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#### Abstract

Bridges are among the cultural resources that must be considered for historical significance under the Historic Preservation Act of 1966. The Virginia Transportation Research Council conducted a pioneering study of Virginia's pre-1932 metal truss bridges during the 1970s, but no comprehensive study of later bridges was undertaken. This study rectifies the lack of information on post-1932 metal truss bridges and establishes an historical context for all of Virginia's metal truss bridges.


The project consisted of a field survey, documentary research into truss bridge types, data tabulation, and comparison of the resulting information on truss bridge chronology and technology from the mid-19th century to the present. The data were evaluated for historical significance by the Historic Structure Task Group (an interdisciplinary historic transportation study committee) and the State Historic Preservation Officer. Of 245 extant metal truss bridges under VDOT ownership, 32 were found eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. This project identified Virginia's significant metal truss bridges and cleared the remainder for necessary maintenance and upgrade.

## FINAL REPORT

# SURVEY OF METAL TRUSS BRIDGES IN VIRGINIA 

Ann B. Miller<br>Research Scientist<br>Kenneth M. Clark<br>Research Associate

## INTRODUCTION

Reliable bridges are an essential and integral component of a safe transportation system. However, as the age of our transportation system increases, many bridges are becoming obsolete. This obsolescence is a product of natural deterioration, the materials used in construction, and earlier design standards that can no longer accommodate the speed, dimensions, and volume of modern traffic demands. But in addition to these issues, there is another factor to be considered in the case of older bridges: under the National Historic Preservation Act, older bridges being considered for upgrading or replacement must be evaluated for historical significance. Basically, the question is which bridges are "historically significant," i.e., those that provide valuable information about our cultural heritage, including architectural uniqueness, innovations in engineering, and the evolution of the transportation system, and which bridges are just "old."

Metal truss bridges, a commonly used bridge technology in Virginia from the later 19th century to the mid-20th century, are especially vulnerable to structural deterioration and the obsolete design standards in force when most of them were first built. A number of in-service truss bridges even pre-date the automotive era and were designed to carry light horse-drawn vehicles. With the numerous configurations and decorative and technical elements demonstrated by the different types and ages of metal truss bridges, these bridges have also been subject to confusion concerning whether or not certain trusses are historically significant. More than any other bridge type, picturesque metal truss spans have evoked strong emotions from various individuals and groups. Nostalgia has become entangled with, and has produced, the perception of historical significance, whether or not the bridge has actual historical significance. Such situations have frequently been compounded by a lack of documentary evidence on the specific bridge in question, the bridge type, and metal truss bridges in general.

This study sought to remedy the lack of available information and settle questions of historical significance concerning metal truss bridges owned by the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT). For the purposes of this study, survey was used in the historical preservation sense, indicating an inventory of physical characteristics and historical backgrounds of particular types of structures, i.e., metal truss bridges.

This project continued the survey of metal truss bridges begun by the Virginia Transportation Research Council (VTRC) during the 1970s by Dan Grove Deibler and completed by Paula A. C. Spero. It was the first statewide survey of historical bridges carried out in the United States. During that study, Virginia's truss bridges constructed prior to 1932 were surveyed, inventoried, and analyzed. This provided coverage of truss bridges constructed prior to the 1932 consolidation of the state and county road systems under the State Department of Highways. Both metal truss and the few surviving timber truss structures were recorded in this survey; the nine reports relating to this survey included a history of the development of the truss form and reports covering the eight then-existing VDOT construction districts (Diebler, 1975a-c, 1976a \& b; Spero, 1979, 1980, 1981, 1982).

This study, carried out in 1995-96, brought the survey forward from 1932 and also updated the previous survey. Of the more than 500 pre-1932 truss bridges covered in the 1970s survey, less than half now survive; the rest fell either to environmental forces, physical stress or deterioration, or necessary upgrades to ensure the safety of the traveling public.

## PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of this project was to identify and categorize metal truss bridge structures within VDOT's transportation system and to determine which were historically significant. This project built on the information gathered during VTRC's 1970s survey of pre-1932 metal truss bridges.

The project had three objectives:

1. Update the information on pre-1932 metal truss bridges included in the earlier survey.
2. Extend the survey to include Virginia's post-1932 metal truss bridges.
3. Provide a comprehensive comparison and evaluation of all surviving metal truss bridges in Virginia and determine which are historically significant. Those identified as significant could be incorporated into an historical bridge management system, preserving some and documenting others, thus conscientiously managing our historical resources.

## RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

The research design for this project followed closely that of the successful non-arched concrete bridge survey done through VTRC in 1992-96. An inventory of metal truss bridges in

Virginia was obtained from the VDOT bridge files, using Supernatural to query the HTRIS database. The inventory was broken down by construction district and, more minutely, by county within each construction district. Bridges were located on county maps, and each bridge was field surveyed to obtain all data deemed necessary to describe the bridge and evaluate its historical significance. This information was collated for presentation to an interdisciplinary study committee, which reviewed and evaluated the information from this survey to determine the most historically significant metal truss bridges in Virginia.

The research design included the following tasks:

1. Use an existing interdisciplinary group to aid in conducting the study. The National Register program is the recognized basis for making decisions concerning historical significance. Generally, to be considered historically significant, a structure must be 50 years of age or older and fulfill one or more of the following criteria: is associated with events or with the lives of persons significant in our past; embodies a distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction; represents the work of a master; has high artistic value; or has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in history or prehistory. For the evaluation of the metal truss bridges based on these criteria, a preexisting committee, the Historic Structures Task Group, was used. This interdisciplinary group includes members with backgrounds in engineering, history, archaeology, and architectural history and represents VTRC, VDOT, the Department of Historic Resources, and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA).
2. Establish the historical period of bridge construction to be studied. The previous survey of Virginia's metal truss bridges, done through VTRC in the 1970s, included only those bridges built prior to 1932. Since a structure generally has to be at least 50 years old to be considered historically significant, a field survey had to cover all structures 50 years and older to yield information useful for determining potential historical significance. Since the majority of metal truss bridges in Virginia were constructed prior to 1950, and fewer than a dozen later trusses also exist, it was decided to include all trusses in the survey. The resulting data provided the information for comparison of all extant metal truss bridges/truss bridge technology in Virginia (not merely those built prior to 1932) and removed the need for additional survey work on metal truss bridges in Virginia.
3. Select the geographic area to be studied. To complete a comprehensive survey and evaluation of Virginia's metal truss bridges, it was decided that all such bridges in all VDOT construction districts had to be studied.
4. Generate an inventory of all metal truss bridges currently on-system. VDOT's Structure \& Bridge Division supplied a comprehensive inventory of bridges in each construction district throughout the state. Bridges on this inventory were located on county maps for use in the survey.
5. Decide upon the data to be obtained for each site. A standardized survey/inventory form for metal truss bridges used during the 1970s survey was updated for use in this survey (Appendix A). A supplementary form was used in cases where previous survey data existed; when no previous survey had been done, the updated form based on the earlier form was used. The information to be gathered included:

- geographic location
- engineering profile, including designer (if known), builder (if known), date of construction, date of reconstruction, design and technological data, physical description, photographic documentation of bridge, etc.
- historical context, including photographs of associated buildings and surroundings, documentation of historical relevance, etc.

6. Conduct the survey. Several teams, each consisting of a researcher and a technician, conducted the survey. Prior to the commencement of the study, field trips were made to bridges previously identified as historically significant. These field trips were intended to train the team members more fully in the practices associated with metal truss bridge survey techniques, including recognition of bridge types, structural elements, and terminology. In addition, other documentary evidence, including the corresponding VDOT bridge files for each structure, was reviewed; construction and inspection data were identified and added to the field survey information.
7. Organize the field and documentary data. The information was organized by bridge type, date, and historical background by members of the survey teams and was then presented to the Historic Structures Task Group. To facilitate comparison and evaluation of the bridges, these categories included:

- county/city code
- bridge number
- route
- construction date
- truss type
- connection type (e.g., pinned or riveted)
- total number of bridge spans
- length
- bridge plan information
- designer/builder information.

8. Evaluate the bridges for historical significance. Using the data from the field survey and associated historical research, the Historic Structures Task Group met on several occasions in late 1996 and evaluated the 245 surveyed bridges for eligibility for the National Register of Historic Places.

## HISTORICAL BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW

## Construction Districts

Until the early 20th century, road and bridge construction was under the almost exclusive control of the counties in which they were located. Virginia's highway construction districts came into existence as a result of the 1922 departmental organization. Earlier attempts to develop construction "divisions" in Virginia had failed primarily because of the shortages and disruptions in materials and manpower imposed by World War I. The establishment of the 1922 construction districts likely grew out of the needs of the state highway system, created in 1918.

VDOT currently has nine construction districts: Staunton, Culpeper, Northern Virginia (NOVA), Fredericksburg, Suffolk, Richmond, Lynchburg, Salem, and Bristol (see Figure 1).

The Staunton District encompasses the Shenandoah Valley north of the James River and Highland, Bath, and Alleghany counties. As created in 1922, the district also encompassed Albemarle County (later made a part of the Culpeper District). The district currently includes the counties of Frederick, Clarke, Warren, Shenandoah, Page, Rockingham, Augusta, Rockbridge, Highland, Bath, and Alleghany.

The Culpeper District encompasses the north central Piedmont. As created in 1922, it encompassed the counties of Fluvanna, Louisa, Orange, Greene, Madison, Culpeper, Rappahannock, Fauquier, Prince William, Loudoun, Arlington, and Fairfax. Two changes have occurred since its inception. Albemarle County, which was originally a part of the Staunton District, is now a part of the Culpeper District. The intensive urbanization and attendant population growth in northern Virginia in the last half of the 20th century produced the need for the division of the district in the 1980s: the counties of Prince William, Loudoun, Arlington, and Fairfax were cut off into the new Northern Virginia (NOVA) District in 1984. The Culpeper District currently covers the counties of Albemarle, Fluvanna, Louisa, Orange Greene, Madison, Culpeper, Rappahannock, and Fauquier.


Figure 1. VDOT's Current Construction Districts

The NOVA District includes the counties of Loudoun, Prince William, Arlington, and Fairfax.

The Fredericksburg District includes the region south of the Potomac River and north of the York and its branches: the counties of Stafford, King George, Westmoreland, Northumberland, Lancaster, Richmond, Gloucester, Mathews, Middlesex, Essex, King William, King and Queen, and Spotsylvania.

The Suffolk District encompasses southeast Virginia and the Eastern Shore. At its formation in 1922, it contained the counties of James City, York, Warwick, Elizabeth City, Princess Anne, Norfolk, Nansemond, Accomack, Northampton, Isle of Wight, Southampton, Surry, Sussex, and Greensville. After World War II, the old counties of Warwick, Elizabeth City, Princess Anne, Norfolk, and Nansemond underwent intense urbanization and development as industrial and recreational centers. These counties eventually ceased to exist, becoming the independent cities of Newport News, Hampton, Virginia Beach, Chesapeake, Norfolk, Portsmouth, and Suffolk. This produced two distinct regions within the district: the highly urban southeastern section and the primarily rural Eastern Shore and counties west of Suffolk.

The Richmond District contains the counties of Goochland, Hanover, New Kent, Charles City, Henrico, Powhatan, Chesterfield, Amelia, Nottoway, Dinwiddie, and Prince George.

The Lynchburg District includes the south-central portion of Virginia: the counties of Nelson, Buckingham, Cumberland, Appomattox, Prince Edward, Campbell, Charlotte, Pittsylvania, and Halifax.

The Salem District contains the counties of Botetourt, Bedford, Craig, Roanoke, Montgomery, Giles, Pulaski, Floyd, Franklin, Henry, Patrick, and Carroll.

The Bristol District encompasses southwestern Virginia. It contains the counties of Grayson, Wythe, Bland, Tazewell, Smyth, Washington, Russell, Buchanan, Dickenson, Wise, Scott, and Lee.

## Metal Truss Bridge Technology and Construction

Bridge technology and construction was minimal in most regions of 17th and 18th century Virginia. Fords served for crossing most streams and rivers, and wet or marshy places were frequently traversed by causeways (raised roads or pathways). Broad rivers were typically crossed by ferries. In the few areas where these methods would not suffice, simple timber bridges were commonly used. These timber bridges took the form of basic beam bridges and the most rudimentary and traditional wooden trusses (e.g., king post and queen post). Stone bridges, expensive and time-consuming to build, were virtually unknown.

The 19th century saw the advent of a number of improved timber truss bridges, including patented varieties such as the Town lattice truss and the Long panel truss and the combination wood-and-iron Howe truss patented in 1840 (Deibler, 1975a). A few early 19th century stone lintel or arched masonry bridges were also constructed, primarily as turnpike bridges, but stone construction generally remained prohibitive in terms of cost and time (Newlon, 1973).

Metal truss bridges were first developed in the 1840s and 1850s, although they did not appear in many areas of Virginia until the 1870s. The accounts of the Tredegar Iron Works in Richmond indicate that Tredegar iron had been used in railroad bridge fabrication beginning in the mid-1840s and into the 1850s by companies as far north as Massachusetts and as far southwest as Tennessee (Bruce, 1931). Other Tredegar records indicate that the firm fabricated a number of iron truss bridges (primarily of the Fink and Bollman truss designs, along with iron components for Howe trusses) in the period 1859 to 1866, but these were railroad bridges. Most of these were shipped out of state, although a few were erected in Virginia, notably for such entities as the James River \& Kanawha Canal and the Orange \& Alexandria Railroad (J.R. Anderson Company/Tredegar Iron Works Contract Books, 1859-1866). The use of metal truss bridges for vehicular use seems to have begun in Virginia after the Civil War.

Metal truss bridges began to supersede wooden trusses in Virginia during the last quarter of the 19th century. Since most varieties of wooden bridges needed constant maintenance, and still deteriorated quickly, metal truss bridges were seen as a more long-lasting solution. For short beam bridge spans (under 40 feet), bridges with iron or steel I-beams instead of wooden beams began to gain popularity, either used alone or as approach spans to metal truss bridges. Wooden planks, still used in the decking for metal truss and metal beam bridges, were the last wooden elements used in these bridges. Wooden beam bridges and wooden trusses continued to be erected in Virginia, although in decreasing numbers and increasingly confined to more remote rural areas, well into the first decades of the 20th century. However, in more populous areas and for major roads, the older wooden bridges were being supplanted by more modern technology.

A major drawback of metal truss bridges compared to timber trusses or steel beam bridges, besides their greater initial construction costs, was that they still required periodic maintenance, particularly painting, and the cost of upkeep was often perceived as a drain on county budgets. It was common practice among county governments to delay or ignore what should have been routine maintenance on metal bridges in an effort to stretch dollars, with resultant deterioration and damage to the bridges. It is a testimony to the construction of many of these early metal truss bridges that they lasted as long as they did.

By the early 20th century, reinforced concrete bridges were beginning to be used as a more maintenance-free and long-lived alternative to wooden and metal truss bridges. They were perceived, and described in early publications, as "permanent bridges" that would require little or no maintenance, in contrast to the upkeep required by wooden and metal truss bridges. In Virginia, as well as the nation, the use of reinforced concrete technology grew steadily through the first three decades of the 20 th century to eventually become the dominant bridge type.

Concrete quickly came to play a major role even in metal truss bridge construction. Abutments and piers for truss bridges were commonly constructed of stone masonry during the 19th century. However, by the end of the first decade of the 20th century, concrete was supplanting the traditional stone masonry for the substructures of metal truss bridges, and by the end of the 1910s, this transition was virtually complete. A concrete slab deck appears on a 1920 standard metal truss bridge plan instead of the traditional wooden decking, and by the mid-1920s, concrete decks were being specified for all of Virginia's standard truss bridge plans.

Metal truss bridge plans were standardized in Virginia after 1909. The construction of new metal truss bridges continued through the 1940 s, and a few new trusses were built after 1950, but metal trusses became increasingly a less-favored and more specialized form of bridge design. By the mid-20th century, the moving and re-erection of older metal truss spans was more common than new metal truss construction. Older truss bridges on major highways were often replaced by more modern bridges as traffic increased and the roads were improved; the stillserviceable truss spans were frequently relocated to less-traveled back roads.

VDOT records list 28 in-service metal truss bridges as being constructed in the 1950s and 1960s. However, upon closer examination, it was determined that only 7 of these bridges were constructed during this period. The dates given for the other 21 are actually the years in which the bridges were moved and re-erected on their present sites. These re-erection dates do not represent the original construction dates.

## Truss Types

The metal truss bridge is perhaps the only historical bridge type that was primarily an American development. However, although a myriad of truss types were patented during the


Figure 2. Truss Bridge Terminology. Courtesy of Historic American Engineering Record.

19th century, few left a permanent mark on bridge building in the United States, and fewer still on Virginia.

Truss bridges are composed of specific components, which share some general terminology (see Figure 2). The bottom chords and top chords are the horizontal members at the lower and upper elevations of the bridge. These are connected by the verticals and diagonals, which are arranged in various configurations. The area between each vertical is known as a panel. The end posts, which can be either straight (vertical) or inclined, are the outermost members. Floor beams and stringers support the deck, the surface that carries traffic. Bracing connects chords (and sometimes chords and verticals), and struts connect the top chords of through trusses at panel points (the intersection of a chord and a floor beam).

All truss bridges are of one of three designs. A through, or high, truss carries its traffic load level with its bottom chords and has lateral bracing between the top chords. A pony, or low, truss also carries its traffic load level with its bottom chords, but it has no lateral bracing between the top chords and generally has lower sides than a typical through truss. A deck truss carries its traffic load level with its top chords (see Figure 3).

Components for the metal truss bridges were first made of iron, and later of steel. Standard I-beams and bars were the norm, although one other type of construction element is known in Virginia: the patented Phoenix column, developed by the Phoenix Bridge Company of Pennsylvania, which featured modular elements riveted together to form the major members (see


Figure 3. Through, Pony, and Deck Trusses. Courtesy of Historic American Engineering Record.


Figure 4. Detail of Patented Phoenix Column (Botetourt County Structure No. 6386)

Figure 4). Although still numerous in Pennsylvania, truss bridges with Phoenix columns are uncommon in Virginia; only five were identified in this survey.

Connection details are important descriptive and technological factors in metal truss bridges. Pinned connections were the most common types of truss connections in the 19th and early 20th centuries. Rigid connections (usually riveted), first introduced after the Civil War, were initially used in railroad bridges. Gradually, riveted connections increased in popularity for highway bridges as well, particularly as vehicular loads became larger and greater stability was desired. Rigid connections became the dominant bridge connection technology from the 1920s onward.

## Sources of Information

A general history of truss types was published by VTRC as part of the 1970s truss bridge survey (Deibler 1975a). The Historic American Engineering Record (HAER) published a poster of truss bridges. Many of the diagrams from the poster are provided in Appendix B. The HAER graphics were also used in the Association for State and Local History's technical Leaflet No. 95 (1977). A comprehensive guide to early bridge companies was written by Darnell (1984). Given the availability of such sources, a brief overview of truss types is given here.

## Description of Types

The last half of the 19th century saw the advent of a large number of metal truss configurations, although on closer examination most of these are revealed as variations of the Pratt, the Warren (the two most numerous truss configurations), the Bowstring Arch-Truss, and the Bollman or Fink (now the rarest configurations).

The Pratt. Elaborations on the basic Pratt configuration include the Baltimore (Petit) truss (a Pratt truss with sub-struts or sub-ties) and the Kellogg truss (a Pratt truss with additional diagonals running from the top chords panel points to the center of the lower chords). Although widely advertised for railroad use in the late 19th century, no examples of the Kellogg truss apparently survive in the United States. The double-intersection Pratt truss, also known as the Whipple, Whipple-Murphy, or Linville truss, was a Pratt truss with diagonals extended across two panels. Not surprisingly, the triple-intersection Pratt, similarly, had diagonals extending across three panels. Pratt variations with polygonal top chords include the Parker truss (a Pratt with a polygonal top chord), the Camelback truss (a Parker with a polygonal top chord of exactly five slopes), the Lenticular, or Parabolic, truss (a Pratt truss with parabolically curved top and bottom chords), and the Pennsylvania (Petit) truss (a Parker truss with sub-struts or sub-ties).

The Warren. The most common variation on the basic Warren configuration is the Warren with verticals (a Warren truss with a vertical bracing member either at each panel point or at alternate panel points). Less common within this group are Warren trusses with polygonal top chords. Two other Warren variations are not represented among Virginia's vehicular bridges: the double-intersection Warren truss had diagonals extending across two panels; the tripleintersection Warren had diagonals extending across three panels (also known as lattice trusses, triple-intersection Warrens resemble the earlier Town lattice trusses in outline, but not in operation).

The Bowstring Arch. One of the earliest trusses in widespread use for vehicular traffic was the bowstring arch-truss. The earliest bowstring truss was patented by Squire Whipple in 184.1. Although resembling a Pratt configuration in outline, the bowstring truss acts differently than a standard Pratt truss: in lieu of top chords, tied arches form the upper portion of the bridge; the verticals support the deck, and diagonals act as bracing. There are numerous patented


Figure 5. Pony Bowstring Arch Truss Built in 1878. Now at Ironto Wayside, Montgomery County.
variations on the basic bowstring design. One bowstring arch truss, a pony truss built in 1878, survives in Virginia. Originally located in Bedford County, it was removed from vehicular service in the 1970s and now serves as a footbridge at the Ironto Wayside in Montgomery County, where it is well maintained and furnished with appropriate signage (see Figure 5).

The Fink. The Fink truss, the similar Bollman truss, and the simplified Fink variations of the Stearns truss and Thacher truss have all but disappeared. The only Fink deck truss known to survive in the United States, originally a railroad bridge and later adapted to vehicular traffic, was previously located in Bedford County, Virginia. Identified and preserved as a result of VTRC's 1970s truss survey, it was removed from service and moved to the nearby city of Lynchburg, where, under the ownership of the city, it now serves as an interpretive exhibit in Riverside Park. No longer a VDOT bridge, it was declared a National Historic Civil Engineering Landmark by the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) in 1979. Although this truss was not within the purview of this survey, it is mentioned here because of its extreme rarity.

Other truss variations. Only a few other Virginia truss bridges are unique examples, either within the state or in a wider area. An odd bridge configuration, represented by only one example in Virginia, is the small Lane patent pony truss at McDowell in Highland County (Highland County Structure No. 6034). Built in 1896, it is no longer open to vehicular traffic but serves foot and bicycle traffic crossing over Crab Run. Conforming to no conventional truss configuration, it is a patent design fabricated by the Lane Bridge Company in Painted Post, New York (Darnell, 1984). The Lane patent design used railroad (or trolley) rails, U-bolts, and round


Figure 6. Lane Patent Pony Truss, Built in 1896 (Highland County Structure No. 6034)
tension rods instead of standard bridge components. The company, which was in operation from ca. 1890 until 1901, also fabricated conventional trusses. The Lane patent truss at McDowell was constructed by the West Virginia Bridge Works, a contracting company that had offices in Wheeling and Charles Town, West Virginia. The only other known example of a Lane patent truss in Virginia, formerly located on Rt. 704 in Rockingham County, collapsed following an overload in the early 1970s. Apparently few Lane patent truss bridges survive, and their obscure design and lack of treatment in historical bridge literature can make precise identification difficult for anyone unfamiliar with this odd type of small truss bridge (see Figure 6).

One of the most unusual truss bridges in Virginia, and indeed the nation, crosses Linville Creek near Broadway in Rockingham County (Rockingham County Structure No. 6154). Constructed in 1898, this bridge was formerly identified as a hybrid Whipple, incorporating aspects of both the double-intersection Pratt and the double-intersection Warren. The structure in actuality is a Thacher truss, a hybrid configuration incorporating elements of the Pratt, Warren, Fink, and Bollman trusses that was first patented by Edwin Thacher in 1883 (Jackson, 1979). Its unusual configuration and the bewildering number of descriptions that have been applied to it merely reinforce its position as a bridge that is a rare survivor of an uncommon form. An 1889 Thacher truss was identified in Michigan in the 1980s (Hyde, 1985); it is uncertain how many other bridges of this type still survive (see Figure 7).

By the first decades of the 20th century, the overwhelming majority of Virginia's highway truss bridges were basic Pratt or Warren trusses. Heavier components coupled with


Figure 7. Thacher Truss Built in 1898 (Rockingham County Structure No. 6154)
simple configurations reflected the need for economical construction coupled with the ability to carry increasingly heavy traffic loads. Currently, of Virginia's 245 trusses that survive onsystem, the great majority are one of these two configurations.

## The Evolution of Standard Plans

The earliest methods of bridge planning and construction in Virginia involved bridge design and construction by local contractors. This held true for simple timber bridges, the smaller timber trusses, and some stone masonry bridges. Each contractor probably worked with a few time-tested designs that were adapted to the peculiarities of the specific site. With the widespread use of metal truss bridges in the later 19th century, however, came the advent of companies that specifically designed and produced truss bridges. The larger bridge companies frequently worked from standard plans and advertised bridges in different lengths and configurations to suit most sites, tastes, and prices ranges. Some firms also advertised used bridges. In some cases, the bridge company also arranged for the erection of the bridges; in other cases, especially involving smaller truss bridges, construction was done by local firms who purchased plans, franchises, and/or structural elements from manufacturers. However, final standards were left to the discretion of, variously, the company, the builder, or the governing body of the county or town in which the bridge was located.

Toward the end of the first decade of the 20th century came a radical and permanent change to bridge design in Virginia-that of state-mandated standards. State monetary assistance
for counties desiring help with transportation costs-"State aid"--had been established several years earlier on a voluntary basis. The Virginia State Highway Commission, established in 1906, provided both design assistance and some funding to the counties. Although transportation systems were still under the control of the counties, any counties wishing assistance could apply to the commissioner for engineering advice on proposed road improvements. If the projects were permanent, located on main roads, and deemed to be "adequate and practical," the commissioner's office would


#### Abstract

. . . carefully prepare plans, specifications and estimates of cost for its construction with the materials agreed upon between the local road authorities and the commissioner. . . . If the local road authorities shall then decide to improve or construct said road or part thereof in accordance with the plans and specifications recommended and submitted by the commissioner, they may then apply to the State Highway Commissioner for such State aid . . . as may be obtained under the provisions of this chapter (Acts of Assembly, 1908, p. 164).


However, the condition of many bridges was soon recognized as not only unreliable but also unsafe and even critical, and mandatory bridge standards were required. The 1909 Annual Report of the State Highway Commission noted that
... the provision in our State aid law permitting any county whose share in the fund does not exceed $\$ 2,500.00$ to apply the same to the erection of bridges, has led to a steady increase in work of this character.

Old wooden structures and steel bridges imperfectly designed are frequently found on he most heavily traveled highways, and are often in dangerous condition. This department desiring to meet these conditions, has striven to lend assistance not only to counties where we are giving State aid on permanent bridges, but to all counties asking for such assistance.

After a careful study of the needs and desiring that bridges should be designed and erected according to some specifications which could be used and lived up to as standard by the State and county, this department, last July, issued "General Specifications for Steel Highway Bridges."
... Wherever practical reinforced concrete spans have been used. This type of construction requires no maintenance, and its strength increases instead of diminishing with age. Spans from five to fifty feet in length have been designed and constructed. In cases where reinforced concrete cannot be used economically, steel is being employed. Steel bridges from fifteen to five hundred and eighty feet in length have been or are being erected according to the plans of this department and under its supervision.

As Virginia moved into ever-greater transportation design standardization and the use of automobiles increased, truss bridges took on new outlines. Bridge members became larger and stronger, and double lanes became standard. Sturdy pony trusses replaced old fords or wooden bridges in many locations. Carrying capacities of bridges also increased: up to 1920, standard plans specified a capacity of a "twelve ton road roller" or "twelve tons on two axles." But post1920 plans specified a 15 -ton capacity, quickly superseded by two 15 -ton trucks passing on the bridge. Capacity was further increased in 1944 to accommodate the larger trucks then being built. The earliest standard plans featured timber joists and timber decks for the lighter bridges,
with steel joists and timber decks for higher capacity bridges. By the mid-1910s, steel joists had become the standard. The majority of post-1920 truss bridge plans for primary routes also specified concrete decks (see Appendix C).

## SURVEY RESULTS

VDOT records list 245 metal truss bridges still in service. Chronologically, the number of in-service bridges runs as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1878-1889: } 8 \\
& \text { 1890-1899: } 8 \\
& \text { 1900-1909: } 15 \\
& \text { 1910-1919: } 36 \\
& \text { 1920-1929: } 59 \\
& \text { 1930-1939: } 65 \\
& \text { 1940-1949: } 14 \\
& \text { 1950-1959: } 4 \\
& \text { 1960+: } 3 \\
& \text { Date uncertain: } 33 \\
& \text { Total: } 245 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

As noted previously, VDOT records list 28 bridges as built in or after 1950, but according to data gathered during the survey, these constitute 7 new bridges and 21 relocated or reconstructed bridges. For most of the relocated and reconstructed bridges, no documentation of their original construction dates exists, but construction technology indicates that they date from the late 19 th or early 20 th century. Bridges for which exact dates could not be established, including these 21 bridges, were included in the "date uncertain" category. The state totals for the various truss types are listed in Appendix D. A full inventory of Virginia's metal truss bridges is provided in Appendix E.

## EVALUATION FOR HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE

The task group determined that the criteria already successfully used to evaluate Virginia's non-arched concrete bridges were appropriate for determining the historical significance of metal truss bridges, as well as other types of bridges, thus giving a single set of criteria with which all bridges in Virginia could be evaluated. The results of these evaluations were presented to the Virginia Department of Historic Landmarks Evaluation Team, which agreed to accept the recommendations of the task group in dealing with questions of historical significance of transportation structures.

Virginia's metal truss bridges were evaluated for historical significance by the Historic Structures Task Group during the last half of 1996. All trusses under VDOT ownership or maintenance were evaluated, ranging in date from the 1878 bridge at Waterloo in Culpeper County (Culpeper County Structure No. 6906) to a 1994 Accrow structure in Loudoun County (Loudoun Co. Structure No. 6083).

The evaluation used the criteria previously formulated by the Historic Structures Task Group to determine the potential historical significance of bridges (see Appendix F). Each bridge was evaluated in terms of a score rating. The maximum possible score with a determination of national significance was 38 ; of statewide significance, 33 ; of regional significance, 30 ; of local significance, 28. A score of 18 or higher was required for National Register eligibility.

A total of 32 bridges were recommended as eligible for the National Register. A number of these bridges had previously been declared eligible for the Register, and a few had been entered on the Register. With one exception, the task group concurred with these previous findings: the task group recommended rescinding the previous finding of eligibility for the 1897 five-span Pratt pony truss bridge at Kelly's Ford in Culpeper County. Additional research undertaken during the course of the present survey indicated that the structure had been seriously damaged by several floods during the 1930s and 1940s and had undergone extensive repairs, including the replacement or complete rebuilding of three of its five spans and numerous repairs and changes to its piers and abutments. With the revelation that the historical integrity of the bridge was so seriously compromised, it was no longer deemed of sufficient significance to support National Register eligibility.

In late 1996, the following list of metal truss bridges recommended as eligible for the National Register was presented to the DHR, which concurred with the task group's findings. The list, including those bridges already on the National Register, includes the structure number, type of truss, date of construction, route and crossing, builder or designer, and rating.

## Bristol District (1)

Bland County (10)
No. 9000: Pratt through truss (with Phoenix columns), built ca. 1890, located on a discontinued route crossing Wolf Creek; Phoenix Bridge Co.[?] Rating: 19.


Figure 8. Two Lane Pratt Through Truss Built in 1885 (Town of Marion Structure No. 8003)

Grayson County (38)
No. 1007: Polygonal top chord Warren truss, 1927, Rt. 58/221 crossing New River; Roanoke Iron \& Bridge Works. Not rated; previously determined eligible.

Wythe County (98)
No. 1005: Pratt deck truss, 1931, Rt. 11 crossing Reed Creek; Virginia Department of Highways. Not rated; previously determined eligible.

No. 1017: Warren (with verticals) cantilever/continuous through truss, with conventional Warren (with verticals) through truss approach spans, 1931, Rt. 52 crossing New River; Virginia Department of Highways. Not rated; previously determined eligible.

No. 6016: Pratt through truss (with Phoenix columns), ca. 1880s, Rt. 619 crossing Cripple Creek; Phoenix Bridge Co.[?]. Rating: 18.

## Town of Marion (119)

No. 8003: Pratt through truss, 1885, E. Chillhowie Street crossing Middle Fork Holston River; King Iron \& Bridge Co. (Figure 8). Rating: 21.

## Salem District (2)

## Bedford Co. (9)

No. 6087: Pratt deck truss, 1915. [Note: This date is the for the present steel truss only; the stone abutments date to ca. 1850 and originally supported a wooden trestle of the Virginia \& Tennessee Railroad.] Rt. 666 crossing Elk Creek; Camden Iron Works (Figure 9). Rating: 19.


Figure 9. Pratt Deck Truss. Truss built in 1915 on Virginia \& Tennessee Railroad stone abutments built around 1850 (Bedford County Structure No. 6087)

## Botetourt County (11)

No. 6100: Warren (with verticals) deck truss (with Phoenix columns), 1886 (re-erected 1902), Rt. 817 crossing Craig Creek; Phoenix Bridge Co.[?]. Rating: 19.

No. 6386: Pratt through truss (with Phoenix columns), with Warren deck truss approach, 1887, Rt. 685 crossing Craig Creek; Phoenix Bridge Co. (Figure 10). Not rated; previously entered on National Register.


Figure 10. Highly Ornamental Pratt Through Truss with Phoenix Columns Built in 1887 (Botetourt County Structure No. 6386)

Giles Co. (35)

No. 6019: Pennsylvania through /camelback through/Pratt pony truss, 1916, crossing New River; Virginia Bridge \& Iron Co. Not rated; previously determined eligible.

## Lynchburg District (3)

Buckingham County (14)
No. 1987: Warren (with verticals) deck truss, 1934, Rt. 15 crossing James River/CSX Railroad/Rt. 656; Virginia Department of Highways. Not rated; previously determined eligible.

Campbell County (15)
No. 6904: Camelback through truss, 1903, Rt. 640 crossing Staunton River; Brackett Bridge Co. Not rated; previously entered on National Register.


Figure 11. Camelback Through Truss Built Around 1900 (Charlotte County Structure No. 6902)

## Charlotte County (19)

No. 6902: Camelback through truss, ca. 1900, Rt. 620 crossing Staunton River; builder unknown (Figure 11). Not rated; previously determined eligible.

## Nelson County (62)

No. 6052: Pratt through truss, 1882, Rt. 653 crossing Norfolk Southern Railroad; Keystone Bridge Co. (Figure 12). Not rated; previously entered on National Register.

## Richmond District (4)

## Brunswick County (12)

No. 6104: Pratt through truss, 1884, Rt. 715 crossing Meherrin River; Wrought Iron Bridge Co. Not rated; previously entered on National Register.


Figure 12. Pratt Through Truss Built in 1882 (Nelson County Structure No. 6052)

## Suffolk District (5)

## Northampton County (65)

No. 1006: Polygonal Top Chord Warren (with verticals) through truss, 1964, Rt. 13 crossing Chesapeake Bay. Not rated; previously determined eligible; this is part of the Chesapeake Bay Bridge Tunnel.

## Fredericksburg District (6)

None.

## Culpeper District (7)

## Culpeper County (23)

No. 6906: Pratt through truss, 1878, Rt. 613 crossing Rappahannock River; Pittsburgh Iron Co. Not rated; previously determined eligible.

## Staunton District (8)

## Alleghany Co. (3)

No. 6064: Pratt through truss, 1896, Rt. 633 crossing Cowpasture River; Nelson \& Buchanan Co. Not rated; previously determined eligible.

## Augusta County (7)

No. 6027: Pratt pony truss, 1898, Rt. 907 crossing Christian's Creek; Brackett Bridge Co. Not rated; previously determined eligible.

No. 6081: Pratt pony leg ("bedstead") truss, 1914, Rt. 6081 crossing Little Calfpasture River; Champion Bridge Co. Not rated; previously determined eligible.

No. 6147: Pratt through truss, 1909, Rt. 775 crossing Middle River; Brackett Bridge Co. Not rated; previously determined eligible.

No. 6149: Camelback through truss, 1915, Rt. 778 crossing Middle River; Champion Bridge Co. Not rated; previously determined eligible.

No. 6729: Pratt through truss, 1907, Rt. 769 crossing Middle River; Champion Bridge Co. Not rated; previously determined eligible.

## Highland County (45)

No. 6034: Lane Patent pony truss, 1896, Rt. 645 crossing Crab Run; West Virginia Bridge Works (refer to Figure 6). Rating: 19; bridge was also separately determined eligible as part of a project.

No. 6001: Pratt through truss, 1905, Rt. 603 crossing Back Creek; builder uncertain. Not rated; previously determined eligible.

Page County (69)
No. 1004: Pratt deck arch truss, 1936, Rt. 340 crossing Jeremiah's Run; Virginia Department of Highways. Not rated; previously determined eligible.

No. 1990: Pratt deck arch truss, 1938, Rt. 340 crossing Overall Creek; Virginia Department of Highways. Not rated; previously determined eligible.

## Rockbridge County (81)

No. 6145: Pratt through truss, 1890, Rt. 746 crossing Calfpasture River; Groton Bridge Co. Not rated; previously listed on National Register.

Rockingham County (82)
No. 6154: Thacher through truss, 1898, Rt. 1421 crossing Linville Creek; Wrought Iron Bridge Co. (refer to Figure 10). Not rated; previously listed on National Register.

City of Covington (107)
No. 8002: Pratt through truss (with Phoenix columns), ca. 1885/ca.1900, Hawthorne St. crossing CSX Railway; Phoenix Bridge Co.[?]. Rating: 20.

## NOVA District (A)

Loudoun County (53)
No. 6051: Pratt through truss, date uncertain, Rt. 673 crossing N. Fork Catoctin Creek; Variety Iron Works. Not rated; previously determined eligible.

Prince William County (76)
No. 6023: Pratt through truss, 1882, Rt. 646 crossing Norfolk Southern Railroad; Keystone Bridge Co. Not rated; previously entered on National Register.
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## APPENDIX A

TRUSS BRIDGE SURVEY AND INVENTORY FORM

TRUSS BRIDGE SURVEY AND INVENTORY FORM
Geographic Information
Structure Number: $\qquad$
State: Virginia
Va. Department of Transportation District: $\qquad$ ; No $\qquad$ _.
County: $\qquad$ ____ $\qquad$
City/Town: $\qquad$ ;Vicinity: $\qquad$ ; No. $\qquad$
Street/Road: $\qquad$
Crossing: $\qquad$
UTM/KGS Coordinates: $\qquad$
Historical Information
Formal designation: $\qquad$
Local designation: $\qquad$
Designer: $\qquad$ .
Builder: $\qquad$ _.
Date: ; basis for: $\qquad$
Original Owner: $\qquad$ ; use: $\qquad$
Present Owner: $\qquad$ ; use: $\qquad$

Cultural Resources
Contextual Integrity:
General surroundings: $\qquad$
Immediate surroundings: $\qquad$
Associated resources: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ -

Nature/Degree of any destructive threat: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ -.

Reference materials and contemporary photos/illustrations with their respective locations:

Recorder: $\qquad$ -

Date: $\qquad$
Affiliation: $\qquad$ _.

Compass orientation of axis: $\qquad$ Architectural or decorative features:

No. of spans: $\qquad$ ; length; overall: $\qquad$ Span types: (1) ____ ; length $\qquad$ _. (2) $\qquad$ ; length: $\qquad$ (3) ___ ; length: $\qquad$
(4) $\qquad$ ; length: $\qquad$
(5) $\qquad$ length: $\qquad$
No. of lanes: $\qquad$ width: $\qquad$ c. to c .

## Structural Information

Substructure:
Material:
Foundations: $\qquad$
Piers: $\qquad$
Abutments: $\qquad$
Wings: $\qquad$
Seats: $\qquad$
Superstructure:
Material: sources: $\qquad$
Characteristicsm details and members:
Connections: $\qquad$ pin.
_rigid.
Top Chords: $\qquad$
End Posts:
Bottom Chords: $\qquad$
Posts:
Diagonals: $\qquad$ Counters: $\qquad$

## Truss Configuration

Main span type: $\qquad$ Through/Pony/Deck, Skew

## APPENDIX B

## SAMPLE TRUSS TYPES

|  |  |  | $\underset{m}{\underline{w}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | T\MM, |  | $\frac{\sqrt{W M W}}{2=2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| A | D1/2 | d |  |
| - 3 | = | $=$ | $=$ |
|  | Cuma |  |  |
| $\cdots$ |  | $=$ | $\underline{=}=$ |
| MM | CNM | NW | Wuck |
| $=$ |  | $=$ |  |
| AMHAN | WNW | Al* |  |
| 580 | $\underline{ \pm}$ |  | $=$ |
|  | $\mathbb{N} \times$ | W以 |  |
|  |  | $x=$ |  |
| w |  | SES | 1 |
|  | 20 | W |  |
|  |  |  |  |



## APPENDIX C

STANDARD METAL TRUSS BRIDGE PLANS

| BRIDGE TYPE | DATE OR SPEC | TRUSS TYPE | SPAN LENGTH | ROAD WIDTH | FLR/JOIST TYPE | CAPACITY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-24-120 | 1935 | DECK ARCH | 120 | 24 | CONCRETE |  |
| L-10 | 1909, cl "A" | THROUGH PRATT | 115.5 | 12 | TIMBER/TJ | 12T on 2 axles |
| L-11 | 1910 \% 09 spc | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 40 | 12 | TIMBER/SJ | 12T roller |
| L-15 | 1912/09spc | THROUGH PRATT | 100 | 12 | TIMBER/TJ | 12T roller |
| L-21 | 1916, cl "A" | THROUGH CAMELBACK | 150 | 16 | TIMBER/TJ | 12T on 2 axles |
| L-23 | 1909, cl "A" | THROUGH PRATT | 115.5 | 16 | TIMBER/TJ | 12T on 2 axles |
| L-30 | 1909 spc | THROUGH PRATT | 119 | 12 | TIMBER/SJ | 12T roller |
| L-37 | 1909. cl "A" | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 61.25 | 12 | TIMBER/SJ | 12 T on 2 axles |
| L-38 | 1909, cl "A" | THROUGH CAMELBACK | 166.67 | 12 | TIMBER/TJ | 12T on 2 axles |
| L-46 |  | WOODEN |  |  |  |  |
| L-5 | 1910r09spc | THROUGH PRATT | 100 | 12 | TIMBER/TJ | 12T on 2 axles |
| L-7 | 1912 /09 spc | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 87.5 | 12 | TIMBER/SJ | 12 T roller |
| L-8 | 1910 /09 spc | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 100 | 16 | TIMBER/SJ | 12 T roller |
| LL-1 | 1920 | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 50 | 12 | TIMBER/SJ | 12T |
| LL-13 | 1923/19spc | PONY WARREN WN | 75 | 19 | CSLAB/SB | (2) 15 T -passing |
| LL-14 | 1925/23spc | PONY WARREN WN | 90 | 19 | CSLAB/SJ | (2) 15T-passing |
| LL-2 | 1919/20 | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 60 | 12 | TIMBER/SJ | 12T |
| LL-3 | 1919 | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 75 | 12 | TIMBER/SJ | 12T |
| LL-4 | 1919 | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 50 | 16 | TIMBER/SJ | 15 T |
| LL-5 | 1920 | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 60 | 16 | TIMBER/SJ | 16T |
| LL-6 | 1919 | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 75 | 16 | TIMBER/SJ | 15T |
| LL-7 | 1921/19spc | PONY WARREN WN | 50 | 16 | CSLAB/SB | 15T |
| LL-8 | 1920 | PONY WARREN WN | 75 | 16 | CSLAB/SB | 15T |
| LL-9 | 1921/19spc | PONY WARREN WN | 60 | 16 | CSLAB/SB | 15T |
| LS-1 | 1921/19spc | DECK WARREN WN | VARIES | 16 | TIMBER/SJ |  |
| LS-2 | 1921/19spc | DECK WARREN WN | 100 | 16 | TIMBER/SJ | (2) 15T-passing |
| LT-1 | 1921 | THROUGH WARREN W/N | 100 | 16 | TIMBER/SJ | 15T |
| LT-11 | 1922/ 19spc | THROUGH WARREN W $N$ | 100 | 12 | TIMBER/SJ | 12T |
| LT-20 | 1923/19spc | THROUGH PRATT | 85 | 19 | TIMBER/SJ | (2) 15 T -passing |
| LT-21 | 1922/19spc | THROUGH WARREN WN | 100 | 19 | TIMBER/SJ | (2) 15 T -passing |
| LT-22 | 1923 | THROUGH PRATT | 120 | 19 | TIMBER/SJ | (2) 15 T -passing |
| LT-23 | 1923/19spc | THROUGH WARREN WN | 140 | 19 | TIMBER/SJ | (2)15T-passing |
| LT-30 | 1924/23spc | THROUGH PRATT | 85 | 19 | CONC/SJ | (2)15T-passing |
| LT-31 | 1923 | THROUGH WARREN W $N$ | 100 | 19 | CONC/SJ | (2)15T-passing |
| LT-32 | ***/23spc | THROUGH MOD-WAR W $N$ | 120 | 19 | CONC/SJ | (2)15T-passing |
| LT-33 | 1923 | THROUGH WARREN W $N$ | 140 | 19 | CONCISJ | (2)15T-passing |
| LT-4 | 1920/21rev. | THROUGH CAMELBACK | 150 | 16 | TIMBERISJ | 15T |
| RT-1 | 1933 | REMODELED TRUSS |  |  |  |  |

VTRC METAL TRUSS BRIDGE SURVEY - STANDARD TRUSSES


$$
\begin{gathered}
\exists d \lambda \perp \\
S S \cap U \perp
\end{gathered}
$$



|  | FLR／JOIST TYPE | CAPACITY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | CONCRETE | （2）15T－passing |
| 24 | CONCRETE | （2）15T－passing |
| 24 | CONCRETE | （2）15T－passing |
| 24 | CONCRETE | （2）15T－passing |
| 24 | CONCRETE | （2）15T－passing |
| 24 | CONCRETE | （2）15T－passing |
| 24 | CONCRETE | （2）15T－passing |
| 24 | CONCRETE | （2）15T－passing |
| 24 | CONCRETE | （2）15T－passing |
|  | CONCRETE | （2）15T－passing |



 SPAN
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PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE
PONY WARREN HYBRID
PONY WARREN WN
THROUGH WARREN POLYG THROUGH WARREN POLYG THROUGH WARREN POLYG THROUGH WARREN POLYG
THROUGH WARREN POLYG THROUGH WARREN WN
THROUGH WARREN WN WOODEN
REMODELED TRUSS
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 DECK WARREN WN
VTRC METAL TRUSS BRIDGE SURVEY - STANDARD TRUSSES

VTRC METAL TRUSS BRIDGE SURVEY - STANDARD TRUSSES

| BRIDGE TYPE | DATE OR SPEC | TRUSS TYPE | SPAN LENGTH | ROAD WIDTH | FLR/JOIST TYPE | CAPACITY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ST-12-118 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SP4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SP10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-24-120 | 1935 | DECK ARCH | 120 | 24 | CONCRETE |  |
| LS-2 | 1921/19spc | DECK WARREN W $N$ | 100 | 16 | TIMBERISJ | (2)15T-passing |
| LS-1 | 1921/19spc | DECK WARREN WN | VARIES | 16 | TIMBERISJ |  |
| L-11 | 1910/09 spc | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 40 | 12 | TIMBER/SJ | 12 T roller |
| LL-1 | 1920 | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 50 | 12 | TIMBERISJ | 12 T |
| LL-4 | 1919 | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 50 | 16 | TIMBER/SJ | 15T |
| LL-2 | 1919/20 | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 60 | 12 | TIMBER/SJ | 12 T |
| LL-5 | 1920 | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 60 | 16 | TIMBER/SJ | 16T |
| L-37 | 1909, cl "A" | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 61.25 | 12 | TIMBERISJ | 12 T on 2 axles |
| LL-3 | 1919 | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 75 | 12 | TIMBER/SJ | 12T |
| LL-6 | 1919 | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 75 | 16 | TIMBER/SJ | 15T |
| SC-24-75 |  | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 75 | 24 | CONCRETE | (2)15T-passing |
| 1-13 | $1911 / 09 \mathrm{spc}$ | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 80 | 12 | TIMBERISJ | 12 T roller |
| L-7 | 1912 f 09 spc | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 87.5 | 12 | TIMBERISJ | 12 T roller |
| L-8 | 1910 /09 spc | PONY PRATT FULL SLOPE | 100 | 16 | TIMBER/SJ | 12T roller |
| SC-24-105 |  | PONY WARREN HYBRID | 105 | 24 | CONCRETE | (2)15T-passing |
| LL-7 | 1921/19spc | PONY WARREN WN | 50 | 16 | CSLAB/SB | 15T |
| LL-9 | 1921/19spc | PONY WARREN WN | 60 | 16 | CSLAB/SB | 15T |
| SC-24-60 | 1935/26spc | PONY WARREN WN | 60 | 24 | CONCRETE | (2) 15T-passing |
| LL-8 | 1920 | PONY WARREN WN | 75 | 16 | CSLAB/SB | 15T |
| LL-13 | 1923/19spc | PONY WARREN WN | 75 | 19 | CSLABISB | (2)15T-passing |
| UNNAMED | 1925/23spc | PONY WARREN WN | 90 | 19 | CONC/SJ | (2) 15T-passing |
| LL-14 | 1925/23spc | PONY WARREN WN | 90 | 19 | CSLAB/SJ | (2) 15T-passing |
| SC-24-90 |  | PONY WARREN WN | 90 | 24 | CONCRETE | (2)15T-passing |
| RT-2 | 1933 | REMODELED TRUSS |  |  |  |  |
| RT-3 | 1934 | REMODELED TRUSS |  |  |  |  |
| RT-1 | 1933 | REMODELED TRUSS |  |  |  |  |
| LT-4 | 1920/21rev. | THROUGH CAMELBACK | 150 | 16 | TIMBER/SJ | 15 T |
| L-21 | 1916, cl "A" | THROUGH CAMELBACK | 150 | 16 | TIMBER/TJ | 12T on 2 axies |
| L-38 | 1909, cl "A" | THROUGH CAMELBACK | 166.67 | 12 | TIMBER/TJ | 12T on 2 axles |
| LT-32 | ***/23spc | THROUGH MOD-WAR WN | 120 | 19 | CONC/SJ | (2) 15 T -passing |
| LT-30 | 1924/23spc | THROUGH PRATT | 85 | 19 | CONCISJ | (2)15T-passing |
| LT-20 | 1923/19spc | THROUGH PRATT | 85 | 19 | TIMBER/SJ | (2)15T-passing |
| L-15 | 1912 ¢09spc | THROUGH PRATT | 100 | 12 | TIMBER/TJ | 12T roller |
| L-5 | 1910/09spc | THROUGH PRATT | 100 | 12 | TIMBER/TJ | 12 T on 2 axles |

VTRC METAL TRUSS BRIDGE SURVEY - STANDARD TRUSSES


APPENDIX D
VIRGINIA METAL TRUSS BRIDGES BY SPAN TYPE, CONFIGURATION, CONSTRUCTION DATE, AND BUILDERS

## Span Type Configurations: Totals

| Configuration | Pin | Riveted | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deck Arch | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Deck Pratt | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Deck Warren Hybrid | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Deck Warren Modified | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Deck Warren w/Verticals | 2 | 7 | 0 | 9 |
| Pony Accrow | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Pony Lane | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Pony Pratt Full Slope | 15 | 46 | 0 | 61 |
| Pony Pratt Half Hip | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Pony Pratt Modified | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Pony Pratt Truss Leg | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pony Warren | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Pony Warren Hybrid | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Pony Warren Polygonal Hybrid | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Pony Warren w/Verticals | 0 | 55 | 0 | 55 |
| Pony Warren w/Verticals (Vertical End Posts) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Through Camelback | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| Through Camelback \& Pratt | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Through Parker | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Through Pennsylvania | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Through Pratt Full Slope | 40 | 4 | 0 | 44 |
| Through Pratt Full Slope Skew | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Through Thatcher | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Through Warren Continuous | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Through Warren Hybrid | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Through Warren Polygonal | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Through Warren w/Verticals | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 |
| Deck/Through Warren w/Verticals | 0 | 1 | 0 | - 1 |
| Through Pratt Full Slope/Deck Warren | 1 | 0 | 0 | - 1 |
| Through Pratt Full Slope/Pony Pratt Full Slope | 1 | 0 | 0 | - 1 |
| Through Pratt Full Slope/Pony Warren w/ Vert. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Through Warren Polygonal/Pony Pratt Full Slope | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 81 | 161 | 3 | 245 |

Deck Bridges
Arch
Warren Hybrid
Warren w／Verticals $0000-$
00000
${ }_{0}^{-}$

$\infty$ のー $\ddagger$ ーーの
Total
Total
 00000000 －
Virginia Metal Truss Bridges by Span Type and Construction Date

| Span Type | ？ | $\begin{gathered} 1878- \\ 1889 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1890- \\ 1899 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1900- \\ 1909 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1910- \\ 1919 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1920- \\ 1929 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1930- \\ 1939 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1940- \\ 1949 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1950- \\ 1959 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deck Bridges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arch | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Pratt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Warren Hybrid | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Warren Modified | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Warren w／Verticals | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 |
| Sub－Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| Pony Bridges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accrow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pratt－Full Slope | 6 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 3 | 0 |
| Pratt－Half Hip | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pratt Modified | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pratt Truss Leg | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Warren | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Warren Hybrid | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| Warren Polygonal Hybrid | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Warren w／Verticals | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 21 | 15 | 1 | 0 |
| Warren w／Verticals（Vertical End Post） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Sub－Total | 22 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 23 | 42 | 41 | 6 | 0 |
| Through Bridges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Camelback | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Parker | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pennsylvania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pratt－Full Slope | 5 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| Pratt－Full Slope Skew | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Thatcher | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Warren Continuous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Warren Hybrid | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Virginia Metal Truss Bridges by Span Type and Construction Date


* These tabulations do not include either the Fink deck truss now owned by the City of Lynchburg or the Bowstring arch truss now at the Ironto Wayside in Montgomery County.


## Bridge Builders: Totals

| Builder | Pin | Riveted | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| none known | 18 | 79 | 0 | 97 |
| American Bridge Co. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Atlantic Bridge Co. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Atlantic Bridge Co.NBIW | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Atlantic Bridge Co.NDHT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Accrow Bridge Co. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Brackett Bridge Co. | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| C. W. Curry | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Camden Iron Works/VDHT | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Canton Bridge Co. | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Champion Bridge Co. | 11 | 6 | 0 | 17 |
| Champion Bridge Co.NDHT | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Columbia Bridge Works | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Debourgh Manufacturing | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Department of Defense | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Fredericksburg Bridge Co. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Gresham Bridge Co. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Groton Bridge Manufacturing Co. | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Horseheads Bridge Co. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Keystone Bridge Co. | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| King Iron Bridges Co. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| M. C. Turner | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Nelson \& Buchanan Construction | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Penn Bridge Co. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Phoenix Bridge Co. | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Pittsburg Bridge Co. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pittsburg Iron \& Bridge Co. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Roanoke Bridge \& Iron Co. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Roanoke Bridge Co. | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 |
| Roanoke Iron \& Bridge Co. | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Roanoke Iron \& Bridge Works | 2 | 31 | 0 | 33 |
| Roanoke Iron \& Bridge Works/VDHT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| T. A. Loving \& Sons | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Twin City Boiler Works | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| U. S. Army | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Variety Iron Works | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Virginia Bridge \& Iron Co. | 14 | 10 | 0 | 24 |
| Virginina Bridge \& Iron Co./Alley Const. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Virginia Bridge \& Iron Co.NDHT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Virginia Bridge Co. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| VDHT | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 |
| Walker Brothers | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| West Virginia Bridge Co. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Wisconsin Bridge \& Iron Co. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Wrought Iron Bridge Co. | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| York Bridge Co. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 81 | 161 | 3 | 245 |

## APPENDIX E <br> INVENTORY OF VIRGINIA'S METAL TRUSS BRIDGES

NOTE: Inventory sheets are paired (50, 50-A, 51, 51-A, etc.) to accommodate the large number of descriptive elements for each bridge.
Inventory of Virginia's Metal Truss Bridges

| DISTRICT | COUNTY/CITY | BRIDGE NO. | ROUTE NO. | CROSSING ID | CONST. YEAR | BRIDGE TYPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Culpeper | Albemarle | 1061 | 20 | Hardware River | ?/1955 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Culpeper | Albemarle | 6009 | 603 | Lynch River | 1917 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Culpeper | Albemarle | 6013 | 606 | No. Fork Rivanna River | 1924 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Culpeper | Albemarle | 6092 | 717 | Hardware River | 1932 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Culpeper | Abemarle | 6104 | 743 | No. Fork Rivanna River | 1943 | Through Pratt Full Slope/Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Culpeper | Abemarle | 6244 | 795 | Hardware River | 1907 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Alleghany | 1031 | 60 | Dunlap Creek | 1928 | Through Warren Polygonal |
| Staunton | Alleghany | 1032 | 60 | Dunlap Creek | 1928 | Through Warren Polygonal |
| Staunton | Alleghany | 1037 | 159 | Dunlap Creek | 1928 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Staunton | Alleghany | 1039 | 159 | Dunlap Creek | 1928 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Staunton | Alleghany | 1057 | 311 | Dunlap Creek | 1936 | Through Parker |
| Staunton | Alleghany | 1058 | 311 | Dunlap Creek | 1936 | Pony Warren Polygonal Hybrid |
| Staunton | Alleghany | 6062 | 785 | Potts Creek | 1932 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Alleghany | 6064 | 633 | Cowpasture River | 1896 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Alleghany | 6070 | 638 | Jackson River | 1913 | Through Camelback |
| Staunton | Alleghany | 6079 | 660 | Johnsons or Ogle Creek | 1932 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Alleghany | 6092 | 710 | Dunjap Creek | 1934 | Through Warren Polygonal |
| Richmond | Amelia | 6902 | 620 | Appomattox River | 1912 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Lynchburg | Amkerst | 6043 | 643 | Horseleys Creek | 1923 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Lynchburg | Amherst | 6079 | 635 | Pedlar River | 1937 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Augusta | 6027 | 907 | Christians Creek | 1898 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Augusta | 6032 | 613 | Mossey Creek | 1910 | Pony Warren w/Verticals |
| Staunton | Augusta | 6053 | 637 | Christians Creek | 1920 | Pony Pratt Half Hip |
| Staunton | Augusta | 6081 | 683 | Little Cowpasture River | 1915 | Pony Pratt Truss Leg (Bedstead) |
| Staunton | Augusta | 6102 | 703 | Middle River | 1915 | Pony Pratt Half Hip |
| Staunton | Augusta | 6117 | 730 | North River | 1932 | Through Warren w/ Verticals |
| Staunton | Augusta | 6127 | 733 | Moffett Creet/EIk Run | 1920 | Pony Pratt Half Hip |
| Staunton | Augusta | 6146 | 774 | Middle River | 1903 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Augusta | 6147 | 775 | Middle River | 1909 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Augusta | 6149 | 778 | Middle River | 1915 | Through Camelback |
| Staunton | Augusta | 6151 | 780 | Middle River | 1890 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Augusta | 6159 | 794 | Christians Creek | 1910 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Staunton | Augusta | 6162 | 801 | Jennings Branch | 1900 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Augusta | 6729 | 769 | Middle River | 1907 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Bath | 6050 | 676 | Little Back Creek | 1932 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Bath | 6113 | 635 | Mill Creek | 1921 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Salem | Bedford | 6068 | 644 | Big Otter River | 1932 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |

 RATING RIVET／PIN
CONNECTION

Inventory of Virginia＇s Metal Truss Bridges $========================================$
$7.39(24)$
4.31 （14）VA BRIDGE \＆IRON CO？（Deibler）
$4.62(15)$ CHAMPION BRIDGE CO
$4(13)$ $\begin{array}{cl}4(13) & \\ 5.24(17) & \text { VDHT } \\ 4.31(14) & \text { VA BRIDGE \＆IRON CO？（Deibler）} \\ 7.39(24) & \text { ROANOKE IRON BR．WKS．} \\ 7.39(24) & \text { ROANOKE IRON BR．WKS．} \\ 7.39(24) & \\ 7.39(24) & \\ 7.7(25) & \text { ROANOKE IRON BR．WKS．} \\ 7.39(24) & \text { ROANOKE IRON BR．WKS．} \\ 4(13) & \text { VA BRIDGE CO } \\ 4.62(15) & \text { NELSON／BUCHANAN CNST } \\ 4.62(15) & \text { ROANOKE BRIDGE CO } \\ 4(13) & \text { VA BRIDGE \＆IRON CO } \\ 7.39(24) & \text { ROANOKE IRON BR．WKS．} \\ 4(13) & \\ 4.93(16) & \text { CHAMPION BRIDGE CO } \\ 7.39(24) & \text { VA BRIDGE CO } \\ 4.62(15) & \text { BRACKETT BRIDGE CO } \\ 4(13) & \text { CHAMPION BRIDGE CO } \\ 5.24(17) & \text { CHAMPION BRIDGE CO } \\ 4(13) & \text { CHAMPION BRIDGE CO } \\ 4.62(15) & \text { CHAMPION BRIDGE CO } \\ 4.93(16) & \\ 4(13) & \text { CHAMPION BRIDGE CO } \\ 4(13) & \text { BRACKETT BRIDGE CO } \\ 4(13) & \text { BRACKETT BRIDGE CO } \\ 5.24(17) & \text { CHAMPION BRIDGE CO } \\ 3.39(11) & \text { WROUGHT IRON BR CO } \\ 3.7(12) & \text { CHAMPION BRIDGE CO } \\ 4(13) & \text { CHAMPION BRIDGE CO } \\ 4.93(16) & \text { CHAMPION BRIDGE CO } \\ 4.31(14) & \text { VA BRIDGE \＆IRON CO？（Deibler）} \\ 3.39(11) & \text { VDHT } \\ 3.39(11) & \text { ROANOKE BRIDGE CO } \\ 4.3\end{array}$

WIDTH
 Cーニー＝＝＝＝ニニッ＝


| COUNTY／CITY | BRIDGE NO． | PLAN NUMBER | NO．OF SPANS | $\begin{gathered} \text { LENGTH } \\ \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{ft} .) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WIDTH } \\ & \text { M(ft.) } \end{aligned}$ | BUILDER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albemarle | 1061 | $======-=7=$ （2）SC－24－75 | $3$ | $========$ $60.98(198)$ | 7.39 （24） |  |
| Abemarle | 6009 | Early VBIW Std． | 2 | 24.33 （79） | 4.31 （14） | VA BRIDGE \＆IRON CO？（Deibler） |
| Albemarle | 6013 | No Match | 6 | 105.03 （341） | 4.62 （15） | CHAMPION BRIDGE CO |
| Albemarle | 6092 | Std．L－47 | 4 | 41.89 （136） | 4 （13） |  |
| Albemarle | 6104 | No Match | 3 | 62.83 （204） | 5.24 （17） | VDHT |
| Abemarle | 6244 | Not Std． | 3 | 40.04 （130） | 4.31 （14） | VA BRIDGE \＆IRON CO？（Deibler） |
| Alleghany | 1031 | SC－24－150 | 1 | 47.43 （154） | 7.39 （24） | ROANOKE IRON BR．WKS． |
| Alleghany | 1032 | SC－24－150 | 1 | 47.43 （154） | 7.39 （24） | ROANOKE IRON BR．WKS． |
| Aleghany | 1037 |  | 1 | 28.64 （93） | 7.39 （24） |  |
| Alleghany | 1039 |  | 1 | 29.57 （96） | 7.39 （24） |  |
| Aleghany | 1057 | SM－24－105 Skew | 1 | 38.81 （126） | 7.7 （25） | ROANOKE IRON BR．WKS． |
| Alleghany | 1058 | SM－24－105 | 1 | 32.65 （106） | 7.39 （24） | ROANOKE IRON BR．WKS． |
| Aleghany | 6062 | No Match | 2 | 46.51 （151） | 4 （13） | VA BRIDGE CO |
| Alleghany | 6064 | Not Std． | 3 | 97.02 （315） | 4.62 （15） | NELSON／BUCHANAN CNST |
| Alleghany | 6070 | No Match | 3 | 75.77 （246） | 4.62 （15） | ROANOKE BRIDGE CO |
| Alleghany | 6079 | No Match | 1 | 27.1 （88） | 4 （13） | VA BRIDGE \＆IRON CO |
| Alleghany | 6092 | SC－24－150 | 2 | 60.68 （197） | 7.39 （24） | ROANOKE IRON BR．WKS． |
| Amelia | 6902 | No Match | 1 | 29.26 （95） | 4 （13） |  |
| Amherst | 6043 | LL－3 | 1 | 24.02 （78） | 4.93 （16） | CHAMPION BRIDGE CO |
| Amherst | 6079 | SC－24－75 | 3 | 49.9 （162） | 7.35 （24） | VA BRIDGE CO |
| Augusta | 6027 | No Match | 1 | 24.95 （81） | 4.62 （15） | BRACKETT BRIDGE CO |
| Augusta | 6032 |  | 1 | 12.63 （41） | 4 （13） | CHAMPION BRIDGE CO |
| Augusta | 6053 | No Match | 1 | 48.05 （156） | 5.24 （17） | CHAMPION BRIDGE CO |
| Augusta | 6081 | Bedstead！ | 1 | 25.26 （82） | 4 （13） | CHAMPION BRIDGE CO |
| Augusta | 6102 | No Match | 1 | 14.48 （47） | 4.62 （15） | CHAMPION BRIDGE CO |
| Augusta | 6117 | RR Bridge | 1 | 41.89 （136） | 4.93 （16） |  |
| Augusta | 6127 | No Match | 1 | 23.1 （75） | 4 （13） | CHAMPION BRIDGE CO |
| Augusta | 6146 | No Match | 1 | 42.2 （137） | 4 （13） | BRACKETT BRIDGE CO |
| Augusta | 6147 | No Match | 1 | 43.74 （142） | 4 （13） | BRACKETT BRIDGE CO |
| Augusta | 6149 | No Match | 1 | 56.06 （182） | 5.24 （17） | CHAMPION BRIDGE CO |
| Augusta | 6151 | Not Std． | 1 | 39.12 （127） | 3.39 （11） | WROUGHT IRON BR CO |
| Augusta | 6159 |  | 1 | 21.87 （71） | 3.7 （12） | CHAMPION BRIDGE CO |
| Augusta | 6162 | Not Std． | 1 | 36.96 （120） | 4 （13） | CHAMPION BRIDGE CO |
| Augusta | 6729 | No Match | 3 | 109.65 （356） | 4.93 （16） | CHAMPION BRIDGE CO |
| Bath | 6050 | VBIW A－Frame | 1 | 17.56 （56） | 4.31 （14） | VA BRIDGE \＆IRON CO？（Deibler） |
| Bath | 6113 | LL－3 | 1 | 23.72 （77） | 3.39 （11） | VDHT |
| Bedford | 6068 | LL－3 | 2 | 33.88 （110） | 3.39 （11） | ROANOKE BRIDGE CO |

Inventory of Virginia's Metal Truss Bridges

| ROUTE NO. | CROSSING ID | CONST. YEAR | BRIDGE TYPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $=\sim=$ | $=$ |
| 666 | Elk Creek | 1915 | Deck Pratt |
| 684 | Goose Creek | 1915 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| 715 | Little Otter River | 1932 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| 806 | Stony Fork | 1932 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| 784 | Little Otter River | 7/1960 | Pony Warren Hybrid |
| 634 | Roanoke River | 7/1963 | Through Warren Polygonal |
| 613 | Powell River | 1932 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Pedestrian Walk | South Gate Drive | c. 1960 | Pony Pratt Modified |
| 52 | Wolf Creek | 1926 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| 52 | Wolf Creek | 1926 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| 656 | Big Walker Creek | 1932 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| 607 | Kimberling Creek | 1932/1951 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| 665 | Wolf Creek | 1928 | Through Warren Hybrid |
| Discontinued | Wolf Creek | 1932 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| 43 | James River/CSX RR | 1933 | Deck Warren Hybrid |
| 622 | Mill Creek | 1932 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| 817 | Craig Creek | 1887 | Deck Warren w/Verticals |
| 727 | James River | 781915/1955 | Through CamelbackThrough Pratt |
| 685 | Craig Creek | 1887 | Through Pratt Full Slope/Deck Warren |
| 630 | Waqua Creek | 1919 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| 715 | Meherrin River | 1884 | Through Pratt Fuil Slope |
| 60 | Slate River | 1931 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| 15 | James River/CSA RR/Rt. 656 | 1934 | Deck Warren w/Verticals |
| 654 | Whispering Creek | 7/1968 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| 29 | Staunton River | 1928 | Through Warren Polygonal/Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| 712 | Big Otter River | 1927 | Through Warren Hybrid |
| 640 | Staunton River | 1903 | Through Camelback |
| 52 | Little Reed Island Creek | 1934 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| 630 | Laurel Fork | 1932 | Pony Warren |
| 703 | Little Reed Island Creek | 1919 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| 92 | Roanoke/Staunton River | 1930 | Through Warren Polygonal/Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| 620 | Staunton River | 1900 | Through Camelback |
| Hawthorne St | CSX RR | $?$ | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| 692 | Craig Creek | 1924 | Through Warren w/ Verticals |
| 15 | Rappahannock River | 1930 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| 613 | Rappahannock River | 1878 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| 620 | Rappahannock River | 1898 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |


| Salem | Bedford |
| :---: | :---: |
| Salem | Bedford |
| Salem | Bedford |
| Salem | Bedford |
| Salem | Bedford |
| Salem | Bedford |
| Bristol | Big Stone Gap |
| Salem | Blacksburg |
| Bristol | Bland |
| Bristol | Bland |
| Bristol | Bland |
| Bristol | Bland |
| Bristol | Bland |
| Bristol | Bland |
| Salem | Botetourt |
| Salem | Botetourt |
| Salem | Botetourt |
| Salem | Botetourt |
| Salem | Botetourt |
| Richmond | Brunswick |
| Richmond | Brunswick |
| Lynchburg | Buckingham |
| Lynchburg | Buckingham |
| Lynchburg | Buckingham |
| Lynchburg | Campbell |
| Lynchburg | Campbell |
| Lynchburg | Campbell |
| Salem | Carroll |
| Salem | Carroll |
| Salem | Carroll |
| Lynchburg | Charlotte |
| Lynchburg | Charlotte |
| Staunton | Covington |
| Salem | Craig |
| Culpeper | Culpeper |
| Culpeper | Culpeper |
| Culpeper | Culpeper |

 ロエロ๙๙๙氏す。
$\stackrel{\searrow}{ \pm}$
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Inventory of Virginia's Metal Truss Bridges

| DISTRICT | COUNTY/CITY | BRIDGE NO. | ROUTE NO | CROSSING ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONST. } \\ & \text { YEAR } \end{aligned}$ | BRIDGE TYPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lynchburg | Cumberland | 6053 | 690 | Willis River | 1934 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Lynchburg | Cumberland | 6903 | 621 | Appomattox River | 1900 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Dickenson | 1035 | 63 | McClure River | 1926 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Bristol | Dickenson | 6051 | 684 | McClure River | 1923 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Culpeper | Fauquier | 1910 | 211 | Rappahannock River | 1930 | Through Warren Hybrid |
| Culpeper | Fauquier | 6903 | 645 | Rappahannock River | 1882 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Salem | Floyd | 6021 | 626 | Burke Fork Creek | 1932a | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Salem | Floyd | 6269 | 810 | Little River | ?/1966 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Culpeper | Fluvanna | 1001 | 6 | Rivanna River | 1930 | Pony Warren Hybrid |
| Culpeper | Fluvanna | 1002 | 6 | Hardware River | 1935 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Culpeper | Fluvanna | 1004 | 15 | Rivanna River | 1931 | Through Warren Polygonal |
| Salem | Franklin | 6057 | 643 | Blackwater River | 1915 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Salem | Franklin | 6089 | 687 | Maggodee Creek | 1929 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Salem | Franklin | 6197 | 892 | Otter Creek | 1932a | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Salem | Franklin | 6403 | 668 | Gills Creek | $7 / 1963$ | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Front Royal | 1901 | 55 | So. Fork Shenandoah R./N\&W RR | 1941 | Deck Warren wNerticals |
| Salem | Giles | 1010 | 460 | New River | 1941 | Deck Warren w/Verticals |
| Salem | Giles | 6019 | 623 | New River | 1916 | Through Pennsylvania |
| Salem | Giles | 6045 | 713 | Walker Creek | 1932a | Through Pratt Full Siope |
| Salem | Giles | 6047 | 670 | Big Walker Creek | 7/1936 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Salem | Giles | 6048 | 673 | Wolf Creek | 1924 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Salem | Giles | 6067 | 724 | Wolf Creek | 1924 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Salem | Giles | 6193 | 724 | Wolf Creek | 1927 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Grayson | 1007 | 94 | New River | 1927 | Through Warren Polygonal |
| Bristol | Grayson | 1009 | 58/274 | Elk Creek | 1930 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Grayson | 1013 | 58 | Fox Creek | 1932 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Grayson | 6102 | 767 | Big Wilson Creek | 1909 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Lynchburg | Halifax | 1012 | 58 | Dan River | 1933 | Pony Warren Hybrid |
| Lynchburg | Halifax | 1024 | 360 | Banister River | 1920 | Pony Warren Hybrid |
| Lynchburg | Halifax | 1985 | 49 | Arrons Creek | 1936 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Lynchburg | Halifax | 6166 | 698 | Sandy Creek | 1933 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Richmond | Hanover | 1012 | 54 | South Anna River | 1927 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Richmond | Hanover | 6061 | 689 | Little River | 1920 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Salem | Henry | 6007 | 701 | Smith River | 1931 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Salem | Henry | 6017 | 993 | Reed Creek | 1929 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Salem | Henry | 6026 | 720 | West Fork Leatherwood Creek | 7/1959 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Salem | Henry | 6129 | 622 | Smith River | 7/1953 | Through Pratt Full Slope/Pony Warren w/ Verticals |




| COUNTY/CITY | BRIDGE NO. | PLAN NUMBER | NO. OF SPANS | LENGTH <br> M (ft.) | WIDTH M (ft.) | BUILDER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cumberland | 6053 | SC-24-90 | 3 | 51.44 (167) | 7.7 (25) | ROANOKE IRON BR. WKS.NDHT |
| Cumberland | 6903 | Not Std. | 3 | 50.2 (163) | 4.93 (16) |  |
| Dickenson | 1035 | SC-24-90 | 1 | 28.95 (94) | 7.39 (24) | ROANOKE IRON BR. WKS. |
| Dickenson | 6051 | No Match | 3 | 28.03 (91) | 4 (13) | ROANOKE IRON BR. WKS. |
| Fauquier | 1910 | SC-24-120 (2) | 2 | 75.77 (246) | 8.01 (26) | ROANOKE IRON BR. WKS. |
| Fauquier | 6903 | Not Std. | 6 | 86.55 (281) | 4 (13) | COLUMBIA BRIDGE WRKS |
| Floyd | 6021 | Std. L-4? | 1 | 21.56 (70) | 3.7 (12) |  |
| Floyd | 6269 | Std. L-4? | 3 | 36.96 (120) | 3.7 (12) |  |
| Fluvanna | 1001 | SC-24-105 | 10 | 150.92 (490) | 7.08 (23) | ROANOKE IRON BR. WKS. |
| Fluvanna | 1002 |  | 3 | 40.66 (132) | 7.39 (24) |  |
| Fluvanna | 1004 | SC-24-150 | 8 | 117.04 (380) | 7.39 (24) | VA BRIDGE \& IRON CO./ALLEY CONST. |
| Franklin | 6057 |  | 1 | 24.64 (80) | 3.7 (12) | ROANOKE BR. COMPANY |
| Franklin | 6089 | No Match | 4 | 39.73 (129) | 3.7 (12) | ROANOKE IRON BR. CO. |
| Franklin | 6197 | L-5? | 1 | 31.42 (102) | 3.7 (12) |  |
| Franklin | 6403 | (2)LL-3 or Sim. | 2 | 55.44 (180) | 3.7 (12) | ROANOKE BRIDGE CO? (Diebler) |
| Front Royal | 1901 | No Match | 12 | 592.9 (1925) | 12.94 (42) |  |
| Giles | 1010 | No Match | 13 | 391.16 (1270) | 11.09 (36) |  |
| Giles | 6019 | L21/LL-4 | 6 | 238.08 (773) | 4.93 (16) | VA BRIDGE \& IRON CO |
| Giles | 6045 | No Match | 4 | 48.66 (158) | 3.39 (11) |  |
| Giles | 6047 | No Match | 2 | 48.66 (158) | 4.31 (14) |  |
| Giles | 6048 | L-5 (short) | 4 | 55.13 (179) | 3.7 (12) | CHAMPION BRIDGE CO |
| Giles | 6067 | L-5 (short) | 4 | 52.98 (172) | 3.7 (12) | CHAMPION BRIDGE CO |
| Giles | 6193 | No Match | 1 | 28.34 (92) | 3.7 (12) | VA BRIDGE \& IRON CO |
| Grayson | 1007 | SC-24-120 (5) | 7 | 276.28 (897) | 7.7 (25) | ROANOKE IRON BR. WKS. |
| Grayson | 1009 | SC-24-75 | 3 | 43.74 (142) | 7.7 (25) |  |
| Grayson | 1013 | SC-24-75 | 3 | 52.36 (170) | 7.08 (23) |  |
| Grayson | 6102 | Std 50-5 (Diebler) | 3 | 45.89 (149) | 4.31 (14) | PENN BRIDGE CO (Diebler) |
| Halifax | 1012 | (2)SC-24-105 | 4 | 95.79 (311) | 7.39 (24) | VA BRIDGE \& IRON CO |
| Halifax | 1024 | SC-24-105 | 7 | 93.02 (302) | 8.62 (28) | FREDERICKSBURG BR CO |
| Halifax | 1985 | SC-24-75 | 3 | 43.74 (142) | 7.39 (24) |  |
| Halifax | 6166 | Not Std. | 1 | 40.04 (130) | 8.62 (28) | WISCONSIN BR \& IRON |
| Hanover | 1012 | SC-24-90(80' span) | 3 | 48.36 (157) | 7.39 (24) | GRESHAM BRIDGE CO |
| Hanover | 6061 | Sim. to LL-3 | 1 | 25.26 (82) | 4 (13) |  |
| Henry | 6007 | Modified SC-24-90 | 2 | 64.68 (210) | 7.39 (24) | VA BRIDGE \& IRON CO |
| Henry | 6017 | SC-24-60 | 1 | 20.02 (65) | 8.01 (26) | ROANOKE IRON BR. WKS. |
| Henry | 6026 |  | 1 | 28.95 (94) | 7.39 (24) |  |
| Henry | 6129 | Not Std. | 5 | 121.97 (396) | 7.08 (23) |  |

Inventory of Virginia's Metal Truss Bridges

| DISTRICT | COUNTY/CITY | BRIDGE NO. | ROUTE NO. | CROSSING ID | CONST. YEAR | BRIDGE TYPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $=$ | = == ====ニ== | = |  | ========ะ========= | ======= |  |
| Salem | Henry | 6136 | 636 | Smith River | 1910 | Through Camelback |
| Salem | Henry | 6172 | 630 | North Mayo River | 1922 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Salem | Henry | 6236 | 646 | Carolina \& NW RR | ?/1964 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Highland | 1016 | 250 | Bullpasture River | 1927 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Staunton | Highland | 6001 | 603 | Back Creek | 1905 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Highland | 6002 | 606 | Jackson River | 1938 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Highland | 6012 | 614 | Cowpasture River | 1916 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Highland | 6034 | 645 | Crab Run | 1896 | Pony Lane |
| Bristol | Lee | 1026 | 58 | So. Fork Powell River | 1940 | Pony Warren Polygonal Hybrid |
| Bristol | Lee | 6010 | 612 | Wallens Creek | 1922 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Bristol | Lee | 6013 | 615 | Wallens Creek | 1932a | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Bristol | Lee | 6014 | 616 | Wallens Creek | 1932a | Through Warren w/ Verticals |
| Bristol | Lee | 6031 | 633 | Cane Creek | 1916/1962 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Lee | 6045 | 654 | Wallens Creek | 1932a | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Bristol | Lee | 6076 | 699 | Indian Creek | 1932a | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Lee | 6498 | 833 | Powell River | ?/1966 | Through Parker |
| Bristol | Lee | 6507 | 811 | Indian Creek | 1923 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Bristol | Lee | 9011 | Discontinued | No. Fork Powell River | 1932 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| NOVA | Loudoun | 6051 | 673 | No. Fork Catoctin Creek | 1925/193? | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| NOVA | Loudoun | 6083 | 729 | No. Fork Goose Creek | 1994 | Pony Accrow |
| Culpeper | Louisa | 6037 | 647 | South Anna River | 1916 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Culpeper | Louisa | 6057 | 695 | South Anna River | 1929 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Culpeper | Louisa | 6058 | 699 | South Anna River | 1932 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Richmond | Lunenburg | 6033 | 631 | Knights Creek | 1920 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Culpeper | Madison | 1001 | 15 | Robinson River | 1929 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Culpeper | Madison | 1006 | 231 | Robinson River | 1928 | Pony Warren Hybrid |
| Culpeper | Madison | 1008 | 234 | White Oak Run | 1932 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Bristol | Marion | 8003 | E. Chilhowie St. | Mid. Fork Holston River | 1885/1958 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Richmond | Mecklenburg | 1002 | 1 | Roanoke River | 1928 | Through Warren Continuous |
| Richmond | Mecklenburg | 6061 | 677 | Allens Creek | 1913 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Richmond | Mecklenburg | 6905 | 601 | Aarons Creek | 1912 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Rich mond | Mecklenburg | 6907 | 604 | Aarons Creek | 1910 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Rich mond | Mecklenburg | 6910 | 633 | Meherrin River | 1910 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Fredericksburg | Middlesex | 1959 | 3 | Rappahannock River | 1957 | Deck/Through Warren wNerticals |
| Salem | Montgomery | 1903 | 11 | New River/N\&W RR | 1949 | Deck Warren wNerticals |
| Salerm | Montgomery | 1904 | 114 | New River | 1939 | Through Warren Polygonal |
| Salerm | Montgomery | 6019 | 613 | Little River | 1916 | Through Parker |
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$\stackrel{O}{2}$
 RIVETIPIN
 ロ๙๙氏ロด๙

| 4.93 （16） | VA BRIDGE \＆IRON CO |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4.93 （16） | CHAMPION BRIDGE CO |
| 4.62 （15） | ROANOKE BRIDGE CO？（Diebler） |
| 7.39 （24） |  |
| 4 （13） |  |
| 5.24 （17） |  |
| 5.85 （19） | VA BRIDGE \＆IRON CO |
| 4 （13） | W VA BRIDGE WRKS |
| 7.7 （25） |  |
| 5.24 （17） | ROANOKE IRON BR．CO． |
| 4 （13） |  |
| 4.31 （14） |  |
| 3.7 （12） | VA BRIDGE \＆IRON CO |
| 3.7 （12） |  |
| 3.7 （12） |  |
| 4.93 （16） |  |
| 4.93 （16） | ROANOKE IRON BR．WKS． |
| 4 （13） |  |
| 4.31 （14） | VARIETY IRON WRKS CO |
| 3.7 （12） | ACCROW BRIDGE CO |
| 4 （13） | VA BRIDGE \＆IRON CO |
| 4 （13） |  |
| 4 （13） |  |
| 4.31 （14） | VA BRIDGE \＆IRON CO |
| 8.01 （26） | ROANOKE IRON BR．WKS． |
| 8.01 （26） | VA BRIDGE \＆IRON CO |
| 7.39 （24） |  |
| 5.54 （18） | KING IRON BRIDGES CO． |
| 7.39 （24） |  |
| 3.7 （12） | YORK BRIDGE CO |
| 4 （13） | VA BRIDGE \＆IRON CO |
| 3.39 （11） |  |
| 3.39 （11） |  |
| 7.08 （23） |  |
| 20.94 （68） |  |
| 7.7 （25） |  |

 （1とOL）SS $\angle 1 \varepsilon$


| SNVdS | YヨaWnN |
| :--- | :---: |
| JO ON | $N \forall 7 d$ |



Inventory of Virginia's Metal Truss Bridges

| DISTRICT | COUNTY/CITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { BRIDGE } \\ \text { NO. } \end{gathered}$ | ROUTE NO. | CROSSING ID | CONST. YEAR | BRIDGE TYPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salem | Montgomery | 6132 | 773 | Roanoke River | 1917 | Through Camelback |
| Salem | Montgomery | 6250 | 636 | So. Fork Roanoke River | ?/1963 | Pony Warren |
| Salem | Montgomery | 6910 | 813 | Roanoke River | 1924 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Lynchburg | Nelson | 6006 | 613 | Rockfish River | 1920 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Lynchburg | Nelson | 6052 | 653 | Norfolk-Southern RR | 1882 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Lynchburg | Nelsion | 6258 | 638 | Williams Creek | 1922 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Lynchburg | Nelson | 6909 | 827 | Piney River | 1925 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Suffolk | Northampton | 1006 | 13 | Chesapeake Bay | 1964 | Through Warren Polygonal |
| Richmond | Nottoway | 6907 | 645 | Nottoway River | 1932 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Page | 1004 | 340 | Jeremiahs Run | 1936 | Deck Arch |
| Staunton | Page | 1990 | 340 | Overall Creek | 1938 | Deck Arch |
| Staunton | Page | 6033 | 654 | Hawksbill Creek | 1908 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Page | 6903 | 604 | Naked Creek | 7/1956 | Pony Pratt Half Hip |
| Salem | Patrick | 6070 | 648 | Dan River | 1922 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Salem | Patrick | 6153 | 772 | Spoon Creek | 1932 | Deck Warren w/Verticals |
| Lynchburg | Pittsylvania | 1018 | 40 | Pigg River | 1933 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Lynchburg | Pittsylvania | 6005 | 605 | Roaring Fork Creek | 1932a | Pony Warren Hybrid |
| Lynchburg | Pittsylvania | 6085 | 676 | Whitethorn River | 1915 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Lynchburg | Pittsylvania | 6090 | 710 | Whitethorn Creek | 1910 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Lynchburg | Pittsylvania | 6111 | 701 | Sandy Creek | 1946 | Pony Warren Hybrid |
| Lynchburg | Pittsylvania | 6197 | 841 | So. Branch Sandy River | 1932 | Pony Warren Hybrid |
| Lynchburg | Pittsylvania | 6275 | 832 | Bannister River | 1932 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Richmond | Powhatan | 6046 | 684 | Sallee Creek | 1935 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Richmond | Powhatan | 6910 | 681 | Appomattox River | 1932 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| NOVA | Prince William | 6023 | 646 | Norfolk-Southern RR | 1882 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| NOVA | Prince William | 6029 | 656 | Kettle Run/Broad Run | 1914 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| NOVA | Prince William | 6041 | 692 | Broad Run | 1930 | Through Camelback |
| Culpeper | Rappahannock | 1007 | 522 | So. Fork Thornton River | 1928 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Culpeper | Rappahannock | 6043 | 637 | Jordon River | 1909 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Richmond | Richmond City | 1826 | 161 | James River/CSX+S. RR/Kanawha C | 1925/1955 | Deck Warren Modified |
| Richmond | Richmond City | 2835 | 95 | James River/ Rts. 60\&360/CSX RR | 1958 | Deck Warren w/Verticals |
| Salem | Roanoke City | 8002 | First Street | Norfolk-Southern RR | 1900 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Salem | Roanoke City | 8064 | Ninth Street | Roanoke River | 1943 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Rockbridge | 1024 | 130 | Maury River | 1931 | Pony Warren Hybrid |
| Staunton | Rockbridge | 1050 | 251 | Buffalo Creek | 1954 | Through Pratt Full Slope Skew |
| Staunton | Rockbridge | 6052 | 611 | No. Fork Buffalo Creek | 1931 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Staunton | Rockbridge | 6097 | 644 | Colliers Creek | 1916 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
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| DISTRICT | COUNTY/CITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { BRIDGE } \\ \text { NO. } \end{gathered}$ | ROUTE NO. | CROSSING ID | CONST. YEAR | BRIDGE TYPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| = | =========== |  |  | ========マ=========== | $=======$ |  |
| Staunton | Rockbridge | 6145 | 746 | Calfpasture River | 1890 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Rockbridge | 6160 | 683 | Broad Creek | 1932a | Pony Pratt Half Hip |
| Staunton | Rockingham | 6037 | 636 | Boone Run | 1932a | Pony Pratt Half Hip |
| Staunton | Rockingham | 6043 | 650 | Cub Run | 1905 | Through Pratt Full Siope |
| Staunton | Rockingham | 6071 | 717 | Smith Creek | 1915 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Rockingham | 6088 | 734 | Muddy Creek | 1920 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Rockingham | 6095 | 748 | Spring Creek | 1925 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Staunton | Rockingham | 6100 | 752 | Beaver Creek | 1920 | Pony Pratt Half Hip |
| Staunton | Rockingham | 6154 | 1421 | Linville Creek | 1898 | Through Thatcher |
| Staunton | Rockingham | 6157 | 782 | Linville Creek | 1915 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Rockingham | 6159 | 817 | Turner Run | 1915 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Rockingham | 6251 | 727 | North River | 1916/1961 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Rockingham | 6901 | 602 | Shenandoah River | 1954 | Through Warren Polygonal |
| Bristol | Russell | 6011 | 606 | Copper Creek | 1916 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Bristol | Russell | 6096 | 652 | Clinch River | 1898 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Russell | 6102 | 676 | Moccasin Creek | 7/1956 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Bristol | Scott | 1007 | 58 | Cove Creek | 1929 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Bristol | Scott | 1010 | 58 | Holston River | 1930 | Through Warren w/ Verticals |
| Bristol | Scott | 1026 | 65 | Stony Creek | 1926 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Bristol | Scott | 6012 | 613 | Moccasin Creek | 1921 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Scott | 6013 | 613 | Moccasin Creek | 1921 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Scott | 6065 | 649 | Cove Creek | 1932a | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Bristol | Scott | 6106 | 692 | Holston River | 1910 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Scott | 6116 | 714 | Opossum Creek | 1932a | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Bristol | Scott | 6140 | 627 | Copper Creek | 1909 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Scott | 6240 | 632 | Opossum Creek | 1921 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Scott | 6487 | 682 | Copper Creek | 1911/1963 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Shenandoah | 1011 | 11 | No. Fork Shenandoah River | 1933 | Through Warren Polygonal |
| Staunton | Shenandoah | 1959 | 11 | Cedar Creek | 1929 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Staunton | Shenandoah | 6021 | 621 | Cedar Creek | 1932/1942 | Pony Warren w/Verticals w/ Vertical End Post |
| Staunton | Shenandoah | 6058 | 691 | Stoney Creek | 1932/1942 | Pony Warren w/Verticals w/ Vertical End Post |
| Bristol | Smyth | 6023 | 620 | Holston River | 1932 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Bristol | Smyth | 6025 | 620 | Holston River | 1932a | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Smyth | 6034 | 624 | Holston River | 1921 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Smyth | 6037 | 629 | Holston River | 1928 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Smyth | 6086 | 687 | Holston River | 1932a | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Suffolk | Southampton | 1006 | 35 | Nottoway River | 1929 | Through Warren Hybrid |
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| 80．39（261） | 7.7 （25）GROTON BRIDGE MFG CO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| DISTRICT | COUNTY/CITY | BRIDGE NO. | ROUTE NO. | CROSSING ID | CONST. YEAR | BRIDGE TYPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ========== |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fredericksburg | Spotsylvania | 6913 | 658 | North Anna River | 1943 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Suffolk | Sussex | 1006 | 40 | Nottoway River | 1936 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Suffolk | Sussex | 1008 | 40 | Nottoway River | 1934 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Suffolk | Sussex | 1014 | 301 | Nottoway River | 1928 | Pony Warren Hybrid |
| Bristol | Tazewell | 6013 | 610 | Little River | 1947 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Tazewell | 6113 | 717 | Bluestone River | 1912 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Bristol | Tazewell | 6135 | 1201 | Clinch River | 1932a | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Staunton | Warren | 1005 | 340 | Gooney Creek | 1936 | Pony Pratt Fuil Slope |
| Staunton | Warren | 1015 | 340/522 | Shenandoah R./Norfolk-Southern RR | 1941 | Deck Warren w/Verticals |
| Bristol | Washington | 6108 | 670 | So. Fork Holston River | 1949 | Through Warren Continuous |
| Bristol | Washington | 6272 | 712 | Holston Creek | 1932 a | Through Camelback |
| Staunton | Waynesboro | 6059 | 650 | South River | 1932 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Bristol | Wise | 6006 | 609 | Powell River | 1920 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Wise | 6088 | 674 | Powell River | 1942 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |
| Bristol | Wise | 6204 | 790 | Powell River | 1929 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Wythe | 1005 | 11 | Reed Creek | 1931 | Deck Warren Hybrid |
| Bristol | Wythe | 1012 | 21 | Cripple Creek | 1933 | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Wythe | 1017 | 52 | New River | 1931 | Through Warren Continuous |
| Bristol | Wythe | 1028 | 100 | New River | 1941 | Deck Warren w/Verticals |
| Bristol | Wythe | 1902 | 421 | Reed Creek | 1932 | Through Warren w/Verticals |
| Bristol | Wythe | 6016 | 619 | Cripple Creek | 1948 | Through Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Wythe | 6021 | 625 | Reed Creek | 1932a | Pony Pratt Full Slope |
| Bristol | Wythe | 6074 | 749 | Cripple Creek | 1929 | Pony Warren w/ Verticals |

Inventory of Virginia's Metal Truss Bridges
RIVET/PIN
CONNECTION RATING
$================$



## APPENDIX F

## BRIDGE ELIGIBILITY RATING SHEET

## BRIDGE ELIGIBILITY RATING SHEET

District: $\qquad$ County:

Structure No.: $\qquad$ Route: $\qquad$ Crossing: $\qquad$

## I. Categories

A. DHR Theme(s):
B. Period(s) of Significance:
C. Area(s) of Significance:
D. National Register Criteria:
II. Assignment of Basic Points
A. Level of Significance $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { (local, regional, state, national) } & 5 & 7 & 10 & 15\end{array}$
B. Visual Prominence as a Landmark
none somewhat yes
C. Rarity of Bridge Type
$\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1\end{array}$ 3
D. Rarity of Design Elements
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3\end{array}$
E. Technological Significance (early example)
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3\end{array}$
F. Integrity of Bridge
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { (Condition, Degree of Modifications)0 } & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4\end{array}$
G. Contextual Integrity
(1) General Surroundings $\quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { (2) } \begin{array}{lll}\text { Immediate and associated } \\ \text { transportation resources } & 0 & 1\end{array} & 2\end{array}$
H. Historic Significance and Associative Value (including builder)

## A SURVEY OF METAL TRUSS BRIDGES IN VIRGINIA

## Errata sheet:

p.23. Under the entry for Augusta County Structure No. 6081, the route number should read "Rt. 683."
p.24. Under the entry for Loudoun County Structure No. 6051, the final sentence in this entry should read: 'Not rated; previously entered on National Register."
p.50-A. Under the entry for Augusta County Structure No. 6081, the National Register eligibility rating should read "E" (e.g. eligible for National Register).

