UNCLASSIFIED

DTIC

Technical Report

A

distributed by

Defense Technical Information Center
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
Cameron Station • Alexandria, Virginia 22314

UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE

We are pleased to supply this document in response to your request.

The acquisition of technical reports, notes, memorandums, etc., is an active, ongoing program at the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) that depends, in part, on the efforts and interests of users and contributors.

Therefore, if you know of the existence of any significant reports, etc., that are not in the DTIC collection, we would appreciate receiving copies or information related to their sources and availability.

The appropriate regulations are Department of Defense Directive 5100.36, Defense Scientific and Technical Information Program; Department of Defense Directive 5200.20, Distribution Statements on Technical Documents; Military Standard (MIL-STD) 847-A, Format Requirements for Scientific and Technical Reports Prepared by or for the Department of Defense; Department of Defense Regulation 5200.1-R, Information Security Program Regulation.

Our Acquisition Section, DTIC-DDA-1, will assist in resolving any questions you may have. Telephone numbers of that office are: (202) 274-6847, 274-6874 or Autovon 284-6847, 284-6874

June 1982



Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.
FAA-AM-82-18	AD-A123 756	

4. Title and Subtitle

FUNCTIONAL AGING IN PILOTS: AN EXAMINATION OF A MATHEMATICAL MODEL BASED ON MEDICAL DATA ON GENERAL AVIATION PILOTS

5. Report Date: JUNE 1982

6. Performing Organization Code:

7. Author(s): James O. Boone

8. Performing Organization Report No.:

9. Performing Organization Name and Address:

FAA Civil Aeromedical Institute
P.O. Box 25082
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73125

10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS):

11. Contract or Grant No.:

12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address:

Office of Aviation Medicine
Federal Aviation Administration
800 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20591

13. Type of Report and Period Covered:

14. Sponsoring Agency Code:

15. Supplementary Notes

Work was performed under task AM-C-82-PSY-87.

16. Abstract

In a recent series of papers, Gerathewohl reviewed several mathematical procedures employed in efforts to develop a functional age index. The purpose of this study was to apply mathematical procedures to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) pilot medical data to examine the feasibility of devising a linear numbering system such that (1) the cumulative probability distribution functions (CPDF) for persons who are not diagnosed as having an acute pathology are lower on the scale than those diagnosed as having an acute pathology, and (2) the CPDF's for both groups overlap minimally.

FAA pilot medical records were employed in a series of two group discriminant function analyses. Group one consisted of records where no pathology was listed. Group two consisted of records where a cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, or diabetic pathology was listed.

The analyses presented some pertinent results. (1) Age is not as accurate in discriminating between the sudden incapacitating pathology and nonpathology groups as the linear discriminant composite. (2) As age increases from post-50, to post-55, to post-60, classification accuracy using the discriminant index increases monotonically. (3) Better measures that predict these pathologies with more accuracy would further separate the CPDF's of the pathology and nonpathology groups. Based on these results a possible strategy for future study on pilot certification is discussed.

17. Key Words	18. Distribution Statement
Aging Functional Age Index Medical Certification Discriminant Function	Document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161

19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price
Unclassified	Unclassified	8	

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72) Reproduction of completed page authorized

FUNCTIONAL AGING IN PILOTS: AN EXAMINATION OF A MATHEMATICAL MODEL BASED ON MEDICAL DATA ON GENERAL AVIATION PILOTS

Introduction.

In a recent series of papers Gerathewohl (1,2,3) cogently reviewed the literature on aging in relation to efforts to develop a precise indication of a person's ability to function. He also reviewed several efforts to develop a "functional age" index. The development of such an index has a very compelling intuitive basis. Everyone probably knows some chronologically younger persons who function less capably than others who are chronologically older.

The most significant criticism of efforts to develop a functional index has been aimed at the selection of the criterion. Most of the studies have involved a regression of some type using age as the criterion (1). This strategy, however, contains a serious flaw in that the linear composite (the functional index) is formed by maximizing the prediction of age which is the variable one is seeking to replace. Any study of a functional index must consider this criticism.

If a functional age index were to be developed it would have many applications. The application of interest in this study is pilot certification. In March 1960, Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Civil Air Regulation 40-22 was implemented which stated that "no individual who has reached his 60th birthday shall be utilized or serve as a pilot on any aircraft engaged in air carrier operations." The ruling came under immediate fire from several groups, including the Air Line Pilots Association (ALPA). In 1960, the FAA then began what was planned to be a 20-year study on pilot aging at the Georgetown Clinical Research Institute (GCRI), designed to develop a physiological aging rating (PAR). Due partly to Federal budgetary cuts, the Georgetown facility was closed in 1966 and the aging project was abandoned far short of its goal (4). The recent work by Gerathewohl is the first major work in the FAA on functional aging since the Georgetown project.

Gerathewohl's approach to the development of a functional age index involves three interacting components: (i) physiological measurement, (ii) psychological measurement, and (iii) performance measurement. He reasoned that if an individual were physiologically and psychologically healthy and could demonstrate sufficient ability to perform well, then that person could safely be allowed to fly regardless of chronological age. Gerathewohl's writings reviewed several mathematical procedures employed in efforts to develop a functional age index. He regarded the FAA medical certification examination records as one possible source of data that could be used in developing an index. The purpose of the present study was to apply mathematical procedures to the FAA pilot medical data to examine the feasibility of devising a linear numbering system such that (i) the cumulative probability distribution functions (CPDF) for persons who are not

in Table 2 for further analysis. While these factors are congruous with prior research on aging (1), there are many other variables in the literature not included in our data base that better discriminate aging, particularly more stringent cardiovascular measures and simple performance tasks such as grip strength.

Table 1. Factors Associated with Age, Based on Selected Pilot Medical Data

Measure	Loading	Measure	Loading
Factor 1 (Sensory)		Factor 2 (Arterial-Cardiovascular)	
Distant Vision		ECG	
Right Uncorrected	.58	Having 1 defect code	.36
Left Uncorrected	.32	Having 2 defect codes	.58
Right Corrected	.56	Having 3 defect codes	.61
Left Corrected	.36	Having 4 defect codes	.65
Near Vision		Having 5 defect codes	.49
Right Uncorrected	.79	Age	.23
Left Uncorrected	.41		
Right Corrected	.79	Factor 3 (Blood Presure)	
Left Corrected	.36	Systolic	.48
Hearing		Dystolic	.51
Right Ear	.78	Age	.24
Left Ear	.79		
Age	.45		

Table 2. Measures Selected for Analyses, Based on Factor Analysis

- Height
- Weight
- Hearing - Left Ear
- Hearing - Right Ear
- Distant Vision-Right Eye Uncorrected
- Distant Vision-Right Eye Corrected
- Distant Vision-Left Eye Uncorrected
- Distant Vision-Left Eye Corrected
- Near Vision-Right Eye Uncorrected
- Near Vision-Right Eye Corrected
- Near Vision-Left Eye Uncorrected
- Near Vision-Left Eye Corrected
- Urinalysis - Albumin
- Urinalysis - Sugar
- ECG Defect Code
- Blood Pressure - Systolic
- Blood Pressure - Dystolic

Figures 1 and 2 compare the accuracy of age and the discriminant index in correctly classifying all records as pathology or nonpathology. The calculated classification accuracy listed on the figures is reflected visually in the CPDF's. Optimizing the prediction of pathology and nonpathology groups results in an overall accuracy of 61%, using age, and an accuracy of 82%, using the discriminant index. Figures 3 through 5 compare



Figure 2. Cumulative probability functions for nonpathology and pathology across discriminant function score for all records.



Figure 3. Cumulative probability functions for nonpathology and pathology across discriminant scores for those age 50 and older.

Based on these results, information from prior research where more stringent measures related to aging were employed, and prior research that shows a strong relationship between performance and aging, a possible strategy for future study emerges. Using the cumulative probability for pathology across age, an age where sufficient probability for acute pathology exists could be selected. For pilots above this age, more stringent physical measures, especially cardiovascular tests, could be made, and performance measures could be taken during simulator and/or actual flights. This data base could be utilized to more accurately establish pathology and to develop a refined, optimized discriminant index. After the development of the index, a sequential decision process could occur for pilot certification. Persons below the selected age could be certified through utilizing the usual process. Those above the selected age who desired to continue as pilots could be required to take a more stringent physical. Pilots with profiles with sufficiently high probability for pathology based on the more refined measures might not be medically certified. Pilots with profiles with sufficiently high probability for nonpathology could move to the next step, i.e., demonstration of pilot performance. A successful demonstration of performance could then lead to certification. This strategy could permit pilots above the selected age whose health profiles match those of healthy persons and who can demonstrate sufficient performance ability to be allowed to continue flying, while it could serve to identify those over the selected age whose health profiles match those of the pathological group and who, consequently, might not be certified.