IMPACT OF REMOVAL OF TOLLS ON TRAVEL IN TIDEWATER VIRGINIA

Volume I - Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel

Ъy

R. N. Robertson Research Engineer

and

Gary R. Allen Research Economist

(The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed in this report are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the sponsoring agencies.)

Virginia Highway & Transportation Research Council (A Cooperative Organization Sponsored Jointly by the Virginia Department of Highways & Transportation and the University of Virginia)

In Cooperation with the U. S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Charlottesville, Virginia

July 1977 VHTRC 78-R4 0329

ŝ

PREFACE

Academic economists have written and spoken for the past two decades about utilizing pricing in the private demand for transportation as a means to improve the efficiency of the transportation system. Recently tolls and parking charges have been discussed as an alternative solution to the urban transportation congestion problem, but the lack of demonstration projects has largely precluded the generation of data by which the constraint induced by pricing schemes can be monitored. In order to take advantage of the "natural experiment" which the removal of tolls in Tidewater Virginia provided, case studies of three toll facilities in the area — the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel, the James River Bridge, and the Coleman Bridge — were undertaken. The results of these case studies are reported in three volumes, with this first volume presenting the findings for the Hampton Roads facility. The results for the James River Bridge and the Coleman Bridge are reported in Volumes II and III, respectively.

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this research was to monitor and report the effects that the removal of the tolls on the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel had upon travel activity in the Tidewater area.

Before and after questionnaire surveys were utilized to gather data from the motorists using the facility. Information from mechanical and manual volume counts and telephone contacts with many officials from local governments, retail associations, real estate agencies, etc. supplemented the questionnaire data.

It was concluded that the tolls had been a barrier to travel across Hampton Roads. After the tolls were removed traffic volumes increased by 41%, which was a 33.3% increase over the volumes that would have been expected had the tolls not been lifted. The increase in the percentage of trucks using the facility during the after period indicated increased trade and services in the region. The vehicular occupancy rate decreased and individuals made trips across the facility more frequently after the tolls were removed than they did when the tolls were in force. The percentage of nonessential trips, such as those for shopping, recreation, and social activity, increased after the tolls were removed. Young people (less than 21 years), retired persons, and housewives made many of those trips. The data also indicated that some people in the area changed, or intended to change, their jobs and residences as a result of the end to tolls.

IMPACT OF REMOVAL OF TOLLS ON TRAVEL IN TIDEWATER VIRGINIA

Volume I - Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel

ЪУ

R. N. Robertson Research Engineer

and

Gary R. Allen Research Economist

INTRODUCTION

Travel demand is quite elastic with respect to transportation pricing and the residents of Tidewater Virginia have been paying some type of fee for the crossing of the Hampton Roads channel and its contributory rivers since the 1600's. As a result, the tolls have acted to prevent the Hampton Roads region from achieving its full economic and social potential. Figure 1 shows the highway network in the region and the location of facilities carrying tolls prior to June 1976. Although the region is composed of approximately one million persons (1970 census), the opportunities for its residents and businessmen are like those found in metropolitan areas of half its size.

An indication of the transportation constraint created by Hampton Roads and the toll charges was revealed in the comprehensive transportation studies conducted in the 1960's.(1,2) The traffic within the region, as measured by origin-destination studies, was approximately 1,300,000 vehicle trips on an average day. These trips were made for a variety of purposes and were generated by the residents and businesses of the jurisdictions within the region. Of the total vehicular trips only 18,474 (1.4%) were crossings of the Hampton Roads channel - 77% being by automobiles and 23% by trucks. In addition, it was found that the average occupancy rate for the automobiles making the crossing was 2.40 persons. This occupancy rate was much higher than the region-wide average and was an indication of the barrier imposed by the tolls.

On June 3, 1976, three of the most expensive tolls (Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel, the James River Bridge and the Coleman Bridge) were removed. The anticipated impacts of the removal of the tolls upon travel activity were partially predicted in the report entitled "The Hampton Roads Joint Transportation Study."⁽³⁾ While the principal objective of that study was to determine the economic feasibility and impact of a proposed third crossing of Hampton Roads, several alternative methods of accommodating transportation demands, including an adjustment of the tolls on existing facilities, were examined.



Figure 1. Existing highways and toll facilities.

The results of the study reflected the intuitive, anticipated changes in economic growth and traffic volumes under the different toll pricing policies. Generally, the lowest toll rates accounted for the largest population and economic growth, as well as an increase in the number of vehicles crossing Hampton Roads. On the other hand, greater tolls had the impact of decreasing the rate of population and economic growth and, thus, vehicular travel.

PURPOSE AND SCOPE

Although studies have reported the anticipated impacts of changes in tolls upon traffic parameters and socioeconomic activity, (4,5) few, if any, have been based on case studies in which tolls were completely removed from transportation facilities. The removal of toll charges on the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel (Interstate Route 64 in Norfolk and Hampton) afforded an excellent opportunity to conduct such a study, and the purpose of this research was to monitor and report the effects that the removal of tolls had upon transportation and socioeconomic activity in the Hampton Roads region. The specific objectives were to -

- 1. examine the characteristics of the motorists;
- 2. monitor the changes in traffic volumes;
- 3. investigate the changes in traffic composition,
- review the changes in vehicle occupancy rates and carpools;
- 5. examine the different purposes of trips; and
- 6. investigate the variations in travel patterns.

Although monitoring of long-range effects may be desirable at a later date, the study was restricted to the immediate impacts created by the removal of the tolls. Furthermore, the effects of the increased vehicular capacity at the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel crossing afforded by the new parallel facility were not considered in this study, because the after data were collected during the time the old facility was closed for maintenance and all traffic was utilizing the new facility under two-way traffic conditions.

HISTORY

In the early 1950's traffic was accommodated across Hampton Roads by ferry lines operating between Pine Beach in Norfolk and Boat Harbor in Newport News and Willoughby in Norfolk and Old Point in Hampton. These ferry lines were replaced in 1957 by a bridgetunnel structure financed by a bond issue. The structure, 5.47 km (3.4 mi.) in length, was constructed at a cost of \$62 million and connected the cities of Hampton and Norfolk. The payments for the bonds were provided by the tolls imposed upon the motorists using the facility. Table 1 shows the toll rates in 1976.

Table 1	
1976 Tolls for Hampton Ro (one-way tr	pads Bridge-Tunnel rip)
Type of Vehicle	Toll
Automobile Cash Commuter Ticket (Sold in groups of 12)	\$1.25 0.75
Commercial 2 ton or less 2 axles 3 axles	1.50 1.75
2 ton or more 2 axles 3 axles	1.75 2.25
Tractor Trailer 3 axles 4 axles 5 axles	2.50 3.00 3.50

In 1975, the 8,774,271 vehicles using the Bridge-Tunnel paid toll revenues exceeding \$10 million. The traffic demand frequently exceeded the capacity of the two-lane facility, with resulting traffic jams, particularly during commuter peak periods and holiday weekends.

A second tunnel was proposed and subsequently constructed as a part of Interstate Route 64. Largely financed by the federal government, the \$96 million project was completed at approximately the same time the bonds for the original tunnel were paid off. Consequently,

on June 3, 1976, the entire facility was opened to traffic toll free. This was the first time that the residents of the area were afforded a free crossing of the channel. The removal of tolls meant that the individuals who by necessity had to use the Bridge-Tunnel daily received immediate benefits. The individual who used a commuter ticket and crossed the facility daily in traveling to and from work immediately realized a net increase in spendable income of almost \$400 per year. For those who crossed eight times per week, but who did not use the commuter ticket, the savings were approximately \$520 per year.

METHODOLOGY

To examine the effect of the removal of tolls, it was necessary, insofar as possible, to eliminate the impact of other factors bearing on the use of the facility. If there were discernible trends, either upward or downward in the traffic using the Bridge-Tunnel, these had to be taken into account, by establishing historical trends based on conditions that had existed for several years prior to the removal of tolls. To eliminate distortions due to seasonal variations, the monthly trends during the before and after periods were established and compared.

The methodology employed by the study involved mechanical and manual volume counts, a before questionnaire survey, an after questionnaire survey, and telephone contacts with many officials from local governments, retail associations and firms, and real estate agencies. The results of the data analysis are discussed in the following sections.

Volume Counts

The Traffic and Safety and the Toll Facilities Divisions of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation have secured vehicular traffic volumes at the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel for many years. For the present study, the Department's data for the past eight years were obtained to establish historical trends in total volumes and the composition by type of vehicle. Toll Facilities revenue data also reflected the commuter ticket usage before the tolls were removed.

After the removal of tolls, the Toll Facilities Division continued to secure vehicular volume counts with mechanical recorders; these data were made available to the researchers. In addition, manual volume counts were made by Council personnel to determine the composition of traffic and the occupancy rates.

Before Questionnaire Survey

To acquire travel information before the tolls were removed, a questionnaire was developed and distributed to a sample of the motorists traveling across the Bridge-Tunnel. The questionnaire requested information about the type of vehicle, origin and destination of trip, trip purpose, vehicle occupancy rate, respondent characteristics, aspects of latent demand, and whether or not the commuter ticket was used to pay the toll.

Of the 24,000 vehicles which daily crossed the facility, approximately one-third (6,995) were sampled on May 18, 1976. As the travelers entered the facility to pay the toll, they were handed the questionnaires along with letters of explanation concerning the research project. (Copies of the letter and questionnaire are in Appendix A.) To facilitate the return of the questionnaire, the respondent was required only to refold it after filling it in and drop it in a mailbox; it contained the return address and postage.

After Questionnaire Survey

Approximately five months after removal of the tolls, an interval that was thought to be sufficient to allow for short-range adjustments to the absence of tolls, an after questionnaire survey was conducted. This survey was conducted during the time when the old tunnel was closed for maintenance and all traffic was utilizing the new facility under two-way traffic conditions. Consequently, the capacity of the Bridge-Tunnel was essentially the same during the before and after surveys.

The questionnaire developed for the after survey was similar to the one used previously; however, it contained additional questions concerning participation in carpools and changes in travel since the tolls were removed. (The questionnaire is in Appendix B.) Because of the congestion and hazardous conditions roadside surveys create on interstate highways, that method of distributing the questionnaires was not used in the after survey. Consequently, a license plate survey was employed in which a random sample of license plate numbers were recorded and traced through the Division of Motor Vehicle files for names and addresses. Those motorists in the sample (3,526) were mailed a questionnaire with a letter of explanation requesting that they execute and return it by mail. As in the before survey, the respondent had only to refold the guestionnaire and drop it in the mail. While the license plate survey is an effective procedure for securing travel information; it is limited to the vehicles licensed in Virginia, since the Division of Motor Vehicles does not have outof-state registration information.

Telephone Survey

Officials of several real estate agencies, chambers of commerce, retail merchants associations, department stores, and multiple listing services, were contacted by telephone to seek information relative to resident and employment relocations which may be attributed to the removal of tolls on the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel. While all of the agencies expressed an interest in the study only one, the Penisula Apartment Council, was able to provide data pertinent to the study.

SURVEY RESULTS

Characteristics of the Motorists

Of the 6,995 people surveyed at Hampton Roads prior to the removal of the tolls, 2,008 (29%) responded by returning the questionnaire. Of these respondents, 79% were male. In the after survey, 1,384 (39%) people in the sample population returned the questionnaire; however, the percentage of male motorists responding dropped to 72%.

Table 2 shows the distribution of age groups before and after the tolls were removed. The 21-39 year age group accounted for 54% of the before sample and the 40-65 year group made up 39% of the total. In the after period the number of people in the 21-39 year group decreased while the younger (under 21) and older (over 65) groups made more trips than before the tolls were removed. A review of Table 3 also reveals that these age groups increased their travels. The percentage of retired people crossing the facility more than doubled after the tolls were removed while there was a drastic increase in the group designated "other," made up mostly of students.

Other occupational groups which increased their travels during the after period were unskilled workers and homemakers. Although the percentage of professional people and business managers decreased after the tolls were lifted, they continued to make up the majority of the motorists using the Bridge-Tunnel.

It was hypothesized that the number of people in the lower income group would increase their travels after the tolls were removed, and thus constitute a larger percentage of the respondents than they did in the before survey. However, this did not appear to be the case, as shown in Table 4. There were no statistical differences in the income distributions of the people who responded to the before and after questionnaires.

Table 2

Age Distributions of Respondents

Age	Percentage of	E Respondents
	Before	After
Under 21 21-39 40-65 Over 65 No response	3.1 53.8 39.2 2.4 1.5	5.2 45.5 40.1 5.0

Table 3

Occupation Distributions of Respondents

Occupation	Percentage o	f Respondents
	Before	After
Professional Business Manager Clerical Craftsman Operator Unskilled Homemaker Retired Othor	30.0 28.8 4.0 9.7 9.0 2.3 3.7 2.8	26.8 22.5 3.7 9.7 2.9 4.8 5.8 6.8
Homemaker Retired Other	2.3 3.7 2.8 9.7	4. 5. 6. 17.

Table 4

Income Distributions of Respondents

Income	Percentage of	Respondents
(Dollars)	Before	After
< 9,000 9,001 - 12,000 12,001 - 15,000 15,001 - 25,000 25,001 - 30,000 >30,000	12.6 12.6 12.0 31.7 11.6 12.7	12.5 13.3 13.7 30.0 8.8 11.5
ne receptine	0.0	£0.2

The method of toll payment — whether by commuter ticket or cash — used by the motorists during the before period was an important characteristic that was reviewed briefly because it provided insight into the relationship between out-of-pocket tolls and the number of trips taken.

Prior to the removal of tolls, 24-hour counts showed that approximately 40.0% of the traffic used reduced fare commuter tickets. Of the respondents surveyed during the 12-hour before period, 59.5% used a commuter ticket costing \$0.75 for each one-way trip. The remaining respondents traveling in passenger cars and pickups paid \$1.25 per one-way trip, while the truckers paid the appropriate truck rates. Because the survey period included the peak morning and afternoon hours for travel to and from work, it is understandable that a higher percentage of those surveyed used the ticket than did the population in general.

Cross tabulations indicated that income was significantly related to the use of commuter tickets (purchased in groups of 12 for \$9.00). While it was hypothesized that respondents in high income groups might have little tendency to purchase commuter tickets because the cost of tolls would represent a small portion of their budget, this expectation was not supported. The data showed that there was a , greater tendency to purchase the tickets among income groups earning more than \$15,000 than among lower income groups. Furthermore, business managers, professionals, and clerical workers were much more likely to use the commuter ticket than were craftsman, operators, and unskilled laborers. Among travelers whose trips originated at school, a large majority (70.0%) used commuter tickets. Those whose trips originated in shopping areas, on the other hand, did not exhibit a strong tendency to use commuter tickets. The relative infrequency of shopping trips was initially thought to be the controlling influence here; however, the numbers of school and shopping trips were almost identical. The significant difference may be explained by noting that the regularity of the trips rather than the frequency was the likely determining factor in the decision to use tickets.

With respect to vehicle occupancy rates and commuter ticket usage, a significant relationship was found; viz., drivers alone in their vehicles were more likely to use the commuter ticket than were drivers with one or two passengers. Only the drivers of vehicles carrying four passengers used the ticket as extensively as did the lone drivers. Intuitively, one would surmise a relatively higher occupancy rate among vehicles with reduced fare commuter ticket users than among those with regular fare patrons. The hypothesis was that if patrons attempt to minimize the cost of travel, those who cross frequently will search for carpools to take advantage of the opportunity to spread costs of the operation. Since carpool users are likely to cross the harbor as frequently as noncommuters, they would further reduce the cost of travel to and from work by

purchasing a commuter ticket. However, no such relationship was found. While discussion in later sections may provide insight into this finding, it is sufficient at this point to suggest that the level of toll (even \$1.25 per trip) might not significantly enter into a commuter's decision, because the toll represents a relatively small portion of the total cost of an essential work trip.

Changes in Traffic Volumes

One of the most noticeable immediate effects of the removal of the tolls on the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel was a variation in traffic volumes. As previously mentioned, it was necessary to establish the annual growth trend in travel in order to isolate the impact of the removal of tolls.

The historical trend of total traffic crossing the facility is presented in Figure 2. The average daily traffic (ADT) volumes increased at a fairly stable rate for several years prior to the removal of tolls, except for a brief period during the energy crisis when travel in Tidewater area, as well as in the nation was affected. As shown in Figure 2 the ADT in 1969 was approximately 15,600 vehicles. Through 1975, volumes increased at an average annual rate of 7.7%, to bring the 1975 ADT in excess of 24,000 vehicles.

It can be concluded from the data that the changes in traffic volume for the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel were predictable and rather moderate prior to the removal of tolls. The traffic trend shown in Figure 2 indicates that the ADT for 1976 would have been approximately 26,000 vehicles had the tolls not been removed. However, the graph shows a sharp increase in the total volumes for 1976, even though the tolls were in effect for five months during that year. The 1976 ADT was 30,420, a 30.0% increase over the 1975 volume and a 22.3% increase over the 1976 ADT that would have been expected had the tolls not been lifted.

The traffic volumes have been monitored on a daily basis since the tolls were removed and these data, along with those for a corresponding period of time prior to the removal of tolls, are presented in Appendix C. Figure 3 summarizes that data and shows the variations in the ADT over the 12 months preceding and subsequent to the removal of tolls. The total number of vehicles crossing the facility during the before period was 9,075,299 as compared to 12,801,165 in the after period. The increase was 41.0%, or approximately 33.3% greater than the expected historical growth.



Figure 2. Average daily traffic volumes at Hampton Roads.



Figure 3. Monthly traffic volumes at Hampton Roads before and after removal of tolls.

Figure 3 also reveals that the lifting of the tolls has not had any significant effect upon month-to-month variations in average daily traffic. The curves for the two periods rise at approximately equivalent rates from January to July and fall together from August through December. Quite apparently, after the tolls were removed an immediate and drastic increase occurred in travel across the harbor. Again referring to Figure 3, the curve for the after period indicates that the increase for the typically peak months of July and August was approximately 10,500 vehicles per day. The traffic growth for the typically low volume month, January, was about 8,500 vehicles per day.

Figure 4 is a graphical comparison of the trends in ADT for May, June, and July from 1971 through the after study period ending in May 1977. The upper graph shows how the 1971 ADT for the month of July compares with the 1976 ADT for the month of July, etc. The average rate of increase in the ADT for each of the months was a fairly moderate 7.0% during the period between 1971 and the removal of tolls in June 1976. The trends for the rates of increase for the three months were similar between 1971 through 1975; however, the similarity does not extend past the first of June 1976, the date the tolls were lifted. The increase in volumes becomes quite apparent when the trend lines are compared with the actual volume counts , recorded during the respective months after the tolls were removed. Had the tolls remained in effect, the estimated ADT in July would have been approximately 31,000 vehicles; the actual ADT was 41,784 vehicles.

The above data indicate that after the tolls were lifted there was a drastic increase in the number of vehicles using the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel. The greatest increase in traffic occurred during the first month after the tolls were lifted, and since that time only slight monthly volume increases, approximately equal to the historical growth, have been observed.



Figure 4. Monthly travel trends at Hampton Roads.

Changes in Traffic Composition

Traffic composition was important in this study for two reasons. First, it was used to detect changes, if any, in the types of vehicles crossing Hampton Roads after the tolls were removed. As previously mentioned, the tolls were considered as a barrier to trade within the region and data were required to determine if the truck traffic and therefore trade had changed. Second, the information was helpful to check the sampled population used in the questionnaire surveys against the general population using the facility.

After the tolls were removed, periodic manual classification counts were secured and the composition of traffic was recorded. This manual classification information is presented in Appendix D and is summarized in Table 5 along with the 1975 statistics, representing the before period, obtained from the Traffic and Safety Division.

The data show that passenger cars now make up a slightly smaller percentage of the total traffic than they did prior to the elimination of the tolls. Whereas in 1975 cars comprised 86.5% of the ADT, they currently make up 76.5%. Pickups and vans have constituted approximately 12.0% of the total volume since the tolls were lifted; however, this increase may not be solely attributed to the removal of tolls because of the increasing popularity of the vehicles for recreational and commuter transportation. Furthermore, several vanpool programs have been initiated by individuals and private firms since June 1976, the date of the removal of tolls.

Table 5

Traffic Composition for Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel (In Percentages)

Type of Vehicle

		Pickups	Tru	ıcks		
Period	Cars	& Vans	2-Axle	<u>3-Axle</u>	\underline{TT}	Other
1975	86.5	8.3	2.3	0.1	2.7	0.1
July 1976	78.4	13.0	3.3	0.9	3.4	1.0
August 1976	79.1	12.1	3.7	0.6	3.4	1.1
September 1976	78.2	11.9	4.2	0.6	4.3	0.8
October 1976	78.0	11.9	4.0	0.4	5.1	0.6
March 1977	77.4	12.9	3.7	0.6	4.6	0.8
May 1977	76.5	13.3	3.9	6.7	4.4	1.2

Table 5 shows that the percentages of trucks in the total volume, particularly the percentages of light 2-axle delivery and tractor-trailer trucks, have increased since the tolls were lifted. This finding seems to imply some type of generated economic activity as a result of the free crossing of the Bridge-Tunnel. This implication is consistent with the comments made by many of the respondents to the questionnaires. They noted that they were going to expand their businesses across the channel after the tolls were removed.

With respect to the types of vehicles sampled in the before questionnaire survey, the data showed that of the 2,008 vehicles involved, 81.7% were passenger cars, 8.3% were pickups and vans, 4.7% were 2-axle trucks, and about 4.0% were tractor-trailers. These statistics compare favorably with the before data (1975) shown in Table 5.

In the after survey, 91.8% of the respondents were traveling in passenger cars, 6.5% in pickups and vans, 1.1% in 2-axle delivery trucks, and approximately 0.1% in tractor-trailer trucks. These statistics do not compare with the actual volume counts obtained in October 1976 as well as those in the before survey. However, in the after survey license plate numbers were recorded and the questionnaires mailed to the owners of the vehicles who, in many cases, may not have been the drivers of the vehicles, particularly of the trucks, and company-owned and rental passenger cars on the day of the survey.

Changes in Vehicle Occupancy Rates and Carpools

One of the major objectives of the study was to determine the impact of the removal of tolls upon vehicle occupancy rates. Unfortunately, no reliable data were available for the period before the removal of tolls. While the before questionnaire contained a question on vehicle occupancy, many respondents misunderstood the question so accurate data were not obtained. The only available information is a 1964 statistic of 2.40 persons per automobile crossing the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel.⁽⁴⁾ Dash and Vey⁽⁴⁾ reported that this occupancy rate was much higher than the region-wide average, and thus indicated that the toll was a travel barrier. Manual counts were made periodically after the tolls were removed and the number of people riding in each vehicle was recorded.

The occupancy data gathered since the removal of the toll, plotted graphically and shown in Figure 5, indicate that occupancy rates declined until January 1977, when an upward trend began. Specifically, in July 1976, one month after the tolls were removed, the occupancy rate was 1.96; however, it had dropped to 1.38 in mid-January. From January the rate increased, and the counts taken in May 1977 revealed that an average of 1.52 persons were traveling in each vehicle. Further data on vehicle occupancy are given in Appendix E.



Figure 5. Vehicle occupancy rates after removal of tolls at Hampton Roads.

Without historical trends it was difficult to determine the extent to which the data shown in Figure 5 differ from the normal monthly variations; however, one would not expect a sharp decline in occupancy rates to occur during the vacation months, July through September, under normal travel conditions. Therefore it appears that the removal of tolls probably has had a significant impact upon reducing the occupancy rate of the vehicles crossing Hampton Roads.

Occupancy rates and commuter carpools are closely associated; therefore, additional questions relative to participation in carpools were included in the after questionnaire survey. Of the respondents, 12.8% indicated that they carpooled prior to the removal of tolls, while 10.7% said that they continued to use carpools to and from work after the tolls were lifted. The data show that while some motorists stopped riding in carpools after the tolls were lifted, others initiated carpools. Although the absolute number of respondents to the questions was relatively small, 28.0% of those who carpooled prior to removal of tolls indicated that they did not carpool afterwards, while 13.0% of those who did not formerly carpool entered a program. The changes in carpools were not statistically significant, therefore the removal of tolls does not appear to have had a major impact upon the carpooling habits of the motorists using the Bridge-Tunnel. Furthermore, it does not appear that there is a substantial number of carpoolers among motorists who make up the vast increase in travel since the tolls were removed. The propensity to carpool will be reviewed in greater detail in the latter section of the report on trip purpose.

In an effort to provide further insight into the changes in occupancy rates, several variables and their relationship to vehicular occupancy were examined. Among them were age, income, occupation, purpose of trip, frequency of trip and length of trip. Only interrelationships — not before and after comparisons — were made; therefore the after questionnaire survey results were used.

With respect to occupancy and age, the cross tabulation data showed a significant relationship. As expected, retired individuals and those under 21 years of age rode together more often than did people in the other age groups. The rate for the oldest group was 1.90 and that for the youngest was 1.64; the 40-65 year age group had a rate of 1.62, and that for the 21-39 year group was 1.52.

The relationship between occupancy rate and income was nonlinear, as shown in Figure 6. The low income group, as expected, had the highest occupancy rate. The rate dropped for middle income groups and then rose for those respondents earning more than \$30,000.





One might reasonably hypothesize that the occupancy rate would vary by trip purpose. Table 7 presents data consistent with this hypothesis. Work trips were characterized by a lower occupancy rate than the other types of trips, particularly shopping. In fact, work trips make up the large majority of the trips taken, and the occupancy rate among them is the lowest of any category of trips.

Table 8 shows the relationship between the occupancy rate and the frequency of the trips made across Hampton Roads. The data are consistent with those presented for trip purpose. The people (commuters) who travel most frequently across the Roads exhibit the lowest occupancy rates.

The final relationship reviewed in this part of the analysis was that of occupancy rate and length of trip. Table 9 shows that the short trips had the highest occupancy rate. The rate dropped for middle categories and then rose for the longer trips. These findings were consistent with other data in the report which revealed that the average shopping trips usually had high occupancy rates, and shorter travel times than work trips, while the trips made in the category designated "other" (recreational, visiting, etc.) were greater in travel time than the work trips.

Table 6

Vehicle Occupancy by Occupation, After Period

Occupation

Occupancy Rate

1.57 1.41 1.53 1.66 1.43 1.85 2.06 1.99 1.40

Professional	
Business Manager	
Clerical	
Craftsman	
Operator	
Unskilled Laborer	
Homemaker	
Retired	
Student	

Table 7

Vehicle Occupancy by Trip Purpose, After Period

Trip Purpose	Occupancy	Rate
Origin:		
Home Work Shopping Other (Recreational, school, etc.)	1.63 1.41 2.00 1.71	
Destination:		
Home Work Shopping Other (Recreational, school, etc.)	1.61 1.32 1.94 1.75	

Table 8

Vehicle Occupancy by Number of Crossings

Number of Crossings	Occupancy Rate
> 10 per week	1.38
4-6 per week	1.48
2 per week	1.53
l per week	1.68
2 per month	1.93
< 6 per year	2.04

Table 9

Vehicle Occupancy by Trip Time, After Period

•

.

<u>Trip Time in Minutes</u>	Occupancy Rate
- 20	2 00
21 - 25	1.59
26 - 35	1.45
36 - 45	1.40
46 - 60	1.52
61 - 75	1.81
> 75	1.81

Changes in the Purposes of Trips

Because all trip types or purposes are not equally ranked by travelers in terms of importance, the consequences of tolls cannot be summarized by simply examining the total number of trips taken before and after the tolls were removed.

The purposes of the trips made during the before and after surveys are shown in Tables 10 and 11, respectively. A comparison of the data revealed an increase in the percentage of nonwork trips, particularly shopping trips, while the percentage of work trips decreased. Such a reaction to the removal of tolls is consistent with normal expectation concerning price elasticity of demand. Specifically, there is no reason to anticipate a change in the number of work oriented trips; however, shopping trips frequently provide some recreational value and as such cannot always be classified as essential. Since travel is a "good" which is to some degree a luxury item in people's budgets, a reduction in price (tolls) should increase the quantity demanded. Thus, the increase in shopping trips was expected.

Removal of the tolls was hypothesized to have reduced the tendency of people to form carpools. An examination by trip purpose showed a small reduction in the number of respondents who participated in carpools, particularly for the work oriented trip. For shopping trips no significant change was recorded. This information is summarized in Table 12. A brief comment is in order concerning these results. Because of the rather slight reduction in the number of carpools observed during the after period, it is suggested that participation in a carpool is a practice that tends to be less influenced by marginal changes in cost than might be expected intuitively. More specifically, carpooling is a function not only of the level of tolls, but also of trip length, frequency, and time.

Table 10

Origin	Destination					
	Home	Work	School	Shopping	Other	Total
Home	24	480	37	28	258	827
	(1.2)	(24.1)	(1.9)	(1.4)	(13.0)	(41.6)
Work	32.2	22.4	3	6	177	732
	(16.2)	(11.3)	(0.2)	(0.3)	(8.9)	(36.9)
School	29 (1.6)	3 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	(0.0)	1(0.0)	34 (1.7)
Shopping	20	3	0	1	0	24
	(1.1)	(0.1)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(1.2)
Other	172	132	1	0	65	370
	(8.7)	(6.6)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(3.3)	(18.6).
Total	567	842	41	36	501	1,987
	(28.8)	(42.2)	(2.1)	(1.7)	(25.2)	(100.0)

Trip Purposes, Before Period (Percentages in Parentehses)

Table 11

Trip Purposes, After Period (Percentages in Parentheses)

Origin	Destination					
	Home	Work	School	Shopping	Other	Total
Home	30	234	84	48	225	621
	(2.2)	(17.0)	(6.1)	(3.5)	(16.3)	(45.1)
Work	263	88	9	8	48	416
	(19.1)	(6.4)	(0.7)	(0.6)	(3.4)	(30.2)
School	55	5	1	0	1	62
	(4.0)	(0.5)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(4.5)
Shopping	25	4	0	3	3	35
	(1.8)	(0.3)	(0.0)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(2.5)
Other	172	35	5	0	30	242
	(12.6)	(2.5)	(0.4)	(0.0)	(2.2)	(17.7)
Total	545	366	99	59	307	1,376
	(39.7)	(26.7)	(7.2)	(4.3)	(22.1)	(100.0)

Percentage of Carpools by Trip Destination

Destination	Percentage of	Carpool
	Before	After
Home	15.2	13.9
Work	12.8	10.9
School	8.0	9.0
Shopping	5.1	5.1
Other	5.5	4.2

Changes in Travel Patterns

Since there was a high probability that the tolls were a barrier to travel across Hampton Roads, their removal was expected to significantly alter the travel in the area. In the following sections examinations are made of the changes in frequency of crossings, length of trips, origins and destinations of trips, jobs, and residences in an attempt to determine the effects of the removal of tolls.

Change in Frequency of Crossings

It has already been established that the removal of the tolls resulted in a drastic increase in the total volume of vehicles crossing the Bridge-Tunnel. This section presents a discussion of the frequency of trips made by the respondents in the before and after surveys.

The average number of trips made per week in the before period was 3.69. After the tolls were removed the number of trips increased to an average of 5.2. Table 13 presents the data on the distribution of trips. There were significant changes in the "10 per week" and "less than 6 per year" categories. The percentage of respondents who traveled infrequently during the before period made trips more frequently after the tolls were removed.

A comparison of the results of the before and after surveys indicated how the tolls affected different groups of travelers. The survey showed that among respondents the group over 65 years of age increased the frequency of their trips; that is, their trips now constitute a larger percentage of total trips taken. The before portion was 2.4% while the after value was 5.0%. While both males and females made more trips after the tolls were lifted, females drastically increased their travel. Among the female respondents there was a 15.0% increase in the group making more than 10 crossings per week, while the males increased their percentage in this category by only 10.0%.

Table 13

Number of Crossings

Categor	<u>y</u>	Before	After
> 10 per	week	28.8	40.0
4 - 6 per	week	11.4	16.1
2 per wee	k	8.9	9.4
l per wee	k	7.7	8.9
2 per mon	th	16.7	13.9
< 6 per y	ear	26.5	11.7

Since occupation is correlated with the level of income and demand for travel is a function of income, it was hypothesized that low paid occupational categories might change their demands for trips after the tolls were removed. This expectation was partially supported by the data in that among homemakers the percentage making at least 4 trips per week increased from 6.8% to 17.5%, and among the retired people the percentage making that number of trips more than doubled from 5.4% to 11.7%. The results of cross tabulations between the number of crossings and income, shown in Table 14, also reveals that there was a tendency for the lower income groups to make trips more frequently after the tolls were removed.

Table 14

	Annual Income, Dollars											
Number of Crossings	Under 9,000		9,001 - 12,000		12,001 - 15,000		15,001 - 25,000		25,001 - 30,000		Over 30,000	
	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
> 10 per week	26.9	41.3	30.8	47.0	30.9	43.4	30.4	39.3	27.9	40.2	19.0	24.5
4 - 6 per week	7.0	10.5	8.8	14,2	9.0	18.0	13.5	18.1	10.7	10.7	13.3	17.0
2 per week	8.2	7,6	8.2	10.4	13.3	12.2	7.5	8.4	9.8	9.8	11.4	13.2
l per week	7.0	8,7	6.6	6.0	11.2	7.9	4.6	7.5	9.8	13.1	13.3	14.5
2 per month	16.4	12.8	17.0	9.3	14.9	11.1	17.1	13.7	13.9	17.2	20.3	19.5
< 6 per year	32.7	18.6	28.0	12.6	19.7	5.8	25.8	12.0	27.9	8.2	22.2	11.3
No Response	1.8	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.1	1.6	1.2	1.0	0.0	0.8	0.6	0.0

Number of Crossings by Income in Percentages

Change in Trip Length

One would surmise that the lengths of trips would increase after the tolls were removed because the additional operating costs for the longer trips would be compensated by the elimination of the tolls. However this hypothesis has not been supported by the data gathered in the before and after surveys. Prior to the removal of the tolls, the average trip required 49.8 minutes of travel time. After the tolls were lifted, the travel time averaged 49.3 minutes per trip. Similar results are revealed in Table 15, which shows the relationship between the number of crossings and length of trip.

Table 15

Trips by Average Trip Length

Number	of Trips	Average Length,	Minutes
		Before	After
l0 per 4 per 2 per 1 per 1 per	week week week month	41.1 47.9 52.1 59.7 65.3	40.0 50.2 52.6 50.1 60.9

It has already been shown that there was a large increase in the number of shopping and recreational trips after the tolls were removed; however, Table 16 shows that there were no significant differences in the lengths of trips made during the before and after periods.

Table 16

Trip Length by Destination

Destination	Average Length	of Trips, Minutes
	Before	After
Home	52	50
Work	46	45
School	47	46
Shopping	44	43
Other (recreational, visiting, etc.)	56	57

Changes in Origins and Destinations of Trips

In order to review the changes in origin and destination patterns of the travelers in Tidewater, the area was divided into traffic zones as shown in Figure 7 and information was gathered through the before and after questionnaire surveys. The volumes and relative frequencies are presented in Table 17 and trip tables showing the numbers of trips between the zones are in Appendix F.

The data show that the largest percentage of total trips originated in zones 15, 25, 3, and 13, respectively, during the before period. After the removal of tolls, most trips were generated in the same zones; however, there was a decrease in the percentage of trips generated west of the study area toward Richmond.

Of the trips generated south of the crossing and traveling in a northerly direction* prior to the removal of tolls, 61.2% were destined for zones 3, 4, 10, and 25. During the after period the same trips comprised 59.1% of the total trips. On the other hand, 78.0% and 76.2% of all the trips generated north of the crossing and traveling south during the before and after periods, respectively, were destined for zones 12, 13, 15, 16, and 17.

While cross tabulations between origin and destination patterns and occupancy rates, number of carpools, trip purpose, and income level were developed and may be reviewed upon request, the sampled populations by zone were considered too small to allow conclusions and thus are not presented in the report.

^{*}Although Route 64 is designated as an east-west highway by the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation, the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel is actually north-south in direction. In this study the north-south designation was used because it was felt that it created less confusion for the motorists responding to the questionnaire surveys.



Figure 7. Traffic zones.

27

. ...

Table 17

Traffic Volumes by Zone of Origin and Destination

7000		Onigi	:		Destination					
2011e		01.18-	L I I T	Destination						
	Volu	me	Relativ	e Freq.	Volu	ume	Relative Freq.			
	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	29 45 164 135 69 11 65 67 54 104 71 100 178 21 229 144 138 49 29 33 29 33 29 3 18 24 197	23 30 132 88 52 4 34 45 42 87 63 107 132 12 163 91 50 31 6 19 15 3 11 28 116	1.4 2.2 8.2 6.7 3.4 .5 3.2 3.3 2.7 5.2 3.5 5.0 8.9 1.0 11.4 7.2 6.9 2.4 1.4 1.6 1.4 1.6 1.4 .9 2.8	1.7 2.2 9.5 6.4 3.8 .3 2.5 3.3 3.0 6.3 4.6 7.7 9.5 .9 11.8 6.6 3.6 2.2 .4 1.4 1.1 .2 .8 2.0 8.4	38 49 205 147 67 14 70 58 65 123 83 111 166 18 252 124 100 45 8 26 20 1 11 42 158	23 29 146 79 52 4 52 51 53 61 37 99 110 22 159 92 60 40 20 17 12 20 17 12 23 128	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.9\\ 2.4\\ 10.2\\ 7.3\\ 3.3\\ .7\\ 3.5\\ 2.9\\ 3.2\\ 6.1\\ 4.1\\ 5.5\\ 8.3\\ .9\\ 12.6\\ 6.2\\ 5.0\\ 2.2\\ .4\\ 1.3\\ 1.0\\ .0\\ .5\\ 2.1\\ 7.9\end{array} $	1. 2. 10. 5. 3. 3. 3. 3. 2. 7. 7. 8. 1. 11. 6. 4. 2. 1. 1. 1. 9.		
Total	2,006	1,384	100.0	100.0	2,001	1,383	100.0	100.		

Changes in Jobs and Residences

Although data are limited on the subject of changes in jobs and residences there are a few indications that these changes are taking place since the tolls have been removed. In response to a question in the after questionnaire survey, 3.2% of the respondents said they had changed jobs or planned to do so as a result of the end to tolls. Cross tabulations showed that 55.0% of those who had changed jobs made fewer than 6 trips per year across Hampton Roads in the before period. After changing jobs, 75.0% of this group were making 10 or more trips per week. The data revealed that income was not a significant influence on the decision to change jobs.

In regard to the impact of the removal of tolls upon the places where people live, the survey results showed that 2.8% of the respondents had either changed residences or intended to change as a result of the lifting of tolls.

Several variables, including income and prior and current numbers of crossings, were tested for their influence on changes in residences. Cross tabulations showed that people who changed residences after the tolls were lifted likely were individuals earning \$15,000 per year or less. Further, the data indicate that these individuals made very few trips across the Bridge-Tunnel prior to the removal of tolls. Specifically, 43.0% of those who changed residences made fewer than 6 trips per year across the facility. In the period after their move, 67.0% of this group made 10 or more crossings per week.

Information provided by the Penisula Apartment Council revealed a change in the housing vacancy rate in the Hampton area, thus indicating a change in the demand for housing on the Penisula. Since January 1976 the vacancy rate has decreased by 39.0%. However, the data did not indicate the extent to which the removal of tolls attributed to the decline in the vacancy rate.

CONCLUSIONS

The tolls on the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel were a barrier which prevented many people from traveling across the channel. The following conclusions are based on the findings from this study.

- Since the removal of the tolls there has been an increased tendency for persons under 21 years and over 65 years of age to travel across Hampton Roads. The number of trips taken by the older group, as a percentage of total trips, has doubled.
- Females in general and homemakers in particular are traveling more since the tolls have been removed. Among homemakers, the percentage making at least four trips per week has increased from 6.8% to 17.5%.

- 3. Income does not appear to be a factor in the changes that have occurred since the tolls were removed. The low income groups have not changed their demand for trips in a manner significantly different from that of higher income groups.
- 4. Traffic volume changes resulting from the removal of tolls have been quite pronounced. The total number of vehicles crossing the facility during a 12-month period prior to the removal of tolls was 9,075,299 as compared to 12,801,165 vehicles during the after period. The increase was 41%, or approximately 33.3% greater than the expected historical growth.
- 5. The removal of the tolls had an immediate impact upon traffic volumes. The greatest increase in traffic occurred during the first month after the tolls were lifted; since that time there have been only slight monthly volume increases approximately equal to the historical growth.
- 6. Passenger cars make up a smaller percentage of the total traffic currently than they did prior to the removal of tolls. Truck traffic appears to have increased, which might be taken as an indication that the removal of tolls has generated increases in economic activity.
- After the tolls were removed the occupancy rate declined until January 1977, when an upward trend began. In July 1976 the rate was 1.96; by mid-January it had dropped to 1.38. In May 1977 the rate was 1.52 persons per vehicle.
- 8. Age groups under 21 and over 65 years had the highest occupancy rates when the tolls were in force. This relationship has not been altered.
- 9. Shoppers had higher occupancy rates than did workers, and this relationship, too, has not changed.
- 10. The relationship between occupancy rate and income is nonlinear. The lowest and highest income groups have the greatest occupancy rates while the middle income group has the lowest rate.
- 11. The percentage of nonessential (shopping, recreational, etc.) trips has increased since the tolls were removed.
- 12. Removal of the tolls has had almost no effect on the propensity of people to form carpools. It is concluded that the tolls were not the most significant influence in the decision to form carpools, particularly at the last level of toll charges.
- 13. Motorists make trips more frequently now that the tolls have been removed. The average number of trips per week prior to the removal of tolls was 3.69. In the after period the number has increased to an average of 5.2.
- 14. There have been no significant differences in the lengths of trips in the after period as compared to the before period.
- 15. The origins and destinations of the trips across Hampton Roads have not been significantly altered by the lifting of tolls.
- 16. Of the respondents to the study questionnaire, 3.2% have changed jobs or plan to change as a result of the removal of tolls.
- 17. The removal of the tolls has had some impact upon the places where people live. Of the respondents in the survey, 2.8% indicated that they had either changed residences or intended to change as a result of the lifting of tolls. Furthermore, changes in vacancy rates on the Hampton side of the channel indicate an increase in housing demand there since the tolls have been removed.

•

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express appreciation to the personnel of the Transportation Planning Division of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation for their assistance and cooperation in this research. Specifically, Oscar K. Mabry, transportation planning engineer and head of the division, suggested that the study be undertaken; and R. E. Campbell, B. R. Clarke, and M. F. Dunn, Jr. provided valuable suggestions relative to data collection in the early stages of the study. E. G. Ketron coordinated the after phase of data collection with the Division of Motor Vehicles of Virginia.

Special thanks go to J. K. Brookshire, Jr., assistant district engineer in the Suffolk District, and his staff for providing valuable monthly traffic volume reports; to L. H. Dawson, Jr., assistant traffic and safety engineer, for making available traffic recorders; and to the staff of the Division of Motor Vehicles for providing the names and addresses of those persons sampled in the after phase of the study.

Appreciation is extended to several members of the Research Council staff. In particular, acknowledgement is made of the contribution of Jerry Korf of the data systems group; John Shelor, who supervised the data collection activities; Susan Kane, our secretary; Harry T. Craft, who edited early drafts of the report; and the technicians and student helpers who assisted in the data collection.

Finally, acknowledgement is given to all of the individuals who completed and returned the survey questionnaires. Without their cooperation, completion of the study would have been impossible.

The study was financed with Highway Planning and Research funds administered by the Federal Highway Administration of the U. S. Department of Transportation.

0367

÷

REFERENCES

- 1. Wilbur Smith and Associates, <u>Southeastern Virginia Regional</u> <u>Transportation Study</u>, 1966.
- 2. De Leuw, Cather and Associates, <u>Peninsula Area Transportation</u> Study; December 1967.
- 3. Alan M. Voorhees and Associates, <u>The Hampton Roads Joint Trans-</u> portation Study, McLean, Virginia, October 1970.
- 4. Dash, J. A., and A. H. Vey, "Impact of Toll Charges on Traffic and Revenue for Bridge and Tunnel Facilities," <u>Highway Research</u> <u>Record No. 252</u>, Highway Research Board, Washington, D. C., 1968.
- 5. Bellomo, Salvatore, "Toll Pricing and Its Relationship to Travel Demand, Elasticity, and Distribution of Economic Activities for Hampton Roads, Virginia," <u>Highway Research Record No. 348</u>, Highway Research Board, Washington, D. C., 1971.

APPENDIX A

BEFORE STUDY QUESTIONNAIRE

DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION 2 E HARWOOD COMMISSIONER

M S G BRITTON DEPUTY COMMISSIONER AND LHIEF ENGINEER

J. P. ROYER, JR. DIRECTOR OF PLANNING



UNU ERSITY DE VIRGUNIA DR TRANK - LEREFORD JA PRESIGENT ELODOL DE ENCINEERING SAPPLIED SCIENCE JOHN E 31850N JEAN OERAFINANT OF JOHL ENGINEERING DERAFINANT OF JOHL ENGINEERING

COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

HIGHWAY & TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH COUNCIL

JACK N. OILLARD, HEAD VIRGINIA HIGHWAY & TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH COUNCIL May 18, 1976

BOX 3817 UNIVERSITY STATION CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA 22003 IN REPLY PLEASE 30.2.6 REPER TO FILE NO.

Dear Motorist:

As the research branch of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation we are conducting a study to find out how the removal of tolls on the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel will affect automobile and truck travel in the Tidewater area. The first part of the study is to collect information from the people who pay the tolls to use the facility.

In an effort to reduce or eliminate your delay and inconvenience while we are conducting this survey, the mail-back questionnaire method of data collection is being used instead of the roadside interview technique. To help us get the needed information, we are asking that you please complete the attached questionnaire and drop it in a convenient mailbox for return to us. No postage is required. IF YOU SHOULD RECEIVE MORE THAN ONE QUESTIONNAIRE FROM THIS LOCATION OR OTHER LOCATIONS DURING THE COURSE OF THIS SURVEY, PLEASE COMPLETE AND RETURN ALL OF THEM.

Thank you for your cooperation and assistance. The accuracy and success of this survey are dependent on your help.

A HIGHWAY IS AS SAFE AS THE USER MAKES IT

	RECEIVED THIS QUESTIONNAIRE ON ROUTE 64 AT THE HAMPTON ROADS BRIDGE- TUNNEL TOLL PLAZA. YOU WERE TRAVELING TOWARD NORFOLK IN THE SOUTHBOUND DIRECTION. Please Answer all Questions and Drop in Mailbox - No Postage Required
 A.	What type of vehicle did you use for this trip? (circle one)
	1. Passenger Car - Virginia 5. Three-axle truck 2. Passenger Car - Out of State 6. Tractor-Trailer 3. Pickup or Van 7. Other - specify 4. Two-axle truck
в.	Where were you coming from?
	(Specify screet no. & name, city & state)
с.	Was the place you came from? (circle one)
	1. Your home 2. Place of work 3. School 4. Shopping
	5. Other (specify)
D.	Where were you going?
	(Specify street no. & name, city & state)
E.	Was this place? (circle one)
	1. Your home 2. Place of work 3. School 4. Shopping
	5. Other (specify)
F.	What time did this trip begin?A.MP.M. and end?A.MP.M.
G.	Did you use the reduced toll commuter ticket? (circle one) 1. Yes 2. No
H.	How many persons (including driver) were in your vehicle on this trip?
L	How frequently do you cross the Bridge-Tunnel? Include both directions; a round trip 15 2 crossings. (circle one) 1. 2 or more crossings a day 2. 4 crossings per week 3. 2 crossings per week
J.	 What will you do when the toils are removed? (circle one) 1. Make the same number of trips as now 2. Make fewer trips 3. Make more trips
к.	Please indicate your Sex. (circle one) 1. Male 2. Female
L.	Please indicate your Age. (circle one) 1. under 21 2. 21-39 3. 40-65 4. over 65
м. м	What is your Occupation?
-Y•	1. under \$9,000 2. \$9,001 - \$12,000 3. \$12,001 - \$13,000
	4. \$15,001-\$25,000 5. \$25,001-\$30,000 6. over \$30,000
0.	In general, what are your feelings toward the removal of tolls and what effects will it have upon your shopping, working, and maveling activities?
	THANK YOU - PLEASE FOLD AND MAIL

A**-**2

·

APPENDIX B

AFTER STUDY QUESTIONNAIRE

0373



The second system is a second system in the second system is a second system in the second system is a second system in the second system is a second system is second syst

COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

HIGHWAY & TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH COUNCIL

October 18, 1976

20X 2311 UNIVERSITY STATION OHARLOTTESVILLE URGINIA 22903 IN REPLY PLEASE REEP TO FILE NO. 30.2.6

Dear Car Owner:

As the research branch of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation, we are conducting a study to determine how the removal of tolls on several Tidewater bridges will affect automobile and truck travel in the area. The second part of this study consists of collecting information from the people who paid tolls before June 1, 1976, but who are now using the facilities toll-free.

In an effort to reduce or eliminate your delay and inconvenience while we conduct this survey, the mail-back questionnaire method of gathering information is being used instead of the roadside interview. A vehicle registered in your name was observed crossing the Hampton Roads Bridge Tunnel on October 18, 1976, and the attached brief questionnaire concerns that trip. To help us get the needed information, we ask that you or the person who made the trip please answer the questionnaire and drop it in a convenient mailbox for return to us. No postage is required. IF YOU SHOULD RECEIVE MORE THAN ONE QUESTIONNAIRE DURING THE COURSE OF THIS SURVEY, PLEASE COMPLETE AND RETURN ALL OF THEM.

Thank you for your cooperation. The accuracy and success of this survey are dependent on your help.

Sincerely, F. Alli-

Gary R. Allen Research Economist

Ruralik

R. N. Robertson Research Engineer

B-1

BR TH: AN	IDGI E NO SWE	I TUNNEL DURING THE MORNING OF OCTOBER 13, 1976 TRAVELING TOWARD HAMPTON IN DRTHBOUND DIRECTION. THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS CONCERN THAT TRIP AND MAY BE RED BY EITHER YOU OR THE PERSON WHO WAS DRIVING THE VEHICLE.
		Please Answer all Questions and Drop in Mailbox - No Postage Required
ι.	Ern and	ors in recording license plates do occur. If this form was sent to you by error, please check he return.
п.	Wha	at type of vehicle did you use for this trip? (circle one)
		1. Passenger Car 4. Three-Axle Truck 2. Pickup or Van 5. Tractor-Trailer
		3. Two-Axle Truck 6. Other -(specify)
m.	А.	At what address did this trip begin?
		Street Number, City (County), State
	в.	Was this place? (circle one) 1. Your Home 2. Work 3. School 4. Shopping Area 5. Other (specify)
IV.	A.	At what address did this trip end?
	в.	Was this place? (circle one) 1. Your Home 2. Work 3. School
		4. Shopping Area 5. Other (specify)
	c.	How long did it take you to get there? (circle one)
		1. 0-15 min. 3. 21-25 min. 5. 36-45 min. 7. 61-75 min.
v.	How	7 many persons rode with the driver on this trip? (circle one)
		2. 1 rider 5. 4 riders 3. 7 riders 10. 9 or more riders
		3. 2 riders 6. 5 riders 9. 3 riders
VI.	А.	About how often do you cross the Hampton Roads Bridge Tunnel? Include both directions; a round
		trip is 2 crossings. (circle one)
		2. 4-6 crossings a week 5. 2 crossings a month
		3. 2 crossings a week 6. less than 6 a year
	5.	A round trip is 2 crossings. (circle one)
		1. 10 or more crossings a week 4. 2 crossings every 2 weeks
		2. 4=0 crossings a week 3. 2 crossings a month 3. 2 crossings a week 6. less than 6 a year
vn	د	Do you gat pool to and from yourk? (shale one) 1 March 0 March
v 11.	в.	Did you car pool before the tolls were lifted? (circle one) 1. Yes 2. No
vm.	Α.	Please indicate your sex. (circle one) 1. Male 2. Female
	в. С.	riease indicate your age. (circle one) 1. under 21 2. 21-39 3. 40-65 4. over 65 What is your occupation?
	D.	What was the combined annual income of all members of your household in 1975? (circle one)
		1. under $33,000$ 3. $512,001-515,000$ 5. $525,001-530,000$ 2. $$9,000-$12,000$ 4. $$15,001-$25,000$ 6. over \$30,000
¤.	А. В.	Will the removal of the toll cause you to change your residence? (circle one) 1. Yes 2. No Will the removal of the toll cause you to change jobs? (circle one) 1. Yes 2. No
x.	Cor	aments
	_	
		THANK YOU - PLEASE FOLD AND MAIL

B-2

APPENDIX C

.

DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUMES HAMPTON ROADS · BRIDGE-TUNNEL

1975	33,918	32,109	32,478	30,870	28,316	29,315	29,327	33,222	32,022	32,718	30,219	28,368	29,315	29,327	33,222	32,992	32,461	30,632	28,737	30,385	30,434	32,577	30,978	29,527	28,558	27,290	27,910	29,112	34,113	31,218	28,755	950,445	30,660
1976	49,999	39,101	38,666	37,377	41,500	44,942	43,992	42,477	30,948	38,065	39,645	39,163	47,819	45,757	45,705	38,847	38,321	39,814	40,854	46,132	41,848	43,470	38,190	37,329	38,463	37,369	42,625	43,549	41,524	37,082	36,717	.,267,690	40,853
Date	8/1	8/2	8/3	8/4	8/5	8/6	8/7	8/8	8/9	8/10	8/11	8/12	8/13	8/14	8/15	8/16	8/17	8/18	8/19	8/20	8/21	8/22	8/23	8/24	8/25	8/26	8/27	8/28	8/29	8/30	8/31	Total]	Average
1975	29,601	30,157	35,876	30,095	27,913	32,881	33,005	28 , 013	29,420	30,006	33,027	27,700	27,047	27,597	26,919	28,397	28,099	33,485	33,643	34,902	31,138	28,159	28,914	29,200	32,243	31,987	31,345	29,979	27,623	28,486	29,738	936,595	30,213
1976	42,302	44,662	39,412	39,984	42,879	38,892	39,102	39,183	41,319	39,360	45,565	38,076	36,268	37,990	39,880	47,878	45,148	45,320	39 , 164	37,935	39,019	40,722	46,406	46,760	48,782	39,743	38,856	40,159	41,568	45,548	47,408	1,295,290	41 , 784
Date	7/1	7/2	7/3	7/4	7/5	7/6	L/L	7/8	6/1	1/J0	7/11	7/12	7/13	1/J H	7/15	7/16	7/17	7/18	7/19	7/20	7/21	7/22	7/23	7/24	7/25	7/26	7/27	7/28	7/29	7/30	7/31	Total]	Average
1975	28,930	28,930	22,259	23,134	24,240	29,698	27,518	29,652	25,400	24,757	25,300	25,758	32,954	32,022	33,635	30,350	26,857	27,815	28,196	33,106	30,865	31,969	28,960	26,251	27,584	28,002	34,214	32,872	34,132	32,54l		867,901	28,930
1976	33,029	33,029	32,417	39,867	43,679	48,536	27,687	30,971	36,132	34,619	37,476	40,526	37,698	37,947	38,172	43,052	35,598	43,809	39,073	39,877	32,664	33,266	34 , 031	37,203	52,636	ч3,833	47,502	36,561	34,164	35,474		1,140,528	38,018
Date	6/1	6/2	6/3	6/4	6/5	6/6	6/7	6/8	6/9	6/10	6/11	6/12	6/13	6/14	6/15	6/16	6/17	6/18	6/19	6/20	6/21	6/22	6/23	6/24	6/25	6/26	6/27	6/28	6/29	6/30		Total	Average

	1975	21,935	20,809	22,025	20,864	21,463	22,313	26,529	20,486	22,238	21,572	21,649	21,445	21,173	26,127	20,775	21,299	21,688	21,262	21,640	21,854	24,507	18,687	16,660	21,095	22,102	29,638	21 , 499	26,671	20,247	26,993		667,245	22,242
	1976	31,780	30,823	31,028	32,619	38,706	28,653	30,757	28,444	30,237	31,602	33,614	36,007	30,053	26,850	29,606	30,768	28,899	31,175	37,036	27,619	27,224	32,711	34,852	34,467	28,466	36,602	30,934	29,516	30,741	31,815		943,604	e 31,454
	Date	11/1	11/2	11/3	11/4	11/5	11/6	11/7	11/8	11/9	11/10	11/11	11/12	11/13	11/14	11/15	11/16	11/17	11/18	11/19	11/20	11/21	11/22	11/23	11/24	11/25	11/26	11/27	11/28	11/29	11/30		Total	Average
	1975	21 , 603	21,949	28,565	23,452	23,454	22,489	21,479	22,226	22,333	29,337	24,860	24,793	24,906	24,011	22,909	23,474	27,198	21,376	23,626	22,641	21,547	21,689	22,672	27,701	21,766	21,894	22,572	21,963	20,951	21,557	25,014	661,518	21,339
	1976	39,510	31,318	30,455	30,417	29,865	27,942	26,389	38 , 531	32,471	32,507	35,422	38,966	33,973	35,760	39,167	34,246	31,587	32,595	31,498	31,811	33,232	37,955	32,281	30,867	33,236	30,679	30,706	31,064	36,194	31,502	27,429	1,019,575	32,890
	Date	10/1	10/2	10/3	10/4	10/5	10/6	10/7	10/8	10/9	10/10	10/11	10/12	10/13	10/14	10/15	10/16	10/17	10/18	10/19	10/20	10/21	10/22	10/23	10/24	10/25	10/26	10/27	10/28	10/29	10/30	10/31	Total	Average
	1975	24,678	25,753	23,235	24,442	27,693	22,409	24,347	23,429	22,322	22,896	23,225	27,473	24,335	25,638	23,177	21,663	22,603	23,331	27,105	24,723	26,059	23,927	21,807	21,908	22,635	26,101	22,171	23,982	22,456	21,866		717,389	23,913
C (cont.)	1976	35,300	39,699	40,839	39,033	36,483	43,598	35,504	33,143	38,369	35,548	33,314	34,796	31,210	30,732	31,319	31,141	38,775	35,143	36,017	31,263	31,430	31,774	32,076	37,187	33,747	35,350	31,082	31,758	32,856	32,163		l,040,649	34,688
APPENDIX	Date	9/1	9/2	9/3	9/4	9/5	9/6	6/7	9/8	6/6	01/6	9/11	9/12	9/13	9/14	9/15	9/16	9/17	9/18	6/16	9/20	9/21	9/22	9/23	9/24	9/25	9/26	9/27	9/28	9/29	9/30		Total	Average

F

APPENDIX C (cont.)

-

12/1 31,498 22,548 12/2 30,929 20,587 12/3 39,647 21,002 12/4 26,226 21,239 12/4 26,226 21,239 12/5 22,776 24,317 12/6 29,776 18,881 12/6 29,776 18,881 12/7 30,285 16,877 12/8 31,100 19,658 12/9 31,100 19,658	8 9 1/12 1/12 8 1/10 8 1/12 1/12 1/12 1/12 1/12 1/12 1/12 1/	21,509 27,302 30,620 29,807 27,094	13,641	٢/٦	28,720	21.003
12/2 30,929 20,587 12/3 39,647 21,002 12/4 26,226 21,239 12/5 22,776 24,317 12/6 29,776 18,881 12/7 30,285 16,877 12/7 30,285 16,877 12/7 30,285 16,877 12/9 31,100 19,658 12/9 36,072 21,636	000 000 000 000 000 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	27,302 30,620 29,807 27,094)))
12/3 39,647 21,002 12/4 26,226 21,239 12/5 22,776 24,317 12/6 29,776 18,881 12/7 30,285 16,877 12/8 30,285 16,877 12/8 30,285 16,877 12/8 31,100 19,658 12/9 31,100 19,658	22 99 17/3 17/5 60 17/10 60 17/10 60 17/10 17/10 60 17/10 17/10 17/10 17/10	30,620 29,807 27,094	21,627	2/2	30 686	18,825
12/4 26,226 21,239 12/5 22,776 24,317 12/6 29,776 18,881 12/7 30,285 16,877 12/8 28,119 18,257 12/9 31,100 19,658 12/9 36,072 21,636	9 1/4 2/1/5 8 1/9 6 1/10 6 1/110 8 1/10 1/110	29,807 27,094	18,344	2/3	31,533	18,843
12/5 22,776 24,317 12/6 29,776 18,881 12/7 30,285 16,877 12/8 28,119 18,257 12/9 31,100 19,658 12/9 36,072 21,636	7 1/5 7/1/6 8 1/7 6 1/11 6 1/11 1/12 1/11 1/22	27,094	19,634	2/4	35,590	20,235
12/6 29,776 18,881 12/7 30,285 16,877 12/8 28,119 18,257 12/9 31,100 19,658 12/9 36,072 21,636	1 1/6 7 1/7 8 1/9 6 1/11 8 1/11 1/12		18,943	2/5	27,459	21,349
12/7 30,285 16,877 12/8 28,119 18,257 12/9 31,100 19,658 12/10 36,072 21,636	7 1/7 8 1/9 6 1/10 8 1/11 1/12	30,126	19,136	2/6	25,611	25,012
12/8 28,119 18,257 12/9 31,100 19,658 12/10 36,072 21,636	7 1/8 8 1/9 6 1/11 8 1/12 1/12	26,763	18,576	2/7	31,272	19,167
12/9 31,100 19,658 12/10 36,072 21,636	8 1/9 6 1/10 6 1/11 8 1/12	25,356	19,405	2/8	31,322	19,167
12/10 36,072 21,636	6 1/10 6 1/11 8 1/12	19 , 993	21,506	2/9	30,389	19,887
	6 1/11 8 1/12	30,074	16,702	2/10	32,389	20,475
12/11 27,716 20,856	8 1/12	31,597	18,185	2/11	37,747	20,473
12/12 22,437 23,818		29,297	19,242	2/12	28,944	21,324
12/13 31,710 18,574	4 T/T3	31,216	20,134	2/13	28,391	26,207
12/14 32,536 17,938	8 1/14	30,276	21,023	2/14	31,132	22,174
12/15 33,101 20,404	4 1/15	28,220	21,476	2/15	32,122	22,116
12/16 33,907 20,923	3 1/16	23,029	24,033	2/16	31,261	23,254
12/17 37,965 22,331	1 1/17	19,278	16,540	2/17	33,283	23,031
12/18 28,878 22,501	1 1/18	29,912	16,871	2/18	40,523	20,758
12/19 24,621 26,453	3 1/19	28,111	19,199	2/19	33,076	21,610
12/20 34,125 21,122	2 1/20	26,452	20,166	2/20	26,998	25,714
12/21 31,434 17,327	7 1/21	36,368	20,104	2/21	34,703	20,434
12/22 34,008 20,940	0 1/22	29,053	20,884	2/22	33,406	21,258
12/23 32,485 21,308	8 1/23	26,644	23,817	2/23	32,563	21,508
12/24 20,511 21,418	8 1/24	31,156	18,615	2/24	35,353	20,976
12/25 17,292 17,500	0 1/25	29,064	19,664	2/25	40,931	21,245
12/26 22,853 18,621	1 1/26	30,383	19,396	2/26	35,750	22,867
12/27 28,176 19,760	0 1/27	31,413	19,841	2/27	31,217	28,729
12/28 34,770 23,108	8 1/28	35,821	20,104	2/28	33,382	25,402
12/29 34,620 21,422	2 1/29	26,127	20,506		x	
12/30 37,591 22,088	8 1/30	23,628	24,646			
12/31 28,155 21,668	8 1/31	29,829	20,932			
Total 935,319 645,083	3 Total	875,518	612,892	Total	903 , 755	640,203
Average 30,172 20,809	9 Average	28,243	19,771	Average	32,277	22,864

•

1976	25,029 25,612	26,010	23,883	24,753	25,193	30,395	28,231	27,971	26,744	24,488	24,608	25,286	31,238	28,153	27,345	26,576	24,108	24,899	25,876	31,286	30,384	29 , 514	27,362	25,125	25,524	26,388	35,485	31,977	28,262	30,329	847,934	27,353
1977	40,619 35.507	34,871	36,196	36,459	43,582	36,907	40,512	36,592	35,142	36,234	37,332	45,488	41,110	43,366	36,914	36,023	38,578	36,714	43,260	41,838	39,627	36,002	36,426	36,593	39,227	51,830	47,587	43,891	43,460	40,076	1,227,963	39,612
Date	5/1 5/2	5/3	5/4	5/5	5/6	5/7	5/8	5/9	5/10	5/11	5/12	5/13	5/14	5/15	5/16	5/17	5/18	5/19	5/20	5/21	5/22	5/23	5/24	5/25	5/26	5/27	5/28	5/29	5/30	5/31	Total	Average
1976	23,570 28,569	24,045	25,444	24,780	22,901	24,752	24,878	28,934	25,986	24,975	25,467	23,749	25,114	28,694	34,143	30,822	31,490	31,815	26 , 951	26,617	27,276	32,122	29,455	25,371	25,226	22,894	23,439	24,353	30,612	·	804 , 444	26,815
1977	41,713 34,282	32,750	33,258	33,474	37,479	37,521	46,779	36,000	37,320	40,985	37,641	36,385	37,859	45,184	40,472	39,734	35,185	34,667	36,471	36,869	43,874	37,914	35,236	34,951	35,304	35,731	36,309	45,457	38,538		1,135,342	37,845
Date	4/1 4/2	4/3	4/4	4/5	4/6	H / 7	4/8	4/9	4/10	4/11	4/12	4/13	4/14	4/15	4/16	4/17	4/18	4/19	4/20	4/21	4/22	4/23	4/24	4/25	4/26	4/27	4/28	4/29	4/30		Total	Average
1976	21,603 21,788	21,929	22,715	27,840	22,142	24,434	20,874	19,193	21,198	22,309	26,611	20,844	22,639	24,707	21,517	22,340	23,512	26,983	24,968	22,553	25 , 214	24,684	23,538	23,457	28,413	22,937	23,722	23,959	21,917	23,110	723,650	23,344
1977	31,409 31,752	33,746	38,359	31,633	27,956	33,431	33,210	32,362	32,223	37,314	31,245	29,304	33,744	32,565	29,555	29,340	33,145	31,603	29,539	31,363	32,342	32,863	34 , 501	40,915	33,225	32,686	33,564	33,285	34,259	35 , 901	1,015,932	32,772
Date	3/1 3/2	3/3	3/4	3/5	3/6	3/7	3/8	3/9	3/10	3/11	3/12	3/13	3/14	3/15	3/16	3/17	3/18	3/19	3/20	3/21	3/22	3/23	3/24	3/25	3/26	3/27	3/28	3/29	3/30	3/31	Total	Average

APPENDIX C (cont,)

C-4

·

APPENDIX D

CLASSIFICATION TRAFFIC VOLUMES HAMPTON ROADS BRIDGE-TUNNEL

NO	RTHB	OUND	LANE

Hour	Cars	Pickups & Vans	2-Axle	3-Axle	ΤT	Other	Total
July 16, 1976, A.M.							
7:00 - 7:30 $7:30 - 8:00$ $8:00 - 8:30$ $8:30 - 9:00$ $9:00 - 9:30$ $9:30 - 10:00$ $10:00 - 10:30$ $10:30 - 11:00$ $11:00 - 11:30$ $11:30 - 12:00$	402 478 421 455 594 479 462 710 588 567	67 77 79 74 108 92 77 126 86 104	23 21 34 51 37 27 26 17 28	9 6 8 1 7 3 4 4 6	13 17 19 34 28 23 36 30 23	7 7 11 10 5 4 7 6 7	521 606 550 601 798 648 596 909 731 735
P.M.							• -
12:00 - 12:30 12:30 - 1:00	558 580	86 85	18 20	0 3	26 21	7 8	695 717
July 15, 1976, P.M.							
2:00 - 2:30 $2:30 - 3:00$ $3:00 - 3:30$ $3:30 - 4:00$ $4:00 - 4:30$ $4:30 - 5:00$ $5:00 - 5:30$ $5:30 - 6:00$ $6:00 - 6:30$ $6:30 - 7:00$	355 408 347 534 592 581 536 521 433 348	55 68 54 89 122 73 87 50 56 35	17 15 31 21 19 11 9 5 10 2	5 11 7 6 5 0 2 3 4 1	20 20 22 28 12 12 11 9 17 12	5 7 4 8 11 11 7 6 8	457 465 465 686 761 688 652 594 526 406
Subtotal 10),949	1,750	463	101	452	156	13,871
Percentage	79.0	12.6	3.4	0.7	3.2	1.1	100

APPENDIX D (cont.)

SOUTHBOUND LANE

Hour	Cars	Pickups & Vans	2-Axle	3-Axle	TT	Other	Total
July 16, 1976, A.M.	-						
7:00 - 7:30 $7:30 - 8:00$ $8:00 - 8:30$ $8:30 - 9:00$ $9:00 - 9:30$ $9:30 - 10:00$ $10:00 - 10:30$ $10:30 - 11:00$ $11:30 - 12:00$	370 380 317 327 594 352 426 390 433	61 70 44 63 108 66 81 75 74	10 11 9 23 51 12 14 15 16	9 9 3 1 3 3 0	19 20 18 26 34 28 18 29 21	2 5 2 10 4 5 7 7	471 495 399 444 798 465 547 519 551
P.M.							
12:00 - 12:30 12:30 - 1:00	488 483	102 77	24 24	4 4	21 19	3 7	642 614
July 15, 1976, P.M.	-						
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	424 475 519 541 529 759 696 585 560 483	72 77 95 123 109 124 102 74 69 61	29 34 29 40 36 21 23 11 17 9	8 5 7 20 16 12 3 5 5 4	33 21 24 18 19 12 25 17 18 13	7 2 5 11 10 11 9 3 2 3	573 614 679 753 719 939 858 695 671 573
Subtotal 1 Percentage	.0,333	1,773	450 з ш	141	469	119	13,285
	,,,,	TO • 0	J • Ŧ	1 • 1	J.J	U.3	T U U
Total 2 Percentage	1,282 78.4	3,523 13.0	913 3.3	242 0.9	921 3.4	275 1.0	27,156 100

4

NORTHROUND	TANE
NOVIUDOOND	니저지도

Hours	Cars	Pickup s & Vans	2-Axle	3-Axle	TT	Other	Total
August 25, 1976,	A.M.						
7:00 - 7:30 $7:30 - 8:00$ $8:00 - 8:30$ $8:30 - 9:00$ $9:00 - 9:30$ $9:30 - 10:00$ $10:00 - 10:30$ $10:30 - 11:00$ $11:00 - 11:30$ $11:30 - 12:00$	392 476 444 535 560 560 750 568 542 483	76 82 81 104 87 87 115 84 87 78	26 27 36 30 37 26 46 19 20 23	3 1 8 6 4 7 6 2 3 8	19 18 19 25 26 26 41 23 36 27	6635464393 03	522 610 591 705 718 712 962 699 697 622
Ρ.Μ.							
12:00 - 12:30 12:30 - 1:00 1:00 - 1:30	471 480 432	65 69 70	17 26 19	1 1 8	29 28 18	5 1 3	588 605 550
August 24, 1976,	P.M.						
1:30 - 2:00 $2:00 - 2:30$ $2:30 - 3:00$ $3:00 - 3:30$ $3:30 - 4:00$ $4:00 - 4:30$ $4:30 - 5:00$ $5:00 - 5:30$ $5:30 - 6:00$ $6:00 - 6:30$ $6:30 - 7:00$	413 477 415 500 580 534 603 534 515 451 394	56 72 66 74 102 95 87 50 48 58 53	31 24 13 21 25 20 19 8 12 6 4	4 3 6 4 1 0 1 2 0	26 18 21 12 9 10 11 12 9	11 7 4 14 16 12 12 6 9 8 10	541 602 529 636 748 681 731 608 596 537 470
Subtotal	12,109	1,846	535	91	512	167	15,260
Percentage	79.4	12.1	3.5	0.6	3.3	1.1	100

APPENDIX C (cont.)

SOUTHBOUND LANE

Hour	Cars	Pickups & Vans	2-Axle	3-Axle	TT	Other	Total
August 25, 1976.	, A. M.						
7:00 - 7:30 $7:30 - 8:00$ $8:00 - 8:30$ $8:30 - 9:00$ $9:00 - 9:30$ $9:30 - 10:00$ $10:00 - 10:30$ $10:30 - 11:00$ $11:00 - 11:30$ $11:30 - 12:00$	333 406 345 357 346 398 382 356 368 408	61 57 62 53 58 44 55 68 65	12 8 22 16 25 19 16 16 19 15	3 4 5 4 3 7 5 4 6 2	16 22 13 17 37 21 25 9 18	10 1 2 3 4 1 6 0 5	429 507 455 447 523 462 462 470 513
P.M.							
12:00 - 12:30 12:30 - 1:00 1:00 - 1:30	483 464 651	68 79 105	27 24 31	5 7 5	19 24 33	5 8 7	607 606 832
August 24, 1976,	, P.M.						
1:30 - 2:00 $2:00 - 2:30$ $2:30 - 3:00$ $3:00 - 3:30$ $3:30 - 4:00$ $4:00 - 4:30$ $4:30 - 5:00$ $5:00 - 5:30$ $5:30 - 6:00$ $6:00 - 6:30$ $6:30 - 7:00$	445 462 479 486 467 667 800 656 549 531 428	71 80 74 89 106 103 119 86 60 53 50	22 31 37 35 51 33 25 28 15 8	7 4 6 1 5 4 4 1 3 1	31 18 22 32 17 15 17 22 14 10 8	3 8 6 8 11 16 19 7 10 11 2	579 603 622 658 637 857 992 800 662 623 497
Subtotal	11,267	1,717	572	100	481	157	14,294
Percentage	78.8	12.0	4.0	0.7	3.4	1.1	100
 Total	23,376	3,563	1,107	191	993	324	29,554
Percentage	79.1	12.1	3.7	0.6	3.4	1.1	100

`

•

	NORI	HBUUND LA	NE				
Hour	Cars	Pickups & Vans	2-Axle	3-Axle	ΤT	Other	Total
September 21, 1976	<u>A.M.</u>						
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	381 443 393 410 402 386 400 389 323	70 74 56 73 76 72 58 70 51 45	27 40 27 36 32 27 29 26 29 10	1 2 3 2 3 4 3 5 3 4	15 13 20 19 37 48 28 25 31 23	7 2 5 2 1 2 1 3	501 574 491 528 560 555 505 505 504 408
P.M.							
12:00 - 12:30 $12:30 - 1:00$ $1:00 - 1:30$ $1:30 - 2:00$ $2:00 - 2:30$ $2:30 - 3:00$ $3:00 - 3:30$ $3:30 - 4:00$ $4:00 - 4:30$ $4:30 - 5:00$ $5:00 - 5:30$ $5:30 - 6:00$ $6:00 - 6:30$ $6:30 - 7:00$	296 395 310 326 344 333 465 468 498 550 501 435 327 279	55 56 50 71 58 48 65 103 74 68 67 40 33 53	16 15 19 14 13 12 22 16 20 16 5 7 5 9	5 5 1 5 4 3 2 0 7 4 2 2 2 0	21 27 22 27 18 21 30 16 13 20 7 14 8 8	3 7 2 5 6 4 6 11 2 1 7 3 3	396 505 404 448 423 588 609 623 660 583 505 378 352
Subtotal	9,437	1,486	472	72	511	93	12,071
Percentage	78.2	12.3	3.9	0.6	4.2	0.8	100

NORTHBOUND LANE

SOUTHBOUND LANE

Hour	Cars	Pickups & Vans	2-Axle	3-Axl	.e TT	Other	Total
September 21, 1976	, A.M.						
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	551 440 396 406 309 333 331 307 294 298	74 60 36 50 42 57 45 51 56 47	13 14 16 24 22 15 20 18 16 29	5 0 3 5 3 1 1 2 3	33 15 26 21 30 38 27 27 24 25	7 1 0 5 3 9 1 8	683 531 478 506 411 447 427 413 393 410
P.M.							
12:00 - 12:30 $12:30 - 1:00$ $1:00 - 1:30$ $1:30 - 2:00$ $2:00 - 2:30$ $2:30 - 3:00$ $3:00 - 3:30$ $3:30 - 4:00$ $4:00 - 4:30$ $4:30 - 5:00$ $5:00 - 5:30$ $5:30 - 6:00$ $6:00 - 6:30$ $6:30 - 7:00$	312 334 319 344 411 400 444 496 591 677 577 457 457 370	49 59 55 48 71 87 89 91 116 60 57 49 28	25 25 20 38 30 32 40 46 28 37 20 19 15 11	3 2 4 5 5 0 1 6 3 1 4 1 1	23 17 19 24 29 20 33 15 20 24 18 8 19 16	4 3 2 3 1 5 2 3 4 2 8 2 3 2	416 440 461 547 560 650 740 8654 558 558 428
Subtotal	9,868	1,466	573	64	551	93	12,615
rercentage	78.2	11.6	4.5	U.6	4.4	U.7	100
Total Percentage	19,305 78.2	3,952 11.9	1,045 4.2	136 0.6	1,062 4.3	186 0.7	24,686 100

Hour	Cars	Pickups & Vans	2-Axle	3-Axle	TT	Other	Total
October 18, 1976, A.	<u>M.</u>						
7:30 - 8:00 $8:00 - 8:30$ $8:30 - 9:00$ $9:00 - 9:30$ $9:30 - 10:00$ $10:00 - 10:30$ $10:30 - 11:00$ $11:00 - 11:30$ $11:30 - 12:00$	524 314 332 278 359 353 294 297 292	62 56 64 73 62 48 53 56	24 23 20 15 29 39 23 19 23	2 5 4 2 2 5 1 4 5	11 19 24 39 46 32 21 40 31	2 4 2 1 5 0 2 2 1	625 421 446 509 491 389 415 408
P.M.							
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	309 292 334 434 434 428 450 415 382 367 244	42 43 46 53 64 84 59 41 56 38 30	17 15 14 23 10 20 16 7 8 6 3	4 2 3 4 1 0 2 0 2 0 2 0	28 19 25 19 18 8 15 17 20 8	2 2 3 1 5 3 3 2 1 2 8	.402 373 425 442 533 553 536 482 464 435 293
Subtotal 7	,040	1,098	354	48	459	51	9,050
Percentage	77.8	12.1	3.9	0.5	5.⊥	0.6	100

NORTHBOUND LANE

.

.

Hour	Cars	Pickups & Vans	2-Axle	3-Axle	TT	Other Total
October 18, 1976, A	<u>.M.</u>					
7:30 - 8:00 $8:00 - 8:30$ $8:30 - 9:00$ $9:00 - 9:30$ $9:30 - 10:00$ $10:00 - 10:30$ $10:30 - 11:00$ $11:00 - 11:30$ $11:30 - 12:00$	488 400 307 271 272 268 252 263 259	49 48 50 37 38 49 51 44 41	10 9 13 11 14 13 15 14 18	0 0 4 0 2 0 3 2	-11 24 18 17 27 25 22 29 33	3 561 0 481 5 393 1 341 2 353 1 358 3 343 1 354 3 354
P.M.						
1:00 - 1:30 $1:30 - 2:00$ $2:00 - 2:30$ $2:30 - 3:00$ $3:00 - 3:30$ $3:30 - 4:00$ $4:00 - 4:30$ $4:30 - 5:00$ $5:00 - 5:30$ $5:30 - 6:00$ $6:00 - 6:30$	248 272 316 355 393 422 523 556 497 370 309	28 42 58 62 60 79 87 90 62 39 37	12 29 36 31 24 37 27 23 19 14 9	1 4 0 4 0 2 5 2 2	20 26 25 29 32 23 21 26 21 14 6	1 310 2 372 1 440 2 479 4 517 7 568 3 661 9 706 4 608 2 441 1 364
Subtotal Percentage	7,041 78.2	1,051 11.7	378 4.2	32 0.3	449 5.0	55 9,006 0.6 100
Total : Percentage	14,081 78.0	2,149 11.9	732 4.0	8.0 0.4	908 5.1	106 18,056 0.6 100

SOUTHBOUND LANE

I	Hou	r	Cars	Pickups & Vans	2-Axle	3-Axle	ŤΤ	Other	Total
March	15	, 1977, 1	P.M.						<u> </u>
2:00	_	2:15	181	38	13	0	- 8	4	244
2:15	-	2:30	185	38	16	2	18	1	260
2:30	-	2:45	200	27	16	0	11	2	256
2:45	-	3:00	194	37	19	0	14	1	265
3:00	-	3:15	221	45	20	2	7	0	295
3:15	-	3:30	197	40	16	2	10	3	268
3:30	-	3:45	255	40	17	2	7	1	322
3:45	-	4:00	289	73	22	0	10	2	396
4:00	-	4:15	270	59	17	3	14	3	366
4:15	-	4:30	284	49	12	0	8	3	356
4:30	-	4:45	376	57	17	0	13	7	470
4:45	-	5:00	325	46	6	0	11	8	396
5:00	-	5:15	290	41	12	1	22	3	.369
5:15	-	5:30	263	34	10	0	5	2	314
5:30	-	5:45	256	31	8	l	5	2	303
5:45	-	6:00	216	32	8	1	9	1	267
Subto	tal		4,002	687	229	14	172	43	5,147
Percer	nta	ge	77.8	13.3	4.5	0.3	3 .3	0.8	100.0

SOUTHBOUND LANE

APPENDIX D (cont.)

Hour	Cars	Pickups & Vans	2-Axle	3-Axle	TT	Other	Total
March 16, 1977, A.	Μ.						
7:00 - 7:15 7:15 - 7:30 7:30 - 7:45 7:45 - 8:00 8:00 - 8:15 8:15 - 8:30 8:30 - 8:45 8:45 - 9:00 9:00 - 9:15 9:15 - 9:30 9:30 - 9:45 9:45 - 10:00 10:00 - 10:15 10:15 - 10:30 10:45 - 11:00 11:00 - 11:15 11:15 - 11:30 11:30 - 11:45	221 310 311 245 246 206 164 159 160 153 163 163 163 142 156 153 153	34 49 43 35 27 30 28 29 14 28 26 27 34 20 27 32 24 29 29 29	7 4 9 3 8 9 13 13 5 11 7 8 11 7 12 6 7 13	0 2 3 0 4 1 2 0 1 0 1 1 3 2 0 0	9 12 17 16 7 8 10 12 15 9 11 16 11 19 17 15 8 17 23	7 3 1 3 0 1 2 1 0 2 1 1 0 0 1 0 3 1 0	278 378 383 305 288 254 243 220 195 210 206 205 218 194 209 199 245 215
Subtotal	3,799	588	173	21	259	28	4,868
Percentage	78.0	12.1	3,6	0.4	5,3	0.6	100

.

SOUTHBOUND LANE

Hour	Cars	Pickups & Vans	2-Axle	3-Axle	ΤT	Other	Total
March 15, 1977, P.M.							
2:00 - 2:14 2:15 - 2:30 2:30 - 2:45 2:45 - 3:00 3:00 - 3:15 3:15 - 3:30 3:30 - 3:45 3:45 - 4:00 4:00 - 4:15 4:15 - 4:30 4:30 - 4:45 4:30 - 4:45 4:45 - 5:00 5:00 - 5:15 5:15 - 5:30 5:30 - 5:45 5:45 - 6:00	174 179 162 238 246 243 304 261 271 293 261 293 313 214 250 197	30 28 30 33 42 53 56 52 45 44 38 49 25 31 31	5 9 10 8 9 9 5 10 6 4 10 4 3 3	4 2 3 0 2 1 0 3 1 1 4 3 3 1	11 14 10 11 17 18 12 7 10 9 8 9 5 9 10	1 5 2 7 8 5 1 3 4 3 6 3 4 2 1	225 237 294 307 329 378 341 350 345 348 258 298 243
Subtotal 3 Percentage	3,866 79.3	622 12.8	121 2.5	33 0.7	178 3.7	56 1.1	4,876 100

NORTHBOUND LANE

APPENDIX D (cont.)

NORTHBOUND LANE

Hour	Cars	Pickups & Vans	2-Axle	3-Axle	TT	Other	Total
March 16, 1977, A.M.	<u>,</u>						
7:00 - 7:15 7:15 - 7:30 7:30 - 7:45 7:45 - 8:00 8:00 - 8:15 8:15 - 8:30 8:30 - 8:45 8:45 - 9:00 9:00 - 9:15 9:15 - 9:30 9:30 - 9:45 9:45 - 10:00 10:00 - 10:15 10:15 - 10:30 10:30 - 10:45 10:45 - 11:00 11:00 - 11:15 11:15 - 11:30 11:30 - 11:45 11:45 - 12:00	204 193 222 265 181 172 192 195 183 178 192 155 172 175 176 181 177 153	41 31 40 42 26 30 43 47 32 38 39 38 24 26 29 27 34 26 29 27 34 24 18 30	8 13 17 12 11 21 14 14 22 18 17 13 12 12 9 12 8 16 15 13	4 0 3 1 2 1 2 1 3 2 0 4 2 1 2 4 0 1	3 11 7 12 6 12 22 16 21 14 21 23 13 17 17 20 21 13 11	3 5 0 2 0 1 2 0 1 0 2 2 1 4 0 1 1 2 2	263 254 286 333 227 237 275 273 261 270 205 235 229 235 249 235 247 247 225 210
Subtotal 3 Percentage	3,727 74.2	659 13.1	277 5.5	34 0.7	297 5.9	30 0.6	5,024 100
Total 15 Percentage	5,394 77.3	2,556 12.8	800 4.0	102 0.5	906 4.6	157 1 0.8	.9,915 100

APPENDIX D (cont.)

	1101(1						
Hour	Cars	Pickups & Vans	2-Axle	3-Axle	TT	Other	Total
May 17, 1977, A.M.							
7:00 - 7:15 $7:15 - 7:30$ $7:30 - 7:45$ $7:45 - 8:00$ $8:00 - 8:15$ $8:15 - 8:30$ $8:30 - 8:45$ $8:45 - 9:00$ $9:00 - 9:15$ $9:15 - 9:30$ $9:30 - 9:45$ $9:45 - 10:00$ $10:15 - 10:30$ $10:30 - 10:45$ $10:45 - 11:00$ $11:00 - 11:15$ $11:15 - 11:30$	221 231 257 249 194 169 172 197 194 230 202 196 174 165 184 195	38 43 53 29 30 33 40 33 40 34 53 30 42 36 17 34 28	14 11 17 15 25 16 23 20 14 20 14 20 14 17 10 10 10 13 11	1 6 2 3 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 2 2 1 6 1	-10 8 9 12 12 11 18 17 14 17 15 17 12 17 21	24504711223321036	286 303 340 268 247 278 262 323 296 280 256 240 257 262
May 16, 1977, P.M.							
2:00 - 2:15 $2:15 - 2:30$ $2:30 - 2:45$ $2:45 - 3:00$ $3:00 - 3:15$ $3:15 - 3:30$ $3:30 - 3:45$ $4:00 - 4:15$ $4:15 - 4:30$ $4:30 - 4:45$ $4:45 - 5:00$ $5:00 - 5:15$ $5:15 - 5:30$	178 219 216 193 229 264 282 283 315 334 305 276 282	37 34 24 36 40 42 41 52 56 39 39 37 43	9 7 8 10 7 7 11 7 8 8 3 1	0 2 7 2 1 0 1 2 0 3 0 1	15 19 17 14 12 20 12 11 17 5 8 5	8 2 7 3 9 3 3 3 8 6 6 4 4	247 283 279 256 301 328 354 362 399 404 366 328 336
Subtotal Percentage	6,840 77.1	1,131 12.8	354 3.9	53 0.6	398 4.4	112 1.2	8,888 100

NORTHBOUND LANE

0391

APPENDIX D (Cont.)

SOUTHBOUND LANE

Hour	Cars	Pickups & Vans	2-Axle	3-Axle	TT	Other	Total
May 17, 1977, A.M.							
7:00 - 7:15 $7:15 - 7:30$ $7:30 - 7:45$ $7:45 - 8:00$ $8:00 - 8:15$ $8:15 - 8:30$ $8:30 - 8:45$ $8:45 - 9:00$ $9:00 - 9:15$ $9:15 - 9:30$ $9:30 - 9:45$ $9:45 - 10:00$ $10:45 - 11:00$ $11:15$ $11:15 - 11:30$	221 271 304 218 212 181 196 178 173 173 167 146 168 177	43 47 32 25 29 25 29 25 36 25 26 25 26 32 32 47	4 7 9 12 5 9 6 9 7 12 9 12 9 12 9	1 2 6 2 8 3 2 1 4 4 2 1 3	17 5 13 10 13 16 12 18 14 11 13 9 20 8 13	0 6 5 7 0 10 1 2 2 3 0 3 3 3 3	286 337 367 285 257 243 246 249 243 220 229 218 214 215 257
May 16, 1977, P.M.							
2:00 - 2:15 $2:15 - 2:30$ $2:30 - 2:45$ $2:45 - 3:00$ $3:00 - 3:15$ $3:15 - 3:30$ $3:30 - 3:45$ $3:45 - 4:00$ $4:00 - 4:15$ $4:45 - 5:00$ $5:00 - 5:15$ $5:15 - 5:30$	215 195 203 168 242 189 220 280 280 287 303 294 253	31 42 36 71 43 51 59 66 53 57 43 40	15 11 10 15 14 16 21 18 11 6 7 8	5 1 3 2 3 3 1 3 0 0 0	24 10 13 8 10 10 16 10 8 7 9 13	4 2 7 2 5 2 5 2 10 2 8 7 5	294 261 272 266 314 274 319 385 364 381 360 319
Subtotal	5,830	1,065	284	65	330	101	7,675
Percentage	76.0	13.9	3.7	0.8	4.3	1.3	100
Total 1 Percentage	L2,670 76.5	2,196 13.2	638 3.9	118 0.7	728 4.4	213 1.3	16,563 100

.

APPENDIX E

OCCUPANCY VOLUMES HAMPTON ROADS BRIDGE-TUNNEL

NORTHBOUND LANE

Hour	Ve	ehicles V Number	Nith the of Occu	Follow upants	ing	······	Total Vehicles	Occu- pancy
	1	2	3	4	5	> 5	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Rate
<u>July 16, 1976,</u>	A.M.							
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	162 191 142 142 159 132 131	57 59 63 71 111 106 87	10 19 36 27 38 40 37 BREAK	11 13 21 27 38 33 32	5 7 6 14 10 14 17	3 12 12 15 13 14 9	247 301 280 296 369 339 313	1.57 1.74 2.01 2.14 2.10 2.21 2.19
11:05 - 11:20 11:35 - 11:50 12:05 - 12:20 12:35 - 12:50	138 162 131 152	98 101 78 117	38 35 25 34	26 31 33 26	14 12 14 15	15 12 6 16	329 353 287 360	2.16 2.05 2.09 2.12
Subtotal	1,642	948	339	291	127	127	3,474	2.05
July 15, 1976,	P.M.							
2:05 - 2:20 $2:35 - 2:50$ $3:05 - 3:20$ $3:35 - 3:50$ $4:05 - 4:20$ $4:35 - 4:50$ $5:35 - 5:50$ $6:05 - 6:20$ $6:35 - 6:50$	118 123 134 144 161 165 161 138 101	93 103 89 103 114 76 77 50 60	31 21 32 34 33 30 29 30 22	20 11 30 21 27 25 24 25 14	4 6 7 5 7 13 4 6	10 8 12 9 9 4 8 6 7	276 272 304 316 351 307 312 253 210	2.02 1.89 2.09 1.95 1.95 1.84 1.96 1.91 1.98
Subtotal	1,245	765	262	197	59	73	2,601	1.95
Total	2,887	1,713	601	488	186	200	6,075	2.01

•

J3**94**

APPENDIX E (cont.)

SOUTHBOUND LANE

Hour	Ve	hicles Numb	with the	Follow	ing		Total Vehicles	Occu- Dancy
	1	2	3	4	5	> 5		Rate
July 16, 1976,	A.M.							
7:05 - 7:20 7:35 - 7:50 8:05 - 8:20 8:35 - 8:50 9:10 - 9:25 9:35 - 9:50 10:05 - 10:20 11:05 - 11:20 11:35 - 11:50 12:05 - 12:20 12:35 - 12:50	140 161 142 124 112 90 88 85 70 92 104	34 33 40 58 60 58 67 72 92	6 9 8 16 18 19 26 33 37 24 21	4 4 9 12 16 16 15 20 30 22	3 7 4 5 10 6 14 5 8 6	0 3 3 4 11 9 6 7 6	187 209 202 196 208 199 205 220 205 233 251	1.37 1.34 1.48 1.66 1.79 2.04 2.16 2.25 2.22 2.22 2.19 2.01
Subtotal	1,208	619	217	152	69	50	2,315 -	1.88
July 15, 1976,	P.M.							
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	96 106 133 145 121 128 136 108 66	90 66 100 67 65 79 74 61 55	17 17 25 23 34 27 31 25	16 21 10 17 12 18 16 15 22	2 5 0 2 4 9 12 15 8	2 3 1 6 8 6 20 7	223 218 275 257 231 276 271 250 183	1.99 1.91 1.72 1.70 1.84 2.04 1.94 2.31 2.30
Subtotal	1,039	657	230	147	57	54	2,184	1.94
Southbound Total Northbound Total	2,247 2,887	1,276 1,713	447 601	299 488	126 186	104 200	4,499 6,075	1.9] 2.0]
Total Both Directions	5,134	2,989	1,048	787	312	304	10,574	1.96

•

	NO	RΤ	HB	ΟĽ	JND	LA	.NE
--	----	----	----	----	-----	----	-----

Hour	Follow cupants	ing		Total Vehicles	Occu- pancy			
· _ · _ ·	1	2	3	4	5	>5		Rate
August 25, 197	6 A.M.							
7:15 - 7:30 7:35 - 7:50 8:10 - 8:25 8:48 - 8:58 9:13 - 9:28 9:40 - 9:55 10:05 - 10:15 10:35 - 10:45 11:10 - 11:20 11:40 - 11:50	172 172 192 122 160 141 137 90 88 76	41 42 63 53 116 91 101 72 67 82	10 18 25 22 32 34 46 25 23 26	4 10 15 32 34 32 23 17 19	2 3 9 17 19 7 15 12 11	· 3 2 6 10 17 12 18 9 9 12	232 247 309 231 374 331 341 234 216 226	1.41 1.53 1.71 1.99 2.15 2.20 2.19 2.26 2.19 2.30
Subtotal	1,350	728	261	201	103	98	2,741	2.00
August 24, 197	6, P.M.						•	
1:30 - 1:45 $2:05 - 2:20$ $2:30 - 2:45$ $3:18 - 3:30$ $4:00 - 4:15$ $4:40 - 5:00$ $5:05 - 5:15$ $5:48 - 6:00$ $6:00 - 6:15$ $6:30 - 6:45$	130 118 120 114 179 206 130 105 139 104	75 57 88 76 106 92 63 61 83 67	31 27 26 22 37 37 19 23 25 24	14 26 10 17 15 18 10 8 24 18	7 6 11 8 6 11 2 3 8 8	4 7 5 1 8 2 2 1 6 7	261 241 260 238 351 366 226 201 285 228	1.87 2.03 1.92 1.87 1.82 1.75 1.66 1.74 1.94 2.04
Subtotal	1,345	768	271	160	70	43	2,657	1.86
Northbound Total	2,695	1,496	532	361	173	141	5,398	1.93

APPENDIX E (cont.)

SOUTHBOUND LANE

Hour	Ve	Vehicles with the Following Total Oc Number of Occupants Vehicles pa								
	1	2	3	4	5	>5		Rate		
August 25, 197	6, A.M.							R an		
7:00 - 7:15 7:35 - 7:50 8:05 - 8:20 8:35 - 8:50 9:05 - 9:20 9:40 - 9:55 10:18 - 10:28 10:58 - 11:08 11:25 - 11:40	165 202 163 146 130 120 71 74 114	33 33 45 51 50 66 54 35 53	10 19 7 10 16 33 17 17 28	3 6 1 8 26 9 11 18	3 3 0 5 12 3 3 23	3 3 3 2 4 1 2 9	214 266 222 216 221 261 155 142 245	1.32 1.44 1.40 1.48 1.75 2.06 1.85 1.85 1.87 2.22		
Subtotal	1,185	420	157	98	55	27	1,942	1.71		
August 24, 197	6, P.M.									
1:35 - 1:50 $2:05 - 2:20$ $2:50 - 3:00$ $3:00 - 3:15$ $3:50 - 4:00$ $4:10 - 4:25$ $4:40 - 4:55$ $5:15 - 5:25$ $5:40 - 5:55$ $6:10 - 6:25$ $6:30 - 6:50$	128 135 88 149 122 244 251 144 169 116 114	90 80 56 96 63 113 131 56 84 102 74	32 27 24 29 15 38 44 26 31 28 25	14 23 12 22 18 19 37 14 15 23 22	5 10 4 12 7 16 12 4 4 4 5	3 6 5 15 12 8 5 13 5	272 281 189 314 228 445 487 252 308 286 245	1.89 1.97 1.95 1.95 1.83 1.86 1.90 1.82 1.75 2.08 1.95		
Subtotal	1,660	945	319	219	83	81	3,307	1.90		
Southbound Total Northbound Total	2,845	1,365 1,496	476 532	317 361	138 173	108 141	5,249 5,398	1.83		
Total Both Directions	5,540	2,861	1,008	678	311	249	10,647	1.88		

APPENDIX E (cont.)

,

Hour	Ve	ehicles w Numbe	r Ve	Total Vehicles				
	1	2	3	4	5	> 5		Rate
<u>Sept. 21, 1976</u>	, A.M.							
7:00 - 7:15 $7:30 - 7:45$ $8:00 - 8:15$ $8:30 - 8:45$ $9:00 - 9:15$ $9:30 - 9:45$ $10:00 - 10:15$ $10:30 - 10:45$ $11:15 - 11:30$ $11:35 - 11:50$	191 225 201 159 172 149 139 130 144 117	34 48 56 60 74 94 87 82 71 63	10 16 9 10 14 18 17 19 14 13	6 2 3 2 10 7 9 8 6 7	5 2 0 1 3 1 3 2 3	1 1 2 0 0 0 0 3 2	247 293 272 233 271 271 253 242 239 205	1.39 1.32 1.35 1.41 1.50 1.60 1.60 1.64 1.57 1.64
<u>P.M.</u>								
12:00 - 12:15 $1:15 - 1:30$ $1:35 - 1:50$ $2:00 - 2:15$ $2:30 - 2:45$ $3:00 - 3:15$ $3:30 - 3:45$ $4:00 - 4:15$ $4:30 - 4:45$ $5:00 - 5:15$ $5:30 - 5:40$ $6:00 - 6:15$ $6:30 - 6:45$	98 111 160 133 125 142 176 176 208 200 117 104 106	78 81 73 59 56 69 78 71 77 86 39 52 47	10 15 6 7 13 14 11 16 16 9 14	5 7 4 8 9 5 8 7 11 7	0 1 0 1 3 1 2 3 4 1 2	1 0 1 2 1 6 3 4 0 1 0 7 3	192 213 248 206 198 241 281 269 312 315 173 189 181	1.61 1.46 1.47 1.52 1.67 1.54 1.50 1.46 1.52 1.47 1.80 1.68
Subtotal	3,483	1,535	295	152	40	3 9	5,544	1.53

NORTHBOUND LANE

COUTURALIND	TANT
ZOOTUBOOND	LANE

Hour	Ve	hicles w	ith the	Follow	ing		Total Vehicles	Occi Danc
	1	2	3	4	5	>5		Rate
7:00 - 7:20 7:25 - 7:45 7:50 - 8:10 8:15 - 8:35 8:40 - 9:00 9:25 - 9:45 9:50 - 10:10 10:15 - 10:35 10:40 - 11:00 11:20 - 11:40 11:45 - 12:05	308 335 246 221 221 182 194 191 162 156 160	72 85 69 72 76 81 82 80 89 71 81	10 19 14 22 16 16 5 13 8 17 15	4 8 6 5 7 4 4 7 4 6 4	1 5 4 0 2 4 0 3 2 0 1	1 2 0 1 4 2 1 5 0 4 0	396 454 339 321 326 289 286 299 265 254 261	1.28 1.39 1.42 1.42 1.48 1.52 1.40 1.58 1.47 1.56 1.50
<u>P.M.</u>								
12:10 - 12:30 $12:35 - 12:50$ $1:15 - 1:35$ $1:40 - 2:00$ $2:05 - 2:25$ $2:30 - 2:50$ $3:00 - 3:20$ $3:25 - 3:45$ $3:50 - 4:10$ $4:15 - 4:35$ $4:55 - 5:15$ $5:25 - 5:45$ $6:00 - 6:15$ $6:25 - 6:45$	173 122 172 179 231 222 244 253 268 296 290 227 160 192	83 56 98 98 104 101 99 109 142 143 112 94 63 78	10 18 19 25 16 14 18 30 30 37 32 21 12 30	3 5 9 10 13 14 13 12 16 8 20 11 10	2 1 3 0 1 7 3 3 4 4 4 3 2	2 0 1 3 6 3 6 10 4 2 0 0	273. 202 295 315 364 354 388 411 461 506 449 368 249 312	1.48 1.55 1.52 1.61 1.50 1.50 1.60 1.65 1.65 1.60 1.53 1.56
Subtotal Southbound	5,405	2,238	467	208	60	60	8,438	1.51
Subtotal Northbound	3,483	1,535	295	152	40	39	5,544	1.53
Total Both Directions	8,888	3,773	762	360	100	99	13,982	1.52

APPENDIX E (cont.)

Hour	Ve	hicles w Numbe	Total Vehicles	Occu- pancy				
	1	2	3	4	5	> 5		Rate
January 14, 1	977, A.M.							
7:00 - 7:15 7:15 - 7:30 7:30 - 7:45 7:45 - 8:00 8:00 - 8:15 8:15 - 8:30 8:30 - 8:45 8:45 - 9:00	170 194 237 227 171 178 188 160	41 37 44 49 48 29 47 37	10 5 6 9 9 9	5 2 8 1 5 0 2 2	1 0 1 0 0 2	2 1 2 1 2 0 1	229 239 296 285 235 218 246 208	1.39 1.24 1.29 1.26 1.38 1.26 1.29 1.33
Subtotal	l,525	332	59	25	5	10	1,956	1.30
January 13, 1	977, P.M.	.					•	
2:00 - 2:15 $2:15 - 2:30$ $2:30 - 2:45$ $2:45 - 3:00$ $3:00 - 3:15$ $3:15 - 3:30$ $3:30 - 3:45$ $3:45 - 4:00$ $4:00 - 4:15$ $4:15 - 4:30$ $4:30 - 4:45$ $4:45 - 5:00$ $5:00 - 5:15$	127 140 154 168 169 156 196 219 177 199 202 216 174	42 56 56 74 52 65 76 52 63 49	14 13 7 14 14 20 14 8 16 11 14 9 9	4 3 2 7 5 9 6 7 3 8 2 4	0 0 3 1 0 0 3 2 1 2	1 3 0 3 0 1 1 2 1 1 2 0	188 209 228 240 270 233 282 308 268 292 289 293 238	1.46 1.48 1.36 1.56 1.47 1.43 1.37 1.48 1.42 1.44 1.38 1.37
Subtotal	2,297	790	163	61	12	15	3,338	1.43
Total	3,823	1,122	222	86	17	25	5,294	1.37

NORTHBOUND LANE

0399

APPENDIX E (cont.)

Hour	Vehicles with the Following Total Number of Occupants Vehicles							
	1	2	3	4	5	> 5	CHICECO	Rati
January 14, 19	977, A.M.	-						
7:00 - 7:15 7:15 - 7:30 7:30 - 7:45 7:45 - 8:00 8:00 - 8:15 8:15 - 8:30 8:30 - 8:45 8:45 - 9:00	163 195 209 196 179 95 107 75	40 45 43 31 43 22 28 22	4 11 13 6 9 8 3 -	3 5 1 1 3 2 -	0 0 2 0 1 1 1	0 1 0 0 1 0 1	210 257 266 236 232 130 141 99	1.2' 1.3' 1.2' 1.2: 1.4: 1.3] 1.3]
Subtotal	1,219	274	54	16	5	3	1,571	1.3(
January 13, 19	977, P.M.						ė	
2:00 - 2:15 $2:15 - 2:30$ $2:30 - 2:45$ $2:45 - 3:00$ $3:00 - 3:15$ $3:15 - 3:30$ $3:30 - 3:45$ $3:45 - 4:00$ $4:00 - 4:15$ $4:15 - 4:30$ $4:30 - 4:45$ $4:45 - 5:00$ $5:00 - 5:15$	147 143 148 198 201 206 235 225 242 222 227 265	64 44 51 70 65 84 98 82 85 87 78	14 28 18 8 15 13 30 21 15 26 26 23	1 3 6 2 5 5 1 7 7 8 13 15 11	1 0 0 4 3 2 4 3 10 4 7 3	1 0 0 5 1 3 1 7 3 4	228 222 209 293 297 305 354 349 358 357 365 384	1.40 1.40 1.40 1.30 1.40 1.50 1.40 1.51 1.62 1.62 1.49
Subtotal	2,606	939	252	84	41	27	3,949	1.50
Southbound Total Northbound Total	3,825 3,823	1,213 1,122	306 222	100 86	46 17	3 O 2 5	5,520 5,294	1.4C 1.37
Total Both Directions	7,648	2,335	528	186	63	55	10,814	1.39

SOUTHBOUND LANE
APPENDIX E (cont.)

Subtotal

Total

925

1,751

292

546

Hour	<u>-</u>	Vel	nicles w Numbe	ith the r of Oc	Follow: cupants	ing		Total Vehicles	Occu- pancy
		1.	2	3	. 4	5	> 5		Rate
March 16	6 , 1977,	A.M.							
7:00 - 7:35 - 8:10 - 8:45 - 10:50 - 11:20 - 11:50 -	7:10 7:45 8:20 8:55 11:00 4:30 12:00	131 171 113 121 98 110 82	32 25 32 43 44 38 40	10 10 6 4 7 13 9	7 2 3 1 2 2 3	3 1 1 0 0 1	2 2 0 2 1 1 0	185 211 155 172 152 164 135	1.51 1.31 1.40 1.45 1.46 1.52
Subtotal	1	826	254	59	20	7	8	1,174	l.42
March 1	5, 1977,	P.M.						•	
2:05 - 2:35 - 3:05 - 3:35 - 4:20 - 4:50 - 5:20 -	2:15 2:45 3:15 3:45 4:30 5:00 5:30	90 102 129 151 151 150 152	37 38 31 49 52 50 35	8 10 19 16 14 10 12	3 5 4 3 1 6	1 2 0 1 2 0	1 2 0 3 2 1	140 158 188 220 224 215 206	1.51 1.55 1.54 1.42 1.48 1.42 1.40

7

14

1,351

2,525

1.47

1.45

11

19

27

47

89

148

NORTHBOUND LANE

APPENDIX E (cont.)

SOUTHBOUND LANE

Hour	Ve	ehicles w Numbe	ith the r of Oc	Follow	ing		Total Vehicles	Occu- pancy
	1	2	3	4	5	> 5		Rate
March 16, 1977	, A.M.							
7:15 - 7:25 7:50 - 8:00 8:30 - 8:40 9:00 - 9:10 10:35 - 10:45 11:05 - 11:15 11:35 - 11:45	172 151 135 98 92 89 103	41 23 33 21 28 29 45	17 7 10 9 5 9	9 2 3 4 2 4	0 0 1 0 1 0	- 0 1 0 0 0 0	240 183 183 131 129 130 162	1.44 1.23 1.39 1.37 1.39 1.44
Subtotal	840	220	67	27	2	2	1,158	1.39
March 15, 1977	, P.M.							
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	120 119 131 141 187 183 132	44 56 41 55 75 62 49	9 10 13 16 30 11 9	5 2 4 5 10 5 4	4 0 1 2 0 1	0 0 1 3 1 0	182 188 190 219 306 262 195	1.51 1.46 1.44 1.51 1.60 1.40
Subtotal	1,013	382	98	35	8	6	1,542	1.48
Southbound Total	1,853	602	165	62	10	8	2,700	1.44
Northbound Total	1,751	546	148	47	14	19	2,525	1.45
Total Both Directions	3,604	1,148	313	109	24	27	5,225	1.45

APPENDIX E (cont.)

Hour	Ve	ehicles w Numbe	vith the er of Oc	Follow	ing		Total Vehicles	Occu- pancy
	1	2	3	4	5	> 5		Rate
<u>May 17, 1977,</u>	<u>A.M.</u>							
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	210 232 248 246 196 168 161 199 181 207 188 161 187 163 134 123 163 161	57 43 52 47 52 43 60 55 54 73 80 73 87 75 61 68 79	9 17 15 7 11 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 14 13 16 15 11 15	8 3 2 2 2 2 2 4 9 13 9 10 13 6 4 6 7 3	2 0 2 0 1 0 2 1 4 4 2 2 1 3 0 5 1	0 5 0 4 7 1 4 1 9 3 4 2 1 2 0 3 1	286 295 330 265 234 237 277 257 315 293 275 290 249 234 205 257 260	1.37 1.29 1.42 1.22 1.38 1.49 1.41 1.44 1.44 1.61 1.53 1.61 1.54 1.60 1.55 1.60 1.557 1.49
Subtotal	3,328	1,123	222	111	30	47	4,861	1.46
May 16, 1977,	P.M.		<u></u>					
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	143 159 152 146 157 193 202 214 217 243 233 229 203 231	81 77 75 81 102 99 96 89 113 121 109 92 87 75	14 11 25 24 28 17 26 24 20 19 27 17 12	4 9 10 11 9 11 5 15 8 11 6 10 6	1 2 1 0 1 1 1 0 3 1 1 2 3 4	4 3 2 4 1 3 0 2 4 2 3 1 1	247 264 267 263 303 320 339 332 372 397 375 359 321 329	1.59 1.62 1.66 1.64 1.71 1.53 1.59 1.46 1.53 1.52 1.52 1.52 1.52 1.52 1.52 1.52 1.52
Subtotal	2,722	1,297	288	124	21	36	4,488	1.56
Total	6,050	2,420	510	235	51	83	9,349	1.51

NORTHBOUND LANE

E-11

÷

APPENDIX E (cont.)

SOUTHBOUND LANE

Hour	V	ehicles w Numbe	ith the r of Oc	e Follow	ing		Total Vehicles	Occ Dar
	1	2	- 3	4	5	5 > 5		Rat
May 17, 1977,	<u>A.M.</u>							
7:00 - 7:15 7:15 - 7:30 7:30 - 7:45 7:45 - 8:00 8:00 - 8:15 8:15 - 8:30 8:30 - 8:45 8:45 - 9:00 9:00 - 9:15 9:15 - 9:30 9:30 - 9:45 9:45 - 10:00 10:00 - 10:15 10:15 - 10:30 10:30 - 10:45 10:45 - 11:00 11:15 - 11:30	234 240 263 209 190 168 123 167 185 124 142 127 131 146 132 122 119 129	38 49 60 33 38 50 38 45 52 55 58 53 80 73 61 62 72	11 12 11 7 12 10 13 16 8 11 15 14 16 19 19 19 17 12	2 5 3 5 2 5 6 10 6 2 9 5 3 11 5 5 2	0 4 2 3 2 2 1 2 0 0 3 1 4 3 3 3 1	1 2 0 3 0 1 0 1 3 0 4 1 4 2 0 2 6 1	286 312 337 259 245 236 182 240 256 196 217 208 235 244 244 244 202 212 217	
Subtotal	2,951	986	234	92	34	31	4,328	1.
May 16, 1977,	P.M.					<u> </u>		
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	141 107 131 107 145 137 158 168 169 183 264 175 183 179	69 47 56 62 67 56 60 75 83 84 102 88 74 76	15 12 13 14 15 8 21 13 14 20 31 30 26 10	3 6 2 8 10 9 12 12 6 3 0 11 10 7	2 2 4 2 3 2 2 0 5 3 6 1 0 2	2 1 2 2 2 2 0 5 0 3 7 4 1 3	232 175 206 195 242 214 253 273 277 296 440 309 294 277	
Subtotal	2,247	999	242	129	34	32	3,683	1.
Southbound Total	5,198	1,985	476	221	68	63	8,011	1.5
Northbound Total	6,050	2,420	510	235	51	83	9,349	1.5
Total Both Directions	11,248	4,405	986	456	119	146	17,360	1.

.*

ű.,	
APPENDIX	

TRIP TABLES - BEFORE PERIOD

Zones							Destir	ation 1	lone					'fotal
	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.	23.	
1.	3 10_3	3 10.3	4 13.8		6 20.7	17.2	3 10.3	2 6 9	00	2 6.9	96	00	00	29 3.0
2.	8 7 7	5 11	10	2	9 0 0	15.6	1 1	± 0	00	4 4	2.2	000	0	45 4.7
э.	4.3	15 1.9	37 22.6	. 1 3.7	39 23.8	26 15.9	13 7.9	8.4	00	3.7	3 1.8	6	1.2	163 17.0
.+	÷ 56	18 13.4	26 19.4		32 23.9	18 13.4	14 10.4	9 6.7	00	3.7	3.0	00	1 7.	134 13.9
د.	13 19.1	10.3	19.1	2.9	23 33.8	5 7.4	е 1	00	00	2 2.9	0 0	00	00	68 7.1
و.	00	27.3	1.6	00	9.1	2 18,2	2 18.2	00	00	2 18.2	99	00	00	1 T T
	1.5 1.5	9 13.8	9 13.8	00	18 27.7	9 13.8	7 10.8	7.7	1.5	3.1	3.1	00	3.1	65 6.7
в.	11 16.4	8 11.9	10 14.9	00	19 28.4	8 11.9	# 0.9	4.5 1	00	00	2 3.0	00	3.0	67 7.0
Б	13.0	8 14.8	18.5	.2 3.7	$\begin{array}{c} 12\\22.2\end{array}$	7 13.0	4 7.4	1 1.9	00	00	1.9 1.9	00	3.7	54 5.6
10.	15 14.4	12 11.5	17 16.3	3 2.9	35 33.7	8 7.7	4.8	2 1.9	1 1.0	3 2.9	3 2.9	00	00	104 10.8
22.	00	0	00	20	$\frac{1}{50.0}$	0 0	1 50.0	00	00	00	00	0 0	00	.22
2H.	2 Ө.З	3 12.5	3 12.5	00	6 25.0	4 16.7	3 12.5	1 4.2	4.2	1 4.2	00	00	00	24 2.5
25.	14 7.1	20 10.2	26 13.3	5.	50 25.5	25 12.8	38 19.4	1.0 5.1	5 2.6	. T	4 2.0	ЭĢ	1.0^{2}	196 20.4
Total	82 8.5	111.5	166 17.2	18 1.9	251 26.1	124 12.9	99 10.3	45 11.7		26 2 3	20 21		11	962 100.0

د

APPENDIX F (cont.)

TRIP TABLES - BEFORE PERIOD

Zones							Dest	inatior	n Zone				
	1.	2.	з.	т.	5.	6.	7.	8	. 6	10.	24.	25.	Total
11.	4 5.6	.1 4.2	12 16.9	7 9.9	4 5.6	1.4	1.4 1.4	5.6	11 15.5	15 21.1	5.6	-5 7.0	71 6.9
12.	7.1	+ 0. +	15.2 15.2	18.2 18.2	7 7.1	4.0	4.0	7 7.1	9.1	10 10.1	2.0	12 12.1	96 9.6
13.	10 5.7	4.5	34 19.3	29 16.5	11 6.3	2 1.1	7 4.0	12 6.8	10 5.7	22 12.5	5 2.8	25 14.2	175 17.0
14.	2 9.5	2 9.5	5 23.8	1 4.8	3 14.3	0 0	3 14.3	4.8	0 0	+ - 8 - 1	4.8	2 9.5	21 2.0
15.	1.3 1	6 .9	51 22.3	36 15.7	18 7.9	00	12 5.2	18 7.9	16 7.0	34 14.8	3 . 5	24 10.5	229 22.1
16.	2 1.4	5.6 8	34 23.8	25 17.5	4.2	. 7	16 11.2	3 2.1	7 4.9	8 5.6	3.5 3.5	28 19.6	143 13.8
17.	5.9 8	5.1	21 15.4	17 12.5	6 4.4	3 2.2	14 10.3	4.4	3 2.2	12 8.8	н . н	33 24.3	136 13.1
18.	1 2.0	6 12.2	10 20.4	4 8.2	6 12.2	00	6 12.2	4.l	.3 6.1	4 8.2	3 6.1	4 8.2	49 4.7
19.	0	0 0	9 31.0	2 6.9	.+ - 3	3.4	2 6.9	. t 3	00	7 24.1	2 6.9	4 13.8	29 2.8
20.	3 . 0	0	3 9.1	5 15.2	2 6.1	2 6.1	3 9.1	з . 0	6 1 3	5 15.2	2 6.1	6 18.2	33 3.2
21.	0 0	2 6.9	9 31.0	3 10.3	3.4	00	3.4	6,9	3.4 1	5 17.2	00	5 17.2	29 2.8
22.	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	1 100.0	0 0	
23.	00	00	2 11.1	00	1 5.6	0 0	1 5.6	00	2 11.1	0 0	3 16.7	9 50.0	18 1.7
Total	38 3.7	49 4.7	205 19.8	147 14.2	66 6.4	14 1.4	70.	57 5.5	65 6.3	123 11.9	42 4.1	157 15.2	1,033 100.0

origin Zone

APPENDIX F (cont.)

TRIP TABLES - AFTER PERIOD

	Total	23 3.4	0.5 =	132	88 12.9	52 7.6	± 9.	34 5.0	45 6.6	42 6.2	87 12.8	2 E.	28 4.1	115	682 100.0	94 37
	23.	00	0.0	0	00	2 3.8	00	00	00	00	2.3	93	е Э.е	7 6.1	. 12 1.8	
	22.	0 0	00	93	0 0	0.0	0 0	9 0	90	0 0	00	0 0	9 9	1.7	N F.	
	21.	00	3.3	5 G 6		00	00	1 2.9	00	2.1	1.1	00	00	2	12 1.8	
	20.	0 0	00	1 2	2 2 3	3. B	1 25.0	1 2.9	0	00	2.3	90	3.6	5.2	17 2.5	
Lone	.61	00	1 1	8		0	0 0	2 5.9	1 2.2	2.4	2.3	1 50.0	0	32.6	20 2.9	
nation 2	18.	2 8.7	4 5 5	8	8 1.6	1.9	0	1 2.9	2.2	2.4	2.3	1 50.0	5 17.9	5.2	, 10 .5.9	
Destin	11.	t. 1	1 1 3		12 13.6	1.1	00	5 7. PT	ч 9.9	2 4.8	1.1	· 0	7.1	24 20.9	60 8.8	
	16.	6 13.0	8 26.7	6 T	9 10.2	1.9	1 25.0	6 17.6	8 17.8	6 14.3	7 8.0	0 0	5 17.9	16.5	92 13.5	
	15.	5 21.7	16.7	34 95_8	19.3	18 34.6	1 25.0	5 14.7	16 35.6	9 21.4	32 36,8	0 0	1 3.6	13.9	159 23.3	
	14.	9 - 61	1 1	2	2.3	9.6	1 25.0	1 2.9	1 2.2	1.2.4		0 0	2 7.1	2	22 · 3 · 2	• •
	13.	7 11 - 12	1 5	22	10	15 28.8	0 9	7 20.6	7 15.6	8 0.91	15 17.2	0 0	7 25.0	11 9.6	1.10	
	12.	8_7	7 7	21	19 21.6	1.9	0 0	5 7.11	5 11.1	8 19.0	14 16.1	0 0	14.3	E I	99 14.5	
	11.	90	1 5		. 0 . 0	5. B	9 9	00	2 1.1	5 11.9	в 9.2	= =	00	 	2. n. 7	
Zones		Γ.	2.	Э.	. .	s.	ů.	η.	в.	ч.	10.	22.	24.	25.	Total	

·

origin Zone

APPENDIX F (cont.)

TRIP TABLES - AFTER PERIOD

- ' '	94	Total 🔗	63 9.0	106 15.1	132 18.8	12 1.7	163 23.3	91 13.0	50 7.1	31 4.4	9 G.	19 2.7	15 2.1		11 1.6	700 100.0
		25.	3 4.8	17 16.0	24 18.2	8°3, 1	15 9.2	21 23.1	17 34.0	7 22.6	00	10 52.6	3 20.0	00	10 90.9	128 18.3
		24.	2 3.2	ц 3.8	1.5 1	8°3	4 2.5	5 . 5 . 5	4 8.0	00	00	00	00	00	1 9.1	23 3.3
		10.	11 17.5	5 4.7	0 • 0	8°33	23 14.1	8 8 8 8	$\frac{1}{2.0}$	1 3.2	1 16.7	00	1 6.7	00	00	61 8.7
	one	6	8 12.7	10 9.4	9 6.8		11 6.7	ით. თ	3 6.0	З.2	1 16.7	00	1 6.7	00	00	53 7.6
	ation Z	.8	9.5 0	8 7.5	13 9.8	0 0	11 6.7	5 . 5	1 2.0	4 12.9	1 16.7	5.3	00	1 100.0	00	51 7.3
	Destin	7.	3.2	5 4.7	11 8.3	1 8.3	10 6.1	12 13.2	3 6.0	16.1	1 16.7	5.3	1 6.7	00	00	52 7.4
		6.	00	- 6	0 0	1 8.3	- F - P	0	00	3.2	00	00	0 0	0	0 0	+ 9.
		5.	8 12.7		12 9.1	1 8.3	18 11.0	2.2	3 6.0	3.2	00	2 10.5	1 6.7	00	0 0	52 7.4
		ч.	9 14.3	20 18.9	9 6.8	2 16.7	20 12.3	ო ო ო	10.0	19.4	1 16.7	1 5,3	2 13.3	00	00	78 11.3
		3.	11 17.5	26 24.5	31 23.5	2 16.7	34 20.9	19.8	10 20.0	4 12.9	1 16.7	3 15.8	40.0	00	00	146 20.8
		2.	1.6	3 8 t	3 2.3	2 16.7	ۍ ۲.	7.7		00	00	00	00	00	00	29 4.1
		i i	3.2	1.9	6 8 G	00	4.3		100	3.2	00	5.3	00	00	00	23 3.3
	Zones	J- <u></u>	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.	23.	Total

эпо^д підітО

.