TECHNICAL REPORT STANDARD PAGE

| .1. Report No. | 2. Government Accession No. | 3. Recipient's | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| FHWA/LA.11/486 | | Catalog No. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4. Title and Subtitle | 5. Report Date | | | | | |
| Evaluation of Ternary Cementitious Combinations | February 2012 | | | | | |
| | 6. Performing Organization Code | | | | | |
| | LTRC Project Number: 09-4C | | | | | |
| | SIO Number: 30000157 | | | | | |
| | 0. Destamine Organization Depart No. | | | | | |
| Twon D Runnow Ph D PE | a. Performing organization Report No. | | | | | |
| ryson D. Ruphow, rh.D., r.L. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 9. Performing Organization Name and Address | 10. Work Unit No. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Louisiana Transportation Research Center | | | | | | |
| 4101 Gourrier Avenue | 11. Contract or Grant No. | | | | | |
| Baton Rouge, LA 70808 | | | | | | |
| Duton Rouge, Dir / 0000 | | | | | | |
| 12 Sponsoring Agency Name and Address | 13 Type of Report and Period Covered | | | | | |
| Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development | Final Benort | | | | | |
| P O Box 94245 | 2/00 C/11 | | | | | |
| Roton Dougo I & 70804 0245 | 3/09 - 0/11 | | | | | |
| Daton Rouge, LA 70004-9245 | | | | | | |
| | 14. Sponsoring Agency Code | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 15. Supplementary Notes | | | | | | |
| Conducted in Cooperation with the U.S. Department of Tra | nsportation, Federal Highway Adm | ninistration | | | | |
| 16. Abstract | | | | | | |
| Portland cement concrete (PCC) is the world's most versatile and most used construction materials. | Global demand for PCC sustainability has risen as of late | . To meet that | | | | |
| need, engineers have looked to alternative binders such as fly ash, silica fume, ground granulated bla (SCMs) to increase payement durability while lowering the initial and life-cycle cost | ist furnace slag (GGBFS), and other supplementary cemer | atitous materials | | | | |
| (being) to increase parolicit datability while forering the initial and the cycle cost. | | | | | | |
| Ternary mixtures were produced and the fresh and hardened characteristics were determined. Fresh concrete properties of air content, slump, unit weight, and set time were determined. Hardened concrete properties measured included; compressive strength, flexural strength, length change, coefficient of thermal expansion, modulus of elasticity | | | | | | |
| Poisson's ratio, rapid chloride permeability, and freeze-thaw durability. | | | | | | |
| r obson s nav, rapid emoride permeability, and neeze-diaw dataointy. | | | | | | |
| Compressive strength results showed equal to or greater compressive strengths especially at later ages of 56 and 90 days. The compressive strengths of all mixtures with SCM replacements up to 80 percent met LADOTD specifications of 4000 psi. The ratios of the seven to 28 day compressive strengths showed that they are more resistant to early age | | | | | | |
| replacements up to 80 percent met LADOID specifications of 4000 psi. The ratios of the seven to 28 day compressive strengths showed that they are more resistant to early age cracking due to the lower modulus at early ages allowing for more creep. Flexural strengths of the ternary mixtures were generally greater than 650 psi with some reaching 1000 | | | | | | |
| psi. These results show that the mixtures will prove adequate for most concrete paving applications, | including interstate applications. The results also indicat | te that the pavement | | | | |
| thickness may be reduced in some instances for certain traffic loading conditions. The length change, or shrinkage, results showed that the ternary mixtures performed the same | | | | | | |
| of better than the control mixtures. This ensures that the risk of shrinkage cracking of properly mixed currently mixed placed and cured concrete mixtures. Additional curing may be required to prever | d, placed, and cured ternary concrete mixtures is no great t plastic shrinkage cracking. The rapid chloride permeab | er than that of | | | | |
| that the ternary mixtures will easily meet the new permeability specifications for all structural class of | concrete requiring less than 1500 Coulombs at 56 days or | $27 \text{ k}\Omega\text{-cm at }28$ | | | | |
| days of age. The CTE results show that addition of SCMs increase the CTE for certain mixtures, an | d decrease the CTE values for mixtures containing both c | lass C and class F | | | | |
| fly ash. The freeze-thaw results showed adequate freeze-thaw durability when the entrained air cont | ent was sufficient to prevent frost damage. The results po | bint to an | | | | |
| inadequacy in the ASTM standard for high SCM replacements in that the resulting concrete is usually not of sufficient strength to resist freeze-thaw damage at 14 days of age when the test is started. A change may need to be instituted for states where freeze-thaw damage is of concern where the concrete being tested is allowed to cure for a greater | | | | | | |
| numbers of days before the onset of testing. | | | | | | |
| A portland cament raplecement level with SCMs of about 70 percent for LADOTD concrete project | was determined to be reasonable. Care should be taken | when interpreting | | | | |
| these results and the results apply only to the materials used and tested through the course of this stu | dy. Producers and contractors wanting to implement thes | se results are | | | | |
| strongly encouraged to produce trail batches with their locally available materials to ensure the mixt | ure's ability to meet and exceed the standards and specific | cations. The cost | | | | |
| benefit ratio for implementation of the results may be as high as 21 depending upon the mixture used for construction and the number of cubic yards of concrete constructed in | | | | | | |
| when accounting for structural concrete. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 17. Key Words | 18. Distribution Statement | | | | | |
| Ternary, fly ash, slag, permeability, SCM, high volume | Unrestricted. This document is available | e through the | | | | |
| renlacement | National Technical Information Service, | Springfield, VA | | | | |
| | 21101. | | | | | |

20. Security Classif. (of this page)

21. No. of Pages

86

22. Price

19. Security Classif. (of this report)

Project Review Committee

Each research project will have an advisory committee appointed by the LTRC Director. The Project Review Committee is responsible for assisting the LTRC Administrator or Manager in the development of acceptable research problem statements, requests for proposals, review of research proposals, oversight of approved research projects, and implementation of findings.

LTRC appreciates the dedication of the following Project Review Committee Members in guiding this research study to fruition.

LTRC Administrator Bill King Materials Research Administrator

> *Members* Bill Temple Phil Arena Mike Bailey Luanna Cambas Tim Cost John Eggers Darrell Elliot Ben Franklin Darrell Goza

Directorate Implementation Sponsor Richard Savoie

Evaluation of Ternary Cementitious Combinations

by

Tyson Rupnow, Ph.D., P.E. Principal Investigator

Louisiana Transportation Research Center 4101 Gourrier Avenue Baton Rouge, LA 70808

> LTRC Project No. 09-4C SIO No. 30000157

> > conducted for

Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development Louisiana Transportation Research Center

The contents of this report reflect the views of the author/principal investigator who is responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents of do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development, the Federal Highway Administration, or the Louisiana Transportation Research Center. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

February 2012

ABSTRACT

Portland cement concrete (PCC) is one of the world's most versatile and most used construction materials. To meet the rising demand for PCC sustainability, engineers have looked to alternative binders such as fly ash, silica fume, ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS), and other supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) to increase pavement durability while lowering initial and life-cycle costs.

Ternary mixtures were produced and the fresh and hardened characteristics were determined. Fresh concrete properties of air content, slump, unit weight, and set time were determined. Hardened concrete properties measured included: compressive strength, flexural strength, length change, coefficient of thermal expansion, modulus of elasticity, Poisson's ratio, rapid chloride permeability, and freeze-thaw durability.

Compressive strength results showed equal to or greater compressive strengths especially at later ages of 56 and 90 days. The compressive strengths of all mixtures with SCM replacements up to 80 percent met Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development (LADOTD) specifications of 4000 psi. The ratios of the 7 to 28 day compressive strengths showed that they are more resistant to early age cracking due to the lower modulus at early ages allowing for more creep.

Flexural strengths of the ternary mixtures were generally greater than 650 psi with some reaching 1000 psi. The results showed that the mixtures will prove adequate for most concrete paving applications, including interstate applications. The results also indicated that the pavement thickness may be reduced in some instances for certain traffic loading conditions.

The length change, or shrinkage, results showed that the ternary mixtures performed the same or better than the control mixtures. This ensures that the risk of shrinkage cracking of properly mixed, placed, and cured ternary concrete mixtures is no greater than that of currently mixed, placed, and cured concrete mixtures. Additional curing may be required to prevent plastic shrinkage cracking.

The rapid chloride permeability results showed that ternary mixtures will easily meet the new permeability specifications for all structural class concrete requiring less than 1500 Coulombs at 56 days or 27 k Ω -cm at 28 days of age.

The coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) results showed that the CTE values increased slightly for some combinations of ternary mixtures while decreasing significantly for ternary

mixtures containing both class C and class F fly ash. A pavement design analysis will need to be completed to determine proper joint spacing.

The freeze-thaw results showed adequate freeze-thaw durability when the entrained air content was sufficient to prevent frost damage. The results point to an inadequacy in the ASTM standard for high SCM replacements in that the resulting concrete is usually not of sufficient strength to resist freeze-thaw damage at 14 days of age when the test is started. A change may need to be instituted for states where freeze-thaw damage is of concern where the concrete being tested is allowed to cure for a greater number of days before the onset of testing.

All the above results point to a reasonable portland cement replacement level with SCMs of about 70 percent for LADOTD concrete projects. Care should be taken when interpreting these results and the results apply only to the materials used and tested through the course of this study. Producers and contractors wanting to implement these results are strongly encouraged to produce trail batches with their locally available materials to ensure the mixture's ability to meet and exceed the standards and specifications.

The cost benefit ratio for implementation of the results may be as high as 21 depending upon the mixture used for construction and the number of cubic yards of concrete constructed in the state on any given year. Implementation of ternary mixtures will result in an estimated 62,000 tons of CO₂ saved for PCC pavements only and the number will increase when accounting for structural concrete.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development (LADOTD), and the Louisiana Transportation Research Center (LTRC) financially supported this research project.

The effort of Randy Young, Matt Tircuit, Shane Laws, Scott Reech, Kelly Goudeau, Steven Schorr, Jacob Newgard, and Joel Taylor in the concrete laboratory is greatly appreciated. The author would like to thank Headwaters Resources for providing the class C and class F fly ash for this study. The author would also like to thank Holcim, LaFarge, and Buzzi Unicem for their participation in the study by providing the grade 100 slag, portland cement, and grade 120 slag, respectively. This project highlights the strong industry support for the concrete research program and their participation is greatly appreciated.

IMPLEMENTATION STATEMENT

The author recommends implementation of ternary cementitious combinations for LADOTD projects. This recommendation extends to all classes of portland cement concrete used on LADOTD projects including precast, prestress, and pipe applications.

The following is a suggested specification. Allow up to 70 percent replacement for type I, II, and III portland cement. When using type IP or IS portland cement, allow up to 40 percent replacement. When using combinations of class C and class F fly ash, add them at the same rate. Do not add more fly ash than slag when using combinations of slag and fly ash.

The following contains suggested language for cold weather temperature limits when using ternary mixtures. Discontinue mixing and concreting operations when the descending air temperature away from artificial heat reaches 50°F or the forecast temperature to be less than 32°F for 48 hours. Do not resume mixing and concreting operations until an ascending air temperature in the shade and away from artificial heat reaches 32°F provided the high temperature forecasted is above 35°F and remains above 32°F for 48 hours.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ABSTRACTii |
|---|
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS |
| IMPLEMENTATION STATEMENT |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS ix |
| LIST OF TABLES |
| LIST OF FIGURES |
| INTRODUCTION |
| Literature Review1 |
| OBJECTIVE |
| SCOPE |
| METHODOLOGY |
| Test Methods7 |
| Test Matrix |
| Cost Benefit and Environmental Analysis9 |
| DISCUSSION OF RESULTS |
| Cementitious Materials Results11 |
| Fresh Concrete Property Results11 |
| Hardened Concrete Property Results 13 |
| Compressive Strength |
| Flexural Strength |
| Modulus of Elasticity and Poisson's Ratio |
| Permeability |
| Coefficient of Thermal Expansion |
| Length Change |
| Freeze-Thaw Durability |
| Cost Benefit Analysis |
| CONCLUSIONS |
| |
| KECOMMENDATIONS47 |
| ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, & SYMBOLS49 |
| REFERENCES |
| APPENDIX |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1 | Test matrix | 9 |
|---------|---|----|
| Table 2 | Input parameters for the cementitious materials for the cost benefit analysis | 10 |
| Table 3 | CO ₂ load values for each cementitious material used in this study | 10 |
| Table 4 | XRF results for the cementitious materials used in the laboratory test factorial | 11 |
| Table 5 | Fresh concrete property results for all mixtures | 12 |
| Table 6 | Average 7 and 28-day compressive strengths and the 28 to 7-day compressive | |
| | strength ratio for all mixtures | 19 |
| Table 7 | Average modulus of elasticity results for all mixtures | 24 |
| Table 8 | Average Poisson's ratio results for all mixtures | 25 |
| Table 9 | Estimated cementitious materials cost and potential savings in dollars per mile | 42 |
| Table 1 | 0 Estimated cementitious materials cost and potential savings for bid years 2007 | |
| | - 2008 (191 miles of PCC pavement) | 42 |
| Table 1 | 1 CO ₂ Load and potential CO ₂ savings for the 2007 - 2008 bid year | 43 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1 A | Average compressive strength results for the control mixtures | 14 |
|------------|--|----|
| Figure 2 | Average compressive strength results for mixtures containing 100 grade slag and | |
| | class C fly ash | 14 |
| Figure 3 | Average compressive strength results for mixtures containing 100 grade slag and | |
| | class F fly ash | 15 |
| Figure 4 | Average compressive strength results for mixtures containing 120 grade slag and | |
| | class C fly ash | 16 |
| Figure 5 | Average compressive strength results for mixtures containing grade 120 slag and | |
| | class F fly ash | 16 |
| Figure 6 | Average compressive strength resutls for mixtures containing class C and class F | |
| | fly ash | 17 |
| Figure 7 | Average flexural strength results for the control mixtures | 20 |
| Figure 8 | Average flexural strength results for mixtures containing grade 100 slag and class | С |
| | fly ash | 21 |
| Figure 9 | Average flexural strength results for mixtures containing grade 100 slag and class | F |
| | fly ash | 21 |
| Figure 10 | Average flexural strength results for mixtures containing grade 120 slag and class | S |
| | C fly ash | 22 |
| Figure 11 | Average flexural strength resutls for mixtures containing grade 120 slag and class | S |
| | F fly ash | 22 |
| Figure 12 | Average flexural strength results for mixtures containing class C and class F fly | |
| | ash | 23 |
| Figure 13 | Rapid chloride permeability results for the control mixtures | 26 |
| Figure 14 | Rapid chloride permeability results for mixtures containing 100 grade slag and | |
| | class C fly ash | 27 |
| Figure 15 | Rapid chloride permeability results for mixtures containing grade 100 slag and | |
| | class F fly ash | 27 |
| Figure 16 | Rapid chloride permeability results for mixtures containing grade 120 slag and | |
| | class C fly ash | 28 |
| Figure 17 | Rapid chloride permeability results for mixtures containing grade 120 slag and | |
| | class F fly ash | 28 |
| Figure 18 | Rapid chloride permeability results for mixtures containing class C and class F fl | y |
| | ash | 29 |
| Figure 19 | CTE results for the control mixtures | 30 |
| Figure 20 | CTE results for mixtures containing grade 100 slag and class C fly ash | 31 |

| Figure 21 | CTE results for mixtures containing grade 100 slag and class F fly ash |
|-----------|---|
| Figure 22 | CTE results for mixtures containing grade 120 slag and class C fly ash |
| Figure 23 | CTE results for mixtures containing grade 120 slag and class F fly ash |
| Figure 24 | CTE results for mixtures containing class C and class F fly ash |
| Figure 25 | Average length change results for all control mixtures |
| Figure 26 | Average length change results for mixtures containing grade 100 slag and class C |
| | fly ash |
| Figure 27 | Average length change results for grade 100 slag and class F fly ash |
| Figure 28 | Average length change results for grade 120 slag and class C fly ash |
| Figure 29 | Average length change results for mixtures containing grade 120 slag and class F |
| | fly ash |
| Figure 30 | Average length change results for mixtures containing class C and class F fly |
| | ash |
| Figure 31 | Freeze-thaw durability results for the control mixtures |
| Figure 32 | Freeze-thaw durability results for mixtures containing 100 grade slag and class C |
| | fly ash |
| Figure 33 | Freeze-thaw durability results for mixtures containing grade 100 slag and class F |
| | fly ash |
| Figure 34 | Freeze-thaw durability results for mixtures containing 120 grade slag and class C |
| | fly ash |
| Figure 35 | Freeze-thaw durability results for mixtures containing grade 120 slag and class F |
| | fly ash |
| Figure 36 | Freeze-thaw durability results for mixtures containing class C and class F fly |
| | ash |
| Figure 37 | Freeze-thaw durability results comparing the poor performing mixtures and their |
| | respective remakes |

INTRODUCTION

PCC is one of the world's most versatile and most used construction materials. Modern concrete consists of six main ingredients: coarse aggregate, sand, portland cement, SCMs, chemical admixtures, and water. Because global demand for PCC sustainability has risen as of late, engineers have looked to alternative binders such as fly ash, silica fume, slag cement, and other SCMs to increase pavement durability while lowering the initial and life-cycle costs.

Ternary mixtures are uniquely suited to address the sustainability and cost aspect of PCC. There is general agreement that the use of SCMs has the following effects of concrete:

- 1. Improved workability and finish ability.
- 2. Strength gain despite early strength reduction, beyond 7 days concrete incorporating SCMs tend to show increased strengths over portland cement concrete.
- 3. Effect of temperature rise in mass concrete the use of SCMs has been shown to reduce early rate of heat generation.
- 4. Permeability is reduced in mature concrete and resistance to sulfate and chloride attack is improved.
- 5. Freeze thaw resistance, modulus of elasticity, and resistance to de-icing salts are all about the same as in ordinary portland cement concrete.
- 6. Resistance to corrosion of reinforcing steel the use of SCMs in concrete helps to reduce permeability and thus reduces chloride ion penetration.
- 7. Increased time of setting and unpredictable change in time between initial and final set this is of particular concern for saw cutting operations.

Literature Review

This section will give a brief literature review of previous work in the cementitious materials area. The various engineering properties of fresh and hardened concrete are detailed and the effects of SCMs on each are noted.

Detailed literature on the cementitious materials can be found in works published by the Portland Cement Association (PCA) and the National Concrete Pavement Technology Center [1-2]. A synthesis study detailing the use of ternary cementitious mixtures was conducted by the Canadian Cement Association; the results showed that the use of ternary mixtures was sporadic and was generally confined to particular Departments of Transportation (DOTs) [3]. Since the initial work completed by Tikalsky et al., a second phase has been completed and

the results showed that replacements of portland cement up to 50 percent do not severely affect the PCC properties [4]. That study is currently finishing up the Phase III field trials in several states and is slated to be completed with a final report in late 2011.

OBJECTIVE

This research project set forth the following objectives: (1) characterize the fresh concrete properties of possible ternary combinations, and (2) characterize the hardened concrete properties of potential ternary combinations.

SCOPE

To meet the objectives, a test matrix was developed to characterize the fresh and hardened properties of ternary mixtures. The replacement rates for class C and class F fly ash were set at 0, 20, 30, and 40 percent. The replacement rates for grade 100 and grade 120 slags were set at 0, 30, and 50 percent. The control mixtures were produced using current replacement rates set forth in LADOTD specifications. The total replacement rate of type I/II portland cement varied from 20 to 90 percent.

METHODOLOGY

Test Methods

The following test methods were used to determine the respective characteristics of the mixtures and their constituents. Note that x-ray fluorescence (XRF) was used to determine the chemical characteristics for classification of the cementitious materials.

- ASTM C39 [Standard Test Method for Compressive Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimens] [5]
- ASTM C78 [Standard Test Method for Flexural Strength of Concrete (Using Simple Beam with Third-Point Loading)] [6]
- ASTM C136 [Standard Test Method for Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregates] [7]
- ASTM C138 [Standard Test Method for Density (Unit Weight), Yield, and Air Content (Gravimetric) of Concrete] [8]
- ASTM C143/143M [Standard Test Method for Slump of Hydraulic-Cement Concrete] [9]
- ASTM C150 [Standard Specification for Portland Cement] [10]
- ASTM C 157/157M [Standard Test Method for Length Change of Hardened Hydraulic-Cement Mortar and Concrete] [11]
- ASTM C231 [Standard Test Method for Air Content of Freshly Mixed Concrete by the Pressure Method] [12]
- ASTM C403/403M [Standard Test Method for Time of Setting of Concrete Mixtures by Penetration Resistance] [13]
- ASTM C469 [Standard Test Method for Static Modulus of Elasticity and Poisson's Ratio of Concrete in Compression] [14]
- ASTM C618 [Standard Specification for Coal Fly Ash and Raw or Calcined Natural Pozzolan for Use in Concrete] [15]

- ASTM C666 [Standard Test Method for Resistance of Concrete to Rapid Freezing and Thawing] [16]
- ASTM C989 [Standard Specification for Ground Granulated Blast-Furnace Slag for Use in Concrete and Mortars] [17]
- ASTM C1202 [Standard Test Method for Electrical Indication of Concrete's Ability to Resist Chloride Ion Penetration] [18]

The fresh concrete tests include slump, air, unit weight, and set time. Note that compressive strength specimens were cast in triplicate and tested at 7, 28, 56, and 90 days of age. Flexural strength specimens were cast in triplicate and tested at 7, 28, and 56 days of age. Freeze-thaw durability specimens were cast in triplicate. Rapid chloride permeability specimens were cast in duplicate and tested at 56 days of age. Length change and modulus of elasticity specimens were cast in duplicate and tested at 28 days of age and 7, 14, 28 and 90 days of age, respectively.

Test Matrix

The test matrix shown in Table 1 was developed to gain a greater understanding of the behavior of ternary mixtures, especially those with replacement rates greater than 50 percent. The mixture ID notes the name of each mixture, and the numbers in each of the columns indicate what percentage of that material is used. For example, Mixture ID 50TI-30G120S-20C contains 50 percent type I portland cement, 30 percent grade 120 slag, and 20 percent class C fly ash.

Each mixture was produced and cured at 70°F. Concrete mixtures conformed to *Louisiana Standard Specifications for Roads and Bridges*. The reference mixture contained 500 lb. of total cementitious material per cubic yard, a #67 limestone coarse aggregate, and a natural sand fine aggregate. The coarse to fine aggregate ratio was set at 60:40 for the control mixtures. For ternary mixtures, the coarse aggregate was kept constant and the sand fraction was adjusted to keep a constant mortar volume for comparison of length change results. The water/cementitious material ration (w/cm) was kept constant at 0.45, and air entraining agents and water reducers were used to obtain mixtures conforming to LADOTD standards.

| | Type I/II | Class C | Class F | | |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|---------|-------|-------|
| Mixture ID | PC | FA | FA | G100S | G120S |
| 100TI* | 100 | | | | |
| 80TI-20C* | 80 | 20 | | | |
| 80TI-20F* | 80 | | 20 | | |
| 50TI-50G100S* | 50 | | | 50 | |
| 50TI-50G120S* | 50 | | | | 50 |
| 50TI-30G120S-20C | 50 | 20 | | | 30 |
| 40TI-30G120S-30C | 40 | 30 | | | 30 |
| 30TI-30G120S-40C | 30 | 40 | | | 30 |
| 30TI-50G120S-20C | 30 | 20 | | | 50 |
| 20TI-50G120S-30C | 20 | 30 | | | 50 |
| 10TI-50G120S-40C | 10 | 40 | | | 50 |
| 50TI-30G100S-20C | 50 | 20 | | 30 | |
| 40TI-30G100S-30C | 40 | 30 | | 30 | |
| 30TI-30G100S-40C | 30 | 40 | | 30 | |
| 30TI-50G100S-20C | 30 | 20 | | 50 | |
| 20TI-50G100S-30C | 20 | 30 | | 50 | |
| 10TI-50G100S-40C | 10 | 40 | | 50 | |
| 50TI-30G120S-20F | 50 | | 20 | | 30 |
| 40TI-30G120S-30F | 40 | | 30 | | 30 |
| 30TI-30G120S-40F | 30 | | 40 | | 30 |
| 30TI-50G120S-20F | 30 | | 20 | | 50 |
| 20TI-50G120S-30F | 20 | | 30 | | 50 |
| 10TI-50G120S-40F | 10 | | 40 | | 50 |
| 50TI-30G100S-20F | 50 | | 20 | 30 | |
| 40TI-30G100S-30F | 40 | | 30 | 30 | |
| 30TI-30G100S-40F | 30 | | 40 | 30 | |
| 30TI-50G100S-20F | 30 | | 20 | 50 | |
| 20TI-50G100S-30F | 20 | | 30 | 50 | |
| 10TI-50G100S-40F | 10 | | 40 | 50 | |
| 60TI-20C-20F | 60 | 20 | 20 | | |
| 40TI-30C-30F | 40 | 30 | 30 | | |
| 20TI-40C-40F | 20 | 40 | 40 | | |

Table 1 Test matrix

*Denotes a control mixture

Cost Benefit and Carbon Dioxide Footprint Analysis

A cost benefit analysis was conducted to estimate the value of implementing a change to the current specifications by allowing ternary cementitious combinations. For the purposes of this report, the cost to conduct the research was used as the cost factor and the benefit was

determined using savings from bid data from paving projects. Table 2 shows the input parameters for the cementitious materials used in the cost benefit analysis. Note the difference in delivered cost of class C and class F fly ash and the difference in the delivered cost of grade 120 and grade 100 slag are negligible.

| | Cost per |
|-----------------|----------|
| Cementitious | Ton |
| Material | (\$) |
| Portland Cement | \$100.00 |
| Fly Ash | \$50.00 |
| Slag | \$90.00 |

 Table 2

 Input parameters for the cementitious materials for the cost benefit analysis

A CO₂ footprint analysis was completed to estimate the tons of CO₂ emissions that may be saved due to implementing the results of this study. Table 3 shows the CO₂ amounts in tons of CO₂ emitted for each ton of material consumed. For purposes of this study, a value of 0.92 tons of CO₂ was assumed to be emitted per ton of portland cement produced. Fly ash was assumed to be zero, and 100 and 120 grade slag production contributes 0.15 and 0.20 tons of CO₂ per ton of slag produced, respectively.

 Table 3

 CO2 load values for each cementitious material used in this study

| Cementitious Material | CO ₂ Load (Tons) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Portland Cement | 0.92 |
| Fly Ash | 0.00 |
| 100 Grade Slag | 0.15 |
| 120 Grade Slag | 0.20 |

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Cementitious Materials Results

The x-ray fluorescence results show that the cementitious materials used in the study are representative of those used in everyday construction projects throughout the state of Louisiana and conform to applicable ASTM, AASHTO, and LADOTD standards and specifications. Table 4 shows the XRF results for the cementitious materials used in the laboratory test factorial. Note that all values are in percentage of the oxide.

| | Type I/II Portland | Class C Fly | Class F Flv | Grade 100 | Grade 120 |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Oxide | Cement | Ash | Ash | Slag | Slag |
| SiO_2 | 20.24 | 35.04 | 60.74 | 38.59 | 34.77 |
| Al_2O_3 | 4.45 | 19.30 | 19.41 | 7.61 | 10.73 |
| Fe_2O_3 | 3.47 | 5.32 | 7.93 | 0.76 | 0.56 |
| CaO | 63.28 | 24.98 | 5.33 | 38.61 | 40.52 |
| MgO | 3.82 | 5.48 | 1.84 | 13.00 | 11.99 |
| Na ₂ O | 0.22 | 1.95 | 0.77 | 0.25 | 0.29 |
| K ₂ O | 0.44 | 0.46 | 1.19 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
| TiO ₂ | 0.28 | 1.36 | 1.01 | 0.36 | 0.60 |
| SO_3 | 2.62 | 2.81 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.41 |
| LOI | 1.10 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.20 | 0.20 |

Table 4XRF results for the cementitious materials used in the laboratory test factorial

Fresh Concrete Property Results

This section will detail the fresh concrete properties for the ternary mixtures. Table 5 shows the fresh concrete properties of slump, air content, and unit weight for each mixture immediately after batching. Note that all mixtures met the slump and air content requirements set forth by LADOTD standards and specifications for portland cement concrete.

Table 5 also shows the time to initial and final set for each mixture. Note the increase in time to initial and final set as the percentage of portland cement is reduced. The extended set times are as expected with a steady increase up to between 70 and 80 percent replacement of portland cement. Above 80 percent portland cement replacement, the time to initial and final set were dramatically increased. The field results for these mixtures are expected to be

slightly different due to the increased temperatures in summertime construction conditions. The author believes that for Louisiana environmental conditions, a portland cement replacement up to 70 percent will not be detrimental to performance and will actually aid contractors in the hot summer conditions.

| | | Air | Unit | Time to | Time to |
|-------------------|-------|---------|--------|--------------------|------------------|
| | Slump | Content | Weight | Initial Set | Final Set |
| Mixture ID | (in) | (%) | (pcf) | (hrs:mins) | (hrs:mins) |
| 100TI | 2.25 | 4.5 | 147.4 | 4:47 | 6:13 |
| 80TI-20C | 5.00 | 6.0 | 144.0 | 7:14 | 8:45 |
| 80TI-20F | 5.00 | 5.8 | 144.0 | 5:50 | 7:23 |
| 50TI-50G100S | 2.50 | 4.4 | 146.6 | 5:38 | 7:45 |
| 50TI-50G120S | 4.00 | 5.1 | 144.2 | 5:34 | 7:51 |
| 50TI-30G120S-20C | 1.00 | 3.2 | 149.2 | 5:28 | 7:24 |
| 40TI-30G120S-30C | 1.50 | 3.3 | 148.8 | 7:58 | 10:20 |
| 30TI-30G120S-40C | 1.00 | 3.4 | 149.2 | 8:36 | 12:16 |
| 30TI-50G120S-20C | 2.00 | 3.6 | 148.4 | 7:02 | 9:46 |
| 20TI-50G120S-30C | 3.00 | 3.5 | 146.8 | 9:35 | 12:47 |
| 10TI-50G120S-40C | 4.00 | 2.9 | 148.8 | 8:33 | 11:49 |
| 50TI-30G100S-20C | 5.00 | 5.2 | 143.4 | 5:55 | 8:13 |
| 40TI-30G100S-30C | 3.25 | 4.7 | 144.4 | 6:04 | 8:18 |
| 30TI-30G100S-40C | 6.75 | 4.3 | 147.0 | 10:21 | 13:13 |
| 30TI-50G100S-20C | 4.25 | 3.5 | 146.4 | 7:57 | 10:57 |
| 20TI-50G100S-30C | 3.00 | 3.9 | 145.6 | 9:27 | 13:04 |
| 10TI-50G100S-40C | 3.50 | 2.7 | 147.0 | 10:53 | 19:33 |
| 50TI-30G120S-20F | 2.50 | 3.6 | 147.8 | 6:06 | 8:13 |
| 40TI-30G120S-30F | 1.50 | 2.9 | 147.6 | 6:15 | 8:59 |
| 30TI-30G120S-40F | 3.25 | 4.0 | 146.6 | 8:12 | 11:17 |
| 30TI-50G120S-20F | 1.50 | 3.7 | 147.8 | 8:02 | 11:23 |
| 20TI-50G120S-30F | 0.50 | 4.4 | 145.6 | 8:16 | 13:49 |
| 10TI-50G120S-40F | 7.50 | 3.4 | 145.6 | 15:25 | 30:27 |
| 50TI-30G100S-20F | 2.75 | 3.9 | 147.6 | 6:29 | 8:43 |
| 40TI-30G100S-30F | 5.25 | 3.8 | 148.0 | 7:01 | 9:23 |
| 30TI-30G100S-40F | 6.00 | 5.8 | 147.4 | 7:34 | 11:28 |
| 30TI-50G100S-20F | 0.00 | 2.8 | 148.8 | 4:59 | 8:35 |
| 20TI-50G100S-30F | 0.50 | 2.6 | 149.2 | 5:17 | 9:29 |
| 10TI-50G100S-40F | 0.75 | 2.8 | 147.4 | 7:40 | 16:20 |
| 60TI-20C-20F | 5.50 | 5.1 | 144.4 | 9:31 | 11:34 |
| 40TI-30C-30F | 6.00 | 5.4 | 143.2 | 11:35 | 15:05 |
| 20TI-40C-40F | 8.50 | 4.2 | 144.0 | 13:25 | 37:10 |

Table 5Fresh concrete property results for all mixtures

Concrete produced with high replacement rates does have some drawbacks, especially when doing paving or flatwork. Research and experience shows that a higher rate of evaporation may occur during concrete placement and finishing; leading to an increased tendency for plastic shrinkage cracking. Extreme care must be taken to avoid plastic shrinkage cracking by good placement and curing practices. A double coat of curing compound may be required in certain circumstances.

Hardened Concrete Property Results

This section will detail the hardened concrete properties for the ternary mixtures. The results are presented as follows: compressive strength, flexural strength, modulus of elasticity, Poisson's ratio, permeability, coefficient of thermal expansion, length change, and freeze-thaw durability. The data presented are an average of test samples unless otherwise indicated. The individual sample results and raw data can be found in the Appendix.

Compressive Strength

The compressive strength results show that a wide range of ternary combinations will meet LADOTD compressive strength requirements. Figure 1 shows the comparison between compressive strength and age for the control mixtures. Note that these mixtures all meet the 4000 psi specification for structural concrete within seven days of age and the results are an average of thee cylinders.

Figure 2 shows the average compressive strength results for mixtures containing 100 grade slag and class C fly ash. Note that the only mixture not meeting the 4000 psi compressive strength at 28 days was the mixture containing only 10 percent portland cement. Although it did not meet at 28 days of age, the mixture passed compressive strength requirements at 56 days indicating that this mixture would be an ideal candidate for mass concrete placements with the least dimension being greater than 48 in. The results also indicated that the mixtures will continue to gain strength due to pozzolanic action based on the shape of the strength gain curves.



Figure 1 Average compressive strength results for the control mixtures



Figure 2 Average compressive strength results for mixtures containing 100 grade slag and class C fly ash

Figure 3 shows the average compressive strength results for mixtures containing 100 grade slag and class F fly ash. The only mixture not meeting the 4000 psi compressive strength at 28 days was the mixture containing only 10 percent portland cement. The remaining mixtures produced strengths exceeding 5000 psi at 90 days of age.

Figure 4 and Figure 5 show the average compressive strength results for mixtures containing 120 grade slag and class C and F fly ash, respectively. The results show that a replacement of portland cement up to 80 percent produced compressive strengths in excess of 4000 psi at 28 days of age. The 90 percent portland cement replacement mixtures still made 4000 psi, but at much later ages of 56 and 90 days for the mixtures containing class C and class F fly ash, respectively.

Figure 6 shows the average compressive strength results for mixtures containing both class C and class F fly ash. The results show that an increase in percentage of portland cement replacement greatly effects the compressive strengths at early ages. The results indicate that a maximum replacement rate for these mixtures is between 40 and 60 percent. Although these results are somewhat low for the laboratory, field results at 60 percent fly ash replacement have obtained over 5000 psi on a project located in Lake Charles, LA.



Figure 3 Average compressive strength results for mixtures containing 100 grade slag and class F fly ash



Figure 4 Average compressive strength results for mixtures containing 120 grade slag and class C fly ash



Figure 5 Average compressive strength results for mixtures containing grade 120 slag and class F fly ash



Figure 6 Average compressive strength resutls for mixtures containing class C and class F fly ash

The compressive strength results are very encouraging and indicate that up to 80 percent of the portland cement can be replaced for a large portion of concrete mixtures used on LADOTD projects. The results showed that LADOTD has been very conservative with only allowing up to 20 to 30 percent fly ash and up to 50 percent GGBFS in binary mixtures.

Table 6 shows the average 7- and 28-day compressive strengths and the ratio between the two. The portland cement control mixture had a ratio of about one. This indicates that nearly all the strength is being gained within the first seven days after batching and placement. The binary fly ash mixtures had a ratio of 1.17 and 1.24 for the class C and class F fly ash combinations, respectively. These values are still very typical being under 1.5. Note that the binary mixtures containing slag had ratios 1.43 and 1.53.

The 28- to 7-day compressive strength ratios for the ternary mixtures are significantly different. The lowest ratio for a ternary mixture is 1.40 and the highest is 25.49. These values indicate that the concrete mix design is a slower strength gain. One advantage of a slower strength gain is the concrete has a lower modulus value at early ages allowing for more creep. This increased ability to creep at early ages can lead to a reduction in cracking potential.

The main drawbacks to lower early age strengths are the need to keep forms in place longer for structural concrete applications and an increased time to initial set for sawing operations to begin for paving applications. These problems are easily addressed with an adjustment in paving and sawing operations for paving concrete and the introduction of another set of forms, or leave-in-place forms for structural concrete applications. Additional curing may be required to prevent plastic shrinkage cracking. Set accelerating admixtures may be used to shorten the time to initial set for sawing operations, or early removal of forms.
| Mixture ID | 7 Day | 28 Day | 28:7 |
|------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| 100TI | 5446 | 5860 | 1.08 |
| 80TI-20C | 4165 | 4857 | 1.17 |
| 80TI-20F | 3907 | 4842 | 1.24 |
| 50TI-50G100S | 4421 | 6785 | 1.53 |
| 50TI-50G120S | 4855 | 6956 | 1.43 |
| 50TI-30G100S-20C | 2560 | 4832 | 1.89 |
| 40TI-30G100S-30C | 3024 | 5465 | 1.81 |
| 30TI-30G100S-40C | 1821 | 4284 | 2.35 |
| 30TI-50G100S-20C | 2643 | 6430 | 2.43 |
| 20TI-50G100S-30C | 1390 | 5559 | 4.00 |
| 10TI-50G100S-40C | 490 | 2716 | 5.54 |
| 50TI-30G100S-20F | 3444 | 6071 | 1.76 |
| 40TI-30G100S-20F | 2830 | 5508 | 1.95 |
| 30TI-30G100S-40F | 2356 | 4494 | 1.91 |
| 30TI-50G100S-20F | 3664 | 5748 | 1.57 |
| 20TI-50G100S-30F | 2940 | 4796 | 1.63 |
| 10TI-50G100S-40F | 2096 | 3006 | 1.43 |
| 50TI-30G120S-20C | 5587 | 8582 | 1.54 |
| 40TI-30G120S-30C | 4436 | 7306 | 1.65 |
| 30TI-30G120S-40C | 4900 | 7931 | 1.62 |
| 30TI-50G120S-20C | 5757 | 7687 | 1.34 |
| 20TI-50G120S-30C | 3718 | 6780 | 1.82 |
| 10TI-50G120S-40C | 104 | 2651 | 25.49 |
| 50TI-30G120S-20F | 4797 | 6832 | 1.42 |
| 40TI-30G120S-30F | 3975 | 6009 | 1.51 |
| 30TI-30G120S-40F | 2901 | 4831 | 1.67 |
| 30TI-50G120S-20F | 4034 | 6314 | 1.57 |
| 20TI-50G120S-30F | 2783 | 4826 | 1.73 |
| 10TI-50G120S-40F | 2252 | 3537 | 1.57 |
| 60TI-20C-20F | 3998 | 5807 | 1.45 |
| 40TI-30C-30F | 2072 | 3636 | 1.75 |
| 20TI-40C-40F | 725 | 1669 | 2.30 |

 Table 6

 Average 7- and 28-day compressive strengths and the 28- to 7-day compressive strength ratio for all mixtures

Flexural Strength

The flexural strength results are shown in Figure 7 to Figure 12. The results in Figure 8 to Figure 12 show that the ternary mixtures performed equal to or better than the control mixtures. A significant target value for LADOTD projects is equal to or greater than 650 psi. The control mixtures are meeting that value in three days while the ternary mixtures are delayed until 14 or 28 days of age. This reduction in early age flexural strength will require contractors to keep traffic off the completed roadway for a slightly longer period.

Many of the ternary mixtures exhibited flexural strengths greater than 800 psi. These strengths are significant when designing a pavement using the mechanistic empirical pavement design guide (MEPDG). Increased flexural strengths will lead to reductions in the required pavement thickness to withstand a given traffic loading. This reduction in thickness, if realized, will lead to more cost effective concrete pavement roadway sections.

The 90 percent replacement mixtures showed greatly reduced flexural strengths compared to other ternary mixtures and the control mixtures. This shows that these mixtures will most likely be inadequate in normal everyday concrete applications for LADOTD. It is important to note that these mixtures may have significant purpose in very large mass concreting applications such as large footings or drilled shafts.



Figure 7 Average flexural strength results for the control mixtures



Figure 8 Average flexural strength results for mixtures containing grade 100 slag and class C fly ash



Figure 9 Average flexural strength results for mixtures containing grade 100 slag and class F fly ash



Figure 10 Average flexural strength results for mixtures containing grade 120 slag and class C fly ash



Figure 11 Average flexural strength resutls for mixtures containing grade 120 slag and class F fly ash



Figure 12 Average flexural strength results for mixtures containing class C and class F fly ash

Modulus of Elasticity and Poisson's Ratio

Table 7 shows the modulus of elasticity results for all mixtures at various ages. Table 8 shows the results for Poisson's ratio for all mixtures at various ages. The results are as expected with the ternary mixtures showing slightly lower results at 28 days of age. The lower results are due to the increased SCM content and those SCMs not being fully hydrated at 28 days of age. The 90-day results for the ternary mixtures are nearly equal to the control mixtures. The 28-day results for the ternary mixtures fall within the expected 4-6 million psi range for portland cement concrete. Note that the "N/A" for a particular day indicates that no samples were tested for that age due to samples being broken during the process of demolding.

| | Modulus of Elasticity (psi) | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Mixture ID | 7 Day | 14 Day | 28 Day | 90 Day | |
| 100TI | 4895000 | 5000000 | 5300000 | 5600000 | |
| 80TI-20C | 4200000 | 4175000 | 4525000 | 5225000 | |
| 80TI-20F | 4350000 | 4400000 | 4875000 | 5025000 | |
| 50TI-50G100S | 4400000 | 5100000 | 5225000 | 5450000 | |
| 50TI-50G120S | 4575000 | 4625000 | 5025000 | 5825000 | |
| 50TI-30G100S-20C | 3900000 | 4375000 | 4975000 | 5250000 | |
| 40TI-30G100S-30C | 4075000 | 4900000 | 5025000 | 5575000 | |
| 30TI-30G100S-40C | 3425000 | 4075000 | 4425000 | 5825000 | |
| 30TI-50G100S-20C | 3775000 | 4650000 | 4975000 | 5750000 | |
| 20TI-50G100S-30C | 3250000 | 4375000 | 5150000 | 5750000 | |
| 10TI-50G100S-40C | 2350000 | 2800000 | 4400000 | 5075000 | |
| 50TI-30G100S-20F | 4425000 | 4900000 | 5200000 | 5225000 | |
| 40TI-30G100S-20F | 4200000 | 4775000 | 4875000 | 5450000 | |
| 30TI-30G100S-40F | 3950000 | 4600000 | 4775000 | 5450000 | |
| 30TI-50G100S-20F | 4200000 | 4625000 | 4850000 | 5050000 | |
| 20TI-50G100S-30F | 4425000 | 4700000 | 5000000 | 5325000 | |
| 10TI-50G100S-40F | 4175000 | 3950000 | 4500000 | 4700000 | |
| 50TI-30G120S-20C | 4475000 | 4925000 | 5275000 | 5650000 | |
| 40TI-30G120S-30C | 4525000 | 4500000 | 5075000 | 5650000 | |
| 30TI-30G120S-40C | 4375000 | 4650000 | 5200000 | 5800000 | |
| 30TI-50G120S-20C | 4650000 | 5075000 | 5575000 | 5800000 | |
| 20TI-50G120S-30C | 4200000 | 4425000 | 4900000 | 5625000 | |
| 10TI-50G120S-40C | N/A | 2150000 | 4275000 | 5325000 | |
| 50TI-30G120S-20F | 4390000 | 4950000 | 5250000 | 5875000 | |
| 40TI-30G120S-30F | 4575000 | 4900000 | 5325000 | 5925000 | |
| 30TI-30G120S-40F | 4450000 | 4400000 | 4800000 | 5625000 | |
| 30TI-50G120S-20F | 4550000 | 4925000 | 5125000 | 5675000 | |
| 20TI-50G120S-30F | 4300000 | 4725000 | 4825000 | 4800000 | |
| 10TI-50G120S-40F | 3600000 | 4250000 | 4300000 | 4775000 | |
| 60TI-20C-20F | 4300000 | 4450000 | 5025000 | 5625000 | |
| 40TI-30C-30F | 3625000 | 4750000 | 4325000 | 5000000 | |
| 20TI-40C-40F | 2975000 | 3275000 | 3200000 | 4325000 | |

 Table 7

 Average modulus of elasticity results for all mixtures

| Mixture ID | 7 Day | 14 Day | 28 Day | 90 Day |
|------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| 100TI | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.26 |
| 80TI-20C | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.21 |
| 80TI-20F | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| 50TI-50G100S | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.21 |
| 50TI-50G120S | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.23 |
| 50TI-30G100S-20C | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| 40TI-30G100S-30C | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.23 |
| 30TI-30G100S-40C | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.23 |
| 30TI-50G100S-20C | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| 20TI-50G100S-30C | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.24 |
| 10TI-50G100S-40C | 0.42 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.24 |
| 50TI-30G100S-20F | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.25 |
| 40TI-30G100S-20F | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| 30TI-30G100S-40F | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| 30TI-50G100S-20F | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.24 |
| 20TI-50G100S-30F | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.23 |
| 10TI-50G100S-40F | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.24 |
| 50TI-30G120S-20C | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.26 |
| 40TI-30G120S-30C | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.23 |
| 30TI-30G120S-40C | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.25 |
| 30TI-50G120S-20C | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.23 |
| 20TI-50G120S-30C | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.25 |
| 10TI-50G120S-40C | N/A | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.23 |
| 50TI-30G120S-20F | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.24 |
| 40TI-30G120S-30F | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.21 |
| 30TI-30G120S-40F | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.22 |
| 30TI-50G120S-20F | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.24 |
| 20TI-50G120S-30F | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.21 |
| 10TI-50G120S-40F | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.24 |
| 60TI-20C-20F | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 |
| 40TI-30C-30F | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.24 |
| 20TI-40C-40F | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.19 |

Table 8Average Poisson's ratio results for all mixtures

Permeability

The RCP results are shown in Figure 13 to Figure 18. The results shown in Figure 13 illustrate typical permeability results for a straight portland cement mixture compared to

other binary mixtures. The replacement of portland cement leads to a reduction in permeability as expected.

Figure 14 to Figure 17 show the influence of ternary mixtures on the permeability of the resulting concrete. Note that all ternary mixtures containing combinations of slag and fly ash fell below the very low permeability threshold of 1000 Coulombs, acceptable in the new LADOTD specifications for structural concrete, which call for a permeability value of less than 1500 Coulombs.



Figure 13 Rapid chloride permeability results for the control mixtures



Figure 14 Rapid chloride permeability results for mixtures containing 100 grade slag and class C fly ash



Figure 15 Rapid chloride permeability results for mixtures containing grade 100 slag and class F fly ash



Figure 16 Rapid chloride permeability results for mixtures containing grade 120 slag and class C fly ash



Figure 17 Rapid chloride permeability results for mixtures containing grade 120 slag and class F fly ash



Figure 18 Rapid chloride permeabiltiy results for mixtures containing class C and class F fly ash

It is important to note that use of class F fly ash decreased the permeability of the concrete more so than the use of class C fly ash in combination with slag. This is due to the class F fly ash being more pozzolanic with a greater portion of the ash being in the glass phase.

The results for ternary mixtures containing both class C and class F fly ash are shown in Figure 18. The author expected a greater reduction in permeability for the 40 percent replacement. The high permeability values are most likely due to the pozzolanic action not being fully completed at 56-days of age. The research team has retained several samples for later age testing at one year.

Although the ternary mixtures exceed the proposed new LADOTD permeability specifications (1500 Coulombs at 56 days or 27 k Ω -cm at 28 days), the author strongly cautions against the use of the combinations without first conducting trail batches. While ternary combinations will greatly assist in reduction of permeability of concrete, other factors influence the concrete permeability such as paste content, w/cm, and curing conditions.

It is important to note that the permeability results shown in this report will continue to improve at later ages. It is common knowledge that the permeability can improve up to 365 days after concrete placement in ideal conditions. If the samples tested for this study were to

be re-tested at later ages such as one year of age, the permeability values would be significantly better.

Coefficient of Thermal Expansion

The CTE results are shown in Figure 19 to Figure 24. The results are typical for mixtures containing limestone as the coarse aggregate source. The addition of SCMs at high replacement percentages tend to increase the CTE value slightly from 9.7 to about 10×10^{-6} /°C as noted for three mixtures in Figure 23. These differences may require a change in joint spacing for pavements depending upon the results from the MEPDG analysis conducted during the design phase of the project. The addition of high volumes of class C and class F fly ash tended to reverse the trend leading to a great reduction in CTE. These mixtures would potentially be able to have longer joint spacing for PCC pavements.



Figure 19 CTE results for the control mixtures



Figure 20 CTE results for mixtures containing grade 100 slag and class C fly ash



Figure 21 CTE results for mixtures containing grade 100 slag and class F fly ash



Figure 22 CTE results for mixtures containing grade 120 slag and class C fly ash



Figure 23 CTE results for mixtures containing grade 120 slag and class F fly ash



Figure 24 CTE results for mixtures containing class C and class F fly ash

Length Change

The 28-day average length change results for all mixtures are shown in Figure 25 to Figure 30. The control mixtures (Figure 25) showed an average length change of about -0.030 percent when comparing across all mixtures typically used in LADOTD projects. These results are comparable to what others have found in previous research work.

The ternary mixture results (Figure 26 to Figure 30) showed shrinkage results comparable to or less than the control mixtures. The results have far reaching implications in the implementation stage of this research. The results showed that ternary mixtures will be no more prone to shrinkage cracking compared to the control mixtures in ideal curing conditions. The shrinkage results should not be construed to imply that they are more resistant to cracking due to the large number of variables that influence cracking including paste/mortar content and w/cm. Contractor and producer diligence for proper curing procedures is still strongly cautioned for all concrete mixtures being produced and placed on LADOTD projects. Additional applications of curing compound may be required to prevent plastic shrinkage cracking.



Figure 25 Average length change results for all control mixtures



Figure 26 Average length change results for mixtures containing grade 100 slag and class C fly ash



Figure 27 Average length change results for grade 100 slag and class F fly ash



Figure 28 Average length change results for grade 120 slag and class C fly ash



Figure 29 Average length change results for mixtures containing grade 120 slag and class F fly ash



Figure 30 Average length change results for mixtures containing class C and class F fly ash

Freeze-Thaw Durability

The freeze-thaw durability results are shown in Figure 31 to Figure 36. The control mixtures performed as expected with durability factors greater than 75 percent after 300 cycles. The ternary mixtures containing 100 grade slag and class C fly ash (see Figure 32) performed comparable to the control mixtures with the exception of the 50 percent replacement. This mixture performed poorly due to the low entrained air content and has been re-mixed and is being retested at the time of this publication.

Other ternary mixtures have performed adequately with durability factors greater than 60 percent after 300 cycles. Note that some mixtures performed very poorly and have been remixed and are currently being retested due to low entrained air content. All of the ternary mixtures with replacements at 90 percent performed much worse than anticipated with many of them not making it through the first round of cycles in the freeze-thaw chamber even though the air contents were adequate to provide freeze-thaw resistance (see Figure 33 and Figure 35).



Figure 31 Freeze-thaw durability results for the control mixtures



Figure 32 Freeze-thaw durability results for mixtures containing 100 grade slag and class C fly ash



Figure 33 Freeze-thaw durability results for mixtures containing grade 100 slag and class F fly ash



Figure 34 Freeze-thaw durability results for mixtures containing 120 grade slag and class C fly ash



Figure 35 Freeze-thaw durability results for mixtures containing grade 120 slag and class F fly ash



Figure 36 Freeze-thaw durability results for mixtures containing class C and class F fly ash

The low durability factors prompted the retesting of the specimens. Freeze-thaw specimens were prepared and a different curing regime was employed. The specimens were allowed to cure until they attained a compressive strength greater than 3500 psi. Figure 37 shows the original results for the poor performing mixtures compared to those results after remaking and additional curing time. Note the dramatic improvement in the durability factors for all mixtures. With all of the discussion on freeze-thaw, Louisiana conditions provide very little freeze-thaw exposure, and the recommendations for ternary concrete placement temperatures (greater than 50°F) will provide adequate insurance over freeze-thaw damage.



Figure 37 Freeze-thaw durability results comparing the poor performing mixtures and their respective remakes

Cost Benefit Analysis

For the purposes of the cost benefit analysis, a cubic yard of paving concrete was assumed to contain 475 lb. of cementitious material. Using that assumption, Table 9 shows the estimated cost and potential savings, in dollars per mile, for two high SCM replacement mixtures compared to the standard 20 percent fly ash mixture routinely used on LADOTD paving projects. The paving project is assumed to be 10.5 in. thick and 26 ft. in width.

A potential savings of about 17 percent for the mixture containing slag and fly ash exists when a change in specification occurs. A greater savings may be realized when using both class C and class F fly ash of about 28 percent.

| Mixture Design | Cementitious Materials Cost (\$/mile) | Potential Savings per Mile (\$) | Potential Savings per Mile (%) |
|------------------|--|--|---|
| 80TI-20C | \$90,566 | N/A | N/A |
| 40TI-30G100S-30C | \$72,453 | \$18,113 | 20.0 |
| 30TI-35C-35F | \$65,409 | \$25,157 | 27.8 |

 Table 9

 Estimated cementitious materials cost and potential savings in dollars per mile

During the bid years 2007 and 2008, LADOTD let contracts for about 191 linear miles of PCC pavement. Using the above cementitious costs per mile, Table 10 shows the potential departmental savings if a ternary mixture would have been allowed for these projects.

The cost of this research project was 233,544. Using the savings (benefit) in Table 10, a cost benefit ratio of about 13 and 21 may be realized for the slag – fly ash and class C – class F fly ash ternary mixtures, respectively. Note that these numbers are based on past bid data, and current economic conditions will change these numbers greatly. It is also important to note that these numbers do not take into account the vast quantities of structural concrete that are batched and placed in the state of Louisiana every construction year. Inclusion of the structural concrete data will only improve the cost benefit ratio.

| of PCC pavement) | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Mixture Design | Cementitious Materials Cost | Potential Savings (\$) | Potential Savings (%) | | | |
| 80TI-20C | \$17,298,106 | N/A | N/A | | | |
| 40TI-30G100S-30C | \$13,838,523 | \$3,459,583 | 20.0 | | | |
| 30TI-35C-35F | \$12,493,119 | \$4,807,515 | 27.8 | | | |

 Table 10

 Estimated cementitious materials cost and potential savings for bid years 2007 – 2008 (191 miles of PCC pavement)

The author notes that the numbers used for the cost benefit analysis are based on averages for Louisiana and the project specific numbers will vary slightly due to transportation hauling and individual market availability of materials.

CO₂ Reduction Analysis

For the purposes of the CO_2 reduction analysis, a cubic yard of paving concrete was assumed to contain 475 lb. of cementitious material. Using that assumption, Table 11 shows the estimated CO_2 load and potential CO_2 savings, in tons, for three high SCM replacement mixtures compared to the standard 20 percent fly ash mixture routinely used on LADOTD paving projects. The pavement cross section is assumed to be 10.5 in. thick and 26 ft. in width.

| | | | , |
|------------------|---|---|---|
| Mixture Decign | CO ₂ Load for the 2007-2008 Bid Years (Tops) | Potential CO ₂ Savings (Tons) | Potential CO ₂ Savings |
| Mixture Design | (Tons) | (10hs) | (%) |
| 80TI-20C | 144,531 | N/A | N/A |
| 40TI-30G100S-30C | 79,456 | 65,075 | 43.9 |
| 40TI-30G120S-30F | 82,321 | 62,210 | 41.8 |
| 30TI-35C-35F | 50,098 | 94,433 | 62.5 |

| Table 11 |
|---|
| CO_2 Load and potential CO_2 savings for the 2007 - 2008 bid year |
| |
| |

The potential CO_2 savings range from 42 to 63 percent. These savings are significant. A reduction of 300 tons of CO_2 is equivalent to removing about 8500 vehicles from the road every year. These reductions in carbon dioxide load for the roadway show that the mixtures are sustainable.

These savings will vary significantly depending upon several variables including: total cementitious content of the mixtures, cubic yards of concrete produced, and other factors such as plant efficiency. The author is quick to note that these numbers are conservative due to the fact that they do not include any reduction in CO_2 that would be associated with the large quantities of structural concrete mixed and placed on LADOTD projects every year.

A reduction in CO_2 is great for the portland cement concrete industry, but it is important to note that CO_2 reduction is just a small portion of a much larger complex issue of sustainability. Sustainability also looks at embodied energy, recycled materials usage, material hauling distances, and retro reflectivity among others.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study warrant the following conclusions. The fresh concrete results showed adequate workability, air content, and set times for all ternary mixtures with portland cement replacements less than 90 percent.

Compressive strength results showed equal to or greater compressive strengths especially at later ages of 56 and 90 days. The compressive strengths of all mixtures with SCM replacements up to 80 percent met LADOTD specifications of 4000 psi. The ratios of the 7-to 28-day compressive strengths showed that they are more resistant to early age cracking due to the lower modulus at early ages allowing for more creep.

Flexural strengths of the ternary mixtures were generally greater than 650 psi with some reaching 1000 psi. These results show that the mixtures will prove adequate for most concrete paving applications, including interstate applications. The results also indicate that the pavement thickness may be reduced in some instances for certain traffic loading conditions.

The length change, or shrinkage, results showed that the ternary mixtures performed the same or better than the control mixtures. This ensures that the risk of shrinkage cracking of properly mixed, placed, and cured ternary concrete mixtures is no greater than that of currently mixed, placed, and cured concrete mixtures. Additional curing may be required to prevent plastic shrinkage cracking.

The rapid chloride permeability results show that the majority of the ternary mixtures will easily meet the new permeability specifications for all structural class concrete requiring less than 1500 Coulombs at 56 days or 27 k Ω -cm at 28 days of age.

The CTE results showed that the CTE values increased slightly for some combinations of ternary mixtures while decreasing significantly for ternary mixtures containing both class C and class F fly ash. A pavement design analysis will need to be completed to determine proper joint spacing.

The freeze-thaw results showed adequate freeze-thaw durability when the entrained air content was sufficient to prevent frost damage. The results point to an inadequacy in the ASTM standard for high SCM replacements in that the resulting concrete is usually not of sufficient strength to resist freeze-thaw damage at 14 days of age when the test is started. A change may need to be instituted for states where freeze-thaw damage is of concern where

the concrete being tested is allowed to cure for a greater numbers of days before the onset of testing.

The cost benefit ratio for implementation of the results may be as high as 21 depending upon the mixture used for construction and the number of cubic yards of concrete constructed in the state on any given year. Implementation of ternary mixtures will result in an estimated 60,000 tons of CO₂ saved for PCC pavements only and the number will be increased when accounting for structural concrete.

All the above results point to a reasonable portland cement replacement level with SCMs of about 70 percent for LADOTD concrete projects. Care should be taken when interpreting these results and the results apply only to the materials used and tested through the course of this study. Producers and contractors wanting to implement these results are strongly encouraged to produce trial batches with their locally available materials to ensure the mixture's ability to meet and exceed the standards and specifications.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The author recommends full implementation of the results of this study and suggests a maximum portland cement replacement of 70 percent. Ternary combinations containing class C and class F fly ash should be allowed, but be incorporated in equal amounts. Slag and fly ash combinations may be used with the exception being that the fly ash content cannot be greater than the slag content. Lastly, the cold weather limitation should be set such that risk of cracking and delayed set times are minimized. To this end, the author suggests a cold weather limitation of about 50°F, the temperature at which ternary concrete operations should cease.

ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS & SYMBOLS

| AASHTO | American Association of State Highway and Transportation |
|--------|--|
| | Officials |
| CTE | coefficient of thermal expansion |
| DOTs | Departments of Transportation |
| FHWA | Federal Highway Administration |
| ft. | feet |
| GGBFS | ground granulated blast furnace slag |
| in. | inch(es) |
| LADOTD | Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development |
| LTRC | Louisiana Transportation Research Center |
| MEPDG | Mechanistic Empirical Pavement Design Guide |
| PCA | Portland Cement Association |
| PCC | portland cement concrete |
| pcf | pounds per cubic foot |
| psi | pounds per square inch |
| SCMs | supplementary cementitious materials |
| w/cm | water to cementitious materials ratio |
| XRF | X-ray fluorescence |

REFERENCES

- Kosmatka, S.H., Kerkhoff, B., and Panarese, W.C. Design and Control of Concrete Mixtures. 14th Edition. Engineering Bulletin 001. Skokie, IL; Portland Cement Association, 2002.
- Tikalsky, P., Schaefer, V., Wang, K., Scheetz, B., Rupnow, T., St. Clair, A., Siddiqi, M., and Marquez, S. *Development of Performance Properties of Ternary Mixtures: Phase I Final Report.* Iowa State University, Ames, IA, 2007.
- 3. MacLeod, N. A Synthesis of Data: On the Use of Supplementary Cementing Materials (SCMs) in Concrete Pavement Applications Exposed to Freeze / Thaw and Deicing Chemicals. Canadian Cement Association, Ottawa, ON, 2005.
- 4. Tikalsky, P., Taylor, P., Hanson, S., and Ghosh, P. Development of Performance Properties of Ternary Mixtures: Laboratory Study on Concrete. Iowa State University, Ames, IA, 2011.
- ASTM C39 "Standard Test Method for Compressive Strength of Cylindrical concrete Specimens." *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol. 04.02, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 2010.
- ASTM C78 "Standard Test Method for Flexural Strength of Concrete (Using Simple Beam with Third-Point Loading)." *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol. 04.02, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 2010.
- 7. ASTM C136 "Standard Test Method for Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregates." *Annual Book of ASTM Standards,* Vol. 04.02, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 2010.
- ASTM C138 "Standard Test Method for Density (Unit Weight), Yield, and Air Content (Gravimetric) of Concrete." *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol. 04.02, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 2010.
- 9. ASTM C143/143M "Standard Test Method for Slump of Hydraulic-Cement Concrete." *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol. 04.02, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 2010.
- 10. ASTM C150 "Standard Specification for Portland Cement." *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol. 04.01, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 2010.

- ASTM C157/157M "Standard Test Method for Length Change of Hardened Hydraulic Cement Mortar and Concrete." *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol. 04.02, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 2010.
- 12. ASTM C231 "Standard Test Method for Air Content of Freshly Mixed Concrete by the Pressure Method." *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol. 04.02, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 2010.
- ASTM C403/403M "Standard Test Method for Time of Setting of Concrete Mixtures by Penetration Resistance." *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol. 04.02, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 2010.
- ASTM C469 "Standard Test Method for Static Modulus of Elasticity and Poisson's ratio of Concrete in Compression." *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol. 04.02, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 2010.
- 15. ASTM C618 "Standard Specification for Coal Fly Ash and Raw or Calcined Natural Pozzolan for Use in Concrete." *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol. 04.02, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 2010.
- 16. ASTM C666 "Standard Test Method for Resistance of Concrete to Rapid Freezing and Thawing." *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol. 04.02, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 2010.
- 17. ASTM C989 "Standard Specification for Ground Granulated Blast-Furnace Slag for Use in Concrete and Mortars." *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol. 04.02, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 2010.
- ASTM C1202 "Standard Test Method for Electrical Indication of Concrete's Ability to Resist Chloride Ion Penetration." *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol. 04.02, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 2010.

APPENDIX

| LTRC Lab. No. | C-3401 | C-3402 | C-3407 | C-3411 | C-3430 |
|---|----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| Mixture ID | 100TI | 80TI-20C | 80TI-20F | 50TI-50G100S | 50TI-50G120S |
| Date Made | 9/3/2009 | 9/10/2009 | 9/24/2009 | 10/1/2009 | 11/9/2009 |
| Type I Portland Cement (%) | 100 | 80 | 80 | 50 | 50 |
| Grade 100 Slag (%) | | | | 50 | |
| Grade 120 Slag (%) | | | | | 50 |
| Class C Fly Ash (%) | | 20 | | | |
| Class F Fly Ash (%) | | | 20 | | |
| Water Reducer (ZYLA 620 oz/100ct) | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Air Entrainment (Daravair 1000 oz/100ct) | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Fresh Concrete Tests | | | | | |
| Slump (inches) | 2.25 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 2.50 | 4.00 |
| Air Content (%) | 4.50 | 6.00 | 5.80 | 4.40 | 5.10 |
| Unit Weight (Ibs/ft³) | 147.4 | 144.0 | 144.0 | 146.6 | 144.2 |
| Initial Set Time (hrs:mins) | 4:47 | 7:14 | 5:50 | 5:38 | 5:34 |
| Final Set Time (hrs:mins) | 6:13 | 8:45 | 7:23 | 7:45 | 7:51 |
| ASTM C 39, Compressive Strength (psi), 4x8 of | cyls. | | | | |
| Age at testing (7 days) | | | | | |
| Cylinder #1 | 5424 | 4335 | 3792 | 4429 | 4866 |
| Cylinder #2 | 5443 | 3793 | 3940 | 4146 | 4626 |
| Cylinder #3 | 5470 | 4368 | 3988 | 4687 | 5072 |
| Average | 5446 | 4165 | 3907 | 4421 | 4855 |
| Standard Deviation | 23.12 | 322.87 | 102.16 | 270.60 | 223.22 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 0.42 | 7.75 | 2.62 | 6.12 | 4.60 |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | | | |
| Cylinder #4 | 5905 | 4197 | 4340 | 6256 | 6297 |
| Cylinder #5 | 5605 | 4636 | 4198 | 6102 | 5952 |
| Cylinder #6 | 5892 | 4144 | 4458 | 6070 | 6108 |
| Average | 5801 | 4326 | 4332 | 6143 | 6119 |
| Standard Deviation | 169.58 | 270.06 | 130.18 | 99.45 | 172.76 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 2.92 | 6.24 | 3.01 | 1.62 | 2.82 |
| Age at testing (28 days) | | | | | |
| Cylinder #7 | 6156 | 5139 | 4714 | 7024 | 6796 |
| Cylinder #8 | 6044 | 4574 | 5054 | 6636 | 6957 |
| Cylinder #9 | 5380 | 4857 | 4758 | 6696 | 7116 |
| Average | 5860 | 4857 | 4842 | 6785 | 6956 |
| Standard Deviation | 419.45 | 282.50 | 184.91 | 208.86 | 160.00 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 7.16 | 5.82 | 3.82 | 3.08 | 2.30 |
| Age at testing (56 days) | | | | | |
| Cylinder #10 | 6451 | 5735 | 5217 | 6994 | 7798 |
| Cylinder #11 | 6347 | 5750 | 5405 | 6591 | 7742 |
| Cylinder #12 | 6451 | 5412 | 5467 | 7042 | 7858 |
| Average | 6416 | 5632 | 5363 | 6876 | 7799 |
| Standard Deviation | 60.04 | 190.96 | 130.18 | 247.69 | 58.01 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 0.94 | 3.39 | 2.43 | 3.60 | 0.74 |
| Age at testing (90 days) | | | | | |
| Cylinder #13 | 6550 | 5157 | 5591 | 7572 | 7626 |
| Cylinder #14 | 6829 | 5881 | 5501 | 7439 | 7249 |
| Cylinder #15 | 6725 | 5522 | 5658 | 7363 | 8092 |
| Average | 6701 | 5520 | 5583 | 7458 | 7656 |
| Standard Deviation | 141.00 | 362.00 | 78.78 | 105.79 | 422.28 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 2.10 | 6.56 | 1.41 | 1.42 | 5.52 |

| LTRC Lab. No. | C-3401 | C-3402 | C-3407 | C-3411 | C-3430 | | |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|
| Mixture ID | 100TI | 80TI-20C | 80TI-20F | 50TI-50G100S | 50TI-50G120S | | |
| ASTM C 469, Static Modulus of Elasticity and F | oisson's Ratio o | f Concrete in Cor | npression (4x8 c | ylinders) | | | |
| Age at testing (7 days) | | | | | | | |
| Modulus | | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #2 | 4.950.000 | 4.100.000 | 4.450.000 | 4.350.000 | 4.500.000 | | |
| Cylinder #3 | 4.840.000 | 4.300.000 | 4.250.000 | 4.450.000 | 4.650.000 | | |
| Average | 4,895,000 | 4,200,000 | 4,350,000 | 4,400,000 | 4,575,000 | | |
| Standard Deviation | 77781.75 | 141421.36 | 141421.36 | 70710.68 | 106066.02 | | |
| Coefficient of Variance | 1.59 | 3.37 | 3.25 | 1.61 | 2.32 | | |
| Poisson's Ratio | | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #2 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.22 | | |
| Cylinder #3 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.21 | | |
| Average | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.22 | | |
| Standard Deviation | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | | |
| Coefficient of Variance | 3.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.47 | 3.29 | | |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | | | | | |
| Modulus | | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #5 | 5 100 000 | 3 700 000 | 4 450 000 | 5 150 000 | 4 450 000 | | |
| Cylinder #6 | 4 900 000 | 4 650 000 | 4 350 000 | 5 050 000 | 4 800 000 | | |
| | 5 000 000 | 4 175 000 | 4 400 000 | 5 100 000 | 4 625 000 | | |
| Standard Deviation | 141421.36 | 671751.44 | 70710.68 | 70710.68 | 247487.37 | | |
| Coefficient of Variance | 2.83 | 16.09 | 1.61 | 1.39 | 5.35 | | |
| Poisson's Ratio | | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #5 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.19 | | |
| Cylinder #6 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.13 | | |
| Average | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.21 | | |
| Standard Deviation | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.03 | | |
| Coefficient of Variance | 3.45 | 0.00 | 25.38 | 0.00 | 13.47 | | |
| Age at testing (28 days) | | | | | | | |
| Modulus | | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #8 | 5 250 000 | 4 500 000 | 5 250 000 | 5 450 000 | 5 000 000 | | |
| Cylinder #9 | 5.350.000 | 4,550,000 | 4,500,000 | 5.000.000 | 5.050.000 | | |
| Average | 5.300.000 | 4.525.000 | 4.875.000 | 5.225.000 | 5.025.000 | | |
| Standard Deviation | 70710.68 | 35355.34 | 530330.09 | 318198.05 | 35355.34 | | |
| Coefficient of Variance | 1.33 | 0.78 | 10.88 | 6.09 | 0.70 | | |
| Poisson's Ratio | | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #8 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.19 | | |
| Cylinder #9 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.19 | | |
| Average | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.19 | | |
| Standard Deviation | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | | |
| Coefficient of Variance | 3.14 | 6.15 | 10.88 | 6.43 | 0.00 | | |
| Age at testing (90 days) | | | | | | | |
| Modulus | | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #14 | 5.750.000 | 5.400.000 | 5.000.000 | 5.400.000 | 5,700,000 | | |
| Cvlinder #15 | 5.450.000 | 5.050.000 | 5.050.000 | 5.500.000 | 5.950.000 | | |
| Average | 5,600,000 | 5,225,000 | 5,025,000 | 5,450,000 | 5,825,000 | | |
| Standard Deviation | 212132.03 | 247487.37 | 35355.34 | 70710.68 | 176776.70 | | |
| Coefficient of Variance | 3.79 | 4.74 | 0.70 | 1.30 | 3.03 | | |
| Poisson's Ratio | | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #14 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.21 | | |
| Cylinder #15 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.25 | | |
| Average | 0.26 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.23 | | |
| Standard Deviation | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | | |
| Coefficient of Variance | 0.00 | 20.20 | 3.63 | 3.45 | 12.30 | | |
| LTRC Lab. No. | C-3401 | C-3402 | C-3407 | C-3411 | C-3430 |
|---|-------------------|-----------|----------|--------------|--------------|
| Mixture ID | 100TI | 80TI-20C | 80TI-20F | 50TI-50G100S | 50TI-50G120S |
| ASTM C 78, Flexure Strength (psi), 6x6x20 bea | ims | | | | |
| Age at testing (7 days) | | | | | |
| Beam #1 | 774 | 694 | 596 | 714 | 837 |
| Beam #2 | 715 | 589 | 717 | 797 | 791 |
| Average | 745 | 642 | 657 | 756 | 814 |
| Standard Deviation | 41.72 | 74.25 | 85.56 | 58.69 | 32.53 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 5.60 | 11.57 | 13.03 | 7.77 | 4.00 |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | | | |
| Beam #3 | 799 | 679 | 751 | 947 | 985 |
| Beam #4 | 797 | 636 | 709 | 849 | 1227 |
| Average | 798 | 658 | 730 | 898 | 1106 |
| Standard Deviation | 1.41 | 30.41 | 29.70 | 69.30 | 171.12 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 0.18 | 4.62 | 4.07 | 7.72 | 15.47 |
| Age at testing (28 days) | | | | | |
| Beam #5 | 928 | 703 | 654 | 1072 | 1159 |
| Beam #6 | 897 | 724 | 697 | 963 | 1147 |
| Average | 913 | 714 | 676 | 1018 | 1153 |
| Standard Deviation | 21.92 | 14.85 | 30.41 | 77.07 | 8.49 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 2.40 | 2.08 | 4.50 | 7.57 | 0.74 |
| Age at testing (90 days) | | | | | |
| Beam #7 | 812 | 693 | 667 | 1036 | 1216 |
| Beam #8 | 824 | 750 | 712 | 1081 | 1171 |
| Average | 818 | 722 | 690 | 1059 | 1194 |
| Standard Deviation | 8.49 | 40.31 | 31.82 | 31.82 | 31.82 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 1.04 | 5.59 | 4.61 | 3.01 | 2.67 |
| ASTM C 157, Length Change of Hardened Con | crete (air storag | e method) | | | |
| Percent Length Change 28 days air | | | | | |
| Beam #1 | -0.0140 | -0.0450 | -0.0380 | -0.0260 | -0.0270 |
| Beam #2 | -0.0160 | -0.0460 | -0.0410 | -0.0260 | -0.0260 |
| Average | -0.0150 | -0.0455 | -0.0395 | -0.0260 | -0.0265 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.0014 | 0.0007 | 0.0021 | 0.0000 | 0.0007 |
| Coefficient of Variance | -9.43 | -1.55 | -5.37 | 0.00 | -2.67 |
| ASTM C 666, Freeze-Thaw Durability, 3x4x16 b | eams | | | | |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | | | |
| Beam #1 | 76.7 | 88.5 | 95.9 | 75.2 | 87.0 |
| Beam #2 | 81.1 | 92.4 | 94.4 | 73.7 | 89.6 |
| Beam #3 | 90.1 | 85.6 | 99.6 | 76.7 | 94.1 |
| Average | 82.6 | 88.8 | 96.6 | 75.2 | 90.2 |
| Standard Deviation | 6.83 | 3.41 | 2.68 | 1.50 | 3.59 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 8.27 | 3.84 | 2.77 | 1.99 | 3.98 |
| ASTM C 1202, Rapid Chloride Permeability | | | | | |
| Coulombs at 56 days | | | | | |
| Cylinder #16 (Top) | 2628 | 1028 | 1347 | 651 | 892 |
| Cylinder #16 (Middle) | 1691 | 1246 | 1106 | 457 | 710 |
| Cylinder #17 (Top) | 2203 | 1318 | 1006 | 462 | 714 |
| Cylinder #17 (Middle) | 2001 | 1311 | 1464 | 448 | 631 |
| Average | 2131 | 1226 | 1231 | 505 | 737 |
| Chloride Ion Penetrability | Moderate | Low | Low | Very Low | Very Low |
| Standard Deviation | 392.72 | 135.76 | 211.34 | 97.84 | 110.33 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 18.43 | 11.08 | 17.17 | 19.39 | 14.98 |

| LTPC Lab No | C-3/13 | C-3423 | C-3445 | C-3451 | C-3456 | C-3474 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 50TL30G100S-200 | 0-3423 40TL30G100S-30C | 30TL30G100S-400 | 30TL50G100S-200 | 20TL50G100S-30C | 10TL50G100S-400 |
| Date Made | 10/8/2009 | 10/14/2009 | 1/27/2010 | 2/4/2010 | 2/11/2010 | 3/16/2010 |
| Type Portland Coment (%) | 50 | 10/14/2003 | 30 | 2/4/2010 | 2/11/2010 | 10 |
| Grade 100 Slag (%) | 30 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Grade 100 Slag (%) | | 50 | | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| | 20 | 30 | 40 | 20 | 30 | 40 |
| | 20 | 30 | 40 | 20 | 30 | 40 |
| Class F Fly Asil (%) Water Beducer (ZVI A 620 ez/100et) | 2.00 | 2.50 | 1 20 | 1.20 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Air Entrainment (Daravair 1000 oz/100ct) | 0.50 | 2.50 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Air Bitrainment (Daravan 1000 02/100ct) | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| | 5.00 | 2.05 | 0.75 | 4.05 | 2.00 | 2.50 |
| Siump (incres) | 5.00 | 3.25 | 6.75 | 4.25 | 3.00 | 3.50 |
| Air Content (%) | 5.2 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 2.7 |
| | 143.4 | 144.4 | 147.0 | 146.4 | 145.6 | 147.0 |
| Initial Set Time (nrs:mins) | 5:55 | 6:04 | 10:21 | /:5/ | 9:27 | 10:53 |
| Final Set Time (hrs:mins) | 8:13 | 8:18 | 13:13 | 10:57 | 13:04 | 19:33 |
| ASTM C 39, Compressive Strength (psi), 4x8 | cyls. | | | | | |
| Age at testing (7 days) | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #1 | 2502 | 3011 | 1821 | 2603 | 1475 | 501 |
| Cylinder #2 | 2616 | 3138 | 1928 | 2677 | 1339 | 494 |
| Cylinder #3 | 2561 | 2922 | 1714 | 2649 | 1357 | 475 |
| Average | 2560 | 3024 | 1821 | 2643 | 1390 | 490 |
| Standard Deviation | 57.01 | 108.56 | 107.00 | 37.36 | 73.87 | 13.45 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 2.23 | 3.59 | 5.88 | 1.41 | 5.31 | 2.75 |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #4 | 3660 | 4407 | 2649 | 4350 | 3084 | 878 |
| Cylinder #5 | 3685 | 4952 | 2619 | 4598 | 3215 | 924 |
| Cylinder #6 | 3493 | 4636 | 2820 | 4474 | 3181 | 851 |
| Average | 3613 | 4665 | 2696 | 4474 | 3160 | 884 |
| Standard Deviation | 104.39 | 273.65 | 108.43 | 124.00 | 67.98 | 36.91 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 2.89 | 5.87 | 4.02 | 2.77 | 2.15 | 4.17 |
| Age at testing (28 days) | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #7 | 4734 | 5407 | 4379 | 6964 | 5501 | 2695 |
| Cylinder #8 | 4864 | 5621 | 4049 | 6669 | 5643 | 2760 |
| Cylinder #9 | 4898 | 5366 | 4423 | 5656 | 5534 | 2693 |
| Average | 4832 | 5465 | 4284 | 6430 | 5559 | 2716 |
| Standard Deviation | 86.56 | 136.93 | 204.41 | 686.06 | 74.31 | 38.12 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 1.79 | 2.51 | 4.77 | 10.67 | 1.34 | 1.40 |
| Age at testing (56 days) | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #10 | 5223 | 5986 | 6346 | 7854 | 6979 | 3945 |
| Cylinder #11 | 5552 | 6150 | 6571 | 8092 | 7532 | 4282 |
| Cylinder #12 | 5590 | 5862 | 6517 | 8349 | 7240 | 4264 |
| Average | 5455 | 5999 | 6478 | 8098 | 7250 | 4164 |
| Standard Deviation | 201.81 | 144.46 | 117.46 | 247.56 | 276.64 | 189.58 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 3.70 | 2.41 | 1.81 | 3.06 | 3.82 | 4.55 |
| Age at testing (90 days) | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #13 | 5755 | 6473 | 7480 | 8400 | 8320 | 4463 |
| Cylinder #14 | 6049 | 6633 | 7559 | 8237 | 8760 | 4771 |
| Cylinder #15 | 5063 | 6381 | 7582 | 8633 | 8551 | 4369 |
| Average | 5622 | 6496 | 7540 | 8423 | 8544 | 4534 |
| Standard Deviation | 506.21 | 127.52 | 53.50 | 199.03 | 220.09 | 210.28 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 9.00 | 1.96 | 0.71 | 2.36 | 2.58 | 4.64 |

| LTRC Lab. No. | C-3413 | C-3423 | C-3445 | C-3451 | C-3456 | C-3474 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Mixture ID | 50TI-30G100S-20C | 40TI-30G100S-30C | 30TI-30G100S-400 | 30TI-50G100S-20C | 20TI-50G100S-30C | 10TI-50G100S-40C |
| ASTM C 469, Static Modulus of Elasticity and F | Poisson's Ratio o | f Concrete in Cor | npression (4x8 c | vlinders) | | |
| Age at testing (7 days) | | | | , | | |
| Modulus | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #2 | 4.050.000 | 4.000.000 | 3.250.000 | 3.750.000 | 3,150,000 | 2.250.000 |
| Cvlinder #3 | 3.750.000 | 4.150.000 | 3.600.000 | 3.800.000 | 3.350.000 | 2.450.000 |
| Average | 3,900,000 | 4,075,000 | 3,425,000 | 3,775,000 | 3,250,000 | 2,350,000 |
| Standard Deviation | 212132.03 | 106066.02 | 247487.37 | 35355.34 | 141421.36 | 141421.36 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 5.44 | 2.60 | 7.23 | 0.94 | 4.35 | 6.02 |
| Poisson's Ratio | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #2 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.34 |
| Cylinder #3 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.50 |
| Average | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.42 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.11 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 0.00 | 14.89 | 4.56 | 0.00 | 12.30 | 26.94 |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | | | | |
| Modulus | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #5 | 4 500 000 | 4 950 000 | 3 950 000 | 4 650 000 | 4 400 000 | 2 900 000 |
| Cylinder #6 | 4,250,000 | 4.850.000 | 4,200,000 | 4.650.000 | 4.350.000 | 2,700.000 |
| Average | 4.375.000 | 4,900,000 | 4.075.000 | 4,650,000 | 4.375.000 | 2,800,000 |
| Standard Deviation | 176776.70 | 70710.68 | 176776.70 | 0.00 | 35355.34 | 141421.36 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 4.04 | 1.44 | 4.34 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 5.05 |
| Poisson's Ratio | - | | | | | |
| Cylinder #5 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.28 |
| Cylinder #6 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.21 |
| Average | 0.21 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.25 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 3.45 | 18.45 | 14.63 | 0.00 | 23.57 | 20.20 |
| Age at testing (28 days) | | | | | | |
| Modulus | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #8 | 4,950,000 | 5,150,000 | 4,250,000 | 4,950,000 | 5,200,000 | 4,350,000 |
| Cylinder #9 | 5.000.000 | 4,900,000 | 4.600.000 | 5.000.000 | 5.100.000 | 4.450.000 |
| Average | 4.975.000 | 5.025.000 | 4.425.000 | 4.975.000 | 5.150.000 | 4.400.000 |
| Standard Deviation | 35355.34 | 176776.70 | 247487.37 | 35355.34 | 70710.68 | 70710.68 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 0.71 | 3.52 | 5.59 | 0.71 | 1.37 | 1.61 |
| Poisson's Ratio | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #8 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.20 |
| Cylinder #9 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.15 | 0.20 |
| Average | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.00 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 6.43 | 6.73 | 10.88 | 3.14 | 35.36 | 0.00 |
| Age at testing (90 days) | | | | | | |
| Modulus | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #14 | 5,500,000 | 5,500,000 | 5,750,000 | 5,800,000 | 5,750,000 | 5,100,000 |
| Cylinder #15 | 5,000,000 | 5,650,000 | 5,900,000 | 5,700,000 | 5,750,000 | 5,050,000 |
| Average | 5,250,000 | 5,575,000 | 5,825,000 | 5,750,000 | 5,750,000 | 5,075,000 |
| Standard Deviation | 353553.39 | 106066.02 | 106066.02 | 70710.68 | 0.00 | 35355.34 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 6.73 | 1.90 | 1.82 | 1.23 | 0.00 | 0.70 |
| Poisson's Ratio | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #14 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.22 |
| Cylinder #15 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.26 |
| Average | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 9.87 | 6.15 | 6.15 | 15.71 | 3.01 | 11.79 |

| LTRC Lab. No. | C-3413 | C-3423 | C-3445 | C-3451 | C-3456 | C-3474 |
|--|-------------------|------------------|------------------|---|------------------|------------------|
| Mixture ID | 50TI-30G100S-200 | 40TI-30G100S-30C | 30TI-30G100S-400 | 30TI-50G100S-20C | 20TI-50G100S-30C | 10TI-50G100S-400 |
| ASTM C 78 Flexure Strength (psi) 6x6x20 bea | am s | | | 200000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | |
| Age at testing (7 days) | | | | | | |
| Beam#1 | 560 | 598 | 474 | 540 | 384 | 17 |
| Beam#2 | 595 | 609 | 474 | 572 | 345 | 17 |
| Average | 578 | 604 | 474 | 556 | 365 | 17 |
| Standard Deviation | 24.75 | 7 78 | 4/4 | 22.63 | 27 58 | 0.00 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 4 20 | 1.70 | 0.00 | 4.07 | 7 57 | 0.00 |
| Age at testing (14 days) | 7.23 | 1.2.3 | 0.00 | 4.07 | 7.07 | 0.00 |
| Age at testing (14 days) | 765 | 710 | 621 | 704 | 674 | 264 |
| Beam #4 | 705 | 710 | 540 | 704 | 552 | 204 |
| Average | 741 | 700 | 549 | 770 | 555 | 203 |
| Standard Deviation | 15.07 | 20 00 | 530 | 741 52.22 | 014 | 204 |
| | 2.25 | 5.09 | 0.02 | 32.33 | 12.05 | 0.71 |
| | 2.25 | 5.27 | 9.03 | 7.00 | 13.95 | 0.27 |
| Age at testing (28 days) | 00.4 | 000 | 070 | 1070 | 000 | 507 |
| Beam#5 | 984 | 838 | 679 | 1070 | 890 | 567 |
| Beam#6 | 792 | 796 | 690 | 1063 | 903 | 629 |
| Average | 888 | 817 | 685 | 1,067 | 897 | 598 |
| Standard Deviation | 135.76 | 29.70 | 1.18 | 4.95 | 9.19 | 43.84 |
| | 15.29 | 3.04 | 1.14 | 0.40 | 1.03 | 7.33 |
| Age at testing (90 days) | | | | | | |
| Beam#7 | 884 | 955 | 883 | 1190 | 936 | 650 |
| Beam#8 | 925 | 968 | 865 | 1238 | 972 | 641 |
| Average | 905 | 962 | 874 | 1,214 | 954 | 646 |
| Standard Deviation | 28.99 | 9.19 | 12.73 | 33.94 | 25.46 | 6.36 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 3.21 | 0.96 | 1.46 | 2.80 | 2.67 | 0.99 |
| ASTM C 157, Length Change of Hardened Cor | crete (air storag | e method) | | | | |
| Percent Length Change 28 days air | | | | | | |
| Beam #1 | -0.0270 | -0.0310 | -0.0330 | -0.0210 | -0.0340 | -0.0260 |
| Beam #2 | -0.0170 | -0.0370 | -0.0330 | -0.0200 | -0.0280 | -0.0270 |
| Average | -0.0220 | -0.0340 | -0.0330 | -0.0205 | -0.0310 | -0.0265 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.0071 | 0.0042 | 0.0000 | 0.0007 | 0.0042 | 0.0007 |
| Coefficient of Variance | -32.14 | -12.48 | 0.00 | -3.45 | -13.69 | -2.67 |
| ASTM C 666, Freeze-Thaw Durability, 3x4x16 b | eams | | | | | |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | | | | |
| Beam#1 | 90.6 | 73.0 | 93.6 | 49.8 | 95.6 | 76.7 |
| Beam #2 | 75.3 | 82.4 | 87.0 | 47.6 | 98.4 | 77.6 |
| Beam#3 | 87.9 | 79.2 | 87.4 | 43.2 | 97.9 | 77.2 |
| Average | 84.6 | 78.2 | 89.3 | 46.9 | 97.3 | 77.2 |
| Standard Deviation | 8.17 | 4.78 | 3.70 | 3.36 | 1.49 | 0.45 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 9.65 | 6.11 | 4.14 | 7.17 | 1.53 | 0.58 |
| ASTM C 1202, Rapid Chloride Permeability | | | | | | |
| Coulombs at 56 days | | | | | | |
| Sample #1 | 571 | 514 | 912 | 320 | 412 | 573 |
| Sample #2 | 455 | 435 | 821 | 221 | 392 | 572 |
| Sample #3 | 362 | 514 | 867 | 317 | 470 | 574 |
| Sample #4 | 502 | 435 | 901 | 311 | 449 | 485 |
| Average | 473 | 475 | 875 | 292 | 431 | 551 |
| Chloride Ion Penetrability | Very Low | Very Low | Very Low | Very Low | Very Low | Very Low |
| Standard Deviation | 87.73 | 45.61 | 40.93 | 47.65 | 35.25 | 44.01 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 18.57 | 9.61 | 4.68 | 16.30 | 8.18 | 7.99 |

| LTRC Lab. No. | C-3479 | C-3485 | C-3501 | C-3534 | C-3543 | C-3547 |
|---|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Mixture ID | 50TI-30G100S-20F | 40TI-30G100S-30F | 30TI-30G100S-40F | 30TI-50G100S-20F | 20TI-50G100S-30F | 10TI-50G100S-40F |
| ASTM C 78, Flexure Strength (psi), 6x6x20 bea | ims | | | | | |
| Age at testing (7 days) | | | | | | |
| Beam #1 | 686 | 557 | 532 | 712 | 671 | 599 |
| Beam #2 | 706 | 622 | 492 | 671 | 695 | 495 |
| Average | 696 | 590 | 512 | 692 | 683 | 547 |
| Standard Deviation | 14.14 | 45.96 | 28.28 | 28.99 | 16.97 | 73.54 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 2.03 | 7.80 | 5.52 | 4.19 | 2.48 | 13.44 |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | | | | |
| Beam #3 | 823 | 728 | 720 | 784 | 776 | 679 |
| Beam #4 | 809 | 789 | 684 | 872 | 864 | 642 |
| Average | 816 | 759 | 702 | 828 | 820 | 661 |
| Standard Deviation | 9.90 | 43.13 | 25.46 | 62.23 | 62.23 | 26.16 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 1.21 | 5.69 | 3.63 | 7.52 | 7.59 | 3.96 |
| Age at testing (28 days) | | | | | | |
| Beam #5 | 969 | 908 | 862 | 1,047 | 763 | 739 |
| Beam#6 | 945 | 849 | 809 | 1,014 | 716 | 701 |
| Average | 957 | 879 | 836 | 1,031 | 740 | 720 |
| Standard Deviation | 16.97 | 41.72 | 37.48 | 23.33 | 33.23 | 26.87 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 1.77 | 4.75 | 4.49 | 2.26 | 4.49 | 3.73 |
| Age at testing (90 days) | | | | | | |
| Beam #7 | 882 | 933 | 968 | 1,107 | 1,130 | 765 |
| Beam #8 | 893 | 823 | 904 | 848 | 1,013 | 814 |
| Average | 888 | 878 | 936 | 978 | 1,072 | 790 |
| Standard Deviation | 7.78 | 77.78 | 45.25 | 183.14 | 82.73 | 34.65 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 0.88 | 8.86 | 4.83 | 18.74 | 7.72 | 4.39 |
| ASTM C 157, Length Change of Hardened Con | crete (air storag | e method) | | | | |
| Percent Length Change 28 days air | | | | | | |
| Beam #1 | -0.0260 | -0.0215 | -0.0170 | -0.0070 | -0.0060 | -0.0080 |
| Beam #2 | -0.0220 | -0.0200 | -0.0155 | -0.0050 | -0.0065 | -0.0080 |
| Average | -0.0240 | -0.0208 | -0.0163 | -0.0060 | -0.0063 | -0.0080 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.0028 | 0.0011 | 0.0011 | 0.0014 | 0.0004 | 0.0000 |
| Coefficient of Variance | -11.79 | -5.11 | -6.53 | -23.57 | -5.66 | 0.00 |
| ASTM C 666, Freeze-Thaw Durability, 3x4x16 b | eams | | | | | |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | | | | |
| Beam #1 | 84.4 | 90.8 | 54.2 | 61.7 | 32.0 | 39.6 |
| Beam #2 | 81.9 | 87.8 | 52.6 | 54.8 | 22.2 | |
| Beam #3 | 91.2 | 89.9 | 47.2 | | | |
| Average | 85.8 | 89.5 | 51.3 | 58.3 | 27.1 | |
| Standard Deviation | 4.81 | 1.54 | 3.67 | 4.88 | 6.93 | |
| Coefficient of Variance | 5.61 | 1.72 | 7.15 | 8.38 | 25.57 | |
| ASTM C 1202, Rapid Chloride Permeability | | | | | | |
| Coulombs at 56 days | | | | | | |
| Sample #1 | 474 | 389 | 348 | 350 | 147 | 141 |
| Sample #2 | 494 | 310 | 355 | 338 | 233 | 128 |
| Sample #3 | 274 | 357 | 293 | 416 | 234 | 141 |
| Sample #4 | 316 | 336 | 284 | 289 | 205 | 154 |
| Average | 390 | 348 | 320 | 348 | 205 | 141 |
| Chloride Ion Penetrability | Very Low | Very Low | Very Low | Very Low | Very Low | Very Low |
| Standard Deviation | 110.76 | 33.42 | 36.67 | 52.31 | 40.78 | 10.61 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 28.44 | 9.60 | 11.46 | 15.02 | 19.92 | 7.53 |

| LTRC Lab. No. | C-3555 | C-3565 | C-3578 | C-3580 | C-3614 | C-3619 |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Mixture ID | 50TI-30G120S-200 | 40TI-30G120S-30C | 30TI-30G120S-40C | 30TI-50G120S-200 | 20TI-50G120S-30C | 10TI-50G120S-400 |
| Date Made | 7/27/2010 | 8/4/2010 | 8/18/2010 | 8/25/2010 | 9/30/2010 | 10/6/2010 |
| Type I Portland Cement (%) | 50 | 40 | 30 | 30 | 20 | 10 |
| Grade 100 Slag (%) | | | | | | |
| Grade 120 Slag (%) | 30 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Class C Fly Ash (%) | 20 | 30 | 40 | 20 | 30 | 40 |
| Class F Fly Ash (%) | | | | | | |
| Water Reducer (ZYLA 620 oz/100ct) | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Air Entrainment (Daravair 1000 oz/100ct) | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Fresh Concrete Tests | | | | | | |
| Slump (inches) | 1.00 | 1.50 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 |
| Air Content (%) | 3.20 | 3.30 | 3.40 | 3.60 | 3.50 | 2.90 |
| Unit Weight (Ibs/ft³) | 149.20 | 148.80 | 149.20 | 148.40 | 146.80 | 148.80 |
| Initial Set Time (hrs:mins) | 5:28 | 7:58 | 8:36 | 7:02 | 9:35 | 8:33 |
| Final Set Time (hrs:mins) | 7:24 | 10:20 | 12:16 | 9:46 | 12:47 | 11:49 |
| ASTM C 39, Compressive Strength (psi), 4x8 | cyls. | | | | | |
| Age at testing (7 days) | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #1 | 5664 | 4248 | 4601 | 5716 | 3752 | 74 |
| Cylinder #2 | 5453 | 4571 | 5009 | 5512 | 3570 | 117 |
| Cylinder #3 | 5644 | 4488 | 5091 | 6043 | 3831 | 121 |
| Average | 5587 | 4436 | 4900 | 5757 | 3718 | 104 |
| Standard Deviation | 116.48 | 167.74 | 262.45 | 267.86 | 133.84 | 26.06 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 2.08 | 3.78 | 5.36 | 4.65 | 3.60 | 25.06 |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #4 | 7176 | 6208 | 6513 | 5915 | 5618 | 524 |
| Cylinder #5 | 7196 | 5234 | 7019 | 7110 | 5573 | 546 |
| Cylinder #6 | 7425 | 6218 | 6563 | 6082 | 5611 | 539 |
| Average | 7266 | 5887 | 6698 | 6369 | 5601 | 536 |
| Standard Deviation | 138.35 | 565.25 | 278.83 | 647.13 | 24.21 | 11.24 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 1.90 | 9.60 | 4.16 | 10.16 | 0.43 | 2.10 |
| Age at testing (28 days) | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #7 | 8415 | 7330 | 8092 | 7515 | 6799 | 2664 |
| Cylinder #8 | 8863 | 7498 | 7973 | 7398 | 6932 | 2576 |
| Cylinder #9 | 8468 | 7089 | 7729 | 8149 | 6608 | 2712 |
| Average | 8582 | 7306 | 7931 | 7687 | 6780 | 2651 |
| Standard Deviation | 244.79 | 205.58 | 185.05 | 404.07 | 162.86 | 68.97 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 2.85 | 2.81 | 2.33 | 5.26 | 2.40 | 2.60 |
| Age at testing (56 days) | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #10 | 9064 | 8325 | 8359 | 8407 | 6858 | 4295 |
| Cylinder #11 | 9603 | 8042 | 8268 | 8014 | 7555 | 4590 |
| Cylinder #12 | 8973 | 8384 | 8369 | 7541 | 7673 | 4281 |
| Average | 9213 | 8250 | 8332 | 7987 | 7362 | 4389 |
| Standard Deviation | 340.51 | 182.82 | 55.65 | 433.62 | 440.45 | 174.50 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 3.70 | 2.22 | 0.67 | 5.43 | 5.98 | 3.98 |
| Age at testing (90 days) | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #13 | 9742 | 8003 | 8837 | 8673 | 8539 | 5194 |
| Cylinder #14 | 9233 | 8327 | 8985 | 8759 | 8682 | 5206 |
| Cylinder #15 | 9606 | 8011 | 9548 | 7738 | 8009 | 4989 |
| Average | 9527 | 8114 | 9123 | 8390 | 8410 | 5130 |
| Standard Deviation | 263.54 | 184.80 | 375.14 | 566.28 | 354.56 | 121.97 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 2.77 | 2.28 | 4.11 | 6.75 | 4.22 | 2.38 |

| LTRC Lab. No. | C-3555 | C-3565 | C-3578 | C-3580 | C-3614 | C-3619 |
|--|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Mixture ID | 50TI-30G120S-200 | 40TI-30G120S-300 | 30TI-30G120S-400 | 30TI-50G120S-200 | 20TI-50G120S-30C | 10TI-50G120S-40C |
| ASTM C 469, Static Modulus of Elasticity and | Poisson's Ratio o | f Concrete in Co | mpression (4x8 c | vlinders) | | |
| Age at testing (7 days) | | | | | | |
| Modulus | | | | | | |
| Cvlinder #2 | 4.450.000 | 4.450.000 | 4.300.000 | 4,700,000 | 4.150.000 | n/a |
| Cvlinder #3 | 4.500.000 | 4.600.000 | 4.450.000 | 4.600.000 | 4,250,000 | n/a |
| Average | 4,475,000 | 4,525,000 | 4,375,000 | 4,650,000 | 4,200,000 | |
| Standard Deviation | 35355.34 | 106066.02 | 106066.02 | 70710.68 | 70710.68 | |
| Coefficient of Variance | 0.79 | 2.34 | 2.42 | 1.52 | 1.68 | |
| Poisson's Ratio | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #2 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.18 | n/a |
| Cylinder #3 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.18 | n/a |
| Average | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.18 | |
| Standard Deviation | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | |
| Coefficient of Variance | 3.63 | 7.44 | 15.71 | 6.43 | 0.00 | |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | | | | |
| Modulus | | | | | | |
| Ovlinder #5 | 4 850 000 | 4 200 000 | 4 750 000 | 5 200 000 | 4 500 000 | 2 200 000 |
| Cylinder #6 | 5,000,000 | 4,200,000 | 4,550,000 | 4 950 000 | 4 350 000 | 2,200,000 |
| Average | 4 925 000 | 4 500 000 | 4 650 000 | 5 075 000 | 4 425 000 | 2,150,000 |
| Standard Deviation | 106066 02 | 424264 07 | 141421 36 | 176776 70 | 106066 02 | 70710 68 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 2 15 | 9.43 | 3.04 | 3.48 | 2 40 | 3 29 |
| Poisson's Ratio | 2.10 | 0.40 | 0.04 | 0.40 | 2.40 | 0.20 |
| | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.16 |
| Ovlinder #6 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.10 |
| | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.20 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.13 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 3 14 | 0.00 | 3.45 | 0.02 | 15.04 | 15 71 |
| Age at testing (28 days) | 3.14 | 0.00 | 3.40 | 3.03 | 10.04 | 10.71 |
| Age at testing (20 days) | | | | | | |
| Ovlinder #9 | E 150.000 | F 200 000 | F 400 000 | E 600.000 | 4 050 000 | 4 150 000 |
| Cylinder #0 | 5,100,000 | 4 850 000 | 5,400,000 | 5,550,000 | 4,950,000 | 4,130,000 |
| | 5,400,000 | 4,030,000 | 5,000,000 | 5,530,000 | 4,850,000 | 4,400,000 |
| Standard Deviation | 176776 70 | 318198.05 | 282842 71 | 35355 34 | 70710 68 | 4,273,000 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 3 35 | 6 27 | 5 44 | 0.63 | 1 44 | A 14 |
| Poisson's Ratio | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.11 | 0.00 | | |
| Ovlinder #8 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.16 |
| Cylinder #0 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.10 |
| | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.12 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 18.45 | 0.00 | 3 14 | 2.80 | 3 14 | 20.20 |
| Age at testing (90 days) | 10.40 | 0.00 | 3.14 | 2.03 | 3.74 | 20.20 |
| Age at testing (50 days) | | | | | | |
| Ovlinder #14 | 5 550 000 | 5 550 000 | 5 850 000 | 5 900 000 | 5 650 000 | 5 250 000 |
| Ovlinder #15 | 5,350,000 | 5,550,000 | 5,050,000 | 5,300,000 | 5,600,000 | 5,230,000 |
| | 5,750,000 | 5,750,000 | 5,750,000 | 5,700,000 | 5,000,000 | 5,400,000 |
| Standard Deviation | 141421 36 | 141421 36 | 70710.68 | 141421 36 | 35355 34 | 106066 02 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 2 50 | 2 50 | 1 22 | 2 44 | 0.63 | 1 00 |
| Boisson's Patio | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.22 | 2.77 | 0.05 | 1.55 |
| Ovlinder #14 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.20 |
| Oylinder #15 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.20 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.23 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 5.44 | 3.14 | 2.89 | 0.00 | 2.89 | 15.71 |

| LTRC Lab. No. | C-3555 | C-3565 | C-3578 | C-3580 | C-3614 | C-3619 |
|---|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Mixture ID | 50TI-30G120S-20C | 40TI-30G120S-30C | 30TI-30G120S-40C | 30TI-50G120S-200 | 20TI-50G120S-30C | 10TI-50G120S-40C |
| ASTM C 78, Flexure Strength (psi), 6x6x20 bea | ms | | | | | |
| Age at testing (7 days) | | | | | | |
| Beam#1 | 737 | 912 | 704 | 808 | 586 | 52 |
| Beam #2 | 726 | 804 | 798 | 937 | 669 | 55 |
| Average | 732 | 858 | 751 | 873 | 628 | 54 |
| Standard Deviation | 7.78 | 76.37 | 66.47 | 91.22 | 58.69 | 2.12 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 1.06 | 8.90 | 8.85 | 10.45 | 9.35 | 3.97 |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | | | | |
| Beam #3 | 773 | 792 | 793 | 1,201 | 941 | 245 |
| Beam #4 | 1,031 | 829 | 841 | 1,052 | 778 | 245 |
| Average | 902 | 811 | 817 | 1,127 | 860 | 245 |
| Standard Deviation | 182.43 | 26.16 | 33.94 | 105.36 | 115.26 | 0.00 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 20.23 | 3.23 | 4.15 | 9.35 | 13.41 | 0.00 |
| Age at testing (28 days) | | | | | | |
| Beam #5 | 1,163 | 1,052 | 973 | 1,447 | 999 | 557 |
| Beam#6 | 1,014 | 911 | 914 | 1,053 | 706 | 499 |
| Average | 1,089 | 982 | 944 | 1,250 | 853 | 528 |
| Standard Deviation | 105.36 | 99.70 | 41.72 | 278.60 | 207.18 | 41.01 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 9.68 | 10.16 | 4.42 | 22.29 | 24.30 | 7.77 |
| Age at testing (90 days) | | | | | | |
| Beam #7 | 1,020 | 932 | 891 | 1,125 | 1,238 | 662 |
| Beam #8 | 1,002 | 1,013 | 1,068 | 1,192 | 1,064 | 667 |
| Average | 1,011 | 973 | 980 | 1,159 | 1,151 | 665 |
| Standard Deviation | 12.73 | 57.28 | 125.16 | 47.38 | 123.04 | 3.54 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 1.26 | 5.89 | 12.78 | 4.09 | 10.69 | 0.53 |
| ASTM C 157, Length Change of Hardened Con | crete (air storag | e method) | | | | |
| Percent Length Change 28 days air | | | | | | |
| Beam#1 | -0.0310 | -0.0230 | -0.0330 | -0.0220 | -0.0100 | -0.0200 |
| Beam #2 | -0.0340 | -0.0100 | -0.0300 | -0.0220 | -0.0110 | -0.0160 |
| Average | -0.0325 | -0.0165 | -0.0315 | -0.0220 | -0.0105 | -0.0180 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.0021 | 0.0092 | 0.0021 | 0.0000 | 0.0007 | 0.0028 |
| Coefficient of Variance | -6.53 | -55.71 | -6.73 | 0.00 | -6.73 | -15.71 |
| ASTM C 666, Freeze-Thaw Durability, 3x4x16 b | eams | | | | | |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | | | | |
| Beam#1 | 76.7 | 93.3 | 87.7 | 85.6 | 83.5 | 76.4 |
| Beam #2 | 83.0 | 88.1 | 87.7 | 84.1 | 76.9 | 82.1 |
| Beam #3 | 75.5 | 84.9 | 89.7 | 87.2 | 80.2 | |
| Average | 78.4 | 88.8 | 88.4 | 85.6 | 80.2 | 79.3 |
| Standard Deviation | 4.03 | 4.24 | 1.15 | 1.55 | 3.30 | 4.03 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 5.14 | 4.78 | 1.31 | 1.81 | 4.11 | 5.09 |
| ASTM C 1202, Rapid Chloride Permeability | | | | | | |
| Coulombs at 56 days | | | | | | |
| Sample #1 | 738 | 479 | 371 | 329 | 384 | 736 |
| Sample #2 | 684 | 552 | 428 | 340 | 336 | 709 |
| Sample #3 | 851 | 427 | 388 | 381 | 396 | 723 |
| Sample #4 | 788 | 345 | 418 | 373 | 378 | 737 |
| Average | 765 | 451 | 401 | 356 | 374 | 726 |
| Chloride Ion Penetrability | Very Low | Very Low | Very Low | Very Low | Very Low | Very Low |
| Standard Deviation | 71.22 | 87.17 | 26.37 | 25.16 | 26.10 | 13.15 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 9.31 | 19.34 | 6.57 | 7.07 | 6.99 | 1.81 |

| LTRC Lab. No. | C-3627 | C-3668 | C-3671 | C-3694 | C-3726 | C-3729 |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Mixture ID | 50TI-30G120S-20F | 40TI-30G120S-30F | 30TI-30G120S-40F | 30TI-50G120S-20F | 20TI-50G120S-30F | 10TI-50G120S-40F |
| Date Made | 10/26/2010 | 11/30/2010 | 12/9/2011 | 1/12/2011 | 2/16/2011 | 2/21/2011 |
| Type I Portland Cement (%) | 50 | 40 | 30 | 30 | 20 | 10 |
| Grade 100 Slag (%) | | | | | | |
| Grade 120 Slag (%) | 30 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Class C Fly Ash (%) | | | | | | |
| Class F Fly Ash (%) | 20 | 30 | 40 | 20 | 30 | 40 |
| Water Reducer (ZYLA 620 oz/100ct) | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Air Entrainment (Daravair 1000 oz/100ct) | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Fresh Concrete Tests | | | | | | |
| Slump (inches) | 2.50 | 1.50 | 3.25 | 1.50 | 0.50 | 7.50 |
| Air Content (%) | 3.60 | 2.90 | 4.00 | 3.70 | 4.40 | 3.40 |
| Unit Weight (Ibs/ft³) | 147.80 | 147.60 | 146.60 | 147.80 | 145.60 | 145.60 |
| Initial Set Time (hrs:mins) | 6:06 | 6:15 | 8:12 | 8:02 | 8:16 | 15:25 |
| Final Set Time (hrs:mins) | 8:13 | 8:59 | 11:17 | 11:23 | 13:49 | 30:27 |
| ASTM C 39, Compressive Strength (psi), 4x8 | cyls. | | | | | |
| Age at testing (7 days) | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #1 | 4867 | 3986 | 2882 | 4114 | 2713 | 2285 |
| Cylinder #2 | 5118 | 3981 | 3060 | 4025 | 2806 | 2133 |
| Cylinder #3 | 4405 | 3959 | 2760 | 3962 | 2830 | 2338 |
| Average | 4797 | 3975 | 2901 | 4034 | 2783 | 2252 |
| Standard Deviation | 361.67 | 14.36 | 150.87 | 76.37 | 61.80 | 106.41 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 7.54 | 0.36 | 5.20 | 1.89 | 2.22 | 4.73 |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #4 | 5753 | 5286 | 4170 | 5500 | 3935 | 2835 |
| Cylinder #5 | 6001 | 5299 | 4089 | 5270 | 4020 | 2835 |
| Cylinder #6 | 5287 | 5622 | 3908 | 6262 | 4036 | 2855 |
| Average | 5680 | 5402 | 4056 | 5677 | 3997 | 2842 |
| Standard Deviation | 362.50 | 190.35 | 134.14 | 519.23 | 54.29 | 11.55 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 6.38 | 3.52 | 3.31 | 9.15 | 1.36 | 0.41 |
| Age at testing (28 days) | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #7 | 6471 | 6270 | 4779 | 6181 | 4595 | 3589 |
| Cylinder #8 | 6964 | 5414 | 4589 | 6107 | 5005 | 3569 |
| Cylinder #9 | 7062 | 6343 | 5126 | 6653 | 4878 | 3454 |
| Average | 6832 | 6009 | 4831 | 6314 | 4826 | 3537 |
| Standard Deviation | 316.74 | 516.58 | 272.30 | 296.19 | 209.89 | 72.86 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 4.64 | 8.60 | 5.64 | 4.69 | 4.35 | 2.06 |
| Age at testing (56 days) | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #10 | 6963 | 6704 | 5476 | 7153 | 5082 | 3733 |
| Cylinder #11 | 7249 | 6830 | 5242 | 7478 | 5418 | 3659 |
| Cylinder #12 | 7159 | 7112 | 5482 | 7538 | 5110 | 3849 |
| Average | 7124 | 6882 | 5400 | 7390 | 5203 | 3747 |
| Standard Deviation | 146.24 | 208.91 | 136.86 | 207.14 | 186.43 | 95.77 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 2.05 | 3.04 | 2.53 | 2.80 | 3.58 | 2.56 |
| Age at testing (90 days) | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #13 | 7189 | 7119 | 5931 | 6752 | 5021 | 4035 |
| Cylinder #14 | 7285 | 7147 | 6183 | 7355 | 4953 | 3910 |
| Cylinder #15 | 7321 | 7115 | 6071 | 7753 | 5723 | 4156 |
| Average | 7265 | 7127 | 6062 | 7287 | 5232 | 4034 |
| Standard Deviation | 68.23 | 17.44 | 126.26 | 503.99 | 426.29 | 123.01 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 0.94 | 0.24 | 2.08 | 6.92 | 8.15 | 3.05 |

| LTRC Lab. No. | C-3627 | C-3668 | C-3671 | C-3694 | C-3726 | C-3729 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Mixture ID | 50TI-30G120S-20F | 40TI-30G120S-30F | 30TI-30G120S-40F | 30TI-50G120S-20F | 30TI-50G120S-20F20TI-50G120S-30F1 | |
| ASTM C 469, Static Modulus of Elasticity and F | Poisson's Ratio o | f Concrete in Cor | npression (4x8 c | ylinders) | | |
| Age at testing (7 days) | | | | | | |
| Modulus | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #2 | 4,040,000 | 4,750,000 | 4,600,000 | 4,550,000 | 4,450,000 | 3,500,000 |
| Cylinder #3 | 4,740,000 | 4,400,000 | 4,300,000 | 4,550,000 | 4,150,000 | 3,700,000 |
| Average | 4,390,000 | 4,575,000 | 4,450,000 | 4,550,000 | 4,300,000 | 3,600,000 |
| Standard Deviation | 494974.75 | 247487.37 | 212132.03 | 0.00 | 212132.03 | 141421.36 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 11.28 | 5.41 | 4.77 | 0.00 | 4.93 | 3.93 |
| Poisson's Ratio | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #2 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.20 |
| Cylinder #3 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.25 |
| Average | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.23 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 3.82 | 10.35 | 3.45 | 11.47 | 3.29 | 15.71 |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | | | | |
| Modulus | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #5 | 5,050,000 | 4,850,000 | 4,700,000 | 5,000,000 | 4,550,000 | 4,150,000 |
| Cylinder #6 | 4,850,000 | 4,950,000 | 4,100,000 | 4,850,000 | 4,900,000 | 4,350,000 |
| Average | 4,950,000 | 4,900,000 | 4,400,000 | 4,925,000 | 4,725,000 | 4,250,000 |
| Standard Deviation | 141421.36 | 70710.68 | 424264.07 | 106066.02 | 247487.37 | 141421.36 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 2.86 | 1.44 | 9.64 | 2.15 | 5.24 | 3.33 |
| Poisson's Ratio | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #5 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.22 |
| Cylinder #6 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.25 |
| Average | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.24 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 0.00 | 3.45 | 6.73 | 5.66 | 0.00 | 9.03 |
| Age at testing (28 days) | | | | | | |
| Modulus | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #8 | 5,300,000 | 5,150,000 | 4,750,000 | 5,100,000 | 4,900,000 | 4,250,000 |
| Cylinder #9 | 5,200,000 | 5,500,000 | 4,850,000 | 5,150,000 | 4,750,000 | 4,350,000 |
| Average | 5,250,000 | 5,325,000 | 4,800,000 | 5,125,000 | 4,825,000 | 4,300,000 |
| Standard Deviation | 70710.68 | 247487.37 | 70710.68 | 35355.34 | 106066.02 | 70710.68 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 1.35 | 4.65 | 1.47 | 0.69 | 2.20 | 1.64 |
| Poisson's Ratio | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #8 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.24 |
| Cylinder #9 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.20 |
| Average | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.22 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 6.15 | 2.89 | 3.14 | 0.00 | 9.43 | 12.86 |
| Age at testing (90 days) | | | | | | |
| Modulus | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #14 | 5,800,000 | 5,800,000 | 5,600,000 | 5,550,000 | 4,750,000 | 4,700,000 |
| Cylinder #15 | 5,950,000 | 6,050,000 | 5,650,000 | 5,800,000 | 4,850,000 | 4,850,000 |
| Average | 5,875,000 | 5,925,000 | 5,625,000 | 5,675,000 | 4,800,000 | 4,775,000 |
| Standard Deviation | 106066.02 | 176776.70 | 35355.34 | 176776.70 | 70710.68 | 106066.02 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 1.81 | 2.98 | 0.63 | 3.12 | 1.47 | 2.22 |
| Poisson's Ratio | | | | | | |
| Cylinder #14 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| Cylinder #15 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.26 |
| Average | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.24 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 3.89 | 6.73 | 0.00 | 3.01 | 3.45 | 15.04 |

| LTRC Lab. No. | C-3627 | C-3668 | C-3671 | C-3694 | C-3726 | C-3729 |
|---|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Mixture ID | 50TI-30G120S-20F | 40TI-30G120S-30F | 30TI-30G120S-40F | 30TI-50G120S-20F | 20TI-50G120S-30F | 10TI-50G120S-40F |
| ASTM C 78, Flexure Strength (psi), 6x6x20 bea | ms | | | | | |
| Age at testing (7 days) | | | | | | |
| Beam #1 | 740 | 711 | 588 | 774 | 556 | 454 |
| Beam #2 | 694 | 760 | 567 | 600 | 665 | 499 |
| Average | 717 | 736 | 578 | 687 | 611 | 477 |
| Standard Deviation | 32.53 | 34.65 | 14.85 | 123.04 | 77.07 | 31.82 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 4.54 | 4.71 | 2.57 | 17.91 | 12.62 | 6.68 |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | | | | |
| Beam #3 | 868 | 912 | 801 | 807 | 767 | 583 |
| Beam #4 | 941 | 775 | 708 | 910 | 747 | 533 |
| Average | 905 | 844 | 755 | 859 | 757 | 558 |
| Standard Deviation | 51.62 | 96.87 | 65.76 | 72.83 | 14.14 | 35.36 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 5.71 | 11.48 | 8.72 | 8.48 | 1.87 | 6.34 |
| Age at testing (28 days) | | | | | | |
| Beam #5 | 1,058 | 938 | 745 | 1,084 | 873 | 521 |
| Beam #6 | 972 | 890 | 920 | 954 | 813 | 655 |
| Average | 1,015 | 914 | 833 | 1,019 | 843 | 588 |
| Standard Deviation | 60.81 | 33.94 | 123.74 | 91.92 | 42.43 | 94.75 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 5.99 | 3.71 | 14.86 | 9.02 | 5.03 | 16.11 |
| Age at testing (90 days) | | | | | | |
| Beam #7 | 1,053 | 1,152 | 1,027 | 863 | 823 | 630 |
| Beam #8 | 1,069 | 1,067 | 916 | 946 | 912 | 718 |
| Average | 1,061 | 1,110 | 972 | 905 | 868 | 674 |
| Standard Deviation | 11.31 | 60.10 | 78.49 | 58.69 | 62.93 | 62.23 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 1.07 | 5.42 | 8.08 | 6.49 | 7.25 | 9.23 |
| ASTM C 157, Length Change of Hardened Con | crete (air storag | e method) | | | | |
| Percent Length Change 28 days air | | | | | | |
| Beam #1 | -0.0170 | -0.0100 | -0.0120 | -0.0110 | -0.0120 | -0.0190 |
| Beam #2 | -0.0240 | -0.0180 | -0.0130 | -0.0095 | -0.0140 | -0.0190 |
| Average | -0.0205 | -0.0140 | -0.0125 | -0.0103 | -0.0130 | -0.0190 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.0049 | 0.0057 | 0.0007 | 0.0011 | 0.0014 | 0.0000 |
| Coefficient of Variance | -24.15 | -40.41 | -5.66 | -10.35 | -10.88 | 0.00 |
| ASTM C 666, Freeze-Thaw Durability, 3x4x16 b | eams | | | | | |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | | | | |
| Beam#1 | 83.4 | 79.0 | 79.5 | 44.8 | 54.2 | 28.8 |
| Beam #2 | 86.9 | 73.3 | 82.4 | 57.6 | 57.4 | 28.8 |
| Beam#3 | 87.5 | | 87.5 | 57.4 | 51.4 | 28.4 |
| Average | 85.9 | 76.2 | 83 | 53 | 54 | 29 |
| Standard Deviation | 2.21 | 4.03 | 4.05 | 7.33 | 3.00 | 0.23 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 2.58 | 5.29 | 4.87 | 13.77 | 5.53 | 0.81 |
| ASTM C 1202, Rapid Chloride Permeability | | | | | | |
| Coulombs at 56 days | | | | | | |
| Sample #1 | 620 | 502 | 328 | 361 | 274 | 217 |
| Sample #2 | 602 | 533 | 448 | 400 | 251 | 236 |
| Sample #3 | 548 | 490 | 477 | 435 | 239 | 226 |
| Sample #4 | 666 | 605 | 559 | 357 | 248 | 263 |
| Average | 609 | 533 | 453 | 388 | 253 | 236 |
| Chioride Ion Penetrability | Very Low | Very Low | Very Low | Very Low | Very Low | Very Low |
| Standard Deviation | 48.79 | 51.62 | 95.68 | 36.71 | 14.90 | 19.91 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 8.01 | 9.69 | 21.12 | 9.46 | 5.89 | 8.45 |

| LTRC Lab. No. | C-3750 | C-3764 | C-3785 |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Mixture ID | 60TI-20C-20F | 40TI-30C-30F | 20TI-40C-40F |
| Date Made | 3/1/2011 | 3/16/2011 | 3/23/2011 |
| Type I Portland Cement (%) | 60 | 40 | 20 |
| Class C Fly Ash (%) | 20 | 30 | 40 |
| Class F Fly Ash (%) | 20 | 30 | 40 |
| Water Reducer (ZYLA 620 oz/100ct) | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Air Entrainment (Daravair 1000 oz/100ct) | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Fresh Concrete Tests | | | |
| Slump (inches) | 5.50 | 6.00 | 8.50 |
| Air Content (%) | 5.10 | 5.40 | 4.20 |
| Unit Weight (Ibs/ft³) | 144.40 | 143.20 | 144.00 |
| Initial Set Time (hrs:mins) | 9:31 | 11:35 | 13:25 |
| Final Set Time (hrs:mins) | 11:34 | 15:05 | 37:10 |
| ASTM C 39, Compressive Strength (psi), 4x8 o | cyls. | | |
| Age at testing (7 days) | | | |
| Cylinder #1 | 3813 | 2052 | 676 |
| Cylinder #2 | 4101 | 2119 | 789 |
| Cylinder #3 | 4080 | 2044 | 709 |
| Average | 3998 | 2072 | 725 |
| Standard Deviation | 160.56 | 41.19 | 58.11 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 4.02 | 1.99 | 8.02 |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | |
| Cylinder #4 | 3889 | 3017 | 1286 |
| Cylinder #5 | 4180 | 2913 | 1145 |
| Cylinder #6 | 4159 | 2934 | 1282 |
| Average | 4076 | 2955 | 1238 |
| Standard Deviation | 162.29 | 54.99 | 80.28 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 3.98 | 1.86 | 6.49 |
| Age at testing (28 days) | | | |
| Cylinder #7 | 5639 | 3766 | 1601 |
| Cylinder #8 | 5720 | 3529 | 1667 |
| Cylinder #9 | 6061 | 3614 | 1739 |
| Average | 5807 | 3636 | 1669 |
| Standard Deviation | 223.95 | 120.07 | 69.02 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 3.86 | 3.30 | 4.14 |
| Age at testing (56 days) | | | |
| Cylinder #10 | 6412 | 4941 | 2229 |
| Cylinder #11 | 6769 | 4895 | 2124 |
| Cylinder #12 | 6711 | 4873 | 2268 |
| Average | 6631 | 4903 | 2207 |
| Standard Deviation | 191.58 | 34.70 | 74.48 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 2.89 | 0.71 | 3.37 |
| Age at testing (90 days) | | | |
| Cylinder #13 | 7042 | 5265 | 2569 |
| Cylinder #14 | 6954 | 5272 | 2844 |
| Cylinder #15 | 7200 | 5194 | 2268 |
| Average | 7065 | 5244 | 2560 |
| Standard Deviation | 124.65 | 43.15 | 288.10 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 1.76 | 0.82 | 11.25 |

| LTRC Lab. No. | C-3750 | C-3764 | C-3785 |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Mixture ID | 60TI-20C-20F | 40TI-30C-30F | 20TI-40C-40F |
| ASTM C 469, Static Modulus of Elasticity and F | oisson's Ratio of | Concrete in Con | npression (4x8 c |
| Age at testing (7 days) | | | |
| Modulus | | | |
| Cylinder #2 | 4,300,000 | 3,600,000 | 2,850,000 |
| Cylinder #3 | 4,300,000 | 3,650,000 | 3,100,000 |
| Average | 4,300,000 | 3,625,000 | 2,975,000 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.00 | 35355.34 | 176776.70 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 0.00 | 0.98 | 5.94 |
| Poisson's Ratio | | | |
| Cylinder #2 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| Cylinder #3 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.24 |
| Average | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.23 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.43 |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | |
| Modulus | | | |
| Cylinder #5 | 4,500,000 | 5,500,000 | 3,350,000 |
| Cylinder #6 | 4,400,000 | 4,000,000 | 3,200,000 |
| Average | 4,450,000 | 4,750,000 | 3,275,000 |
| Standard Deviation | 70710.68 | 1060660.17 | 106066.02 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 1.59 | 22.33 | 3.24 |
| Poisson's Ratio | | | |
| Cylinder #5 | 0.21 | 0.28 | 0.19 |
| Cylinder #6 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.20 |
| Average | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.20 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 3.45 | 20.20 | 3.63 |
| Age at testing (28 days) | | | |
| Modulus | | | |
| Cylinder #8 | 5,000,000 | 4,300,000 | 3,150,000 |
| Cylinder #9 | 5,050,000 | 4,350,000 | 3,250,000 |
| Average | 5,025,000 | 4,325,000 | 3,200,000 |
| Standard Deviation | 35355.34 | 35355.34 | 70710.68 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 0.70 | 0.82 | 2.21 |
| Poisson's Ratio | | | |
| Cylinder #8 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.18 |
| Cylinder #9 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.21 |
| Average | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.20 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 0.00 | 3.29 | 10.88 |
| Age at testing (90 days) | | | |
| Modulus | | | |
| Cylinder #14 | 5,550,000 | 5,050,000 | 4,150,000 |
| Cylinder #15 | 5,700,000 | 4,950,000 | 4,500,000 |
| Average | 5,625,000 | 5,000,000 | 4,325,000 |
| Standard Deviation | 106066.02 | 70710.68 | 247487.37 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 1.89 | 1.41 | 5.72 |
| Poisson's Ratio | | | |
| Cylinder #14 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.17 |
| Cylinder #15 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.21 |
| Average | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.19 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 0.00 | 5.89 | 14.89 |

| LTRC Lab. No. | C-3750 | C-3764 | C-3785 |
|---|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Mixture ID | 60TI-20C-20F | 40TI-30C-30F | 20TI-40C-40F |
| ASTM C 78. Flexure Strength (psi), 6x6x20 bea | ms | | |
| Age at testing (7 days) | | | |
| Beam #1 | 690 | 515 | 274 |
| Beam #2 | 676 | 531 | 272 |
| Average | 683 | 523 | 273 |
| Standard Deviation | 9.90 | 11.31 | 1.41 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 1.45 | 2.16 | 0.52 |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | |
| Beam #3 | 690 | 506 | 328 |
| Beam #4 | 684 | 543 | 340 |
| Average | 687 | 525 | 334 |
| Standard Deviation | 4.24 | 26.16 | 8.49 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 0.62 | 4.99 | 2.54 |
| Age at testing (28 days) | 0.02 | | 2.01 |
| Beam #5 | 769 | 546 | 343 |
| Beam #6 | 717 | 584 | 390 |
| Average | 743 | 565 | 367 |
| Standard Deviation | 36.77 | 26.87 | 33.23 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 4.95 | 4.76 | 9.07 |
| Age at testing (90 days) | | | |
| Beam #7 | 944 | 806 | 556 |
| Beam #8 | 712 | 805 | 513 |
| Average | 828 | 806 | 535 |
| Standard Deviation | 164.05 | 0.71 | 30.41 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 19.81 | 0.09 | 5.69 |
| ASTM C 157, Length Change of Hardened Con | crete (air storag | e method) | |
| Percent Length Change 28 days air | | | |
| Beam #1 | -0.0260 | -0.0230 | -0.0200 |
| Beam #2 | -0.0230 | -0.0260 | -0.0130 |
| Average | -0.0245 | -0.0245 | -0.0165 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.0021 | 0.0021 | 0.0049 |
| Coefficient of Variance | -8.66 | -8.66 | -30.00 |
| ASTM C 666, Freeze-Thaw Durability, 3x4x16 b | eams | | |
| Age at testing (14 days) | | | |
| Beam#1 | 90.4 | 96.7 | 74.7 |
| Beam #2 | 91.5 | 97.2 | 73.7 |
| Beam #3 | | | |
| Average | 91 | 97 | 74 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.78 | 0.35 | 0.71 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 0.86 | 0.36 | 0.95 |
| ASTM C 1202, Rapid Chloride Permeability | | | |
| Coulombs at 28 days | | | |
| Sample #1 | 2497 | 4896 | 7247 |
| Sample #2 | 2338 | 5673 | 8049 |
| Sample #3 | 2297 | 4447 | 8508 |
| Sample #4 | 2566 | 3692 | 9287 |
| Average | 2425 | 4677 | 8273 |
| Chloride Ion Penetrability | Moderate | High | High |
| Standard Deviation | 127.82 | 829.28 | 853.67 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 5.27 | 17.73 | 10.32 |
| Coulombs at 56 days | | | |
| Sample #1 | 4,537 | 2,136 | 917 |
| Sample #2 | 4,124 | 1,826 | 826 |
| Sample #3 | 4,375 | 2,214 | 971 |
| Average | 4345 | 2059 | 905 |
| Chloride Ion Penetrability | High | Moderate | Very Low |
| Standard Deviation | 208.09 | 205.23 | 73.28 |
| Coefficient of Variance | 4.79 | 9.97 | 8.10 |