, schedules, and fares to travelers	50%	100%
14b. Transit Management agencies that disseminate information	(0/2)	(2/2)
describing schedule/route adherence to travelers	0%	100%
1. Arterial Management agencies that disseminate arterial travel times,	(0/3)	(0/3)
speeds, and conditions to the public	0%	0%
10. Freeway Management agencies that disseminate freeway travel	(0/1)	(1/1)
times, speeds, and conditions to travelers	0%	100%
6. Incident Management agencies that disseminate information	(1/1)	(1/1)
describing incident severity, location, and type to the public	100%	100%

Appendix A Survey Coverage Area
STATE PLANNING COUNCIL, RI



Appendix B Surveyed Agencies

Surveyed Agencies

Agency Name	Phone	Fax	1999		1997	
			Out	In	Out	In
P	ROVIDENCE, PAV	VTUCKET, FALL R	IVER			
Arterial Management						
East Providence City	(401) 435-7701	(401) 435-7717	8/5/1999	10/12/1999	8/12/1997	
Providence City	(401) 781-4044	(401) 941-2567	8/5/1999		8/20/1997	
Cranston City	(401) 461-1000	(401) 461-9650	8/5/1999		8/12/1997	
Rhode Island Department of Transportation	(401) 222-2694	(401) 222-2207	8/5/1999		8/12/1997	8/29/1997
Pawtucket City	(401) 728-0500	(401) 727-4550	8/5/1999	11/22/1999	8/12/1997	
New Bedford City	508.979-1527	508.961.3043	8/5/1999	2/2/2000	8/12/1997	
Warwick City	(401) 738-2000	(401) 732-5208	8/5/1999		8/12/1997	
Fall River City	(508) 676-8511	508-324-2809	8/5/1999		8/12/1997	9/29/1997
Emergency Management			· ·	· ·		
Warwick Fire Department	(401) 468-4000	(401) 468-4043	6/23/1999	6/23/1999	8/12/1997	8/19/1997
Warwick Emergency Medical Services	(401) 468-4000	(401) 468-4043	6/23/1999	6/23/1999	8/12/1997	8/19/1997
Cranston City Emergency Medical Services	(401) 461-1000	(401) 467-1560	6/23/1999	8/13/1999	8/12/1997	8/14/1997
East Providence Emergency Medical Services	(401) 435-7678	(401) 435-5166	6/23/1999	6/28/1999	8/12/1997	8/14/1997
Fall River City Emergency Medical Services	(508) 324-2743	(508) 677-5993	6/23/1999	6/25/1999	8/12/1997	8/14/1997
Pawtucket City Fire Department (EMS)	401-725-1422	401-000-0000	6/23/1999	9/3/1999	8/12/1997	8/14/1997
Providence Emergency Medical Services	(401) 421-1293	(401) 274-8508	6/23/1999		8/12/1997	8/14/1997
Providence Police Department	(401) 272-1119	(401) 621-3248	6/23/1999	6/28/1999	8/12/1997	7/1/1998
East Providence Police Department	(401) 435-7600	(401) 431-2320	6/23/1999	6/25/1999	8/12/1997	8/14/1997
Cranston City Police Department	(401) 461-1000	(401) 467-1560	6/23/1999	8/13/1999	8/12/1997	8/14/1997
Warwick Police Department	(401) 468-4200	(401) 468-4327	6/23/1999	6/25/1999	8/12/1997	8/20/1997
Cranston City Fire Department	(401) 461-1000	(401) 467-1560	6/23/1999	8/13/1999	8/12/1997	8/14/1997
Fall River City Fire Department	(508) 324-2743	(508) 677-5993	6/23/1999	6/25/1999	8/12/1997	8/14/1997
Fall River City Police Department	(508) 324-2803	(508) 324-2809	6/23/1999		8/12/1997	6/15/1998
New Bedford City Fire Department	401-725-1422	401-000-0000	6/23/1999	9/3/1999	8/12/1997	6/15/1998
New Bedford City Police Department	(508) 991-6315	(508) 979-1566	6/23/1999	8/27/1999	8/12/1997	6/15/1998
Pawtucket Police Department	(401) 727-9100	(401) 724-1382	6/23/1999	9/3/1999	8/12/1997	8/14/1997
East Providence Fire Department	(401) 435-7678	(401) 435-5166	6/23/1999	6/28/1999	8/12/1997	8/14/1997
Providence Fire Department	(401) 421-1293	(401) 274-8508	6/23/1999		8/12/1997	8/15/1997
Rhode Island Department of Environmental	(401) 222-2797	(401) 222-3811	6/23/1999	6/25/1999	8/12/1997	8/19/1997
Freeway Management						
Rhode Island Department of Transportation	(401) 222-1362	(401) 272-0303	7/29/1999	9/21/1999	8/12/1997	10/14/1997
МРО						

Agency Name	Phone	Fax	19	99	19	97
			Out	In	Out	In
Rhode Island State Planning Council	(401) 222-1220	(401) 222-2083	7/15/1999	9/2/1999		
Transit Management						
Rhode Island Public Transit Authority	(401) 784-9500	(401) 784-9588	8/9/1999	12/13/1999	8/14/1997	9/2/1997
Greater Attleboro-Taunton Regional Transit	(508) 226-1102	(508) 226-4937	8/9/1999	12/7/1999	8/14/1997	11/24/1997

Appendix C Freeway Management Components

	Rhode Island Depar	tment of Transportation
	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes	
FREEWAY MANAGEMENT SECTION		
Number of freeway centerline miles that agency owns or maintains	140	
Number of freeway centerline miles that is used for planning	140	
Number of freeway entrance ramps that agency owns, operates or maintains	49	
Number of freeway entrance ramps that is used for planning	NR	
Type of facilities used to conduct freeway/incident management activities		
Activities housed in a free-standing dedicated building?	No	
Activities housed in a building shared with other activities?	Yes	
Activities conducted in a dedicated control room?	Yes	
Control room contains operator console(s)?	No	
Control room contains electronic wall map?	No	
Control room contains CCTV display(s)?	No	
Activities conducted in a room containing workstations or PCs that manage traffic?	Yes	
Facilities are electronically linked to other transportation mgt facilities?	Yes	
Staffing and hours of operation of freeway/incident management activities		
Number of full-time agency staff members	NR	
Number of full time contractor staff members	NR	
Number of part-time agency staff members	NR	
Number of part-time contractor staff members	NR	
Staffed 24 hours day by agency staff or by others	NR	
Staffed during peak hours only by agency staff or by others	NR	
Staffed by others during off-peak hours	No	
Agency staff perform transportation management as an ancillary duty	No	
Agency staff dedicated to transportation management duty	No	
Types of operations conducted for freeway/incident management		
Incident detection and management?	Yes	
This metropolitan area?	No	
Other metropolitan area?	No	
Statewide?	Yes	
Monitoring and troubleshooting status of system components?	Yes	
Manual override of ramp metering rates at freeway on-ramps?	No	
Operating transportation management roadside devices?	Yes	
Radio communications with other agencies?	Yes	
Exchange of electronic data with other agencies such as computer aided dispatch?	No	

	Rhode Island Department of Transportation	
	1999	2005
Real-Time Traffic Data Collection Technologies	1 1	
Total number of miles under surveillance with real-time data collection tech.	20	60
Number of Stations with data collection technologies		
	NP	NP
	27	NR
Probe readers (elec. toll tags, transit vehicles, other technology)	0	0
Microwave radar	0	0
Other (e.g., acoustic detectors)	0	0
<u>Number of Miles covered with data collection technologies</u>		
Loop detectors	NR	NR
Video imaging detectors	NR	NR
Probe readers (elec. toll tags, transit vehicles, other technology)	0	0
Microwave radar	0	0
Other (e.g., acoustic detectors)	0	0
Variable Message Signs (VMS) on Freeways		
Candidate locations for deployment of VMS where VMS has been deployed	19	NR
Candidate locations for deployment of VMS	NR	NR
Roadside Technologies used to Distribute Traveler Information		
Total number of miles where information is distributed	40	60
Number deployed		
Highway advisory radio	4	6
In-vehicle signing	0	0
Portable variable message signs	NR	NR
Other	0	0
<u>Miles covered</u>		
Highway advisory radio	40	60
In-vehicle signing	0	0
Portable variable message signs	NR	NR
Other	0	0
Ramp Meters on Freeways		
Number of entrance ramp meters operated under isolated control	NR	NR
Number of entrance ramp meters operated under central control	NR	NR
Number of entrance ramp meters that provide preemption for emergency vehicles	NR	NR
Number of entrance ramp meters that provide priority for transit vehicles	NR	NR
Total number of metered ramps	NR	NR
Freeway centerline miles under lane control	NR	NR
Communication Links		
Freeway centerline miles covered by the following type of communication		
Twisted pair cable	0	0
Coaxial cable	0	0
Fiber-optic cable	0	0
Microwave radio	0	0

	Rhode Island Department of Transportation	
	1999	2005
Other	0	0
ITS Standards Used Related to Freeway Management		
ATMS Data Dictionary Sections 1 and 2 (ITE TM 1.01)	Yes	
ATMS Data Dictionary Sections 3 and 4 (ITE TM 1.02)	No	
Message Set for External TMC Communication (ITE-9604-1)	No	
NTCIP Class B Profile (AASHTO TS 3.3)	Yes	
NTCIP Data Collection and Monitoring Devices (AASHTO TS 3.DCM)	Yes	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Environmental Sensor Stations (AASHTO TS 3.7)	No	
NTICP Object Definitions for Dynamic Message Signs (AASHTO TS 3.6)	Yes	
NTICP Object Definitions for Highway Advisory Radio (AASHTO TS 3.HAR)	Yes	
NTICP Object Definitions for Ramp Meter Control (AASHTO TS 3.RMC)	No	
NTICP Object Definitions for Transportation Sensor Systems (AASHTO TS 3.TSS)	Yes	
NTICP Object Definitions for Video Camera Control (AASHTO TS 3.VCC)	Yes	
Would agency be willing to participate in testing of ITS Standards?	No	
Have agreements in place with other agencies to use similar hardware		
and software to aid maintenance and interoperability?	No	
INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SECTION		
Use of Service Patrols to Assist in Detection and Response to Incidents		
Publicly operated service patrol vehicles	Yes	
Privately operated service patrol vehicles operated under public contract	No	
Total number of freeway miles patrolled by these services	NR	6
Miles Covered by Methods to Detect and Verify Incidents		ND
Free cellular phone call to a dedicated phone number other than 911	NR	NR
Police patrois	NR	NR
	NR	NR
ULIV		
Other (e.g., free cell phone cell to an area radio system, etc.)		
Diner (e.g., nee cen phone can to an area radio system, etc.)	INK	INK
	No.	
working agreement(s)/arrangement(s) with other agencies	Yes	
Inter-agency incident management admin. team that meets regularly	Yes	
Major incident response team that responds to major incidents	Yes	
Set of goals/objectives for incident mgt that has been adopted by agencies in region	Yes	
Central focal point for facilitating the two-way flow of information		
among agencies responding to an incident?		
The central focal point is a Freeway or Traffic Management Center	Yes	
The central focal point is a Police, Fire or joint dispatch center	No	
The central focal point is another center	No	
Methods of Communication Used On-Site at an Incident	-	
Police		
Two-way radio	No	

	Rhode Island Department of Transportation	
	1999	2005
800 MHz trunked radio	No	
Cellular telephone	No	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
Fire		
Two-way radio	No	
800 MHz trunked radio	No	
Cellular telephone	No	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
DOT		
Two-way radio	No	
800 MHz trunked radio	No	
Cellular telephone	No	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
Towing		
Two-way radio	No	
800 MHz trunked radio	No	
Cellular telephone	No	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
Which police agencies typically respond to incidents on freeways?		
State Police	Yes	
County Police or Sheriff	No	
City Police	Yes	
Who provides on-site emergency medical response?		
Fire	Yes	
Emergency Management Service Agency	No	
Private hospital	Yes	
Has a multi-agency contact list been developed in area containing the		
names, phone numbers, etc. for the appropriate response personnel?	Yes	
Is the Incident Command System used to manage incident scenes?	Yes	
Is there a legal specification by state law or formal agreement as to who		
is "in charge" at the incident scene?		
Specified by state law?	Yes	
Formal agreement?	No	
Not specified or don't know?	No	
On-scene command post used to manage activities of responding agencies?	Yes	
Are there communication linkages to a communications traffic/freeway mgt center?	Yes	
Plan developed and adopted by responding agencies for staging and parking		
response vehicles and equip. at incident site that minimizes lane blockage		

	Rhode Island Department of Transportation	
	1999	2005
and facilitates the re-opening of lanes?	No	
Respondents protected through law or court opinion for liability claims		
for damages to vehicles or cargoes during clearance activities?	DK	
Are overturned tank trucks, which are intact and not leaking, uprighted		
without first off-loading?	No	
Does your state or local jurisdiction have a law that requires drivers		
involved in property-damage-only accidents to move the vehicles		
from travel lanes to a safe location to exchange info and wait for police?	Yes	
Have laws or policies regarding the removal of stalled/abandoned vehicles		
from freeway shoulders?	No	
Hours abandoned vehicles are allowed to remain on a freeway shoulder?	DK	
Have policies or procedures for quick removal of vehicles?	No	
Is Total Station equipment used to investigate major incidents?	Yes	
Handling of Towing Responses to Incidents		
Formal contract based on qualifications?	No	
Rotation with companies under contract?	Yes	
Separate lists kept for light and heavy response and for specialty recovery?	Yes	
Rotation list with minimal qualifications?	No	
In towing qualifications, do you require towers to be certified under the		
Towing and Recovery Ass. of America's National Drivers Cert. Program?	DK	
DK: Don't know		
NR: No Response		
Leg: Legislation or action being planned		

Appendix D Freeway Management Integration

	Rhode Island De	partment of Transportation
Agency Name	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes	
Freeway Management Section		
Agencies your agency provides freeway travel times, speeds, and		
conditions information, share infrastructure or coordinates operation		
Freeway Management Agencies		
Provide Information	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed
Incident Management Agencies		
Provide Information	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	Rhode Island	Rhode Island
	Department of	Department of
	Transportation	Transportation
Arterial Management Agencies		
Provide Information	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed
Public Transit Operators		
Provide Information	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others		
Incident Management agencies from which your agency receives		
incident severity, location, and type information	Rhode Island	Rhode Island
	Department of	Department of
	Transportation	Transportation
Arterial Management agencies from which your agency receives		
arterial travel times, speeds, and conditions		
		Cranston City, East
		Providence City, Fall
		River City, New Bedford
		City, Pawtucket City, Providence City
		Warwick City, Rhode
		Island Department of
	None listed	Transportation
Public Transit operators from which your agency receives		

	Rhode Island Departi	ment of Transportation
Agency Name	1999	2005
freeway travel times derived from vehicle probes		Rhode Island Public
	None listed	Transit Authority
Toll Collection agencies from which your agency receives freeway travel		
times derived from vehicles probes	None listed	None listed
Freeway Incident Management Section		
Agencies your agency provides incident severity, location, and type info.		
and/or shares infrastructure and/or coordinates operation		
Arterial Management Agencies		
Provide Information		
		Cranston City, East
		Providence City, Fall
	Providence City, Rhode	River City, New Bedford
	Island Department of	City, Pawtucket City,
Sharo Infrastructuro	Transportation	
		Cranston City, East
		River City, New Bedford
	Rhode Island	City Pawtucket City
	Department of	Providence City,
	Transportation	Warwick City
Coordinate Operation		
		Cranston City, East
		Providence City, Fall
	Providence City, Rhode	River City, New Bedford
	Island Department of	City, Pawtucket City,
F	I ransportation	Warwick City
Emergency Management Agencies		
Provide Information	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed
Freeway Management Agencies		
Provide Information	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed
Public Transit Operators		
Provide Information	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others		
Emergency Management agencies from which your agency receives		

	Rhode Island Department of Transportation	
Agency Name	1999	2005
incident clearance and/or incident severity and type		
Receive Arterial Incident Clearance Information	Pawtucket Police Department, Providence Fire Department, Providence Police Department, Rhode Island Department of Environmental Managemen, Fall River City Fire Department, Fall River City Police Department	Cranston City Emergency Medical Services, Cranston City Fire Department, Cranston City Police Department, East Providence Emergency Medical Services, East Providence Police Department, East Providence Fire Department, Pawtucket City Fire Department (EMS), Providence Emergency Medical Services
Receive Arterial Incident Severity Information	None listed	None listed
Arterial Management agencies from which your agency receives arterial travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	Cranston City, East Providence City, Fall River City, New Bedford City, Pawtucket City, Providence City, Rhode Island Department of Transportation, Rhode Island Department of Transportation
Freeway Management agencies from which your agency receives		
freeway travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	None listed

*short survey: Agency responded using a short survey. The survey did not include names of individual agencies, but only identified whether integ

Appendix E Freeway Management Information Collection and Dissemination

Data Collection and Dissemination: Freeway Management Agencies for Metropolitan Area: Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River

	Rhode Island Department of Transportation		
Agency Name	1999	2005	
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes		
Freeway Management Section			
Data collected, archived, and/or transferred to another agency			
Collected by your agency	Traffic volumes, Traffic speeds, Vehicle classification, Road conditions, Weather conditions, Incidents, Current work zones, Scheduled work zones, Route designations (snow emergency, etc.)	Probe vehicles, Intermodal (air, rail, water) connections, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures, Highway operations coordination information	
Archived by your agency	Traffic volumes, Route designations (snow emergency, etc.)	Probe vehicles, Road conditions, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures, Route designations (snow emergency, etc.)	
Transferred to another agency by your agency	0,7,7,7	<u> </u>	
	Traffic volumes	Traffic volumes, Probe vehicles, Road conditions, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures	
Importance of making information available to the public			
Ranked High	Traffic volumes, Traffic spe conditions, Incidents, Curre work zones, Emergency/ev procedures, Highway oper- information, Route designa etc.)	eeds, Probe vehicles, Road ent work zones, Scheduled vacuation routes and ations coordination ations (snow emergency,	
Ranked Medium			
	Vehicle classification		
Ranked Low			
	NR		

Data Collection and Dissemination: Freeway Management Agencies for Metropolitan Area: Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River

	Rhode Island Depar	tment of Transportation
Agency Name	1999	2005
Groups that make requests for the data		
	State DOT personnel, Fee	deral DOT personnel, Media
	(I.e., TV stations, radio sta	ations), Consultants, MPOs,
	Advanced Traveler Inform	nation Systems (ATIS) provi
What is the data used for?		
	Traffic analysis	
Methods used to disseminate freeway information to the public		
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:		Dedicated cable TV,
		Telephone system,
		Internet Web sites,
	NP	direct PC communication
Technologies your agency (through another agency or org.) uses to discominate:		
Internet web site reporting frequency conditions	NK	INK
Internet web site reporting neeway conditions		
	NR	
Telephone system for reporting freeway information to the public	1-800-354-9595	
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	Media	
	Local & State Police	
	Trucking Industry	•
Freeway Incident Management Section		
Methods used to distribute incident location and severity information		
to the public		
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:	Telephone system,	
	Pagers or personal data	
	assistants	Internet Web sites, Kiosks
Technologies your agency (through another agency or org.) uses to disseminate:	NR	NR
Internet web site reporting incident information		
	NR	
Telephone system for reporting incident information to the public	NR	
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	NR	

Appendix F Arterial Management Components

	East Provi	idence City	New Bed	ford City	Pawtuc	ket City	Tot	als
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes		Yes		Yes		3	
ARTERIAL MANAGEMENT SECTION								
Number of arterial miles that agency owns or maintains	NR		NR		NR		0	
Number of arterial miles that is used for planning	NR		NR		NR		0	
Number of highway-rail intersections that agency maintains	NR		5		17		22	
Number of highway-rail intersections that is used for planning	NR		NR		NR		0	
Type of facilities used to conduct arterial management activities								
Activities housed in a free-standing dedicated building?	No		No		No		0	
Activities housed in a building shared with other activities?	No		No		No		0	
Activities conducted in a dedicated control room?	No		No		No		0	
Control room contains operator console(s)?	No		No		No		0	
Control room contains electronic wall map?	No		No		No		0	
Control room contains CCTV display(s)?	No		No		No		0	
Activities conducted in a room containing workstations or PCs that manage traffic?	No		No		No		0	
Facilities are electronically linked to other transportation mgt facilities?	No		No		No		0	
Staffing and hours of operation of arterial management activities								
Number of full-time agency staff members	NR		NR		NR		0	
Number of full time contractor staff members	NR		NR		NR		0	
Number of part-time agency staff members	NR		NR		NR		0	
Number of part-time contractor staff members	NR		NR		NR		0	
Staffed 24 hours day by agency staff or by others	NR		NR		NR		0	
Staffed during peak hours only by agency staff or by others	NR		NR		NR		0	
Staffed by others during off-peak hours	No		No		No		0	
Agency staff perform transportation management as an ancillary duty	No		No		No		0	
Agency staff dedicated to transportation management duty	No		No		No		0	
Types of operations conducted for arterial management								
Incident detection and management?	No		No		No		0	
This metropolitan area?	No		No		No		0	
Other metropolitan area?	No		No		No		0	
Monitoring and troubleshooting status of system components?	No		No		No		0	
Radio communications with other agencies?	No		No		No		0	
Exchange of electronic data with other agencies such as computer aided dispatch?	No		No		No		0	
Manual override of traffic signal timing plans	No		No		No		0	
Operating transportation mgt roadside devices (e.g., VMS, CCTV, etc.)	No		No		No		0	
Describe agency's role in traffic signal control	NR		NR		NR			
Traffic Signals Operated by Agency								
Number of signalized intersections operated and owned by agency	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0

	East Prov	idence City	New Bee	dford City	Pawtuc	ket City	Tot	tals
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Number of signalized intersections operated by agency but owned by another	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Total number of signalized intersections operated by agency	9	NR	70	75	110	113	189	188
Characteristics of signalized intersections that agency operates		1						
Under closed loop or central system control	0	NR	0	0	22	25	22	25
Under real-time traffic adaptive control using advanced software	0	NR	0	0	0	0	0	0
	No		No	-	No	-	0	
	No		No		No		0	
Name of software	NP		NR		NP		0	<u> </u>
Allow signal preemption for emergency vehicles	1	NR	1	0	22	24	24	24
Allow signal priority for transit vehicles	0	NR	0	0	17	18	17	18
Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection	0	NR	2	0	17	18	10	18
Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection that adjust signal timing	0	NR	0	0	2	10	2	10
Software used to control the signals agency operates	0		0	0	2		2	
Date of last ungrade to traffic signal control system software?	NR	1	NR		NR			·
How offen de vou undate signal timing?	NR							
Coffuero used and number of signalized intersections under control (1000, 2005)								
Software used and number of signalized intersections under control (1999, 2005)	NR		NK		NR			
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2070 controller	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Uner Technologies Accessified with Highway Beil Interactions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Technologies Associated with Highway-Rail Intersections	ND	ND	ND	ND			0	
I otal number of highway-rail intersections under electronic surveillance	NR	NR	NR	NK	0	5	0	5
<u>_ Highway-Kali Intersection capapoliities</u>		0	0	0		0	0	
Video surveillance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ability to predict train arrival electronically	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equipped with electronic traffic violator devices	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Real-Time Electronic Traffic Data Collection Technologies								
I otal number of signalized intersections covered by electronic surveillance	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
<u>Number of signalized intersections with data collection technologies</u>		<u>^</u>	0	0		0	0	
Loop detectors	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Video detection cameras	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Probe readers reading toll tags	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Probe readers reading license plates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Deside Technologies word to Distribute Technologies information	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number deployed								I
					ND			
TIGIIWay AUVISOTY KAOlo	NR						0	0
	NR						0	0
VMS controlling parking access	NK	NK	NK	NK	NK	NK	U	U

	East Prov	idence City	New Bed	dford City	Pawtuc	ket City	Tot	tals
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Miles covered								
Highway Advisory Radio	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
In-Vehicle Signing (IVS)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Variable Message Signs (VMS) on Arterials								
Candidate locations for deployment of VMS where VMS has been deployed	NR	NR	7	0	NR	NR	7	0
Candidate locations for deployment of VMS	NR	NR	0	0	NR	NR	0	0
Communication Technologies								
Signalized intersections communicated with by each type of communication								
Twisted pair cable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Coaxial cable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fiber-optic cable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other (e.g., wireless, dial-up modems, leased lines, etc.)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Does agency convey information on highway-rail intersection crossing								
status to travelers via roadside media such as VMS or HAR?	No		No		No		0	
ITS Standards Used Related to Traffic Signal Control								
Advanced Transportation Controller (ATC) Software Application Interface (ITE 9603-1)	No		No		No		0	
ATC Physical Cabinet Functional Design (ITE-9603-2)	No		No		No		0	
ATC Functionality and Interface Definitions (ITE-9603-3)	No		No		No		0	
Natl. Trans. Communications for ITS Protocol (NTCIP) Class B Profile (AASHTO TS 3.3)	No		No		No		0	
NTCIP Data Collection and Monitoring Devices (AASHTO TS 3.DCM)	No		No		No		0	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Video Camera Control (AASHTO TS 3.VCC)	No		No		No		0	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Actuated Traffic Signal Controller Units (AASHTO TS 3.5)	No		No		No		0	
Would agency be willing to participate in testing of ITS Standards?	NR		NR		NR		0	
Have agreements in place with other agencies to use similar hardware								
and software to aid maintenance and interoperability?	NR		NR		NR		0	
INCIDENT MANAGEMENT ON ARTERIAL STREETS								
Receive information on highway-rail intersection crossing blockages for								
the purpose of managing incident response?	No		No		No		0	
Use of Service Patrols to Assist in Detection and Response to Incidents								
Publicly operated service patrol vehicles	No		No		No		0	
Privately operated service patrol vehicles operated under public contract	No		No		No		0	
Total number of arterial miles patrolled by these services	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Miles Covered by Methods to Detect and Verify Incidents								
Free cellular phone call to a dedicated phone number other than 911	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free cellular phone call to an area radio station	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Police patrols	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Computer algorithms linked to traffic surveillance equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CCTV	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Private sector sources (e.g., Shadow Traffic, Smart Routes)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procedures in place for Arterial Incident Response?								1

	East Prov	vidence City	New Bed	dford City	Pawtuc	cket City	Tot	tals
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Working agreement(s)/arrangement(s) with other agencies	No		No		No		0	
Inter-agency incident management admin. team that meets regularly	No		No		No		0	
Major incident response team that responds to major incidents	No		No		No		0	
Set of goals/objectives for incident mgt that has been adopted by agencies in region	No		No		No		0	
Methods of Communication Used On-Site at an Incident								
Police								
Two-way radio	No		No		No		0	
800 MHz trunked radio	No		No		No		0	
Cellular telephone	No		No		No		0	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No		No		No		0	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No		No		No		0	
Other	No		No		No		0	
Fire								
Two-way radio	No		No		No		0	
800 MHz trunked radio	No		No		No		0	
Cellular telephone	No		No		No		0	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No		No		No		0	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No		No		No		0	
Other	No		No		No		0	
DOT								
Two-way radio	No		No		No		0	
800 MHz trunked radio	No		No		No		0	
Cellular telephone	No		No		No		0	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No		No		No		0	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No		No		No		0	
Other	No		No		No		0	
Towing								
Two-way radio	No		No		No		0	
800 MHz trunked radio	No		No		No		0	
Cellular telephone	No		No		No		0	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No		No		No		0	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No		No		No		0	
Other	No		No		No		0	
Which police agencies typically respond to incidents on arterials?								
State Police	No		No		No		0	
County Police or Sheriff	No		No		No		0	
City Police	No		No		No		0	
Who provides on-site emergency medical response?								

	East Prov	idence City	New Bed	dford City	Pawtuc	ket City	To	als
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Fire	No		No		No		0	
Emergency Management Service Agency	No		No		No		0	
Private hospital	No		No		No		0	
Has a multi-agency contact list been developed in area containing the								
names, phone numbers, etc. for the appropriate response personnel?	NR		NR		NR		0	
Is the Incident Command System used to manage incident scenes?	NR		NR		NR		0	
Is there a legal specification by state law or formal agreement as to who								
is "in charge" at the incident scene?								
Specified by state law?	No		No		No		0	
Formal agreement?	No		No		No		0	
Not specified or don't know?	No		No		No		0	
On-scene command post used to manage activities of responding agencies?	NR		NR		NR		0	
Are there communication linkages to a communications traffic/freeway mgt center?	NR		NR		NR		0	
Plan developed and adopted by responding agencies for staging and parking								
response vehicles and equip. at incident site that minimizes lane blockage								
and facilitates the re-opening of lanes?	NR		NR		NR		0	
Respondents protected through law or court opinion for liability claims								
for damages to vehicles or cargoes during clearance activities?	NR		NR		NR		0	
Are overturned tank trucks, which are intact and not leaking, uprighted								
without first off-loading?	NR		NR		NR		0	
Does your state or local jurisdiction have a law that requires drivers								
involved in property-damage-only accidents to move the vehicles								
from travel lanes to a safe location to exchange info and wait for police?	NR		NR		NR		0	
Have laws or policies regarding the removal of stalled/abandoned vehicles								
from freeway shoulders?	NR		NR		NR		0	
Hours abandoned vehicles are allowed to remain on a freeway shoulder?	NR		NR		NR		0	
Have policies or procedures for quick removal of vehicles?	NR		NR		NR		0	
Is Total Station equipment used to investigate major incidents?	NR		NR		NR		0	
Handling of Towing Responses to Incidents								
Formal contract based on qualifications?	No		No		No		0	
Rotation with companies under contract?	No		No		No		0	
Separate lists kept for light and heavy response and for specialty recovery?	NR		NR		NR		0	
Rotation list with minimal qualifications?	No		No		No		0	
In towing qualifications, do you require towers to be certified under the								
Towing and Recovery Ass. of America's National Drivers Cert. Program?	NR		NR		NR		0	
DK: Don't know								
NR: No Response								
Leg: Legislation or action being planned								

Appendix G Arterial Management Integration

	East Prov	idence City	New Be	dford City	Pawtuc	cket City
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes		Yes		Yes	
Arterial Management Section						
Arterial Mgt. agencies in metropolitan area with which you share info.						
Share Timing Plans Information	None listed	None listed				
Coordinate Changes to Timing Plans	None listed	None listed				
Turn over Control of Signals	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	short survey	None listed
Agencies your agency provides arterial travel times, speeds, and						
conditions information, share infrastructure or coordinates operation						
Freeway Management Agencies						
Provide Information	None listed	None listed				
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed				
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed				
Incident Management Agencies						
Provide Information	None listed	None listed				
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed				
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed				
Public Transit Operators Agencies						
Provide Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	short survey	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed				
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed				
Arterial Management Agencies						
Provide Information	None listed	None listed				
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed				
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed				
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others						
Freeway Management agencies from which your agency receives						
freeway travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	None listed				
Public Transit operators from which your agency receives						
arterial travel times derived from vehicle probes	None listed	None listed				
Incident Management agencies from which your agency receives						
incident clearance and/or incident severity, location, and type information						
Receive information on Incident Clearance	None listed	None listed				
Receive information on Incident Severity, Location, and Type	None listed	None listed				
Toll Collection agencies from which your agency receives arterial travel						
times derived from vehicles probes	None listed	None listed				
Arterial incluent Management Section						
Agencies your agency provides incident severity, location, and type info.						
and/or shares intrastructure and/or coordinates operation						
Emergency Management Agencies						

	East Prov	vidence City	New Be	dford City	Pawtuc	ket City
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Provide Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Freeway Management Agencies						
Provide Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Public Transit Operators						
Provide Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	short survey	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others						
Emergency Management agencies from which your agency receives						
arterial incident clearance and/or arterial incident severity						
Receive Arterial Incident Clearance Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Receive Arterial Incident Severity Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Arterial Management agencies from which your agency receives						
arterial travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	short survey	None listed
Freeway Management agencies from which your agency receives						
freeway travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed

*short survey: Agency responded using a short survey. The survey did not include names of individual agencies, but only identified whether integration exists.

Appendix H Arterial Management Information Collection and Dissemination

Data Collection and Dissemination: Arterial Management Agencies for Metropolitan Area: Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River

	East Pr	ovidence City	New Be	dford City	Pawtu	cket City	
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes		Yes		Yes		
Arterial Management Section							
Data collected, archived, and/or transferred to another agency							
Collected by your agency	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Archived by your agency	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Transferred to another agency by your agency	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Importance of making information available to the public							
Ranked High	NR		NR		NR		
Ranked Medium	NR		NR NR		NR		
Ranked Low	NR		NR NR		NR		
Groups that make requests for the data	NR		NR NR		NR		
What is the data used for?	NR		NR		NR		
Methods used to disseminate arterial information to the public							
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Technologies your agency (through another agency or org.) uses to disseminate:	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Internet web site reporting arterial conditions	NR		NR	-	NR		
Telephone system for reporting arterial information to the public	NR		NR		NR		
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	NR		NR		NR		
Arterial Incident Management Section							
Methods used to distribute incident location and severity information							
to the public							
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Technologies your agency (through another agency or org.) uses to disseminate:	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Internet web site reporting incident information	NR		NR		NR		
Telephone system for reporting incident information to the public	NR		NR		NR		
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	NR		NR	NR		NR	

H - 1

Appendix I Transit Management Components

	Greater Attlehoro	Taunton Regional				
	Transit Autho	prity (GATRA)	Rhode Island Publ	ic Transit Authority	Tot	als
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes		Yes		2	
Number of vehicles used in revenue service						
Fixed Route Bus	20	20	236	236	256	256
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Light Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Demand Responsive	52	52	104	104	156	156
Commuter Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Ferry Boat	NR	NR	0	2	0	2
Have of plan to have an Automated Vehicle Location System?	Yes		Yes		2	
Primary and Secondary Location Technologies Used						
Primary Technologies						
GPS	No	No	No	Yes	0	1
Sign/Odometer	No	No	No	No	0	0
Dead-Reckoning	No	No	No	No	0	0
LORAN C	No	No	No	No	0	0
Other	No	Yes	No	Yes	0	2
Backup Technologies						
GPS	No	No	No	No	0	0
Sign/Odometer	No	No	No	No	0	0
Dead-Reckoning	No	No	No	No	0	0
LORAN C	No	No	No	No	0	0
Other	No	Yes	No	No	0	1
Number of Vehicles Equipped with AVL						
Fixed Route Bus	0	20	NR	236	0	256
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Light Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Demand Responsive	0	52	NR	104	0	156
Commuter Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Ferry Boat	NR	NR	NR	2	0	2
Motor Buses Operated as Vehicle Probes						
Number of Motor Buses equipped as probes on freeways?	NR		NR		0	
Number of Motor Buses equipped as probes on arterials?	NR		NR		0	
Have Organized Regional Incident Management Program?	No		No		0	

	Greater Attleboro	-Taunton Regional				
	Transit Autho	prity (GATRA)	Rhode Island Publ	ic Transit Authority	То	tals
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Have Automated Traveler Information System?	Yes		Yes		2	
Services Automated Traveler Info. System Applies:						
Fixed Route	Yes		Yes		2	
Heavy Rail	No		No		0	
Light Rail	No		No		0	
Demand Responsive	No		No		0	
Commuter Rail	No		No		0	
Ferry	No		Yes		1	
Locations where traveler information is displayed to public			100		·	
Number of bus stops on fixed transit routes	NR	NR	NR	50	0	50
Bus stops on fixed transit routes that display traveler info to the public	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Number of rail stations	5	5	NR	NR	5	5
Number of rail stations that display traveler information	NR	5	NR	NR	0	5
Number of other locations that display traveler information to public	NR	10	NR	5	0	15
Number of vehicles the traveler information system has available						
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Light Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Demand Responsive	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Commuter Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Ferry Boat	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Deployment of Communications Technology						
Attributes of Radio System:						
Digital?	No		No		0	
Analog?	Yes		Yes		2	
Trunked?	No		No		0	
Regular?	Yes		Yes		2	
Services that use a Digital or Trunked Radio System						
<u>Digital Only</u>						
Fixed Route Bus	No	No	No	No	0	0
Heavy or Rapid Rail	No	No	No	No	0	0
Light Rail	No	No	No	No	0	0
Demand Responsive	No	No	No	No	0	0
Commuter Rail	No	No	No	No	0	0
Ferry Boat	No	No	No	No	0	0
Trunked Only						

	Greater Attleboro Transit Autho	-Taunton Regional prity (GATRA)	Rhode Island Publ	ic Transit Authority	Tot	als
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Fixed Route Bus	No	No	No	Yes	0	1
Heavy or Rapid Rail	No	No	No	No	0	0
Light Rail	No	No	No	No	0	0
Demand Responsive	No	No	No	Yes	0	1
Commuter Rail	No	No	No	No	0	0
Ferry Boat	No	No	No	Yes	0	1
Have of plan to have Automatic Passenger Counters (APCs)?	No		Yes		1	
Methods used to count passengers						
Treadle Mats	No		No		0	
Infrared Beams	No		Yes		1	
Primary and Secondary Location Technologies Used						
Primary Technologies						
GPS	No	No	No	Yes	0	1
Differential GPS	No	Yes	No	No	0	1
Signpost/Odometer	No	No	No	No	0	0
Dead_Reckoning	No	No	No	No	0	0
LORAN C	No	No	No	No	0	0
Other	No	No	No	No	0	0
Backup Technologies						
GPS	No	Yes	No	No	0	1
Differential GPS	No	No	No	No	0	0
Signpost/Odometer	No	No	No	No	0	0
Dead_Reckoning	No	No	No	No	0	0
LORAN C	No	No	No	No	0	0
Other	No	No	No	No	0	0
Number of Vehicles with APCs						
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR	NR	236	0	236
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Light Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Demand Responsive	NR	NR	NR	104	0	104
Commuter Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Ferry Boat	NR	NR	NR	2	0	2
Remote Real-Time Monitoring and Computer Assisted Dispatching						
<u>Remote Real-Time Monitoring</u>						
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR	NR	236	0	236
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Light Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0

	Greater Attleboro Transit Autho	-Taunton Regional prity (GATRA)	Rhode Island Publ	ic Transit Authority	Tot	tals
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Demand Responsive	NR	NR	NR	104	0	104
Commuter Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Ferry Boat	NR	NR	NR	2	0	2
Automated Dispatching or Control Software						
Fixed Route Bus	0	20	NR	236	0	256
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Light Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Demand Responsive	14	52	NR	104	14	156
Commuter Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Ferry Boat	NR	NR	NR	2	0	2
Coordinate or plan to coordinate travel request and vehicle						
dispatching for multiple agencies?	Yes		No		1	
Is there or will there be a Transportation Management Center						
(TMC) in the region that controls transit and highway modes?	No		Yes		1	
Modes that TMC currently controls:						
Highways	No	No	Yes	No	1	0
Fixed Route Bus	No	No	No	Yes	0	1
Heavy or Rapid Rail	No	No	No	No	0	0
Light Rail	No	No	No	No	0	0
Demand Responsive	No	No	No	Yes	0	1
Commuter Rail	No	No	No	No	0	0
Ferry Boat	No	No	No	Yes	0	1
Other	No	No	No	No	0	0
Priority at Traffic Signals and Ramp Meter Priority						
Priority at Traffic Signals						
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR	NR	236	0	236
Light Rail	NR	NR	NR	0	0	0
Demand Responsive	NR	NR	NR	0	0	0
<u>Ramp Meter Priority</u>						
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Demand Responsive	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Number of Vehicles Equipped with Navigation Aids						
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0

	Greater Attleboro-Taunton Regional Transit Authority (GATRA)		Rhode Island Public Transit Authority		Totals	
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Light Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Demand Responsive	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Commuter Rail	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Ferry Boat	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
ITS Standards Used Related to Transit Management						
TCIP On Boad Objects (TCIP-OB)	No		No		0	
TCIP Traffic Management Objects (TCIP-TM)	No		No		0	
TCIP Common Public Transportation Objects (TCIP-CPT)	No		No		0	
TCIP Passenger Information Objects (TCIP-PI)	No		No		0	
TCIP Incident Management Objects (TCIP-IM)	No		No		0	
TCIP Fare Collection Objects (TCIP-FC)	No		No		0	
TCIP Spatial Representation Objects (TCIP-SP)	No		No		0	
TCIP Control Center Objects (TCIP-CC)	No		No		0	
TCIP Scheduling/Runcutting Objects (TCIP-SCH)	No		No		0	
Send data communication between micro computer and heavy duty						
vehicle applications (SAE J1708)	No		No		0	
Would agency be willing to participate in testing of ITS Standards?	Yes		Yes		2	
Have agreements in place with other agencies to use similar hardware						
and software to aid maintenance and interoperability?	No		No		0	
Electronic Fare Payment						
Have full operational Electronic Fare Payment System?	Yes		Yes		2	
Methods of Fare Payment						
Stored value card with fare deducted for each trip						
Magnetic Stripe	No		No		0	
Smart Card	No		Yes		1	
Debit Card	No		No		0	
Billed by the month for trips taken						
Magnetic Stripe	No		No		0	
Smart Card	No		No		0	
Credit Card	No		No		0	
<u>Monthly Pass</u>						
Magnetic Stripe	No		No		0	
Smart Card	No		Yes		1	
Vehicles/Stations Equipped with Automated Payment Mechanism						
Magnetic Stripe Readers						
Fixed Route Bus Vehicles	NR	20	NR	NR	0	20
Heavy or Rapid Rail Stations	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Light Rail Stations	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0

	Greater Attleboro-Taunton Regional Transit Authority (GATRA)		Rhode Island Public Transit Authority		Totals	
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Demand Responsive Vehicles	NR	52	NR	NR	0	52
Commuter Rail Stations	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Ferry Boat Landings	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Smart Card Readers						
Fixed Route Bus Vehicles	NR	20	NR	236	0	256
Heavy or Rapid Rail Stations	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Light Rail Stations	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Demand Responsive Vehicles	NR	52	NR	104	0	156
Commuter Rail Stations	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Ferry Boat Landings	NR	NR	NR	2	0	2
Credit Card						
Fixed Route Bus Vehicles	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Heavy or Rapid Rail Stations	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Light Rail Stations	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Demand Responsive Vehicles	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Commuter Rail Stations	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Ferry Boat Landings	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Debit Card						
Fixed Route Bus Vehicles	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Heavy or Rapid Rail Stations	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Light Rail Stations	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Demand Responsive Vehicles	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Commuter Rail Stations	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Ferry Boat Landings	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	0
NR: No Response						

Appendix J Transit Management Integration
Transit Management Integration Agencies for Metropolitan Area: Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River

	Greater Attleboro-Taunto (G	on Regional Transit Authority ATRA)	Rhode Island Public Transit Authority		
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005	
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes		Yes		
Transit operators in the region that use the same electronic payment system					
	Greater Attleboro-Taunto	n Regional Transit Authority	None listed		
Toll operators from whom you accept electronic payment of transit					
fare through the use of ETC media	None listed		None listed		
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others					
Freeway Management agencies from which your agency receives					
freeway travel times, speeds, and conditions					
Receive Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	Rhode Island Department of Transportation	
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	
Arterial Management agencies from which your agency receives					
arterial travel times, speeds, and conditions					
Receive Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	
Incident Management agencies from which your agency receives					
incident severity, location, and type					
Receive Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	Rhode Island Department of Transportation	
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed	

Appendix K Transit Management Information Collection and Dissemination

Data Collection and Dissemination: Transit Management Agencies for Metropolitan Area: Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River

	Greater Attleboro-Ta Authority	unton Regional Transit ៸ (GATRA)	Rhode Island Public Transit Authority			
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005		
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes		Yes			
Methods used to disseminate transit information to the public						
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:						
Transit routes, schedules and fares	Telephone System	Kiosks, Internet Web Sites	NR	Monitors/VMS (not in vehicle), Kiosks, Internet Web Sites, Telephone System		
Real-time transit schedule adherence or arrival and departure times	NR	Kiosks, Internet Web Sites	NR	Monitors/VMS (not in vehicle), Kiosks, Internet Web Sites, Telephone System		
Technologies employed by other organization receiving your data						
Transit routes, schedules and fares	NR	Kiosks, Internet Web Sites	NR	NR		
Real-time transit schedule adherence or arrival and departure times	NR	Kiosks, Internet Web Sites	NR	NR		
Internet web site reporting transit routes, schedules and fare, etc.	www.gatra.org		www.ripta.com			
Telephone system for reporting transit information to the public	508-823-8828 508-266-1102		401-781-9400			
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	Massachusetts Associati Authorities Federal Transit Administr Database Executive Office of Trans	on of Regional Transit ration Transit GIS sportation and	Nono			
Data collected, archived, and/or transferred to another agency			None			
Collected by your agency	Passenger information (e.g., surveys, O/D), Trip itinerary planning records, Passenger	Vehicle monitoring status, Vehicle time and		Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures, Intermodal (air, rail, water) conditions, Incidents, Weather conditions, Route designations (snow emergency, etc), Passenger information (e.g., surveys, O/D), Passenger count,		

Data Collection and Dissemination: Transit Management Agencies for Metropolitan Area: Providence, Pawtucket, Fall River

	Greater Attleboro-Tau Authority	inton Regional Transit (GATRA)	Rhode Island Public Transit Authority		
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005	
Archived by your agency	Passenger information (e.g., surveys, O/D), Trip itinerary planning	Vehicle monitoring		Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures, Intermodal (air, rail, water) conditions, Incidents, Weather conditions, Route designations (snow emergency, etc), Passenger information (e.g., surveys, O/D), Passenger count	
	count	location	NR	Vehicle time and location	
Transferred to another agency by your agency	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Importance of making information available to the public					
Ranked High	Vehicle time and location		Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures, Intermodal (air, rail, water) conditions, Route designations (snow emergency, etc), Vehicle time and location		
Ranked Medium	NR		NR		
Ranked Low	Vehicle monitoring status records, Passenger count	, Trip itinerary planning	Incidents, Weather conditions, Passenger information (e.g., surveys, O/D), Passenger count		
Groups that make requests for the data	MPOs, Federal DOT pers personnel	onnel, State DOT	MPOs, Media (I.e., TV stations, radio stations), Federal DOT personnel, State DOT personnel, Universities		
What is the data used for?	Planning		Planning		

Appendix L Emergency Management

	Total \	/ehicles	Navi Capa	gation bilities	A	VL	с	AD	CAD E with Mo Terr	quipped bile Data minal	Veł Equipj Pree	nicles ped with mption	Formal rogram	Info to other	
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	Participate in Incident Mgt F	Send Incident agencies	List of agencies receiving data
Cronstan City Emorrongy Madical Sanjiaga	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	4		0	0	0	Vaa	Vaa	Rhode Island Department
Cranston City Emergency Medical Services	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	Voo	Voc	State Eiro Marchal
Cranston City Einergency Medical Services (Other)	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	Vec	Vec	State Fire Marshal
Cranston City Police Department	36	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No	Vec	None listed
East Providence Emergency Medical Services	5	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	0	3	0	0	Ves	No	None listed
East Providence Fire Department	9	7	0	0	0	0	g g	7	0	7	0	0	Yes	No	None listed
East Providence Police Department	35	35	0	0	0	0	35	35	10	15	0	0	No	No	None listed
Fall River City Emergency Medical Services	3	4	0	NR	0	NR	0	NR	NR	NR	0	NR	Yes	No	None listed
Fall River City Eire Denartment	13	13	0	NR	0	NR	0	NR	0	NR	0	NR	Yes	No	None listed
New Bedford City Fire Department	12	NR	0	NR	0	NR	0	NR	0	NR	0	NR	Yes	No	None listed
New Bedford City Police Department	94	100	0	NR	0	NR	0	NR	0	NR	0	NR	No	No	None listed
Pawtucket City Fire Department (FMS)	4	NR	0	NR	0	NR	0	NR	0	NR	0	NR	Yes	No	None listed
Pawtucket Police Department	75	80	0	NR	0	NR	75	80	0	0	0	0	No	Yes	State of Rhode Island
Providence Police Department	225	225	0	200	100	200	225	225	100	200	0	NR	Yes	Yes	Providence Emergency Medical Services, Rhode Island Emergency Management Services
Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management	5	NR	1	NR	1	NR	0	NR	0	NR	2	NR	Yes	No	None listed
							-		-		-				Rhode Island Healthy
Warwick Emergency Medical Services	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	Yes	Yes	Department
Warwick Fire Department	NR	17	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	1	17	Yes	Yes	Rhode Island Health Department, Rhode Island State Fire Marshal
IVVarwick Police Department	43	43	0	10	0	10	43	43	122	4()	0	10	NO	INO	None listed