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## INTRODUCTION

In 1993, Governor George Allen established the Governor's Commission on Government Reform (GCGR) to investigate and recommend changes in state government that would streamline its operation and improve its efficiency and service. The GCGR reviewed the Virginia Department of Transportation's (VDOT) organization as a part of their investigation. The review resulted in the following recommendation concerning the Department's field maintenance organization:

VDOT should eliminate or combine area headquarters facilities that are no longer needed, looking at the following served by each facility: vehicle miles traveled, lane miles served and area served (see Appendix ${ }^{1}$ ).

In response to the GCGR's recommendation, VDOT set out to determine the optimum number of physical facilities that were needed to maintain the current road system. One factor considered in this determination was the size of the workload the area superintendent could effectively administer. This workload has a direct impact on the size of the maintenance area, and in turn influences the location, size and services available from the area headquarters (see Appendix ${ }^{2}$ ). One of the primary contributors to the superintendent's workload is the number of road miles administered.

In 1991, a similar investigation was undertaken as part of a study for the Secretary of Transportation (VDOT, 1991). That investigation recommended a reduction in the number of area headquarters statewide. The methodology defined workload in terms of road miles administered by an area superintendent. The maximum workload any superintendent was expected to effectively manage was determined as a function of the volume of traffic using that road mileage. The 1991 study considered both lane miles and vehicle miles traveled. Since it involved two of the factors recommended by the GCGR for consideration, an update of the 1991 study was ordered.

## The 1991 Study

The 1991 study determined the maximum workload that area superintendents were effectively managing and supported increasing the workload assigned to other superintendents so that the workload on all superintendents was more equally distributed. The premise was that an area superintendent's workload can be represented by the road miles assigned to him and that the maximum workload that can be effectively managed is a function of the vehicle miles traveled per lane mile on those roads.

The study defined workload as road miles administered by a superintendent. Road miles were chosen because they are an easily measured inventory item and the time required to review a mile of road is relatively constant. The premise assumed that other factors such as terrain, traffic by road system, width and location have little effect upon the superintendent's ability to observe problems, determine the solution, assemble resources and schedule those resources to correct the problem. To accomplish these four steps requires only time, if money is properly allocated to maintain the road system. The study assumed that the maintenance dollar is properly distributed for this purpose.

The number of miles that a superintendent could effectively manage was assumed to depend primarily on how quickly the highway deteriorated, and that the rate of deterioration was a function of road use. The study chose vehicle miles traveled per lane mile (VMT/LM) as an indicator of road use. The time available to accomplish the four steps associated with the administration of the superintendent's workload is dependent on how fast the road system deteriorates. While many factors, such as weather, traffic volume and weight, and the adequacy of roadway design, affect the rate of deterioration, traffic volume is the most easily measured. The premise was that when comparing maintenance areas with large road mileages, the effects of the other factors would be neutralized and the rate of deterioration would be reflected as a function of traffic volume.

The 1991 study determined the number of road miles maintained by every superintendent in the state. In counties with more than one maintenance headquarters, the figure was determined as the average number of road miles maintained by each superintendent. This was necessary since the first opportunity to tabulate the average VMT/LM occurs at the county level, not at the area headquarters level. The data was graphed (Attachment A). For each county, the average road miles per superintendent ( Y -axis) was plotted against the VMT/LM on those roads ( X axis). This created a scatter diagram of all the superintendents' workloads versus the VMT/LM.

Analysis of the scatter diagram indicated a wide divergence between the road miles maintained (workload) by the superintendents at any given VMT/LM (traffic load). Since the objective of the study was to equalize and maximize the workload on each superintendent at any given traffic load, a maximum workload level had to be established for each traffic load. Recognizing the limitations of using road miles as the only indicator of workload, the study managers established a maximum workload by connecting, with a straight line, the two points on
the scatter diagram that caused all other points to have a lower workload than the line at any given VMT/LM. This established a maximum workload level that at least two superintendents were carrying while effectively managing their responsibilities. This line was called the Amelia Rule after the higher of the two points.

The study managers realized that road miles maintained do not fully represent the workload on a maintenance superintendent. Some of these other factors which add to this workload or to the time, effort, knowledge and skills the superintendent must bring to bear are population served, terrain, prevailing weather conditions, employees supervised, employee turnover, employee skills, soil conditions, and other facilities maintained (for example, rest areas). To compensate for these other factors during the general review of the superintendents' workload, a line equal to $85 \%$ of that established by the Amelia Rule was adopted as the limit of average road mileage to be assigned to each superintendent. This line was called the $85 \%$ Amelia Rule or the $85 \%$ Rule.

Once the $85 \%$ Rule was established, the number of maintenance areas in each residency was adjusted to increase the average road miles per superintendent to a point as near to the line as possible without exceeding the workload established by the $85 \%$ Rule. Road miles and the average VMT/LM for each residency were estimated for 1994, to allow for the effects of growth on workload and the rate of deterioration so that superintendent positions and headquarters would not be recommended for elimination only to be needed again in a short time. The study recommended reducing the number of area superintendents, maintenance areas and area headquarters, eliminating 17 to 34 area superintendent positions.

The study recognized that the $85 \%$ Rule does not fully consider other factors influencing workload in individual residencies. After a review of the locations recommended for elimination considering these factors, 17 area superintendents were eliminated and the headquarters were consolidated, reduced to subareas, converted to storage lots, or closed.

## PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE

The purpose of this study was to consider the area headquarters from the perspective of the workload of the area superintendent, as one tool for evaluating the number of area headquarters needed to maintain VDOT's road system. Other tools will also be needed for the evaluation to be comprehensive.

The objectives of this study were (1) to determine the maximum road mileage area superintendents are effectively managing at each traffic load level and (2) to redistribute the statewide road mileage among the area superintendents so that (a) their individual road mileage is maximized and (b) the number of area superintendents is minimized. The study used the methodology developed for the 1991 study. The determination and redistribution of road mileage was based on the most recent data available, which was through December 31, 1993.

The results were "current" as of that date. The study also estimated growth to the year 2000 and redistributed the estimated road mileage in that year using the maximums developed for current (1993) conditions. The year 2000 was chosen to assure that any changes would be applicable for at least a five-year period. Since the purpose was to develop a tool for evaluating the number of area headquarters needed by updating existing study material, no review of the literature or of other study methods was included.

A management criterion affected the results of this study. Unlike most states, Virginia maintains local (secondary) roads through its state transportation department. In many counties, VDOT's local presence is strong. To retain this local contact, management directed that each county have at least one area headquarters, administered by an area superintendent.

The influence of factors other than road mileage on the workload of the superintendent is difficult to quantify. The $85 \%$ Rule used in the 1991 study was somewhat arbitrary, and the individual review process used at locations recommended for elimination further undermined its credibility as a rule. To avoid this ambiguity, the current study did not reduce the mileage levels determined by the new rule.

## METHODS

## 1991 Study Appropriateness

This study is basically an update of the 1991 unpublished research on the same subject. The first step in the study was to review the 1991 research and determine its appropriateness for use with updated data. The 1991 Study used the following information to develop the area superintendents' workload:

Road miles maintained by VDOT, tabulated by county. This included roads in the interstate, primary and secondary road systems, but did not include service roads. Roads in the urban system are not maintained by VDOT and were not included. This information was obtained from the Traffic Engineering Division and was current through 1989.

Lane miles of roads maintained by VDOT, tabulated by county. The lane miles represented the same mileage of interstate, primary and secondary roads as measured for the road miles. The service road lane miles were not included. This information was obtained from the Traffic Engineering Division and was current through 1989.

Vehicle miles traveled (VMT) on VDOT maintained roads, tabulated by county. This information was obtained from the Traffic Engineering Division and was calculated from traffic count data collected in 1989 for the interstate and primary systems. Secondary system data was current through 1986.

Area superintendents per county. This information was obtained from the 45 residency offices and was current through 1991.

All data, except secondary VMT, were current through 1989. To estimate the secondary VMT in 1989, secondary traffic growth for each county was assumed to equal the county's population growth between 1986 and 1989. Population growth data between 1986 and 1989 were obtained from the Tayloe Murphy Institute and applied to the 1986 secondary VMT for each county. The resulting secondary VMT estimate was added to the 1989 VMT data for the interstate and primary systems to create a total VMT for each county.

The data described above were used to graph the superintendents' workload versus vehicle miles traveled per lane mile (VMT/LM) (Attachment A). The graph was used to develop the Amelia Rule, applied to reduce the number of superintendents and redistribute their road mileage. The 1991 study confined the adjustments in the number of superintendents and area boundaries within residency boundaries, to retain the maintenance of all roads in any county under the residency to which it was assigned. The maintenance area boundaries, however, were allowed to cross county lines included within each residency to which they were assigned.

An estimate was developed of the workload expected for each superintendent in the year 1994. Since the adjustment of the number of superintendents was to be restricted to residencies, the estimates were made based on residency totals for road miles, lane miles and VMT. The process chosen to estimate their growth was to determine the median growth rate among the residencies for road miles, lane miles and VMT for the period from 1986 to 1989. These growth rates were then applied equally to each residency to estimate 1994 road miles, lane miles and VMT.

There are two objections to the process used to estimate growth in the 1991 study. The first involves the growth estimates for secondary traffic counts. Comparison of secondary traffic count growth with population growth between 1980 and 1986 indicates no correlation between the two. In most cases the traffic grows at a faster rate than population. The comparison showed that secondary traffic usually increased even when total population fell. An investigation of factors influencing secondary traffic growth is not complete for inclusion in this report; however, it appears that some other method should be used to estimate growth in secondary traffic. The second objection is the use of a single growth rate for road miles, lane miles and VMT in all residencies. The growth rates of all three varied widely between residencies. It would have been more accurate if they had been applied on a county or residency basis.

To improve the accuracy of the VMT estimates for the current study, Transportation Planning Division estimates were used where actual traffic count data did not exist. This included secondary counts for 1993 and 2000 and interstate and primary counts for the year 2000 (see Appendix ${ }^{3}$ ).

To make road mile and lane mile estimates for the year 2000 more reflective of local growth, the growth rate of each was determined for each county between 1989 and 1993. The growth was then considered to continue at the same rate for each county until the year 2000. An exception was made for the interstate road miles, where the year 2000 figure was considered to be the same as that in 1993. To assure that all road mileage and lane mileage was considered, the service and frontage road data were included in the totals for each county.

## Determination of Maximum Manageable Road Mileage

The objective of the current study was to estimate the maximum road mileage area superintendents are effectively managing. To accomplish this objective the study reviewed current (1993) conditions. The road mileage, lane mileage and vehicle miles traveled on VDOT-maintained roads currently assigned to the area superintendents in each county were tabulated (Attachment B).

A scatter diagram of each county's average road miles per superintendent versus VMT/LM was plotted (Attachment C). Using the scatter diagram, a straight line was established connecting the two points which result in all other points having a lower average road miles per superintendent than the line for any given VMT/LM. The two points chosen were Dickenson County and Hanover County. The adequacy of the maintenance and the superintendent's span of control in those two counties was investigated and determined to be adequate (see Appendix ${ }^{4}$ ). The resulting line became the rule. To distinguish it from other formulae, the line is titled the "Dickenson Rule." Each rule is defined by its Y axis intercept and the slope of the line. The Dickenson Rule has a Y axis intercept of 499, and a slope of 0.04483 .

## Maximizing Current Conditions

Once the Dickenson Rule was established, the number of superintendents needed in each residency under current conditions could be calculated. To accomplish this, the road mileage, lane mileage and VMT estimates were combined to create totals for each residency. The number of superintendents needed in each residency in the current year (1993) was determined by dividing the road miles in the residency by the maximum road miles per superintendent established by the Dickenson Rule. This maximum road miles figure was determined by entering Attachment C at the appropriate VMT/LM for the residency and reading the average road miles per area superintendent level at the line established by the Dickenson Rule. Attachment D tabulates the results by residency.

## Estimating Conditions in the Year 2000

To estimate conditions in the year 2000, growth of road miles and lane miles between 1993 and 2000 was determined for each county. To make road mile and lane mile estimates for the year 2000 more reflective of local growth, the growth rate of each was determined for each county between 1989 and 1993 (Attachment E). The growth was then considered to continue at the same rate, for each county, until the year 2000. An exception was made for the interstate road miles. The year 2000 figure for interstate mileage was considered to be the same as that in 1993, since the interstate road mileage is complete in Virginia. Interstate lane mileage is assumed to continue to grow, however. Using these rates of growth, the 1993 data for road and lane mileage was projected to the year 2000. VMT estimates, by county, for the year 2000 were obtained from the Transportation Planning Division.

The road mileage, lane mileage and VMT estimates for each county were combined to create estimated figures by residency for the year 2000. Attachment F tabulates the results by residency. The number of superintendents needed in each residency in the year 2000 was determined by dividing the estimated road miles per residency by the maximum road miles per superintendent established by the Dickenson Rule. The objective of the study was to determine the maximum road mileage the superintendents are currently effectively managing, not to determine what the maximum might be. Therefore, the maximum road mileage assigned to each superintendent remains as established by the 1993 data.

## FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the process described above were a recommended number of superintendents for each residency for the current year (1993) and the year 2000. These results are shown in Attachment D for 1993 and in Attachment F for 2000. The recommendations represent the number of superintendents needed if the average road miles assigned each superintendent are increased to the level determined by the Dickenson Rule.

Attachments D and F indicate that if the road mileage in each residency was reassigned, the number of maintenance superintendents could be reduced now by $82(37.2 \%)$ or be reduced by 76 in $2000(34.5 \%)$. There are currently 220 maintenance area superintendents statewide. Reductions would occur in all residencies except Ashland, which remained unchanged.

The study rounded the number of superintendents determined by the Rule up to the next whole number if the number was XX. 3 or above. The rounding was down if the number is XX. 2 or below. This created conditions in 12 residencies, in both the current year (1993) and 2000, where the average road mileage assigned each superintendent exceeded the maximum established by the Rule. To reduce the average road mileage assigned to area superintendents in those counties below the number determined by the Dickenson Rule, one superintendent should be added to the number assigned to each.

VDOT management has decided to retain a minimum of one area headquarters with a superintendent in every county in the state. The 1993 data indicated that four residencies (Luray, Saluda, Sandston, and Warsaw) would have fewer superintendents than counties assigned to them. Each would have one superintendent restored to them as a result of this decision. In 2000 the number would be three since Sandston is one of the six residencies that would gain a superintendent, based on growth of road mileage and VMT/LM, between 1993 and 2000.

The net effect of these considerations suggests that the number of superintendents be reduced by between 66 to 82 positions now or between 61 to 76 positions by 2000 .

## CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of this study was to develop a tool for determining the number of area headquarters needed to properly maintain Virginia's road system; specifically, a process to determine the number of area superintendents and maintenance areas needed to administer the maintenance program.

While the data support a recommendation to reduce the number of maintenance areas and area superintendents by one-third, there are other factors affecting workload that are not considered here. Those factors were outlined above in the description of the 1991 Study. The 1991 Study attempted to account for those other factors, first by adjusting the number of recommended superintendents to $85 \%$ of the Amelia Rule developed at the time, and then by individually reviewing the residencies recommended for position reductions. The current study has not attempted to account for those factors..

In conclusion, the reductions in the number of maintenance areas and superintendents suggested by the study should be considered as a maximum number, and other factors influencing workload should be investigated to determine the extent of their influence.
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## APPENDIX

## 1. Definitions

Maintenance Area- A geographical area containing a specific road mileage. It is managed by an area superintendent who has responsibility for the maintenance of the road mileage.

Area Headquarters- A physical facility consisting of buildings and grounds. It serves as the home base for one or more maintenance areas and is used as a location to store equipment and materials for the maintenance of the road system.

Subarea: A physical facility similar to an area headquarters. The people, equipment and materials housed there may be administered by a maintenance supervisor or crew leader, but the whole operation is under the administration of an area superintendent housed at another location. While the subarea may have primary responsibility for the roads in a geographical area, these roads are included in the maintenance area assigned to the area superintendent. The subarea is not to be considered as a geographical area as is the maintenance area.

Road Miles- The length of a road measured along its centerline. The number of lanes and whether the road is divided has no effect on the number of road miles. A two lane gravel road one mile long or an eight lane divided highway one mile long are both one road mile long.

Lane Miles- The length of a road determined by multiplying the number of lanes the road has by its centerline length. A two lane gravel road one road mile long is two lane miles long. An eight lane divided highway one road mile long is eight lane miles long.

Vehicle Miles Traveled- A calculation obtained by multiplying the daily traffic count for a section of road by the centerline length of the road.

## 2. A Discussion of the Influence of Superintendent Workload on the Number of Area Headquarters Needed

In the past many area headquarters (AHQs) housed two or more area superintendents (A/S) (Ex: Newington in Fairfax County and Fremont in Dickenson County). Today Camp 30 has at least three maintenance areas (M/A). There are also many M/A that are serviced out of an AHQs and a subarea (Ex: Zions X-roads and Ferncliff). This would seem to indicate that there is no connection between the number of $A / S$ (or $M / A$ ) needed and the number of AHQs needed.

## If the number of $A / S$ and M/A don't affect the number of AHQs needed, what factors do?

The response time or travel time to points within the M/A served by the AHQs should be considered. AHQs should be located to allow what management determines is an adequate response or travel time. When response and travel time exceed the limits set, subareas are warranted.

The physical capacity of the AHQs. This is defined as the area available for storage of equipment and essential materials as well as accommodate the people assigned to the M/A. When the AHQs will not accommodate the full needs of the M/A, subareas or storage lots are warranted.

Environmental or socio-economic concerns may not allow certain essential items to be available at the AHQs (Example: Storage of snow removal chemicals). In such cases subareas or storage lots may be needed.

## What should we do if we were just starting to divide the state into maintenance areas?

1. Set a response/travel time limit
2. Develop response/travel time contours for each residency (in accordance with VDOT policy that AHQ boundaries will not cross residency boundaries) to determine the minimum number of AHQs needed. Use the time limit from the residency boundary to determine areas (location area) that are suitable for locating AHQs based on response time.
3. Determine the number of $M /$ As needed in each residency based on $A / S$ workload and VDOT policy that each county will have a minimum of one A/S.
4. Distribute the M/As to the AHQ location areas.
5. Determine the facilities needed at each AHQ to properly serve the M/As assigned.
6. Find AHQ sites within the location areas that meet the size and environmental requirements for the $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{As}$
7. Design and construct the AHQs

In summary, if we were just starting to locate and design AHQs, we should

1. Let response/travel time determine the general area where AHQs would be built.
2. Let the number and size of the $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{As}$ assigned determine the physical size of the AHQs
3. Let the environmental and socio-economic concerns, combined with 1 and 2, determine the exact site and design of the AHQs

If we were just starting to locate and design AHQs, the number of M/As in a residency determines only the size of the AHQ. The number of A/S needed determines the number of M/As needed.

## We are not just starting out. We must use existing AHQs for M/As. How does that fact change the connection between AHQs and M/As?

If the number of $\mathrm{M} /$ As determine only the size of the facilities needed, then knowing the number of $\mathrm{M} /$ As determines the total size needed. This size can be spread over the existing AHQs. The effect will be:

If lots are too small to accommodate the full $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{A}$, this will determine the number of AHQs and subareas needed. Response/travel time may dictate a subarea even if the AHQ lot has the capacity for the M/A. If a subarea is not available, the M/A should be downsized.

If the lot is large enough to accommodate more than one $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{A}$, this will determine the number of M/A assigned to the AHQ. Response/travel time may dictate a subarea even if the AHQ lot has the capacity for the M/A. If a subarea is not available, the M/A should be downsized.

## What's the conclusion?

A/S workload determines the number of $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{A}$ needed. The number of the $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{A}$ and their service needs determines the physical size and facilities needed. The physical size and facilities needed determine the number of AHQs, subareas, and storage lots needed. The number needed will be different between a new start condition and the current condition, but the way $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{M} /$ As influence the number of AHQs remains the same.
3. The Transportation Planning Division (TPD) bases their estimates for VMT in future years on growth of traffic over the last three available years data. For the Secondary System, they collect traffic counts for arterial and collector routes and assume the count on local secondaries remains at the same level as the last previous count. In some cases the last previous count is 1986 . While this method would seem to produce an estimate that is lower than the actual volume, the figures they produce are higher than those produced when population growth is used as an indicator. The TPD estimates are used by VDOT to develop plans for future transportation needs and appear to be the most reliable information available.
4. Contact was made with both Wise Residency (Dickenson County) and Ashland Residency (Hanover County). Responses were as follows:

Dickenson County: On $5 / 1 / 95$, I spoke to Leland Branham, RE at Wise Residency, concerning the Fremont AHQs in Dickenson County. He said the AHQ has responsibility for all the VDOT roads in Dickenson County and is under the administration of one superintendent. The area has a MEL of 34 and he has two maintenance supervisors to assist him.

This area was changed in 1991 from two maintenance areas on the same lot, each with a superintendent, to a single maintenance area under one superintendent. All the operators are distributed evenly between the maintenance supervisors and the county is split geographically between the two supervisors. Leland feels this is a better arrangement than existed prior to 1991, since a single person, on site, is now responsible for the lot, the county's roads and the people working at the AHQ, instead of having this responsibility split as it was in the past. He feels the superintendent is able to handle the workload, in road miles, and the people under this arrangement. He considers the two factors of 1) adequate supervisory help (the maintenance supervisors) and 2) having all the area personnel at one location, essential to the success of this operation.

In Wise County, the other county in his residency, he has three AHQs, each with a superintendent. Their work force varies from 12 to 20 people each. Access from one side of Wise to the other passes through Norton, which slows traffic and acts as a bottleneck. Also the AHQs lots are small and cannot be expanded to accommodate more people and equipment. Leland feels splitting an AHQ between two locations, with one becoming a subarea, would be difficult to administer.

Hanover/Goochland: On $5 / 1 / 95$, I spoke to Miles Pierce, MOM at Ashland. He says that Sandy Hook AHQ in Goochland maintains 46 road miles in Hanover County. The distribution of road miles, lane miles and manpower among the three AHQs in Ashland are as follows:

| AHQ | Road Miles | Lane Miles | MEL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sandy Hook | 433.16 | 961.6 | 29 |
| East Hanover | 335.16 | 796.82 | 30 |
| West Hanover | 426.86 | 960.94 | 31 |

The road miles and lane miles for Sandy Hook and West Hanover exceed the averages per

AHQ attributed to Hanover County in the AHQ Study (418.60 RM, 933 LM). East Hanover operates with all personnel reporting to a single location. Goochland and West Hanover have their personnel split between the main AHQ and a subarea located some distance from the superintendent. The level of service (LOS) at East Hanover is acceptable most of the time. The LOS at West Hanover and Goochland fails to meet standard more often. Miles indicates that it is more challenging to maintain the areas and administer the people when the personnel is split between the headquarters and the subarea. He attributes the differences in LOS between East Hanover and the other two areas to this situation.
1989 SUPERINTENDENT WORKLOAD

Road Miles/ Area Sup't __ Amelia Rule

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & 1993 \\ & \text { ROAD } \\ & \text { MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & 1993 \\ & \text { AREA } \\ & \text { SUP'T } \end{aligned}$ | ROAD <br> MILES <br> PER <br> SUP'T | VMT TOTAL (TPD EST FOR SEC) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & 1993 \\ & \text { LANE } \\ & \text { MILES } \end{aligned}$ | UTILIZATIO VMT PER LANE MILE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accomac County | 662 | 2 | 330.82 | 968,311 | 1,403 | 690.19 |
| Albemarle County | 966 | 4 | 241.51 | 2,693,446 | 2,085 | 1292.11 |
| Alleghany County | 396 | 2 | 197.80 | 656,385 | 880 | 746.24 |
| Amelia County | 396 | 1 | 395.62 | 383,957 | 827 | 464.34 |
| Amherst County | 636 | 2 | 317.75 | 798,597 | 1,318 | 605.70 |
| Appomattox County | 477 | 1 | 476.80 | 457,740 | 989 | 462.76 |
| Arlington County | 53 | 1 | 53.29 | 2,773,730 | 244 | 11367.75 |
| Augusta County | 1,196 | 5 | 239.13 | 2,569,102 | 2,528 | 1016.41 |
| Bath County | 318 | 1 | 317.88 | 150,336 | 636 | 236.47 |
| Bedford County | 1,076 | 4 | 268.92 | 1,506,436 | 2,220 | 678.43 |
| Bland County | 308 | 2 | 153.95 | 599,542 | 657 | 911.99 |
| Botetourt County | 650 | 3 | 216.73 | 1,604,243 | 1,438 | 1115.31 |
| Brunswick County | 697 | 2 | 348.36 | 841,578 | 1,509 | 557.88 |
| Buchanan County | 532 | 3 | 177.33 | 807,980 | 1,101 | 733.95 |
| Buckingham County | 662 | 2 | 330.81 | 360,559 | 1,329 | 271.21 |
| Campbell County | 770 | 4 | 192.47 | 1,430,274 | 1,640 | 872.17 |
| Caroline County | 579 | 2 | 289.69 | 1,704,176 | 1,317 | 1294.31 |
| Carroll County | 945 | 3 | 314.91 | 1,155,161 | 1,968 | 586.94 |
| Charles City County | 180 | 1 | 180.05 | 245,942 | 360 | 682.98 |
| Charlotte County | 592 | 2 | 295.80 | 388,107 | 1,232 | 315.08 |
| City of Chesapeake | 47 | 1 | 46.71 | 1,299,550 | 205 | 6330.62 |
| Chesterfield County | 1,448 | 5 | 289.52 | 7,994,485 | 3,251 | 2458.75 |
| City of Suffolk | 620 | 3 | 206.79 | 1,771,299 | 1,364 | 1298.81 |
| Clarke County | 259 | 1 | 258.90 | 485,056 | 574 | 845.46 |
| Craig County | 240 | 1 | 240.22 | 154,675 | 480 | 321.94 |
| Culpeper County | 523 | 2 | 261.39 | 710,507 | 1,089 | 652.59 |
| Cumberland County | 344 | 1 | 344.30 | 183,396 | 694 | 264.37 |
| Dickenson County | 481 |  | 481.16 | 386,126 | 966 | 399.89 |
| Dinwiddie County | 662 | 3 | 220.57 | 1,484,943 | 1,445 | 1027.66 |
| Essex County | 309 | 1 | 309.02 | 431,794 | 697 | 619.41 |
| Fairfax County | 2,580 | 10 | 258.05 | 23,042,439 | 5,960 | 3866.04 |
| Fauquier County | 909 | 3 | 303.04 | 2,215,791 | 1,972 | 1123.54 |
| Floyd County | 676 | 2 | 338.01 | 334,778 | 1,352 | 247.61 |
| Fluvanna County | 338 | , | 338.48 | 259,378 | 677 | 383.15 |
| Franklin County | 1,120 | 4 | 279.93 | 1,312,913 | 2,297 | 571.56 |
| Frederick County | 684 | 3 | 227.83 | 2,030,523 | 1,528 | 1328.89 |
| Giles County | 405 | 2 | 202.71 | 538,828 | 891 | 604.46 |
| Gloucester County | 356 | 1 | 355.75 | 803,729 | 780 | 1029.97 |
| Goochland County | 399 |  | 399.00 | 1,116,646 | 865 | 1290.70 |
| Grayson County | 768 | 3 | 255.91 | 310,780 | 1,540 | 201.76 |
| Greene County | 210 | 1 | 210.22 | 310,214 | 437 | 709.73 |
| Greensville County | 337 | 1 | 337.43 | 777,478 | 740 | 1050.79 |
| Halifax County | 996 | 3 | 331.93 | 950,965 | 2,108 | 451.14 |
| Hanover County | 837 | 2 | 418.60 | 3,351,151 | 1,867 | 1795.35 |
| Henrico County | 188 | 4 | 46.95 | 4,567,847 | 777 | 5875.65 |
| Henry County | 782 | 3 | 260.59 | 1,605,707 | 1,679 | 956.31 |
| Highland County | 284 | 2 | 142.21 | 89,993 | 569 | 158.21 |
| Isle of Wight County | 509 | 2 | 254.40 | 848,430 | 1,077 | 787.51 |
| James City County | 288 | 2 | 143.96 | 1,082,434 | 645 | 1677.67 |
| King \& Queen County | 345 | 1 | 345.11 | 253,773 | 723 | 350.99 |
| King George County | 244 | 1 | 243.52 | 569,977 | 532 | 1070.98 |
| King William County | 311 | 1 | 311.32 | 386,728 | 640 | 604.23 |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & 1993 \\ & \text { ROAD } \\ & \text { MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & 1993 \\ & \text { AREA } \\ & \text { SUP'T } \end{aligned}$ | ROAD <br> MILES PER SUP'T | $\begin{gathered} \text { VMT } \\ \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { (TPD EST } \\ \text { FOR SEC) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & 1993 \\ & \text { LANE } \\ & \text { MILES } \end{aligned}$ | UTILIZATIO VMT PER LANE MILE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lancaster County | 266 | 1 | 266.25 | 256,941 | 544 | 472.39 |
| Lee County | 662 |  | 220.80 | 556,534 | 1,345 | 413.85 |
| Loudoun County | 900 | 4 | 225.02 | 2,541,520 | 1,926 | 1319.29 |
| Louisa County | 634 | 3 | 211.33 | 1,017,632 | 1,302 | 781.53 |
| Lunenburg County | 567 | 2 | 283.39 | 257,279 | 1,134 | 226.81 |
| Madison County | 368 | 1 | 367.71 | 431,748 | 769 | 561.66 |
| Mathews County | 172 | 1 | 172.48 | 220,599 | 345 | 639.16 |
| Mecklenburg County | 886 | 4 | 221.44 | 1,068,347 | 1,853 | 576.62 |
| Middlesex County | 204 | 1 | 203.70 | 375,550 | 439 | 855.16 |
| Montgomery County | 514 | 2 | 257.25 | 1,817,272 | 1,152 | 1577.86 |
| Nelson County | 575 | 2 | 287.72 | 448,074 | 1,194 | 375.34 |
| New Kent County | 284 | 1 | 283.88 | 1,101,485 | 660 | 1668.03 |
| City of Norfolk | 26 | 1 | 25.79 | 2,557,820 | 150 | 17064.65 |
| Northampton County | 281 | 1 | 281.40 | 398,249 | 627 | 635.43 |
| Northumberland County | 361 | 1 | 361.05 | 339,846 | 739 | 459.84 |
| Nottoway County | 384 | 1 | 384.27 | 449,516 | 829 | 542.55 |
| Orange County | 427 | 2 | 213.35 | 560,629 | 877 | 639.51 |
| Page County | 361 | 1 | 360.75 | 366,804 | 758 | 483.75 |
| Patrick County | 722 | 3 | 240.63 | 502,473 | 1,444 | 348.03 |
| Pittsylvania County | 1,602 | 5 | 320.35 | 1,397,392 | 3,326 | 420.09 |
| Powhatan County | 294 | 1 | 293.80 | 464,863 | 612 | 759.26 |
| Prince Edward County | 472 | 2 | 235.96 | 512,676 | 1,027 | 499.40 |
| Prince George County | 389 | 2 | 194.52 | 1,183,677 | 892 | 1327.33 |
| Prince William County | 881 | 5 | 176.15 | 5,481,405 | 1,986 | 2760.51 |
| Pulaski County | 437 | 2 | 218.48 | 977,841 | 949 | 1030.83 |
| Rappahannock County | 276 | 1 | 275.55 | 279,852 | 593 | 472.29 |
| Richmond County | 242 | 1 | 241.54 | 312,852 | 511 | 612.71 |
| Roanoke County | 631 | 3 | 210.41 | 2,535,168 | 1,390 | 1823.86 |
| Rockbridge County | 780 | 3 | 259.86 | 1,543,213 | 1,697 | 909.59 |
| Rockingham County | 1,068 | 4 | 266.96 | 2,187,559 | 2,267 | 964.94 |
| Russell County | 666 | 2 | 332.77 | 795,631 | 1,391 | 571.82 |
| Scott County | 810 | 3 | 270.13 | 812,543 | 1,674 | 485.31 |
| Shenandoah County | 765 | 3 | 255.07 | 1,426,346 | 1,624 | 878.21 |
| Smyth County | 563 | 3 | 187.70 | 957,282 | 1,178 | 812.82 |
| Southampton County | 767 | 3 | 255.58 | 775,863 | 1,599 | 485.16 |
| Spotsylvania County | 654 | 2 | 327.14 | 2,396,060 | 1,434 | 1670.43 |
| Stafford County | 473 | 2 | 236.55 | 2,550,125 | 1,089 | 2341.09 |
| Surry County | 299 | 1 | 299.40 | 200,062 | 599 | 333.93 |
| Sussex County | 574 | 2 | 286.99 | 854,761 | 1,228 | 696.00 |
| Tazewell County | 603 | 3 | 201.07 | 932,681 | 1,295 | 720.27 |
| Virginia Beach | 24 | 1 | 23.91 | 1,653,500 | 131 | 12664.68 |
| Warren County | 249 | 1 | 249.35 | 569,423 | 546 | 1041.96 |
| Washington County | 904 | 3 | 301.45 | 1,716,238 | 1,906 | 900.43 |
| Westmoreland County | 405 |  | 404.88 | 384,051 | 809 | 474.93 |
| Wise County | 507 | 3 | 169.07 | 928,662 | 1,107 | 838.70 |
| Wythe County | 593 | 3 | 197.59 | 1,442,493 | 1,297 | 1112.42 |
| York County | 337 | 1 | 337.37 | 4,047,572 | 851 | 4755.75 |
| AVERAGE |  |  | 263.73 |  |  | 1280.21 |
| TOTAL | 55,446 | 220 |  | 138,346,044 | 119,197 |  |



|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & 1993 \\ & \text { ROAD } \\ & \text { MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YEAR } \\ 1993 \\ \text { AREA } \\ \text { SUP'T } \end{gathered}$ | ROAD <br> MILES PER SUP'T | VMT TOTAL (TPD EST FOR SEC) | YEAR <br> 1993 <br> LANE <br> MILES | UTILIZATIO <br> VMT PER <br> LANE MILE | 1 | ROAD MILES PER SUP'T BASED ON RULE | NUMBER OF SUP'T BASED ON RULE | NUMBER OF SUP'T ROUNDED TO AN INTEGER | RECOMMEND NUMBER EXISTING NUMBER | WORKLOAD IMPLIED BY HYPOTHETIC "RULE" | CHANGE IN WORKLOAD IMPLIED BY "RULE" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABINGDON | 1,467 | 6 | 245 | 2,673,520 | 3,084 | 867 | 1 | 460 | 3.2 | 3 | -3 | 489 | 245 |
| ACCOMAC | 943 | 3 | 314 | 1,366,560 | 2,030 | 673 | 1 | 469 | 2.0 | 2 | -1 | 472 | 157 |
| AMELIA | 1,347 | 4 | 337 | 1,090,752 | 2,790 | 391 | 1 | 482 | 2.8 | 3 | -1 | 449 | 112 |
| AMHERST | 1,211 | 4 | 303 | 1,246,671 | 2,512 | 496 | I | 477 | 2.5 | 3 | -1 | 404 | 101 |
| APPOMATTOX | 1,247 | 5 | 249 | 1,888,014 | 2,629 | 718 | I | 467 | 2.7 | 3 | -2 | 416 | 166 |
| ASHLAND | 1,236 | 3 | 412 | 4,467,797 | 2,732 | 1,636 | I | 426 | 2.9 | 3 | 0 | 412 | 0 |
| BEDFORD | 1,076 | 4 | 269 | 1,506,436 | 2,220 | 678 | I | 469 | 2.3 | 3 | -1 | 359 | 90 |
| BOWLING GREEN | 1,200 | 4 | 300 | 2,522,698 | 2,654 | 951 | 1 | 456 | 2.6 | 3 | -1 | 400 | 100 |
| CHARLOTTESVILLE | 1,176 | 5 | 235 | 3,003,660 | 2,522 | 1,191 | I | 446 | 2.6 | 3 | -2 | 392 | 157 |
| CHATHAM | 1,602 | 5 | 320 | 1,397,392 | 3,326 | 420 | , | 480 | 3.3 | 4 | -1 | 400 | 80 |
| CHESTERFIELD | 1,741 | 6 | 290 | 8,459,348 | 3,864 | 2,189 | 1 | 401 | 4.3 | 5 | -1 | 348 | 58 |
| CHRISTIANSBURG | 1,357 | 6 | 226 | 3,333,941 | 2,992 | 1,114 | I | 449 | 3.0 | 3 | -3 | 452 | 226 |
| CULPEPER | 1,317 | 5 | 263 | 1,702,884 | 2,734 | 623 | I | 471 | 2.8 | 3 | -2 | 439 | 176 |
| DILLWYN | 1,478 | 5 | 296 | 1,056,631 | 3,050 | 346 | I | 484 | 3.1 | 3 | -2 | 493 | 197 |
| EDINBURG | 1,449 | 6 | 241 | 3,456,869 | 3,152 | 1,097 | 1 | 450 | 3.2 | 3 | -3 | 483 | 241 |
| FAIRFAX | 2,634 | 11 | 239 | 25,816,169 | 6,204 | 4,161 | I | 313 | 8.4 | 9 | -2 | 293 | 53 |
| FRANKLIN | 1,104 | 4 | 276 | 1,553,341 | 2,339 | 664 | I | 469 | 2.4 | 3 | -1 | 368 | 92 |
| FREDERICKSBURG | 1,371 | 5 | 274 | 5,516,162 | 3,056 | 1,805 | I | 418 | 3.3 | 4 | -1 | 343 | 69 |
| HALIFAX | 1,587 | 5 | 317 | 1,339,072 | 3,340 | 401 | 1 | 481 | 3.3 | 4 | -1 | 397 | 79 |
| HARRISONBURG | 1,068 | 4 | 267 | 2,187,559 | 2,267 | 965 | 1 | 456 | 2.3 | 3 | -1 | 356 | 89 |
| HILLSVILLE | 1,621 | 5 | 324 | 1,489,939 | 3,320 | 449 | 1 | 479 | 3.4 | 4 | -1 | 405 | 81 |
| JONESVILLE | 1,473 | 6 | 245 | 1,369,077 | 3,019 | 453 | 1 | 479 | 3.1 | 3 | -3 | 491 | 245 |
| LEBENON | 1,198 | 5 | 240 | 1,603,611 | 2,492 | 643 | 1 | 470 | 2.5 | 3 | -2 | 399 | 160 |
| LEESBURG | 900 | 4 | 225 | 2,541,520 | 1,926 | 1,319 | 1 | 440 | 2.0 | 2 | -2 | 450 | 225 |
| LEXINGTON | 1,493 | 6 | 249 | 2,349,934 | 3,212 | 732 | 1 | 466 | 3.2 | 3 | -3 | 498 | 249 |
| LOUISA | 972 | 4 | 243 | 1,277,010 | 1,979 | 645 | I | 470 | 2.1 | 2 | -2 | 486 | 243 |
| LURAY | 869 | 3 | 290 | 1,421,283 | 1,878 | 757 | I | 465 | 1.9 | 2 | -1 | 435 | 145 |
| MANASSAS | 881 | 5 | 176 | 5,481,405 | 1,986 | 2,761 | I | 375 | 2.3 | 3 | -2 | 294 | 117 |
| MARTINSVILLE | 1,504 | 6 | 251 | 2,108,180 | 3,123 | 675 | 1 | 469 | 3.2 | 3 | -3 | 501 | 251 |
| NORFOLK | 96 | 3 | 32 | 5,510,870 | 486 | 11,346 | 1 | 100 | 1.0 | 1 | -2 | 96 | 64 |
| PETERSBURG | 1,051 | 5 | 210 | 2,668,620 | 2,337 | 1,142 | 1 | 448 | 2.3 | 3 | -2 | 350 | 140 |
| ROCKY MOUNT | 1,120 | 4 | 280 | 1,312,913 | 2,297 | 572 | I | 473 | 2.4 | 3 | -1 | 373 | 93 |
| SALEM | 1,522 | 7 | 217 | 4,294,086 | 3,309 | 1,298 | 1 | 441 | 3.5 | 4 | -3 | 380 | 163 |
| SALUDA | 1,077 | 4 | 269 | 1,653,651 | 2,288 | 723 | 1 | 467 | 2.3 | 3 | -1 | 359 | 90 |
| SANDSTON | 652 | 6 | 109 | 5,915,274 | 1,798 | 3,290 | 1 | 352 | 1.9 | 2 | -4 | 326 | 217 |
| SOUTH HILL | 1,582 | 6 | 264 | 1,909,925 | 3,361 | 568 | 1 | 474 | 3.3 | 4 | -2 | 396 | 132 |
| SUFFOLK | 1,129 | 5 | 226 | 2,619,729 | 2,441 | 1,073 | 1 | 451 | 2.5 | 3 | -2 | 376 | 151 |
| TAZEWELL | 911 | 5 | 182 | 1,532,223 | 1,952 | 785 | 1 | 464 | 2.0 | 2 | -3 | 456 | 273 |
| VERONA | 1,480 | 7 | 211 | 2,659,095 | 3,096 | 859 | 1 | 461 | 3.2 | 3 | -4 | 493 | 282 |
| WARRENTON | 1,185 | 4 | 296 | 2,495,643 | 2,565 | 973 | 1 | 455 | 2.6 | 3 | -1 | 395 | 99 |
| WARSAW | 1,274 | 4 | 318 | 1,293,690 | 2,602 | 497 | 1 | 477 | 2.7 | 3 | -1 | 425 | 106 |
| WAVERLY | 873 | 3 | 291 | 1,054,823 | 1,827 | 577 | 1 | 473 | 1.8 | 2 | -1 | 437 | 146 |
| WILLIAMSBURG | 625 | 3 | 208 | 5,130,006 | 1,496 | 3,428 | 1 | 345 | 1.8 | 2 | -1 | 313 | 104 |
| WISE | 988 | 4 | 247 | 1,314,788 | 2,073 | 634 | 1 | 471 | 2.1 | 2 | -2 | 494 | 247 |
| WYTHEVILLE | 1,361 | 6 | 227 | 1,753,273 | 2,837 | 618 | 1 | 471 | 2.9 | 3 | -3 | 454 | 227 |
| TOTAL | 55,446 | 220 |  | 138,346,044 | 119,197 |  | 1 |  | 125.1 | 138 | -82 |  |  |
| AVERAGE |  |  | 252 |  |  | 1,161 | I |  |  |  |  | 402 | 150 |



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 山山か8 |  |
| のぐき |  |


| ーツの8 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| のて山8 |  |
| 山s |  |



| $\infty$ |
| :--- |
|  |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |




| $\infty$ | ㅅmo | N | \％ | \％ | N ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ | $\infty$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\dot{0} \times$ | $\dot{\circ} \dot{\circ}$ | $\cdots$ | ぶ | $\bigcirc$ | 「¢\％ | $\infty$ |


ESTIMATE OF YEAR 2000 LANE MILES, BY COUNTY







|  | ¢ ${ }_{\sim}^{\infty}$ | ¢ N ¢ ¢ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | N | $8 \pm$ | ก | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc 8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| べN | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{r}$ | ¢ | mis |


Accomack County
Albemarle County
Alleghany County
Amelia County
Amherst County
Appomattox County
Arlington County
Augusta County
Bath County
Bedford County
Bland County
Botetourt County
Brunswick County
Buchanan County
Buckingham County
Campbell County
Caroline County
Carroll County
Charles City County
Charlotte County
Chesapeake
Chesterfield County
Clarke County
Craig County
Culpeper County
Cumberland County
Dickenson County
Dinwiddie County
Essex County
Fairfax Co (+ Vienna)
Fauquier County
Floyd County
Fluvanna County
Franklin County
Frederick County




|  |  | ぶか NO | B OM |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\circ} \dot{\sigma} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \stackrel{\infty}{+} \stackrel{+}{\Gamma}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{5}{\stackrel{5}{\sim}}$ |  |  |  |


| Pittsylvania CountyPowhatan CountyPrince Edward CountyPrince George CountyPrince William CountyPulaski CountyRappahannock CountyRichmond CountyRoanoke CountyRockbridge Co（＋CI For）Rockingham CountyRussell CountyScott CountyShenandoah Co（＋WoodSmyth County（＋Marion）Southampton CountySpotsylvania CountyStafford CountySuffokSurry CountySussex CountyTazewell CountyVirginia BeachWarren CountyWashington CountyWestmoreland CountyWise CountyWythe Co（＋Wytheville）York County |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

ESTIMATE OF YEAR 2000 ROAD MILES, BY COUNTY

|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |





|  |
| :---: |













| Giles County |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Gloucester County |  |
| Goochland County | 27.99 |
| Grayson County |  |
| Greene County |  |
| Greensville County | 17.14 |
| Halifax County |  |
| Hanover County | 24.93 |
| Henrico County | 71.10 |
| Henry County |  |
| Highland County |  |
| Isle of Wight |  |
| James City County | 8.70 |
| King \& Queen County |  |
| King George County |  |
| King William County |  |
| Lancaster County |  |
| Lee County |  |
| Loudoun County |  |
| Louisa County | 16.55 |
| Lunenburg County |  |
| Madison County |  |
| Mathews County |  |
| Mecklenburg Co(+S.Hill) | 19.52 |
| Middlesex County |  |
| Montgomery Co(+ Christi | 25.61 |
| Nelson County |  |
| New Kent County | 20.07 |
| Norfolk | 23.25 |
| Northampton County |  |
| Northumberland County |  |
| Nottoway County |  |
| Orange County |  |
| Page County |  |
| Patrick County |  |

ESTIMATE OF YEAR 2000 ROAD MILES，BY COUNTY

|  |
| :---: |
|  |








| 下 | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim} \sim_{\sim}^{\infty}{ }_{\sim}^{\infty}$ | 988 | 8 | $\mathfrak{\sim}$ | 8 | ポ | の 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| が宀－ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$－ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{ }{\text { N }}$ | ¢O | － |





|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 오 } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{9} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\pi}{N} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{10}{\sim}$ | $$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

RECOMMENDED NUMBER OF AREA SUPERINTENDENTS IN THE YEAR 2000, BY RESIDENCY
UTILIZATIO
VMT PER
LANE MILE


|  |  <br>  | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\stackrel{¢}{\square}$ |
|  |  | - |
|  |  <br>  | - |
|  |  |  |

No

|  |  | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{T}$ | $\stackrel{0}{1}$ |
|  |  | $\pm$ |
|  |  <br>  | $\xrightarrow{0}$ |
|  |  |  |



C-------------------
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|  |
| :---: |
|  |
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