KENTUCKY

TRANSPORTATION

CENTER

College of Engineering

INSPECTION AND EVALUATION OF A BRIDGE DECK REINFORCED WITH CARBON FIBER REINFORCED POLYMER (CFRP) BARS







Our Mission

We provide services to the transportation community through research, technology transfer and education. We create and participate in partnerships to promote safe and effective transportation systems.

We Value...

Teamwork -- Listening and Communicating, Along with Courtesy and Respect for Others Honesty and Ethical Behavior Delivering the Highest Quality Products and Services Continuous Improvement in All That We Do

For more information or a complete publication list, contact us

KENTUCKY TRANSPORTATION CENTER

176 Raymond Building University of Kentucky Lexington, Kentucky 40506-0281

> (859) 257-4513 (859) 257-1815 (FAX) 1-800-432-0719 www.ktc.uky.edu ktc@engr.uky.edu

The University of Kentucky is an Equal Opportunity Organization

Research Report KTC-06-06/FRT102-00-1F

INSPECTION AND EVALUATION OF A BRIDGE DECK REINFORCED WITH CARBON FIBER REINFORCED POLYMER (CFRP) BARS

by

Choo Ching Chiaw

Research professor, Kentucky Transportation Center Department of Civil Engineering University of Kentucky

and

Issam E. Harik

Professor and Chair of Civil Engineering, and Head of Structures Section, Kentucky Transportation Center

> Kentucky Transportation Center College of Engineering, University of Kentucky

> > in cooperation with

Transportation Cabinet Commonwealth of Kentucky

and

Federal Highway Administration U.S. Department of Transportation

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors who are responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the University of Kentucky, the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet, nor the Federal Highway Administration. This report does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation. Manufacturer or trade names are included for identification purposes only and are not to be considered an endorsement.

March 2006

1. Report No. KTC-06-06/FRT102-00-1F	2. Government Acces	ssion No.	3. Recipient's Catalo	g No.	
4. Title and Subtitle Field Inspection and Evaluation of a Bridge Deck Reinforced with Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) Bars		5. Report Date March 2006			
		6. Performing Organization Code			
7. Author(s): Choo Ching Chiaw and Issam Harik			8. Performing Organization Report No. KTC-06-06/FRT102-00-1F		
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Kentucky Transportation Center			10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)		
College of Engineerin University of Kentuck Lexington, Kentucky		11. Contract or Grant No. FRT 102			
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			13. Type of Report and Period Covered Final		
Kentucky Transportation Cabinet State Office Building Frankfort, Kentucky 40622			14. Sponsoring Agency Code		
15. Supplementary Notes Prepared in cooperation with the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet and the U.S. Department of Transpor- tation, Federal Highway Administration.					
16. Abstract					
Cracking in reinforced concrete decks is inevitable. It leads to the corrosion and eventual deterioration of the deck system. The use of non-corrosive reinforcement is one alternative to steel in reinforced concrete construction					
This report deals with the field evaluation and performance of a concrete bridge deck reinforced with carbon fiber reinforced polymer (CFRP) bars. The bridge is identified as the Elkin Station Road Bridge on route CR1210 over the Two-Mile Creek in Clark County, KY. The CFRP bars were placed in the top and bottom mats of the bridge deck in both the transverse and longitudinal directions. The results of the laboratory tensile tests of the CFRP bars used in the deck are presented in this report. The bridge was opened to traffic in May 2002. Monitoring of crack formation and location, and maximum crack width and length in the deck initiated in June 2002 and continued until September 2005. The cracks in the deck were not measurable since the maximum observed crack width was less than the smallest unit (1/100 inch) on the crack comparator. This indicates that the cracks are well below the maximum allowed crack width of 0.013 inch per AASHTO Standard Specification for exterior exposure.					
17 Kov Wordo		18 Distribution State	ment		
17. Key Words					
Bridge deck, carbon fiber reinforced polymer (CFRP) bars, field inspection and evaluation, crack width		Unlimited with approval of Kentucky Transportation Cabinet			
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. Unclassified	of this page)	21. No. of Pages 18	22. Price	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	ii
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Objective and Scope	2
2.0 THE ELKIN STATION ROAD BRIDGE ON ROUTE CR1210	
OVER THE TWO-MILE CREEK	3
2.1 Bridge Description	3
2.2 Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) Bars	5
3.0 FIELD INSPECTION AND EVALUATION	6
3.1 Bridge Description	6
3.2 Field Inspection and Evaluation	8
3.3 Field Inspection Results	9
4.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	10
REFERENCES	11

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 2.1	Plan view of the Elkin Station Road Bridge on route CR1210 over the Two Mile creek, Clark County, KY.	3
Fig. 2.2	Details of the concrete bridge deck reinforced with CFRP bars.	4
Fig. 2.3	Tensile stress/strain relation of CFRP bars (Hill et al. 2003).	5
Fig. 3.1	Light-weight CFRP bars.	6
Fig. 3.2	Construction of concrete bridge deck reinforced with CFRP bars.	7
Fig. 3.3	Typical crack inspection form.	8
Fig. 3.4	Crack comparator	9

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report details the evaluation and performance of a concrete bridge deck reinforced with carbon fiber reinforced polymer (CFRP) bars. It is well-known that concrete elements such as bridge decks have a tendency to crack. Studies have also shown that cracking may begin as soon as the concrete is cast. Cracking of conventional concrete leads to exposure and corrosion of steel reinforcement, and eventual deterioration in terms of strength and serviceability of the deck system.

In this study, the bridge deck of the Elkin Station Road Bridge on route CR1210 over the Two-Mile Creek in Clark County, KY, was reinforced with CFRP bars. CFRP bars were employed as transverse and longitudinal reinforcement in the top and bottom reinforcing mats. Prior to the field implementation, tensile tests on the CFRP bars were carried out to determine their mechanical properties. The results of the laboratory testing are presented in this report.

The bridge was opened to traffic in May 2002. Monitoring of crack formation and location, and maximum crack width and length in the deck initiated in June 2002 and continued until September 2005. The cracks in the deck were not measurable since the maximum observed crack width was less than the smallest measure of 1/100 inch on the crack comparator. This indicates that the cracks are well below the maximum allowed crack width of 0.013 inch per AASHTO Standard Specification for exterior exposure.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank all of those who contributed to the successful completion of this project: Chris Hill who provided technical and design support of the bridge; and Robert Goodpaster and Michael Davidson, research assistants at the Kentucky Transportation Center, for their dedication and work in tirelessly monitoring the bridge.

The authors would also like to thank the Federal Highway Administration (FRT 102) and the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet for the financial support.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Most concrete girder bridges incorporate cast-in-place concrete elements (e.g. curbs, sidewalks, barriers, decks, etc.) in their design. As is typical of any concrete component, these elements have a tendency to crack. Cracking of load carrying elements such as concrete bridge decks can be caused by a variety of factors.

Cracking of concrete decks on older bridges is a common occurrence. This may be due to increasing demands on the existing structures where the higher loads were not specified or considered in the original design. Additionally, repetition of these increased loads not only causes fatigue, but also increases the frequency of cracking. Cracking of concrete bridge decks leads to deterioration; typically in terms of strength and eventual loss of serviceability (Allen 1991). When loss of serviceability occurs, the superstructure generally requires replacement. Hence, it is not inconceivable that billions of dollars have been spent nationwide replacing concrete bridge decks deteriorated by the effects of cracking.

It is acceptable that when bridge decks become damaged, deteriorated, or approach the intended design or service life, replacement or repair is warranted. However, studies have shown that concrete bridge decks may be cracking and deteriorating shortly after the concrete is cast. The study of the cause and control of cracking in concrete bridge decks is a subject that continues to gather enormous interest as researchers and scientists are in search of ways to minimize this deficiency. Issa (1999) and others (Kochanski et al. 1990; Krauss and Rogalla 1996; Carden and Ramey 1999; Schmitt and Darwin 1999; and Saadeghvaziri and Hadidi 2002) have concluded that cracking in concrete bridge decks during the early phases of a given service life may be attributed to a combination of factors such as high evaporation rate; high magnitude of shrinkage; the use of high slump concrete; excessive water in the concrete during mixing or placement; insufficient top reinforcement cover; insufficient vibration of the concrete; inadequate reinforcing details at joints; and weight and deflection of the forms. Researchers have also concluded that early cracking in concrete bridge decks can accelerate deterioration of the struc-

tures, thereby increasing the maintenance cost, and potentially, shortening the service life of the affected structural system.

Current AASHTO (2002) design practice for concrete bridge decks requires considerations for crack control (AASHTO Section 8.16.8.4) and shrinkage and temperature reinforcement (AASHTO Section 8.20). However, cracking of concrete decks may occur due to the volume change of a restrained mass of concrete, and furthermore, construction techniques that inevitably lead to cracking are currently unavoidable (Saadeghvaziri and Hadidi 2002). One might suggest that providing an adequate depth of concrete cover can minimize or *eliminate* concrete cracking. Adequate concrete cover for steel reinforcement prevents underlying steel bars from being exposed to atmospheric conditions, and is widely thought to be a means to prevent the onset of corrosion or deterioration. However, one study (Meyers 1982) has shown that even concrete decks with covers of 76 mm (3 in) or more seem to be more susceptible to cracking.

Ultimately, the use of non-corrosive materials in concrete construction may be the only alternative to overcoming concrete cracking and any accompanying corrosion problems; which in combination, lead to the deterioration of concrete bridge decks. Alternative forms of reinforcement that are non-corrosive, and possess comparable strength and stiffness [such as micro-composite multistructural formable steel (MMFX), stainless steel (SS), stainless steel clad (SSC), fiber reinforced polymer (FRP) composites, etc.], have emerged as possible substitutes for steel in certain applications. The use of alternative reinforcement in concrete bridge decks thus far is proved a success (Yost and Schmeckpeper 2001; Harik et al. 2004).

1.2 Objective and Scope

The research presented in this study evaluates the performance of concrete bridge deck panels reinforced with carbon fiber reinforced polymer (CFRP) bars. Of particular interest is the crack formation in the concrete bridge decks. The task is ongoing and is being carried out by: (1) identifying the location of crack formation under loaded and unloaded conditions, (2) measuring the length and width of cracks in concrete bridge decks, (3) comparing the cracks to the limits set forth by AASHTO specification (i.e. 0.013 in for exterior exposure).

2.0 THE CR1210 BRIDGE OVER TWO MILE CREEK

2.1 Bridge Description

The subject of this study is located on Elkin Station Road on route CR1210 over the Two Mile creek in Clark County, KY. The two-traffic lane concrete bridge is 31 ft (9.5 m) wide and 61 ft (18.6 m) long as depicted in Fig. 2.1. The bridge is designed for HS25 truck loads.



Fig. 2.1 – Plan view of the Elkin Station Road Bridge on route CR1210 over the Two Mile creek, Clark County, KY.

The bridge deck is cast-in-place concrete supported by four precast-prestressed concrete box girders (Fig. 2.2). The main (primary) reinforcements are of No. 3 carbon fiber reinforced polymer (CFRP) bars spaced 6 inches (150 mm) center-to-center at the top and bottom of the concrete deck. The No. 3 CFRP temperature and shrinkage (in the bridge directon) bars at the top of the concrete deck are spaced 12 inches (300 mm) center-to-center, and No. 3 CFRP temperature and shrinkage bars at the bottom of the concrete deck are placed between the box girders and are spaced 8 inches (200 mm) center-to-center.



Fig. 2.2 – Details of the concrete bridge deck reinforced with CFRP bars.

2.2 Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) Bars

Before deploying the CFRP bars, the tests to determine mechanical properties such as tensile strength and stiffness of the bars were conducted. Details of the experiments can be found in Hill et al. (2003). Fig. 2.3 summaries the tensile stress/strain relation of the CFRP bars.



Axial Strain, ε (in/in)

Fig. 2.3 – Tensile stress/strain relation of CFRP bars (Hill et al. 2003).

The ultimate tensile strength of the CFRP bars [$f_u = 270$ ksi (1,875 MPa)] is many times greater than the conventional grade-60 steel [$f_u = 80$ ksi (550 MPa)]. The tensile modulus of elasticity of this particular type of CFRP bar [$E_f = 18,300$ ksi (125 GPa)] is approximately 65% that of steel [$E_s = 29,000$ ksi (200 GPa)].

In addition to bar testing, slab panels reinforced with CFRP bars were also tested experimentally. It has been shown both analytically and experimentally that the moment capacities of slab panels reinforced with CFRP bars well exceed the AASHTO factored design moment. Details on the experiments and results can be found in Hill et al. (2003).

3.0 FIELD INSPECTION AND EVALUATION

3.1 Bridge Deck Construction

The construction of concrete bridge decks reinforced with CFRP bars follows the same procedure used in concrete bridge decks reinforced with conventional steel. However, the handling of CFRP bars in the field required fewer workers because of the light-weight nature of the material (Fig. 3.1). Fig. 3.2 shows the CFRP bars for the bridge deck before the placement of concrete. It should be noted that since CFRP bars are more flexible than steel bars when construction workers are stepping on them to pour the concrete for the deck, the regular spacing of chairs used for steel bars was reduced in half for CFRP bars. Additional recommendations when dealing with FRP bars can be found in Deitz et al. 1999.



Fig. 3.1 – Light-weight CFRP bars.



(a) Placement of CFRP bars



(b) Close-up view of CFRP bars

Fig. 3.2 – Construction of concrete bridge deck reinforced with CFRP bars.

3.2 Field Inspection and Evaluation

After construction of the bridge, the concrete deck has been continuously monitored for cracks over a predefined area. The monitoring of crack formation and location, and maximum crack width and length in the deck was initiated in June 2002. A typical crack inspection form is shown in Fig. 3.3.



Fig. 3.3 – Typical crack inspection form.

3.3 Field Inspection Results

The bridge was opened to traffic in May 2002. As mentioned earlier the monitoring was initiated in June 2002, and continued until September 2005. The cracks in the deck were not measurable since the maximum observed crack width was less than the smallest measure of 1/100 inch on the crack comparator. A typical crack comparator used in this task in presented in Fig. 3.4.



Fig. 3.4 – Crack comparator.

4.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Cracking of concrete elements in inevitable. Therefore, the solution to corrosion problem may be the use of non-corrosive reinforcements such as fiber reinforced polymer (FRP) bar. The report presents the field evaluation and performance of a concrete bridge deck reinforced with carbon fiber reinforced polymer (CFRP) bars.

The subject of this investigation is the Elkin Station Road Bridge on route CR1210 over the Two-Mile Creek in Clark County, KY. In the bridge deck, CFRP bars were used as the primary, and also temperature and shrinkage reinforcements, at the top and bottom of the 8-inch concrete deck. The CFRP bars were tested for their mechanical properties prior to their implementation.

The bridge was completed in May 2002, and immediately, monitoring of the bridge deck was initiated in June 2002. The monitoring of performance included field inspection and evaluation of crack location and formation, and crack length and width. As of September of 2005, the cracks in the deck were not measurable since the maximum observed crack width was less than the smallest measure of 1/100 inch on the crack comparator. This indicates that the cracks are well below the maximum allowed crack width of 0.013 inch per AASHTO Standard Specification for exterior exposure.

REFERENCES

Allen, J.H., "Cracking, Serviceability and Strength of Concrete Bridge Decks," Proc., 3rd Bridge Engrg. Conf., Transp. Res. Rec. No., 1290, Transp. Res. Board, Nat. REs. Council, Washington, D.C., pp. 152-171.

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges, 17th Edition. Washington D.C., 2002.

Carden, A.C., and Ramey, G.E., "Weather Exposure and Its Effect on Bridge Deck Curing in Alabama," Practice Periodical on Structural Design and Construction, Vol. 4, Issue 4, 1999, pp. 139-146.

Deitz, D., Harik, I.E., and Gesund, H., "GFRP Reinforced Bridge Decks," Kentucky Transportation Center Research Report No. KTC-00-9, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, November 1999, 225 pp.

Harik, I.E., Alagusundramoorthy, P., Gupta, V., Hill, C., and Choo, C.C., "Inspection and Evaluation of a Bridge Deck Partially Reinforced with GFRP Bars," KTC-04-21/FRPDeck-1-97-1F Report, Kentucky Transportation Center, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY., 2004, 102 p.

Hill, C., Choo, C.C., and Harik, I.E., "Reinforcement Alternatives for Concrete Bridge Decks," KTC-03-19/SPR-215-00-1F Report, Kentucky Transportation Center, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, 2003, 36 p.

Issa, M.A., "Investigation of Cracking in Concrete Bridge Decks at Early Ages," Journal of Bridge Engineering, Vol. 4, No. 2, 1999, pp. 116-124.

Kochanski, T., Parry, D., Pruess, D., Schuchardt, L., and Ziehr, J., "Premature Cracking of Bridge Decks Study," Wiscousin Dept. of Transportation, Madison, Wiscousin, 1990.

Krauss, P.D., and Rogalla, E.A., "Transverse Cracking in Newly Constructed Bridge Decks," NCHRP Report 380, Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C., 1996.

Meyers, C., "Survey of Cracking on Underside of Classes B-1 and B-2 Concrete Bridge Decks in District 4," Investigation 82-2, Division of Material and Research, Missouri Highway and Transportation Department, Jefferson City, MO., 1982.

Saadeghvaziri, M.A., and Hadidi, R., "Cause and Control of Transverse Cracking in Concrete Bridge Decks," FHWA-NJ-2002-019, National Center for Transportation and Industrial Productivity, New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark, NJ, 2002. 203 p.

Schmitt, T.R., and Darwin, D., "Effect of Material Properties on Cracking in Bridge Decks," Journal of Bridge Engineering, Vol. 4, No.1, 1999, pp. 8-13.

Yost, J.R., and Schmeckpeper, E.R., "Strength and Serviceability of FRP Grid Reinforced Bridge Decks," Journal of Bridge Engineering, Vol. 6, Issue 6, 2001, pp. 605-612.