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## PREFACE

Academic economists have written and spoken for the past two decades about utilizing pricing in the private demand for transportation as a means to improve the efficiency of the transportation system. Recently tolls and parking charges have been discussed as an alternative solution to the urban transportation congestion problem, but the lack of demonstration projects has largely precluded the generation of data by which the constraint induced by pricing schemes can be monitored. In order to take advantage of the "natural experiment" which the removal of tolls in Tidewater Virginia provided, case studies of three toll facilities in the area - the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel, the James River Bridge, and the Coleman Bridge - were undertaken. The results of these case studies are reported in three volumes, with this first volume presenting the findings for the Hampton Roads facility. The results for the James River Bridge and the Coleman Bridge are reported in Volumes II and III, respectively.
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```
ABSTRACT
The purpose of this research was to monitor and report the effects that the removal of the tolls on the Hampton Roads BridgeTunnel had upon travel activity in the Tidewater area.
Before and after questionnaire surveys were utilized to gather data from the motorists using the facility. Information from mechanical and manual volume counts and telephone contacts with many officials from local governments, retail associations, real estate agencies, etc. supplemented the questionnaire data.
It was concluded that the tolls had been a barrier to travel across Hampton Roads. After the tolls were removed traffic volumes increased by \(41 \%\), which was a \(33.3 \%\) increase over the volumes that would have been expected had the tolls not been lifted. The increase in the percentage of trucks using the facility during the after period indicated increased trade and services in the region. The vehicular occupancy rate decreased and individuals made trips across the facility more frequently after the tolls were removed than they did when the tolls were in force. The percentage of nonessential trips, such as those for shopping, recreation, and social activity, increased after the tolls were removed. Young people (less than 21 years), retired persons, and housewives made many of those trips. The data also indicated that some people in the area changed, or intended to change, their jobs and residences as a result of the end to tolls.
```
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## INTRODUCTION

Travel demand is quite elastic with respect to transportation pricing and the residents of Tidewater Virginia have been paying some type of fee for the crossing of the Hampton Roads channel and its contributory rivers since the l600's. As a result, the tolls have acted to prevent the Hampton Roads region from achieving its full economic and social potential. Figure 1 shows the highway network in the region and the location of facilities carrying tolls prior to June 1976. Although the region is composed of approximately one million persons (1970 census), the opportunities for its residents and businessmen are like those found in metropolitan areas of half its size.

An indication of the transportation constraint created by Hampton Roads and the toll charges was revealed, in the comprehensive transportation studies conducted in the 1960's. (1,2) The traffic within the region, as measured by origin-destination studies, was approximately $1,300,000$ vehicle trips on an average day. These trips were made for a variety of purposes and were generated by the residents and businesses of the jurisdictions within the region. Of the total vehicular trips only 18,474 (1.4\%) were crossings of the Hampton Roads channel - $77 \%$ being by automobiles and $23 \%$ by trucks. In addition, it was found that the average occupancy rate for the automobiles making the crossing was 2.40 persons. This occupancy rate was much higher than the region-wide average and was an indication of the barrier imposed by the tolls.

On June 3, 1976 , three of the most expensive tolls (Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel, the James River Bridge and the Coleman Bridge) were removed. The anticipated impacts of the removal of the tolls upon travel activity were partially predicted in the report entitled "The Hampton Roads Joint Transportation Study."(3) While the principal objective of that study was to determine the economic feasibility and impact of a proposed third crossing of Hampton Roads, several alternative methods of accommodating transportation demands, including an adjustment of the tolls on existing facilities, were examined.


Figure 1. Existing highways and toll facilities.

The results of the study reflected the intuitive, anticipated changes in economic growth and traffic volumes under the different toll pricing policies. Generally, the lowest toll rates accounted for the largest population and economic growth, as well as an increase in the number of vehicles crossing Hampton Roads. On the other hand, greater tolls had the impact of decreasing the rate of population and economic growth and, thus, vehicular travel.

## PURPOSE AND SCOPE

Although studies have reported the anticipated impacts of changes in tolls upon traffic parameters and socioeconomic activity, (4,5) few, if any, have been based on case studies in which tolls were completely removed from transportation facilities. The removal of toll charges on the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel (Interstate Route 64 in Norfolk and Hampton) afforded an excellent opportunity to conduct such a study, and the purpose of this research was to monitor and report the effects that the removal of tolls had upon transportation and socioeconomic activity in the Hampton Roads region. The specific objectives were to -

1. examine the characteristics of the motorists;
2. monitor the changes in traffic volumes;
3. investigate the changes in traffic composition,
4. review the changes in vehicle occupancy rates and carpools;
5. examine the different purposes of trips; and
6. investigate the variations in travel patterns.

Although monitoring of long-range effects may be desirable at a later date, the study was restricted to the immediate impacts created by the removal of the tolls. Furthermore, the effects of the increased vehicular capacity at the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel crossing afforded by the new parallel facility were not considered in this study, because the after data were collected during the time the old facility was closed for maintenance and all traffic was utilizing the new facility under two-way traffic conditions.

In the early 1950's traffic was accommodated across Hampton Roads by ferry lines operating between Pine Beach in Norfolk and Boat Harbor in Newport News and Willoughby in Norfolk and Old Point in Hampton. These ferry lines were replaced in 1957 by a bridgetunnel structure financed by a bond issue. The structure, 5.47 km ( 3.4 mi.) in length, was constructed at a cost of $\$ 62$ million and connected the cities of Hampton and Norfolk. The payments for the bonds were provided by the tolls imposed upon the motorists using the facility. Table 1 shows the toll rates in 1976.

Table 1
1976 Tolls for Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel (one-way trip)

Type of Vehicle
Automobile
Cash
Commuter Ticket (Sold in groups of 12)

Commercial
2 ton or less 2 axles $\quad 1.50$ 3 axles 1.75

2 ton or more 2 axles 1.75 3 axles 2.25

Tractor Trailer
3 axles 2.50
4 axles 3.00
5 axles 3.50

In 1975, the $8,774,271$ vehicles using the Bridge-Tunnel paid toll revenues exceeding $\$ 10$ million. The traffic demand frequently exceeded the capacity of the two-lane facility, with resulting traffic jams, particularly during commuter peak periods and holiday weekends.

A second tunnel was proposed and subsequently constructed as a part of Interstate Route 64. Largely financed by the federal government, the $\$ 96$ million project was completed at approximately the same time the bonds for the original tunnel were paid off. Consequently,
on June 3 , 1976 , the entire facility was opened to traffic toll free. This was the first time that the residents of the area were afforded a free crossing of the channel. The removal of tolls meant that the individuals who by necessity had to use the BridgeTunnel daily received immediate benefits. The individual who used a commuter ticket and crossed the facility daily in traveling to and from work immediately realized a net increase in spendable income of almost $\$ 400$ per year. For those who crossed eight times per week, but who did not use the commuter ticket, the savings were approximately $\$ 520$ per year.

## METHODOLOGY

To examine the effect of the removal of tolls, it was necessary, insofar as possible, to eliminate the impact of other factors bearing on the use of the facility. If there were discernible trends, either upward or downward in the traffic using the Bridge-Tunnel, these had to be taken into account, by establishing historical trends based on conditions that had existed for several years prior to the removal of tolls. To eliminate distortions due to seasonal variations, the monthly trends during the before and after periods were established and compared.

The methodology employed by the study involved mechanical and manual volume counts, a before questionnaire survey, an after questionnaire survey, and telephone contacts with many officials from local governments, retail associations and firms, and real estate agencies. The results of the data analysis are discussed in the following sections.

## Volume Counts

The Traffic and Safety and the Toll Facilities Divisions of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation have secured vehicular traffic volumes at the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel for many years. For the present study, the Department's data for the past eight years were obtained to establish historical trends in total volumes and the composition by type of vehicle. Toll Facilities revenue data also reflected the commuter ticket usage before the tolls were removed.

After the removal of tolls, the Toll Facilities Division continued to secure vehicular volume counts with mechanical recorders; these data were made available to the researchers. In addition, manual volume counts were made by Council personnel to determine the composition of traffic and the occupancy rates.

To acquire travel information before the tolls were removed, a questionnaire was developed and distributed to a sample of the motorists traveling across the Bridge-Tunnel. The questionnaire requested information about the type of vehicle, origin and destination of trip, trip purpose, vehicle occupancy rate, respondent characteristics, aspects of latent demand, and whether or not the commuter ticket was used to pay the toll.

Of the 24,000 vehicles which daily crossed the facility, approximately one-third (6,995) were sampled on May 18, 1976. As the travelers entered the facility to pay the toll, they were handed the questionnaires along with letters of explanation concerning the research project. (Copies of the letter and questionnaire are in Appendix A.) To facilitate the return of the questionnaire, the respondent was required only to refold it after filling it in and drop it in a mailbox; it contained the return address and postage.

## After Questionnaire Survey

Approximately five months after removal of the tolls, an interval that was thought to be sufficient to allow for short-range adjustments to the absence of tolls, an after questionnaire survey was conducted. This survey was conducted during the time when the old tunnel was closed for maintenance and all traffic was utilizing the new facility under two-way traffic conditions. Consequently, the capacity of the Bridge-Tunnel was essentially the same during the before and after surveys.

The questionnaire developed for the after survey was similar to the one used previously; however, it contained additional questions concerning participation in carpools and changes in travel since the tolls were removed. (The questionnaire is in Appendix B.) Because of the congestion and hazardous conditions roadside surveys create on interstate highways, that method of distributing the questionnaires was not used in the after survey. Consequently, a license plate survey was employed in which a random sample of license plate numbers were recorded and traced through the Division of Motor Vehicle files for names and addresses. Those motorists in the sample (3,526) were mailed a questionnaire with a letter of explanation requesting that they execute and return it by mail. As in the before survey, the respondent had only to refold the questionnaire and drop it in the mail. While the license plate survey is an effective procedure for securing travel information; it is limited to the vehicles licensed in Virginia, since the Division of Motor Vehicles does not have out-of-state registration information.

Officials of several real estate agencies, chambers of commerce, retail merchants associations, department stores, and multiple listing services, were contacted by telephone to seek information relative to resident and employment relocations which may be attributed to the removal of tolls on the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel. While all of the agencies expressed an interest in the study only one, the Penisula Apartment Council, was able to provide data pertinent to the study.

## SURVEY RESULTS

## Characteristics of the Motorists

Of the 6,995 people surveyed at Hampton Roads prior to the removal of the tolls, $2,008(29 \%)$ responded by returning the questionnaire. Of these respondents, $79 \%$ were male. In the after survey, l,384 (39\%) people in the sample population returned the questionnaire; however, the percentage of male motorists responding dropped to $72 \%$.

Table 2 shows the distribution of age groups before and after the tolls were removed. The 21-39 year age group accounted for $54 \%$ of the before sample and the 40-65 year group made up $39 \%$ of the total. In the after period the number of people in the $21-39$ year group decreased while the younger (under 2l) and older (over 65) groups made more trips than before the tolls were removed. A review of Table 3 also reveals that these age groups increased their travels. The percentage of retired people crossing the facility more than doubled after the tolls were removed while there was a drastic increase in the group designated "other," made up mostly of students.

Other occupational groups which increased thein travels during the after period were unskilled workers and homemakers. Although the percentage of professional people and business managers decreased after the tolls were lifted, they continued to make up the majority of the motorists using the Bridge-Tunnel.

It was hypothesized that the number of people in the lower income group would increase their travels after the tolls were removed, and thus constitute a larger percentage of the respondents than they did in the before survey. However, this did not appear to be the case, as shown in Table 4. There were no statistical differences in the income distributions of the people who responded to the before and after questionnaires.

Table 2
Age Distributions of Respondents

| Age |  | Percentage of Respondents |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Before | After |  |
| Under 21 | 3.1 | 5.2 |  |
| $21-39$ | 53.8 | 45.5 |  |
| $40-65$ | 39.2 | 40.1 |  |
| Over 65 | 2.4 | 5.0 |  |
| No response | 1.5 | 4.2 |  |

Table 3
Occupation Distributions of Respondents

| Occupation | Percentage of Respondents |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
|  | Before | After |
|  | 30.0 | 26.8 |
| Professional | 28.8 | 22.5 |
| Business Manager | 4.0 | 3.7 |
| Clerical | 9.7 | 9.7 |
| Craftsman | 9.0 | 2.9 |
| Operator | 2.3 | 4.8 |
| Unskilled | 3.7 | 5.8 |
| Homemaker | 2.8 | 6.8 |
| Retired | 9.7 | 17.0 |



The method of toll payment - whether by commuter ticket or cash - used by the motorists during the before period was an important characteristic that was reviewed briefly because it provided insight into the relationship between out-of-pocket tolis and the number of trips taken.

Prior to the removal of tolls, 24 -hour counts showed that approximately $40.0 \%$ of the traffic used reduced fare commuter tickets. Of the respondents surveyed during the 12 -hour before period, $59.5 \%$ used a commuter ticket costing $\$ 0.75$ for each one-way trip. The remaining respondents traveling in passenger cars and pickups paid $\$ 1.25$ per one-way trip, while the truckers paid the appropriate truck rates. Because the survey period included the peak morning and afternoon hours for travel to and from work, it is understandable that a higher percentage of those surveyed used the ticket than did the population in general.

Cross tabulations indicated that income was significantly related to the use of commuter tickets (purchased in groups of 12 for $\$ 9.00$ ). While it was hypothesized that respondents in high income groups might have little tendency to purchase commuter tickets because the cost of tolls would represent a small portion of their budget, this expectation was not supported. The data showed that there was a. greater tendency to purchase the tickets among income groups earning more than $\$ 15,000$ than among lower income groups. Furthermore, business managers, professionals, and clerical workers were much more likely to use the commuter ticket than were craftsman, operators, and unskilled laborers. Among travelers whose trips originated at school, a large majority ( $70.0 \%$ ) used commuter tickets. Those whose trips originated in shopping areas, on the other hand, did not exhibit a strong tendency to use commuter tickets. The relative infrequency of shopping trips was initially thought to be the controlling influence here; however, the numbers of school and shopping trips were almost identical. The significant difference may be explained by noting that the regularity of the trips rather than the frequency was the likely determining factor in the decision to use tickets.

With respect to vehicle occupancy rates and commuter ticket usage, a significant relationship was found; viz., drivers alone in their vehicles were more likely to use the commuter ticket than were drivers with one or two passengers. Only the drivers of vehicles carrying four passengers used the ticket as extensively as did the lone drivers. Intuitively, one would surmise a relatively higher occupancy rate among vehicles with reduced fare commuter ticket users than among those with regular fare patrons. The hypothesis was that if patrons attempt to minimize the cost of travel, those who cross frequently will search for carpools to take advantage of the opportunity to spread costs of the operation. Since carpool users are likely to cross the harbor as frequently as noncommuters, they would further reduce the cost of travel to and from work by
purchasing a commuter ticket. However, no such relationship was found. While discussion in later sections may provide insight into this finding, it is sufficient at this point to suggest that the level of toll (even $\$ 1.25$ per trip) might not significantly enter into a commuter's decision, because the toll represents a relatively small portion of the total cost of an essential work trip.

## Changes in Traffic Volumes

One of the most noticeable immediate effects of the removal of the tolls on the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel was a variation in traffic volumes. As previously mentioned, it was necessary to establish the annual growth trend in travel in order to isolate the impact of the removal of tolls.

The historical trend of total traffic crossing the facility is presented in Figure 2. The average daily traffic (ADT) volumes increased at a fairly stable rate for several years prion to the removal of tolls, except for a brief period during the energy crisis when travel in Tidewater area, as well as in the nation was affected. As shown in Figure 2 the ADT in 1969 was approximately 15,600 vehicles. Through 1975, volumes increased at an average annual rate of $7.7 \%$, to bring the 1975 ADT in excess of 24,000 vehicles.

It can be concluded from the data that the changes in traffic volume for the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel were predictable and rather moderate prior to the removal of tolls. The traffic trend shown in Figure 2 indicates that the ADT for 1975 would have been approximately 26,000 vehicles had the tolls not been removed. However, the graph shows a sharp increase in the total volumes for 1976 , even though the tolls were in effect for five months during that year. The 1976 ADT was 30,420 , a $30.0 \%$ increase over the 1975 volume and a $22.3 \%$ increase over the 1976 ADT that would have been expected had the tolls not been lifted.

The traffic volumes have been monitored on a daily basis since the tolls were removed and these data, along with those for a corresponding period of time prior to the removal of tolls, are presented in Appendix C. Figure 3 summarizes that data and shows the variations in the ADT over the 12 months preceding and subsequent to the removal of tolls. The total number of vehicles crossing the facility during the before period was $9,075,299$ as compared to $12,801,165$ in the after period. The increase was $41.0 \%$, or approximately $33.3 \%$ greater than the expected historical growth.


Figure 2. Average daily traffic volumes at Hampton Roads.


Figure 3. Monthly traffic volumes at Fampton Roads before and after removal of tolls.

Figure 3 also reveals that the lifting of the tolls has not had any significant effect upon month-to-month variations in average daily traffic. The curves for the two periods rise at approximately equivalent rates from January to July and fall together from August through December. Quite apparently, after the tolls were removed an immediate and drastic increase occurred in travel across the harbor. Again referring to Figure 3, the curve for the after period indicates that the increase for the typically peak months of July and August was approximately 10,500 vehicles per day. The traffic growth for the typically low volume month, January, was about 8,500 vehicles per day.

Figure 4 is a graphical comparison of the trends in ADT for May, June, and July from 1971 through the after study period ending in May 1977. The upper graph shows how the 1971 ADT for the month of July compares with the 1976 ADT for the month of July, etc. The average rate of increase in the ADT for each of the months was a fairly moderate $7.0 \%$ during the period between 1971 and the removal of tolls in June 1976. The trends for the rates of increase for the three months were similar between 1971 through 1975 ; however, the similarity does not extend past the first of June 1976 , the date the tolls were lifted. The increase in volumes becomes quite apparent when the trend lines are compared with the actual volume counts recorded during the respective months after the tolls were removed. Had the tolls remained in effect, the estimated ADT in July would have been approximately 31,000 vehicles; the actual ADT was 4l,784 vehicles.

The above data indicate that after the tolls were lifted there was a drastic increase in the number of vehicles using the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel. The greatest increase in traffic occurred during the first month after the tolls were lifted, and since that time only slight monthly volume increases, approximately equal to the historical growth, have been observed.


Figure 4. Monthly travel trends at Hampton Roads.

## Changes in Traffic Composition

Traffic composition was important in this study for two reasons. First, it was used to detect changes, if any, in the types of vehicles crossing Hampton Roads after the tolls were removed. As previously mentioned, the tolls were considered as a barrier to trade within the region and data were required to determine if the truck traffic and therefore trade had changed. Second, the information was helpful to check the sampled population used in the questionnaire surveys against the general population using the facility.

After the tolls were removed, periodic manual classification counts were secured and the composition of traffic was recorded. This manual classification information is presented in Appendix $D$ and is summarized in Table 5 along with the 1975 statistics, representing the before period, obtained from the Traffic and Safety Division.

The data show that passenger cars now make up a slightly smaller percentage of the total traffic than they did prior to the elimination of the tolls. Whereas in 1975 cars comprised $86.5 \%$ of the ADT, they currently make up $76.5 \%$. Pickups and vans have constituted approximately $12.0 \%$ of the total volume since the tolls were lifted; however, this increase may not be solely attributed to the removal of tolls because of the increasing popularity of the vehicles for recreational and commuter transportation. Furthermore, several vanpool programs have been initiated by individuals and private firms since June 1976, the date of the removal of tolls.

Table 5
Traffic Composition for Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel
(In Percentages)
Type of Vehicle

| Period | Cars | Pickups | Trucks |  | TT | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\varepsilon$ Vans | 2-Axle | 3-Axle |  |  |
| 1975 | 86.5 | 8.3 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 0.1 |
| July 1976 | 78.4 | 13.0 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 1.0 |
| August 1976 | 79.1 | 12.1 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 1.1 |
| September 1976 | 78.2 | 11.9 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 0.8 |
| October 1976 | 78.0 | 11.9 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 5.1 | 0.6 |
| March 1977 | 77.4 | 12.9 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 4.6 | 0.8 |
| May 1977 | 76.5 | 13.3 | 3.9 | 6.7 | 4.4 | 1.2 |

Table 5 shows that the percentages of trucks in the total volume, particularly the percentages of light $2-a x l e$ delivery and tractor-trailer trucks, have increased since the tolls were lifted. This finding seems to imply some type of generated economic activity as a result of the free crossing of the Bridge-Tunnel. This implication is consistent with the comments made by many of the respondents to the questionnaires. They noted that they were going to expand their businesses across the channel after the tolls were removed.

With respect to the types of venicles sampled in the before questionnaire survey, the data showed that of the 2,008 vehicles involved, $81.7 \%$ were passenger cars, $8.3 \%$ were pickups and vans, $4.7 \%$ were 2 -axle trucks, and about $4.0 \%$ were tractor-trailers. These statistics compare favorably with the before data (1975) shown in Table 5.

In the after survey, $91.8 \%$ of the respondents were traveling in passenger cars, $6.5 \%$ in pickups and vans, $1.1 \%$ in 2 -axle delivery trucks, and approximately $0.1 \%$ in tractor-trailer trucks. These statistics do not compare with the actual volume counts obtained in October 1976 as well as those in the before survey. However, in the after survey license plate numbers were recorded and the questionnaires mailed to the owners of the vehicles who, in many cases, may not have been the drivers of the vehicles, particularly of the trucks, and company-owned and rental passenger cars on the day of the survey.

## Changes in Vehicle Occupancy Rates and Carpools

One of the major objectives of the study was to determine the impact of the removal of tolls upon vehicle occupancy rates. Unfortunately, no reliable data were available for the period before the removal of tolls. While the before questionnaire contained a question on vehicle occupancy, many respondents misunderstood the question so accurate data were not obtained. The only available information is a 1964 statistic of 2.40 persons per automobile crossing the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel. (4) Dash and Vey (4) reported that this occupancy rate was much higher than the region-wide average, and thus indicated that the toll was a travel barrier. Manual counts were made periodically after the tolls were removed and the number of people riding in each vehicle was recorded.

The occupancy data gathered since the removal of the toll, plotted graphically and shown in Figure 5, indicate that occupancy rates declined until January 1977, when an upward trend began Specificaliv, in July 1976, one month after the tolls were removed, the occupancy rate was 1.96; however, it had dropped to 1.38 in mid-January. From January the rate increased, and the counts taken in May 1977 revealed that an average of 1.52 persons were traveling in each vehicle. Further data on vehicle occupancy are given in Appendix $E$.


Figure 5. Vehicle occupancy rates after removal of tolls at Hampton Roads.

Without historical trends it was difficult to determine the extent to which the data shown in Figure 5 differ from the normal monthly variations; however, one would not expect a sharp decline in occupancy rates to occur during the vacation months, July through September, under normal travel conditions. Therefore it appears that the removal of tolls probably has had a significant impact upon reducing the occupancy rate of the vehicles crossing Hampton Roads.

Occupancy rates and commuter carpools are closely associated; therefore, additional questions relative to participation in carpools were included in the after questionnaire survey. Of the respondents, $12.8 \%$ indicated that they carpooled prior to the removal of tolls, while $10.7 \%$ said that they continued to use carpools to and from work after the tolls were lifted. The data show that while some motorists stopped riding in carpools after the tolls were lifted, others initiated carpools. Although the absolute number of respondents to the questions was relatively small, $28.0 \%$ of those who carpooled prior to removal of tolls indicated that they did not carpool afterwards, while $13.0 \%$ of those who did not formerly carpool entered a program. The changes in carpools were not statistically significant, therefore the removal of tolls does not appear to have had a major impact upon the carpooling habits of the motorists using the Bridge-Tunnel. Furthermore, it does not appear that there is a substantial number of carpoolers among motorists who make up the vast increase in travel since the tolls were removed. The propensity to carpool will be reviewed in greater detail in the latter section of the report on trip purpose.

In an effort to provide further insight into the changes in occupancy rates, several variables and their relationship to vehicular occupancy were examined. Among them were age, income, occupation, purpose of trip, frequency of trip and length of trip. Only interrelationships - not before and after comparisons - were made; therefore the after questionnaire survey results were used.

With respect to occupancy and age, the cross tabulation data showed a significant relationship. As expected, retired individuals and those under $2 l$ years of age rode together more often than did people in the other age groups. The rate for the oldest group was 1.90 and that for the youngest was 1.64 ; the $40-65$ year age group had a rate of 1.62 , and that for the $21-39$ year group was 1.52 .

The relationship between occupancy rate and income was nonlinear, as shown in Figure 6. The low income group, as expected, had the highest occupancy rate. The rate dropped for middle income groups and then rose for those respondents earning more than $\$ 30,000$.
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Figure 6. Vehicle occupancy rates and income in after period.

Occupation appeared to have a significant influence on the occupancy rate. From Table 6 it can be seen that students, business managers, and operators had the lowest mean occupancy rates, while homemakers and retired persons had the highest.

One might reasonably hypothesize that the occupancy rate would vary by trip purpose. Table 7 presents data consistent with this hypothesis. Work trips were characterized by a lower occupancy rate than the other types of trips, particularly shopping. In fact, work trips make up the large majority of the trips taken, and the occupancy rate among them is the lowest of any category of trips.

Table 8 shows the relationship between the occupancy rate and the frequency of the trips made across Hampton Roads. The data are consistent with those presented for trip purpose. The people (commuters) who travel most frequently across the Roads exhibit the lowest occupancy rates.

The final relationship reviewed in this part of the analysis was that of occupancy rate and length of trip. Table 9 shows that the short trips had the highest occupancy rate. The rate dropped for middle categories and then rose for the longer trips. These findings were consistent with other data in the report which re- : vealed that the average shopping trips usually had high occupancy rates, and shorter travel times than work trips, while the trips made in the category designated "other" (recreational, visiting, etc.) were greater in travel time than the work trips.

Table 6
Vehicle Occupancy by Occupation, After Period

| Occupation | Occupancy R |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Professional | 1.57 |
| Business Manager | 1.41 |
| Clerical | 1.53 |
| Craftsman | 1.66 |
| Operator | 1.43 |
| Unskilled Laborer | 1.85 |
| Homemaker | 2.06 |
| Retired | 1.99 |
| Student | 1.40 |

```
Vehicle Occupancy by Trip Purpose, After Period
```

Trip Purpose Occupancy Rate
Origin:

| Home | 1.63 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Work | 1.41 |
| Shopping | 2.00 |
| Other (Recreational, school, etc.) | 1.71 |

Destination:

| Home | I.61 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Work | 1.32 |
| Shopping | 1.94 |
| Other (Recreational, school, etc.) | 1.75 |

Table 8
Vehicle Occupancy by Number of Crossings
Number of Crossings
Occupancy Rate
$>10$ per week 1.38
$4-6$ per week 1.48
2 per week 1.53
1 per week 1.68
2 per month 1.93
<6 per year 2.04

| Table 9 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Vehicle Occupancy by Trip Time, After Period |  |
| Trip Time in Minutes |  |
| 20 | Occupancy Rate |
| $21-25$ | 2.00 |
| $26-35$ | 1.59 |
| $35-45$ | 1.45 |
| $46-60$ | 1.40 |
| $61-75$ | 1.82 |
| $>75$ | 1.81 |

Changes in the Purposes of Trips
Because all trip types or purposes are not equally ranked by travelers in terms of importance, the consequences of tolls cannot be summarized by simply examining the total number of trips taken before and after the tolls were removed.

The purposes of the trips made during the before and after surveys are shown in Tables 10 and 11 , respectively. A comparison of the data revealed an increase in the percentage of nonwork trips, particularly shopping trips, while the percentage of work trips decreased. Such a reaction to the removal of tolls is consistent with normal expectation concerning price elasticity of demand. Specifically, there is no reason to anticipate a change in the number of work oriented trips; however, shopping trips frequently provide some recreational value and as such cannot always be classified as essential. Since travel is a "good" which is to some degree a luxury item in people's budgets, a reduction in price (tolls) should increase the quantity demanded. Thus, the increase in shopping trips was expected.

Removal of the tolls was hypothesized to have reduced the tendency of people to form carpools. An examination by trip purpose showed a small reduction in the number of respondents who participated in carpools, particularly for the work oriented trip. For shopping trips no significant change was recorded. This information is summarized in Table l2. A brief comment is in order concerning these results. Because of the rather slight reduction in the number of carpools observed during the after period, it is suggested that participation in a carpool is a practice that tends to be less influenced by marginal changes in cost than might be expected intuitively. More specifically, carpooling is a function not only of the level of tolls, but also of trip length, frequency, and time.

Table 10
Trip Purposes, Before Period
(Percentages in Parentehses)

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home | Work | School | Shopping | Other | Total |
| Home | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 480 \\ (24.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ (1.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ (1.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 258 \\ (13.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 827 \\ (41.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Work | $\begin{array}{r} 32.2 \\ (16.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.4 \\ (11.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 177 \\ (8.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 732 \\ (36.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| School | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ (1.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (0.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} I \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Shopping | $\stackrel{20}{(1.1)}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ (0.1) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $(0.0)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other | $\begin{aligned} & 172 \\ & (8.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 132 \\ & (6.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ (0.0) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ (3.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 370 \\ (18.6) . \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 567 \\ (28.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 842 \\ & (42.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 41 \\ (2.1) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 501 \\ (25.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,987 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ |

Table 11
Trip Purposes, After Period
(Percentages in Parentheses)

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home | Work | School | Shopping | Other | Total |
| Home | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & (2.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 234 \\ & (17.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & (6.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ (3.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 225 \\ & (16.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 621 \\ & (45.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| Work | $\begin{aligned} & 263 \\ & (19.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ (6.4) \end{gathered}$ | $(0.7)$ | $\left(0^{8} .6\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ (3.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 416 \\ & (30.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| School | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & (4.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $(0.0)$ | $(0.0)$ | $(0.0)$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ (4.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Shopping | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & (1.8) \end{aligned}$ | $(0.3)$ | $(0.0)$ | $\left(0^{3} .2\right)$ | $(0.3)$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ (2.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other | $\begin{aligned} & 172 \\ & (12.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ (2.5) \end{gathered}$ | $(0.4)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ (2.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 242 \\ & (17.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | $\begin{aligned} & 545 \\ & (39.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 366 \\ & (26.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \\ (7.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \\ & (4.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 307 \\ & (22.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,376 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ |

Table 12

| Percentage of Carpools by Trip Destination |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Destination |  | Percentage of Carpool |
|  | Before | After |
| Home | 15.2 | 13.9 |
| Work | 12.8 | 10.9 |
| School | 8.0 | 9.0 |
| Shopping | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| Other | 5.5 | 4.2 |

## Changes in Travel Patterns

Since there was a high probability that the tolls were a barrier to travel across Hampton Roads, their removal was expected to significantly alter the travel in the area. In the following sections examinations are made of the changes in frequency of crossings, length of trips, origins and destinations of trips, jobs, and residences in an attempt to determine the effects of the removal of tolls.

## Change in Frequency of Crossings

It has already been established that the removal of the tolls resulted in a drastic increase in the total volume of vehicles crossing the Bridge-Tunnel. This section presents a discussion of the frequency of trips made by the respondents in the before and after surveys.

The average number of trips made per week in the before period was 3.69 . After the tolls were removed the number of trips increased to an average of 5.2. Table 13 presents the data on the distribution of trips. There were significant changes in the "lo per week" and "less than 6 per year" categories. The percentage of respondents who traveled infrequently during the before period made trips more frequently after the tolls were removed.

A comparison of the results of the before and after surveys indicated how the tolls affected different groups of travelers. The survey showed that among respondents the group over 65 years of age increased the frequency of their trips; that is, their trips now constitute a larger percentage of total trips taken. The before portion was $2.4 \%$ while the after value was $5.0 \%$. While both males and females made more trips after the tolls were lifted, females drastically increased their travel. Among the female respondents there was a $15.0 \%$ increase in the group making more than 10 crossings per week, while the males increased their percentage in this category
by only $10.0 \%$. by only $10.0 \%$.

| Category | Before | After |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| > 10 per week | 28.8 | 40.0 |
| 4 - 6 per week | 11.4 | 16.1 |
| 2 per week | 8.9 | 9.4 |
| 1 per week | 7.7 | 8.9 |
| 2 per month | 16.7 | 13.9 |
| < 6 per year | 26.5 | 11.7 |

Since occupation is correlated with the level of income and demand for travel is a function of income, it was hypothesized that low paid occupational categories might change their demands for trips after the tolls were removed. This expectation was partially supported by the data in that among homemakers the percentage making at least 4 trips per week increased from $6.8 \%$ to $17.5 \%$, and among the retired people the percentage making that number of trips more than doubled from $5.4 \%$ to $11.7 \%$. The results of cross tabulations between the number of crossings and income, shown in Table 14, also reveals that there was a tendency for the lower income groups to make trips more frequently after the tolls were removed.

Table 14
Number of Crossings by Income in Percentages

| Number of Crossings | Annual Income, Dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under$9,000$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 9,001 \\ 12,000 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12,001 \\ & 15,000 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15,001 \\ & 25,000 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 25,001 \\ & 30,000 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Over } \\ & 30,000 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After |
| > 10 per week | 26.9 | 41.3 | 30.8 | 47.0 | 30.9 | 43.4 | 30.4 | 39.3 | 27.9 | 40.2 | 19.0 | 24.5 |
| 4-b per week | 7.0 | 10.5 | 8.8 | 14.2 | 9.0 | 18.0 | 13.5 | 18.1 | 10.7 | 20.7 | 13.3 | 17.0 |
| 2 per week | 8.2 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 10.4 | 13.3 | 12.2 | 7.5 | 8.4 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 11.4 | 13.2 |
| 1 per week | 7.0 | 8.7 | 6.6 | 6.0 | 11.2 | 7.9 | 4.6 | 7.5 | 9.8 | 13.1 | 13.3 | 14.5 |
| 2 per month | 16.4 | 12.8 | 17.0 | 9.3 | 14.9 | 11.1 | 17.1 | 13.7 | 13.9 | 17.2 | 20.3 | 19.5 |
| < 6 per year | 32.7 | 18.6 | 28.0 | 12.6 | 19.7 | 5.8 | 25.8 | 12.0 | 27.9 | 8.2 | 22.2 | 11.3 |
| No Response | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 |

## Change in Trip Length

One would surmise that the lengths of trips would increase after the tolls were removed because the additional operating costs for the longer trips would be compensated by the elimination of the tolls. However this hypothesis has not been supported by the data gathered in the before and after surveys. Prior to the removal of the tolls, the average trip required 49.8 minutes of travel time. After the tolls were lifted, the travel time averaged 49.3 minutes per trip. Similar results are revealed in Table 15 , which shows the relationship between the number of crossings and length of trip.

Table 15
Trips by Average Trip Length

| Number of Trips |  | Average Length, Minutes |
| ---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Before | After |
| 10 per week | 41.1 | 40.0 |
| 4 per week | 47.9 | 50.2 |
| 2 per week | 52.1 | 52.6 |
| 1 per week | 59.7 | 50.1 |
| 1 per month | 65.3 | 60.9 |

It has already been shown that there was a large increase in the number of shopping and recreational trips after the tolls were removed; however, Table 16 shows that there were no significant differences in the lengths of trips made during the befone and after periods.

Table 16
Trip Length by Destination
Destination
Average Length of Trips, Minutes

|  | Before | After |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 52 |
| Home | 46 | 50 |
| Work | 47 | 45 |
| School | 44 | 46 |
| Shopping | 56 | 43 |
| Other (recreational, visiting, etc.) | 56 | 57 |

## 0360

Changes in Origins and Destinations of Trips
In order to review the changes in origin and destination patterns of the travelers in Tidewater, the area was divided into traffic zones as shown in Figure 7 and information was gathered through the before and after questionnaire surveys. The volumes and relative frequencies are presented in Table 17 and trip tables showing the numbers of trips between the zones are in Appendix $F$.

The data show that the largest percentage of total trips originated in zones $15,25,3$, and 13 , respectively, during the before period. After the removal of tolls, most trips were generated in the same zones; however, there was a decrease in the percentage of trips generated west of the study area toward Richmond.

Of the trips generated south of the crossing and traveling in a northerly direction* prior to the removal of tolls, $61.2 \%$ were destined for zones $3,4,10$, and 25 . During the after period the same trips comprised 59.1\% of the total trips. On the other hand, $78.0 \%$ and $76.2 \%$ of all the trips generated north of the crossing and traveling south during the before and after periods, respectively, were destined for zones $12,13,15,16$, and 17.

While cross tabulations between origin and destination patterns and occupancy rates, number of carpools, trip purpose, and income level were developed and may be reviewed upon request, the sampled populations by zone were considered too small to allow conclusions and thus are not presented in the report.

[^0]

Figure 7. Traffic zones.

Table 17
Traffic Volumes by Zone of Origin and Destination

| Zone | Origin. |  |  |  | Destination |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume |  | Relative Freq. |  | Volume |  | Relative Freq. |  |
|  | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After |
| 1 | 29 | 23 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 38 | 23 | 1.9 | 1. |
| 2 | 45 | 30 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 49 | 29 | 2.4 | 2. |
| 3 | 164 | 132 | 8.2 | 9.5 | 205 | 146 | 10.2 | 10.8 |
| 4 | 135 | 88 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 147 | 79 | 7.3 | 5. |
| 5 | 69 | 52 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 67 | 52 | 3.3 | 3. |
| 6 | 11 | 4 | . 5 | . 3 | 14 | 4 | . 7 |  |
| 7 | 65 | 34 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 70 | 52 | 3.5 | 3. |
| 8 | 67 | 45 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 58 | 51 | 2.9 | 3. |
| 9 | 54 | 42 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 65 | 53 | 3.2 | 3. |
| 10 | 104 | 87 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 123 | 61 | 6.1 | 4. |
| 11 | 71 | 63 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 83 | 37 | 4.1 | 2. |
| 12 | 100 | 107 | 5.0 | 7.7 | 111 | 99 | 5.5 | 7. |
| 13 | 178 | 132 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 166 | 110 | 8.3 | 8. |
| 14 | 21 | 12 | 1.0 | . 9 | 18 | 22 | . 9 | 1. |
| 15 | 229 | 163 | 11.4 | 11.8 | 252 | 159 | 12.6 | 11. |
| 16 | 144 | 91 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 124 | 92 | 6.2 | 6. |
| 17 | 138 | 50 | 6.9 | 3.6 | 100 | 60 | 5.0 | 4. |
| 18 | 49 | 31 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 45 | 40 | 2.2 | 2. |
| 19 | 29 | 6 | 1.4 | . 4 | 8 | 20 | . 4 | 1. |
| 20 | 33 | 19 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 26 | 17 | 1.3 | 1. |
| 21 | 29 | 15 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 20 | 12 | 1.0 |  |
| 22 | 3 | 3 | . 1 | . 2 | 1 | 2 | . 0 |  |
| 23 | 18 | 11 | . 9 | . 8 | 11 | 12 | . 5 |  |
| 24 | 24 | 28 | 1. 2 | 2.0 | 42 | 23 | 2.1 | 1. |
| 25 | 197 | 116 | 9.8 | 8.4 | 158 | 128 | 7.9 | 9 |
| Total | 2,006 | 1,384 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2,001 | 1,383 | 100.0 | 100. |

Changes in Jobs and Residences
Although data are limited on the subject of changes in jobs and residences there are a few indications that these changes are taking place since the tolls have been removed. In response to a question in the after questionnaire survey, $3.2 \%$ of the respondents
said they had changed jobs or planned to do so as a result of the end to tolls. Cross tabulations showed that $55.0 \%$ of those who had changed jobs made fewer than 6 trips per year across Hampton Roads in the before period. After changing jobs, $75.0 \%$ of this group were making 10 or more trips per week. The data revealed that income was not a significant influence on the decision to change jobs.

In regard to the impact of the removal of tolls upon the places where people live, the survey results showed that $2.8 \%$ of the respondents had either changed residences or intended to change as a result of the lifting of tolls.

Several variables, including income and prior and current numbers of crossings, were tested for their influence on changes in residences. Cross tabulations showed that people who changed residences after the tolls were lifted likely were individuals earning $\$ 15,000$ per year or less. Further, the data indicate that these individuals made very few trips across the Bridge-Tunnel prior to the removal of tolls. Specifically, $43.0 \%$ of those who changed residences made fewer than 6 trips per year across the facility. In the period after their move, $67.0 \%$ of this group made 10 or more crossings per week.

Information provided by the Penisula Apartment Council revealed a change in the housing vacancy rate in the Hampton area, thus indicating a change in the demand for housing on the Penisula. Since January 1976 the vacancy rate has decreased by $39.0 \%$. However, the data did not indicate the extent to which the removal of tolls attributed to the decline in the vacancy rate.

## CONCLUSIONS

The tolls on the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel were a barrier which prevented many people from traveling across the channel. The following conclusions are based on the findings from this study.

1. Since the removal of the tolls there has been an increased tendency for persons under 21 years and over 65 years of age to travel across Hampton Roads. The number of trips taken by the older group, as a percentage of total trips, has doubled.
2. Females in general and homemakers in particular are traveling more since the tolls have been removed. Among homemakers, the percentage making at least four trips per week has increased from $6.8 \%$ to $17.5 \%$.
3. Income does not appear to be a factor in the changes that have occurred since the tolls were removed. The low income groups have not changed their demand for trips in a manner significantly different from that of higher income groups.
4. Traffic volume changes resulting from the removal of tolls have been quite pronounced. The total number of vehicles crossing the facility during a 12 -month period prior to the removal of tolls was $9,075,299$ as compared to 12,801,165 vehicles during the after period. The increase was $41 \%$, or approximately $33.3 \%$ greater than the expected historical growth.
5. The removal of the tolls had an immediate impact upon traffic volumes. The greatest increase in traffic occurred during the first month after the tolls were lifted; since that time there have been only slight monthly volume increases approximately equal to the historical growth.
6. Passenger cars make up a smalier percentage of the total traffic currently than they did prior to the removal of tolls. Truck traffic appears to have increased, which might be taken as an indication that the removal of tolls has generated increases in economic activity.
7. After the tolls were removed the occupancy rate declined until January 1977, when an upward trend began. In July 1976 the rate was 1.96 ; by mid-January it had dropped to 1.38. In May 1977 the rate was 1.52 persons per vehicle.
8. Age groups under 21 and over 65 years had the highest occupancy rates when the tolls were in force. This relationship has not been altered.
9. Shoppers had higher occupancy rates than did workers, and this relationship, too, has not changed.
10. The relationship between occupancy rate and income is nonlinear. The lowest and highest income groups have the greatest occupancy rates while the middle income group has the lowest rate.
11. The percentage of nonessential (shopping, recreational, etc.) trips has increased since the tolls were removed.
12. Removal of the tolls has had almost no effect on the propensity of people to form carpools. It is concluded that the tolls were not the most significant influence in the decision to form carpools, particularly at the last level of toll charges.
13. Motorists make trips more frequently now that the tolls have been removed. The average number of trips per week prior to the removal of tolls was 3.69 . In the after period the number has increased to an average of 5.2 .
14. There have been no significant differences in the lengths of trips in the after period as compared to the before period.
15. The origins and destinations of the trips across Hampton Roads have not been significantly altered by the lifting of tolls.
16. Of the respondents to the study questionnaire, $3.2 \%$ have changed jobs or plan to change as a result of the removal of tolls.
17. The removal of the tolls has had some impact upon the places where people live. Of the respondents in the survey, $2.8 \%$ indicated that they had either changed residences or intended to change as a result of the lifting of tolls. Furthermore, changes in vacancy rates on the Hampton side of the channel indicate an increase in housing demand there since the tolls have been removed.
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## COMMONVEALTH of VIRGINIA

HIGHWAY \& TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH COUNCIL
May 18, 1976

Dear Motorise:
As the research branch of the Virginia Deparment of Tighways and Tansporarion we are conducring a stucy to find out how the removal of tolls on the Hampton Roads 3ridge-Zunnel will aifect sutomobile and frack travel in the Tidewater area. The first part of the sudy is to collect information from the people wino pay the tolls to use the facility.

In an effort to zecuce or eliminate your delay and inconvenience while we are comducing this survey, the mail-back questorniira metiod of data coilecrion is being usec instead of the roadside interyien sechnique. To deip is get the zeeded information, we are asking that you please complete the amacied questionraire and drop it in a conveniant mailbox for reman to us. No postege is Eacuired. IF HOE SHOULD RECENE MORE RAMONE QCESTONMATE EROM
 PIEASE COMPIEIE AMD RETURN AII OE TEEN.

Tanair you ior your cooperation and assistance. Tae accuracy and juccess of this survey are cependent on your belp.

[^1]This Survey is Sponsored by the Virginia Department of Eighways and Transportation
THE GOLLOWHG QUESTONS CONCERN THE RRIP YOU WERE MAKTMG WHEN YOU
RECEITED THIS QUESTIOMNAIRE ON ROCTE D A AT THE HAMPTON ROADS BRDGEITMNEL TOLI PLAZA. YOU WERE TRAVEIEIG TOWARD NORFOLK IN THE SOUTHBOUND DIRECTON.

Please Answer all Questions and Drop in Mailbox - Yo Postage Required
A. What type of vehicle did you use for this trip? (circle one)

1. Passenger Car - Virginia
2. Three-axie truck
3. Passerger Car - Out of State
4. Tractor-Trailer
5. Preknp or Van
6. Other - specify
7. Two-axle truck
$\qquad$
B. Where were you coming from?
(Specify steet co. $\frac{8}{}$ name, city $\frac{8}{8}$ state)
C. Was the place you came from? (circle one)
8. Your home
9. Place oi work
10. School
t. Shopping
11. Other (specify) $\qquad$
D. Where were you going?
(Specify street no. \& name, gity 3 state)
E. Was this place? (circle one)
12. Your home
13. Place of wort
14. School
15. Shopping
16. Other (specify)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ A. M . $\qquad$ P.M. and end? $\qquad$ A. M. $\qquad$ P. In.
F. What time did this trip begin? $\square$ (circle one) 1. Yes 2. No
H. How many persons (including driver) were in your vehicle on this trip? $\qquad$
I. How frequently do you cross the Bridge-Tuncel? Include both directions; a round trip is 2 crossings. (circle one)
17. 2 or more crossings 3 day
18. terossings per month
19. 4 crossings per week
20. 2 or less crossings per month
21. 2 crossings per week
J. What will you do when the tolls are removed? (circle one)
i. Make the same number of trips as now
22. Make fewer Jips
23. Make more trips
K. Please indicate your Sex. (circle oue) 1. Male 2. Female

M. Wazt is your Occupation? $\qquad$
N. What was the combined annual income of all members of your housebold in 1975? (circle one)
24. under \$9,000
25. 39,001-312,000
26. 312,001-315,000
27. 315,001-325,000
28. $\$ 25,001-\$ 30,000$
万. over SS0,000
O. In general, what are your feelings toward the removal oi tolls and what eifects will it have upon your shopping, working, and maveling activities?

THANK YOU - PLEASE ROID AND MAIL.

# COMMONEEALTH of VIRGTNIA 

HIGHWAY \& TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH COUXCIL

October 18, 1976


## Dear Car Owner:

As the research branch of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation, we are conducting a study to determine how the removal of tolls on several Tidewater bridges will affect automobile and truck travel in the area. The second part of this study consists of collecting information from the people who paid tolls before June 1 , 1976, but who are now using the facilities toll-free.

In an effort to reduce or eliminate your delay and inconvenience while we conduct this survey, the mail-back questionnaire method of gathering information is being used instead of the roadside interview. A vehicle registered in your name was observed crossing the Hampton Roads Bridge Tunnel on October 18, 1976, and the attached brief questionnaire concerns that trip. To help us get the needed information, we ask that you or the person who made the trip please answer the questionnaire and drop it in a convenient mailbox for return to us. No postage is required. IF YOU SHOULD RECEIVE MORE THAN ONE QUESTIONNAIRE DURING THE COURSE OF THIS SURVEY, PLEASE COMPLETE AND RETURN ALL OF THEM.

Thank you for your cooperation. The accuracy and success of this survey are dependent on your help.


This Survey is Sponsored by the Virginia Department of Hignways and Transportation
A VEhicle registered in your name was observed on route 64 dT the hampton roads BRIDGE TUNNE L DURNG THE MORNING OF OCTOBER IS, 1976 TRAVE LNG TOWARD HAMPTON $N$ THE NORTHBOUND DIRECTION. THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS CONCERN THAT TRIP AND MAY bE ANSWERED BYEITHER YOU OR THE PERSON WHO WAS DRIVNG THE VEHICLE.

Please Answer all questions and Drop in Mailbox - No Postage Required.

โ. Errors ia recording Hoense plates do occur. If this form was seat to you by error, please check bere and retura.

IT. What type of vehicle did you use for this trip? (circie one)

| type of vehicle did you use for this trip? | (circie ode) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Passenger Car | 4. | Three-Axie Truck |
| 2. P4ckup or Van | 5. Tractor-Trailer |  |
| 3. Two-ixle Truck | 6. | Other-(specify) |

III. A. At what address did this trip begin?

Wheet Number, City (County), State
3. Was this place? (circle one) 1. Your Home 2. Work 3. School 4. Shopping Area $\quad$ j. Other (specify) $\qquad$
IV. A. At what address did this trip ead? $\qquad$
B. Was this place? (circle oon)

1. Your Home $\quad$ 2. Woris 3. School
2. Shopping Area
3. Other (specify) $\qquad$
C. How long did it take you to get there? (circle one)
4. $0-15 \mathrm{~min}$.
5. 21-25 mila.
6. $36-45$ min.
7. 61-75 ma.
8. 16-20 maia.
9. 26-35 mia.
10. 46-60 min.
11. more than 75 min.
V. How many persoos rode with the driver on this trip? (circle one)

| 1. 0 riders | 4. 3 riders | 7. 6 riders | 10. 9 or more riders |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. 1 rider | 3. 4 riders | 3. 7 riders |  |
| 3. 2 riders | 6.2 riders | 9. 3 riders |  |

VI. A. About how oftea do you croas the Hamptoa Roads Bridge Tunnal? Include boh directions; a round trip is 2 crossings. (circle one)

1. 10 or more crassings a week
t. 2 crossiags every 2 weeks
2. 4-6 crossings a week
3. 2 crossings a moath
4. 2 crossings a week
5. less than 6 a year
B. About how often did you croes the Hampton Roards Bridge Tuncel before the toll was removed? d rexand trip is 2 crossiogs. ictrele one)

| 1. 10 or more crossings a week | 4. 2 crossings every 2 weeks |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $4-6$ crossings a week | 5. 2 crossings a month |
| 3. 2 crossings a week | 5. less than 6 a year |

VII. A. Do you car pool to and from work? (circle one) 1. Yes 2. No
B. Did you car poot before the tolls were lifted? (circie one) 1. Yes 2. No
VIII. A. Please iadicate your sex. (circle one) 1. Male 2. Female
8. Please indicate your age. (circle one) 1. under 21 2. 21-39 3. $40-55$ 4. over 65
C. What is your occupatioa?
(circle one) 1. under 21 2. 21-39
D. What was the combined annual income of all members of your household in 1975 ? (circle cae)

1. under $\$ 9,000$
2. $\$ 12,001-\$ 15,000$
3. 525,001-\$30,000
4. $\$ 9,000-\$ 12,000$
5. $515,001-525,000$
6. over $\$ 30,000$
[x. A. Will the removal of the toll cause you to change your residence? (ctrcle oce) 1 Yes 2. No B. Will the removal of the toll cause you to chage jobs? (circle oae) 1. Yes 2. No
X. Comments $\qquad$

THANK YOU - PIEASE FOLD AND MAIL
HAMPTON ROADS•BRIDGE-TUNNEL

APPENDIX C (cont.)

APPENDIX C (cont.)

APPENDIX C (cont, )


|  |  | APPEND | D |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASSIFICATION TRAFFIC VOLUMES HAMPTON ROADS BRIDGE-TUNNEL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NORTHBOUND LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hour | Cars | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pickup } \\ & \text { \& Vans } \end{aligned}$ | $2-A x]$ | $3-A \times 1$ | $\mathrm{e} T \mathrm{~T}$ | Other | Total |
| July 16, 1976, A.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00-7:30 | 402 | 67 | 23 | 9 | 13 | 7 | 521 |
| 7:30-8:00 | 478 | 77 | 21 | 6 | 17 | 7 | 606 |
| 8:00-8:30 | 421 | 79 | 21 | 6 | 19 | 4 | 550 |
| 8:30-9:00 | 455 | 74 | 34 | 8 | 19 | 11 | 601 |
| 9:00-9:30 | 594 | 108 | 51 | 1 | 34 | 10 | 798 |
| 9:30-10:00 | 479 | 92 | 37 | 7 | 28 | 5 | 648 |
| 10:00-10:30 | 462 | 77 | 27 | 3 | 23 | 4 | 596 |
| 10:30-11:00 | 710 | 126 | 25 | 4 | 36 | 7 | 909 |
| 11:00-11:30 | 588 | 86 | 17 | 4 | 30 | 6 | 731 |
| 11:30-12:00 | 567 | 104 | 28 | 6 | 23 | 7 | 735 |
| P.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00-12:30 | 558 | 86 | 18 | 0 | 26 | 7 | 695 |
| 12:30-1:00 | 580 | 85 | 20 | 3 | 21 | 8 | 717 |
| July 15, 1976, P.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:00-2:30 | 355 | 55 | 17 | 5 | 20 | 5 | 457 |
| 2:30-3:00 | 408 | 68 | 15 | 11 | 20 | 7 | 465 |
| 3:00-3:30 | 347 | 54 | 31 | 7 | 22 | 4 | 465 |
| 3:30-4:00 | 534 | 89 | 21 | 6 | 28 | 8 | 686 |
| 4:00-4:30 | 592 | 122 | 19 | 5 | 12 | 11 | 761 |
| 4:30-5:00 | 581 | 73 | 11 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 688 |
| 5:00-5:30 | 536 | 87 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 7 | 652 |
| 5:30-6:00 | 521 | 50 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 594 |
| 6:00-6:30 | 433 | 56 | 10 | 4 | 17 | 6 | 526 |
| 6:30-7:00 | 348 | 35 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 406 |
| Subtotal | 20,949 | 1,750 | 463 | 101 | 452 | 156 | 13,871 |
| Percentage | 79.0 | 12.6 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 100 |



APPENDIX D (cont.)
NORTHBOUND LANE

| NORTHBOUND LANE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hours Cars | Pickups 2-Axle 3-Axle <br> छ Vans | TT Other Total |

August 25, 1976, A.M.

| $7: 00-7: 30$ | 392 | 76 | 26 | 3 | 19 | 6 | 522 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7: 30-8: 00$ | 476 | 82 | 27 | 1 | 18 | 6 | 610 |
| $8: 00-8: 30$ | 444 | 81 | 36 | 8 | 19 | 3 | 591 |
| $8: 30-9: 00$ | 535 | 104 | 30 | 6 | 25 | 5 | 705 |
| $9: 00-9: 30$ | 560 | 87 | 37 | 4 | 26 | 4 | 718 |
| $9: 30-10: 00$ | 560 | 87 | 26 | 7 | 26 | 6 | 712 |
| $10: 00-10: 30$ | 750 | 115 | 46 | 6 | 41 | 4 | 962 |
| $10: 30-11: 00$ | 568 | 84 | 19 | 2 | 23 | 3 | 699 |
| $11: 00-11: 30$ | 542 | 87 | 20 | 3 | 36 | 9 | 697 |
| $11: 30-12: 00$ | 483 | 78 | 23 | 8 | 27 | 3 | 622 |
| P.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12: 00-12: 30$ | 471 | 65 | 17 |  | 1 | 29 | 5 |
| $12: 30-1: 00$ | 480 | 69 | 26 | 1 | 28 | 1 | 688 |
| $1: 00-1: 30$ | 432 | 70 | 19 | 8 | 18 | 3 | 550 |

August 24, 1976, P.M.

| $1: 30-2: 00$ | 413 | 56 | 31 | 4 | 26 | 11 | 541 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2: 00-$ | $2: 30$ | 477 | 72 | 24 | 4 | 18 | 7 | 602 |
| $2: 30-$ | $3: 00$ | 415 | 66 | 13 | 3 | 28 | 4 | 529 |
| $3: 00-$ | $3: 30$ | 500 | 74 | 21 | 6 | 21 | 14 | 636 |
| $3: 30-$ | $4: 00$ | 580 | 102 | 25 | 4 | 21 | 16 | 748 |
| $4: 00-$ | $4: 30$ | 534 | 95 | 20 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 681 |
| $4: 30-$ | $5: 00$ | 603 | 87 | 19 | 1 | 9 | 12 | 731 |
| $5: 00-$ | $5: 30$ | 534 | 50 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 608 |
| $5: 30-$ | $6: 00$ | 515 | 48 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 596 |
| $6: 00-$ | $6: 30$ | 451 | 58 | 6 | 2 | 12 | 8 | 537 |
| $5: 30-7: 00$ | 394 | 53 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 470 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 79.4 | 12.1 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 100 |  |

APPENDIX $C$ (cont.)
SOUTHBOUND LANE

| Hour Cars | Pickups 2-Axle 3-Axle TT Other Total <br> E Vans |
| :---: | :--- |

August 25, 1976, A. M.

| $7: 00-7: 30$ | 333 | 61 | 12 | 3 | 16 | 4 | 429 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7: 30-8: 00$ | 406 | 57 | 8 | 4 | 22 | 10 | 507 |
| $8: 00-8: 30$ | 345 | 61 | 22 | 5 | 21 | 1 | 455 |
| $8: 30-9: 00$ | 357 | 52 | 16 | 4 | 13 | 2 | 444 |
| $9: 00-9: 30$ | 346 | 53 | 25 | 3 | 17 | 3 | 447 |
| $9: 30-10: 00$ | 398 | 58 | 19 | 7 | 37 | 4 | 523 |
| $10: 00-10: 30$ | 382 | 44 | 16 | 5 | 21 | 1 | 469 |
| $10: 30-11: 00$ | 356 | 55 | 16 | 4 | 25 | 6 | 462 |
| $11: 00-11: 30$ | 368 | 68 | 19 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 470 |
| $11: 30-12: 00$ | 408 | 65 | 15 | 2 | 18 | 5 | 513 |
| P.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12: 00-12: 30$ | 483 | 68 | 27 | 5 | 19 | 5 | 607 |
| $12: 30-1: 00$ | 464 | 79 | 24 | 7 | 24 | 8 | 606 |
| $1: 00-1: 30$ | 651 | 105 | 31 | 5 | 33 | 7 | 832 |

August 24, 1976, P.M.

| $1: 30-2: 00$ | 445 | 71 | 22 | 7 | 31 | 3 | 579 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2: 00-$ | $2: 30$ | 462 | 80 | 31 | 4 | 18 | 8 | 603 |
| $2: 30-$ | $3: 00$ | 479 | 74 | 37 | 4 | 22 | 6 | 622 |
| $3: 00-$ | $3: 30$ | 486 | 89 | 37 | 6 | 32 | 8 | 658 |
| $3: 30-$ | $4: 00$ | 467 | 106 | 35 | 1 | 17 | 11 | 637 |
| $4: 00-$ | $4: 30$ | 667 | 103 | 51 | 5 | 15 | 16 | 857 |
| $4: 30-$ | $5: 00$ | 800 | 119 | 33 | 4 | 17 | 19 | 992 |
| $5: 00-$ | $5: 30$ | 656 | 86 | 25 | 4 | 22 | 7 | 800 |
| $5: 30-$ | $6: 00$ | 549 | 60 | 28 | 1 | 14 | 10 | 662 |
| $6: 00-$ | $6: 30$ | 531 | 53 | 15 | 3 | 10 | 11 | 623 |
| $6: 30-$ | $7: 00$ | 428 | 50 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 497 |
| Subtotal | 11,267 | 1,717 | 572 | 100 | 481 | 157 | 14,294 |  |
| Percentage | 78.8 | 12.0 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 100 |  |
| Total | 23,376 | 3,563 | 1,107 | 191 | 993 | 324 | 29,554 |  |
| Percentage | 79.1 | 12.1 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 100 |  |

APPENDIX D (cont.)

## NORTHBOUND LANE

| Hour | Cars | Pickups <br> G Vans | 2-Axle | $3-A x l e$ | TT | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 21,1976 A.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00-7:30 | 381 | 70 | 27 | 1 | 15 | 7 | 501 |
| 7:30-8:00 | 443 | 74 | 40 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 574 |
| 8:00-8:30 | 383 | 56 | 27 | 3 | 20 | 2 | 491 |
| 8:30-9:00 | 393 | 73 | 36 | 2 | 19 | 5 | 528 |
| 9:00-9:30 | 410 | 76 | 32 | 3 | 37 | 2 | 560 |
| 9:30-10:00 | 402 | 72 | 27 | 4 | 48 | 2 | 555 |
| 10:00-10:30 | 386 | 58 | 29 | 3 | 28 | 1 | 505 |
| 10:30-11:00 | 400 | 70 | 26 | 5 | 25 | 2 | 528 |
| 11:00-11:30 | 389 | 51 | 29 | 3 | 32 | 1 | 504 |
| 11:30-12:00 | 323 | 45 | 10 | 4 | 23 | 3 | 408 |
| P.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00-12:30 | 296 | 55 | 16 | 5 | 21 | 3 | 396 |
| 12:30-1:00 | 395 | 56 | 15 | 5 | 27 | 7 | 505 |
| 1:00-1:30 | 310 | 50 | 19 | 1 | 22 | 2 | 404 |
| 1:30-2:00 | 328 | 71 | 14 | 5 | 27 | 5 | 448 |
| 2:00-2:30 | 344 | 58 | 13 | 4 | 18 | 6 | 443 |
| 2:30-3:00 | 333 | 48 | 12 | 3 | 21 | 6 | 423 |
| 3:00-3:30 | 465 | 65 | 22 | 2 | 30 | 4 | 588 |
| 3:30-4:00 | 468 | 103 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 6 | 609 |
| 4:00-4:30 | 498 | 74 | 20 | 7 | 13 | 11 | 623 |
| 4:30-5:00 | 550 | 68 | 16 | 4 | 20 | 2 | 660 |
| 5:00-5:30 | 501 | 67 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 583 |
| 5:30-6:00 | 435 | 40 | 7 | 2 | 14 | 7 | 505 |
| 6:00-6:30 | 327 | 33 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 378 |
| 6:30-7:00 | 279 | 53 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 352 |
| Subtotal | 9,437 | 1,486 | 472 | 72 | 511 | 93 | 12,071 |
| Percentage | 78.2 | 12.3 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 100 |

D-5

| SOUTHBOUND LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Houn | Cars | Pickups <br> \& Vans | 2-Axle | 3-Axle | TT | Other | Total |
| September 21,1976, A.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00-7:30 | 551 | 74 | 13 | 5 | 33 | 7 | 683 |
| 7:30-8:00 | 440 | 60 | 14 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 531 |
| 8:00-8:30 | 396 | 36 | 16 | 3 | 26 | 1 | 478 |
| 8:30-9:00 | 406 | 50 | 24 | 5 | 21 | 0 | 506 |
| 9:00-9:30 | 309 | 42 | 22 | 3 | 30 | 5 | 411 |
| 9:30-10:00 | 333 | 57 | 15 | 1 | 38 | 3 | 447 |
| 10:00-10:30 | 331 | 45 | 20 | 1 | 27 | 3 | 427 |
| 10:30-11:00 | 307 | 51 | 18 | 1 | 27 | 9 | 413 |
| 11:00-11:30 | 294 | 56 | 16 | 2 | 24 | 1 | 393 |
| 11:30-12:00 | 298 | 47 | 29 | 3 | 25 | 8 | 410 |
| P.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00-12:30 | 312 | 49 | 25 | 3 | 23 | 4 | 416 |
| 12:30-1:00 | 334 | 59 | 25 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 440 |
| 1:00-1:30 | 319 | 55 | 20 | 4 | 19 | 2 | 419 |
| 1:30-2:00 | 344 | 48 | 38 | 4 | 24 | 3 | 461 |
| 2:00-2:30 | 411 | 71 | 30 | 5 | 29 | 1 | 547 |
| 2:30-3:00 | 400 | 87 | 32 | 5 | 20 | 5 | 549 |
| 3:00-3:30 | 444 | 89 | 40 | 0 | 33 | 2 | 608 |
| 3:30-4:00 | 496 | 89 | 46 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 650 |
| 4:00-4:30 | 591 | 91 | 28 | 6 | 20 | 4 | 740 |
| 4:30-5:00 | 677 | 116 | 37 | 3 | 24 | 12 | 869 |
| 5:00-5:30 | 577 | 60 | 20 | 1 | 18 | 8 | 684 |
| 5:30-6:00 | 457 | 57 | 19 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 547 |
| 6:00-6:30 | 471 | 49 | 15 | 1 | 19 | 3 | 558 |
| 6:30-7:00 | 370 | 28 | 11 | 1 | 16 | 2 | 428 |
| Subtotal | 9,868 | 1,466 | 573 | 64 | 551 | 93 | 12,615 |
| Percentage | 78.2 | 11.6 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 4.4 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Total | 19,305 | 3,952 | 1,045 | 1361 | 1,062 | 186 | 24,686 |
| Percentage | 78.2 | 11.9 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 100 |

D-6

APPENDIX D (cont.)

## NORTHBOUND LANE

| Hour | Cars | Pickups <br> \& Vans | 2-Axle | 3-Axle | TT | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 18, 1976, A.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:30-8:00 | 524 | 62 | 24 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 625 |
| 8:00-8:30 | 314 | 56 | 23 | 5 | 19 | 4 | 421 |
| 8:30-9:00 | 332 | 64 | 20 | 4 | 24 | 2 | 446 |
| 9:00-9:30 | 278 | 73 | 15 | 2 | 39 | 1 | 408 |
| 9:30-10:00 | 359 | 68 | 29 | 2 | 46 | 5 | 509 |
| 10:00-10:30 | 353 | 62 | 39 | 5 | 32 | 0 | 491 |
| 10:30-11:00 | 294 | 48 | 23 | 1 | 21 | 2 | 389 |
| 11:00-11:30 | 297 | 53 | 19 | 4 | 40 | 2 | 415 |
| 11:30-12:00 | 292 | 56 | 23 | 5 | 31 | 1 | 408 |
| P.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:00-1:30 | 309 | 42 | 17 | 4 | 28 | 2 | . 402 |
| 1:30-2:00 | 292 | 43 | 15 | 2 | 19 | 2 | 373 |
| 2:00-2:30 | 334 | 45 | 14 | 3 | 25 | 3 | 425 |
| 2:30-3:00 | 342 | 53 | 23 | 4 | 19 | 1 | 442 |
| 3:00-3:30 | 434 | 64 | 10 | 1 | 19 | 5 | 533 |
| 3:30-4:00 | 428 | 84 | 20 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 553 |
| 4:00-4:30 | 450 | 59 | 16 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 536 |
| 4:30-5:00 | 415 | 41 | 7 | 2 | 15 | 2 | 482 |
| 5:00-5:30 | 382 | 56 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 464 |
| 5:30-6:00 | 367 | 38 | 6 | 2 | 20 | 2 | 435 |
| 6:00-6:30 | 244 | 30 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 293 |
| Subtotal | 7,040 | 1,098 | 354 | 48 | 459 | 51 | 9,050 |
| Percentage | 77.8 | 12.1 | 3.9 | 0.5 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 100 |

APPENDIX D (cont.)


October 18, 1976, A.M.

| 7:30-8:00 | 488 | 49 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 561 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8:00-8:30 | 400 | 48 | 9 | 0 | 24 | , | 481 |
| 8:30-9:00 | 307 | 50 | 13 | 0 | 18 | 5 | 393 |
| 9:00-9:30 | 271 | 37 | 11 | 4 | 17 | 1 | 341 |
| 9:30-10:00 | 272 | 38 | 14 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 353 |
| 10:00-10:30 | 268 | 49 | 13 | 2 | 25 | 1 | 358 |
| 10:30-11:00 | 252 | 51 | 15 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 343 |
| 11:00-11:30 | 263 | 44 | 14 | 3 | 29 | 1 | 354 |
| 11:30-12:00 | 259 | 41 | 18 | 2 | 33 | 3 | 356 |
| P.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:00-1:30 | 248 | 28 | 12 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 310 |
| 1:30-2:00 | 272 | 42 | 29 | 1 | 26 | 2 | 372 |
| 2:00-2:30 | 316 | 58 | 36 | 4 | 25 | 1 | 440 |
| 2:30-3:00 | 355 | 62 | 31 | 0 | 29 | 2 | 479 |
| 3:00-3:30 | 393 | 60 | 24 | 4 | 32 | 4 | 517 |
| 3:30-4:00 | 422 | 79 | 37 | 0 | 23 | 7 | 568 |
| 4:00-4:30 | 523 | 87 | 27 | 0 | 21 | 3 | 661 |
| 4:30-5:00 | 556 | 90 | 23 | 2 | 26 | 9 | 706 |
| 5:00-5:30 | 497 | 62 | 19 | 5 | 21 | 4 | 608 |
| 5:30-6:00 | 370 | 39 | 14 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 441 |
| 6:00-6:30 | 309 | 37 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 364 |
| Subtotal | 7,041 | I,051 | 378 | 32 | 449 | 55 | 9,006 |
| Percentage | 78.2 | 11.7 | 4.2 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 100 |
| Total | 14,081 | 2,149 | 732 | 8.0 | 908 | 106 | 18,056 |
| Percentage | 78.0 | 11.9 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 5.1 | 0.6 | 100 |

D-8

```
APPENDIX D (cont.)
```

SOUTHBOUND LANE

| Hour | Cars | Pickups $\varepsilon$ Vans | 2-Axle | 3-Axle | TT | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March 15, 1977, P.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:00-2:15 | 181 | 38 | 13 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 244 |
| 2:15-2:30 | 185 | 38 | 16 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 260 |
| 2:30-2:45 | 200 | 27 | 16 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 256 |
| 2:45-3:00 | 194 | 37 | 19 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 265 |
| 3:00-3:15 | 221 | 45 | 20 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 295 |
| 3:15-3:30 | 197 | 40 | 16 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 268 |
| 3:30-3:45 | 255 | 40 | 17 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 322 |
| 3:45-4:00 | 289 | 73 | 22 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 396 |
| 4:00-4:15 | 270 | 59 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 3 | 366 |
| 4:15-4:30 | 284 | 49 | 12 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 356 |
| 4:30-4:45 | 376 | 57 | 17 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 470 |
| 4:45-5:00 | 325 | 48 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 396 |
| 5:00-5:15 | 290 | 41 | 12 | 1 | 22 | 3 | 369 |
| 5:15-5:30 | 263 | 34 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 314 |
| 5:30-5:45 | 256 | 31 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 303 |
| 5:45-6:00 | 216 | 32 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 267 |
| Subtotal | 4,002 | 687 | 229 | 14 | 172 | 43 | 5,147 |
| Percentage | 77.8 | 13.3 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 |

## 0388



| Hour | Cars | Pickups \& Vans | 2-Axle | 3-AxIe | TT | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March 15, 1977, P.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:00-2:14 | 174 | 30 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 225 |
| 2:15-2:30 | 179 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 235 |
| 2:30-2:45 | 162 | 30 | 10 | 2 | 18 | 5 | 227 |
| 2:45-3:00 | 238 | 33 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 294 |
| 3:00-3:15 | 238 | 35 | 16 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 307 |
| 3:15-3:30 | 246 | 42 | 9 | 2 | 17 | 8 | 324 |
| 3:30-3:45 | 243 | 53 | 9 | 1 | 18 | 5 | 329 |
| 3:45-4:00 | 304 | 56 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 378 |
| 4:00-4:15 | 261 | 52 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 336 |
| 4:15-4:30 | 271 | 45 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 341 |
| 4:30-4:45 | 293 | 44 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 356 |
| 4:45-5:00 | 283 | 38 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 340 |
| 5:00-5:15 | 313 | 49 | 10 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 388 |
| 5:15-5:30 | 214 | 25 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 255 |
| 5:30-5:45 | 250 | 31 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 298 |
| 5:45-6:00 | 197 | 31 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 243 |
| Subtotal | 3,866 | 622 | 121 | 33 | 178 | 56 | 4,876 |
| Percentage | 79.3 | 12.8 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 3.7 | I. 1 | 100 |

```
APPENDIX D (cont.)
```

NORTHBOUND LANE

| Hour | Cars | Pickups <br> E Vans | 2-Axle | 3-Axle | TT | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March 16, 1977, A.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00-7:15 | 204 | 41 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 263 |
| 7:15-7:30 | 193 | 31 | 13 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 254 |
| 7:30-7:45 | 222 | 40 | 17 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 286 |
| 7:45-8:00 | 265 | 42 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 333 |
| 8:00-8:15 | 181 | 26 | 11 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 227 |
| 8:15-8:30 | 172 | 30 | 21 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 237 |
| 8:30-8:45 | 192 | 43 | 14 | 2 | 22 | 2 | 275 |
| 8:45-9:00 | 195 | 47 | 14 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 273 |
| 9:00-9:15 | 183 | 32 | 22 | 2 | 21 | 1 | 261 |
| 9:15-9:30 | 178 | 38 | 18 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 249 |
| 9:30-9:45 | 189 | 39 | 17 | 3 | 21 | 2 | 271 |
| 9:45-10:00 | 192 | 38 | 13 | 2 | 23 | 2 | 270 |
| 10:00-10:15 | 155 | 24 | 12 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 205 |
| 10:15-10:30 | 172 | 26 | 12 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 235 |
| 10:30-10:45 | 172 | 29 | 9 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 229 |
| 10:45-11:00 | 175 | 27 | 12 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 233 |
| 11:00-11:15 | 176 | 34 | 8 | 2 | 20 | 1 | 241 |
| 11:15-11:30 | 181 | 24 | 16 | 4 | 21 | 1 | 247 |
| 11:30-11:45 | 177 | 18 | 15 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 225 |
| 11:45-12:00 | 153 | 30 | 13 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 210 |
| Subtotal | 3,727 | 659 | 277 | 34 | 297 | 30 | 5,024 |
| Percentage | 74.2 | 13.1 | 5.5 | 0.7 | 5.9 | 0.6 | 100 |
| Total | 15,394 | 2,556 | 800 | 102 | 906 | 157 | 19,915 |
| Percentage | 77.3 | 12.8 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 4.6 | 0.8 | 100 |


| NORTHBOUND LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | Cars | Pickups $\varepsilon$ Vans | 2-Axle | 3-Axle | TT | Other | Total |
| May 17, 1977, A.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00-7:15 | 221 | 38 | 14 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 286 |
| 7:15-7:30 | 231 | 43 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 303 |
| 7:30-7:45 | 257 | 53 | 17 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 340 |
| 7:45-8:00 | 249 | 29 | 15 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 304 |
| 8:00-8:15 | 194 | 30 | 25 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 268 |
| 8:15-8:30 | 169 | 33 | 16 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 238 |
| 8:30-8:45 | 172 | 40 | 23 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 247 |
| 8:45-9:00 | 197 | 40 | 20 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 278 |
| 9:00-9:15 | 194 | 34 | 14 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 262 |
| 9:15-9:30 | 234 | 53 | 20 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 323 |
| 9:30-9:45 | 230 | 30 | 14 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 296 |
| 9:45-10:00 | 202 | 42 | 17 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 280 |
| 10:15-10:30 | 196 | 31 | 10 | 2 | 15 | 2 | 256 |
| 10:30-10:45 | 174 | 35 | 10 | 2 | 17 | 1 | 240 |
| 10:45-11:00 | 165 | 17 | 10 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 205 |
| 11:00-11:15 | 184 | 34 | 13 | 5 | 17 | 3 | 257 |
| 11:15-11:30 | 195 | 28 | 11 | 1 | 21 | 6 | 262 |
| May 16, 1977, P.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:00-2:15 | 178 | 37 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 8 | 247 |
| 2:15-2:30 | 219 | 34 | 7 | 2 | 19 | 2 | 283 |
| 2:30-2:45 | 216 | 24 | 8 | 7 | 17 | 7 | 279 |
| 2:45-3:00 | 193 | 36 | 8 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 256 |
| 3:00-3:15 | 229 | 40 | 10 | 1 | 12 | 9 | 301 |
| 3:15-3:30 | 264 | 42 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 328 |
| 3:30-3:45 | 282 | 41 | 7 | 1 | 20 | 3 | 354 |
| 4:00-4:15 | 283 | 52 | 11 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 382 |
| 4:15-4:30 | 315 | 56 | 7 | 2 | 11 | 8 | 399 |
| 4:30-4:45 | 334 | 39 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 6 | 404 |
| 4:45-5:00 | 305 | 39 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 366 |
| 5:00-5:15 | 276 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 328 |
| 5:15-5:30 | 282 | 43 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 336 |
| Subtotal | 6,840 | 1,131 | 354 | 53 | 398 | 112 | 8,888 |
| Percentage | 77.1 | 12.8 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 4.4 | I. 2 | 100 |

```
APPENDIX D (Cont.)
```


## SOUTHBOUND LANE

| Hour | Cars | Pickups <br> $\varepsilon$ Vans | 2-AxIe | 3-Axle | TT | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

May 17,1977, A.M.

| $7: 00-7: 15$ | 221 | 43 | 4 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 286 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7: 15-$ | $7: 30$ | 271 | 47 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| $7: 30-7: 45$ | 304 | 34 | 9 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 367 |
| $7: 45-8: 00$ | 218 | 32 | 12 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 285 |
| $8: 00-8: 15$ | 212 | 25 | 5 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 257 |
| $8: 15-$ | $8: 30$ | 181 | 29 | 9 | 8 | 16 | 0 |
| $8: 30-8: 45$ | 196 | 19 | 6 | 3 | 12 | 10 | 243 |
| $8: 45-9: 00$ | 196 | 25 | 6 | 3 | 18 | 1 | 249 |
| $9: 00-9: 15$ | 178 | 38 | 9 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 243 |
| $9: 15-$ | $9: 30$ | 173 | 25 | 7 | 1 | 11 | 2 |
| $9: 30-$ | $9: 45$ | 173 | 25 | 11 | 4 | 13 | 3 |
| $9: 45-10: 00$ | 167 | 26 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 229 |  |
| $10: 45-11: 00$ | 146 | 34 | 9 | 2 | 20 | 3 | 218 |
| $11: 00-11: 15$ | 168 | 23 | 12 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 2155 |
| $11: 15-11: 30$ | 177 | 47 | 14 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 257 |

May 16, 1977, P.M.

| 2:00-2:15 | 215 | 31 | 15 | 5 | 24 | 4 | 294 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2: 15-2: 30$ | 195 | 42 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 261 |
| 2:30-2:45 | 203 | 36 | 10 | 3 | 13 | 7 | 272 |
| $2: 45-3: 00$ | 168 | 71 | 15 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 266 |
| 3:00-3:15 | 242 | 43 | 14 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 314 |
| 3:15-3:30 | 189 | 51 | 16 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 274 |
| 3:30-3:45 | 220 | 59 | 21 | 1 | 16 | 2 | 319 |
| 3:45-4:00 | 280 | 66 | 18 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 385 |
| 4:00-4:15 | 287 | 53 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 364 |
| 4:45-5:00 | 303 | 57 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 381 |
| 5:00-5:15 | 294 | 43 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 360 |
| 5:15-5:30 | 253 | 40 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 319 |
| Subtotal | 5,830 | 1,065 | 284 | 65 | 330 | 101 | 7,675 |
| Percentage | 76.0 | 13.9 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Total | 12,670 | 2,196 | 638 | 118 | 728 | 213 | 16,563 |
| Percentage | 76.5 | 13.2 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 4.4 | 1.3 | 100 |

## APPENDIX E

OCCUPANCY VOLUMES
HAMPTON ROADS BRIDGE-TUNNEL
NORTHBOUND LANE

| Hour | Vehicles With the Following Number of Occupants |  |  |  |  | Total Vehicles | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Occu- } \\ & \text { pancy } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $>5$ |  |  |

July 16, 1976, A.M.

| 7:05-7:20 | 162 | 57 | 10 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 247 | 1.57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:35-7:50 | 191 | 59 | 19 | 13 | 7 | 12 | 301 | 1.74 |
| 8:05-8:20 | 142 | 63 | 36 | 21 | 6 | 12 | 280 | 2.01 |
| 8:35-8:50 | 142 | 71 | 27 | 27 | 14 | 15 | 296 | 2.14 |
| 9:05-9:20 | 159 | 111 | 38 | 38 | 10 | 13 | 369 | 2.10 |
| 9:35-9:50 | 132 | 106 | 40 | 33 | 14 | 14 | 339 | 2.21 |
| 10:05-10:20 | 131 | 87 | 37 | 32 | 17 | 9 | 313 | 2.19 |
| 10:35-10:50 |  |  | BREAK |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:05-11:20 | 138 | 98 | 38 | 26 | 14 | 15 | 329 | 2.16 |
| 11:35-11:50 | 162 | 101 | 35 | 31 | 12 | 12 | 353 | 2.05 |
| 12:05-12:20 | 131 | 78 | 25 | 33 | 14 | 6 | 287 | 2.09 |
| 12:35-12:50 | 152 | 117 | 34 | 26 | 15 | 16 | 360 | 2.12 |
| Subtotal | 1,642 | 948 | 339 | 291 | 127 | 127 | 3,474 | 2.05 |

July 15, 1976, P.M.

| $2: 05-$ | $2: 20$ | 118 | 93 | 31 | 20 | 4 | 10 | 276 | 2.02 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2: 35-$ | $2: 50$ | 123 | 103 | 21 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 272 | 1.89 |
| $3: 05-$ | $3: 20$ | 134 | 89 | 32 | 30 | 7 | 12 | 304 | 2.09 |
| $3: 35-$ | $3: 50$ | 144 | 103 | 34 | 21 | 5 | 9 | 316 | 1.95 |
| $4: 05-$ | $4: 20$ | 161 | 114 | 33 | 27 | 7 | 9 | 351 | 1.95 |
| $4: 35-$ | $4: 50$ | 165 | 76 | 30 | 25 | 7 | 4 | 307 | 1.84 |
| $5: 35-$ | $5: 50$ | 161 | 77 | 29 | 24 | 13 | 8 | 312 | 1.96 |
| $6: 05-$ | $6: 20$ | 138 | 50 | 30 | 25 | 4 | 6 | 253 | 1.91 |
| $6: 35-$ | $6: 50$ | 101 | 60 | 22 | 14 | 6 | 7 | 210 | 1.98 |
| Subtotal | 1,245 | 765 | 262 | 197 | 59 | 73 | 2,601 | 1.95 |  |
| Total |  | 2,887 | 1,713 | 601 | 488 | 186 | 200 | 6,075 | 2.01 |

$$
E-1
$$
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> SOUTHBOUND LANE

| Hour | Vehicles with the Following <br> Number of Occupants | Total <br> M Vehicles pancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

July 16, 1976, A.M.

| $7: 05-$ | $7: 20$ | 140 | 34 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 187 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7: 35-9: 50$ | 161 | 33 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 1.37 |  |  |
| $8: 05-9: 20$ | 142 | 41 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 209 | 1.34 |
| $8: 35-$ | $8: 50$ | 124 | 40 | 16 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 196 |
| $9: 10-48$ | 1.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $9: 35-25$ | 112 | 58 | 18 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 208 | 1.75 |
| $10: 05-10: 20$ | 90 | 60 | 19 | 16 | 10 | 4 | 199 | 2.04 |
| $11: 05-11: 20$ | 88 | 58 | 26 | 16 | 6 | 11 | 205 | 2.16 |
| $11: 35-11: 50$ | 70 | 64 | 33 | 15 | 14 | 9 | 220 | 2.25 |
| $12: 05-12: 20$ | 92 | 77 | 37 | 20 | 5 | 6 | 205 | 2.22 |
| $12: 35-12: 50$ | 104 | 92 | 24 | 30 | 8 | 7 | 233 | 2.15 |
| Subtotal | 1,208 | 619 | 217 | 152 | 69 | 50 | $2,315$. | 1.88 |

July 15, 1976, P.M.

| 2:05-2:20 | 96 | 90 | 17 | 16 | 2 | 2 | 223 | 1.95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2:35-2:50 | 106 | 66 | 17 | 21 | 5 | 3 | 218 | 1.91 |
| 3:05-3:20 | 133 | 100 | 31 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 275 | 1.72 |
| 3:35-3:50 | 145 | 67 | 25 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 257 | 1.75 |
| 4:05-4:20 | 121 | 65 | 23 | 12 | 4 | 6 | 231 | 1.84 |
| 4:35-4:50 | 128 | 79 | 34 | 18 | 9 | 8 | 276 | 2.04 |
| 5:35-5:50 | 136 | 74 | 27 | 16 | 12 | 6 | 271 | 1.94 |
| 6:05-6:20 | 108 | 61 | 31 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 250 | 2.31 |
| 6:35-6:50 | 65 | 55 | 25 | 22 | 8 | 7 | 183 | 2.36 |
| Subtotal | 1,039 | 657 | 230 | 147 | 57 | 54 | 2,184 | 1.92 |
| Southbound Total | 2,247 | 1,276 | 447 | 299 | 126 | 104 | 4,499 | 1.91 |
| Northbound Total | 2,887 | 1,713 | 601 | 488 | 186 | 200 | 6,075 | 2.01 |
| Total Both Directions | 5,134 | 2,989 | ,048 | 787 | 312 | 304 | 10,574 | $1.9 E$ |

APPENDIX E (cont.)
NORTHBOUND LANE

| Hour | Vehicles with the Following Number of Occupants |  |  |  |  | Total Vehicles | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $>5$ |  | Rate |

August 25, 1976 A.M.

| $7: 15-$ | $7: 30$ | 172 | 41 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 232 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7: 35-$ | $7: 50$ | 172 | 42 | 18 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 247 |
| $8: 10-51.53$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $8: 48-$ | $8: 55$ | 192 | 63 | 25 | 15 | 8 | 6 | 309 |
| $9: 13-$ | $9: 28$ | 122 | 53 | 22 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 231 |
| $9: 40-9: 55$ | 141 | 116 | 32 | 32 | 17 | 17 | 374 | 2.99 |
| $10: 05-10: 15$ | 137 | 101 | 34 | 34 | 19 | 12 | 331 | 2.20 |
| $10: 35-10: 45$ | 90 | 72 | 25 | 32 | 7 | 18 | 341 | 2.19 |
| $11: 10-11: 20$ | 88 | 67 | 23 | 17 | 15 | 9 | 234 | 2.26 |
| $11: 40-11: 50$ | 76 | 82 | 26 | 19 | 11 | 12 | 216 | 2.19 |
| Subtotal | 1,350 | 728 | 261 | 201 | 103 | 98 | 2,741 | 2.00 |

August 24, 1976 , P.M.

| $1: 30-1: 45$ | 130 | 75 | 31 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 261 | 1.87 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2: 05-$ | $2: 20$ | 118 | 57 | 27 | 26 | 6 | 7 | 241 | 2.03 |
| $2: 30-$ | $2: 45$ | 120 | 88 | 26 | 10 | 11 | 5 | 260 | 1.92 |
| $3: 18-$ | $3: 30$ | 114 | 76 | 22 | 17 | 8 | 1 | 238 | 1.87 |
| $4: 00-$ | $4: 15$ | 179 | 106 | 37 | 15 | 6 | 8 | 351 | 1.82 |
| $4: 40-$ | $5: 00$ | 206 | 92 | 37 | 18 | 11 | 2 | 366 | 1.75 |
| $5: 05-$ | $5: 15$ | 130 | 63 | 19 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 226 | 1.66 |
| $5: 48-$ | $6: 00$ | 105 | 61 | 23 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 201 | 1.74 |
| $6: 00-$ | $6: 15$ | 139 | 83 | 25 | 24 | 8 | 6 | 285 | 1.94 |
| $6: 30-6: 45$ | 104 | 67 | 24 | 18 | 8 | 7 | 228 | 2.04 |  |
| Subtotal | 1,345 | 768 | 271 | 160 | 70 | 43 | 2,657 | 1.86 |  |
| Northbound |  | 2,695 | 1,496 | 532 | 361 | 173 | 141 | 5,398 | 1.93 |

APPENDIX E (cont.)
SOUTHBOUND LANE

| Hour | Vehicles with the Following Number of Occupants |  |  |  |  |  | Total Vehicles | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Occu- } \\ & \text { pancy } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | >5 |  |  |
| August 25, 1976, A.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00-7:15 | 165 | 33 | 10 |  | 3 | 0 | 214 | 1.32 |
| 7:35-7:50 | 202 | 33 | 19 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 265 | 1. 44 |
| 8:05-8:20 | 163 | 45 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 222 | 1.40 |
| 8:35-8:50 | 146 | 51 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 216 | 1. 48 |
| 9:05-9:20 | 130 | 50 | 16 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 221 | 1.75 |
| 9:40-9:55 | 120 | 66 | 33 | 26 | 12 | 4 | 261 | 2.06 |
| 10:18-10:28 | 71 | 54 | 17 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 155 | 1.85 |
| 10:58-11:08 | 74 | 35 | 17 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 142 | 1.87 |
| 11:25-11:40 | 114 | 53 | 28 | 18 | 23 | 9 | 245 | 2.22 |
| Subtotal | 1,185 | 420 | 157 | 98 | 55 | 27 | 1,942 | 1.71 |

August 24, 1976, P.M.

| 1:35-1:50 | 128 | 90 | 32 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 272 | 1.89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2:05-2:20 | 135 | 80 | 27 | 23 | 10 | 6 | 281 | 1.97 |
| 2:50-3:00 | 88 | 56 | 24 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 189 | 1.95 |
| 3:00-3:15 | 149 | 96 | 29 | 22 | 12 | 6 | 314 | 1.95 |
| 3:50-4:00 | 122 | 63 | 15 | 18 | 7 | 3 | 228 | 1.83 |
| 4:10-4:25 | 244 | 113 | 38 | 19 | 16 | 15 | 445 | 1.86 |
| 4:40-4:55 | 251 | 131 | 44 | 37 | 12 | 12 | 487 | 1.90 |
| 5:15-5:25 | 144 | 56 | 26 | 14 | 4 | 8 | 252 | 1.82 |
| 5:40-5:55 | 169 | 84 | 31 | 15 | 4 | 5 | 308 | 1.75 |
| 6:10-6:25 | 116 | 102 | 28 | 23 | 4 | 13 | 286 | 2.08 |
| 6:30-6:50 | 114 | 74 | 25 | 22 | 5 | 5 | 245 | 1.95 |
| Subtotal | 1,660 | 945 | 319 | 219 | 83 | 81 | 3,307 | 1.90 |
| Southbound Total | 2,845 | 1,365 | 476 | 317 | 138 | 108 | 5,249 | 1.83 |
| Northbound Total | 2,695 | 1,496 | 532 | 361 | 173 | 141 | 5,398 | 1.93 |
| Total Both Directions | 5,540 | 2,861 | 1,008 | 678 | 311 | 249 | 10,647 | 1.88 |

NORTHBOUND LANE


Sept. 21, 1976, A.M.

| $7: 00-7: 15$ | 191 |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $7: 30-$ | $7: 45$ | 225 |
| $8: 00-8: 15$ | 201 |  |
| $8: 30-8: 45$ | 159 |  |
| $9: 00-9: 15$ | 172 |  |
| $9: 30-9: 45$ | 149 |  |
| $10: 00-10: 15$ | 139 |  |
| $10: 30-10: 45$ | 130 |  |
| $11: 15-11: 30$ | 144 |  |
| $11: 35-11: 50$ | 117 |  |
| P.M. |  |  |


| 10 | 6 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 16 | 2 |
| 9 | 3 |
| 10 | 2 |
| 14 | 10 |
| 18 | 7 |
| 17 | 9 |
| 19 | 8 |
| 14 | 6 |
| 13 | 7 |

$247 \quad 1.39$
2931.32
2721.35
2331.41
2711.50
2711.60
2531.60

242 I. 64
$239 \quad 1.57$
P.M.

| $12: 00-12: 15$ | 98 | 78 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 192 | 1.61 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1: 15-$ | $1: 30$ | 111 | 81 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 213 |
| 1.61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1: 35-$ | $1: 50$ | 160 | 73 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 248 |
| $2: 00-$ | $2: 15$ | 133 | 59 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 206 |
| $2: 30-$ | $2: 45$ | 125 | 56 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 1.47 |  |
| $3: 00-$ | $3: 15$ | 142 | 69 | 13 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 241 |
| $3: 30-$ | $3: 45$ | 176 | 78 | 14 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 281 |
| $4: 00-$ | $4: 15$ | 176 | 71 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 269 |
| $4: 30-$ | $4: 45$ | 208 | 77 | 16 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 312 |
| $5: 00-50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $5: 5: 15$ | 200 | 86 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 315 | 1.46 |
| $5: 30-$ | $5: 40$ | 117 | 39 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 173 |
| $6: 00-$ | $6: 15$ | 104 | 52 | 14 | 11 | 1 | 77 |  |
| $6: 30-$ | $6: 45$ | 106 | 47 | 16 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 189 |
| 1.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 3,483 | 1,535 | 295 | 152 | 40 | 39 | 5,544 | 1.53 |

APPENDIX E (cont.)

## SOUTHBOUND LANE

| Hour | Vehicles with the Following Number of Occupants |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Vehicles } \end{aligned}$ | Occl panc Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $>5$ |  |  |
| 7:00-7:20 | 308 | 72 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 396 | I. $2 \varepsilon$ |
| 7:25-7:45 | 335 | 85 | 19 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 454 | 1.3¢ |
| 7:50-8:10 | 246 | 69 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 339 | 1.35 |
| 8:15-8:35 | 221 | 72 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 321 | 1.45 |
| 8:40-9:00 | 221 | 75 | 16 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 326 | 1.48 |
| 9:25-9:45 | 182 | 81 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 289 | 1.52 |
| 9:50-10:10 | 194 | 82 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 286 | 1.46 |
| 10:15-10:35 | 191 | 80 | 13 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 299 | 1. 59 |
| 10:40-11:00 | 162 | 89 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 265 | 1.47 |
| 11:20-11:40 | 156 | 71 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 254 | 1.5¢ |
| 11:45-12:05 | 160 | 81 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 261 | 1.50 |
| P.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:10-12:30 | 173 | 83 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 273 | 1.48 |
| 12:35-12:50 | 122 | 56 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 202 | 1. 55 |
| 1:15 - 1:35 | 172 | 98 | 19 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 295 | 1.52 |
| 1:40-2:00 | 179 | 98 | 25 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 315 | 1.61 |
| 2:05-2:25 | 231 | 104 | 16 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 364 | 1.5C |
| 2:30-2:50 | 222 | 101 | 14 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 354 | 1.5 \% |
| 3:00-3:20 | 244 | 99 | 18 | 14 | 7 | 6 | 388 | 1. 5 C |
| 3:25-3:45 | 253 | 109 | 30 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 411 | 1.57 |
| 3:50-4:10 | 268 | 142 | 30 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 461 | 1.61 |
| 4:15-4:35 | 296 | 143 | 37 | 16 | 4 | 10 | 506 | 1.65 |
| 4:55-5:15 | 290 | 112 | 32 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 449 | 1.52 |
| 5:25-5:45 | 227 | 94 | 21 | 20 | 4 | 2 | 368 | 1.60 |
| 6:00-6:15 | 160 | 63 | 12 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 249 | 1.53 |
| $6: 25-6: 45$ | 192 | 78 | 30 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 312 | 1.56 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southbound | 5,405 | 2,238 | 467 | 208 | 60 | 60 | 8,438 | 1.51 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound | 3,483 | 1,535 | 295 | 152 | 40 | 39 | 5,544 | 1.53 |
| Total Both Directions | 8,888 | 3,773 | 762 | 360 | 100 | 99 | 13,982 | 1.52 |

## 0399

APPENDIX E (cont.)
NORTHBOUND LANE

| Hour | Vehicles with the Following Number of Occupants |  |  |  |  |  | Total Vehicles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $>5$ |  |  |

January 14, 1977, A.M.

| $7: 00-7: 15$ | 170 | 41 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 229 | 1.39 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $7: 15-7: 30$ | 194 | 37 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 239 | 1.24 |
| $7: 30-7: 45$ | 237 | 44 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 296 | 1.29 |
| $7: 45-8: 00$ | 227 | 49 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 285 | 1.26 |
| $8: 00-8: 15$ | 171 | 48 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 235 | 1.38 |
| $8: 15-8: 30$ | 178 | 29 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 218 | 1.26 |
| $8: 30-8: 45$ | 188 | 47 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 246 | 1.29 |
| $8: 45-9: 00$ | 160 | 37 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 208 | 1.33 |
| Subtotal | 1,525 | 332 | 59 | 25 | 5 | 10 | 1,956 | 1.30 |

January 13, 1977 , P.M.

| $2: 00-2: 15$ | 127 | 42 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 188 | 1.46 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2: 15-2: 30$ | 140 | 50 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 209 | 1.48 |
| $2: 30-2: 45$ | 154 | 66 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 228 | 1.36 |
| $2: 45-3: 00$ | 168 | 55 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 1.38 |
| $3: 00-3: 15$ | 169 | 74 | 14 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 270 | 1.56 |
| $3: 15-3: 30$ | 156 | 51 | 20 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 233 | 1.47 |
| $3: 30-3: 45$ | 196 | 62 | 14 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 282 | 1.43 |
| $3: 45-4: 00$ | 219 | 74 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 308 | 1.37 |
| $4: 00-4: 15$ | 177 | 66 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 268 | 1.48 |
| $4: 15-4: 30$ | 199 | 75 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 292 | 1.42 |
| $4: 30-4: 45$ | 202 | 62 | 14 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 289 | 1.44 |
| $4: 45-5: 00$ | 216 | 63 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 293 | 1.38 |
| $5: 00-5: 15$ | 174 | 49 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 238 | 1.37 |
| Subtotal | 2,297 | 790 | 163 | 61 | 12 | 15 | 3,338 | 1.43 |
| Total | 3,823 | 1,122 | 222 | 86 | 17 | 25 | 5,294 | 1.37 |

APPENDIX E (cont.)

> SOUTHBOUND LANE

| Hour | Vehicles with the Following Number of Occupants |  |  |  |  |  | Total Vehicles | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Occ } \\ & \text { pan } \\ & \text { Rat } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | >5 |  |  |
| January 14, 1977, A.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00-7:15 | 163 | 40 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 210 | 1.2' |
| 7:15-7:30 | 195 | 45 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 257 | 1.31 |
| 7:30-7:45 | 209 | 43 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 266 | 1.2' |
| 7:45-8:00 | 196 | 31 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 236 | 1.2: |
| 8:00-8:15 | 179 | 43 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 1. 2 : |
| 8:15-8:30 | 95 | 22 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 130 | 1.4: |
| 8:30-8:45 | 107 | 28 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 141 | 1.3: |
| 8:45-9:00 | 75 | 22 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 99 | 1.3: |
| Subtotal | 1,219 | 274 | 54 | 16 | 5 | 3 | 1,571 | 1.31 |
| January 13, 1977, P.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:00-2:15 | 147 | 64 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 228 | 1.48 |
| 2:15-2:30 | 147 | 44 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 222 | 1.4 ! |
| 2:30-2:45 | 143 | 61 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 229 | 1.48 |
| 2:45-3:00 | 148 | 51 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 209 | 1. 3 ! |
| 3:00-3:15 | 198 | 70 | 15 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 293 | 1.45 |
| 3:15-3:30 | 201 | 70 | 13 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 297 | 1.50 |
| 3:30-3:45 | 206 | 65 | 30 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 305 | 1.48 |
| 3:45-4:00 | 235 | 84 | 21 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 354 | 1.50 |
| 4:00-4:15 | 225 | 98 | 15 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 349 | 1.48 |
| 4:15-4:30 | 242 | 82 | 15 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 358 | 1.51 |
| 4:30-4:45 | 222 | 85 | 28 | 13 | 4 | 7 | 357 | 1.64 |
| 4:45-5:00 | 227 | 87 | 26 | 15 | 7 | 3 | 365 | 1.62 |
| 5:00-5:15 | 265 | 78 | 23 | 11 | 3 | 4 | 384 | 1.45 |
| Subtotal | 2,606 | 939 | 252 | 84 | 41 | 27 | 3,949 | 1.50 |
| Southbound Total | 3,825 | 1,213 | 306 | 100 | 46 | 30 | 5,520 | 1.40 |
| Northbound Total | 3,823 | 1,122 | 222 | 86 | 17 | 25 | 5,294 | 1.37 |
| Total Both Directions | 7,648 | 2,335 | 528 | 186 | 63 | 55 | 10,814 | 1.39 |

```
APPENDIX E (cont.)
```

```
                NORTHBOUND LANE
```

| Hour | Vehicles with the Following$\qquad$ Number of Occupants |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> Vehicles | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cocu- } \\ & \text { pancy } \\ & \text { Rate } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | > 5 |  |  |

March 16, 1977, A.M.

| $7: 00-7: 10$ | 131 | 32 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 185 | 1.51 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7: 35-7: 45$ | 171 | 25 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 211 | 1.31 |
| $8: 10-8: 20$ | 113 | 32 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 155 | 1.37 |
| $8: 45-8: 55$ | 121 | 43 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 172 | 1.40 |
| $10: 50-11: 00$ | 98 | 44 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 152 | 1.45 |
| $11: 20-$ | $4: 30$ | 110 | 38 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 164 |
| $11: 50-12: 00$ | 82 | 40 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 135 | 1.52 |
| Subtotal | 826 | 254 | 59 | 20 | 7 | 8 | 1,174 | 1.42 |

March 15, 1977, P.M.

| $2: 05-$ | $2: 15$ | 90 | 37 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 140 | 1.51 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2: 35-$ | $2: 45$ | 102 | 38 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 158 | 1.55 |
| $3: 05-$ | $3: 15$ | 129 | 31 | 19 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 188 | 1.54 |
| $3: 35-$ | $3: 45$ | 151 | 49 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 1.42 |
| $4: 20-$ | $4: 30$ | 151 | 52 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 224 | 1.48 |
| $4: 50-5: 00$ | 150 | 50 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 215 | 1.42 |  |
| $5: 20-$ | $5: 30$ | 152 | 35 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 206 | 1.40 |
| Subtotal | 925 | 292 | 89 | 27 | 7 | 11 | 1,351 | 1.47 |  |
| Total | 1,751 | 546 | 148 | 47 | 14 | 19 | 2,525 | 1.45 |  |

## 040 ?

## APPENDIX E (cont.)

SOUTHBOUND LANE

| Hour | Vehicles with the Following Number of Occupants |  |  |  |  | Total Vehicles | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Occu- } \\ & \text { pancy } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | , | 4 | 5 | $>5$ |  | Rate |

March 16, 1977, A.M.

| $7: 15-7: 25$ | 172 | 41 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 240 | 1.42 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7: 50-$ | $8: 00$ | 151 | 23 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 183 |
| $8: 30-8: 40$ | 135 | 33 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 183 | 1.35 |
| $9: 00-9: 10$ | 98 | 21 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 1.37 |
| $10: 35-10: 45$ | 92 | 28 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 1.35 |
| $11: 05-11: 15$ | 89 | 29 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 130 | 1.44 |
| $11: 35-11: 45$ | 103 | 45 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 1.48 |
| Subtotal | 840 | 220 | 67 | 27 | 2 | 2 | 1,158 | 1.35 |

March 15, 1977, P.M.

| 2:20-2:30 | 120 | 44 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 182 | 1.51 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2:50-3:00 | 119 | 56 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 188 | 1.48 |
| 3:20-3:30 | 131 | 41 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 190 | 1.44 |
| 4:00-4:10 | 141 | 55 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 219 | 1.51 |
| 4:35-4:45 | 187 | 75 | 30 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 306 | 1.6 C |
| 5:05-5:15 | 183 | 62 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 262 | 1.45 |
| 5:35-5:45 | 132 | 49 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 195 | 1.42 |
| Subtotal | 1,013 | 382 | 98 | 35 | 8 | 6 | 1,542 | 1.48 |
| Southbound Total | 1,853 | 602 | 165 | 62 | 10 | 8 | 2,700 | 1.44 |
| Northbound Total | 1,751 | 546 | 148 | 47 | 14 | 19 | 2,525 | 1.45 |
| Total Both Directions | 3,604 | 1,148 | 313 | 109 | 24 | 27 | 5,225 | 1.45 |

> NORTHBOUND LANE

| Hour | Vehicles with the Following Number of Occupants |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> Vehicles | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $>5$ |  | Rate |

May 17, 1977, A.M.

| $7: 00-$ | $7: 15$ | 210 | 57 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 286 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7: 15-$ | $7: 30$ | 232 | 43 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 295 |
| $7: 30-$ | $7: 45$ | 248 | 52 | 15 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 330 |
| $7: 39$ | 1.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7: 45-8: 00$ | 246 | 47 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 302 | 1.22 |
| $8: 00-$ | $8: 15$ | 196 | 52 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 265 |
| $8: 15-$ | $8: 30$ | 168 | 43 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 234 |
| 1.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $8: 30-$ | $8: 45$ | 161 | 60 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 237 |
| $8: 45-$ | $9: 00$ | 199 | 55 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 277 |
| $9: 00-$ | $9: 15$ | 181 | 54 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 257 |
| $9: 15-9: 90$ | 207 | 73 | 9 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 315 | 1.44 |
| $9: 30-9: 45$ | 188 | 80 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 293 | 1.53 |
| $9: 45-10: 00$ | 161 | 87 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 275 | 1.61 |
| $10: 00-10: 15$ | 187 | 72 | 14 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 290 | 1.54 |
| $10: 15-10: 30$ | 163 | 65 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 249 | 1.47 |
| $10: 30-10: 45$ | 134 | 75 | 16 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 234 | 1.60 |
| $10: 45-11: 00$ | 123 | 61 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 1.53 |
| $11: 00-11: 15$ | 163 | 68 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 257 | 1.57 |
| $11: 15-11: 30$ | 161 | 79 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 260 | 1.49 |
| Subtotal | 3,328 | 1,123 | 222 | 111 | 30 | 47 | 4,861 | 1.46 |

May 16, 1977, P.M.

| $2: 00-$ | $2: 15$ | 143 | 81 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 247 | 1.59 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2: 15-$ | $2: 30$ | 159 | 77 | 11 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 264 | 1.62 |
| $2: 30-$ | $2: 45$ | 152 | 75 | 25 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 267 | 1.66 |
| $2: 45-$ | $3: 00$ | 146 | 81 | 24 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 263 | 1.64 |
| $3: 00-$ | $3: 15$ | 157 | 102 | 28 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 303 | 1.71 |
| $3: 15-$ | $3: 30$ | 193 | 99 | 17 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 320 | 1.53 |
| $3: 30-$ | $3: 45$ | 202 | 96 | 26 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 339 | 1.59 |
| $3: 45-$ | $4: 00$ | 214 | 89 | 24 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 332 | 1.46 |
| $4: 00-$ | $4: 15$ | 217 | 113 | 22 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 372 | 1.60 |
| $4: 15-$ | $4: 30$ | 243 | 121 | 20 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 397 | 1.53 |
| $4: 30-$ | $4: 45$ | 233 | 109 | 19 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 375 | 1.52 |
| $4: 45-$ | $5: 00$ | 229 | 92 | 27 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 359 | 1.52 |
| $5: 00-$ | $5: 15$ | 203 | 87 | 17 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 321 | 1.52 |
| $5: 15-$ | $5: 30$ | 231 | 75 | 12 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 329 | 1.42 |
| Subtotal | 2,722 | 1,297 | 288 | 124 | 21 | 36 | 4,488 | 1.56 |  |
| Total | 6,050 | 2,420 | 510 | 235 | 51 | 83 | 9,349 | 1.51 |  |

APPENDIX E (cont.)
SOUTHBOUND LANE

| Hour | Vehicles with the Following Number of Occupants |  |  | Total Vehicles | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Occ } \\ & \text { par } \\ & \text { Rat } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |

May 17, 1977, A.M.

| 7:00-7:15 | 234 | 38 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 286 | 1.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7: 15-7: 30$ | 240 | 49 | 12 | 5 | . 4 | 2 | 312 | 1.3 |
| 7:30-7:45 | 263 | 60 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 337 | 1.2 |
| 7:45-8:00 | 209 | 33 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 259 | 1.3 |
| 8:00-8:15 | 190 | 38 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 245 | 1.3 |
| 8:15-8:30 | 168 | 50 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 236 | 1.4 |
| 8:30-8:45 | 123 | 38 | 13 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 182 | 1.1 |
| 8:45-9:00 | 167 | 45 | 16 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 240 | 1.2 |
| 9:00-9:15 | 185 | 52 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 258 | 1.1 |
| 9:15-9:30 | 124 | 55 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 196 | 1.2 |
| 9:30-9:45 | 142 | 58 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 217 | 1.1 |
| 9:45-10:00 | 127 | 53 | 15 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 208 | 1.6 |
| 10:00-10:15 | 131 | 80 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 235 | 1.6 |
| 10:15-10:30 | 146 | 73 | 16 | 3 | 4 | 2 | $244^{\circ}$ | 1.5 |
| 10:30-10:45 | 13.2 | 79 | 19 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 244 | 1.6 |
| 10:45-11:00 | 122 | 61 | 19 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 20.2 | 1.6 |
| 11:00-11:15 | 119 | 62 | 17 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 212 | 1.7 |
| 11:15-11:30 | 129 | 72 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 217 | 1.5 |
| Subtotal | 2,951 | 986 | 234 | 92 | 34 | 31 | 4,328 | 1.4 |

May 16, 1977, P.M.

APPENDIX F

| $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} m & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \vec{m} & \infty \\ \rightarrow+ & 0 \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | $\xrightarrow{-+}$ | $n_{0}^{0}$ | $\bigcirc 0$ | 5 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & { }_{0}^{\infty} \\ & -0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\sim \sim$ | J $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ | $\begin{array}{ll\|l} 0 & = \\ 0 & 0 \\ \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \end{array}$ | $N$ 0 0 0 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | - o | 00 | $\mathrm{NO}$ | $\rightarrow$ - | 00 | $\bigcirc 0$ | $\begin{array}{cc} -1 & -1 \\ m \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} N & 0 \\ & 0 \end{array}$ | $\cdots \underset{n}{\infty}$ | 00 | 00 | 00 | $\begin{array}{\|cc\|}\sim & 0 \\ \sim \\ \sim\end{array}$ | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow+-4}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ | 00 | 00 | $\cdots 0$ | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 O | 00 | 0 O | $00$ | 00 | 0 Of | $\rightarrow+$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 00 | $-\underset{N}{\sim}$ | $\infty$ | $=0$ | $\bigcirc 0$ | $\bigcirc 0$ | $\cdots \cdots$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{r} & 0 \\ & \infty \end{array}$ | $-\infty$ | $\begin{gathered} m \\ \stackrel{n}{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\bigcirc 0$ | 00 | $=0$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \sim & 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} N= \\ \\ \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{array}$ | $\sim$ <br> $\sim$ <br> $\sim$ | $\sim \underset{\infty}{\sim}$ | 00 | 00 | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty & \pi \\ \therefore \end{array}$ | 00 | $\begin{array}{r} -\infty \\ = \\ = \end{array}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{9}$ | 00 | $\bigcirc 0$ | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | $\begin{array}{rr} -\infty \\ \sim \end{array}$ | 00 | 00 | $-0$ | 00 | $\begin{array}{r} \rightarrow \sim \\ \vdots \end{array}$ | 0 0 <br> $\sim$  <br> $\sim$  | $\infty \infty$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \dot{\infty} \\ \boldsymbol{\infty} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \sim & 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $=0$ | $\begin{aligned} \infty & 0 \\ & = \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 00 | 00 | $\begin{array}{ll} 0 & \ddots \\ & 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty & \sim \\ & 0 \end{array}$ | $\rightarrow \infty$ | $\sim$ | $\bigcirc 0$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1 \\ \\ \\ = \end{array}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | $\stackrel{-}{-1}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty & \pi \\ 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} N & = \\ & = \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ma }}{\rightarrow}$ | $\vec{\sim}=$ | $\begin{aligned} n & = \\ & = \end{aligned}$ | $\sim$ <br> $\sim$ <br> $\infty$ <br> $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | $=0$ | $=\begin{array}{ll} = \\ i \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sim \\ \infty \\ \\ \\ \\ = \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} -1 & 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} m & n \\ \stackrel{n}{n} \\ \sim \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  | $\cdots \cdots$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\circ}{-1}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & N \\ & \vdots \\ & n \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -\infty \\ 0 \\ n \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ \sim & \\ 0 \\ n \\ \\ \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} \infty & - \\ -1 & = \\ n \\ n \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} 0 & =- \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} \sim & N \\ \infty \\ -1 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty & \infty \\ n \\ n \\ \sim \end{array}$ | $\infty$ | $\begin{array}{cc} -0 \\ n \\ n \\ -1 \end{array}$ | $\infty$ | 00 | $=\begin{array}{r} - \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\sim & \infty \\ \sim & \\ \sim \\ \sim \\ \sim\end{array}$ | $\pm$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \pi 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\infty & \infty \\ \cdots & \\ \sim \\ & \sim\end{array}$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{cc} -1 \\ \infty \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2 \\ \sim \\ \sim \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \rightarrow \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \sim \\ \sim & \sim \\ \sim \\ \sim \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} -1 & 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 0 & 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  | $\cdots$ |
|  | $\stackrel{-}{-7}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} -1 & = \\ m \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} v & = \\ & = \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty & -1 \\ & 0 \end{array}$ | $-$ | $\begin{array}{rr} \sim & \otimes \\ \infty \end{array}$ | $=0$ | $\bigcirc 0$ | 00 | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{ll} n & 0 \\ n \\ & 0 \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | 00 | $\sim$ | $\xrightarrow{\infty} \sim$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 \\ \sim \\ N \\ N \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 & = \\ & \pm \\ & 0 \\ & i \end{array}$ | $\xrightarrow{3}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} -1 & -1 \\ \pi \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty & \infty \\ \cdots \\ \cdots \\ n \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ \hdashline \\ j \\ j \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -2 \\ \sim & -\infty \\ - \\ -1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -9 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ - \end{gathered}$ | 00 | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty & -2 \\ \vdots \\ \cdots \\ i \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | cic |
|  | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{cc} n & \infty \\ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty & -4 \\ & -i \\ & -1 \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{-1} \underset{\sim}{-}$ | $\begin{gathered} -\infty \\ 0 \\ \sim \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} m \\ \cdots \\ \cdots \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \sigma & \infty \\ & \dot{m} \\ & i \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \infty & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \infty \\ \vdots \\ \pm \\ -1 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | 00 | $\begin{array}{cc} m & \sim \\ \dot{\sim} \\ & \end{array}$ | $\xrightarrow{2} \sim$ | $\sim \sim$ |
|  | $\stackrel{+}{i}$ | $\begin{array}{r} n \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $m=$ | $\begin{array}{r} -m \\ = \end{array}$ |  | $\xrightarrow[\sim]{\sim}$ | 00 | $-1$ | $\begin{array}{ll} -H & = \\ 0 \\ i-i \end{array}$ | $-1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Omega= \\ &= \\ &= \end{aligned}$ | 00 | $\cdots \infty$ | $\pm \sim$ | $\cdots$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{3}{3} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\cdots$ | $=$ | $\therefore$ | $\dot{\infty}$ | $=$ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | 3 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 三 | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | - |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & H \\ & \pi \\ & +1 \\ & 0 \\ & e \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\infty & 0 \\ \infty & 0 \\ & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \sim & 0 \\ \sim & \\ \sim & \\ & -H \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}-1 & 0 \\ \sim & \\ & \\ & \\ & \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cc\|} \infty & -1 \\ \sim & \\ \sim & \cdots \\ & \sim \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} \infty & \infty \\ \overrightarrow{-} & m \\ & m \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\infty & -1 \\ m & \\ H & m \\ & \\ \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}\square \\ \square & \square \\ & \pm\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\square & \\ \sim & \infty \\ \sim & \\ & \sim\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}m & \sim \\ m & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}0 & \infty \\ \sim & \\ & \sim \\ & \sim\end{array}$ | HCH | $\begin{array}{ccc}\infty & \sim \\ \sim & \sim \\ & \sim\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} m & 0 \\ m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ n & -1 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{cc}N & H \\ H & -1 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ & \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \sim \sim 1$ | N $\infty$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cc}  \pm & 0 \\ \mathbb{N} & \\ & 0 \\ & +1 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}\infty & 0 \\ \sim & \\ & 0 \\ & -\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}m & m \\ m & \\ & \vec{~} \\ m\end{array}\right.$ |  | $\begin{array}{rr}  \pm & \infty \\ m \\ & \cdot-1 \end{array}$ | $\infty \quad \infty$ | $\begin{array}{cc} n & N \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | $\sigma \begin{array}{cc} \sigma & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \Omega \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \sim \\ & H \\ & \square \\ & \square \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{ \pm}{ \pm}$ | $\pm \begin{array}{r} \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}$ | $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty & \infty \\ & \\ & \\ & \end{array}$ | $-1 \infty$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty & n \\ m & \\ & \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} n & \Omega \\ & \cdots \end{array}\right\|$ | 0 ¢ $\quad \pm$ | $\begin{array}{cc} m & -1 \\ & \infty \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty & \sigma \\ & \\ & 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} N & -1 \\ & \infty \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ |  | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} \infty & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \square \\ & +1 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $N-1$ $\pm$ $\pm$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc}n & -1 \\ H & \dot{H} \\ \\ \\ & \end{array}\right.$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & -1 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} N & \sim \\ N & \\ & \sim \\ & -1 \end{array}$ |  | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} \overrightarrow{7} & \infty \\ & \pm \\ & \pm \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} \infty & 0 \\ & 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} \infty & \infty \\ \cdots & \\ & \\ \hline \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} n & N \\ 0 \\ & n \\ & n \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  | $\bigcirc 0$ | 00 | $\begin{array}{ll} m & \sigma \\ N & \\ H & H \end{array}$ |
|  | $\infty$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} \boldsymbol{H} & n \\ H & 0 \\ & 0 \\ H \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty & -1 \\ & \vdots \\ & \infty \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \square & \leftrightarrows \\ \square \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{0}{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\left[\left.\begin{array}{r} \infty \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \hline \end{array} \right\rvert\,\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} m & N \\ & \\ & N \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{cc} m & -1 \\ & 0 \end{array}$ | 00 | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} \infty & \pi \\ & \square \\ & \infty \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  | 00 | $\begin{array}{cc} N & -1 \\ & H \\ & H \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\sim & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ & 0\end{array}$ |
|  | $\infty$ | $\pm \begin{array}{rr} \pm \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}$ | $\mathrm{r} \stackrel{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H}}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{ll} \sqrt{N} & \infty \\ -1 & 0 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1 \\ \pm \\ \pm \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\infty & \infty \\ \sim & \\ & \\ & \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} m & -1 \\ & \cdots \end{array}\right\|$ | $0 \pm$ | $\begin{gathered} \sim \\ \vdots \\ \pm \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} H & \pm \\ m \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1 \\ m \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} \sim & 0 \\ \infty \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc 0$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc 0$ |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 7 & \pm \\ & -1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}  \pm 0 \\ \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} m & n \\ \pm \\ & +1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \sim \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} \infty & N \\ r & \\ & \Gamma \\ & \Gamma \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} \infty & N \\ & \bullet \\ & H \\ & H \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} m & \ddots \\ & \vdots \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{H} \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | 00 | - | $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\circ}$ |
|  | - | $\begin{array}{rr} -4 & \pm \\ & +1 \end{array}$ | $\pm \begin{gathered} \pm \\ \\ \\ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{rr} N & -4 \\ r & -1 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | 00 | 00 |  | $\begin{array}{rr}m & \sim \\ \sim\end{array}$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{cc} -1 & \pm \\ m \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} N & H \\ & \\ & 0 \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | 00 | 00 | $\pm$ $\square$ |
|  | is | $\pm \begin{array}{r}* \\ 0\end{array}$ | $\square$ $\square$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} m & m \\ & \cdots \\ & -1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \infty & \infty \\ \rightarrow & \\ & \\ & \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \mathbb{V} \\ & \pm \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} 0 & \pm \\ & \pm \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} 0 & N \\ & \cdots \\ r i \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} N & -1 \\ & \\ & 0 \end{array}$ |  | 00 |  | $\begin{array}{ll}0 \\ 0 & \pm \\ & 0\end{array}$ |
|  | $\pm$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty & \sim \\ -1 & \bullet \\ & \infty \\ -1 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} \infty & 0 \\ \sim & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & n \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty & \infty \\ m & \\ & \square \\ & - \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} \infty & \omega \\ \infty & \\ & \Gamma \\ & \Gamma \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} n & 0 \\ r & 0 \\ & \sim \\ & -1 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r}  \pm \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}$ | $\wedge \infty$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \square & 0 \\ 0 \\ n & 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} m \\ \cdots \\ \Gamma \end{gathered}$ | 00 | $\bigcirc 0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 N \\ & \pm \\ & \square \\ & +1 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\sim & 0 \\ \sim & \\ & 0 \\ \\ & \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} \infty & N \\ H & \\ & \Gamma \\ & -1 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cc}  \pm & n \\ n & \\ & \infty \\ & n \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} \infty \\ m \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} -1 & m \\ \cdots & \\ & N \\ & \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc}  \pm & \infty \\ \infty & \\ & m \\ & \infty \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} \infty & \pm \\ & 4 \\ & -1 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} \sigma & \theta \\ & -1 \\ m \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty & H \\ & \cdots \end{array}$ | $\infty \quad \begin{array}{cc} \infty & 0 \\ m \\ & n \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} \sim & -1 \\ & -1 \\ & -1 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | ${ }_{\sim}^{\infty} \infty$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}m & \sim \\ \\ \pm\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}  \pm & 0 \\ \pm \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \infty \\ \\ + \\ + \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \sigma \\ \sigma \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma \\ \infty \\ m \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} \infty & \infty \\ & \\ & n \end{array}\right\|$ | - $\begin{gathered}\text { - } \\ \vdots \\ 0\end{gathered}$ |  | 00 | $\bigcirc 0$ | $\begin{array}{r} n \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 00 | $\bigcirc 0$ | の $\pm$ $\pm$ |
|  | $\square$ |  | $\square \begin{array}{rr} -1 \\ n \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \\ \infty & \\ \infty \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} \sim & \Omega \\ \infty \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} m & n \\ & n \end{array}$ | $\left(\left.\begin{array}{rr} N & \pm \\ & -1 \end{array} \right\rvert\,\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} \infty & 0 \\ & 0 \\ n \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ |  | 00 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | $\cdots$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{-1}{-1}$ | $\stackrel{\dot{N}}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{m}{m}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ \pm}}{\stackrel{+}{2}}$ | $5$ | $\underset{1}{6}$ | $\stackrel{0}{4}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\bullet}$ | $\dot{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{*}{N}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pi \\ & \pi \\ & + \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |

APPLNDIX I' (cont.)

| Zoncs |  |  |  |  |  |  | Desti | 1 | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 11. | 12. | 13. | 14. | 15. | 16 | $1 \%$ | 11. | 19. | 20. | 21. | 22. | 23. | 'lotal |
| I. . | 0 0 | 8. 2 | 30.11 | 3 13.0 | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 21.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 13.0 | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 4.3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2 0.7 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 23 3.11 |
| 2. | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 3.3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}7 \\ 23.3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1 3.3 | 1 3.3 | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 16.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 8 26.7 | 1 3.3 | 13 | 1 3.3 | 0 | 1 3.3 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 30 11.4 |
| 3. | 1 4.3 | 21 15.9 | 22 16.1 | 1. ${ }^{2}$ | 34 25.8 | 19 14.4 | 14 3.0 | 8 6.1 | 6.1 | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 1.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 3.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0 0 | 0 0 | 132 19.11 |
| 4. | 0. 0 | $\begin{array}{r}19 \\ 21.6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 10 11.11 | 2 2.3 | $\begin{array}{r}17 \\ 19.3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}9 \\ 10.2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}12 \\ 13.6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 9.1 | 1.1 | 2 2.3 | 1 1.1 | 0 0 | 1 0 | $\begin{array}{r}88 \\ 12.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 5. | 5. 3 | 1 1.9 | 15 23.8 | 9. 6 | 14 34.6 | 1 1.9 | 11 7.1 | 1 1.9 | 11 0 | 3. 2 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 2 3.8 | $\begin{array}{r}52 \\ 7.6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| L. | 1 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 25. 1 | 25. 0 | 25.1 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 25.0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 11 .6 |
| 7. | 0 0 | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 14 . \% \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 20.6 | 1 2.9 | 5 111.7 | 6 17.6 | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 14.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2. $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2 5.9 | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 2.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2.4 | 0 0 | 0 <br> 1 | $\begin{array}{r}311 \\ 5.0 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 8. | 2 11 | 11.1 | 15.6 | 1 2.2 | 16 35.6 | 8 17.8 | 4 8.9 | 1 2.2 | 1 2.2 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 1 0 | 45 6.6 |
| 4. | 11.9 | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 19.0 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 19.0 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2.11 | 9 21.1 | 6 14.3 | 2 1.8 | 2. 1 | 1 2.1 | 0 0 | 2. 1 | 0 0 | 0 0 | $\begin{array}{r}42 \\ 6.2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 10. | $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ 4.2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 111 16.1 | $\begin{array}{r}16 \\ 17.2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1. 1 | $\begin{array}{r}32 \\ 36.4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3. 0 | 1. 1.1 | 2 2.3 | 2 2.3 | 2 2.3 | 1.1 | 0 0 | 2 2.3 | $4 \%$ 12.8 |
| 22. | 11 0 | 0 0 | 0 <br> 0 | 11 11 | 0 $1)$ | 0 0 | 0 0 | 60. ${ }^{1}$ | 40.1 | 0 0 | 0 $\therefore \quad 0$ | 0 0 | 0 0 | 2 .3 |
| 2り。 | 0 0 | 11 14 | 26. 0 | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 71 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3. 6 | 5 17.9 | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 7.1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}17.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0 0 | 3. $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0 0 | 0 0 | 1 3.6 | $\begin{array}{r}28 \\ 4.1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 26. | 1 3.1 | 13 11.3 | 11 4.6 | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 1.1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}16 \\ 13.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 19 16.5 | $\begin{array}{r}24 \\ 20.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6 5.2 | 2.6 | $\begin{array}{r}6 \\ 5.2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2 1.7 | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 1.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6. 1 | $\begin{array}{r}115 \\ 16.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| I'Olal | $3 \%$ 6.11 | 94 14.4 | 110 16.1 | $\begin{array}{r}22 \\ 1.2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}159 \\ 23.3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}92 \\ 13.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 60 8.8 | $\begin{array}{r}110 \\ \hdashline \quad 3.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 20 2.9 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 1.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ +3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 1.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 682 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |


| Zones | - Destination Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. | 10. | 24. | 25. |  |
| 11. | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 3.2 \end{array}$ | $1 . \frac{1}{1}$ | $\begin{array}{r}11 \\ 17.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}9 \\ 14.3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 12.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0 <br> 0 | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 3.2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}6 \\ 9.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 12.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}11 \\ 17.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 3.2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ 4.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 63 9.0 |
| 12. | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 1.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ 3.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}26 \\ 24.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}20 \\ 18.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ 3.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ .9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 4.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 7.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}10 \\ 9.4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 4.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 3.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 16.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 106 \\ 15.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 13. | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 6.8 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ 23.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 6.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 9.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 8.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 9.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 6.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 6.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 1.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \\ 18.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 132 \\ 18.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 14. | 0 0 | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 16.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 16.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 16.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 8. $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 8.3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 8.3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ 0 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 8.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 8.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 8.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 1.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 15. | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 4.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 5.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \\ 20.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ 12.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 11.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1 .6 | $\begin{array}{r}10 \\ 6.1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}11 \\ 6.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}11 \\ 6.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}23 \\ 14.1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ 2.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}15 \\ 9.2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 163 \\ 23.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 16. | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 1.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 19.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ 3.3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 2.2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0 0 | $\begin{array}{r}12 \\ 13.2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 5.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}9 \\ 9.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 8.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 5.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ 23.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ 13.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 17. | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 6.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 20.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 10.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 6.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 6.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 6.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 8.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 34.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 7.1 \end{array}$ |
| 18. | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 3 \cdot 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 12.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 19.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 3.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 3.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 16.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ 12.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3. ${ }^{1}$ | 1 3.2 | 0 0 | 7 22.6 | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ 4.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 19. | 0 0 | 0 0 | 1 16.7 | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 16.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0 0 | 0 0 | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 16.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 16.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 16.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 1.6 .7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0 0 | 0 0 | 6 .9 |
| 20. | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 5.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 15.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 5.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 10.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0 0 | 5. $\frac{1}{3}$ | 5. $\frac{1}{3}$ |  | 0 0 | 0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 52.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.9 \\ 2.7 \end{array}$ |
| 21. | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 40.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 13.3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6. $\frac{1}{7}$ | 0 0 | $6 . \frac{1}{7}$ |  | 6.1 | 1 6.7 | 0 0 | 3 20.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 2.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 22. | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | $\begin{array}{r}100.0 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ .1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 23. | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 1 9.1 | 10 90.9 | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 1.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total | 23 3.3 | 29 4.1 | 146 20.8 | 78 11.3 | 52 7.4 | 4 .6 | 52 7.4 | 51 7.3 | $\begin{array}{r} 53 \\ 7.6 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 128 \\ 18.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 700 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |


[^0]:    *Although Route 64 is designated as an east-west highway by the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation, the Hampton Roads Bridge-Tunnel is actually north-south in direction. In this study the north-south designation was used because it was felt that it created less confusion for the motorists responding to the questionnaire surveys.

[^1]:    a highway is as safe as the user makes it

