**Final Report** 

State Study No. 140

**Evaluation of E-Krete for Rut Filling** 

**Prepared by:** 

Randy L. Battey, P.E.

March 2003

Conducted by:

**Research Division Mississippi Department of Transportation** 

In Cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

## **Technical Report Documentation Page**

1.Report No.	2. Government A	ccession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.
FHWA/MS_DOT_RD	-03-		
140	-05-		
4 Title and Subtitle			5 Report Date
Evaluation of E-Krete	for Rut Filling		March 2003
	for Rut I ming		6. Performing Organization Code
7. Author(s)			8. Performing Organization Report No.
Randy L. Battey, P.E.			MC DOT DD 02 140
0. Derforming Organization No	ma and Address		MIS-DUT-KD-03-140
Mississinni Departme	nt of Transportation		10. WOR OHILINO. (TRAIS)
Research Division	it of fransportation		
D D Day 1950			11. Contract or Grant No.
P U BOX 1850	250		
Jackson MS 39215-18	850		
12. Sponsoring Agency Name	and Address		13. Type Report and Period Covered
Federal Fighway Adi	initistration and with	sissippi	Final Report
Department of Transpo	ortation		14. Sponsoring Agency Code
15. Supplementary Notes			
16. Abstract			
Wheel path rutting	in asphalt paveme	ents prese	ents a serious problem for highway agencies
worldwide. There are	e several ways to r	ehabilitate	e rutted asphalt pavements, including milling by
itself, milling and ov	verlav. overlav wit	hout mill	ling, and rut filling. Rut filling with various
materials primarily as	nhalt based has bee	en nerforn	ned for several years
A new material $F_K$	rete which is an a	ervlic and	polymer modified cement based material was
A new material, L-Ki	icic, which is all a	t of Trong	a polymer modified cement based material, was
evaluated by the Miss	sissippi Departmen		sportation (MDOT) for various highway related
applications. One app	blication that MDO	l evaluate	ed E-Krete for was the filling of wheel ruts in an
asphalt pavement. The	is report will detail	the finding	gs of that evaluation.
17 Kov Mardo		10 0	Notribution Otatoment
Asphalt rutting maint	enance	Io. D	lassified
Asphan, runnig, mann		Unc	143511104
19. Security Classif. (of 2 this report)	U. Security Classif. (of this	page)	21. No. of Pages 22. Price
	Unclassified		32

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)

## **Reproduction of completed page authorized**

### NOTICE

The contents of this report reflect the views of the author, who is responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Mississippi Department of Transportation or the Federal Highway Administration. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government and the State of Mississippi assume no liability for its contents or use thereof.

The United States Government and the State of Mississippi do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturer's names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The study reported herein was conducted by the Mississippi Department of Transportation (MDOT) under the sponsorship of the Federal Highway Administration, Mississippi Division Office. This work was accomplished during the period of August 1999 through April 2003 under the supervision of Ms. Joy F. Portera, P.E., State Research Engineer followed by Mr. Randy L. Battey, P.E., State Research Engineer. This report was prepared by Mr. Randy L. Battey, P.E. of MDOT's Research Division.

The author wishes to express his appreciation to the many people whose efforts contributed to the success of this study. Acknowledgment is made to Messrs. John W. Avent, S.C.E.T., Johnny L. Hart, S.C.E.T., Alan D. Hatch, S.C.E.T., Chester M. Drake, C.E.T., and Sammie D. Evans who assisted with the construction documentation and data collection.

During the period of this study, the Executive Director of MDOT was Mr. Hugh Long, P.E., followed by Mr. Larry "Butch" Brown. The Deputy Executive Director / Chief Engineer was Mr. James Kopf, P.E., followed by Mr. Harry Lee James, P.E.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Section	Page
Chapter 1 – Project Introduction	1
Chapter 2 – Initial Trial at Winona, MS	2
Chapter 3 – Detailed Project Trial near Flora, MS	5
Chapter 4 – Performance	13
Chapter 5 – Conclusions	19
Appendix A – Pavement Management Data I-55 @ Winona	
Appendix B – Pavement Management Data U.S. 49 Near Flora	
Appendix C – Rut Data From U.S. 49 Evaluation	
Appendix D – Crack Mapping From U.S. 49 Evaluation	
Appendix E – Cost For U.S. 49 Evaluation	

## LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Figure Initial project location	<b><u>Page</u></b> 2
2.	E-Krete evaluation section near Winona, MS	3
3.	Patches and delamination in Winona test site	4
4.	Close-up of delaminated area	4
5.	Second E-Krete trial project location	5
6.	Project location near Flora, MS prior to E-Krete application	6
7.	Priming of the asphalt surface with the liquid solution component of E-Krete's three part system	7
8.	4 foot wide screed for initial application of E-Krete	8
9.	Transverse crack at a screed stoppage during previous days pour	8
10.	Broadcasting of Georgia granite on fresh E-Krete for skid resistance	9
11.	Second day of construction utilizing two mortar mixers	10
12.	10'-6" screed utilized for the final surface application	11
13.	Using squeegees to distribute E-Krete ahead of the 10'-6" screed	12
14.	Completed E-Krete application	12
15.	Friction Data	13
16.	Graphical representation of average skid number (Red = Section 1, Blue = Section 2)	14
17.	Manual Rut Measurement	15
18.	percent of rutting when compared to baseline measurements that were taken prior to E-Krete application	16

v

# LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

	<u>Figure</u>	<b>Page</b>
19.	Delamination in multiple application with priming area approximately one year after E-Krete application	17
20.	Cracking in E-Krete approximately three months after application	17
21.	Wearing of E-Krete after one year	18

### **<u>Chapter 1 – Project Introduction</u>**

This report will focus on a product known as E-Krete and it's performance in the rehabilitation of wheel path rutting in flexible pavements. E-Krete is an acrylic and polymer modified concrete mix that is manufactured in Mississippi by Polycon, Incorporated.

E-Krete is a "three part system" that combines a liquid solution, cementitious dry mix and small aggregate. The liquid solution contains Polycon's additives for hardening and bonding. Some aggregates are added for skid resistance and other material properties.

Wheel path deformation (rutting) is an undesirable distress associated with flexible pavement systems. This form of distress is especially dangerous for the traveling public during wet weather events.

In an attempt to preserve the condition of asphalt pavements, a cost effective maintenance treatment for rutted pavements is needed. With this in mind, the Mississippi Department of Transportation (MDOT) evaluated E-Krete as a treatment for wheel path rutting.

## **Chapter 2 – Initial Trial at Winona**

In August of 1999, as part of MDOT's product evaluation functions, a 375-foot test section of E-Krete was installed at no cost to the Department, in a severely rutted section of the outside lane on Interstate 55 Northbound in Montgomery County near Winona, Mississippi.



Figure 1 – Initial project location

The rutting in this 375 foot section of Interstate 55, averaged approximately 0.5 inches in depth as measured by the Departmental high speed profiler utilizing a three point laser system for rut depth. A complete condition report for this section of Interstate 55 can be found in Appendix A. Traffic levels on this section of I-55 equal approximately 1,100,000 18k ESALs annually with a design ADT of 14,000.

For this test location, E-Krete was placed only in each wheel path (See Figure 2).



Figure 2 – E-Krete evaluation section near Winona, MS

Approximately one month after the application of E-Krete, delaminations of the product from the existing asphalt began to occur. In addition to the delamination, approximately forty (40) transverse cracks were observed throughout the section. MDOT required the contractor on numerous occasions throughout the life of the project to repair areas throughout the test section.

In October of 2000, MDOT let an overlay project to contract which required the removal of this initial test section.



Figure 3 – Patches and delamination in Winona test site



Figure 4 – Close-up of delaminated area

## <u>Chapter 3 – Detailed Project Trial Near Flora, MS</u>

After the failure of the initial E-Krete test section near Winona, MS., the manufacturer of the product, Polycon Inc., approached MDOT to request a second trial. Polycon blamed the failure of the initial application on materials, labor, and inadequate construction practices. Polycon convinced the Department that the necessary adjustments to the product and workforce had been made to insure a more representative product evaluation during the second trial.

To facilitate a more detailed evaluation, MDOT decided to perform the project through its Research Division and utilize State Planning & Research (SP&R) funding for the trial. The Research Division received approval from MDOT's Research Advisory Committee to perform the research and in September of 2000, the second evaluation of E-Krete for rut filling began as MDOT State Study Number 140, "Evaluation of E-Krete for Rut Filling".



Figure 5 – Second E-Krete trial project location

MDOT chose a moderately rutted section of U.S. 49 Southbound in Madison County near Flora, Mississippi for this detailed evaluation. The average annual traffic loading for this site is approximately 300,000 18K ESALs with an ADT of approximately 10,000. The average preconstruction rutting throughout the test section measured approximately 0.25". Preconstruction measurements to quantify the pavement condition were taken and can be found in Appendix C.



Figure 6 – Project location near Flora, MS prior to E-Krete application

It was decided that a 500 foot segment of the test section would not have E-krete applied to it and would serve as the control segment for the experiment. Throughout the remaining 1500 feet of the test section, the following application methods would be attempted to determine what construction methodology would provide the highest level of performance:

- 1. Priming of the asphalt surface followed by multiple applications of E-Krete
- 2. Multiple applications of E-Krete with no initial surface priming
- 3. Single lane width application of E-Krete with no initial surface priming

The multiple application method of application would involve an initial 4 foot wide application of E-Krete placed in each wheel path followed by a 10'-6" foot wide application of E-Krete for the final surface.



Figure 7 – Priming of the asphalt surface with the liquid solution component of E-Krete's three part system (liquid, dry mix & aggregate)

On September 14, 2000, construction of the evaluation section began. The contractor, Polycon Inc., elected not to perform any surface cleaning of the existing asphalt surface prior to application of the product. A portion of the test section was primed in the wheel path with the liquid component of the E-Krete system (See Figure 7). During the priming process, the three components (liquid, dry mix & aggregate) of the product were mixed in a mortar mixer. Upon completion of the priming, a 4' wide screed assembly was utilized to apply the E-Krete mixture to the wheel paths (See Figure 8). Production was slow with many starts and stops due to the small amount of E-Krete that could be mixed using the contractor's equipment. These delays caused indentions to be placed transversely by the screed and produced a "weak plane" which led to premature cracking (See Figure 9).



Figure 8 – 4 foot wide screed application for initial application of E-Krete



Figure 9 – Transverse crack at a screed stoppage during the previous days pour



Figure 10 - Broadcasting of Georgia granite on fresh E-Krete for skid resistance

Georgia granite was broadcast behind the screed to improve the skid resistance of the E-Krete surface. This was necessary since traffic would be placed on this lift of E-Krete prior to the application of the final 10'-6" wide surface application.

Production levels were approximately 300 linear feet of wheel path per hour, which was too slow and can be attributed to the contractor's decision to utilize only one mortar mixer. The contractor decided to stop construction at approximately 11:30 am upon completing the initial application of E-Krete to the outside wheel path from Sta. 456+00 to Sta. 450+25. The E-Krete cured for a period of two hours before traffic was allowed to traverse the section.

The contractor began the second day of construction determined to increase his productivity. A second mixer was utilized and as could be expected the productivity doubled to approximately 600 linear feet of wheel path per hour. However, even with



Figure 11 – Second day of construction utilizing two mortar mixers

the increased level of production, the screed was stopping too often to provide a smooth riding surface. During the second day of production, the inside wheel path from Sta. 456+00 to Sta. 450+25 was applied with E-Krete. Before the days activities were completed, the outside wheel path from Sta. 450+25 to Sta 447+75 was also given its initial coat of E-Krete. Once again the E-Krete was allowed to cure for 2 hours before the onset of traffic.

On the final day of construction, the contractor decided to supply a 540 gallon tank for storage of the material. The tank arrived at the project location with a 250 gallon batch of E-Krete that was premixed at Polycon, Inc.

The contractor utilized a 10'-6" screed to pull the entire lane with for the 1500' length of the project. A 10'-6" screed width was utilized to maintain the existing pavement marking materials.



Figure 12 - 10'-6'' Screed utilized for the final surface application

Arkansas granite was broadcast on the wet surface of the E-Krete to ensure adequate surface friction. Utilizing the 540 gallon tank provided a production rate of approximately 800 feet of 10'-6" wide E-Krete per hour. This higher rate of production minimized the need to stop the screed and provided a more acceptable final surface.

With the initial 250 gallon batch that was pre-mixed prior to arriving to the project location, the contractor was able to apply a 10'-6" wide application of E-Krete from Sta. 456+00 to Sta. 447+10 (890 feet of production). At Sta. 447+10, a cold joint was formed as the contractor had to wait for another 250 gallon batch to be transported to the project site.

At approximately 1:00 pm, the contractor finished his 10'-6" wide application of E-Krete throughout the entire 1500 foot application area. Once again the E-Krete was allowed to cure for 2 hours before permitting traffic to traverse the test section.



Figure 13 – Using squeegees to distribute E-Krete ahead of the 10'-6" screed



Figure 14 – Completed E-Krete application

### **Chapter 4 – Performance**

Over the two year evaluation period (October 2000 - October 2002), the Research Division monitored the skid resistance, rutting, cracking/delaminations and durability in the E-Krete test section on U.S. 49 in Madison County. The following is a summary of those findings:

### Skid Resistance

Concerned that E-Krete may not provide adequate surface friction to ensure the safety of the traveling public, MDOT Research Division periodically measured the skid resistance of the test section throughout the two year evaluation period. The Departmental high speed friction testing system which is designed to meet all of the requirements of ASTM E274-90 "Standard Test Method for Skid Resistance of Paved Surfaces Using a Full-Scale Tire" utilizing a ribbed tire was used for data collection.

For friction data collection purposes, the test section was divided into two separate monitoring areas:

- 1. Sta. 456+00 to Sta. 450+00 (area of multiple E-Krete applications)
- 2. Sta. 448+00 to Sta. 441+00 (area of single E-Krete application)

Friction data was collected on nine (9) separate occasions throughout the 2 year evaluation period:

		<u>Section 1</u> (Multiple E-			<u>Section 2</u> (Single E-	
		krete			krete	
		Applications)			Application)	
Date	<u>High Sn</u>	Low Sn	<u>Avg Sn</u>	<u>High Sn</u>	<u>Low Sn</u>	<u>Avg Sn</u>
9/26/2000	52.5	50.1	51.2	48.2	46.3	47
11/9/2000	50.4	48.1	49.1	47.2	43.5	45.7
1/30/2001	51.4	48.3	50.1	46.7	41.1	43.7
3/27/2001	49.4	44.6	47.3	44.9	39.1	41.2
7/18/2001	45.8	43.6	44.9	43	35.4	38.3
10/19/2001	51.5	46.5	48.9	45.5	39.2	41.9
12/14/2001	52.5	49.4	50.9	45.9	40.1	42.5
7/11/2002	46.8	42.9	44.3	41.6	35.9	38.7
10/11/2002	49.3	46.1	47.3	43.1	38.8	40.8
		E'	Edition D.	. 4		

Figure 15 – Friction Data



Figure 16 – Graphical Representation of Average Skid Number (Red = Section 1, Blue = Section 2)

On each of the nine (9) test dates, no less than five (5) skid readings were taken from each of the two monitoring areas. Figure 15 shows the low, high and average value of the five (5) reading taken on each section.

MDOT's policy on friction is to maintain a skid number of thirty-five (35) or greater on all of our pavements to ensure the safety of the traveling public. The skid results from the E-Krete test section on U.S. 49 in Madison County never fell below the required thirty-five (35), however test section #2 (single E-Krete application) did approach the minimum requirement on multiple occasions. Test section #1 (multiple E-Krete applications) consistently yielded higher skid numbers than did test section #2 (single E-Krete application).

### **Rutting**

Since the primary purpose of E-Krete used in this application is a maintenance treatment for rutting in asphalt pavements, MDOT was interested in determining over the two year evaluation period the effectiveness of E-Krete in the elimination of wheel path rutting. For the data collection with respect to rutting, the test section was divided into the following four separate analysis sections:

- 1. Left Wheel Path Sta. 461+00 to 456+00 Right Wheel Path - Sta. 461+00 to 456+00 (Control Section - No E-Krete)
- 2. Left Wheel Path Sta. 456+00 to 455+75
  Right Wheel Path Sta. 456+00 to 451+50
  (Multiple E-Krete Application with Initial Asphalt Surface Priming)
- 3. Left Wheel Path Sta. 455+75 to 449+75
  Right Wheel Path Sta. 451+50 to 448+00
  (Multiple E-Krete Application with No Asphalt Surface Priming)
- 4. Left Wheel Path Sta. 449+75 to 441+00 Right Wheel Path – Sta. 448+00 to 441+00 (Single E-Krete Application with No Asphalt Surface Priming)

Over the two year evaluation period, rut measurements were taken on four separate occasions. The initial rut measurement was taken prior to the application of the E-Krete to establish a "base-line" for comparison throughout the study. Rut measurements were also taken at the approximate one year, one and one-half year and two year intervals.



Figure 17 – Manual Rut Measurement

Rut measurements were taken at twenty-five foot intervals in each wheel path throughout the entire 2000' evaluation section. The entire rut data set measured in sixteenths of an inch can be found in Appendix C. Below is a summary of the rut measurements over the two year evaluation period:

Section	<u>12/10/2001</u>	7/11/2002	<u>10/11/2002</u>
#1 Control (No E-Krete)	119%	146%	150%
#2 Multiple Application w/prime	49%	55%	60%
#3 Multiple Application no prime	55%	59%	68%
#4 Single Application no prime Figure 18 - percent of rutting w	103% hen compared	123% to baseline measu	129% rements that were
taken p	rior to E-Krete	application	

Figure 18 compares the total rut measurement for an evaluation section at the approximate one year, one and one-half year and two year increments with the preexisting rut data for each section that was collected prior to the E-Krete application. For example, after approximately one year the control section had 119% of the rutting that it had the year earlier and the single application section exhibited 103% of the rutting that was present prior to the evaluation. In other words, it took approximately one year for all of the rutting in section #4 to return.

### **Cracking/Delamination**

Given the performance of the initial E-Krete trial on Interstate 55 near Winona, MS, MDOT was interested in determining if the U.S. 49 test in Madison County would suffer a similar fate. Approximately one year after the application of E-Krete, MDOT surveyed the entire evaluation section for cracking. Appendix D contains the results of that survey.

In addition to excessive cracking throughout the evaluation section, there were several areas of delamination that concerned the Department. Even though the magnitude of delamination was not as great as was observed on the Interstate 55 section near Winona, MS, MDOT was still not satisfied with the results.



Figure 19 – Delamination in multiple application with priming area approximately one year after E-Krete application



Figure 20 – Cracking in E-Krete approximately three months after application

### **Durability**

Over the two year evaluation period, the E-Krete appeared to wear throughout the entire test section. This was not limited to, but most prominently apparent in the "Single Application-No Priming" analysis section. An analysis of the rut data would support this observation, since within a year of application, the ruts had returned to pre-E-krete application levels in the single application section. Therefore, one could conclude that the durability of E-Krete is not adequate for this particular application.



Figure 21 – Wearing of E-Krete after one year

## **Chapter 5 – Conclusions**

Rutting in flexible pavements continues to pose a maintenance problem for State Transportation Agencies nationwide. This distress if left unchecked can lead to unsafe travel conditions on an agencies network of pavements. With this in mind, the Mississippi Department of Transportation (MDOT) is continually seeking solutions to rutting in flexible pavements. Great strides in this area have been made with the advent of Superpave asphalt mixes; however there are many pre-Superpave mix designs still in service that require attention due to wheel path rutting.

Hopeful of finding a solution, MDOT evaluated E-Krete as a rut repair material. E-Krete is a material that exhibits many of the properties of a Portland cement concrete, and is therefore an excellent material for the repair of concrete spalling. However, the rigidity exhibited by E-Krete prevents it from maintaining a long-term bond when applied in a thin lift to a flexible material. This ultimately leads to cracking and delamination as evidenced in MDOT's two trial sections on Interstate 55 near Winona, MS. and U.S. 49 near Flora, MS.

Additionally, E-Krete did not exhibit the durability properties that would result in the desired long-term performance. Rutting returned within a year in the single application analysis section which leads one to question the durability of the material.

Another observation is that the lack of automated equipment to place the material contributed to premature cracking and poor ride quality due to unnecessary starting and stopping and a lack of a consistent application speed when using a manually pulled screed. A more automated construction method would undoubtedly improve the final product.

Finally, the cost of E-Krete is approximately double the cost of a conventional 1.5" asphalt overlay. Economically it appears that E-Krete is not feasible for this application.

It should be pointed out that MDOT has evaluated E-Krete for the following three separate applications:

- Concrete Spall Repair
- Bridge Deck Sealant
- Rut Repair

Of these three applications, E-Krete has only gained Departmental approval as a concrete spall repair material based on E-Krete's performance in MDOT State Study No. 139 – "Evaluation of E-Krete and ReSurf II IV for Concrete Spall Repair" (Available MDOT Document FHWA/MS-DOT-RD-00-139).

Low friction properties of the material and ride quality issues led to the disapproval of E-Krete as a bridge deck sealant.

Unfortunately, based on the results contained in this report, MDOT does not believe that E-Krete is suited for the application of rut repair. E-Krete was ultimately disapproved for utilization on flexible pavements by MDOT in October of 2002.

# **APPENDIX A**

.

# PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT DATA I-55 NORTHBOUND AT WINONA, MS

Transportation Management Information System

Date: 03/24/2063

### Time: 09:30:64

RDD680 Report Analysis Section ID 2309 County: Montgomery [49] Begin Distance: 4,223 mil DDA: N Federal Functional Class: Route: 55 End Distance: District: 2 11.582 (1) National Highway System: Y Pavement Type: **Overlay** Flexible Number of Lanes in Section: 2 Plan Length: 7.367 mil Structure Number: 6.00 Total Number of Lanes: 4 Measured Length: 7.359 mi Divided Highway: Total Lane Width: ٧ 34.0 E / 7.32 m Paved Shoulder; N Left Shoulder Width: 8.0 R/2.40 m Right Shoulder Width: 12.0 ft / 3.60 m **Begin Station No.:** 320+00 Begin Latitude: 33.477036 Begin Longitude: -89.763885 End Station No.: 709+00 End Latitude: 33.562874 End Longitude: -89.775551 Begin Landmark: + 0.5 Mile South U.S. 82 End Landmark: + 2.5 Miles South Carrol/Montgomery Co.Line Memoic Lanes Landmarks Lane Width Landmark Landmark Offset (mi) 01 - Lane One 12.0 1/3 80 m US 82 4.863 02 - Lane Two 12.0 ft/3.60 m **Original Construction Completion Date:** 01/01/1965 Total Number of Layers: 4 Edge Drain: н Project Number: P2360 Total Thickness of Structure: 24.50 in Structure Removed: N Project Detail Number: 1 Boll Type: G30 - A-6, A-7, City Solis Historical Project #: 146-3(23)182 Thickness (in) Material Type Material Property 2 Layer Material Property 1 Material Property 3 ŧ., 6 F60 - Lime Treated Material 45% 2 8 F20 - Clay Gravel 8.5 E30 - Asphalt Treated 3 5.5% STAB-1700 4 D30 - Orgni Surface AC Surface 4 4.5% STAB-2150

Overla	ly Number:	1	Milling Thickness:	4.00 in	Pave Fabric:	. 8
Projec	f. Number:	102322	Rehab Thickness:	0.00 m	Edge Drain:	
Projec	t Detail Number:	301000	Resurfacing Type:	50 - ACP	% of Surface Area:	0
Date U	ipdated:		Rehab Type:	0 - N/A		
Comp	letion Date:	02/15/2002	Historical Project #:	59-0055-03-074		
ayer	Material Type		Material Property 1	Material Property 2	Thickness (in)	
1.5	HT19mm		3.56/96	PG76-22	3.25	
2	HT12.6mm		4.3296	PG78-22	1.75	

Page 1 of 6

Date: 83/24/2003 Time: 89:30:04

Analysia Section ID: 2389

### Transportation Management Information System

RDD680 Report

County: Montgamer Route: 55	A feed	End Distance:	4.223 mi 11.582 mi	DOA: District:	2	Federal Functional National Highway S	Class: lystem: Y
Pavement Type:	Overlay Fielble	Number of Lanes	in Section:	.2		Plan Length:	7.367 mi
Structure Number:	6.00	Total Number of	Lates:	4		Measured Length:	7.350 mi
Divided Highway:	¥ .	Total Lane Width:		24.0 tt / 7.32	m	Paved Shoulder:	N
		Left Shoulder W	etth:	601/2.40			
		Right Shoulder i	Mathe	12.0 #/3.00	m		
Begin Station No.:	320+00	Begin Latitude:	33 477006			Begin Longitude:	-89.763885
End Station No.:	709+00	End Latitude:	33.582874			End Longitude:	-89.775551
Begin Landmark:	+ 0.5 Mile South U.S. 82						
End Landmark:	+ 2.5 Miles South Cantal-Mon	fgomery Co.Line					

Overl	ay/Resurfacing	Rehabilitations	(continued)				
Over	ay Number:	2	Milling Thickness:	4.00 in		Pave Fabric:	N
Proje	ct Number:	P10101	Robab Thickness:	0.00 in		Edge Drain:	N
Proje	ct Detail Number:	1	Resurfacing Type:	50 - AC/P		% of Surface Area:	.0
Date	Updated:	06/24/1992	Returb Type:	0 - NOA			
Com	netion Date:	04/01/1991	Historical Project #:	54-0055-03-064-10			
Layer	Material Type		Material Property 1	Material Property 2	Thickness	limb	
t -	BC-t		5.9%	STAB-1700	1	50	
2	SC-1		6.1%	STAB-1500	1	50	_
Over	ay Number:	3	Milling Thickness:	0.00 in		Pave Fabric:	N
Proje	ct Number:	P12564	Rehab Thickness:	0.00 in		Edge Drain:	N
Proje	ct Detail Number:	3	Resurfacing Type:	30 - ACP ASBST or ACP &	Slutty	% of Surface Area:	. 0
Date	Updated:	08/24/1992	Rehab Type:	0 - N/A			
Com	sletion Date:	12/01/1963	Historical Project #:	IR-65-3(47)182			
Layer	Material Type		Material Property 1	Material Property 2	Thickness (	(in)	
1	BC-I		4.2%		1.	00	
2	50-2		6.4%		. 1	00	
3	CR52				0.	37	

Page 2 of 8

Transportation Management Information System

Date: 03/24/2003 Time: 89:30:04

		4 999	100A: N	Federal Functional	Class
ounty: Montgomery (49) exute: 55	Begin Distance End Distance	se: 4.225 mi 11.502 mi	District: 2	National Highway S	System:
avement Type: Overlay Fie Inacture Namber: 6.00 avided Highway: Y	xbis Number of Las Total Number Total Lare Wi Laft Shoulder Right Should	unes in Section: r of Lanes: With: r Width: ler Width:	2 4 240 8 / 7.32 m 8.0 ft / 2.40 m 12.0 ft / 3.60 m	Plan Length: Measured Length: Payed Shoulder:	7.367 mi 7.355 mi N
tegin Station No.: 320+00 End Station No.: 709+00 Begin Landmark: + 0.5 Mile End Landmark: + 2.5 Mile Memo:	Begin Latitud End Latitude: South U.S. 82 a South Carrol/Montgomery Co.Line	de: 33.477036 c 33.582674		Begin Longitude: End Longitude:	-m.76389 -89.77515
Roadway Condition Data Orientation: F Year Data Collected: 1991 Servey Date: 06/1	Roughness Rating: Distress Rating: 5/1991 Pavement Condition Rat	86 117 sting: 88	Left Testure I Center Testur Hight Testure	kumber: 0.00 % Number: 0.00 Number: 0.00	_
Roadway Condition Data Orientation: F Year Data Collected: 1991 Servey Date: 06/1 Number of Faults: Start Tape Set Nmbr: 20 End Tape Set Nmbr: 20	Roughness Rating: Distress Rating: 5/1991 Pavement Condition Rat Survey Pavement Type: M Start Video Frame: Start Video Frame:	56 97 eting: 88 e Piedole 00:18:34:03	Left Testure I Center Testur Hight Testure End Video Frame End Video Frame	Aurriber: 0.00 In Number: 0.00 Number: 0.00 Number: 0.00	
Roadway Condition Data Orientation: F Yuar Data Collected: 1991 Survey Date: 0617 Number of Faults: Start Tape Set Nmbr: 21 End Tape Set Nmbr: 23 End Tape Set Nmbr: 23 End Tape Set Nmbr: 15 IRL Average: 68.43 (in/m) Low: 0.% 0.4= x Medium: 0.% 100 <1 High: 0.% x>10	Roughness Rating: Distress Rating: 5/1991 Pavement Condition Rat Survey Pavement Type: 38 Start Video Frame: Start Video Frame: Start Video Frame: Rutting Averag co 100 Low: 0 1 += 159 Mediam: 0 1 High: 0	56 87 eting: 88 e Flexible 00:19:34:03 get 0.16 in 1% 0.13 <= x <= 0% 0.25 < x <= 0% x > 0.660	Left Testure I Center Testur Hight Testur End Video Frame End Video Frame Fa 0.298 0.809	Aurober: 0.00 Number: 0.00 Number: 0.00 Number: 0.00 n 00:27:21:04 t: nutting Average: Low: Low: Medium: High:	
Roadway Condition Data Orientation: F Yuar Data Gollected: 1991 Survey Date: 0611 Number of Faults: Start Tape Set Nmbr: 21 End Tape Set Nmbr: 23 End Tape Set Nmbr: 23 End Tape Set Nmbr: 23 End Tape Set Nmbr: 24 Itt Average: 68.43 (in/mi) Low: 0.% 0.4= x Medium: 0.% 100 <1 High: 0.% x>10 Toto Orientation: F Year Data Collected: 199 Survey Date: 037	Roughness Rating: Distress Rating: 51991 Pavement Condition Rat Survey Pavement Type: 31 Start Video Frame: Start Video Frame: Rutting Averag coi 100 Low: 0 1 coi 159 Mediam: 0 1 High: 0 Roughness Rating: 3 Distress Rating: 1/1903 Pavement Condition Ra	56 87 elling: 88 e Flexible 00:18:34:03 get 0.16 in 0% 0.13 <= x <0 0% 0.25 < x <= 0% x > 0.600 77 92 tating: 82 e: Flexible	Left Testure I Center Testur Hight Testur End Video Frame End Video Frame Fa = 0.259 0.800 Left Testur Right Testur	kumber: 0.00 Number: 0.00 Number: 0.00 Number: 0.00 t: 00:27:21:94 t: Number: 0.04 re Number: 0.04 e Number: 0.04	

IRI Average: 91.24 (m/m) Low: 09.% 0 <= x <= 100 Medium: 23.% 100 < x <= 150 High: 7.% x > 150

Page 3 of 6

0.15 cm g cm 0.250

0.25 < x <= 0.500

x > 0.500

Low

Hight

Medium

13.%

2%

Low:

High:

Medium: 79%

Transportation Management Information System

Date: 03/24/2003 Time: 09:30:04

### RDD680 Report Analysis Section 10: 2369

County: Montgomer Route: 55	y (48)	Begin Distance: End Distance:	A 223 mi 11.582 mi	DOA: Distra	N 2 IB	Federal Functional National Highway 3	Class: System: Y
Pavement Type:	Overlay Flexible	Number of Lane	in Section:	2	1	Plan Longth:	7.367 mi
Structure Number:	6.00	Total Number of	Lanes:	4		Measured Length:	7.359 mi
Divided Highway:	¥	Total Lane Width:		240 ft / 7.32 m		Paved Shoulder:	N
		Left Shoulder W	idBc	808/24	40 m		
		Right Shoulder V	Midth:	12.0 1/3	60 m		
Begin Station No.:	320+00	Begin Latitude:	33.477096			Begin Longitude:	-89.763885
End Station No.:	709+00	End Latitude:	33.582674			End Longitude:	-89.775551
Begin Landmark:	+ 0.5 Mile South U.S. 82						
End Landmark:	+ 2.5 Miles South Cattoli Mon	Ippenery Co.Line					
Memo:							

Data Orientation:	P	Roughness Rating:	79 Le	ft Texture Number:	0.04
Year Data Collected:	1995	Distress Rating:	100 Ce	mler Texture Number:	0.00
Survey Date:	06/08/1995	Pavement Condition Rating:	90 Rij	ght Texture Number:	0.04
Number of Faults:		Survey Pavement Type:	Floritie		
Start Tape Set Nmbr: End Tape Set Nmbr:	206	Start Video Frame: 01.2 Start Video Frame:	2:24:27 End V End V	ideo Frame: 01:30:07: ideo Frame:	12
HI Average: 50.47	(in/mi)	Rutting Average:	0.06 km	Faulting Averag	E.
Low: 79%	0 cm z cm 500	Low: 4%	8.13 <= x <= 0.250	Low:	
Medium: 16%	100 < x <= 150	Medium: 87%	0.25 < x <= 0.600	Medium	
High: 4%	x > 160	High: 7%	x > 0.500	High:	
Data Orientation:	F	Roughness Rating:	ter Le	ft Texture Namber:	0.00
Year Data Collected:	1997	Distress Rating:	83 Ce	inter Texture Number:	0.00
Survey Date:	02/13/1996	Pavement Condition Rating:	78 Ra	ght Texture Number:	0.00
Number of Faults:		Survey Pavement Type:	Flexible		
Start Tape Set Nmbr:	210	Start Video Frame: 00.1	01017 End V	Ideo Frame: 00.24.331	12
End Tape Set Neibr:		Start Video Frame:	End V	ideo Frame:	
RI Average: 70.33	(invini)	Rutting Average:	0.48 in	Faulting Averag	к:
Larve: 97%	0 cm z cm 150	Low: 44%	0.25 -= x -= 0.500	Low	
Medium: 2%	150 × x ×× 300	Medium: 48%	0.50 < z == 1.000	Medium:	
Made 0.55	x > 300	High: 0%	z > 1.000	High:	

### Mississippi Department of Transportation Transportation Management Information System

**RDD680 Report** 

Date: 03/24/2003 Time: 09:30:04

Analysis Section ID: 2369

#### County: Montgomery [49] Begin Distance: 4.223 mi DDA: N Federal Functional Class: Route: 55 End Distance: 11.582 mi District: 2 National Highway System: Y Pavement Type: Overlay Flexible Number of Lanes in Section: 2 Plan Length: 7.367 mi Structure Number: 6.00 Total Number of Lanes: 4 Measured Length: 7,359 mi **Divided Highway:** Total Lane Width: Y 24.0 ft / 7.32 m **Paved Shoulder:** N Left Shoulder Width: 8.0 ft / 2.40 m **Right Shoulder Width:** 12.0 ft / 3.60 m Begin Station No.: 320+00 Begin Latitude: 33.477036 Begin Longitude: -89.763885 End Station No.: 709+00 End Latitude: 33,582874 End Longitude: -89.775551 Begin Landmark: + 0.5 Mile South U.S. 82 End Landmark: + 2.5 Miles South Carroll/Montgomery Co.Line Memo:

**Roadway Condition** (continued...) **Data Orientation:** F **Roughness Rating:** 75 Left Texture Number: 0.08 Year Data Collected: 2000 **Distress Rating:** 82 **Center Texture Number:** 0.04 Survey Date: 05/01/2000 **Pavement Condition Rating:** 72 **Right Texture Number:** 0.04 Number of Faults: Survey Pavement Type: Flexible Start Tape Set Nmbr: 203 Start Video Frame: 01:47:44:05 End Video Frame: 01:53:42:09 End Tape Set Nmbr: Start Video Frame: End Video Frame: IRI Average: 98.84 (in/mi) Rutting Average: 0.54 in Faulting Average: 94 % Low: 0 <= x <= 150 Low: 27 % 0.25 <= x <= 0.500 Low: Medium: 4 % 150 < x <= 300 Medium: 64 % 0.50 < x <= 1.000 Medium: High: 1% x > 300 High: 1% x > 1.000 High: Data Orientation: E Roughness Rating: 81 Left Texture Number: 0.00 Year Data Collected: Distress Rating: 2002 99 Center Texture Number: 0.00 Survey Date: 11/02/2001 **Pavement Condition Rating:** 90 **Right Texture Number:** 0.00 Number of Faults: Survey Pavement Type: Flexible Start Tape Set Nmbr: 205 Start Video Frame: 00:36:15:24 End Video Frame: 00:42:55:13 End Tape Set Nmbr: Start Video Frame: End Video Frame: IRI Average: 75.40 (in/mi) Rutting Average: 0.03 in Faulting Average: 93 % Low: 0 <= x <= 150 Low: 0% 0.13 <= x <= 0.250 Low: Medium: 6% 150 < x <= 300 Medium: 0 % 0.25 < x <= 0.500 Medium: 1% x > 300 0% High: High: x > 0.500High:

### Deflection

Deflection Data Collected:

Average Load: Ib

Page 5 of 6

## Transportation Management Information System

Dete: 03/24/2003 Time: 05:30:54

RDD680 Report Analysis Section ID: 2309									
County: Montgomer Route: 55	y  40]	Begin Distanca: End Distance:	4.225 mi 11.582 mi	DDA: N District: 2	Federal Functional National Highway 5	Class: lystem: Y			
Pavenent Type: Structure Number: Divided Highway:	Overtay Flexible 6.00 Y	Number of Lanes a Total Number of L Total Lane Width: Left Stroubler Wid Right Stroubler Wid	n Section: anes: Dr: dth:	2 4 240 ft/7.32 m ft0 ft/2.40 m 120 ft/3.90 m	Plan Length: Measured Length; Paved Shoulder:	7.367 mi 7.350 mi N			
Begin Station No.: End Station No.: Begin Landmark: End Landmark: Wemu:	120+00 709+00 + 0.5 Mile South U.S. 82 + 2.5 Miles South Carrolist	Begin Latitude: End Latitude: Aurigomery Co.Line	33.477038 33.582874		Begin Longitude: End Longitude:	-89.753885 -89.775551			
Average Deflection	i (mila)	ensor 1 Sensor 2 Sen	sor 3 Senso	4 Sensor 5 5	ensor 4 Sensor 7				
Modulus of Election	ay (kal)	ubgrade Subbase B	ese Sur	fecs					
					5				
		END OF	REPORT ·····						
Reported Nows: 1 Select Criteria: Wh	of 36 IERE ( v_condition.county	_nember = 48 AND v cond	Bon route id -	- 165' )					
	1 (A)								
11.00 11.90%	dipat di								
Page # of 8									

## **APPENDIX B**

# PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT DATA U.S. 49 NEAR FLORA, MS

Date: 03/24/2003

### Transportation Management Information System

RDD680 Report Analysis Section

- TI	me	1.1	160	48	265	
- 72			177		-	7

			NU	000	o Report		Analytic	1 000	000110. 120	·		1.1
County: Madison (4 Route: 49	4	D	Begin Distan End Distano	ncar ec	2.944 mi 9.290 mi	DC De	No: 1 Intrict: 1	5	Faderal I National	'unclional Highway 1	Class: Bystem:	v -
Pavement Type: Structure Number: Divided Highway:	Overb 3.00 Y	ey Flexible	Number of L Total Numbe Total Lare V Left Should Right Should	anes I er of L Vidth: er Wid dar Wi	in Section; anes: th: idth:	2 4 3408 308 808	/7.32 m 0.90 m (2.40 m		Plan Len Measure Paved S	gth: d Length: houlder:	6.370 # 5.346 # N	
Begin Station No.: End Station No.: Begin Landmark: End Landmark: Memo:	867+ 484+ 2.94 Yazo Equi	00 d6 4 MEN CHHinds Co Line e Ca Line don 1018+586a=306+00al	Regin Latitu End LafiRuth	de:	32,603670 32,527088	5			Begin Lo	angitude: gitude:	-90.364 -90.310	990 154
Lanes	-			_	Landmark	8		7		-	-	
Lane 01 - Lane One 02 - Lane Two		Width 120 ft / 340 m 120 ft / 340 m			Lædmærk SR22					Lands	nark Offe	et (mi) 3.936
Original Const	ructio	n										
Completion Date: Project Number: Project Detail Num	nber:	01/01/1980 P1937 1	Total Numb Total Thick Soil Type:	ness o G30	Layers: 4 of Structure: - A-6, A-7, Cla	15.0 Ny Soria	0 in	1	Edge Orain: Structure Ra Historical Pr	merved: nject #:	N N 96-0006-	03-027-10
LayerThickn 1., 2	ess (in) 6 45	Material Type F60 - Lime Troated Mater E30 - Asphalt Treater	H.	Mate CLA	nial Property SS C	<u>1</u>	Materi 18.9 C	wi Pri BS	operty 2	Materi SY	ul Proper	ty 3
3 4	3 1.5	D30 - Orgni Surface AC 1 D30 - Orgni Burface AC 1	Surface Surface	BC-1 BC-1								
Overlay/Resurf	acing	Rehabilitations	_	_		-	-	1		-	-	-
Overlay Number: Project Number: Project Detail Num Date Updated: Completion Date:	nber:	1 1 P9487 1 1 06/24/1962 1 06/01/1987 1	tilling Thickne Rehab Thickne Resurfacing Ty Rehab Type: Historical Proje	nis: ss: pe: ect #:	0.00 in 0.00 in 50 - ACP 0 - N/A 91-3040-4	5-001-10	;			Pave Fab Edge Dra N of Surf	eic: in: lace Area:	N N D
Layer Material Ty	(per		aterial Property	y 1	Material 7	Property	2	-	Thickness (	in) 50		

. . .

Transportation Management Information System

Date: 03/24/2093 Time: 09:46:58

#### **RDD680 Report** Analysis Section ID: 1997 County: Medison (45) Begin Distance: 2.944 mi DDA: s Federal Functional Class: District: 1 National Highway System: Y End Distance: 9,290 mi Route: 49 Number of Lanes in Section: Plan Length: 6.370 mi **Overlay Florible** 2 Pavement Type: Total Number of Lanes: Measured Length: 6.346 mi Structure Number: 3.00 4 Total Lane Width: Paved Shoulder: N **Divided Highway:** ¥ 240ft/7.32m Left Shoulder Width: 3.0 ±/0.90 m Right Shoulder Width: 60 ft/2.40 m Begin Station No.: 867+00 Begin Latitude: 32.603870 Begin Longitude: -00.364603 484+66 End Station No.: End Latitude: 32.527088 End Longitude: -90.310554 2.944 M N Of Hinds Co Line Begin Landmark: Yazoo Co Line End Landmark: Equation 1018+596k=306+00eh Memo:

Data Orientation:     F     Roughness Rating:     82     Left Texture Number:     0.00       Year Data Collected:     1991     Distress Rating:     84     Center Texture Number:     0.00       Survey Date:     00/25/1501     Pavement Condition Rating:     74     Föght Texture Number:     0.00       Number of Faults:     Sarvey Pavement Type:     Composite     01/44.30/24       Start Tape Set Nmbr:     580     Start Video Frame:     01/38:10:18     End Video Frame:     01/44.30/24       End Tape Set Nmbr:     Start Video Frame:     Start Video Frame:     End Video Frame:     01/44.30/24	
Year Data Collected:     1991     Distress Rating:     64     Center Texture Number:     0.00       Survey Date:     Di025/1991     Pavement Condition Rating:     74     Right Texture Number:     0.00       Number of Faults:     Survey Pavement Type:     Composite     01/24/30/24       Start Tape Set Nmbr:     580     Start Video Frame:     01/38/10/18     End Video Frame:     01/44/30/24       End Tape Set Nmbr:     Start Video Frame:     Start Video Frame:     End Video Frame:     01/44/30/24	
Survey Date:         DS/25/1501         Pavement Condition Rating:         74         Flight Testure Number:         0.00           Number of Faults:         Survey Pavement Type:         Composite         Composite         Start Tape Set Nmbr:         580         Start Video Frame:         01:38:10:18         End Video Frame:         01:44:30:24           End Tape Set Nmbr:         Start Video Frame:         Start Video Frame:         End Video Frame:         D1:44:30:24	
Number of Faults:         Survey Pavement Type:         Composite           Start Tape Set Nimbr:         580         Start Video Frame:         01:38:10:18         End Video Frame:         01:44:30:24           End Tape Set Nimbr:         Start Video Frame:         01:38:10:18         End Video Frame:         01:44:30:24	
Start Tape Set Nmbr:         580         Start Video Frame:         01:38:10:18         End Video Frame:         01:44:30:24           End Tape Set Nmbr:         Start Video Frame:         End Video Frame:         End Video Frame:         01:44:30:24	
End Tape Set Nmbr: Start Video Frame: End Video Frame:	
IRI Average: 69.34 (inim) Rutting Average: 0.22 in Faulting Average:	
Low: 0 % 0 <= x <= 100 Low: 0 % 0.13 <= x <= 0.250 Low:	
Medium: 0 % 100 < s <= 150 Medium: 0 % 0.25 < s <= 0.680 Medium:	
High: 0.% x > 160 High: 0.% x > 0.600 High:	
Data Orientation: # Roughness Rating: 74 Left Texture Number: 0.04	
Year Data Collected: 1963 Distress Rating: 82 Center Texture Number: 0.00	
Survey Date: 02/17/1993 Pavement Condition Rating: 78 Right Texture Number: 0.08	
Number of Faults: Survey Pavement Type: Fieldle	
Start Tape Set Nmbr: 521 Start Video Frame: 00.09.54.13 End Video Frame: 00.16.07.12	
End Tape Set Nmbr: Start Video Frame: End Video Frame:	
IRI Average: 102.64 (Inlmi) Rutting Average: 0.24 in Faulting Average:	
Low: 61 % 8 <= x <= 100 Low: 21 % 8.13 <= x <= 0.250 Low:	
Medium: 20 % 100 < x <= 180 Medium: 09 % 0.25 < x <= 0.500 Medium:	
High: 11% s > 150 High: 4% s > 0.500 High:	

Page 2 of 6

Date: 03/24/2003

### Transportation Management Information System

RDD680 Report Analysis Section ID: 1937

-		 		 _
T 18	F1451	 	- 64	
		 	_	-

County: Medison (4) Route: 49	4 5555	Begin Distance: End Distance:	2.944 mi 9.290 mi	DDA: District	6	Federal Functional National Highway S	Class: System: Y
Pavement Type:	Overlay Fiexible	Number of Lanes	in Section:	2		Plan Length:	6.370 mi
Structure Number:	3.00	Total Number of	4		Measured Length:	6.346 mi	
Divided Highway:	¥.	Total Lane Watth	24.0 8/7.32	m	Paved Shoulder:	N	
		Left Shoulder W	3.0 tt / 0.90	m			
		Right Shoulder V	Alidih:	8.0 ft/2.40	m		
Begin Station No.:	0f17+00	Begin Latitude:	32,603970			Begin Longitude:	-90.364693
End Station No.3	484+96	End Latitude:	32.527060			End Longitude:	-00.310554
Begin Landmark:	2.944 Mi N Of Hinds Co Line						
End Landmark:	Yazos Go Line						
Adverse of	Exception (D) Radiotics 201-00at						

Roadway Condition	(continued)							
Data Orientation:	Roughness Rating:		70	Left Texture Number:	0.04			
Year Data Collected:	905 Distress Rating:		84	Genter Texture Number:	0.00			
Burvey Date: 0	6/03/1995 Pavement Condition	Rating	72	Right Texture Number:	0.08			
Number of Faults:	Survey Parament T	ypse:	Flexible					
Start Tape Set Nmbr:	536 Start Video Fram	Start Video Frame: 01:05		d Video Frame: 01:12:13	00			
End Tape Set Nmbr:	Start Video Fram	e	En	ut Video Frame:				
Ri Average: 124.82 (m)	ml) Rutting Av	erage: d	0.295 Am	Faulting Average:				
Low: 49% 8 <	a ≤= 100 Low:	38%	0.12 cm x cm 0.28	B Low:				
Medium: 30 % 100	< x 🖙 150 Medium	40%	0.25 < 1 <> 0.550	Medium:				
High: 21 % x>	150 High:	3%	x > 0.500	High:				
Data Orientation:	Roughness Rating:		78	Laft Testure Number:	0.00			
Year Data Collected:	997 Distress Rating:		99	Center Texture Number:	0.00			
Survey Date: 0	0410/1998 Pavement Condition	Rating:	05	Right Texture Number:	0.00			
Number of Faults:	Survey Pavament T	ype:	Flexible					
Start Tape Set Nedur:	537 Start Video Fram	m 00.0	8.56.06 Er	d Video Frame: 00:14:36	05			
End Tape Bet Nmbr:	Start Video Fram		Er	ut Video Frame:				
IIII Average: 84.27 (init	4) Rutting Av	erage: (	0.12 in	Faulting Average	gec:			
Low: 96% 0 c	e a ce 160 Low:	2%	0.25 <= 1 <= 0.51	IO Lewe				
Medium: 4% 150	e x e= 300 Medium	0.%	0.50 < z <= 1.800	Medium:				
High: 0% x>	300 High:	0%	x > 1.600	High:				

Page 1 of 8

### Transportation Management Information System

Clate: 03/24/2093 Time: 09:48:58

ounty: Madace (45 coute: 49	1	Begin Distance: 2.944 End Distance: 9.200	mi DDA: S mi District: S	Federal Functional O National Highway S	Class: ystem: Y
avement Type: Inucture Number: Ivided Highway:	Overlay Plasible 3.00 Y	Number of Lanes in Sec Total Namber of Lanes Total Lane Width: Left Shoulder Width: Right Shoulder Width:	500: 2 4 24.0 t/7.32 m 3.0 ft (0.50 m 6.0 tt/2.40 m	Plan Length: Measured Length: Paved Shoulder:	6.370 mé 6.346 mi N
legin Station No.; ind Station No.; legin Landmark; ind Landmark; famo;	867+00 484+05 2.944 Mi N Of Hind Yazzo Co Line Equation 1018-598	Regin Latitude: 32.62 End Latitude: 32.62 Is Co Line k=308+00ah	3870 7060	Begin Longitude: End Longitude:	-80 304683 -80 310554
	tion departies		<u>12.</u>		
toadway Cond	ition (continu	red) Roughness Rating: 70	Left Texture N	uniber: 0.00	
Coadway Cond Data Orientation: Year Data Collecter	ition (continu F 4: 2000	aed) Roughness Rating: 70 Distress Rating: 27	Left Texture N Center Texture	endver: 0.00 Number: 0.00	- 5
Coadway Cond Data Orientation: Year Data Collecter Gurvey Date: Number of Faults:	ition (continu F 4: 2000 0405/2000	aed) Roughness Rating: 79 Distress Rating: 87 Pavement Condition Rating: 67 Survey Pavement Type: Fit	Left Texture N Center Texture Right Texture ! sible	enber: 0.00 Number: 0.08 Number: 0.00	- 9
Roadway Cond Data Orientation: Year Data Collecter Gurvey Date: Number of Faults: Start Tape Set Ned End Tape Set Amb	ition (Continu F d: 2000 04/05/2000 ar: 535 e:	aed) Roughness Rating: 70 Distress Rating: 97 Pavement Condition Rating: 67 Survey Pavement Type: Fit Start Video Frame: 01:31:50.2 Start Video Frame:	Left Texture N Center Texture Right Texture ! NDe End Video Frame: End Video Frame:	under: 0.00 Number: 0.08 Aumber: 0.00 01:37:54:22	
Roadway Cond Data Orientation: Year Data Collecter Gurvey Data: Number of Faults: Start Tape Set Nmb End Tape Set Nmb Ri Average: 11.1	ition (continu F d: 2000 04/05/2000 br: 535 r: 0 (in/m)	red) Roughness Rating: 79 Distress Rating: 37 Pavement Condition Rating: 67 Survey Pavement Type: Fit Start Video Frame: 01:31:58.2 Start Video Frame: 01:31:58.2 Rutting Average: 0.14 in	Left Texture NA Center Texture Right Texture ! sible End Video Frame: End Video Frame: End Video Frame:	under: 0.00 Number: 0.00 Number: 0.00 01:37:54:22 Ding Average:	
Roadway Cond Data Orientation: Year Data Collecter Gurvey Date: Number of Faults: Start Tape Set Nmb End Tape Set Nmb Ri Average: 111.1 Low: 90 %	ition (continu F 4: 2000 04/05/2000 ar: 535 e: 0 (in/mi) 8 or x co 150	red) Roughness Rating: 79 Distress Rating: 87 Pavement Condition Rating: 67 Survey Pavement Type: Fit Start Video Frame: 01:31:58:2 Start Video Frame: 01:31:58:2 Start Video Frame: 01:31:58:2 Rutting Average: 0.14 in Low: 0.% 0.25	Left Texture NA Center Texture Right Texture ! stile End Video Frame: End Video Frame: Fau Car x < 0.590	ender: 0.00 Number: 0.00 Aunther: 0.00 01:37:54:22 Ding Average: ave:	
Roadway Cond Data Orientation: Year Data Collecter Burvey Date: Number of Faults: Start Tape Set Nmb End Tape Set Nmb RI Average: 01.1 Low: 90 % Medium: 8 %	ttion (continu F d: 2000 04/05/2000 br: 535 e: 0 (m/mi) 8 <= x <= 150 160 < x <= 300	aed) Roughness Rating: 79 Distress Rating: 97 Pavement Condition Rating: 67 Survey Pavement Type: Fit Start Video Frame: 01:31:50:2 Start Video Frame: 01:31:50:2 Start Video Frame: 01:31:50:2 Nating Average: 0.14 in Low: 0.% 0.20	Left Texture NA Center Texture Right Texture Right Texture End Video Frame: End Video Frame: Fau (= x <= 0.590 L (< x <= 1.000 N	enber: 0.00 Number: 0.00 Auniber: 0.00 01:37:54:22 Ding Average: cer: tedium:	
Coadway Cond Data Orientation: Year Data Collecter Gurvey Date: Number of Faults: Start Tape Set Nimi End Tape Set Nimi End Tape Set Nimi End Tape Set Nimi End Tape Set Nimi Ri Average: 81.1 Low: 90 % Medium: 8 %	ttion (continu F d: 2000 04/05/2000 hr: 535 e: 0 (In/mi) 0 <= x <= 150 160 <= x <= 300 x ≥ 300	aed) Roughness Rating: 70 Distress Rating: 27 Pavement Condition Rating: 67 Survey Pavement Type: Fit Start Video Frame: 01.31.56.2 Start Video Frame: 0.14 in Low: 0.% 0.24 Medium: 0.% 0.56 High: 0.% a.>	Left Texture NA Center Texture Right Texture I End Video Frame: End Video Frame: Fau Cas et 0.500 N Loco N	endver: 0.00 Namber: 0.08 Aumber: 0.00 01:37:54:22 Ding Average: endum: ledum: ledum:	
Roadway Cond Data Orientation: Year Data Collecter Gurvey Date: Number of Faults: Start Tape Set Nmt End Tape Set Nmt End Tape Set Nmt Ri Average: 11.1 Low: 90 % Medium: 8 % High: 2 %	ition (continu F d: 2000 04/06/2000 br: 535 e: 0 (in/m)) 0 < x <= 160 160 < x <= 360 x > 300 F	red) Roughness Rating: 79 Distress Rating: 97 Pavement Condition Rating: 97 Pavement Condition Rating: 97 Survey Pavement Type: Fit Start Video Frame: 01-31-50-2 Start Video Frame: 01-31-50-2 Start Video Frame: 01-31-50-2 Rutting Average: 0.14-in Low: 0.% 0.50 High: 0.% 2.> Rougheess Rating: 74	Left Texture NA Center Texture Right Texture Right Texture Right Texture Right Texture Right Texture Right Texture Fau ( < x <= 0.596 Libbo N Libbo Libbo Left Texture NA	mber: 0.00 Number: 0.00 Iumber: 0.00 01:37:54:22 Bing Average: set: set: set: set: set: set: set: se	

80

Fiestble

0.13 - x - 0.250

0.25 < x <= 0.508

x > 0.600

Right Texture Number:

End Video Frame: 00.25.01.17

Faulting Average:

Laver

High:

Medium:

End Video Frame:

0.00

Deflection

Deflection Data Collected: Average Load: Bu

01/15/2002

528

0 cm g cm 160

150 < z <= 300

x > 300

Pavement Condition Rating:

Start Video Frame: 00.19.05.15

Medium: 45%

Rutting Average: 0.24 in

37.%

1.%

Survey Pavement Type:

Start Video Frame:

Low;

High:

Page 4 of 5

Survey Date:

Low

High:

Number of Fastts;

Start Tape Set Nmbr:

End Tape Bet Neder:

Medium: 21%

Ri Average: 105.81 (Inimi)

71%

.8%



# **APPENDIX C**

# **RUT DATA FROM U.S. 49 EVALUATION**

## **E-KRETE SOUTHBOUND US49 MADISON COUNTY**

(Rut Measurements in 16ths of an inch) <b>PreConstruction Rut</b>										
	Data			<u>12-10-01  </u>	Rut Data					
<b>Station</b>	ISWP	<u>OSWP</u>		<u>ISWP</u>	<u>OSWP</u>					
461,00	C	1		2						
401+00	2	1		2						
75	3 2	2		<u>_</u>						
50	2	2		 						
25	2	3		2	5					
460+00	3	3		3	4					
75	2	2		3	4					
50	1	2		1	3					
25	2	2		2	2					
459+00	2	2		3	3	Control				
75	2	2		2	2	No E-krete				
50	2	2		2	3					
25	3	3		3	3					
159,00	2	4		1 2	4					
430+00	2	4		<u>_</u>						
75	2	5 F								
50	3	5		5						
25	2	4		2	4 -					
457+00	2	4		2	5					
75	2	3		2	5					
50	3	3		3	5					
25	3	4		4	5					
20	Ŭ	I		_						
456+00	5	10		3	9					

<u>07-11-02</u>	Rut Data	
<u>15WP</u>	<u>05WP</u>	
4	2	
4	3	
3	4	
3	5	
4	5	
3	5	
2	4	
3	3	
0		Control
3	4	Control
2	3	NO E-KI
3	5	
3	4	
3	6	
3	6	
5	7	
3	5	
3	5	
2	6	
4	6	
4	6	
4	10	

ete

<u>10-11-02 R</u>	ut Data	
<u>ISWP</u>	<u>OSWP</u>	
4	2	
4	3	
3	4	
3	5	
	_	
4	5	
3	5	
2	4	
3	3	
3	4	Control
2	3	No E-krete
3	5	
4	4	
	_	
3	6	
3	6	
5	7	
3	5	
3	6	
3	6	
4	6	
5	7	
4	10	

Total	50	68	118	56	84	140	1.19	68	104	172	1.46	71	106	177	1.50
75	4	5		3	1	Multiple		2	1	Multiple		2	1	Multiple	
50	3	5		2	1	E-krete		2	2	E-krete		2	2	E-krete	
25	2	6		2	4	Applications		2	3	Applications		2	4	Applications	
455+00	2	5		1	4	w/priming		1	3	w/priming		2	4	w/priming	
75	2	4		2	2			2	3			2	3		
50	2	3		1	0			2	1			2	2		
25	3	5		2	3			1	3			2	3		
454+00	4	4		3	1			3	3			3	3		
75	5	7		3	5			3	6			3	6		
50	7	8		2	4			2	5			3	5		
25	4	8		1	5			2	5			3	5		
453+00	8	5		2	2			2	2			2	2		
75	3	3		1	1			0	1			1	1		
50	3	3		1	1			2	3			2	3		
25	3	5		2	1			1	4			1	4		
452+00	3	4		1	4				2			3	2		
75	4	7		3	2			2	2			3	4		
50	3	6		2	4			1	2			1	2		
Total		93	97		45	48	0.49		51	53	0.55		56	58	0.60
25	4	7		1	2			2	3			2	4		
451+00	4	7		2	3	Multiple		2	3	Multiple		2	4	Multiple	
75	4	4		2	4	E-krete		2	2	E-krete		2	3	E-krete	
50	3	6		1	3	Applications		2	4	Applications		2	4	Applications	
25	2	6		1	3	No priming		2	5	No priming		2	5	No priming	
450+00	3	6		1	4			2	3			3	3		
75	3	3		2	3			2	3			2	3		

50	3	3		1	3			3	2			3	2		
25	4	6		3	2			5	5			5	5		
													~		
449+00	4	3		5	4			4	2			4	2		
75	3	4		3	2			4	3			4	3		
50	4	3		4	3			4	3			4	4		
25	3	3		5	1			5	2			5	2		
440.00	4	2			4			_	4			_	4		
448+00 Tatal	4	3	4.40	4	4	00	0 FF	5	4	00	0.50	<del></del>	4	400	0.00
l otal	84	64	148	41	41	82	0.55	44	44	88	0.59	52	48	100	0.68
75	5	3		4	2			4	3			4	3		
50	4	4		3	3			5	3			5	3		
25	4	3		4	4			4	4			4	4		
<i>11</i> 7±00	З	1		3	Л	Single		Л	5	Single		5	5	Single	
75	1	2		3	4	Application		-т Л	5	Application		4	5	Application	
73 50	4 5	5		5	<del></del>	of E kroto	_	- -		of E kroto		6	5 6	of E kroto	
50	5	5		5	5			0 	0			6	0		
25	4	4		4	4	No priming		Э	0	No priming		Э	Ю	No priming	
446+00	5	4		4	5			6	6			6	6		
75	5	5		5	5			5	6			5	6		
50	5	5		4	5			5	6			5	6		
25	5	7		5	5			5	7			6	7		
20	Ũ	•						Ŭ					· ·		
445+00	5	7		6	6			6	8			7	8		
75	3	5		4	6			4	6			5	7		
50	3	4		3	4			3	4			3	4		
25	4	4		4	4			4	5			4	5		
444+00	4	3		5	4			5	5			5	5		
75	4	3		4	4			4	5			5	5		
50	3	4		3	4			5	4			5	5		
25	3	3		4	4			5	4			5	5		
										-	-			*	



# **APPENDIX D**

# **CRACK MAPPING FROM U.S. 49 EVALUATION**









# **APPENDIX E**

# **COST FOR U.S. 49 E-KRETE APPLICATION**

## **Cost Information**

Polycon Inc. received \$14,500 for 1500 linear feet of E-Krete application on U.S. 49 near Flora, MS. (Traffic Control for this operation was supplied by MDOT)

1500 linear feet x 10.5' width equates to 15750 sq. feet 15750 sq. feet = 1750 sq. yds.

Cost to MDOT = \$8.28 per square yard for E-Krete

Additionally MDOT is paying (as of March 2003) roughly \$4 per square yard of 1.5" thick polymer modified asphalt.

Therefore, E-Krete is approximately twice as expensive as a conventional 1.5" thick asphalt overlay.