CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE IN HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE:

STATE-OF-THE-ART EVALUATION

bу

Frank N. Lisle Research Scientist

(The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed in this report are those of the author and not necessarily those of the sponsoring agencies.)

(

Virginia Highway and Transportation Research Council (A Cooperative Organization Sponsored Jointly by the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation and the University of Virginia)

Charlottesville, Virginia

August 1980

VHTRC 81-R12

MAINTENANCE RESEARCH ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- R. L. MOORE, Chairman, Resident Engineer, VDH&T
- C. M. CLARKE, Assistant District Engineer, VDH&T
- D. R. COLLINS, Resident Engineer, VDH&T
- R. H. CONNOCK, Jr., Assistant District Engineer, VDH&T
- J. A. COPP, Residency Maintenance Supervisor, VDH&T
- W. L. HAYDEN, Assistant Materials Engineer, VDH&T
- C. O. LEIGH, Maintenance Engineer, VDH&T
- J. C. McCABE, Area Engineer, FHWA
- J. K. McEWEN, Resident Engineer, VDH&T
- D. C. MAHONE, Senior Research Scientist, VH&TRC
- J. R. MILLER, Equipment Engineer, VDH&T
- C. B. PERRY, Resident Engineer, VDH&T
- D. S. ROOSEVELT, Resident Engineer, VDH&T
- M. B. VANN, Assistant Construction Engineer, VDH&T
- J. E. WILLIAMS, Assistant District Engineer, VDH&T

CONTENTS

<u>P</u>	age
ABSTRACT	7
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	ix
RECOMMENDATIONS	хi
INTRODUCTION	1
PURPOSE AND SCOPE	1
LITERATURE REVIEW	2
CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE IN VIRGINIA	3
Ordinary Maintenance	4
Maintenance Replacement	8
Incidental Construction	12
QUESTIONNAIRE ON CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE	15
Hired Equipment	15
Contract Maintenance	17
Contractor Assistance	18
REFERENCES	21
APPENDIX A — TYPES OF MAINTENANCE CONTRACT USED A	\- 1
APPENDIX B — LIST OF MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES	R_1

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to perform a state-of-theart evaluation of contractor assistance in Virginia's highway maintenance.

The study revealed that expenditures for contractor assistance accounted for 2.79% of the total expenditure on ordinary maintenance, 60.17% of the expenditure on maintenance replacement, and 32.60% of the expenditure on incidental construction during FY78-79.

For ordinary maintenance, 47.48% of the expenditure for contractor assistance was for snow and ice control, 15.41% was for traffic services and operations, and 14.08% was for maintenance of bituminous surfaces. In the case of maintenance replacement, 54.56% of the expenditure for contractor assistance was for surface replacement and 36.17% was for major flood damage. For assistance on incidental construction, 75.44% of the expenditure went for surface and base work and 13.12% for roadway construction.

The basic procedure used in Virginia to determine whether or not to employ contractor assistance in performing highway maintenance work is as follows:

- (a) Determine the need for the work to be performed and how quickly the work must be done.
- (b) Determine if the proper men and equipment are available to do the work within the time required.
- (c) If either the proper or sufficient amount of equipment and personnel are not available, then employ the needed contractor assistance.

The development of formal procedures for comparing costs of performing maintenance activities with state forces and costs with contractor assistance is recommended.

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author expresses sincere appreciation to the Maintenance Division Central Office Staff, especially J. F. Mayo, G. E. Jarrell, and R. D. Francis, for collecting and organizing the data on contract maintenance.

Special thanks go to each Assistant District Engineer for Maintenance for completing the questionnaire on contractor assistance.

Finally, members of the staff of the Virginia Highway and Transportation Research Council who provided assistance are acknowledged for their contributions. In particular, thanks go to Harry Craft for editing the report and to Ivy Carlton for typing the manuscript.

()

.

ŧ

0

 $\langle \hat{\cdot} \rangle$

.

()

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Expenditure Data

- 1. During fiscal 1978-79, contractor assistance as represented by the combined costs for hired equipment and contract maintenance accounted for 2.79% of the state's outlay for ordinary maintenance, 60.17% of its maintenance replacement expenditures, and 32.60% of its incidental construction expenditures.
- 2. Of the \$2,609,197 expended for contractor assistance in ordinary maintenance during FY78-79, 47.48% was expended for snow and ice control, 15.41% for traffic services and operations, and 14.08% for maintenance of bituminous surfaces.
- 3. Of the \$78,865,965 expended for contractor assistance in maintenance replacement during FY78-79, 54.56% was expended for surface replacement and 36.17% for major flood damage.
- 4. Of the \$8,533,359 expended for contractor assistance in incidental construction during FY78-79, 75.44% was expended for surface and base work and 13.12% for roadway construction.
- 5. The Department's greatest dependence upon contractor assistance for accomplishing a specific ordinary maintenance activity was in traffic services and operations. In that activity, 23.11% of the state expenditures went for contractor assistance.
- 6. In maintenance replacement the greatest expenditure for assistance, 85.74% of the total, was for surface replacement.
- 7. In incidental construction, the major expense for assistance, 59.80% of the total, was for general expense.

Questionnaire

 \bigcirc

- 1. The basic procedure used in Virginia to determine whether or not to employ contractor assistance in performing highway maintenance work is as follows:
 - (a) Determine the need for the work to be performed and how quickly the work must be done.
 - (b) Determine if the proper men and equipment are available to do the work within the time required.
 - (c) If either the proper or sufficient amount of equipment and personnel are not available, then employ the needed contractor assistance.
- 2. The use of contractor assistance in highway maintenance will increase in most parts of the state because of the present

restrictions on state force manpower and the increasing maintenance requirements of the aging roadway system.

- 3. The advantages of contractor assistance in highway maintenance include (a) the ability to have specialized work accomplished in an expeditious manner, (b) the ability to handle additional work loads without increasing the number of state personnel or equipment, and (c) the ability to make state work available to small contractors.
- 4. The disadvantages of contractor assistance in highway maintenance include (a) the increased coordination required to perform specific work, (b) the high cost, (c) the additional supervision that is not included in the state force manpower allocations, (d) the great amount of time expended in keeping the required records, and (e) the time lag in getting work under way.

0

()

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. There is a need to develop formal procedures for comparing the costs of performing maintenance activities with state forces and the cost of performing them with contractor assistance.
- 2. The percentage of the expenditure for a given activity going for contractor assistance should be computed each year and monitored by management to (a) identify changes in the Department's dependence upon contractor assistance, and (b) aid in decisions concerning the increased or decreased use of contractor assistance.
- 3. There is a need for the Department to review its procedures for hiring equipment and contracting maintenance in an attempt to eliminate unnecessary time delays and reduce paperwork. This review should include the suggestions offered by the assistant district engineers for maintenance for inclusion in this report.

 \bigcirc

1

 \bigcirc

. . .

. .

CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE IN HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE:

STATE-OF-THE-ART EVALUATION

by

Frank N. Lisle Research Scientist

INTRODUCTION

The basic objectives of Virginia's highway maintenance program as described in the <u>Maintenance Division Policy Manual</u> (1) are:

To maintain and operate the highway system in a manner such that (1) comfort, convenience and safety are afforded the public, (2) the investment in roads, bridges and appurtenances is preserved or enhanced, (3) the aesthetics and the compatibility of the highway system with the environment are preserved or enhanced, and (4) the necessary expenditure of resources is accomplished with continuing emphasis on economy.

Traditionally, these objectives have been satisfied by state forces supplemented with private contractor forces performing the necessary maintenance. State forces have been sufficient in number to accomplish most of the routine maintenance activities and most emergency operations. These activities are characteristically diverse, subject to variations, and, on occasion, hurried; thus they have not lent themselves to competitive bidding. Contractor assistance, which includes hired equipment and contract maintenance, has been employed to supplement state forces in emergencies and to perform specialized operations and maintenance projects of such large scope as to make performance by state forces impracticable. These activities include bridge repair, surface treatment, slurry seal, and asphalt overlay. The use of contractor forces in this manner has made it possible for the Department to achieve its maintenance objectives without increasing the number of state forces.

PURPOSE AND SCOPE

At the request of Maintenance Engineer C. O. Leigh, this investigation was conducted to provide a state-of-the-art evaluation of contractor assistance in Virginia's highway maintenance. It included (1) a literature review of the subject area, (2) a documentation of Virginia's history in this area, and (3) an evaluation of the current status of state force and contractor assistance.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The performance of highway maintenance with other than state forces had its origin many years ago when a property owner could work off his assessed property tax on an already completed roadway rather than paying it in cash. Today the maintenance of a state's roadway system is more complex than it was years ago and thus requires a maintenance organization supported by a tax levied against the user. In most states, the maintenance organization can adequately perform routine maintenance activities and emergency operations, and contractor assistance is obtained only when highly specialized workmen or equipment are required, or when the scope of the work is beyond the capability of the state maintenance force.

Most state highway departments consider using contractor maintenance for those activities which (1) would require a seasonal or temporary staffing level above that needed throughout the year, or (2) require special skills and equipment not normally available and justified within the department. (2)

The types of maintenance activities reported in a 1972 AASHO survey $\binom{3}{3}$ as being very compatible for contracting are as follows:

1. Resurfacing

2. Structure Cleaning & Painting

3. Structure Repair

4. Snow & Ice Control - Equipment Rental

5. Painting Surface Markings

6. Patching Holes

7. Mowing

8. Landscaping

9. Snow & Ice Control - Removal

10. Shoulder Repair

- 11. Highway Guardrail Repair & Replacement
- 12. Sign Repair
- 13. Weed Spraying
- 14. Catch Basin Cleaning
- 15. Litter Pickup
- 16. Slide Removal
- 17. Sweeping
- 18. Snow & Ice Control Chemicals Purchased
- 19. Lighting

In making a decision as to what work, if any, should be contracted out, the answers to several simple questions may prove to be beneficial.

1. Will the use of a contract for a specific task during a peak period of maintenance activity eliminate the necessity of hiring labor on a short-term basis?

- 2. Is specialized equipment needed for the task at hand?
- 3. Does the job need specialized labor skills?
- 4. Is there a deadline or time limit for the tasks to be performed and, if so, can state personnel meet the deadline?
- 5. Can the job be done economically by contract? (4)

The answers to these questions should be available to the administrator before the decision on how to perform the work is made.

On the fifth question, the 1976 AASHTO $\underline{\text{Maintenance Manual}}$ states,

0

frequent competitive comparisons between the cost and benefits of state force and contract maintenance should become a general practice for maintenance managers. The comparison on trade-off studies should be used to determine when it is more economical to contract and may also serve as a basis to measure the cost effectiveness of state forces. (5)

This is perhaps the most difficult question to answer and thus the most often neglected question in the decision-making process.

CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE IN VIRGINIA

The Virginia Department of Highways & Transportation had 52,217 miles of road under its jurisdiction as of December 31, 1978. Included were 907 miles on the interstate system, 7,874 miles on the primary system, and 43,436 miles on the secondary system. The Department's FY78-79 expenditures through the Maintenance Division were \$93,364,727 for ordinary maintenance; \$131,066,628 for maintenance replacement; and \$26,173,002 for incidental construction; for a grand total of \$250,604,357.

Contractor assistance on maintenance was in the form of hired equipment and maintenance contracts. "Hired equipment" is the term used to identify equipment and an operator rented from a contractor on an hourly basis. For hired equipment, the state expended \$1,990,280 in ordinary maintenance, \$4,210,098 in maintenance replacement, and \$1,421,350 in incidental construction during FY78-79, for a grand total of \$7,621,728. Thus, 2.13% of the ordinary maintenance expenditure, 3.21% of the maintenance replacement expenditure, and 5.43% of the incidental construction expenditure were for hired equipment.

"Maintenance contract" is the term used to identify a contract let by the state to a private contractor for the performance of specific maintenance tasks. For maintenance contracts the

state expended \$618,917 in ordinary maintenance, \$74,655,867 in maintenance replacement, and \$7,112,009 in incidental construction during FY78-79, for a grand total of \$82,386,793. Thus, 0.66% of the ordinary maintenance expenditure, 56.96% of the maintenance replacement expenditure, and 27.17% of the incidental construction expenditure were for maintenance contracts. The reader is referred to Appendix A for a detailed description of the principal types of formal maintenance contracts used in Virginia.

In combined hired equipment and contract maintenance expenditures, the state expended 2.79% of the ordinary maintenance expenditure, 60.17% of the maintenance replacement expenditure, and 32.60% of the incidental construction expenditure during FY78-79 on contractor assistance.

The remainder of this section describes the distribution of contractor assistance expenditures by general activity and roadway system.

 \bigcirc

Contractor Assistance in Ordinary Maintenance

Ordinary maintenance is the function of preserving each type of roadway, structure, and facility as near as possible in its condition as constructed. The ordinary maintenance activities include surface patching, shoulder maintenance, ditch cleaning, mowing, trash pickup, sign repair, snow removal, snow fence erection, pavement marking, weigh station operation, and many other similar items. A detailed list is included in Appendix B.

Contractor assistance expenditures for ordinary maintenance activities during FY78-79 on the interstate, the primary, and secondary systems are shown in Tables 1, 2, and 3 respectively. As can be seen in Table 1, of the \$629,733 expended for assistance on the interstate system, 44.67% was for traffic services and operations, 29.60% for snow and ice control, and 16.52% for maintenance of bituminous surfaces. The Department's dependence on contractor assistance in a given activity on the interstate system was greatest in the maintenance of bituminous surfaces, with 35.72% of the expenditure on that activity being for contract assistance. This was followed by maintenance of drawbridges and ferries at 23.96%, and traffic services and operations at 22.89%. The percentage of the expenditure for contractor assistance on a given activity should be computed each year and monitored by management, not only to identify changes in the Department's dependence on contractor assistance but also to aid in decisions on increasing or decreasing the assistance in given activities. Contractor assistance accounted for 4.38% of the total ordinary maintenance expenditures for the interstate system.

Of the \$633,450 expended for contractor assistance on the primary system, 44.49% was for snow and ice control, and 20.70% was for maintenance of bituminous surfaces. The Department's dependence on contractor assistance was greatest in traffic services and operations at 22.03%. Assistance accounted for 1.98% of the ordinary maintenance expenditure for the primary system.

FY78-79 Expenditures for Ordinary Maintenance Activities on Interstate System

Table 1

ACTIVITY	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PERCENT FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE	TOTAL FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE	PERCENT OF TOTAL FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE
Oneral Expense	\$ 814,041	1.43	\$ 11,654	1.85*
Bituminous Surface	291,219	35.72	104,028	16.52
Concrete Surface	147,573	0.09	129	0.02
ron-Hard Surface	9,042	0.66	60	0.01
Shoulder Maintenance	70,816	1.41	996	0.16
Ditches & Drainage	336,939	2.18	7,358	1.17
nadside	2,421,585	0.07	1,708	0.27
Vegetation Control	896,934	0.17	1,500	0.24
Signs & Traffic Control	426,471	1.69	7,197	1.14
Traffic Services & Operations	1,228,971	22.89	281,333	44.67
Snow & Ice Control	4,145,899	4.50	186,381	29.60
Structures	62,438			one was som one one
Drawbridges & Ferries	106,261	23.96	25,464	4.04
Weigh Stations	717,526	0.03	242	0.04
Tunnels	2,087,632	0.08	1,683	0.27
Supervision	627,810			
TOTAL	\$14,391,157	4.38	\$629,733	100.00

^{*\$11,654 ÷ \$629,733 = 1.85.}

Table 2

FY78-79 Expenditures for

Ordinary Maintenance Activities on Primary System

<u>ACTIVITY</u>	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PERCENT FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE	TOTAL FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE	PERCENT OF TOTAL FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE	
General Expense	\$ 2,518,710	0.19	\$ 4,886	0.77	
Bituminous Surface	2,539,121	5.17	131,146	20.70 [©]	
Concrete Surface	93,874		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Non-Hard Surface	19,955	2.25	448	0.07	
Shoulder Maintenance	1,998,187	1.75	35,033	5.53	
Ditches & Drainage	2,035,866	2.79	56,879	8. 98	
Roadside	1,714,130	1.06	18,167	2.87	
Vegetation Control	2,492,451	0.33	8,343	1.32	
Signs & Traffic Control	2,146,989	0.15	3,160	0.50	
Traffic Services & Operations	422,106	22.03	93,006	14.68	
Snow & Ice Control	10,974,779	2.57	281,794	44.49	
Structures	232,117	0.03	71	0.01	
Drawbridges & Ferries	1,948,231	0.03	517	0.08 ج	
Weigh Stations	366,496				
Tunnels	90			·	
Supervision	2,432,763				
TOTAL	\$31,935,865	1.98	\$633,450	100.00	

FY78-79 Expenditures for Ordinary Maintenance Activities on Secondary System

Table 3

⊖ <u>ACTIVIT</u> Y	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PERCENT FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE	TOTAL FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE	PERCENT OF TOTAL FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE
General Expense	\$ 4,084,203	0.12	\$ 4,996	0.37
Botuminous Surface	8,109,126	1.82	147,833	10.98
Concrete Surface	3,217		-	
Non-Hard Surface	6,535,856	1.77	115,640	8.59
Shoulder Maintenance	992,316	1.27	12,604	0.94
Ditches & Drainage	7,118,365	2.07	147,398	10.95
Roadside	2,732,483	3.66	100,077	7.44
Vagetation Control	3,779,100	0.42	15,842	1.18
Signs & Traffic Control	1,638,126	0.02	372	0.03
Traffic Services & Operations	88,998	31.20	27,766	2.06
Snow & Ice Control	7,784,536	9.90	770,747	57.25
Structures	814,890	0.29	2,397	0.18
Drawbridges & Ferries	88,508	0.39	342	0.03
Weigh Stations	10	en un sap aus		
Tunnels	8			
Supervision	3,267,966		-46 citie and tone com-	
TOTAL	\$47,037,708	2.86	\$1,346,014	100.00

On the secondary system, \$1,346,014 were expended for contractor assistance in ordinary maintenance activities; 57.25% of this total went for snow and ice control, 10.98% for maintenance of bituminous surfaces, and 10.95% for ditches and drainage. The dependence was greatest in traffic services and operations at 31.20%. On this system, 2.86% of the ordinary maintenance expenditure went for assistance.

For all systems combined, \$2,609,197 were expended for contractor assistance in performing ordinary maintenance activities during FY78-79; 47.48% was for snow and ice control, 15.41% for traffic services and operations, and 14.08% for maintenance of bituminous surfaces. All of the expenditure for contractor assistance in snow and ice control was for hired equipment, 98.42% of the assistance expenditure for traffic services and operations was for maintenance contracts, and 57.20% of that for bituminous surface repair was for hired equipment. The Department's greatest dependence in ordinary maintenance activities was in traffic services and operations, at 23.11%.

Contractor assistance accounted for 2.79% of the ordinary maintenance expenditure for all three systems combined.

Contractor Assistance in Maintenance Replacement

Maintenance replacement is the function of restoring each type of roadway, structure, and facility as near as possible to its condition as constructed. Included is resurfacing, replacement of pipe lines, removal of major slides, replacement of large signs and signals, and similar items. A detailed list of maintenance replacement activities is included in Appendix B.

Expenditures for contractor assistance in maintenance replacement activities during FY78-79 on the interstate, primary, and secondary systems are shown in Tables 4, 5, and 6 respectively. Of the \$7,687,812 total for assistance on the interstate system, 84.06% was for surface replacement and 11.89% for structures. The Department's dependence on contractor assistance in a specific activity was greatest in surface replacement, at 92.50%. This was followed by structures at 65.77%; shoulders, turnouts and drainage at 31.35%; and major flood damage at 22.60%. Contractor assistance accounted for 72.20% of the maintenance replacement expenditure on the interstate system.

Of the \$23,672,026 expended for contract assistance on the primary system, 74.68% was for surface replacement and 12.03% for major flood damage. The greatest dependence was in surface replacement, at 94.11%. This was followed by structures at 75.24%, general expense at 50.36%, major flood damage at 38.56%, and roadside at 25.86%. Contractor assistance accounted for 67.23% of the maintenance replacement expenditure on the primary system.

On the secondary system, \$47,506,127 were expended for contractor assistance in maintenance replacement activities; 53.98%

0

FY78-79 Expenditures for
Maintenance Replacement Activities on Interstate System

Table 4

ACTIVITY	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PERCENT FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE	TOTAL FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE	PERCENT OF TOTAL FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE
General Expense	\$ 194,399	17.31	\$ 33,656	0.44
Surface Replacement	6,985,894	92.50	6,462,283	84.06
Shoulders, Turnouts & Drainage	226,028	31.35	70,860	0.92
wadside	938,073	17.75	166,516	2.17
Signs	684,949		and sight after time	
Structures	1,390,172	65.77	914,348	11.89
sigh Stations	44,952	1.21	546	wine with their right
Major Flood Damage	175,257	22.60	39,603	0.52
Supervision	7,816		and with the title time	- Augus magas during above district
TOTAL	\$10,647,540	72.20	\$7,687,812	100.00

(J

Table 5

FY78-79 Expenditures for

Maintenance Replacement Activities on Primary System

ACTIVITY	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PERCENT FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE	TOTAL FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE	PERCENT OF TOTAL FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE
General Expense	\$ 1,074,070	50.36	\$ 540,895	2.28
Surface Replacement	18,780,307	94.11	17,674,835	74.68
Shoulders, Turnouts & Drainage	1,188,175	4.97	59,098	0.25
Roadside	1,255,792	25.86	324,798	1.37
Signs	2,534,543		252	
Structures	2,955,021	75.24	2,223,361	9.39
Weigh Stations	16,849			
Major Flood Damage	7,387,045	38.56	2,848,787	12.03
Supervision	18,491			
TOTAL	\$35,210,293	67.23	\$23,672,026	O 100.00

FY78-79 Expenditures for
Maintenance Replacement Activities on Secondary System

0

G

 $\langle \rangle$

Table 6

		PERCENT FOR	TOTAL	PERCENT OF TOTAL
ACTIVITY	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE	FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE	FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE
General Expense	\$ 3,605,585	76.97	\$ 2,775,152	5.84
Carface Replacement	24,415,837	77.36	18,888,756	39.76
Shoulders, Turnouts & Drainage	991,986	6.26	62,109	0.13
Roadside	260,298	31.80	82,763	0.17
Signs	779,707	0.85	6,665	0.01
Structures	774,851	6.95	53,862	0.11
Weigh Stations		*** *** *** ***		
Major Flood Damage	54,221,227	47.28	25,636,820	53.98
Supervision	159,305	-10 m3 m3 da 40		
TOTAL	\$85,208,796	55.75	\$47,506,127	100.00

of the total went for major flood damage and 39.78% for surface replacement. The greatest dependence was in surface replacement, at 77.36%. This was followed by general expense at 76.97%, major flood damage at 47.28%, and roadside at 31.80%. Contractor assistance accounted for 55.75% of the maintenance replacement expenditure on the secondary system.

For all systems combined, \$78,865,965 were expended for contractor assistance in maintenance replacement activities during FY78-79; with 54.56% going for surface replacement and 36.17% for major flood damage. Maintenance contracts accounted for 99.80% of the assistance in surface replacement and 87.12% of that in major flood damage. The greatest dependence was in surface replacement, at 85.74%. This was followed by general expense at 68.73% and structures at 62.33%.

Contractor assistance accounted for 60.17% of the maintenance replacement expenditure for all three systems combined.

Contractor Assistance in Incidental Construction

Incidental construction is any operation which changes the type, width, length, location, or gradient of a road, facility, or structure. It includes acquisition of right-of-way, earthwork, surface and base work, drainage, grading the roadside and similar activities. A detailed list of incidental construction activities is included in Appendix B.

There were no contractor assistance expenditures for incidental construction activities on the interstate system during FY78-79. The expenditures for the primary system are shown in Table 7 and those for the secondary system in Table 8. Of the \$278,399 expended for contractor assistance on the primary system, 93.55% was for traffic and pedestrian services. The Department's dependence on contractor assistance was greatest in surface and base work, at 80.97%. This was followed by traffic and pedestrian services at 26.64%. Contractor assistance accounted for 26.73% of the incidental construction expenditure for the primary system.

On the secondary system, \$8,254,960 were expended for contractor assistance in incidental construction; 77.78% of the total for surface and base work and 13.56% for roadway construction. The greatest dependence was in general expense, at 60.01%. This was followed by surface and base work at 49.45% and roadway construction at 22.38%. Contractor assistance accounted for 33.35% of the incidental construction expenditure on the secondary system.

For the combined primary and secondary system, \$8,533,359 were expended for contractor assistance in incidental construction activities during FY78-79. Of this amount, 75.44% was for surface and base work and 13.12% for roadway construction. The Department's greatest dependence was in general expense, at 59.80%. This was followed by surface and base work at 49.50%.

FY78-79 Expenditures for
Incidental Construction Activities on Primary System

Table 7

ACTIVITY	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PERCENT FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE	TOTAL FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE	PERCENT OF TOTAL FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE
General Expense	\$ 2,521			
Right-of-Way	395			
Coadway Construction	749		-	400 AND 1000 1000 1000
Surface & Base	21,140	80.97	17,117	6.15
Shoulders & Entrances	6,844			maps with solds were viting
Drainage Structures	39			
Drainage & Small Drainage Structures	16,063	2.12	340	0.12
Traffic & Pedestrian Services	977,661	26.64	260,440	93.55
Roadside Development	15,900	3.16	502	0.18
Supervision	20			
TOTAL	\$1,041,332	26.73	\$278,399	100.00

Table 8

FY78-79 Expenditures for
Incidental Construction Activities on Secondary System

				DHDCHM
ACTIVITY	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PERCENT FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE	TOTAL FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE	PERCENT OF TOTAL FOR CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE
General Expense	\$ 705,492	60.01	\$ 423,390	5.10
Right-of-Way	565,978	0.69	3,923	0.05
Roadway Construction	5,001,791	22.38	1,119,531	13.56
Surface & Base	12,984,278	49.45	6,420,528	77.76
Shoulders & Entrances	472,513	5.79	27,378	0.33
Drainage Structures	1,196,373	5.72	68,402	0.83
Drainage & Small Drainage Structures	1,964,673	7.30	143,483	1.74
Traffic & Pedestrian Services	897,207	5.07	45,501	0.55
Roadside Development	576,881	0.49	2,824	0.03 [©]
Toll Free Ferries & Docks	388,529			
TOTAL	\$24,753,715	33.35	\$8,254,960	100.00 [©]

Contractor assistance accounted for 32.60% of the incidental construction expenditure for the primary and secondary systems combined.

QUESTIONNAIRE ON CONTRACTOR ASSISTANCE

This section of the report includes a summary of the responses by the assistant district engineers for maintenance to a questionnaire on contractor assistance in Virginia's highway maintenance. The questionnaire contained three sections: hired equipment, contract maintenance, and contractor assistance. In those instances where similar responses were received, the responses were combined.

Hired Equipment

1. What are the principal operations in ordinary maintenance, maintenance replacement, and incidental construction in which hired equipment is utilized?

The principal operations cited were as follows:

Ordinary Maintenance

Q

2

Snow removal, transporting materials, paving operations, shoulder machining, ditching, bituminous patching, guardrail repair, brush cutting, and emergency work.

Maintenance Replacement

Surface treatment, bituminous overlays, pavement restoration, repair of slides and washouts, emergency storm damage, major cleaning of outfall ditches, bridge work, concrete repair, and centerline marking.

Incidental Construction

Clearing and grubbing, grading, placing base material, repair and/or replacement of substructure and superstructure on bridges, riprap and incidental concrete, erecting guardrail, excavation, paving and surface treatment, installation of large pipe, and soil cement stabilization.

2. What are (a) advantages and (b) disadvantages for your district in utilizing hired equipment?

Advantages

- (a) Makes available specialized equipment which is not practical for the Department to purchase because of small amount of time it would be used.
- (b) Makes additional equipment available for emergency operations such as snow removal and floods.
- (c) Reduces overhead cost required to prepare plans and execute contracts for needed work.
- (d) Makes state work available to small contractors who would not bid on contract projects.
- (e) Releases state equipment and personnel to perform other needed activities.

Disadvantages

- (a) Requires coordination to perform the specified work.
- (b) High cost of renting some equipment.
- (c) Requires supervision not included in the state force manpower allocation.
- (d) Availability of the contractors' hired equipment and manpower varies depending upon the contractors' work schedule.
- 3. Do you feel that your district will increase or decrease use of hired equipment in the future? Why?
 - (a) The use of hired equipment will increase due to the present restrictions on manpower and the increasing maintenance requirements on the aging roadway system.
 - (b) The use of hired equipment will remain relatively constant with variations caused by the number and type of emergency situations.
- 4. Do you have any suggestions on how to improve the utilization of hired equipment?
 - (a) In cooperation with the contracting industry, the Department should catalog available equipment and identify a range of rental rates. This would help the Department's engineers know who to contact for certain types of equipment.
 - (b) The Department's procedures for contracting emergency standby equipment should be more flexible.

(c) When using hired equipment, each step in the operation schedule should be carefully planned to eliminate lost time and motion.

Contract Maintenance

1. What are the principal operations in ordinary maintenance, maintenance replacement and incidental construction performed by contract maintenance in your district?

The principal operations cited were as follows:

Ordinary Maintenance

 $C_{\mathcal{F}}$

(2)

Snow removal, bridge maintenance, guardrail repair, bituminous patching, trash collection at rest areas, headquarters and waysides, and sign and highway lighting maintenance.

Maintenance Replacement

Plant mix, slurry seals, surface treatment, cold-mix, reconditioning hard surface roads, major concrete pavement and joint repair, sidewalk, curb and gutter repair, bridge repairs, centerline and edgeline painting, school and railroad crossing messages painting, bridge painting, roadway signing, and storm damage repairs.

Incidental Construction

Plant mix, guardrail, grubbing, earthwork, and widening projects.

2. What are (a) advantages and (b) disadvantages for your district in using contract maintenance?

Advantages

- (a) Ability to have specialized work accomplished in an expeditious manner.
- (b) Ability to handle additional work loads without increasing state personnel or equipment.

Disadvantages

- (a) Time lag to get contract under way.
- (b) High cost to perform many tasks by contract.
- (c) The records required are voluminous and time consuming.

- (d) Requires seasonal demand for inspectors.
- 3. Do you feel that your district will increase or decrease use of contract maintenance in the future? Why?
 - (a) Increase due to the difficulties in retaining qualified personnel.
 - (b) Increase due to the increase in the number of specialized operations required as the roadway system ages.
 - (c) Increase if personnel levels are reduced further.
- 4. Do you have any suggestions on how to improve the use of contract maintenance?
 - (a) Initiate a program to inform the contractors of the contract maintenance programs.
 - (b) Streamline procedures and reduce time required to advertise bituminous surface schedule work. Residencies are required to submit requests of roads to be resurfaced prior to completing the previous year's schedule.
 - (c) Each district, in cooperation with the Maintenance Division, should be allowed to prepare the proposals for all contract maintenance.

Contractor Assistance

1. Please describe the basic philosophy or procedure used in your district in determining whether or not to employ (a) hired equipment and (b) contract maintenance in performing highway maintenance work.

Hired Equipment

- (a) Determine the need for the work to be performed and how quickly the work must be done.
- (b) Determine if proper men and equipment are available to do the work within the time required.
- (c) If either the proper or sufficient amount of equipment and personnel are not available, then hire the needed equipment and personnel.

Contract Maintenance

(a) This item is essentially the same as for hired equipment.

1

- (b) It is not practical to attempt to do plant mix, seal schedules, major guardrail schedules, or major bridge repairs with state forces.
- 2. Please include any additional comments concerning the Department's dependence on contractor assistance in maintaining Virginia's highways.
 - (a) Assistance from the contractors is definitely needed to carry out an adequate maintenance program on Virginia's highways. The Department cannot afford to own the large and specialized equipment that is available through contractors. Generally, the contracting industry has operators who have more expertise and are more efficient than the Department's. Contractors provide an invaluable service during emergency situations and play a vital role in restoring our highways to normal.
 - (b) In some parts of the state contractor assistance is not the most efficient method of performing highway maintenance, because many contractors are not maintenance oriented and are not willing to perform maintenance work at a price providing a reasonable profit.

0

53

C.

Ċ

(_)

REFERENCES

- 1. Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation, <u>Maintenance Division Policy Manual</u>, Richmond, Virginia, January 1978, p.1.
- 2. "Contract Maintenance Do You Use It?" <u>Better Roads</u>, Park Ridge, Illinois, November 1971, pp. 22-24.
- 3. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, "Summary of Contract Maintenance As Currently Practiced by Member Organizations," Washington, D.C., 1973.
- 4. Stone, Arthur H., "Formulating A Practical Maintenance Policy Contract vs. Use of Own Personnel," Proceedings, Thirty-third Annual Meeting of the International Bridge, Tunnel, and Turnpike Association, Bal Harbour, Florida, October 31 November 3, 1965.
- 5. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Maintenance Manual, Washington, D.C. 1976, pp. 22-23.

*

C

C

· `

APPENDIX A

TYPES OF MAINTENANCE CONTRACTS USED IN VIRGINIA

This appendix contains information on the principal types of maintenance activities performed under contract in Virginia. This information includes (1) the bid prices by calendar year, (2) the types of work performed, and (3) a description of the work performed.

Table A-1 contains the bid prices by calendar year for the principal maintenance activities performed under contract. Bituminous surface work (Plant Mix, Surface Treatment, Slurry Seal, and Cold Mix) make up the largest percentage of the bid prices. This percentage was highest at 89.31% in 1974 and decreased gradually to 66.60% in 1978. Maintenance restoration accounted for 22.86% of the contract bid prices in 1977 and 16.30% in 1978. On an overall basis, the bid prices increased from a low of \$14,902,592 in 1975 to a high of \$65,879,282 in 1978.

The principal types of maintenance activities performed by contract maintenance in Virginia are as follows:

1. Bituminous Plant Mix

Consists of furnishing and applying a single or multiple course of bituminous concrete in accordance with the requirements of the Road and Bridge Specifications and the Special Provisions.

2. Bituminous Surface Treatment

Consists of the application of a single or multiple courses of bituminous surface treatment in accordance with the requirements of the Road and Bridge Specifications and the Special Provisions.

Emulsified Asphalt Slurry Seal

Consists of furnishing and applying an emulsified asphalt slurry seal in accordance with the Road and Bridge Specifications and the Special Provisions.

4. Cold Mix Bituminous Treatment

0

()

Consists of furnishing and constructing one or more pavement courses of cold mix treatment on existing roadway surface and choking with a cover material in accordance with the Special Provisions and in reasonably close conformity with the lines, grades, thickness or typical cross sections shown on the plans or established by the Engineer.

% OF TOTAL	50.90	34.90	3.51			3.60	3.12		0.51	0.60	2.86	100.00
1974	15,485,531	10,618,852	1,069,278			1,096,792	950,058		156,706	182,981	870,556	30,430,754
% OF TOTAL	39.90	36.78	7.21			7.46	2.60		0.63	0.06	5.36	100.00
1975	5,946,089	5,480,697	1,073,865			1,112,380	388,193		93,490	8,480	799,398	14,902,592
% OF TOTAL	44.11	30.17	4.86			3.73	2.62		0.52	3.81	10.18	100.00
1976	13,912,706	9,513,503	1,531,685			1,177,816	825,848		163,001	1,200,400	3,210,267	31,535,226
% OF TOTAL	40.87	22.11	4.42		22.86	2.62	2.13		0.33	1.49	3.17	100.00
1977	23,828,384	12,896,191	2,579,450		13, 332, 460	1,530,355	1,242,511		193,040	869,467	1,847,646	58,319,504
Z OF TOTAL	35.76	22.37	5.23	3.24	16.30	4.14	3.96	3.99	1.40	0.66	2.95	100.00
8761	23,554,939	14,739,510	3,442,355	2,133,713	10,738,586	2,728,602	2,608,033	2,630,392	924,553	433,513	1,945,086	65,879,282
ZYPE	Plant Mix	Surface Treatment	Slurry Seal.	Cold Mix	Maintenance Restoration	Bridge Repairs	Sidewalk, Curb & Cutter	Pavement Marking	Guardrail.	Painting Bridge Structural Steel	Miscellancous	TOTAL
	Z OF 2 OF	TOTAL 1978 2 OF 2 OF 1977 TOTAL 1976 TOTAL 1978 TOTAL 1974 1975 TOTAL 1974 1975 TOTAL 1974 1975 1975 1975 1975 1975 1975 1975 1975 1975 1977 1	TOTAL 1972 20 F 20 F 1974 1974 1975 TOTAL 1975 TOTAL 1975 TOTAL 1974 1975 TOTAL 1975 TOTAL 1975 TOTAL 1975 TOTAL 1975 TOTAL 1975 15,485,531 14,739,510 22.37 12,896,191 22.11 9,513,503 30.17 5,480,697 36.78 10,618,852	Year 1978 Total 1977 Total 1976 Total 1978 Total 1976 Total 1977 1978	TYPE 23,554,939 100 22.37 100 44.11 9,513,503 30.17 5,480,697 35.78 10.618,852 10.618,85	23,554,939 35,76 23,828,384 40.87 13,912,706 44.11 5,946,089 39.90 15,485,531 14,739,510 22.37 12,896,191 22.11 9,513,503 30.17 5,480,697 36.78 10,618,852 3,442,355 5.23 2,579,450 4.42 1,531,685 4.86 1,073,865 7.21 1,069,278 2,113,713 3.24 22.86 22.86 22.86 22.86 1,073,865 7.21 1,069,278	1918 Z OF 2 OF 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1977 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1977 1978 1978 1978 1977 1978 <th< th=""><th>1918 Z OF TOTAL 1917 TOTAL 1016 TOTAL 2 OF TOTAL 2 OF TOTAL 2 OF TOTAL 2 OF TOTAL 2 OF TOTAL 2 OF TOTAL 1916 TOTAL 1916 TOTAL 1916 TOTAL 2 OF TOTAL 1916 TOTAL 1916 TOTAL<th>1928 Z OF TOTAL 1927 TOTAL 1927 TOTAL<th>1928 \$ 0P 1926 \$ 15,445,531 \$ 0P 1926 \$ 0P 1926 \$ 15,445,531 \$ 12,445,531 \$ 13,445,353 \$ 13,17 \$ 5,466,089 \$ 19,400 \$ 15,448,531 \$ 10,618,832 \$ 11,112,386 \$ 12,42,311</th><th>1978 2 OF 1978 2 O</th><th> 1928 2 of the proper 1925 2 of the proper 13,554,939 35,76 23,828,386 40,87 13,912,706 44,11 5,946,089 19,99 15,485,531 14,719,510 22,73 12,896,191 22,11 22,11 23,11,685 4,86 1,071,865 7,21 1,069,278 14,719,510 22,13 21,579,650 4,42 1,531,685 4,86 1,071,865 7,21 1,069,278 </th></th></th></th<>	1918 Z OF TOTAL 1917 TOTAL 1016 TOTAL 2 OF TOTAL 2 OF TOTAL 2 OF TOTAL 2 OF TOTAL 2 OF TOTAL 2 OF TOTAL 1916 TOTAL 1916 TOTAL 1916 TOTAL 2 OF TOTAL 1916 TOTAL 1916 TOTAL <th>1928 Z OF TOTAL 1927 TOTAL 1927 TOTAL<th>1928 \$ 0P 1926 \$ 15,445,531 \$ 0P 1926 \$ 0P 1926 \$ 15,445,531 \$ 12,445,531 \$ 13,445,353 \$ 13,17 \$ 5,466,089 \$ 19,400 \$ 15,448,531 \$ 10,618,832 \$ 11,112,386 \$ 12,42,311</th><th>1978 2 OF 1978 2 O</th><th> 1928 2 of the proper 1925 2 of the proper 13,554,939 35,76 23,828,386 40,87 13,912,706 44,11 5,946,089 19,99 15,485,531 14,719,510 22,73 12,896,191 22,11 22,11 23,11,685 4,86 1,071,865 7,21 1,069,278 14,719,510 22,13 21,579,650 4,42 1,531,685 4,86 1,071,865 7,21 1,069,278 </th></th>	1928 Z OF TOTAL 1927 TOTAL 1927 TOTAL <th>1928 \$ 0P 1926 \$ 15,445,531 \$ 0P 1926 \$ 0P 1926 \$ 15,445,531 \$ 12,445,531 \$ 13,445,353 \$ 13,17 \$ 5,466,089 \$ 19,400 \$ 15,448,531 \$ 10,618,832 \$ 11,112,386 \$ 12,42,311</th> <th>1978 2 OF 1978 2 O</th> <th> 1928 2 of the proper 1925 2 of the proper 13,554,939 35,76 23,828,386 40,87 13,912,706 44,11 5,946,089 19,99 15,485,531 14,719,510 22,73 12,896,191 22,11 22,11 23,11,685 4,86 1,071,865 7,21 1,069,278 14,719,510 22,13 21,579,650 4,42 1,531,685 4,86 1,071,865 7,21 1,069,278 </th>	1928 \$ 0P 1926 \$ 15,445,531 \$ 0P 1926 \$ 0P 1926 \$ 15,445,531 \$ 12,445,531 \$ 13,445,353 \$ 13,17 \$ 5,466,089 \$ 19,400 \$ 15,448,531 \$ 10,618,832 \$ 11,112,386 \$ 12,42,311	1978 2 OF 1978 2 O	1928 2 of the proper 1925 2 of the proper 13,554,939 35,76 23,828,386 40,87 13,912,706 44,11 5,946,089 19,99 15,485,531 14,719,510 22,73 12,896,191 22,11 22,11 23,11,685 4,86 1,071,865 7,21 1,069,278 14,719,510 22,13 21,579,650 4,42 1,531,685 4,86 1,071,865 7,21 1,069,278

 \bigcirc

6

5. Maintenance Restoration

()

()

0

Consists of scarifying the existing pavement and base to a minimum depth of 6 inches, the addition of aggregate material or hydraulic cement, the replacing of pipe culverts, the manipulating and compacting of base stone, the reshaping and cleaning of adjacent ditches, and the priming and the application of a bituminous pavement using bituminous seal coats or bituminous plant mix, in accordance with the Special Provisions, applicable sections of the Specifications, and the lines, grades, and details established by the Engineer.

6. Bridge Repairs — examples

a. Repair of Bridge Decks With Latex Modified Portland Cement Concrete.

Consists of repairing existing bridge decks by removing loose, deteriorated, or contaminated concrete and resurfacing with an approved type latex modified portland cement concrete overlayment course, together with other work necessary to restore structural integrity to the deck, in accordance with the Special Provisions and in reasonably close conformity with the dimensions, lines, and grades shown on the plans or established by the Engineer.

b. Bridge Substructure Repair.

Consists of repairing existing piles and girders of a specified bridge in accordance with the Special Provisions and in reasonably close conformity with the lines and details shown on the plans or established by the Engineer.

7. Sidewalk, Curb, and Gutter

Consists of the repair and replacement of undermined and deteriorated sidewalks, curb and gutter, and entrances in accordance with the Special Provisions and in reasonably close conformity with lines, grades, and limits established by the Engineer.

8. Pavement Marking

Consists of furnishing and installing retroreflectorized plastic pavement marking materials in accordance with the Special Provisions and the Federal Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices or as directed by the Engineer. Pavement markings include pavement line markings, school zone markings, railroad crossing markings, crosswalk markings, elongated arrows, and messages.

9. Guardrail Maintenance - yearly contract

Consists of replacing and installing guardrail and median barriers in reasonably close conformity with the existing lines and grades or as directed by the Engineer. The duration of the contract is for approximately one year for the areas specified. The contractor is given five days' notice as to when and where the repairs are needed. There is a minimum of 200 linear feet per repair call in the designated area.

10. Guardrail Replacement

Consists of installing, removing and/or resetting guardrail in accordance with the Special Provisions and in reasonably close conformity with the dimensions, lines, and grades shown on the plans and standards or established by the Engineer.

11. Cleaning and Painting Bridge Structural Steel

Consists of the preparation and painting of ferrous metal surfaces on designated existing structures in accordance with the Special Provisions. Surfaces to be painted include but are not limited to structural steel, bearing devices and anchors, expansion joints, drainage systems, utility lines, and attachments.

Cleaning and painting structural steel includes (1) the preparation and painting of metal surfaces, (2) the protection of pedestrian and vehicular traffic, public and private property, and waterways, and (3) furnishing all materials, labor, tools, equipment, and incidentals necessary to complete the work.

12. Pavement Repairs - examples

a. Repair of Portland Cement Concrete Pavement and Joints

Consists of cleaning and sealing joints and repairing defective pavements in accordance with the Special Provisions and at locations directed by the Engineer.

b. Bituminous Patching

Consists of furnishing all materials, labor, and equipment necessary for spot overlays on the roads specified and any other locations as designated by the Engineer.

c. Rehabilitation of Pavement

Consists of furnishing all labor and equipment necessary to reshape and prepare subgrade; place, shape and roll 4" 21-A stone; prime and seal roads specified and any other locations as designated by the Engineer.

1

13. Asphaltic Pavement Planing

Consists of planing the existing asphaltic surface in accordance with the Special Provisions and in reasonably close conformity with the lines, grades, and profiles shown on the plans or established by the Engineer. The planed and finished surface shall be free from gouges, grooves, ridges, sooting, oil film and other imperfections of workmanship and shall have a mosaic appearance suitable as a riding surface.

14. Sealing Pavement Shoulder Joints

Consists of sawing and sealing joints between the pavement and the shoulder in accordance with the Special Provisions and at locations as directed by the Engineer.

15. Undersealing Portland Cement Concrete Pavement

Consists of furnishing and pumping hot asphalt cement under portland cement concrete pavement in accordance with the Special Provisions or as directed by the Engineer.

16. Fencing Repairs and New Installation

Consists of replacing, adjusting, and repairing existing fencing and appurtenances and/or the installation of new fencing and appurtenances in accordance with the Special Provisions, standard specifications, Road Designs and Standards, or as directed by the Engineer, in reasonably close conformity with existing lines or grades and/or new lines and grades established by the Engineer.

17. Grooving Concrete Pavement

Consists of longitudinal grooving of portland cement concrete pavements, including bridge approach slabs and bridge decks, in accordance with the Special Provisions or as directed by the Engineer.

18. Coating Bituminous Pavements with Coal Tar Pitch Emulsions

Consists of furnishing and applying a coal tar pitch emulsion as a protective coating for bituminous concrete pavements on parking areas in accordance with the Special Provisions and in reasonably close conformity with the lines shown on the plans or established by the Engineer.

19. Hot Mix Bituminous Treatment

 \bigcirc

Consists of furnishing and constructing one or more pavement courses of hot mix bituminous treatment on existing roadway surface in accordance with the Special Provisions and in reasonably close conformity with the lines, grades, and thickness as established by the Engineer and specified in the Road and Bridge Specifications.

x., -

 \mathbb{C}^{*}

٠,

. . .

٠

Α,

APPENDIX B

LIST OF MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES

The following is a list of ordinary maintenance, maintenance replacement, and incidental construction activities.

Ordinary Maintenance Activities

Ordinary maintenance is the function of <u>preserving</u> each type of roadway, structure, and facility as near as possible in its condition as constructed.

General Expense

Engineering
Inclement Weather
Expendable Equipment
Payments to Towns
Employee Education
Servicing Equipment
Administrative Overhead

Bituminous Surface Repair

Spot Sealing or Skin Patching Premix Patching Spot Reconditioning Seal Cracks on Bituminous Surfaces Treat Bleeding Pavements Other Bituminous Surface Maintenance

Concrete Surface Repair

Patch with Concrete Concrete Joints Grouting, Undersealing or Pavement Jacking Other Repairs to Concrete Pavement

Non-Hard Surface Roads

Patching Non-Hard Surface Roads Machining Non-Hard Surface Roads Applying Dust Palliatives Other Non-Hard Surface Maintenance

Shoulder Maintenance Repair

0

Machine Non-Hard Surface Shoulders
Repair Non-Hard Surface Shoulder With Soil or Aggregate
Wedge Non-Hard Surface Shoulder With Bituminous Mixes
Repair Hard Surfaced Shoulders
Seal Cracks on Bituminous Shoulders
Other Shoulder Care

Ditches and Drainage

Clean and Reshape Ditches by Machine - Hauling Clean and Reshape Ditches by Machine - No Hauling Hand Cleaning of Ditches Clean and Repair Minor Drainage Structures Other Drainage Care

Roadside

Erosion Repair and Removal of Minor Slides Cleaning Right-of-Way Dead Animal and Litter Patrol Reseeding, Mulching, Sodding, and Resoiling Picnic Areas, Waysides, and Rest Areas Roadside Structures Fences

Vegetation Control

Tractor Mowing
Hand Mowing
Brush Cutting
Spraying Brush, Weeds or Grass
Trimming and Removing Trees

Signs and Traffic Control

Signs Traffic Signals Railroad Protection Devices Reversible Lanes

Traffic Services and Operations

Repair or Reset Guardrail Clean and/or Paint Guardrail Historical Markers Traffic Counts Highway Lighting Holiday Safety Service Patrol

Snow and Ice Control

Snow Removal Expendable Equipment
Standby and Patrol for Snow and Ice Control by
State Forces and Equipment
Standby Time for Snow and Ice Control by Hired Equipment
Snow Fence
Other Snow and Ice Control Support
Snow Removal and Ice Control by State Forces
Snow Removal and Ice Control by Hired Equipment
Availability Fee
Snow Removal Supervision

 \bigcirc

Structures

Repairing Substructures
Repairing Superstructures
Minor Repairs to Large Pipe Lines
Minor Repairs to Box Culverts
Waterproof Bridge Decks
Underwater Substructure Investigations

Drawbridges and Ferries

Operation of Drawbridges Repair Toll Fee Ferries Operation of Toll Free Ferries Repair Toll Ferries Operation of Toll Ferries

OWeigh Stations

Maintenance of Weighing Stations Operation of Permanent Weighing Stations

Tunnels

Maintenance of Tunnels Operation of Tunnels

Supervision

Maintenance Replacement Activities

Maintenance replacement is the function of <u>restoring</u> each type of roadway, structure, and facility as near as possible to its condition as constructed.

General Expense

0

 $\langle \rangle$

Engineering Inclement Weather Expendable Equipment

Surface Replacement

Recondition Non-Hard-Surface Roads
Recondition Hard-Surface Roads
Light Bituminous Retreatments
Heavy Bituminous Retreatments
Application of Plant Mix
Repair and/or Replacement of Portland Cement Concrete
Pavement Joints
Portland Cement Concrete Pavement Slab Repairs
Linseed Oil Treatments

Shoulders, Turnouts, and Drainage

Reconditioning Bituminous Retreatments Drainage Structures

Roadside

Major Cut and Fill Washouts and Slides
Roadside Structures
Major Waysides and Rest Areas
Replacement of Right-of-Way Fences
Reseeding Mulching, Sodding, and Resoiling
Replacement of Guardrail
Replacement of Existing Shrubs, Trees, etc.

Signs

Signs
Traffic Signals
Highway Lighting
Paint Guide Lines
Paint Pavement Messages
Nonproductive Time

Structures

Repairing Substructures
Repairing Superstructures
Waterproofing Bridge Decks
Major Repairs to Ferries
Repairs and Replacement of Pipe Lines 48" in Diameter
or Larger, Including Multi-Plate Pipe

0

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

Weigh Stations

Weighing Stations

Major Flood Damage Repairs

Roadway Repairs Structure Repairs Toll Free Ferries and Docks Removal of Debris Auxiliary Police Assistance

Supervision

Incidental Construction

Incidental construction is any operation which changes the type, width, length, location, or gradient of a road, facility, of structure.

General Expense

Engineering
Inclement Weather
Expendable Equipment

Right-of-Way

Engineering
Land
Damages
Buildings
Fences
Right-of-Way Markers

Roadway Construction

Clearing and Grubbing
Earthwork, Excavation, Compaction, Including Shoulders
and Slopes
Borrow
Subgrade
Superelevation of Curves

Surface and Base

Admixtures
Addition of Surface or Base Material
New Surface or Base Materials
Straight Bituminous Treatments
Penetration Treatment or Mixed-in-Place Treatments
Plant Mix Treatments

Shoulders and Entrances

Widening and/or grading Stabilizing Bituminous Treatments

Drainage Structures

 $\langle \rangle$

Excavation, Coffer Dams, Pumping, and Backfilling Substructure Including Footings Superstructure Protective Devices Riprap Large Pipe Lines Including Large Multi-Plate Pipes Box Culverts

Drainage and Small Drainage Structures

Structures
Pipe Culverts Across Roadway
Pipe Culverts Across Entrances
Box Culverts and Cattle Passes
Concrete Curb and Gutter
Paved or Rubble Masonary Gutters
Riprap
Retaining Walls
Sub-drain, Tile Drains, Channels, etc.

Traffic and Pedestrian Services

Guardrail and Guide Posts
Route Markers, Section Markers, and Signs
Highway Lighting and Traffic Signals
Permanent Traffic Lane Stripes
Sidewalks
Snow Fences
Intersection Reconstruction and Channelization
Civil Defense Signs and Markers
Ice Detection Devices

Roadside Development

Grading, Sloping, and Obliteration of Scars
Soiling, Seeding and Sodding
Planting, or Replanting Trees, Shrubs, Care of
Existing Vegetation, etc.
Drainage Channels
Waysides and Turnouts
Tree Wells and Walls
Rustic guard

 \bigcirc

Toll Free Ferries and Docks

Ferries Docks, Slips, and Wharves Stationary Equipment

Supervision