Tracking the Deployment of the Integrated Metropolitan ITS Infrastructure in Jacksonville

FY99 Results

For additional information, please contact:

Joseph I. Peters, Ph.D. ITS Program Assessment Coordinator ITS Joint Program Office, Room 3416 400 Seventh St., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20590 (202) 366-2202 FAX: (202) 493-2027 E-mail: joe.peters@fhwa.dot.gov

Table of Contents

Part 1 - Background and Purpose	1
Part 2 - Summary 1999 Survey Results	3
Part 3 - Detailed 1999 Survey Results	7
Freeway Management Component Indicators	9
Freeway Management Integration Indicators	11
Incident Management Component Indicators	13
Incident Management Integration Indicators	15
Arterial Management Component Indicators	17
Arterial Management Integration Indicators	19
Electronic Toll Collection Component Indicators	21
Electronic Toll Collection Integration Indicators	22
Transit Management Component Indicators	
Transit Management Integration Indicators	24
Electronic Fare Payment Component Indicators	26
Electronic Fare Payment Integration Indicators	
Highway-Rail Intersection Component Indicators	28
Highway-Rail Intersection Integration Indicators	
Emergency Management Component Indicators	
Emergency Management Integration Indicators	
Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Component Indicators	
Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Integration Indicators	33
Appendix A. Survey Coverage Area	
Appendix B. Surveyed Agencies	
Appendix C. Freeway Management Components	
Appendix D. Freeway Management Integration	
Appendix E. Freeway Management Information Collection and Dissemination	
Appendix F. Arterial Management Components	
Appendix G. Arterial Management Integration	
Appendix H. Arterial Management Information Collection and Dissemination	
Appendix I. Transit Management Components	
Appendix J. Transit Management Integration	
Appendix K. Transit Management Information Collection and Dissemination	
Appendix L. Emergency Management	L.1

Part 1 - Background and Purpose

In January 1996, Secretary Peña set a goal of deploying the integrated metropolitan Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) infrastructure in 75^1 of the nation's largest metropolitan areas by 2006:

"I'm setting a national goal: to build an intelligent transportation infrastructure across the United States to save time and lives, and improve the quality of life for Americans. I believe that what we do, we must measure . . . Let us set a very tangible target that will focus our attention . . . I want 75 of our largest metropolitan areas outfitted with a complete intelligent transportation infrastructure in 10 years."²

-- Secretary Peña, 1996

In 1997, the U.S. Department of Transportation initiated an effort to track progress toward fulfillment of this goal by conducting a survey of deployment in the nation's largest metropolitan areas. Traditionally, the product of a transportation infrastructure investment consists of a fixed asset such as a highway, bridge, or public transportation vehicle developed, constructed, or purchased by a single agency. Tracking the level of deployment for such traditional fixed assets can be accomplished by simply counting the number of such assets deployed. Measuring the deployment of the metropolitan ITS infrastructure is more complex because it consists of a set of systems, often deployed by multiple agencies, and integrated through a combination of complex institutional and technical arrangements. In brief, it is often difficult to simply count the number of systems deployed without first devising a measurement approach that captures the essential features of such systems in a consistent fashion across many deployment environments.

In order to track progress toward fulfillment of the Secretary's goal for deployment, the U.S. Department of Transportation ITS Joint Program Office developed the metropolitan ITS deployment tracking methodology. This methodology tracks deployment of the nine components that make up the Metropolitan ITS infrastructure: Freeway Management; Incident Management; Arterial Management; Emergency Management; Transit Management; Electronic Toll Collection; Electronic Fare Payment; Highway-Rail Intersections; and Regional Multimodal Traveler Information. Through a set of indicators tied to the major functions of each component, the level of deployment is tracked for the nation's largest metropolitan areas. In addition, the integration links between agencies operating the infrastructure are also tracked. The details of

¹ Since Secretary Peña's speech, the number of metropolitan areas that DOT will measure has been increased from 75 to 78. However, to maintain reporting consistency across the 10-year goal period, this report considers only the original 75 metropolitan areas.

² Excerpt of a speech delivered by Secretary of Transportation Peña at the Transportation Research Board in Washington, DC on January 10, 1996.

the methodology are explained elsewhere.³

During the summer and fall of 1999, the U.S. DOT undertook a new data collection effort for the purpose of examining ITS deployment progress in the nation's largest metropolitan areas. The Jacksonville metropolitan area was among the areas surveyed in 1997 and again in 1999. This report presents the results of the 1999 survey efforts and compares the results of the 1997 survey against those observed in 1999. The overall response rate for the surveys administered in the Jacksonville region was 93% in 1997 and 100% in 1999.

Part 2 contains a summary of the 1999 survey results, and Part 3 provides a comparison of 1999 survey results and the 1997 survey results.

The report also contains a set of appendices containing a map of the survey area, the list of local contacts surveyed along with a status of their response to the survey and a summary of the data collected from the surveys.

Agencies are encouraged to review the data presented in this report for completeness and accuracy and to direct any comments or corrections to the data provided to the contacts listed below:

Steve Gordon Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box 2008, 4500N, MS-6207 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6207 (865) 576-8416 (voice) (865) 574-3895 (fax) gordonsr@ornl.gov

Jeff Trombly Science Applications International Corporation 301 Laboratory Road Oak Ridge, TN 37831-2501 (865) 481-8563 (voice) (865) 481-2941 (fax) jeffrey.w.trombly@saic.com

³ Additional Resources: "Measuring ITS Deployment and Integration" (Electronic Document Number: 4372). U.S. Department of Transportation, Joint Program Office for Intelligent Transportation Systems, 400 Seventh St., SW (HVH-1), Washington, DC 20590, Phone: 202-366-9536, Fax: 202-366-3302, Web: http://www.its.dot.gov.

Part 2 - Summary 1999 Survey Results

Deployment indicators have been developed for two broad areas of interest: (1) the individual components, including their basic functions and characteristics and (2) integration of components, including how these components work together to provide coordinated regional service. As mentioned earlier, these indicators are expressed as percentages of the possible deployment opportunity and not necessarily what should be deployed based on local needs. Requirements for deployment and integration between each component will vary based on local conditions and cannot be assigned without extensive coordination with individual metropolitan areas.

The following two figures portray the surrogate indicators for each of the nine components in Jacksonville and the same indicators at the national level. These are judged to be the single best representative of a component and are being used as summary indicator for component. The summary indicators are expressed as a percentage; however, because deployment goals have yet to be established, these indicators should not be read as a comparison of what is deployed versus eventual deployment goals. Instead, they only reflect what is deployed compared to full market saturation (i.e., opportunity for deployment).

Each component indicator was selected to reflect a critical function of the individual components. For example, in the case of Freeway Management, three basic functions were defined: surveillance, traffic control, and information display. The three indicators developed to reflect these functions are: percentage of freeway centerline miles under electronic surveillance (surveillance function), percentage of freeway entrance ramps managed by ramp meters (traffic control function), and percentage of freeway centerline miles covered by permanent VMS, HAR, or in-vehicle signing (information display function). The indicators are surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of metropolitan ITS deployment activity.

A critical aspect of ITS that provides much of its capability is the integration of individual components to form a unified regional traffic control system. Individual ITS components routinely collect information that is used for purposes internal to that component. For example, the Arterial Management component monitors arterial conditions to revise signal timing and to convey these conditions to travelers through such technologies as variable message signs and highway advisory radio. Other ITS components can make use of this information in formulating their control strategies. For example, Transit Management may alter routes and schedules based on real-time information on arterial traffic conditions, and Freeway Management may alter ramp metering or diversion recommendations based on the same information.

As with the component indicators, definitions for inter- and intra-component integration were developed for each component, and indicators, derived from these definitions, were produced for each component. A total of 34 individual integration indicators was specified and is portrayed in the third figure which follows. Each integration indicator has been assigned a number and an origin/destination path from one ITS infrastructure component to another. For example, the


integration of information from the Freeway Management component to the Regional Multimodal Traveler Information component is identified by the number "10."

Data as of 5/1/00


Jacksonville Summary Indicators* Freeway miles with real-time traffic 0% 0% data collection technologies 13% Freeway miles covered by on-call 3% 1% 1% service patrols Arterial miles covered by on-call 0% No Response service patrols No Response Signalized intersections under 18% 27% centralized or closed loop control No Response Toll collection lanes with ETC No Response capability No Response No Response Fixed-route transit vehicles No Response equipped with AVL No Response No Response Fixed-route buses accepting 100% electronic fare payment 100% Highway-rail intersections under 0% No Response electronic surveillance No Response Emergency management vehicles under CAD Freeway conditions disseminated 0% 0% to the public 13% 50% 0% 10% 30% 60% 70% 80% 20% 40% 90% 100% 1997 1999 Percent Deployment Opportunity** 2005

* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.

** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity ** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need



Jacksonville Integration Links

Note: Shading indicates the value of the link. For example a circle half shaded equals 50%

Link	Description	Link	Description
1	Arterial Management to Regional	2	Arterial Management to Freeway
	Multimodal Traveler Information		Management
3	Arterial Management to Transit	4	Arterial Management to Incident
	Management		Management
5	Incident Management to Arterial	6	Incident Management to Regional
	Management		Multimodal Traveler Information
7	Incident Management to Emergency	8	Incident Management to Freeway
	Management.		Management
9	Incident Management to Transit	10	Freeway Management to Regional
	Management		Multimodal Traveler Information
11	Freeway Management to Arterial	12	Freeway Management to Transit
	Management		Management

Link	Description	Link	Description
13	Freeway Management to Incident	14a	Transit Management to Regional
	Management		Multimodal Traveler Information
			(static route information)
		14b	Transit Management to Regional
			Multimodal Traveler Information
			(schedule adherence information)
15a	Transit Management to Freeway	16a	Transit Management to Arterial
	Management		Management
15b	Transit Management to Freeway	16b	Transit Management to Arterial
	Management (transit vehicle probes)		Management (transit vehicle probes)
17	Electronic Toll Collection to	18	Electronic Toll Collection to Arterial
	Freeway Management (ETC		Management (ETC equipped probes)
	equipped probes)		
19	Electronic Fare Payment and	20	Electronic Fare Payment to Transit
	Electronic Toll Collection		Management
21a	Emergency Management to Incident	22	Emergency Management to Arterial
	Management (incident notification)		Management
21b	Emergency Management to Incident		
	Management (incident clearance)		
23	Highway-rail intersections to	24	Highway-rail intersections to Arterial
	Incident Management (crossing		Management (crossing status)
	status)		
25	Incident Management intra	26	Arterial Management intra component
	component		
27	Electronic Fare Payment intra	28	Electronic Toll Collection intra
	component.		component
29	Transit Management to Incident	30	Freeway Management intra
	Management (incident reporting)		component

Part 3 - Detailed 1999 Survey Results

The following figures and tables summarize the complete set of component and integration indicators developed for the Jacksonville metropolitan area. The figures summarizing the component indicators consist of a bar chart portraying the deployment levels for 1997, 1999, and 2005 accompanied by detailed tables of the data used to calculate each component indicator value (*Num* stands for numerator and *Den* stands for denominator; blank space indicates that no response was received.)

Example: Calculating Component Indicators for Freeway Management

Consider a metropolitan area with 100 miles of freeway and 25 freeway entrance ramps. The area has no ramp meters, 10 freeway miles for which traffic data are collected electronically, and 5 freeway miles, which are covered by highway advisory radio.

The component indicator for electronic surveillance is calculated as (10/100) or 10%.

The component indicator for ramp meter control is calculated as (0/25) or 0%.

The component indicator for HAR coverage is calculated as (5/100) or 5%.

The summary indicator for the metropolitan area is calculated as (10%+0%+5%)/3 = 5%.


The figures summarizing the integration indicators consist of a diagram for each of the nine metropolitan ITS components portraying the integration level for 1999 (*italic*) and 2005 (**bold**), accompanied by tables providing an explanation of the data and calculations performed to develop each integration indicator value for 1999 and 2005. Each diagram portrays the proportion of agencies providing information to a component (e.g., the flow of incident information from Incident Management to Freeway Management) and the proportion of agencies providing information to other components (e.g., the flow of freeway travel condition information from Freeway Management to Arterial Management).

Example: Calculating Integration between Arterial Management and Regional Multimodal Traveler Information

Consider a metropolitan area with three arterial management agencies. One out of three provides information to the public using a Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Media (e.g., internet, kiosk, pager, etc...). The integration indicator is 1/3 or 33%.

Freeway Management Component Indicators

Data as of 5/1/00



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.


** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

		1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	
Freeway centerline miles are under electronic surveillance for monitoring traffic flow	0	160	0%	0	160	0%	20	160	13%	
Freeway entrance ramps are controlled by ramp meters or miles under lane control	0	160	0%							

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Freeway entrance ramps					76			76	
are controlled by ramp									
meters									
Freeway centerline miles					160			160	
will be controlled by lane									
control									
Freeway miles are	100	160	63%						
covered by VMS, HAR,									
or IVS									
Freeway miles are				20	160	13%	25	160	16%
covered by VMS									
Freeway miles are					160			160	
covered by HAR									
Freeway miles are					160			160	
covered by IVS									

Freeway Management Integration Indicators

Jacksonville Freeway Management Integration*



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity


Link Description	1999	2005
2. Arterial Management agencies sending information to Freeway	(1/4)	(2/4)
Management	25%	50%
8. Incident Management agencies sending information to Freeway	(0/1)	(0/1)
Management	0%	0%
15a. Transit management agencies with vehicles equipped with	(0/1)	(0/1)
ramp meter priority	0%	0%
15b. Transit Management agencies with vehicles equipped as	(0/1)	(0/1)
probes	0%	0%
17. Freeway Management agencies receiving freeway conditions	(0/1)	(0/1)
from vehicle probes	0%	0%
30. Freeway Management agencies sending information to another	(0/1)	(0/1)
Freeway Management agency	0%	0%
11. Freeway Management agencies sending information to Arterial	(0/1)	(0/1)
Management	0%	0%

Link Description	1999	2005
10. Freeway Management agencies disseminating freeway	(0/1)	(1/1)
conditions to the public	0%	100%
12. Freeway Management agencies sending freeway conditions to	(0/1)	(0/1)
Transit Management	0%	0%
13. Freeway Management agencies sending freeway conditions to	(0/1)	(0/1)
Incident Management	0%	0%

Incident Management Component Indicators

Data as of 5/1/00

Jacksonville Freeway and Arterial Incident Management*



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.


** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

		1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	
Freeway miles are	0	160	0%		160			160		
covered by incident										
detection algorithms										
Freeway miles are	0	160	0%		160			160		
covered by free cellular										
phone calls to a										
dedicated number										
Freeway miles are	4	160	3%	3	160	2%	33	160	21%	
covered by surveillance										
cameras.										

	1997		1999			2005			
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Freeway miles are	4	160	3%	2	160	1%		160	
covered by on-call									
publicly-sponsored									
service patrol or towing									
services.									
Arterial miles are	0	421	0%		421			421	
covered by incident									
detection algorithms	-		-						
Arterial miles are	0	421	0%		421			421	
covered by free cellular									
phone calls to a									
dedicated number									
Arterial miles are	2	421	0%		421			421	
covered by surveillance									
cameras									
Arterial miles are	0	421	0%		421			421	
covered by on-call									
publicly-sponsored									
service patrol or towing									
services									

Incident Management Integration Indicators

Jacksonville



Incident Management Integration*


* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
21a. Incident management agencies receiving incident severity from	(0/1)	(0/1)
Emergency Management	0%	0%
21b. Incident management agencies receiving incident clearance	(0/1)	(0/1)
activities from Emergency Management	0%	0%
13. Freeway Management agencies sending freeway conditions to	(0/1)	(0/1)
Incident Management	0%	0%
4. Arterial Management agencies sending arterial conditions to Incident	(1/4)	(2/4)
Management	25%	50%
23. Arterial Management agencies receive information on highway-rail	(0/4)	(0/4)
intersection crossing blockages for the purpose of managing incident	0%	0%
response		
29. Transit Management agencies report traffic incidents as part of an	(0/1)	(0/1)
organized regional incident management program	0%	0%

Link Description	1999	2005
7. Incident management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(1/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Emergency Management agencies	0%	100%
9. Incident Management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(1/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Transit Management agencies	0%	100%
6. Incident Management agencies disseminate information describing	(0/1)	(1/1)
incident severity, location, and type to the public	0%	100%
5. Incident Management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(0/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Arterial Management agencies	0%	0%
8. Incident Management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(0/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Freeway Management agencies	0%	0%
25. Police, fire, and EMS agencies participating in a formal incident	(8/9)	(8/9)
management plan/team	89%	89%

Arterial Management Component Indicators

Data as of 5/1/00




* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.

** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997				1999		2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Arterial miles covered	50	421	12%						
by electronic									
surveillance									
Signalized intersections				136	1884	7%	288	1968	15%
are covered by									
electronic surveillance									
for monitoring traffic									
flow									
Signalized intersections	317	1802	18%	504	1884	27%	703	1968	36%
are under centralized or									
closed loop control									

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Arterial miles are	36	421	9%						
covered by VMS, HAR,									
or IVS									
Arterial miles are					421			421	
covered by VMS									
Arterial miles are					421			421	
covered by HAR									
Arterial miles are					421			421	
covered by IVS									

Arterial Management Integration Indicators



Jacksonville

* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity


Link Description	1999	2005
16a. Transit management agencies with vehicles equipped with traffic	(0/1)	(0/1)
signal priority	0%	0%
16b. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped as probes on	(0/1)	(0/1)
arterials	0%	0%
22. Emergency Management agencies have vehicles equipped with	(0/9)	(0/9)
traffic signal preemption capability	0%	0%
24. Arterial Management agencies have traffic signals within 200 feet of	(2/4)	(2/4)
a highway rail intersection with the capability of having their signal	50%	50%
timing adjusted in response to a train crossing		
18. Number of Arterial Management agencies receiving information	(0/4)	(0/4)
from vehicle probes	0%	0%
5. Incident Management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(0/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Arterial Management	0%	0%

Link Description	1999	2005
11. Freeway Management agencies transfer freeway travel times,	(0/1)	(0/1)
speeds, and conditions to Arterial Management agencies	0%	0%
3. Arterial Management agencies transfer arterial travel times, speeds,	(0/4)	(0/4)
and conditions to Transit Management	0%	0%
1. Arterial Management agencies disseminate arterial travel times,	(0/4)	(1/4)
speeds, and conditions to the public	0%	25%
2. Arterial Management agencies send traffic condition information to	(1/4)	(2/4)
Freeway Management	25%	50%
4. Arterial Management agencies transfer arterial travel times, speeds,	(1/4)	(2/4)
and conditions to Incident Management	25%	50%
26. Arterial Management agencies under cooperative agreement to share	(4/4)	(4/4)
traffic signal timing for coordinated response	100%	100%

Electronic Toll Collection Component Indicators

Data as of 5/1/00

Jacksonville Electronic Toll Collection*



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity. ** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Toll collection plazas									
with ETC capability									
Toll collection lanes									
with ETC capability									

Electronic Toll Collection Integration Indicators Jacksonville Electronic Toll Collection Integration* Inputs Outputs **Probe Vehicle** Times Affect Timing 0% 0% (18) ► Share (19) 0% -0% Common (17) Fare Media 0% 0% 28 N/R N/R Probe Vehicle Times **Toll Operators** Affect Control with Common Strategy Tags Legend 1999, **2005**


* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

1999	2005
(0/4)	(0/4)
0%	0%
(0/1)	(0/1)
0%	0%
(0/1)	(0/1)
0%	0%
(0/)	(0/)
-	$ \begin{array}{c} (0/4) \\ 0\% \\ (0/1) \\ 0\% \\ (0/1) \\ 0\% \\ \end{array} $

Transit Management Component Indicators

Data as of 5/1/00






* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity. ** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Fixed-route transit					144			190	
vehicles are equipped									
with AVL									
Fixed-route transit					144			190	
vehicles are equipped									
with electronic									
monitoring of vehicle									
component									
Paratransit vehicles					22				
operate under computer-									
aided dispatch									
Percent fixed-route									
transfer locations with									
electronic display of									
information									
Bus stops display				3	6032	0%	25	6150	0%
information to the									
public									

Transit Management Integration Indicators



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity


Link Description	1999	2005
3. Arterial Management agencies transfer arterial travel times, speeds,	(0/4)	(0/4)
and conditions to Transit Management	0%	0%
9. Incident management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(1/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Transit Management	0%	100%
12. Freeway Management agencies transfer freeway travel times,	(0/1)	(0/1)
speeds, and conditions to Transit Management	0%	0%
20. Transit Management agencies using Electronic Fare Payment data in	(1/1)	(1/1)
transit service planning	100%	100%
16a. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped with traffic	(0/1)	(0/1)
signal priority capability	0%	0%
16b. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped as probes on	(0/1)	(0/1)
arterials	0%	0%
14a. Transit Management agencies disseminate information describing	(1/1)	(1/1)
transit routes, schedules, and fares to travelers	100%	100%

Link Description	1999	2005
14b. Transit Management agencies disseminate information describing	(1/1)	(1/1)
schedule/route adherence to travelers	100%	100%
15a. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped with ramp	(0/1)	(0/1)
meter priority capability	0%	0%
15b. Transit Management agencies have vehicles equipped as probes on	(0/1)	(0/1)
freeways	0%	0%
29. Transit Management agencies that report traffic incidents as part of	(0/1)	(0/1)
an organized regional Incident Management program	0%	0%

Electronic Fare Payment Component Indicators

Data as of 5/1/00

Jacksonville Electronic Fare Payment*



* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity. ** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Fixed-route transit				144	144	100%	190	190	100%
vehicles that accept									
electronic payment									
Rail transit stations that									
accept electronic									
payment									

Electronic Fare Payment Integration Indicators Jacksonville Electronic Fare Payment Integration* Inputs Outputs Share Transit 0% 100% Common Service (20) 19 Fare 0% 100% Planning Media {27 0% **Transit Operators** 0% with Common Fare Media Legend 1999 2005


* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
19. Transit agencies that accept electronic payment through the use of	(0/1)	(0/1)
electronic toll collection media	0%	0%
20. Transit Management agencies use Electronic Fare Payment data in	(1/1)	(1/1)
transit service planning	100%	100%
27. Transit Management agencies that use the same electronic payment	(0/1)	(0/1)
system	0%	0%

Highway Rail Intersection Component Indicators

Data as of 5/1/00

Jacksonville Highway-Rail Intersections*




* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.

** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Highway-rail intersections	0	111	0%						
are under electronic									
surveillance									

Highway Rail Intersection Integration Indicators Jacksonville Jacksonville Highway Rail Intersections Integration* Inputs Outputs




* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
24. Arterial Management agencies with traffic signals within 200 feet of	(2/4)	(2/4)
a highway rail intersection with the capability of having their signal	50%	50%
timing adjusted in response to a train crossing		
23. Arterial Management agencies receive information on highway-rail	(0/4)	(0/4)
intersection crossing blockages for the purpose of managing incident	0%	0%
response		

Emergency Management Component Indicators

Data as of 5/1/00




* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.

** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Public sector emergency	2375	3001	79%	1408	1933	73%	1792	2281	79%
vehicles that operate									
under computer-aided									
dispatch									
Public sector emergency	2	3001	0%	2	1933	0%	161	2281	7%
vehicles that have in-									
vehicle route guidance									
capability									

Emergency Management Integration Indicators

Jacksonville Emergency Management Integration*




* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
7. Freeway Management agencies transfer information describing	(0/1)	(1/1)
incident severity, location, and type to Emergency Management agencies	0%	100%
22. Emergency Management agencies have vehicles equipped with	(0/9)	(0/9)
traffic signal preemption capability	0%	0%
21a. Freeway Management agencies receive incident severity, location,	(0/1)	(0/1)
and type data from Emergency Management agencies	0%	0%
21b. Freeway Management agencies receive incident clearance	(0/1)	(0/1)
activities information from Emergency Management agencies	0%	0%

Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Component Indicators

Data as of 5/1/00




* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity.

** Deployment opportunity reflects potential totals that do not necessarily reflect actual need.

	1997			1999			2005		
Description	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%	Num	Den	%
Freeway conditions	0	160	0%	0	160	0%	20	160	13%
disseminated to									
travelers									
Possible RMTI media				2	8	25%	5	8	63%
types are used to									
display information to									
travelers									
Possible RMTI media				1	8	13%	4	8	50%
are used to display									
information on two or									
more modes to									
travelers									

Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Integration Indicators Jacksonville Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Integration*




* Indicators are single surrogates that do not necessarily reflect the full breadth of ITS deployment activity

Link Description	1999	2005
14a. Transit Management agencies that disseminate information	(1/1)	(1/1)
describing transit routes, schedules, and fares to travelers	100%	100%
14b. Transit Management agencies that disseminate information	(1/1)	(1/1)
describing schedule/route adherence to travelers	100%	100%
1. Arterial Management agencies that disseminate arterial travel times,	(0/4)	(1/4)
speeds, and conditions to the public	0%	25%
10. Freeway Management agencies that disseminate freeway travel	(0/1)	(1/1)
times, speeds, and conditions to travelers	0%	100%
6. Incident Management agencies that disseminate information	(0/1)	(1/1)
describing incident severity, location, and type to the public	0%	100%

Appendix A Survey Coverage Area

JACKSONVILLE URBANIZED AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION, FL



Appendix B Surveyed Agencies

Surveyed Agencies

Agency Name	Phone	Fax	199	1999		97	
			Out	In	Out	In	
	JACK	SONVILLE					
Arterial Management							
Duval County	(904) 387-8953	(904) 387-8894	8/5/1999	9/7/1999	8/14/1997	9/15/1997	
Florida Department of Transportation	(904) 381-8807	(904) 381-6078	8/5/1999	9/2/1999	8/14/1997	8/26/1997	
St. Johns County	(904) 823-2658	(904) 823-2667	8/5/1999	10/18/1999	8/14/1997	9/9/1997	
Clay County	(904) 284-6359	(904) 284-4706	8/5/1999	9/28/1999	8/14/1997	10/23/1997	
Emergency Management			· · · ·				
Clay County Sheriff Department	(904) 284-4581	(904) 284-0710	6/2/1999	6/2/1999	8/14/1997	10/10/1997	
Jacksonville City Police/Sheriff Department	(904) 630-2205	(904) 630-7319	6/2/1999	6/2/1999	8/14/1997	9/29/1997	
St. Johns County EMS- Fire	(904) 824-5550	(904) 824-9920	6/3/1999	8/17/1999	8/14/1997	8/18/1997	
Clay County Fire Department	(904) 269-6330	(904) 284-7424	6/2/1999	6/2/1999	8/14/1997	10/10/1997	
Jacksonville Fire & Rescue Department	904-798-1145	904-798-0010	5/26/1999	8/23/1999	8/14/1997	8/26/1997	
St. Augustine Beach Police Department	904-825-1070	904-829-2554	5/24/1999	8/16/1999			
St. Johns County Sheriff Department	(904) 824-8304	(904) 810-6707	5/24/1999	6/9/1999	8/14/1997	9/12/1997	
Jacksonville Fire & Rescue Department	904-798-1145	904-798-0010	5/26/1999	8/23/1999	8/14/1997	8/26/1997	
St. Johns County EMS- Emergency Medical	904-823-2644		5/24/1999	8/17/1999	8/14/1997	8/18/1997	
Freeway Management			·				
Florida Department of Transportation	(904) 381-8807	(904) 381-6078	7/29/1999	9/2/1999	8/14/1997	8/25/1997	
МРО							
Jacksonville Planning & Development	(904) 630-1955	(904) 630-2828	7/15/1999	9/1/1999			
Transit Management	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · ·	I			
Jacksonville Transportation Authority	(904) 630-3153	(904) 630-3168	8/9/1999	8/25/1999	7/21/1997		

Appendix C Freeway Management Components

	Florida Departmen	t of Transportation
	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes	
FREEWAY MANAGEMENT SECTION		
Number of freeway centerline miles that agency owns or maintains	130	
Number of freeway centerline miles that is used for planning	130	
Number of freeway entrance ramps that agency owns, operates or maintains	NR	
Number of freeway entrance ramps that is used for planning	NR	
Type of facilities used to conduct freeway/incident management activities		
Activities housed in a free-standing dedicated building?	No	
Activities housed in a building shared with other activities?	Yes	
Activities conducted in a dedicated control room?	Yes	
Control room contains operator console(s)?	Yes	
Control room contains electronic wall map?	Yes	
Control room contains CCTV display(s)?	Yes	
Activities conducted in a room containing workstations or PCs that manage traffic?	No	
Facilities are electronically linked to other transportation mgt facilities?	No	
Staffing and hours of operation of freeway/incident management activities		
Number of full-time agency staff members	6	
Number of full time contractor staff members	NR	
Number of part-time agency staff members	NR	
Number of part-time contractor staff members	NR	
Staffed 24 hours day by agency staff or by others	NR	
Staffed during peak hours only by agency staff or by others	agency	
Staffed by others during off-peak hours	Yes	
Agency staff perform transportation management as an ancillary duty	No	
Agency staff dedicated to transportation management duty	No	
Types of operations conducted for freeway/incident management		
Incident detection and management?	Yes	
This metropolitan area?	Yes	
Other metropolitan area?	No	
Statewide?	No	
Monitoring and troubleshooting status of system components?	Yes	
Manual override of ramp metering rates at freeway on-ramps?	No	
Operating transportation management roadside devices?	Yes	
Radio communications with other agencies?	No	
Exchange of electronic data with other agencies such as computer aided dispatch?	Yes	
Real-Time Traffic Data Collection Technologies		
Total number of miles under surveillance with real-time data collection tech.	0	20

	Florida Departmer	nt of Transportation
	1999	2005
Number of Stations with data collection technologies		
Loop detectors	0	26
Video imaging detectors	0	41
Probe readers (elec. toll tags, transit vehicles, other technology)	0	0
Microwave radar	0	6
Other (e.g., acoustic detectors)	0	0
Number of Miles covered with data collection technologies	Ű	Ŭ
Loop detectors	0	12
Video imaging detectors	0	6
Probe readers (elec. toll tags, transit vehicles, other technology)	0	0
Microwave radar	0	2
Other (e.g., acoustic detectors)	0	0
Variable Message Signs (VMS) on Freeways	-	-
Candidate locations for deployment of VMS where VMS has been deployed	8	10
Candidate locations for deployment of VMS	NR	NR
Roadside Technologies used to Distribute Traveler Information		
Total number of miles where information is distributed	NR	NR
Number deployed		
Highway advisory radio	0	0
In-vehicle signing	0	0
Portable variable message signs	0	0
Other	0	0
Miles covered		
Highway advisory radio	0	0
In-vehicle signing	0	0
Portable variable message signs	0	0
Other	0	0
Ramp Meters on Freeways		
Number of entrance ramp meters operated under isolated control	NR	NR
Number of entrance ramp meters operated under central control	NR	NR
Number of entrance ramp meters that provide preemption for emergency vehicles	NR	NR
Number of entrance ramp meters that provide priority for transit vehicles	NR	NR
Total number of metered ramps	NR	NR
Freeway centerline miles under lane control	NR	NR
Communication Links		
Freeway centerline miles covered by the following type of communication		
Twisted pair cable	0	0
Coaxial cable	0	0
Fiber-optic cable	6	21
Microwave radio	0	0
Other	0	0

	Florida Departmer	nt of Transportation
	1999	2005
ATMS Data Dictionary Sections 1 and 2 (ITE TM 1.01)	No	
ATMS Data Dictionary Sections 3 and 4 (ITE TM 1.02)	No	
Message Set for External TMC Communication (ITE-9604-1)	No	
NTCIP Class B Profile (AASHTO TS 3.3)	No	
NTCIP Data Collection and Monitoring Devices (AASHTO TS 3.DCM)	No	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Environmental Sensor Stations (AASHTO TS 3.7)	No	
NTICP Object Definitions for Dynamic Message Signs (AASHTO TS 3.6)	No	
NTICP Object Definitions for Highway Advisory Radio (AASHTO TS 3.HAR)	No	
NTICP Object Definitions for Ramp Meter Control (AASHTO TS 3.RMC)	No	
NTICP Object Definitions for Transportation Sensor Systems (AASHTO TS 3.TSS)	No	
NTICP Object Definitions for Video Camera Control (AASHTO TS 3.VCC)	No	
Would agency be willing to participate in testing of ITS Standards?	Yes	
Have agreements in place with other agencies to use similar hardware		
and software to aid maintenance and interoperability?	No	
INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SECTION		
Use of Service Patrols to Assist in Detection and Response to Incidents		
Publicly operated service patrol vehicles	No	
Privately operated service patrol vehicles operated under public contract	Yes	
Total number of freeway miles patrolled by these services	2	NR
Miles Covered by Methods to Detect and Verify Incidents		
Free cellular phone call to a dedicated phone number other than 911	NR	NR
Police patrols	NR	NR
Computer algorithms linked to traffic surveillance equipment	NR	NR
CCTV	3	33
Private sector sources (e.g., Shadow Traffic, SmartRoutes)	NR	NR
Other (e.g., free cell phone call to an area radio system, etc.)	NR	NR
Procedures in place for Freeway Incident Response?		
Working agreement(s)/arrangement(s) with other agencies	No	
Inter-agency incident management admin. team that meets regularly	No	
Major incident response team that responds to major incidents	Yes	
Set of goals/objectives for incident mgt that has been adopted by agencies in region	No	
Central focal point for facilitating the two-way flow of information		
among agencies responding to an incident?		
The central focal point is a Freeway or Traffic Management Center	No	
The central focal point is a Police, Fire or joint dispatch center	Yes	
The central focal point is another center	No	
Methods of Communication Used On-Site at an Incident		
Police		
Two-way radio	No	
800 MHz trunked radio	Yes	
Cellular telephone	Yes	

	Florida Departme	nt of Transportation
	1999	2005
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
Fire		
Two-way radio	No	
800 MHz trunked radio	No	
Cellular telephone	No	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
DOT		
Two-way radio	Yes	
800 MHz trunked radio	No	
Cellular telephone	No	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
Towing		
Two-way radio	Yes	
800 MHz trunked radio	No	
Cellular telephone	No	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No	
Which police agencies typically respond to incidents on freeways?		
State Police	Yes	
County Police or Sheriff	No	
City Police	No	
Who provides on-site emergency medical response?		
Fire	Yes	
Emergency Management Service Agency	No	
Private hospital	No	
Has a multi-agency contact list been developed in area containing the		
names, phone numbers, etc. for the appropriate response personnel?	Yes	
Is the Incident Command System used to manage incident scenes?	DK	
Is there a legal specification by state law or formal agreement as to who		
is "in charge" at the incident scene?		
Specified by state law?	Yes	
Formal agreement?	No	
Not specified or don't know?	No	
On-scene command post used to manage activities of responding agencies?	Yes	
Are there communication linkages to a communications traffic/freeway mgt center?	NR	
Plan developed and adopted by responding agencies for staging and parking		
response vehicles and equip. at incident site that minimizes lane blockage		
and facilitates the re-opening of lanes?	Yes	
Respondents protected through law or court opinion for liability claims		

	Florida Department of Transportation		
	1999	2005	
for damages to vehicles or cargoes during clearance activities?	DK		
Are overturned tank trucks, which are intact and not leaking, uprighted			
without first off-loading?	No		
Does your state or local jurisdiction have a law that requires drivers			
involved in property-damage-only accidents to move the vehicles			
from travel lanes to a safe location to exchange info and wait for police?	Yes		
Have laws or policies regarding the removal of stalled/abandoned vehicles			
from freeway shoulders?	Yes		
Hours abandoned vehicles are allowed to remain on a freeway shoulder?	0-24		
Have policies or procedures for quick removal of vehicles?	Yes		
Is Total Station equipment used to investigate major incidents?	No		
Handling of Towing Responses to Incidents			
Formal contract based on qualifications?	No		
Rotation with companies under contract?	No		
Separate lists kept for light and heavy response and for specialty recovery?	NR		
Rotation list with minimal qualifications?	Yes		
In towing qualifications, do you require towers to be certified under the			
Towing and Recovery Ass. of America's National Drivers Cert. Program?	DK		
DK: Don't know			
NR: No Response			
Leg: Legislation or action being planned			

Appendix D Freeway Management Integration

	Florida	Department of Transportation
Agency Name	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes	
Freeway Management Section		
Agencies your agency provides freeway travel times, speeds, and		
conditions information, share infrastructure or coordinates operation		
Freeway Management Agencies		
Provide Information	None listed	Florida Highway Patrol
Share Infrastructure	None listed	Florida Highway Patrol
Coordinate Operation	None listed	Florida Highway Patrol
Incident Management Agencies		
Provide Information	None listed	Florida Highway Patrol
Share Infrastructure	None listed	Florida Highway Patrol
Coordinate Operation	None listed	Florida Highway Patrol
Arterial Management Agencies		
Provide Information	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed
Public Transit Operators		
Provide Information	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others		
Incident Management agencies from which your agency receives		
incident severity, location, and type information	None listed	None listed
Arterial Management agencies from which your agency receives		
arterial travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	None listed
Public Transit operators from which your agency receives		
freeway travel times derived from vehicle probes	None listed	None listed
Toll Collection agencies from which your agency receives freeway travel		
times derived from vehicles probes	None listed	None listed
Freeway Incident Management Section		
Agencies your agency provides incident severity, location, and type info.		
and/or shares infrastructure and/or coordinates operation		
Arterial Management Agencies		
Provide Information	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed
Emergency Management Agencies		
Provide Information	None listed	Jacksonville City Police/Sheriff Department
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed

	Florida Department of Transportation			
Agency Name	1999	2005		
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed		
Freeway Management Agencies				
Provide Information	None listed	Florida Highway Patrol		
Share Infrastructure	None listed	Florida Highway Patrol		
Coordinate Operation	None listed	Florida Highway Patrol		
Public Transit Operators				
Provide Information	None listed	Jacksonville Transportation Authority		
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed		
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed		
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others				
Emergency Management agencies from which your agency receives				
incident clearance and/or incident severity and type				
Receive Arterial Incident Clearance Information	None listed	None listed		
Receive Arterial Incident Severity Information	None listed	None listed		
Arterial Management agencies from which your agency receives				
arterial travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	None listed		
Freeway Management agencies from which your agency receives				
freeway travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	None listed		

*short survey: Agency responded using a short survey. The survey did not include names of individual agencies, but only identified whether integration exists.

Appendix E Freeway Management Information Collection and Dissemination

Data Collection and Dissemination: Freeway Management Agencies for Metropolitan Area: Jacksonville

	Florida Department of Transportation		
Agency Name	1999	2005	
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes		
Freeway Management Section			
Data collected, archived, and/or transferred to another agency			
Collected by your agency			
	NR	Traffic volumes, Traffic speeds, Lane occupancy, Vehicle classification, Weather conditions, Incidents, Current work zones, Scheduled work zones, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures, Highway operations coordination information	
Archived by your agency	NR	Traffic volumes, Traffic speeds, Lane occupancy, Vehicle classification, Weather conditions, Incidents, Current work zones, Scheduled work zones, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures, Highway operations coordination information	
Transferred to another agency by your agency			
		Traffic volumes, Traffic speeds, Lane occupancy, Vehicle classification, Weather conditions, Incidents, Current work zones, Scheduled work zones, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures,	
	NR	Highway operations coordination information	
Importance of making information available to the public			
Ranked High	Weather conditions, Incidents, Current work zone procedures	es, Scheduled work zones, Emergency/evacuation routes and	
Ranked Medium	Traffic volumes, Traffic speeds, Lane occupancy	, Vehicle classification	
Ranked Low	NR		
Groups that make requests for the data	State DOT personnel, Federal DOT personnel, M Advanced Traveler Information Systems (ATIS) p	ledia (I.e., TV stations, radio stations), MPOs, Consultants, provi	
What is the data used for?	Traffic analysis, Planning, Dissemination to the p	public	
Methods used to disseminate freeway information to the public			
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:	NR	Telephone system, Internet Web sites, Pagers or personal data assistants, E-mail or other direct PC communication, Cell phone/voice, Facsimile	
Technologies your agency (through another agency or org.) uses to disseminate:	NR	Telephone system, Internet Web sites, Pagers or personal data assistants, E-mail or other direct PC communication, Cell phone/voice, Facsimile	
Internet web site reporting freeway conditions	not yet available		
Telephone system for reporting freeway information to the public	not yet available		
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	Traffic Center (Jacksonville)		
Freeway Incident Management Section			

Data Collection and Dissemination: Freeway Management Agencies for Metropolitan Area: Jacksonville

	Florida Department of Transportation		
Agency Name	1999	2005	
Methods used to distribute incident location and severity information			
to the public			
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:	NR	Telephone system, Internet Web sites, Pagers or personal data assistants, E-mail or other direct PC communication, Cell phone/voice, Facsimile	
Technologies your agency (through another agency or org.) uses to disseminate:	NR	NR	
Internet web site reporting incident information	not yet available		
Telephone system for reporting incident information to the public	not yet available		
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	NR		

Appendix F Arterial Management Components

	Clay	County	Duval	County	Florida Dep Transp	
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes		Yes		Yes	
ARTERIAL MANAGEMENT SECTION						
Number of arterial miles that agency owns or maintains	NR		NR		479	
Number of arterial miles that is used for planning	NR		NR		479	
Number of highway-rail intersections that agency maintains	NR		NR		NR	
Number of highway-rail intersections that is used for planning	0		NR		NR	
Type of facilities used to conduct arterial management activities						
Activities housed in a free-standing dedicated building?	Yes		No		No	
Activities housed in a building shared with other activities?	No		No		Yes	
Activities conducted in a dedicated control room?	No		Yes		Yes	
Control room contains operator console(s)?	Yes		No		Yes	
Control room contains electronic wall map?	No		No		Yes	
Control room contains CCTV display(s)?	No		No		No	
Activities conducted in a room containing workstations or PCs that manage traffic?	No		No		Yes	
Facilities are electronically linked to other transportation mgt facilities?	No		No		No	
Staffing and hours of operation of arterial management activities						
Number of full-time agency staff members	8		3		1	
Number of full time contractor staff members	0		NR		NR	
Number of part-time agency staff members	0		NR		0	
Number of part-time contractor staff members	0		NR		NR	
Staffed 24 hours day by agency staff or by others	agency		NR		NR	
Staffed during peak hours only by agency staff or by others	NR		NR		NR	
Staffed by others during off-peak hours	No		No		No	
Agency staff perform transportation management as an ancillary duty	No		No		No	
Agency staff dedicated to transportation management duty	No		No		No	
Types of operations conducted for arterial management						
Incident detection and management?	Yes		No		No	
This metropolitan area?	Yes		No		No	
Other metropolitan area?	No		No		No	
Monitoring and troubleshooting status of system components?	Yes		Yes		No	
Radio communications with other agencies?	No		No		No	
Exchange of electronic data with other agencies such as computer aided dispatch?	No		No		No	
Manual override of traffic signal timing plans	No		Yes		Yes	
Operating transportation mgt roadside devices (e.g., VMS, CCTV, etc.)	No		No		No	
Describe agency's role in traffic signal control	All roads	in county	All roads	in county	Do not	operate
Traffic Signals Operated by Agency						

Number of signalized intersections operated and owned by agency Number of signalized intersections operated by agency but owned by another Total number of signalized intersections operated by agency Characteristics of signalized intersections that agency operates Under closed loop or central system control Under real-time traffic adaptive control using advanced software Using SCOOT Using SCATS Name of software Allow signal preemption for emergency vehicles Allow signal priority for transit vehicles Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection	Clay C 1999 NR NR 90 13 0 No No No NR 4 2 2 2 1	2005 NR NR 105 38 0 4 2 2 1	1999 428 610 1,033 200 0 No No No NR 10 0 33	2005 438 620 1,058 260 0 	Transport 1999 NR NR 230 0 No No NR 8	2005 NR NR 730 330 0
Number of signalized intersections operated by agency but owned by another Total number of signalized intersections operated by agency Characteristics of signalized intersections that agency operates Under closed loop or central system control Under real-time traffic adaptive control using advanced software Using SCOOT Using SCATS Name of software Allow signal preemption for emergency vehicles Allow signal priority for transit vehicles Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection	NR 90 13 0 No No NR 4 2 2 2	NR 105 38 0 4 2 2	610 1,033 200 0 No No NR 10 0	620 1,058 260 0 	NR 700 230 0 No No NR 8	NR 730 330 0
Number of signalized intersections operated by agency but owned by another Total number of signalized intersections operated by agency Characteristics of signalized intersections that agency operates Under closed loop or central system control Under real-time traffic adaptive control using advanced software Using SCOOT Using SCATS Name of software Allow signal preemption for emergency vehicles Allow signal priority for transit vehicles Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection	90 13 0 No No NR 4 2 2 2	105 38 0 4 2 2	1,033 200 0 No No NR 10 0	1,058 260 0	700 230 0 No No NR 8	730 330 0
Total number of signalized intersections operated by agency Characteristics of signalized intersections that agency operates Under closed loop or central system control Under real-time traffic adaptive control using advanced software Using SCOOT Using SCATS Name of software Allow signal preemption for emergency vehicles Allow signal priority for transit vehicles Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection	13 0 No No NR 4 2 2 2	38 0 4 2 2	200 0 No No NR 10 0	260 0	230 0 No No NR 8	330 0
Characteristics of signalized intersections that agency operates Under closed loop or central system control Under real-time traffic adaptive control using advanced software Using SCOOT Using SCATS Name of software Allow signal preemption for emergency vehicles Allow signal priority for transit vehicles Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection	13 0 No No NR 4 2 2 2	38 0 4 2 2	200 0 No No NR 10 0	260 0	230 0 No No NR 8	330 0
Under closed loop or central system control Under real-time traffic adaptive control using advanced software Using SCOOT Using SCATS Name of software Allow signal preemption for emergency vehicles Allow signal priority for transit vehicles Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection	0 No No NR 4 2 2	0 4 2 2	0 No No NR 10 0	0	0 No No NR 8	0
Under real-time traffic adaptive control using advanced software Using SCOOT Using SCATS Name of software Allow signal preemption for emergency vehicles Allow signal priority for transit vehicles Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection	0 No No NR 4 2 2	0 4 2 2	0 No No NR 10 0	0	0 No No NR 8	0
Using SCOOT Using SCATS Name of software Allow signal preemption for emergency vehicles Allow signal priority for transit vehicles Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection	No No NR 4 2 2	4 2 2	No No NR 10 0	10	No No NR 8	
Using SCATS Name of software Allow signal preemption for emergency vehicles Allow signal priority for transit vehicles Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection	No NR 4 2 2 2	2 2	No NR 10 0	-	No NR 8	8
Name of software Allow signal preemption for emergency vehicles Allow signal priority for transit vehicles Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection	NR 4 2 2	2 2	NR 10 0	-	NR 8	8
Allow signal preemption for emergency vehicles Allow signal priority for transit vehicles Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection	4 2 2	2 2	10 0	-	8	8
Allow signal priority for transit vehicles Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection	2 2	2 2	0	-	-	
Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection	2		33	0	0	0
	1	1		33	NR	NR
Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection that adjust signal timing		1	23	23	NR	NR
Software used to control the signals agency operates						
Date of last upgrade to traffic signal control system software?	N	R	•	3 * zone mon. rtways 1/99	1 year	
How often do you update signal timing?	every 3	3 years	5 ye	ears	6-7 years	
Software used and number of signalized intersections under control (1999, 2005)	PEEK SMAR 31	TWAYS, 13, 8	SMARTWAYS, 48, 98 ZONE MONITOR 4, 9, 9 QUICKNET 4, 146, 156		ST. JOHNS SMARTWAY CLAY CC SMARTWA JACKSO ECONOLIT JACKSO SMARTWAY JACKSO QUICKNET	('S, NR, NR DUNTY - YS, 10, 35 NVILLE- IE, 10, 10 NVILLE- YS, 50, 150 NVILLE-
Controllers used to control signals						
NEMA	90	105	816	851	0	0
170/179	0	0	207	207	0	0
2070 controller	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	10	0	0	0
Technologies Associated with Highway-Rail Intersections	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Total number of highway-rail intersections under electronic surveillance	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Highway-Rail intersection capapbilities			-			
Video surveillance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Electronic surveillance other than video Ability to predict train arrival electronically	0	0	0	0	0	0

	0	Otu	Dung	Question		partment of
	,	County		County	· · · ·	ortation
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Equipped with electronic traffic violator devices	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Real-Time Electronic Traffic Data Collection Technologies						
Total number of signalized intersections covered by electronic surveillance	13	38	123	250	NR	NR
Number of signalized intersections with data collection technologies						ļ
Loop detectors	13	38	123	250	0	0
Video detection cameras	0	0	0	0	0	0
Probe readers reading toll tags	0	0	0	0	0	0
Probe readers reading license plates	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Roadside Technologies used to Distribute Traveler Information						<u> </u>
Number deployed						
Highway Advisory Radio	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
In-Vehicle Signing (IVS)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
VMS controlling parking access	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Miles covered						
Highway Advisory Radio	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
In-Vehicle Signing (IVS)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Variable Message Signs (VMS) on Arterials						
Candidate locations for deployment of VMS where VMS has been deployed	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Candidate locations for deployment of VMS	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Communication Technologies						
Signalized intersections communicated with by each type of communication						
Twisted pair cable	0	0	33	33	0	0
Coaxial cable	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fiber-optic cable	13	38	167	NR	155	155
Other (e.g., wireless, dial-up modems, leased lines, etc.)	13	38	0	0	0	0
Does agency convey information on highway-rail intersection crossing						
status to travelers via roadside media such as VMS or HAR?	No		No		No	
ITS Standards Used Related to Traffic Signal Control						
Advanced Transportation Controller (ATC) Software Application Interface (ITE 9603-1)	No		No		No	
ATC Physical Cabinet Functional Design (ITE-9603-2)	No		No		No	
ATC Functionality and Interface Definitions (ITE-9603-3)	No		No		No	
Natl. Trans. Communications for ITS Protocol (NTCIP) Class B Profile (AASHTO TS 3.3)	No		Yes		Yes	
NTCIP Data Collection and Monitoring Devices (AASHTO TS 3.DCM)	No		No		No	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Video Camera Control (AASHTO TS 3.VCC)	No		No		No	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Actuated Traffic Signal Controller Units (AASHTO TS 3.5)	No		No		No	
Would agency be willing to participate in testing of ITS Standards?	No		No		Yes	
Have agreements in place with other agencies to use similar hardware						
and software to aid maintenance and interoperability?	Yes		No		No	
INCIDENT MANAGEMENT ON ARTERIAL STREETS						
Receive information on highway-rail intersection crossing blockages for						

		-				partment of
	Clay County		Duval County		1	ortation
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
the purpose of managing incident response?	No		No		No	
Use of Service Patrols to Assist in Detection and Response to Incidents						
Publicly operated service patrol vehicles	No		No		No	
Privately operated service patrol vehicles operated under public contract	No		No		No	
Total number of arterial miles patrolled by these services	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Miles Covered by Methods to Detect and Verify Incidents						
Free cellular phone call to a dedicated phone number other than 911	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free cellular phone call to an area radio station	0	0	0	0	0	0
Police patrols	0	0	0	0	0	0
Computer algorithms linked to traffic surveillance equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0
CCTV	0	0	0	0	0	0
Private sector sources (e.g., Shadow Traffic, Smart Routes)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procedures in place for Arterial Incident Response?						
Working agreement(s)/arrangement(s) with other agencies	No		No		No	
Inter-agency incident management admin. team that meets regularly	No		No		Yes	
Major incident response team that responds to major incidents	No		No		No	
Set of goals/objectives for incident mgt that has been adopted by agencies in region	No		No		No	
Methods of Communication Used On-Site at an Incident						
Police						
Two-way radio	No		No		No	
800 MHz trunked radio	No		No		Yes	
Cellular telephone	No		No		No	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No		No		No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No		No		No	
Other	No		No		No	
Fire						
Two-way radio	No		No		No	
800 MHz trunked radio	No		No	1	No	
Cellular telephone	No		No		No	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No		No		No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No		No		No	
Other	No		No		No	
DOT						
Two-way radio	No		No		No	
800 MHz trunked radio	No		No		No	
Cellular telephone	No		No		No	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No		No		No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No		No		No	
Other	No		No		No	

	Clav	Clay County		County	Florida Der Transp	partment of
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Towing						
Two-way radio	No		No		No	
800 MHz trunked radio	No		No		No	
Cellular telephone	No		No		No	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No		No		No	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No		No		No	
Other	No		No		No	
Which police agencies typically respond to incidents on arterials?						
State Police	No		No		Yes	
County Police or Sheriff	No		No		Yes	
City Police	No		No		Yes	
Who provides on-site emergency medical response?						
Fire	No		No		Yes	
Emergency Management Service Agency	No		No		No	
Private hospital	No		No		No	
Has a multi-agency contact list been developed in area containing the						
names, phone numbers, etc. for the appropriate response personnel?	NR		NR		No	
Is the Incident Command System used to manage incident scenes?	NR		NR		Yes	
Is there a legal specification by state law or formal agreement as to who						
is "in charge" at the incident scene?						
Specified by state law?	No		No		Yes	
Formal agreement?	No		No		No	
Not specified or don't know?	No		No		No	
On-scene command post used to manage activities of responding agencies?	NR		NR		Yes	
Are there communication linkages to a communications traffic/freeway mgt center?	NR		NR		No	
Plan developed and adopted by responding agencies for staging and parking						
response vehicles and equip. at incident site that minimizes lane blockage						
and facilitates the re-opening of lanes?	NR		NR		Yes	
Respondents protected through law or court opinion for liability claims						
for damages to vehicles or cargoes during clearance activities?	NR		NR		Yes	
Are overturned tank trucks, which are intact and not leaking, uprighted						
without first off-loading?	NR		NR		No	
Does your state or local jurisdiction have a law that requires drivers						
involved in property-damage-only accidents to move the vehicles						
from travel lanes to a safe location to exchange info and wait for police?	NR		NR		Yes	
Have laws or policies regarding the removal of stalled/abandoned vehicles						
from freeway shoulders?	NR		NR		Yes	
Hours abandoned vehicles are allowed to remain on a freeway shoulder?	NR		NR		25-36	
Have policies or procedures for quick removal of vehicles?	NR		NR		No	
Is Total Station equipment used to investigate major incidents?	NR		NR		No	
Handling of Towing Responses to Incidents						
Formal contract based on qualifications?	No		No		No	

	Clay	Clay County		Duval County		partment of ortation
	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005
Rotation with companies under contract?	No		No		Yes	
Separate lists kept for light and heavy response and for specialty recovery?	NR		NR		Yes	
Rotation list with minimal qualifications?	No		No		No	
In towing qualifications, do you require towers to be certified under the						
Towing and Recovery Ass. of America's National Drivers Cert. Program?	NR		NR		DK	
DK: Don't know						
NR: No Response						
Leg: Legislation or action being planned						

	St. John	St. Johns County T		als
	1999	2005	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes		4	
ARTERIAL MANAGEMENT SECTION				
Number of arterial miles that agency owns or maintains	NR		479	
Number of arterial miles that is used for planning	NR		479	
Number of highway-rail intersections that agency maintains	NR		0	
Number of highway-rail intersections that is used for planning	NR		0	
Type of facilities used to conduct arterial management activities				
Activities housed in a free-standing dedicated building?	No		1	
Activities housed in a building shared with other activities?	No		1	
Activities conducted in a dedicated control room?	No		2	
Control room contains operator console(s)?	No		2	
Control room contains electronic wall map?	No		1	
Control room contains CCTV display(s)?	No		0	
Activities conducted in a room containing workstations or PCs that manage traffic?	No		1	
Facilities are electronically linked to other transportation mgt facilities?	No		0	
Staffing and hours of operation of arterial management activities				
Number of full-time agency staff members	NR		12	
Number of full time contractor staff members	NR		0	
Number of part-time agency staff members	NR		0	
Number of part-time contractor staff members	NR		0	
Staffed 24 hours day by agency staff or by others	NR		0	
Staffed during peak hours only by agency staff or by others	NR		0	
Staffed by others during off-peak hours	No		0	
Agency staff perform transportation management as an ancillary duty	No		0	
Agency staff dedicated to transportation management duty	No		0	
Types of operations conducted for arterial management				
Incident detection and management?	No		1	
This metropolitan area?	No		1	
Other metropolitan area?	No		0	
Monitoring and troubleshooting status of system components?	No		2	
Radio communications with other agencies?	No		0	
Exchange of electronic data with other agencies such as computer aided dispatch?	No		0	
Manual override of traffic signal timing plans	No		2	
Operating transportation mgt roadside devices (e.g., VMS, CCTV, etc.)	No		0	
Describe agency's role in traffic signal control	N	R		
Traffic Signals Operated by Agency				

	St. John	s County	Tot	tals
	1999	2005	1999	2005
Number of signalized intersections operated and owned by agency	NR	NR	428	438
Number of signalized intersections operated by agency but owned by another	NR	NR	610	620
Total number of signalized intersections operated by agency	61	75	1884	1968
Characteristics of signalized intersections that agency operates				
Under closed loop or central system control	61	75	504	703
Under real-time traffic adaptive control using advanced software	0	0	0	0
Using SCOOT	No		0	-
Using SCATS	No		0	
Name of software	NR		-	
Allow signal preemption for emergency vehicles	0	0	22	22
Allow signal priority for transit vehicles	0	0	2	2
Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection	0	0	35	35
Within 200 feet of a highway-rail intersection that adjust signal timing	0	0	24	24
Software used to control the signals agency operates				
Date of last upgrade to traffic signal control system software?	NR			
How often do you update signal timing?	Ν	IR		
Software used and number of signalized intersections under control (1999, 2005)	Ν	IR		
Controllers used to control signals				050
NEMA 170/179	0	0	906	956
	0	0	207	207 0
2070 controller Other	0	0	0 10	0
	0	0	10	0
Fechnologies Associated with Highway-Rail Intersections Total number of highway-rail intersections under electronic surveillance	NR	NR	0	0
Highway-Rail intersection capapolities	INIT		U	0
Video surveillance	0	0	0	0
VIGEO SUIVEIIIADCE	U	U	U	U
Electronic surveillance other than video	0	0	0	0

	0 , 1, 1			
		is County	-	tals
	1999	2005	1999	2005
Equipped with electronic traffic violator devices	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Real-Time Electronic Traffic Data Collection Technologies				
Total number of signalized intersections covered by electronic surveillance	NR	NR	136	288
<u>Number of signalized intersections with data collection technologies</u>				
Loop detectors	0	0	136	288
Video detection cameras	0	0	0	0
Probe readers reading toll tags	0	0	0	0
Probe readers reading license plates	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Roadside Technologies used to Distribute Traveler Information				
Number deployed				
Highway Advisory Radio	NR	NR	0	0
In-Vehicle Signing (IVS)	NR	NR	0	0
VMS controlling parking access	NR	NR	0	0
Miles covered				
Highway Advisory Radio	NR	NR	0	0
In-Vehicle Signing (IVS)	NR	NR	0	0
Variable Message Signs (VMS) on Arterials				
Candidate locations for deployment of VMS where VMS has been deployed	NR	NR	0	0
Candidate locations for deployment of VMS	NR	NR	0	0
Communication Technologies			-	-
Signalized intersections communicated with by each type of communication				
Twisted pair cable	0	0	33	33
Coaxial cable	0	0	0	0
Fiber-optic cable	0	0	335	193
Other (e.g., wireless, dial-up modems, leased lines, etc.)	0	0	13	38
Does agency convey information on highway-rail intersection crossing		Ŭ	10	00
status to travelers via roadside media such as VMS or HAR?	No		0	
ITS Standards Used Related to Traffic Signal Control	110		Ū	
Advanced Transportation Controller (ATC) Software Application Interface (ITE 9603-1)	No		0	
ATC Physical Cabinet Functional Design (ITE-9603-2)	No		0	
ATC Functionality and Interface Definitions (ITE-9603-3)	No		0	
Natl. Trans. Communications for ITS Protocol (NTCIP) Class B Profile (AASHTO TS 3.3)	No		2	
NTCIP Data Collection and Monitoring Devices (AASHTO TS 3.DCM)	No		0	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Video Camera Control (AASHTO TS 3.VCC)	No		0	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Actuated Traffic Signal Controller Units (AASHTO TS 3.5)	No		0	
Would agency be willing to participate in testing of ITS Standards?	NR		1	
Have agreements in place with other agencies to use similar hardware	INIX		I	
and software to aid maintenance and interoperability?	NR		1	
INCIDENT MANAGEMENT ON ARTERIAL STREETS	INPC		1	
Receive information on highway-rail intersection crossing blockages for				

	St. Johns County		Totals	
	1999	2005	1999	2005
the purpose of managing incident response?	No		0	
Use of Service Patrols to Assist in Detection and Response to Incidents			-	
Publicly operated service patrol vehicles	No		0	
Privately operated service patrol vehicles operated under public contract	No		0	
Total number of arterial miles patrolled by these services	NR	NR	0	0
Miles Covered by Methods to Detect and Verify Incidents				
Free cellular phone call to a dedicated phone number other than 911	0	0	0	0
Free cellular phone call to an area radio station	0	0	0	0
Police patrols	0	0	0	0
Computer algorithms linked to traffic surveillance equipment	0	0	0	0
CCTV	0	0	0	0
Private sector sources (e.g., Shadow Traffic, Smart Routes)	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Procedures in place for Arterial Incident Response?				
Working agreement(s)/arrangement(s) with other agencies	No		0	
Inter-agency incident management admin. team that meets regularly	No		1	
Major incident response team that responds to major incidents	No		0	
Set of goals/objectives for incident mgt that has been adopted by agencies in region	No		0	
Methods of Communication Used On-Site at an Incident				
Police				
Two-way radio	No		0	
800 MHz trunked radio	No		1	
Cellular telephone	No		0	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No		0	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No		0	
Other	No		0	
Fire				
Two-way radio	No		0	
800 MHz trunked radio	No		0	
Cellular telephone	No		0	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No		0	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No		0	
Other	No		0	
DOT				
Two-way radio	No		0	
800 MHz trunked radio	No		0	
Cellular telephone	No		0	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No		0	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No		0	
Other	No		0	

	St. John	St. Johns County		tals
	1999	2005	1999	2005
<u>Towing</u>				
Two-way radio	No		0	
800 MHz trunked radio	No		0	
Cellular telephone	No		0	
Hand-held (i.e., walkie-talkie)	No		0	
Automated data systems (i.e., CAD)	No		0	
Other	No		0	
Which police agencies typically respond to incidents on arterials?				
State Police	No		1	
County Police or Sheriff	No		1	
City Police	No		1	
Who provides on-site emergency medical response?				
Fire	No		1	
Emergency Management Service Agency	No		0	
Private hospital	No		0	
Has a multi-agency contact list been developed in area containing the				
names, phone numbers, etc. for the appropriate response personnel?	NR		0	
s the Incident Command System used to manage incident scenes?	NR		1	
Is there a legal specification by state law or formal agreement as to who				
is "in charge" at the incident scene?				
Specified by state law?	No		1	
Formal agreement?	No		0	
Not specified or don't know?	No		0	
On-scene command post used to manage activities of responding agencies?	NR		1	
Are there communication linkages to a communications traffic/freeway mgt center?	NR		0	
Plan developed and adopted by responding agencies for staging and parking			0	
response vehicles and equip. at incident site that minimizes lane blockage				
and facilitates the re-opening of lanes?	NR		1	
Respondents protected through law or court opinion for liability claims			•	
for damages to vehicles or cargoes during clearance activities?	NR		1	
Are overturned tank trucks, which are intact and not leaking, uprighted			-	
without first off-loading?	NR		0	
Does your state or local jurisdiction have a law that requires drivers			6	
involved in property-damage-only accidents to move the vehicles				
from travel lanes to a safe location to exchange info and wait for police?	NR		1	
Have laws or policies regarding the removal of stalled/abandoned vehicles			•	
from freeway shoulders?	NR		1	
Hours abandoned vehicles are allowed to remain on a freeway shoulder?	NR		0	
Have policies or procedures for quick removal of vehicles?	NR		0	
Is Total Station equipment used to investigate major incidents?	NR		0	
Handling of Towing Responses to Incidents			0	
Formal contract based on qualifications?	No		0	

	St. John	s County	Totals	
	1999	2005	1999	2005
Rotation with companies under contract?	No		1	
Separate lists kept for light and heavy response and for specialty recovery?	NR		1	
Rotation list with minimal qualifications?	No		0	
In towing qualifications, do you require towers to be certified under the				
Towing and Recovery Ass. of America's National Drivers Cert. Program?	NR		0	
DK: Don't know				
NR: No Response				
Leg: Legislation or action being planned				

Appendix G Arterial Management Integration

	Clay	County	Duval	County
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes		Yes	
Arterial Management Section				
Arterial Mgt. agencies in metropolitan area with which you share info.				
Share Timing Plans Information	Duval County, Florida Department of Transportation	None listed	Clay County, Duval County, Florida Department of Transportation, St. Johns County	None listed
Coordinate Changes to Timing Plans	Duval County, Florida Department of Transportation	None listed	Clay County, Florida Department of Transportation, St. Johns County	None listed
Turn over Control of Signals	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Agencies your agency provides arterial travel times, speeds, and				
conditions information, share infrastructure or coordinates operation				
Freeway Management Agencies				
Provide Information	Florida Department of Transportation	None listed	None listed	Florida Department of Transportation
Share Infrastructure	Florida Department of Transportation	None listed	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	Florida Department of Transportation	None listed	None listed	None listed
Incident Management Agencies				
Provide Information	Florida Department of Transportation	None listed	None listed	Florida Department of Transportation
Share Infrastructure	Florida Department of Transportation	None listed	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	Florida Department of Transportation	None listed	None listed	None listed
Public Transit Operators Agencies				
Provide Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Arterial Management Agencies				
Provide Information	Duval County, Florida Department of Transportation	None listed	None listed	Florida Department of Transportation
Share Infrastructure	Duval County, Florida Department of Transportation	None listed	None listed	None listed

	Clay (Clay County		County
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005
Coordinate Operation				
	Duval County, Florida			
	Department of			
	Transportation	None listed	None listed	None listed
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others				
Freeway Management agencies from which your agency receives				
				N
freeway travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Public Transit operators from which your agency receives				
and what forwards there as the share whether a same base	News Pateri	Niewe Peterd	Name Pateri	Name Pated
arterial travel times derived from vehicle probes	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Incident Management agencies from which your agency receives incident clearance and/or incident severity, location, and type information				
incident clearance and/or incident severity, location, and type information				
			Florida Department of	
Receive information on Incident Clearance	None listed	None listed	Transportation	None listed
	None listed	None listed	Папэропацоп	
			Florida Department of	
Receive information on Incident Severity, Location, and Type	None listed	None listed	Transportation	None listed
Toll Collection agencies from which your agency receives arterial travel times derived from vehicles probes	None listed	Nona liatad	Nana liatad	Nana liatad
Arterial Incident Management Section	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Agencies your agency provides incident severity, location, and type info.				
and/or shares infrastructure and/or coordinates operation				
Emergency Management Agencies				
Provide Information				
	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure				
	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation				
	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Freeway Management Agencies				·
Provide Information				
	Florida Department of			
	Transportation	None listed	None listed	None listed

Agency Name	Clay County		Duval County	
	1999	2005	1999	2005
Share Infrastructure	Florida Department of Transportation	None listed	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	Florida Department of Transportation	None listed	None listed	None listed
Public Transit Operators				
Provide Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others				
Emergency Management agencies from which your agency receives				
arterial incident clearance and/or arterial incident severity				
Receive Arterial Incident Clearance Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Receive Arterial Incident Severity Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Arterial Management agencies from which your agency receives				
arterial travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Freeway Management agencies from which your agency receives				
freeway travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed

*short survey: Agency responded using a short survey. The survey did not include names of individual agencies, but only identified whether integration exists.

	Florida Department of Transportation		St. Johns County	
Agency Name	1999 2005		1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes		Yes	
Arterial Management Section				
Arterial Mgt. agencies in metropolitan area with which you share info.				
Share Timing Plans Information				
-				
	Clay County, Duval			
	County, St. Johns			
	County	St. Johns County	short survey	None listed
Coordinate Changes to Timing Plans				
		Clay County, Duval		
	County, St. Johns County	County, St. Johns County	- h - ut	Nana Katad
Turn over Control of Signals	None listed	None listed	short survey None listed	None listed
Agencies your agency provides arterial travel times, speeds, and				
conditions information, share infrastructure or coordinates operation				
Freeway Management Agencies				
Provide Information				
	Florida Highway Patrol	Florida Highway Patrol	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure				
	None listed	Florida Highway Patrol	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation				
	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Incident Management Agencies				
Provide Information				
	Florida Highway Patrol	Florida Highway Patrol	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure				
	None listed	Florida Highway Patrol	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation				
	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Public Transit Operators Agencies				
Provide Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Arterial Management Agencies				
Provide Information				
	None listed	Duval County	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure		· ·		
	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed

	Florida Department of Transportation		St. Johns County	
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005
Coordinate Operation				
·				
	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others				
Freeway Management agencies from which your agency receives				
		Florida Department of		
freeway travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	Transportation	None listed	None listed
Public Transit operators from which your agency receives				
		Jacksonville		
		Transportation		
arterial travel times derived from vehicle probes	None listed	Authority	None listed	None listed
Incident Management agencies from which your agency receives				
incident clearance and/or incident severity, location, and type information				
	Elevide Denevtreent of			
	Florida Department of Transportation, Florida			
Receive information on Incident Clearance	Highway Patrol	Florida Highway Patrol	Nona listad	None listed
	r lighway r allor	r londa r lighway r allor	None listed	
	Elevide Deventerent of			
	Florida Department of			
Dessive information on Insident Coverity, Leastion, and Type	Transportation, Florida Highway Patrol	Florida Highway Patrol	None listed	None listed
Receive information on Incident Severity, Location, and Type Toll Collection agencies from which your agency receives arterial travel	r ligitway Fattor	Fionua Flighway Falloi	None listed	None listed
times derived from vehicles probes	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Arterial Incident Management Section				
Agencies your agency provides incident severity, location, and type info.				
and/or shares infrastructure and/or coordinates operation				
Emergency Management Agencies				
Provide Information				
		Jacksonville Fire &		
		Rescue Department,		
	Florida Highway Patrol	Florida Highway Patrol	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure				
	None listed	Florida Highway Patrol	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation				
		Jacksonville Fire &		
		Rescue Department,		
	Florida Highway Patrol	Florida Highway Patrol	None listed	None listed
Freeway Management Agencies				
Provide Information				
	Nona listad	None listed	None liste -	None listed
	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed

Agency Name	Florida Department of Transportation		St. Johns County	
	1999	2005	1999	2005
Share Infrastructure				
	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation				
	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Public Transit Operators				
Provide Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Coordinate Operation	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others				
Emergency Management agencies from which your agency receives				
arterial incident clearance and/or arterial incident severity				
Receive Arterial Incident Clearance Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Receive Arterial Incident Severity Information	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Arterial Management agencies from which your agency receives				
arterial travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed
Freeway Management agencies from which your agency receives				
freeway travel times, speeds, and conditions	None listed	None listed	None listed	None listed

*short survey: Agency responded using a short survey. The survey did not include names of individual agencies, but only identified whether integration exists.

Appendix H Arterial Management Information Collection and Dissemination

	Clay	County	Duval	County
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes		Yes	
Arterial Management Section				
Data collected, archived, and/or transferred to another agency				
Collected by your agency				
	Traffic volumes, Phasing/cycle lengths, Emergency vehicle signal preemption, Incidents, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures	NR	Phasing/cycle lengths	NR
Archived by your agency	Traffic volumes, Phasing/cycle lengths, Emergency vehicle signal preemption, Incidents, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures	NR	Traffic volumes, Phasing/cycle lengths, Emergency vehicle signal preemption, Route designations (snow emergency, etc.), Incidents, Current work zones, Scheduled work zones, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures, Highway operations coordination information	
Transferred to another agency by your agency	Traffic volumes, Phasing/cycle lengths, Emergency vehicle signal preemption, Incidents, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures	NR	NR	NR
Importance of making information available to the public				
Ranked High	Emergency/evacuation rou	ites and procedures	NR	
Ranked Medium				
	Traffic volumes, Incidents		NR	

		y County		Duval County	
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005	
Ranked Low	Phasing/cycle lengths, E preemption	Emergency vehicle signal	vehicle signal preempti emergency, etc.), Incid Scheduled work zones	ng/cycle lengths, Emergency on, Route designations (snow ents, Current work zones, , Emergency/evacuation routes ay operations coordination	
Groups that make requests for the data					
	State DOT personnel, C	onsultants	Federal DOT personne	I, Lawyers	
What is the data used for?					
	Traffic analysis, Constru Planning	ction impact determination,	Do not know		
Methods used to disseminate arterial information to the public					
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Technologies your agency (through another agency or org.) uses to disseminate:	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Internet web site reporting arterial conditions		•			
	NR		NR		
Telephone system for reporting arterial information to the public	NR		NR		
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	NR		NR		
Arterial Incident Management Section					
Methods used to distribute incident location and severity information					
to the public					
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Technologies your agency (through another agency or org.) uses to disseminate:	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Internet web site reporting incident information		•		•	
	NR		NR		
Telephone system for reporting incident information to the public	NR		NR		
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	NR		NR		

H - 2

	Florida Department of Transportation		St. Johns County	
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005
sgency Returned Survey?	Yes		Yes	
Interial Management Section				
ata collected, archived, and/or transferred to another agency				
Collected by your agency				
	Traffic volumes, Traffic speeds, Vehicle classification, Phasing/cycle lengths	Traffic volumes, Traffic speeds, Vehicle classification, Phasing/cycle lengths	NR	NR
Archived by your agency				
	NR	NR	NR	NR
Transferred to another agency by your agency				
	Traffic volumes, Traffic speeds, Vehicle classification, Phasing/cycle lengths	Traffic volumes, Traffic speeds, Vehicle classification, Phasing/cycle lengths	NR	NR
mportance of making information available to the public		i hasing/bybic longing		
Ranked High				
	NR		NR	
Ranked Medium				
	NR		NR	

	Florida Depa	artment of Transportation	St	Johns County
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005
Ranked Low				
	NR		NR	
Groups that make requests for the data	INIX		INIX	
· ·····				
	State DOT personne	I, Federal DOT personnel, Med	ia	
		lio stations), MPOs, Consultant		
What is the data used for?				
	Traffic analysis, Con Planning, Dissemina	struction impact determination,	NR	
Methods used to disseminate arterial information to the public	Planning, Dissemina			
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:	NR	Internet Web sites	NR	NR
Technologies your agency (through another agency or org.) uses to disseminate:	NR	NR	NR	NR
Internet web site reporting arterial conditions				
	NOT YET AVAILABL	E C	NR	
Telephone system for reporting arterial information to the public	NOT YET AVAILABL		NR	
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	TAFFIC CENTER - J		NR	
Arterial Incident Management Section				
Methods used to distribute incident location and severity information				
to the public				
Technologies your agency uses to disseminate:	NR	Internet Web sites	NR	NR
Technologies your agency (through another agency or org.) uses to disseminate:	NR	NR	NR	NR
Internet web site reporting incident information				
	NR		NR	
Telephone system for reporting incident information to the public	NR		NR	
Organizations your agency sends information for dissemination to the public	NR		NR	

H - 4

Appendix I Transit Management Components

		sportation Authority
	1999	2005
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes	
Number of vehicles used in revenue service		
Fixed Route Bus	144	190
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	22	NR
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR	NR
Have of plan to have an Automated Vehicle Location System?	No	
Primary and Secondary Location Technologies Used		
Primary Technologies		
GPS	No	No
Sign/Odometer	No	No
Dead-Reckoning	No	No
LORAN C	No	No
Other	No	No
Backup Technologies		
GPS	No	No
Sign/Odometer	No	No
Dead-Reckoning	No	No
LORAN C	No	No
Other	No	No
Number of Vehicles Equipped with AVL		
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	NR
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR	NR
Motor Buses Operated as Vehicle Probes		
Number of Motor Buses equipped as probes on freeways?	20	
Number of Motor Buses equipped as probes on arterials?	20	
Have Organized Regional Incident Management Program?	No	
Have Automated Traveler Information System?	Yes	
Services Automated Traveler Info. System Applies:		

		sportation Authority
	1999	2005
Fixed Route	Yes	
Heavy Rail	No	
Light Rail	No	
Demand Responsive	No	
Commuter Rail	No	
Ferry	No	
Locations where traveler information is displayed to public		
Number of bus stops on fixed transit routes	6,032	6,150
Bus stops on fixed transit routes that display traveler info to the public	3	25
Number of rail stations	NR	NR
Number of rail stations that display traveler information	NR	NR
Number of other locations that display traveler information to public	6	8
Number of vehicles the traveler information system has available		
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	NR
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR	NR
Deployment of Communications Technology		
Attributes of Radio System:		
Digital?	No	
Analog?	Yes	
Trunked?	Yes	
Regular?	No	
Services that use a Digital or Trunked Radio System		
Digital Only		
Fixed Route Bus	No	Yes
Heavy or Rapid Rail	No	No
Light Rail	No	No
Demand Responsive	No	No
Commuter Rail	No	No
Ferry Boat	No	No
Trunked Only		
Fixed Route Bus	No	No
Heavy or Rapid Rail	No	No
Light Rail	No	No
Demand Responsive	No	No

	Jacksonville Trans	portation Authority
	1999	2005
Commuter Rail	No	No
Ferry Boat	No	No
Have of plan to have Automatic Passenger Counters (APCs)?	Yes	
Methods used to count passengers		
Treadle Mats	No	
Infrared Beams	Yes	
Primary and Secondary Location Technologies Used		
Primary Technologies		
GPS	Yes	No
Differential GPS	No	No
Signpost/Odometer	No	No
Dead_Reckoning	No	No
LORAN C	No	No
Other	No	No
Backup Technologies		
GPS	No	No
Differential GPS	No	No
Signpost/Odometer	Yes	No
Dead_Reckoning	No	No
LORAN C	No	No
Other	No	No
Number of Vehicles with APCs		
Fixed Route Bus	20	30
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	NR
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR	NR
Remote Real-Time Monitoring and Computer Assisted Dispatching		
Remote Real-Time Monitoring		
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR
Light Rail	NR	NR
Demand Responsive	NR	NR
Commuter Rail	NR	NR
Ferry Boat	NR	NR
Automated Dispatching or Control Software		
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR

	Jacksonville Transportation Authority		
	1999	2005	
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR	
Light Rail	NR	NR	
Demand Responsive	NR	NR	
Commuter Rail	NR	NR	
Ferry Boat	NR	NR	
Coordinate or plan to coordinate travel request and vehicle			
dispatching for multiple agencies?	No		
Is there or will there be a Transportation Management Center	INU		
	Vaa		
(TMC) in the region that controls transit and highway modes?	Yes		
Modes that TMC currently controls:			
Highways	No	Yes	
Fixed Route Bus	No	No	
Heavy or Rapid Rail	No	No	
Light Rail	No	No	
Demand Responsive	No	No	
Commuter Rail	No	No	
Ferry Boat	No	No	
Other	No	No	
Priority at Traffic Signals and Ramp Meter Priority			
Priority at Traffic Signals			
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR	
Light Rail	NR	NR	
Demand Responsive	NR	NR	
Ramp Meter Priority			
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR	
Demand Responsive	NR	NR	
Number of Vehicles Equipped with Navigation Aids			
Fixed Route Bus	NR	NR	
Heavy or Rapid Rail	NR	NR	
Light Rail	NR	NR	
Demand Responsive	NR	NR	
Commuter Rail	NR	NR	
Ferry Boat ITS Standards Used Related to Transit Management	NR	NR	
TCIP On Boad Objects (TCIP-OB)	No		
TCIP On Boad Objects (TCIP-OB) TCIP Traffic Management Objects (TCIP-TM)	NO		
TCIP Traine Management Objects (TCIP-TM) TCIP Common Public Transportation Objects (TCIP-CPT)	No		

		portation Authority
	1999	2005
TCIP Passenger Information Objects (TCIP-PI)	No	
TCIP Incident Management Objects (TCIP-IM)	No	
TCIP Fare Collection Objects (TCIP-FC)	No	
TCIP Spatial Representation Objects (TCIP-SP)	No	
TCIP Control Center Objects (TCIP-CC)	No	
TCIP Scheduling/Runcutting Objects (TCIP-SCH)	No	
Send data communication between micro computer and heavy duty		
vehicle applications (SAE J1708)	No	
Nould agency be willing to participate in testing of ITS Standards?	Yes	
Have agreements in place with other agencies to use similar hardware		
and software to aid maintenance and interoperability?	No	
Electronic Fare Payment		
Have full operational Electronic Fare Payment System?	Yes	
Methods of Fare Payment		
Stored value card with fare deducted for each trip		
Magnetic Stripe	No	
Smart Card	No	
Debit Card	No	
Billed by the month for trips taken		
Magnetic Stripe	No	
Smart Card	No	
Credit Card	No	
Monthly Pass		
Magnetic Stripe	Yes	
Smart Card	No	
/ehicles/Stations Equipped with Automated Payment Mechanism		
Magnetic Stripe Readers		
Fixed Route Bus Vehicles	144	190
Heavy or Rapid Rail Stations	NR	NR
Light Rail Stations	NR	NR
Demand Responsive Vehicles	NR	NR
Commuter Rail Stations	NR	NR
Ferry Boat Landings	NR	NR
Smart Card Readers		
Fixed Route Bus Vehicles	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail Stations	NR	NR
Light Rail Stations	NR	NR
Demand Responsive Vehicles	NR	NR
Commuter Rail Stations	NR	NR

	Jacksonville Trans	portation Authority
	1999	2005
Ferry Boat Landings	NR	NR
Credit Card		
Fixed Route Bus Vehicles	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail Stations	NR	NR
Light Rail Stations	NR	NR
Demand Responsive Vehicles	NR	NR
Commuter Rail Stations	NR	NR
Ferry Boat Landings	NR	NR
Debit Card		
Fixed Route Bus Vehicles	NR	NR
Heavy or Rapid Rail Stations	NR	NR
Light Rail Stations	NR	NR
Demand Responsive Vehicles	NR	NR
Commuter Rail Stations	NR	NR
Ferry Boat Landings	NR	NR
NR: No Response		

Appendix J Transit Management Integration

	Jacksonville Transportation Authority		
Agency Name	1999	2005	
Agency Returned Survey?	Yes		
Transit operators in the region that use the same electronic payment system	None listed	-	
Toll operators from whom you accept electronic payment of transit			
fare through the use of ETC media	None listed		
Receiving real-time information via electronic means from others			
Freeway Management agencies from which your agency receives			
freeway travel times, speeds, and conditions			
Receive Information	None listed	None listed	
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed	
Arterial Management agencies from which your agency receives			
arterial travel times, speeds, and conditions			
Receive Information	None listed	None listed	
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed	
Incident Management agencies from which your agency receives			
incident severity, location, and type			
Receive Information	None listed	None listed	
Share Infrastructure	None listed	None listed	

Appendix K Transit Management Information Collection and Dissemination

Jacksonville Transportation Authority		
1999	2005	
No.		
Yes		
Audible Enunciators, Monitors/VMS (not in vehicle), Internet Web Sites, Telephone System	NR	
Telephone System	Variable Message Signs (in vehicle), Kiosks	
NR	NR	
NR	NR	
www.jtaonthemove.com		
904.630.3100		
David County Tas Collectors Office; Public Libraries; Goodwill; Public Housing; Nursing/Senio		
Transit operations coordination information, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures, Scheduled roadway work zones for transit, Curren roadway work zones for transit, Weather conditions, Passenger information (e.g., surveys, O/D), Passenger count	t Trip itinerary planning records	
Weather conditions, Passenger information (e.g., surveys, O/D), Passenger count	Scheduled roadway work zones for transit, Curren roadway work zones for transit, Trip itinerary planning records	
Passenger count	NR	
NR		
Transit operations coordination information, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures, Scheduled roadway work zones for transit, Current roadway work zones for transit, Weather		
Consultants, MPOs, State DOT personnel		
Planning		
	1999 Yes Audible Enunciators, Monitors/VMS (not in vehicle), Internet Web Sites, Telephone System Telephone System NR NR 904.630.3100 David County Tas Collectors Office; Public Librarie Homes Transit operations coordination information, Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures, Scheduled roadway work zones for transit, Curren roadway work zones for transit, Weather conditions, Passenger information (e.g., surveys, O/D), Passenger count Weather conditions, Passenger information (e.g., surveys, O/D), Passenger count Passenger count NR Passenger information (e.g., surveys, O/D), Passenger count Passenger information (e.g., surveys, O/D), Passenger count Passenger information (e.g., surveys, O/D), Passenger count NR Passenger information (e.g., surveys, O/D), Passenger count NR Passenger information (e.g., surveys, O/D), Passenger transit operations coordination information, Emerge Scheduled roadway work zones for transit, Curren conditions, Trip itinerary planning records	

K - 1

Appendix L Emergency Management

	Total Vehicles		Navigation Capabilities		AVL		CAD		CAD Equipped with Mobile Data Terminal		Vehicles Equipped with Preemption		l Formal Program	Info to other	
Agency Name	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	1999	2005	Participate in I Incident Mgt P	0 5	List of agencies receiving data
Clay County Fire Department	70	85	0	0	0	0		85	0	0	0		No	No	None listed
Clay County Sheriff Department	317	400	0	10	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	Yes	No	None listed
Jacksonville City Police/Sheriff Department	1,098	1,300	0	NR	1,000	1,100	1,098	1,300	NR	NR	0	0	Yes	No	None listed
Jacksonville Fire & Rescue Department	117	117	0	117	0	117	0	117	0	117	0	0	Yes	No	None listed
Jacksonville Fire & Rescue Department (Emergency Medical)	26	30	0	30	0	30	0	0	0	30	0	0	Yes	No	None listed
St. Augustine Beach Police Department	18	20	0	0	0	0	18	20	12	20	0	0	Yes	No	None listed
St. Johns County EMS- Emergency Medical	7	9	0	0	0	0	7	NR	0	0	0	0	Yes	No	None listed
St. Johns County EMS- Fire	65	70	2	4	0	0	0	0	NR	NR	0	0	Yes	No	None listed
															St. Augustine City Police Department, St. Augustine Beach
St. Johns County Sheriff Department	215	250	NR	NR	NR	NR	215	250	NR	NR	NR	NR	Yes	Yes	Police Department