

A PROCEDURE FOR ESTIMATING THE FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION
OF CO LEVELS IN THE MICRO-REGION OF A HIGHWAY

by

William A. Carpenter
Faculty Research Scientist

(The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed in this
report are those of the author and not necessarily those of
the sponsoring agencies.)

Virginia Highway & Transportation Research Council
(A Cooperative Organization Sponsored Jointly by the Virginia
Department of Highways & Transportation and
the University of Virginia)

In Cooperation with the U. S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration

Charlottesville, Virginia

June 1979
VHTRC 79-R53

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH ADVISORY COMMITTEE

E. T. ROBB, Chairman, Asst. Environmental Quality Engineer, VDH&T
M. C. ANDAY, Assistant Head, VH&TRC
R. E. BLASER, University Distinguished Professor, VPI & SU
L. E. BRETT, JR., District Engineer, VDH&T
R. E. CAMPBELL, Assistant Transportation Planning Engineer, VDH&T
A. R. CLINE, District Environmental Coordinator, VDH&T
R. V. FIELDING, Materials Engineer, VDH&T
R. L. HUNDLEY, Environmental Quality Engineer, VDH&T
A. B. JOHNSON, Assistant Construction Engineer, VDH&T
D. D. MCGEEHAN, Research Analyst, VH&TRC
TOM STEPHENS, Associate Professor of Civil Engineering, VPI & SU
W. P. TUCKER, Right-of-Way Engineer, VDH&T
R. G. WARNER, Assistant Construction Engineer, VDH&T
ROBERT WELTON, Environmental Coordinator, FHWA
J. E. YEATTS, Assistant Location and Design Engineer, VDH&T

ABSTRACT

This report demonstrates that the probability of violating a "not to be exceeded more than once per year", one-hour air quality standard can be bounded from above. This result represents a significant improvement over previous methods of ascertaining the impacts of pollution sources. Such methods essentially estimated the expected annual maximum concentration and then compared the estimate to that concentration "not to be exceeded more than once per year". The probabilistic method presented in this report is easy to use, inexpensive to implement, and it directly addresses the question of the likelihood of violating an air quality standard. Furthermore, employment of this probabilistic method eliminates the need for the subjective inputs and the subjective analyses of results which characterize the so-called "worst case" methods of determining air quality impact.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report is based on research performed with Highway Planning and Research funds administered by the Federal Highway Administration in conjunction with the Virginia Highway and Transportation Research Council, and on research funded by the Federal Highway Administration in conjunction with the University of Virginia.

The contents of this report reflect the views of the author, who is responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation or of the Federal Highway Administration. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

A PROCEDURE FOR ESTIMATING THE FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION
OF CO LEVELS IN THE MICRO-REGION OF A HIGHWAY

by

William A. Carpenter
Faculty Research Scientist

INTRODUCTION

The U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), under the authority of the Clean Air Act of 1970 as amended, requires highway agencies to submit to it all proposals for new highway facilities for environmental review prior to construction. The environmental review process includes an assessment of the impact of carbon monoxide (CO) on the micro-region of the proposed highway facility.

The one-hour national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for CO, as presented in the Federal Register (1971), is "40 milligrams per cubic meter (35 ppm) maximum one-hour concentration not to be exceeded more than once per year". If an environmental review concludes that a proposed project will violate the one-hour NAAQS for CO, then the EPA may prohibit construction of the project. Thus the assessment of the CO impact of a proposed highway facility can have very significant economic and environmental consequences. If a relatively clean facility were incorrectly assessed as being environmentally unacceptable, the potential economic gains derivable from the facility would be lost; while if a relatively dirty facility were incorrectly assessed as being environmentally acceptable, the air quality in the micro-region of the facility would be damaged.

Air pollution levels are largely subject to the random influences of wind speed, wind direction and atmospheric turbulence. Thus the question of the environmental acceptability of a proposed facility with respect to the NAAQS for CO cannot be answered explicitly. The random nature of pollution levels limits statements concerning their magnitude to statements concerning their distributions.

Previous work on the problem of assessing the CO impact of a proposed facility has centered on fitting observed or simulated pollution levels to some distribution function such as the lognormal and making predictions about the order statistics from such a distribution. In 1965, Zimmer and Larsen (1965) cited empirical evidence from one-year data histories from Chicago, Cincinnati, Los Angeles, New Orleans, Philadelphia, San Francisco, and Washington, D. C., showing that pollution levels for a number of air pollutants were approximately lognormally distributed for all averaging times. In 1967, Larsen et al. (1967) presented empirical results from the analysis of five-year data histories from these same cities (excluding New Orleans) which again demonstrated that pollutant concentrations were approximately lognormally distributed for all cities for all averaging times. In 1971, the EPA published, as part of its air pollution series, a manual by Larsen (1971) in which he suggests that the expected annual maximum concentration, which he estimates as the exponential of the maximum order statistic from a normal distribution, be used as the design value when determining control strategies and implementation plans.

Later, Patel (1973) noted that in making the above suggestion Larsen had neglected the sequential dependence of air pollution levels, and had reversed the order of expectation and exponentiation in the determination of the maximum order statistic. Thus, Patel stated, Larsen's calculation of the expected annual maximum concentration, which was based on the assumption of a sequence of mutually independent, normally distributed random variables, was in error. In his response Larsen (1973) agreed that pollution levels are sequentially dependent, but argued that the use of his model was justified because it was an empirical model and because it was especially helpful for calculating a design value. Larsen also pointed out that by using the expected annual maximum rather than the expected annual second-maximum as the design value, his method would tend to minimize the frequency of violating the standards. Larsen also claimed in his response that the interchange of expectation and exponentiation would not produce significant errors. In a later article Larsen (1974) said that the data suggested his method underpredicted the expected annual maximum about as often as it overpredicted it and, therefore, his method was the recommended one. In commenting on the Larsen-Patel discussion, Neustadter and Sidik (1974) presented the results of a Monte Carlo simulation which indicated that for 24-hour averaged pollution levels (i.e., a yearly sample size of 365), Larsen's "expected annual maximum" could overpredict the observed average annual second-maximum by from 63% to 117%.

In the Proceedings of the Symposium on Statistical Aspects of Air Quality Data (1974), Gifford, Benarie, Lynn and Saltzman all supported the use of the lognormal distribution. Gifford (1959, 1974) presented a justification for the lognormal distribution in urban areas containing many sources by postulating that sequential air pollution levels in such areas constitute a first order multiplicative stochastic process. Such a process reduces to a first order autoregressive process in the logarithm of concentration and thus, from the Central Limit Theorem, the logarithm of concentration will be normally distributed. Benarie (1974) presented empirical evidence from southwest France indicating that air pollution levels were approximately lognormally distributed, and that the geometric standard deviation of wind velocity radially from a point source compared within a factor of about 2 to the geometric standard deviation of the monitored pollution levels. Lynn (1974) compared a number of frequency distributions with respect to their ability to fit observed urban air quality data. He concluded that while none of the theoretical distribution functions studied was obviously superior, the two- and three-parameter lognormal distributions were convenient to use and produced a reasonable fit of the data. Saltzman (1974) also showed that his data tended to fit a lognormal distribution.

Pollack (1975), in drawing on the data and results of this symposium for his report, concluded that the lognormal distribution is an acceptable approximation to the distribution of pollutant levels in urban areas in the absence of nearby strong point sources.

Bencala and Seinfeld (1976) note that several common distribution functions can be used to fit air pollution data, with the lognormal distribution being the most popular. They argue that the lognormal character of air pollution data can be partially justified by the approximate lognormality of radial wind speeds, and that, even though the wind speed distribution could not itself totally explain the distribution of pollutants, the lognormal distribution function is a useful approximation to the complex distribution of pollutant levels.

In 1975, Kalpasanou and Kurchatova (1976) reported that the lognormal distribution did not fit their air pollution data from Sofia, Bulgaria, as measured by goodness-of-fit tests. This result, as later explained by Mage and Ott (1979), was due to the fact that the "fit" of the lognormal distribution as used by Larsen and others was on an "engineering" basis rather than on a "statistical" basis.

Air pollution data cannot be said to be lognormally distributed on the basis of goodness-of-fit tests; however, their distribution functions do plot as approximate straight lines on lognormal probability paper, which has led many investigators to assume that the lognormal distribution is an acceptable approximation.

Mage and Ott (1975) and Ott and Mage (1976) hypothesize that air pollution data can be fitted (in an engineering sense) by a censored three-parameter lognormal distribution. They show, using data from ten studies of air quality, that the censored three-parameter, lognormal distribution produces a better fit, in the squared error sense, to the data than does the standard two-parameter lognormal distribution function. Larsen subsequently (1977) proposed that air pollution data be modeled as variables from a three-parameter lognormal distribution. However, as later observed by Mage and Ott (1978), Larsen did not use the censored model in his 1977 paper and thus had to consider negative pollution values which altered his determination of the mean concentration.

Snee and Pierrard (1977) pointed out that the annual average concentration was less subject to estimation errors than were the tail percentiles of observed data, and that the coefficient of variation of lognormal air pollution data seemed to be constant at about 0.5. Thus they proposed determining the expected annual maximum concentration from the lognormal distribution using the annual average concentration and a coefficient of variation of 0.5 to determine the parameters of the supposed underlying lognormal distribution.

Turner (1974) was the first to address the problem of predicting the impact of a proposed rather than an existing facility. He suggested using simulation to develop a simulated data base from which one could extract the annual maximum pollution level. Benarie (1974) and Venkatram (1978) have noted that such a simulation would be excessively expensive. Kumar et al. (1976) have suggested a method for assessing the impact of a proposed facility using the ideas developed by Larsen. Their approach is to predict the annual mean and mean square concentrations and use these to estimate the parameters of the supposed underlying lognormal distribution. They suggest that the annual mean and mean square concentrations could be efficiently calculated using a climatological weighting scheme. (For instance, see Calder [1971]). However, they made the same independence assumption made by Larsen in order to estimate the expected annual maximum concentration from the

supposed underlying lognormal distribution. And the appropriateness of comparing an expected annual maximum to a standard based on the annual second-maximum is under question. Tikvart and Freas (1977) and Venkatram (1979) have proposed other simulation and distribution fitting methods, which again address the problem by finding some sort of order statistic to compare to the appropriate air pollution standard. These methods also rely on the independence assumption made by Larsen.

Hirtzel and Quon (1979), in analyzing data on one-hour CO concentrations in Chicago, found a high degree of persistent correlation among successive values of one-hour CO concentrations. This sequential dependence, they explain, increases the variability of the error of estimate of the annual mean concentration and other air quality parameters. Thus the sequential dependence of air pollution levels is responsible for increased uncertainty in the parameters of distributions fitted to real or simulated air pollution data, and is also responsible for the error resulting from the use of results for independent observations to estimate pollution order statistics.

Time series analysis as an alternative solution to the problem has not been extensively pursued, largely because of the resources needed to determine the parameters of a time series model and the uncertainty in both the chosen model and its parameters which would be manifested in the resulting estimate of an annual order statistic or probability of violating an air quality standard.

Other methods for estimating the impact of a proposed highway facility are the worst-case methods such as that proposed by Habegger and Wolsko (1974). These methods consist of making a single estimate of the pollution level, generally using a Gaussian dispersion model with source, background, and meteorological input parameters intended to maximize the estimated pollution level, which is then compared to the air quality standard. Just as the practice of comparing the maximum order statistic to a standard based on the annual second-maximum has been questioned, the practice of comparing the worst-case order statistic to such a second-maximum standard is also questionable. In particular, the NAAQS do not specify any limits on maximum pollution levels. Furthermore, because the source, background, and meteorological input parameters for worst-case analyses are subjective estimates, such analyses yield only rule-of-thumb estimates of environmental impact.

PURPOSE

From the work that has been done in determining the environmental acceptability of a proposed highway facility, two major problems are evident. The first is the assumption of the independence of sequential pollution levels in light of the high serial correlations which have been documented for atmospheric pollution levels, and the second is the general practice of determining the acceptability of a proposed highway facility by comparing the maximum order statistic to a standard which is based on the annual second-maximum. The object of this report is to develop a method for determining the CO impact of a proposed highway facility which does not require the independence assumption, the lognormal (or any other distribution function) assumption, or the comparison of an order statistic to the standard.

Since pollution levels are random variables it would be natural to answer the question of the environmental acceptability of a proposed facility with respect to CO by estimating the probability that such a facility would violate the one-hour NAAQS for CO in any given year. Estimating the impact of a proposed facility probabilistically would eliminate the need for rule-of-thumb comparisons of order statistics with the standard. The need for the generally applied assumption of sequential independence results from the fact that we are generally unable to describe mathematically the joint probability distributions of one-year sets of pollution levels. This report presents a method of determining an upper bound, over all joint probability distribution functions which describe positively correlated sequential pollution levels, on the probability that a proposed highway facility would violate the one-hour NAAQS for CO. While a distribution-fitting process such as Larsen's could be employed to evaluate this derived upper bound, this report will develop a procedure for evaluating this upper bound which will have a much smaller standard error of estimate than would be obtained by using a distribution-fitting method.

The next section of this report describes the development of the upper bound on the probability of violating a one-hour standard not to be exceeded more than once per year. Next is presented a procedure for evaluating this upper bound, and then the sensitivity of this procedure to both random errors in estimation and to true changes in input conditions is compared to the sensitivity of the probability of violation determined using a distribution-fitting method such as Larsen's.

AN UPPER BOUND ON THE PROBABILITY OF VIOLATION

This section demonstrates that the expected number of hours per year that the pollution level will exceed the one-hour standard is sufficient to establish an upper bound on the probability that a proposed facility will violate the one-hour NAAQS for CO. The demonstration entails an examination of the probabilistic behavior of the sequentially dependent pollution levels throughout a year.

A General Case Upper Bound

Let $X(t)$ be an indicator function for hour t ($t=1,2,\dots,T$) such that $X(t) = 1$ if the pollution level at time t is greater than the prescribed standard and $X(t) = 0$ otherwise.

Let $Y(T) = \sum_{j=1}^T X(j)$; then the probability of violating the

NAAQS is the probability that $Y(T) \geq 2$, or

$$P(\text{Violation}) = P[Y(T) \geq 2] = 1 - P[Y(T)=0] - P[Y(T)=1], \quad \text{---(1)}$$

$$\text{where } P[Y(T)=0] = P[X(t) = 0, \text{ for all } t = 1, 2, 3, \dots, T], \quad \text{---(2)}$$

$$\text{and } P[Y(T) = 1] = \sum_{j=1}^T P[X(j) = 1 \text{ and } X(t) = 0 \text{ for all}$$

$$t \neq j, t=1, 2, \dots, T]. \quad \text{---(3)}$$

We see from equations (1,2,3) that a complete determination of $P(\text{Violation})$ requires a knowledge of the joint distribution of the $X(t)$'s for the stochastic process $[X(t), t=1, 2, \dots, T]$.

Let us consider, for a moment, the effect of the history of the stochastic air pollution process up until time $t-1$ on the state of the process $X(t)$ at time t .

We will assume that the physical process generating the pollution level at time t is a persistent process (i.e., that the pollution level at time t is influenced by past levels through the persistence of the factors which generated the past levels). Thus we write

$$P[X(t)=1|X^{t-}=0] \equiv P[X(t)=1|X(1)=\dots X(t-1)=0] \leq$$

$$P[X(t)=1|X^{t+}=0] \equiv P[X(t)=1|X(t+1)=\dots X(T)=0] \leq$$

$$P_1(t) \equiv P[X(t)=1], \quad \text{---- (4)}$$

which states that the probability of exceeding the standard, given that the standard has not been exceeded in the past, is bounded above by the probability the standard was exceeded at time t , given that it was not exceeded after time t , which is then itself bounded above by the probability of exceeding the standard at time t , given no information about the state of the process at times other than t . (Note that the $P_1(t)$ are assumed to be knowns, while the conditional probabilities are assumed to be unknowns.)

The probability of being in the violating or "1" state at time t is very small in any practical problem. Thus $1-P[X(t)=1]$ can be approximated from above by $\exp\{-P[X(t)=1]\}$. If we assume that $\exp\{-P[X(t)=1]\}$ is a closer approximation to $1-P[X(t)=1]$ than $1-P[X(t)=1|X^{t-}=0]$ is for all $t>1$, then we can write

$$\{1-P[X(t)=1|X^{t-}=0]\} - \{1-P[X(t)=1]\} \geq$$

$$\exp\{-P[X(t)=1]\} - \{1-P[X(t)=1]\},$$

which implies, for all $t>1$, that

$$\{1-P[X(t)=1|X^{t-}=0]\} \geq \exp\{-P[X(t)=1]\}. \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

Although equation (5) does not hold for $t=1$, since

$$[1-P_1(t)] \leq \exp [-P_1(t)],$$

the error of approximation at $t=1$ is very small for small $P_1(t)$ and thus we assume, for T very large, that

$$\prod_{t=1}^T \{1-P[X(t)=1|X^{t-}=0]\} \geq \prod_{t=1}^T \exp[-P_1(t)]. \quad \text{---(6)}$$

From equations (2,6) we can write, using the chain rule of probability, that

$$\begin{aligned} P[Y(T)=0] &= \prod_{t=1}^T P[X(t)=0|X^{t-}=0], \\ &= \prod_{t=1}^T \{1-P[X(t)=1|X^{t-}=0]\} \\ &\geq \prod_{t=1}^T \exp[-P_1(t)] \\ &= \exp\left[-\sum_{t=1}^T P_1(t)\right] = \exp(-\lambda), \end{aligned} \quad \text{---(7)}$$

where $\lambda = \sum_{t=1}^T P_1(t)$ is the expected number of times that $X(t)$ will exceed the standard in T tries. Recalling equation (1), we see that

$$P(\text{Violation}) \leq 1-P[Y(T)=0], \text{ and}$$

thus from equation (7), the probability of violating the standard may be bounded from above as

$$P(\text{Violation}) \leq 1 - \exp(-\lambda). \quad \text{--- (8)}$$

While equation (8) represents an upper bound on the probability that a proposed facility will violate the NAAQS, a tighter bound would result if we could incorporate $P(Y(T)=1)$, the probability of exceeding the prescribed standard value exactly once in a year.

An Upper Bound Using the Markovian Assumption

Pollack (1975) has presented empirical evidence from hourly carbon monoxide studies which shows that the partial autocorrelation function of air pollution levels is generally large for a one-hour lag time but is not significantly different from zero for lags greater than one hour. Thus he claims that the stochastic process of sequential air pollution levels is adequately modeled as a first order Markov process. Gifford (1974) has also suggested that sequential air pollution levels constitute a first order process. We will assume that the indicators $X(t)$ may be modeled adequately as a discrete Markov chain; i.e., that the stochastic process $(X(t), t=1,2,\dots,T)$ has the property that the conditional distribution of $X(t+1)$ given $X(1), X(2), \dots, X(t)$ is equal to the conditional distribution of $X(t+1)$ given only $X(t)$,

$$P[X(t+1)=j | X(1), X(2), \dots, X(t)] = P[X(t+1)=j | X(t)]. \quad \text{--- (9)}$$

We will now show that by modeling the stochastic air quality process as a discrete Markov chain, we can express both $P(Y(T)=0)$ and $P(Y(T)=1)$ as functions of only the $P_1(t)$'s and a variable $\bar{R}$, which will be defined next. We will then determine the maximum of $P(\text{Violation})$ over all values of $\bar{R}$ to determine the desired upper bound.

Let us define, for i and j equal to zero or one,

$$P_j(t) = P[X(t)=j], \text{ and}$$

$$P_{ij}(t) = \begin{cases} P[X(t)=j|X(t-1)=i], & \text{for } t=2,3,\dots,T, \text{ and} \\ P[X(t)=j], & \text{for } t=1. \end{cases} \quad \text{---(10)}$$

The state probabilities, $P_j(t)$, the probabilities that the process $X(t)$ will be in state j at time t , are assumed to be known. (A method for estimating the $P_j(t)$'s is described in section 3.) The transition probabilities, $P_{ij}(t)$, the probabilities that the process $X(t)$ will be in state j at time t given that it was in state i at time $t-1$, are assumed to be unknown.

From equation (10) and the Markovian assumption, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y(T)=0) &= \prod_{t=1}^T P_{00}(t), \\ &= \prod_{t=1}^T (1-P_{01}(t)), \\ &\leq \exp \left(-\sum_{t=1}^T P_{01}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad \text{---(11)}$$

Thus recalling that $P_{01}(t) \leq P_1(t) \ll 1$, there is a $\bar{K} \geq 1$ ($\bar{K}$ will be very nearly equal to 1) such that

$$P[Y(T)=0] = \exp \left[-\bar{K} \sum_{t=1}^T P_{01}(t) \right]. \quad \text{---(12)}$$

Since we wish to express the probability of violating the standard in terms of the assumed known $P_j(t)$, we define

$$R(t) = P_{01}(t)/P_1(t). \quad \text{---(13)}$$

(Note that $0 \leq R(t) \leq 1$ since $0 \leq P_{01}(t) \leq P_1(t)$.) From equation (13) we may now write equation (12) as

$$P[Y(T)=0] = \exp[-\bar{K} \sum_{t=1}^T R(t)P_1(t)], \quad \text{---(14)}$$

which, since the $P_1(t)$ are non-negative and bonded, implies from the Mean Value Theorem of calculus that there exists an $\bar{R} (0 \leq \bar{R} \leq 1)$ defined as

$$\bar{R} = \sum_{t=1}^T P_{01}(t)/\lambda, \quad \text{---(15)}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} P[Y(T)=0] &= \exp[-\bar{K}\bar{R} \sum_{t=1}^T P_1(t)], \\ &= \exp[-\bar{K}\bar{R}\lambda]. \end{aligned} \quad \text{---(16)}$$

Equation (16) expresses the probability that $Y(T)$ will be exactly zero in terms of $\bar{R}$ and λ .

In a similar fashion, we will now develop an expression for the probability that $Y(T)$ will be exactly one in terms of $\bar{R}$ and λ .

Recalling equation (3) we may use the chain rule of probability and equations (11,16) with the Markovian assumption to write

$$\begin{aligned}
 P[Y(T)=1] &= \sum_{j=1}^{T-1} \left[\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} P_{00}(k) \right] P_{01}(j) P_{10}(j+1) \left[\prod_{k=j+2}^T P_{00}(k) \right] \\
 &\quad + \left[\prod_{k=1}^{T-1} P_{00}(k) \right] P_{01}(T), \\
 &= \prod_{k=1}^T P_{00}(k) \sum_{j=1}^{T-1} P_{01}(j) P_{10}(j+1) / P_{00}(j) P_{00}(j+1) \\
 &\quad + P_{01}(T) / P_{00}(T) \}, \\
 &\geq \exp(-\bar{K}\bar{R}\lambda) \left[\sum_{j=1}^{T-1} P_{01}(j) P_{10}(j+1) + P_{01}(T) \right], \text{ --- (17)}
 \end{aligned}$$

since the terms $P_{00}(t)$ are all ≤ 1 . To evaluate the summation in equation (16) we recall equation (4) with the Markovian assumption,

$$P[X(t)=1] \geq P[X(t)=1 | X(t+1)=0] \geq P[X(t)=1 | X(t-1)=0], \text{ --- (4)}$$

which states that the condition of a history of not exceeding the standard has a greater influence on the probability of exceeding the standard at time t than the condition of a future of not exceeding the standard has. From equation (4) and the definition of conditional probability, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{10}(t+1) &= P[X(t)=1 | X(t+1)=0] P_0(t+1) / P_1(t) \\
&\geq P_{01}(t) P_0(t+1) / P_1(t).
\end{aligned}
\tag{18}$$

Thus using equations (13,18), we can write the summation term in equation (17) as

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{T-1} P_{01}(j) P_{10}(j+1) &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{T-1} P_{01}(j) P_{01}(j) P_0(j+1) / P_1(j) \\
&\geq \sum_{j=1}^{T-1} R^2(j) P_1(j) P_0(j+1), \\
&\geq \bar{H} \sum_{j=1}^{T-1} R^2(j) P_1(j),
\end{aligned}
\tag{19}$$

where $\bar{H}$ is defined as the minimum of $P_0(j)$ over all j . Since $\bar{H} \leq 1$ and $R(t) \leq 1$, we find that the term $P_{01}(T)$ in equation (17) may be bounded below as

$$P_{01}(T) \geq \bar{H} R^2(T) P_1(T). \tag{20}$$

Thus we can rewrite equation (17) using equations (19,20) as

$$P[Y(T)=1] \geq \exp(-\bar{K}R\lambda) [\bar{H} \sum_{t=1}^T R^2(t) P_1(t)]. \tag{21}$$

Jensen's Inequality can now be used to reduce equation (21) to a function of $\bar{R}$ and λ . The $R(t)$ are bounded $0 \leq R(t) \leq 1$; the $P_1(t)$ are positive, finite, and

$$\sum_{t=1}^T [P_1(t)/\lambda] = 1;$$

and the function $f(R(t)) = R^2(t)$ is a convex function of $R(t)$. Thus from Jensen's Inequality we may write

$$\sum_{t=1}^T [R^2(t)P_1(t)/\lambda] \geq \left[\sum_{t=1}^T R(t)P_1(t)/\lambda \right]^2, \quad \text{---(22)}$$

which implies from equation (15) that

$$\sum_{t=1}^T R^2(t)P_1(t) \geq \bar{R}^2\lambda. \quad \text{---(23)}$$

From equations (21,23) we can now bound the probability of exceeding the standard exactly once per year by

$$P[Y(T)=1] \geq \bar{H} \exp(-\bar{K}\bar{R}\lambda)\bar{R}^2\lambda. \quad \text{---(24)}$$

Recalling the definitions of $\bar{H}$ and $\bar{K}$, we note that $\bar{H}$ and $\bar{K}$ are very nearly equal to one with $\bar{H}$ slightly less than one and $\bar{K}$ slightly greater than one. Thus, to a very good approximation, we can bound the probability of violating the NAAQS from above using equations (1,12,24) as

$$P(\text{Violation}) \leq 1 - \exp(-\bar{R}\lambda) [1 + \bar{R}^2\lambda]. \quad \text{---(25)}$$

We recall from equation (15)

$$\bar{R} = \left[\sum_{t=1}^T P_{01}(t) \right] / \lambda, \quad \text{---(15)}$$

that the variable $\bar{R}$ is a function of the unknown transition probabilities for the stochastic process $(X(t), t=1, 2, \dots, T)$. Thus to maximize the probability of violating the NAAQS over all possible stochastic air pollution processes $(X(t), t=1, 2, \dots, T)$, we can simply maximize equation (25) over all possible values of $\bar{R}$.

Letting $P_V = 1 - \exp(-\bar{R}\lambda)(1 + \bar{R}^2\lambda)$, differentiating with respect to $\bar{R}$, and setting the result equal to zero we find that the relative extrema of P_V occur at

$$\bar{R} = [1 \pm (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}] / \lambda. \quad \text{---(26)}$$

We note from equation (26) that $\bar{R}$ will be a real number only when $\lambda \leq 1$. Therefore, for $\lambda > 1$, the end points $\bar{R}=0$ and $\bar{R}=1$ must be examined to find the max P_V . Also, we recall that $0 \leq \bar{R} \leq 1$, which implies, since

$$[1 + (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}] / \lambda \geq 1 \text{ for } \lambda \leq 1, \text{ that } \bar{R} = [1 - (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}] / \lambda$$

produces a relative extremum of P_V for $\lambda \leq 1$. Examination of the second derivative of P_V with respect to $\bar{R}$ shows that

$\bar{R} = [1 - (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}] / \lambda$ produces a relative maximum of P_V when $\lambda \leq 1$.

Furthermore, since there is only one extremum of P_V over $0 \leq \bar{R} \leq 1$, P_V evaluated at $\bar{R} = [1 - (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}] / \lambda$ is the global maximum of P_V for $\lambda \leq 1$. For the case of $\lambda > 1$, we see that P_V (at $\bar{R}=0$) = $1 - \exp(-0)$ (1+0) = $1 - 1 = 0$, and P_V (at $\bar{R}=1$) = $1 - \exp(-\lambda)(1 + \lambda) \geq P_V$ (at $\bar{R}=0$). Thus, we see that P_V (at $\bar{R}=1$) is a global maximum for P_V over the interval $0 \leq \bar{R} \leq 1$ when $\lambda > 1$.

We have seen that the probability of violating a "not more than once per year" NAAQS is bounded by

$$P(\text{Violation}) \leq 1 - \exp(-\lambda) \text{ in the general case, --- (27)}$$

and by

$$P(\text{Violation}) \leq \begin{cases} 1 - \exp[-1 + (1 - \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})^2] / \lambda & \text{for } \lambda \leq 1, \\ 1 - \exp(-\lambda) (1 + \lambda) & \text{for } \lambda \geq 1 \end{cases} \text{ --- (28)}$$

when the Markovian assumption is applicable.

Equations (27,28) have the advantages of being relatively simple and of depending only on λ . This dependence on only λ is important since the marginal probabilities, by their very definition, will contain all information (except that regarding the sequential dependence of pollution levels) relative to the air pollution problem at hand. Thus equations (27,28) are applicable to the problem of determining the probability of violating the NAAQS for any pollutant (reactive or nonreactive), emitted from any source or combination of sources, over any (non-overlapping) averaging time, as long as the marginal probabilities of exceeding the maximum allowable pollution level can be determined or estimated. In fact, equations (27,28) may be used in conjunction with an empirical procedure such as Larsen's, if it can be assumed that

$$N \times P \approx \lambda = \sum_{j=1}^N P_1(j),$$

where P is Larsen's probability of exceeding the maximum allowable pollution level for each of the N time periods in a year.

EVALUATING THE UPPER BOUND ON $P(\text{VIOLATION})$

In the previous section, it was seen that one can determine an upper bound on the probability that a facility will violate a "not to be exceeded more than once per year" air quality standard given either λ , the expected number of one-hour violations, or, equivalently, the $P_1(t)$'s, the marginal probabilities of exceeding the maximum specified pollution level for each hour in a year. In this section an efficient method for determining λ from the marginal probabilities of violating the one-hour NAAQS for CO for each hour in a year will be developed. This method is based on the idea that when a random variable such as pollutant concentration can be expressed as a function of several other random variables such as meteorological variables, then the cumulative probability function of the first random variable (pollutant concentration) can be expressed in terms of joint probability function of the other random variables (the meteorological variables).

In the following sections this idea will be developed to determine the marginal probabilities of exceeding a specified maximum CO concentration for each one-hour time period in a year. For this purpose the Gaussian dispersion formulation will be used as the functional relationship between CO concentration and meteorological, source, background, and geometric conditions.

The Functional Relationship

While there are numerous models of pollution levels as functions of meteorological, source, background, and geometric parameters, the Gaussian dispersion models are perhaps the best known. The Gaussian dispersion formulation will be used because of its simplicity and because its meteorological inputs are easily determined from readily available meteorological data such as those routinely collected by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). Furthermore, it will be shown later that the final estimate of λ is rather insensitive to unbiased errors of estimation in the $P_1(t)$'s and thus the exact choice of dispersion model is relatively unimportant.

Equation (29) is a statement of the Gaussian dispersion formulation for short source-to-receptor distances. It expresses the hourly concentration of a nonreactive pollutant in terms of the geometry and the hourly meteorological, source, and background parameters.

$$\chi(t) = \{\max[1, u(t)]\}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N D_i[\alpha(t), C(t), RC, SC_i] S_i(t) + B(t),$$

--- (29)

where

$\chi(t)$ = time-average pollutant concentration at location RC for hour t,

$u(t)$ = magnitude in meters/second of the time-averaged wind vector for hour t,

$\alpha(t)$ = direction of the time-averaged wind vector for hour t,

$C(t)$ = atmospheric stability for hour t,

RC = receptor coordinates,

N = number of sources,

SC_i = source coordinates for the ith source,

$S_i(t)$ = time-averaged emissions for the ith source for hour t,

$D_i(\cdot)$ = the dispersion function for the ith source, and

$B(t)$ = time-averaged background concentration at location RC for hour t.

The dispersion function $D_i(\cdot)$ exhibits different forms for different types of sources. For line, area, and volume sources the function $D_i(\cdot)$ will be the line, area, and volume integral, respectively, of the dispersion function for a point source. Point source dispersion functions are of the form, $\exp(-X^2)$, and dispersion functions for higher dimensional sources are of the form of integrals of $\exp(-X^2)$. Thus the dispersion functions are not analytical in general and must be evaluated by numerical algorithms. In the developments

which follow only the numerical value and not the functional form (i.e., source-type) of the dispersion functions $D_i(\cdot)$ will be important. Therefore, the results here will apply to all source types.

The Variables

According to the Gaussian dispersion formulation, the time-averaged CO concentration for hour t is a random variable which is a function of the geometry and of the meteorological, source, and background conditions for hour t . Of the parameters determining pollutant concentration, the receptor location and the number and locations of the sources are constant and do not contribute to the random behavior of the time-averaged CO concentration for hour t . The source emissions and background concentration at time t are assumed to be deterministic variables of time, and thus while they would contribute to the variation of concentration over time, they would not contribute to the random character of concentration at any fixed time t . The meteorological parameters — wind speed, wind direction, and stability class — at time t are assumed to be random variables whose joint distribution function depends on time. The justification for treating the background and source terms as deterministic variables and treating the meteorological terms as random variables is discussed below.

Background concentrations and source emissions are directly related to the cycle of human activity, which is, in turn, related to time of day, day of week, season, and year. For all realizations of a given hour, day of week, season, and year, approximately the same people would be engaged in approximately the same travel activities such as driving to work, school, church, or the supermarket; approximately the same industries and businesses would be engaged in approximately the same level of manufacturing, shipping, and power generation; and approximately the same homes, offices, factories, and shops would have approximately the same space heating requirements; etc. Thus if we know the year, season, day of week, and hour of day we can estimate the background concentration and source emissions. The fact that there is a high correlation of human activities (and thus background concentrations and source emissions) with time, — i.e., year, season, day of week, and hour — allows us to assume that the source and background terms are deterministic variables. The error in λ resulting from this assumption will be a function of the individual errors of estimation in the source and background terms for each hour. In the next section of this report the sensitivity of the results for λ to errors of estimation in the source and background terms for each hour will be examined.

The meteorological variables — wind speed, wind direction, and stability class — while having a dependence on time (they vary with the hour of day and with season), also exhibit unexplained or random variation in addition to their patterned variation with time. To separate the variation in meteorology attributable to time — i.e., the diurnal and seasonal variations — from the random variation, we would (see for instance Calder [1971]) divide a year into some number of discrete categories (such as 96 categories for 24 one-hour periods per day in each of 4 seasons), and then subdivide and analyze historical meteorological data according to such categories. In this manner the variation with time will be manifested between subsets and the random variation will be manifested within subsets.

Under the assumption that with 96 categories the 24-hour lag time between sequential observations in any given data category is sufficient to ensure that the observations are independent observations from an underlying stationary distribution, one can infer from the Ergodic Principle that the temporal parameters of the data subsets approximate the parameters of the underlying stationary distribution. Calder (1971) used this approach to define for each meteorological subset (i.e., time category) a discrete joint probability mass function as an estimator of the true underlying meteorological distribution. However, it is possible to extend Calder's approach by considering the wind vector in its rectangular rather than polar form.

It follows from the Central Limit Theorem that time-averaged cartesian components of velocity under stationary turbulence can be modeled as bivariate-normal random variables. (See for instance Crutcher [1957], Pasquille [1974], and Seinfeld [1975].) Furthermore, atmospheric turbulence can be categorized into stationary turbulence regimes such as the familiar Pasquille-Gifford atmospheric stability categories. Thus the joint probability function of the rectangular form time-averaged wind vector and the atmospheric stability category can be modeled as a mixed probability function in a fashion similar to that described by Crutcher (1962, 1977). First the distribution of the Cartesian components of the time averaged wind vector can be described within a given stability category by a bivariate-normal probability distribution function, and second the distribution of stability category can be described by a discrete probability mass function over all stability categories.

Specifically, if $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ are the horizontal Cartesian velocity components of the time-averaged wind vector and $c(t)$ is the atmospheric stability category during time period t , then the probability density function of the vector $[u(t), v(t), c(t)]$ is given by

$$f[u(t), v(t), c(t)] = f[u(t), v(t) | c(t)] m[c(t)], \quad \text{--- (30)}$$

where

$f[u(t), v(t) | c(t)]$ is the conditional bivariate-normal probability density function of the Cartesian components of the wind vector and $m[c(t)]$ is the discrete probability mass function over the set of stability categories. The parameters of both $f[u(t), v(t) | c(t)]$ and $m[c(t)]$ in equation (30) can be easily estimated from historical meteorological data such as that collected by the NOAA. A set of computer programs for extracting such information from historical meteorological records has been developed by Carpenter et al. (1979) under the authority of the Virginia Highway and Transportation Research Council.

Now to find the joint probability function of wind speed, wind direction, and stability class $[\mu(t), \alpha(t), c(t)]$, we note, since $[\mu(t), \alpha(t)]$ is the polar equivalent of $[u(t), v(t)]$, that the inverse of the Jacobian of the transformation $[u(t), v(t)] \rightarrow [\mu(t), \alpha(t)]$ is $J^{-1} = \mu(t)$. Thus, from the Calculus we may write (see for instance Lindley [1969]) the conditional probability function of $[\mu(t), \alpha(t)]$ in terms of the conditional probability function of $[u(t), v(t)]$ as

$$f[\mu(t), \alpha(t) | c(t)] = f[u(t), v(t) | c(t)] \mu(t). \quad \text{--- (31)}$$

Equation (31), together with equation (30), demonstrates that the joint probability function of $\mu(t), \alpha(t)$, and $c(t)$ may be written as

$$f[\mu(t), \alpha(t), c(t)] = f[u(t), v(t) | c(t)] m[c(t)] \mu(t). \quad \text{--- (32)}$$

Determining $P(\chi(t) > q)$

From equation (29) and the fact that $\chi(t)$ is monotone non-increasing in $\mu(t)$, we see that the probability that $\chi(t)$ will exceed a given pollution level, q , may be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 P[\chi(t) > q] &= P(\{\max[1, \mu(t)]\}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N S_i(t) D_i(\cdot) + B(t) > q), \\
 &= P\{\max[1, \mu(t)] < Q(t)\}, \quad \text{--- (33)}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q(t) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^N S_i(t) D_i(\cdot) \right] / [q - B(t)] \text{ is a function of the}$$

random variables $\alpha(t)$ and $c(t)$. Equation (33), which cannot be evaluated as it stands, can be expanded as the expectation over all $\alpha(t)$ and $c(t)$ of the conditional probability that $\max[1, \mu(t)]$ is less than $Q(t)$ given $\alpha(t)$ and $c(t)$, i.e.,

$$P[\chi(t) > q] = E_{\alpha, c} P\{\max[1, \mu(t)] < Q(t) \mid \alpha(t), c(t)\}. \quad \text{--- (34)}$$

Equation (34), with q equal to the maximum CO level specified in the air quality standard, defines the probability of exceeding the standard during time period t in terms of the cumulative conditional probability function of $\max[1, \mu(t)]$ and the joint probability function of $\alpha(t)$ and $c(t)$. Since we can estimate the joint probability function of $[\mu(t), \alpha(t), c(t)]$ from equations (30,32), we can proceed to evaluate equation (34).

Since the $\max [1, \mu(t)] \geq 1$, the conditional cumulative probability function in equation (34) may be written as

$$P\{\max[1, \mu(t)] < Q(t) | \alpha(t), c(t)\} = \begin{aligned} & 0, \text{ if } 0 \leq Q(t) \leq 1, \\ & P[\mu(t) < Q(t)] \text{ if } Q(t) > 1, \\ & \text{and} \\ & 1, \text{ if } B(t) > q. \end{aligned} \quad \text{--- (35)}$$

Therefore, defining

$$\hat{Q} = \begin{aligned} & Q(t), \text{ if } Q(t) > 1, \\ & 0, \text{ if } 0 \leq Q(t) \leq 1, \text{ and} \\ & \infty \text{ if } B(t) > q, \end{aligned}$$

equation (34) can be written in terms of the meteorological probability function as

$$P[\chi(t) > q] = \int_{\alpha} \int_{c} \int_0^{\hat{Q}} f[\mu(t) | \alpha(t), c(t)] f[\alpha(t), c(t)] d\mu d\alpha dc \quad \text{--- (36)}$$

From the chainrule of probability, we can rewrite equation (36) in terms of the empirically determinable probability functions $f[u(t), v(t) | c(t)]$ and $m[c(t)]$ as

$$P[\chi(t) > q] = \int_{\alpha} \sum_c \int_0^{\hat{Q}} f[u(t), v(t) | c(t)] \mu(t) d\mu m[c(t)] d\alpha. \quad \text{--- (37)}$$

The function, $f[u(t), v(t) | c(t)]$, we recall, is assumed to be a bivariate-normal probability function whose parameters are

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{u} &= E[u(t) | c(t)], \\ \bar{v} &= E[v(t) | c(t)], \\ \text{Var}(u) &= \text{Var}[u(t) | c(t)], \\ \text{Var}(v) &= \text{Var}[v(t) | c(t)], \text{ and} \\ \text{Cov}(u, v) &= \text{Cov}[u(t), v(t) | c(t)].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, noting that $\mu^2(t) = u^2(t) + v^2(t)$ and $\alpha(t) = \tan^{-1} [v(t)/u(t)]$, we can express the conditional joint probability function of $[u(t), v(t)]$ as

$$f[u(t), v(t) | c(t)] = (2\pi K_4)^{-1} \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(K_1 \mu^2 + K_2 \mu + K_3)],$$

--- (38)

where

$$K_1 = [\cos^2(\alpha)\text{Var}(v) + \sin^2(\alpha)\text{Var}(u) - 2\sin(\alpha)\cos(\alpha)\text{Cov}(u, v)]/K_4^2,$$

$$K_2 = -2\{\cos(\alpha)\bar{u}\text{Var}(v) + \sin(\alpha)\bar{v}\text{Var}(u) - [\cos(\alpha)\bar{v} + \sin(\alpha)\bar{u}] \text{cov}(u, v)/K_4\}$$

$$K_3 = [\bar{u}^2 \text{var}(v) + \bar{v}^2 \text{var}(u) - 2\bar{u}\bar{v}\text{cov}(u,v)]/K_1^2, \text{ and}$$

$$K_4 = [\text{var}(u)\text{var}(v) - \text{cov}^2(u,v)]^{1/2}.$$

Substituting equation (38) in equation (37) and carrying out the integration over u yields

$$\begin{aligned} P[\chi(t) > q] = & \int_{\alpha} \int_c \{K_5 [\exp(-K_6^2) - \exp(-K_7^2)]/K_1 \\ & + \pi^{1/2} K_5 K_2 [\text{erf}(K_6) - \text{erf}(K_7)] / (2K_1)^{3/2}\} m[c(t)] d\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

--- (39)

where

$$K_5 = (2\pi K_4)^{-1} \exp(K_6^2 - K_3/2),$$

$$K_6 = K_2 / (8K_1)^{1/2}, \text{ and}$$

$$K_7 = (2K_1 \hat{Q} + K_2) / (8K_1)^{1/2}.$$

Although the integrand in equation (39) is not an analytical function, equation (39) can be numerically integrated over α and c to determine the probability that the CO level during time period t will exceed the maximum level specified in the NAAQS.

Computing λ

Previously, we saw that λ , the expected number of hours that will have pollution levels above the standard, is both necessary and sufficient to determine an upper bound on the probability of violating a "not to be exceeded more than once per year" air quality standard. We will now see that λ can be computed without $P[\chi(t) > q]$ having to be computed for each t .

Recall that background concentrations and source emissions are deterministic functions of season, day of week, and hour of day, and that the joint probability function of the meteorological variables is a function of the season and hour of day. Thus, since every time t corresponds to some season, day of week, and hour of day, and since there are an average of 13.0357 Sundays, Mondays, etc., per season, we can write from the definition of λ that

$$\lambda = \sum_{t=1}^T P[\chi(t) > q] \approx \sum_{s=1}^4 \sum_{d=1}^7 \sum_{h=1}^{24} (13.0357) \hat{P}[\chi(s,d,h) > q],$$

--- (40)

where

$\hat{P}[\chi(s,d,h) > q]$ is the estimate of $P[\chi(t) > q]$.

Equation (40) shows that there are only 672 unique estimates of the 8760 $P[\chi(t) > q]$'s, since each estimate will be repeated about 13 times per season. Thus, the computation of λ requires actually evaluating only about 7.67% of the possible 8760 marginal probabilities.

A computer program to estimate λ for highway applications has been developed according to the above principles. The program requires as input 1) the parameters of the joint meteorological probability functions for each of the 96 season-hour categories in a year, 2) the 672 season-day-hour background and source terms (the program will handle up to ten source roadways), and 3) the number and location of the highway line sources and the location of the receptor. The cost of running this program is less than about \$15. A listing and documentation of this computer program is contained in the Appendix. (Because

it was thought to be of interest to relatively few readers, the Appendix has not been included in all copies of the report. It may be obtained upon request.)

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

In the previous section a method for determining λ , the expected number of hours per year during which the CO level will exceed 35 ppm, was examined. In this section it will be seen that this method is very insensitive to unbiased random errors while also being very sensitive to true parameter changes. It will also be seen that the estimation error of λ obtained when using this method is much less than that obtained when estimating λ from a distribution-fitting method such as Larsen's lognormal model.

To examine the sensitivity of estimates of λ and $P(V)$ to changes (systematic or random) in the background, source, and dispersion terms in equation (29), we must find the derivations of $P_1(t)$ with respect to these terms. However, the $P_1(t)$'s are, we recall, not analytical functions and cannot, therefore, be analytically differentiated. Thus, we must approximate the $P_1(t)$'s by analytical functions in order to approximate the derivatives of the $P_1(t)$'s with respect to the above parameters. In particular, we will approximate $P_1(t) = P[\chi(t) > q]$ as an exponential function of q (concentration) which, since the derivatives of q are analytically defined from equation (29), will then allow us to obtain the desired derivatives.

The derivatives, $dP_1(t)/dX$ for $X = S_i(t), B(t), D_i(\cdot)$, respectively, cannot be determined analytically from our definition of the $P_1(t)$'s, but if we approximate the $P_1(t)$'s as analytical functions of q , then we may write

$$dP_1(t)/dX = -(dP_1(t)/dq)(dq/dX), \quad \text{---(41)}$$

where the derivatives dq/dX are defined from equation (29) which states that

$$q = \max[1, \mu(t)]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N S_i(t) D_i(\cdot) + B(t). \quad \text{---(29)}$$

The reason for the minus sign in equation (41) will be explained later. In order to approximate $dP_1(t)/dq$ in equation (41), we recall that the probability function of $\chi(t)$ can be approximated by several distribution functions. In this section we will approximate the distribution of $\chi(t)$ by the exponential distribution function.

There are two basic reasons for assuming that the exponential distribution describes the random behavior of $\chi(t)$. The first is that when the exponential distribution is used, the relationship between $P_1(t)$ and q ,

$$P_1(t) = P[\chi(t) > q] = \exp[-a(t)q], \quad \text{--- (42)}$$

has only the single parameter, $a(t)$, which is readily evaluated from equation (42) as

$$a(t) = -\ln\{P[\chi(t) > 35]\}/35. \quad \text{--- (43)}$$

The second justification for using the exponential distribution results from the fact the values of the $P_1(t)$'s are much less than 1 and thus only the slopes of the upper tails of the distributions of the $\chi(t)$ are of interest. Since the slopes of the upper tails of the distribution of $\chi(t)$ will be very small, the absolute error in estimating $dP_1(t)/dq$ resulting from the use of equation (42) will also be very small.

Using equation (42) to define the relationship between $P_1(t)$ and q , we can write the derivatives of the $P_1(t)$ with respect to q as

$$\begin{aligned} dP_1(t)/dq &= -a(t)\exp[-a(t)q], \\ &= -a(t)P_1(t). \end{aligned} \quad \text{--- (44)}$$

Similarly, from equation (29) we find (assuming a single emissions source) that

$$dq/dS(t) = [q-B(t)]/S(t), \quad \text{--- (45)}$$

$$dq/dB(t) = 1, \text{ and} \quad \text{--- (46)}$$

$$dq/dD(\cdot) = [q-B(t)]/D(\cdot). \quad \text{--- (47)}$$

To understand the need for the minus sign in equation (41), notice from equation (33) that a change in $P_1(t)$ induced by a given change in q can also be realized by a change in X [$X=S(t)qB(t)$, or $D(\cdot)$] of the opposite sign. In particular, if a change in q of Δq at constant X causes a change in $P_1(t)$ of $\Delta P_1(t)$, then at constant q a change in X of $\Delta X = -(dx/dq)\Delta q$ will also produce a change of $\Delta P_1(t)$ in $P_1(t)$, because ΔX is, from its definition, the change in X necessary to change q by the amount necessary to produce $\Delta P_1(t)$. Thus we can write from equations (44,45) that

$$dP_1(t)/dS(t) = a(t)P_1(t)[q-B(t)]/S(t), \quad \text{--- (48)}$$

and from equations (44,46) that

$$dP_1(t)/dB(t) = a(t)P_1(t), \quad \text{--- (49)}$$

and from equations (44,47) that

$$dP_1(t)/dD(\cdot) = a(t)P_1(t)[q-B(t)]/D(\cdot). \quad \text{--- (50)}$$

From Table 1 we can see that over the range of interesting λ , we may estimate $a(t)$ as approximately 0.25. This approximation will allow us, in turn, to estimate the sensitivity of λ to $S(t)$, $B(t)$, and $D(\cdot)$.

Table 1

λ	$P_1(t)$	$a(t)$
10	10^{-3}	.20
1	10^{-4}	.26
10^{-1}	10^{-5}	.33

There are two sensitivity questions concerning X ($X=S(t)$, $B(t)$, or $D(\cdot)$) and λ or X and $P(V)$. First, we wish to find the sensitivity of λ and $P(V)$ to systematic changes in X for each hour t , and second we wish to find the sensitivity of λ and $P(V)$ to independent random errors of estimation in X for each hour t .

Since λ is defined as the sum of the $P_1(t)$'s, $\Delta\lambda$ is defined as

$$\Delta\lambda = \sum_{t=1}^T \Delta P_1(t). \quad \text{--- (51)}$$

For a given constant percentage change in the source emissions for every hour t , we find from equations (48,51) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\lambda &= \sum_{t=1}^T a(t) P_1(t) [q-B(t)] [\Delta S(t)/S(t)], \\ &\approx 5 [\Delta S(t)/S(t)] \lambda, \end{aligned} \quad \text{--- (52)}$$

where $[q-B(t)] \approx 20$, and $a(t) \approx 0.25$ for all t , and $[\Delta S(t)/S(t)]$ is constant for all t . Thus we may write

$$\Delta\lambda/\lambda \approx 5[\Delta S(t)/S(t)], \quad \text{--- (53)}$$

which states that for a given constant percentage change in $S(t)$ for all t , the percentage response in λ is approximately five times as great as the constant percentage change in source emissions.

Recalling equation (28) for $\lambda \geq 1$,

$$P(V) = 1 - \exp(-\lambda)(1+\lambda), \quad \text{--- (28)}$$

we see that

$$dP(V)/d\lambda = \lambda \exp(-\lambda). \quad \text{--- (54)}$$

Thus we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta P(V) &= 5\lambda^2 [\Delta S(t)/S(t)] \exp(-\lambda) \\ &\approx 3[\Delta S(t)/S(t)], \end{aligned} \quad \text{--- (55)}$$

for λ in the range of 2. Equation (55) states that for a given systematic percentage change in $S(t)$ for all t , the absolute response in $P(V)$ is approximately triple the constant percentage change in source emissions. Thus we see that both λ and $P(V)$ are quite responsive to systematic changes in the source emissions.

The response of λ and $P(V)$ to a constant change in background concentration for each hour t may be found starting from equations (49,51). First we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\lambda &= \sum_{t=1}^T a(t)P_1(t) [\Delta B(t)/B(t)]B(t), \\ &\approx 4\lambda [\Delta B(t)/B(t)],\end{aligned}\quad \text{---(56)}$$

where $a(t) \approx 0.25$, $B(t) \approx 16$, and $[\Delta B(t)/B(t)]$ is constant for all t . Thus we may write

$$\Delta\lambda/\lambda \approx 4 [\Delta B(t)/B(t)]. \quad \text{---(57)}$$

Equation (57) states that λ changes by about 4% for every 1% change in $B(t)$ which is realized across all t . Also from equations (54,56) we may write

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta P(V) &= 4\lambda^2 [\Delta B(t)/B(t)] \exp(-\lambda), \\ &\approx 2[\Delta B(t)/B(t)],\end{aligned}\quad \text{---(58)}$$

for λ in the range of about 2. Equation (58) states that for a given constant percentage change in $B(t)$ for all t , the absolute response in $P(V)$ is approximately twice the fractional change in background emissions. Thus we see that both λ and $P(V)$ are very responsive to systematic changes in background concentration.

Now let us consider the effects of random errors of estimation on λ and $\hat{P}(V)$. Let $\hat{P}_1(t)$ be our estimate of $P_1(t)$, the true probability that $\chi(t) > 35\text{ppm}$, and let

$$\varepsilon(t) = P_1(t) - \hat{P}_1(t) \quad \text{---(59)}$$

be the error of the estimate, $\hat{P}_1(t)$. We assume that $\varepsilon(t)$ is a random variable with mean zero and finite variance, and that the errors of estimation are mutually independent. Continuing, we define $\hat{\lambda}$, our estimate of λ , which is the sum of the $P_1(t)$'s, as

$$\hat{\lambda} = \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{P}_1(t), \quad \text{--- (60)}$$

and we define the error of the estimate, $\hat{\lambda}$, as

$$\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda}) = \lambda - \hat{\lambda} = \sum_{t=1}^T P_1(t) - \hat{P}_1(t) = \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon(t). \quad \text{--- (61)}$$

Equation (61) indicates, from the Central Limit Theorem, that $\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda})$, the error in estimating λ from the sum of the unbiased estimates of the $P_1(t)$'s, will be normally distributed with mean zero and variance equal to the sum of the variances of the $\varepsilon(t)$'s. Although the $V(\varepsilon(t))$'s — the variances of the $\varepsilon(t)$'s — are unknown, we can reasonably assume, since both the magnitudes of the $P_1(t)$'s and the range of the $P_1(t)$'s are $\ll 1$, that the standard deviations of the $\varepsilon(t)$'s are equal to $K \times P_1(t)$'s, where $K \approx 1$; i.e., that the standard deviations are of about the same magnitude as the $P_1(t)$'s themselves. Thus we find the variance of the estimate, $\hat{\lambda}$, from

$$V(\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda})) = K^2 \sum_{t=1}^T P_1(t)^2, \quad \text{--- (62)}$$

which is easily computed from the $\hat{P}_1(t)$'s for any fixed value of K .

To illustrate the magnitude of $V[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda})]$, consider the following. The set of $\hat{P}_1(t)$ for $t=1,2,3,\dots,T$ has a sample variance defined as

$$V(\text{Sample}) = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \hat{P}_1(t)^2}{T} - \left(\frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \hat{P}_1(t)}{T} \right)^2. \quad \text{--- (63)}$$

If we assume that the sample standard deviation is approximately equal to the sample mean (the range of the sample and the mean of the sample will be $\ll 1$), then we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{P}_1(t)^2 &= 2T \left(\frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \hat{P}_1(t)}{T} \right)^2, \\ &= 2T(\hat{\lambda}/T)^2. \end{aligned} \quad \text{--- (64)}$$

Thus from equation (62) we can approximate the variance of the estimate, $\hat{\lambda}$, as

$$V[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda})] \approx K^2 2(8760) (\hat{\lambda}/8760)^2 = K^2 \times \hat{\lambda}^2 \times 2.3 \times 10^{-4}, \quad \text{--- (65)}$$

and the standard deviation of the error as

$$\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda})] \approx K \times \hat{\lambda} \times 1.5 \times 10^{-2}. \quad \text{--- (66)}$$

From equation (66) and the Central Limit Theorem, we can estimate the two-sigma confidence band for λ as $\hat{\lambda} \pm 3\%K\lambda$. Thus, λ estimated from the sum of the $\hat{P}_1(t)$'s over all t is

very insensitive to unbiased random errors of estimation in the $P_1(t)$'s. For example, standard errors of the estimates $\hat{P}_1(t)$, equal to 100% of $\hat{P}_1(t)$ result in a standard error of the estimate, $\hat{\lambda}$, of only about 3% of $\hat{\lambda}$.

Recall that we let the standard deviation of $\varepsilon(t)$ be $\hat{K}\hat{P}_1(t)$. Now analyzing $\varepsilon(t)$ with respect to the source, background, and dispersion terms, we see from equation (33) that the error of the estimate, $\hat{P}_1(t)$, can be approximated as

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(t) \approx & [dP_1(t)/dS(t)]\varepsilon_S(t) + [dP_1(t)/dB(t)]\varepsilon_B(t) \\ & + [dP_1(t)/dD(\cdot)]\varepsilon_D(t),\end{aligned}\quad \text{--- (67)}$$

where the errors of the estimates of the source, background, and dispersion terms, $S(t)$, $B(t)$, and $D(\cdot)$, respectively, are

$$\varepsilon_S(t) = S(t) - \hat{S}(t), \quad \text{--- (68)}$$

$$\varepsilon_B(t) = B(t) - \hat{B}(t), \text{ and} \quad \text{--- (69)}$$

$$\varepsilon_D(t) = D(\cdot) - \hat{D}(\cdot). \quad \text{--- (70)}$$

The estimation errors $\varepsilon_S(t)$, $\varepsilon_B(t)$, and $\varepsilon_D(t)$ are all assumed mutually independent and, therefore, the variance of their sum will equal the sum of their variances. Thus from equation (67) we can write the variance of the estimation error of $\hat{P}_1(t)$ in terms of the variances of the estimation errors of $\hat{S}(t)$, $\hat{B}(t)$, and $\hat{D}(\cdot)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}V(\varepsilon(t)) = & [dP_1(t)/dS(t)]^2 V[\varepsilon_S(t)] \\ & + [dP_1(t)/dB(t)]^2 V[\varepsilon_B(t)] \\ & + [dP_1(t)/dD(\cdot)]^2 V[\varepsilon_D(t)].\end{aligned}\quad \text{--- (71)}$$

Now from equation (48) and the assumptions that $a(t) \approx 0.25 \text{ ppm}^{-1}$, and $(q-B(t))/S(t) \approx 100 \text{ ppm-m-sec/gm}$,

$$[dP_1(t)/dS(t)]^2 \approx [25P_1(t)]^2 \quad \text{--- (72)}$$

and, from equation (49),

$$[dP_1(t)/dB(t)]^2 \approx [.25P_1(t)]^2, \quad \text{--- (73)}$$

and from equation (5) and the assumption that $[q-B(t)]/D(\cdot) \approx 0.2 \text{ ppm-m}^2/\text{gm}$, we can write $[dP_1(t)/dD(\cdot)]^2 \approx [0.05P_1(t)]^2$. Thus we may write from equation (71) that

$$\begin{aligned} V(\varepsilon(t)) \approx \hat{P}_1(t)^2 \times \{6.25 \times 10^2 V[\varepsilon_S(t)] + 6.25 \times 10^{-2} V[\varepsilon_B(t)] \\ + 2.5 \times 10^{-3} V[\varepsilon_D(t)]\}. \end{aligned} \quad \text{--- (74)}$$

Recalling from equation (62) that the variance of the error of estimate in $\hat{P}_1(t)$ is $K^2 \hat{P}_1(t)^2$, and assuming that the variances of estimates $V[\varepsilon_S(t)]$, $V[\varepsilon_B(t)]$, and $V[\varepsilon_D(t)]$ are about constant over all t , we can write from equation (66) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda})] \approx \{6.25 \times 10^2 V[\varepsilon_S(t)] + 6.25 \times 10^{-2} V[\varepsilon_B(t)] \\ + 2.5 \times 10^{-3} V[\varepsilon_D(t)]\}^{1/2} 1.50 \times 10^{-2} \times \hat{\lambda}, \end{aligned} \quad \text{--- (75)}$$

which expresses the standard deviation of the estimation error of $\hat{\lambda}$ in terms of the variances of the estimation errors for the source, background, and dispersion terms.

Now we wish to estimate the magnitude of the variance terms in equation (75). Juneja (1977) has found a standard error of estimate for single vehicle emissions of about one-sixth of the mean vehicle emissions. Thus from the Central Limit Theorem, assuming that about 3,000 individual vehicles having independent estimation errors make up a highway line source, we can approximate the standard error of estimate of the total source emissions as about $3 \times 10^{-3} \times \hat{S}(t)$ for a known fleet of vehicles. Scaling by a factor of ten to account for uncertainties in the number, type, age, speed, and condition of vehicles actually using a highway, we can conservatively approximate $\text{STD}[\epsilon_S(t)] \approx 3 \times 10^{-2}$. Newstadter and Sidik (1974) place the standard deviation of typical air pollution concentration data as being between 1.3 and 2.7. Thus, we can conservatively assume that $\text{STD}[\epsilon_B(t)] \approx 3$. Turner (1974) suggests that the error in dispersion estimates can range between $\pm 100\%$ of the estimate. Thus for $\hat{D}(\cdot) \approx 100 \text{ ppm-m}^2/\text{gm}$ and assuming that the error range is the three sigma range, we can conservatively estimate $\text{STD}(\epsilon_D(t)) \approx 30 \text{ ppm-m}^2/\text{gm}$. Using these estimates we can rewrite equation (75) as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{STD}[\epsilon(\hat{\lambda})] &\approx (.56 + .56 + 2.25)^{1/2} \times 1.5 \times 10^{-2} \times \hat{\lambda}, \\ &\approx 3 \times 10^{-2} \hat{\lambda}. \end{aligned} \quad \text{--- (76)}$$

Thus we see that λ computed from the sum of the $P_1(t)$'s is very insensitive to random unbiased errors of estimation, and that, in particular, the two-sigma confidence limits on $\hat{\lambda}$ are $\hat{\lambda} \pm 6\%$ of $\hat{\lambda}$.

To examine the sensitivity of $P(V)$ — the probability of violating the NAAQS — to unbiased random errors of estimation we first let $P(V)$ be our estimate of $\hat{P}(V)$, and let

$$\epsilon(\hat{P}) = P(V) - \hat{P}(V), \quad \text{--- (77)}$$

be the error of the estimate $\hat{P}(V)$. For the case of $\lambda \geq 1$, we can see from equation (28) that

$$\varepsilon(\hat{P}) \approx [dP(V)/d\lambda] \times \varepsilon(\hat{\lambda}), \quad \text{--- (78)}$$

and, therefore,

$$V[\varepsilon(\hat{P})] \approx [dP(V)/d\lambda]^2 \times V[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda})], \quad \text{--- (79)}$$

and

$$\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{P})] \approx [dP(V)/d\lambda] \times \text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda})]. \quad \text{--- (80)}$$

Therefore, from equation (54), which gives the derivative of $P(V)$ with respect to λ for $\lambda \geq 1$, and equation (76), which approximates the standard error of estimate of λ , we can write

$$\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{P})] \approx \hat{\lambda}^2 \exp(-\hat{\lambda}) \times 3 \times 10^{-2}. \quad \text{--- (81)}$$

Differentiating equation (81) with respect to $\hat{\lambda}$, setting the result equal to zero and solving for $\hat{\lambda}$ reveals that at $\hat{\lambda}=2$, the standard deviation of the error in the estimate of the probability of violating the NAAQS takes on its maximum value over all positive $\hat{\lambda}$. This maximum of $\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{P})]$ is 0.016.

Although the actual probability distribution of $\varepsilon(\hat{P})$ is not known, we can be reasonably certain from the above that the true $P(V)$ will be within $\hat{P}(V) \pm 0.03$.

Now let us examine the sensitivity of $\hat{\lambda}_L$ and $\hat{P}_L(V)$, the estimates of λ and $P(V)$ determined from some distribution-fitting procedure such as one of those proposed by Larsen, to changes (systematic and random) in the underlying parameters.

As discussed previously, a simulated set of air quality data can be fitted to a distribution function, such as the lognormal as proposed by Larsen, and the parameters of the distribution function used to calculate $\hat{\lambda}_L$, the estimate of the expected number of one-hour pollution levels $>35\text{ppm}$ CO in a year. $\hat{P}_L(V)$, the estimate of the probability of violating the NAAQS, can then be calculated by using $\hat{\lambda}_L$ in equation (28).

If the simulated data set used to determine $\hat{\lambda}_L$ is generated from the same historical meteorological data and source and background terms as were used to determine $\hat{\lambda}$, and if the dispersion model given by equation (29) is used to generate the data set, the response of $\hat{\lambda}_L$ and $\hat{P}_L(V)$ to systematic changes in the source and background terms will be identical to the response of $\hat{\lambda}$ and $\hat{P}(V)$ to such changes. In particular, from equation (53) we find that

$$\Delta\hat{\lambda}_L/\hat{\lambda}_L \approx 5[\Delta S(t)/S(t)], \quad \text{--- (82)}$$

which states that the percentage response in $\hat{\lambda}_L$ to a constant percentage change in source emissions is five times greater than the percentage emissions change. Similarly, we find from equation (55) that

$$\Delta\hat{P}_L(V) \approx 3[\Delta S(t)/S(t)], \quad \text{--- (83)}$$

i.e., that the absolute change in the estimated probability of violating the NAAQS is approximately triple the constant percentage change in source emissions. From equation (57) we find that the percentage change in $\hat{\lambda}_L$ to a constant percentage change in $B(t)$ is four times greater than the constant percentage change in the background concentration, i.e.,

$$\Delta \hat{\lambda}_L / \hat{\lambda}_L \approx 4[\Delta B(t)/B(t)]. \quad \text{--- (84)}$$

And from equation (58) we find that

$$\Delta \hat{P}_L(V) \approx 2[\Delta B(t)/B(t)], \quad \text{--- (85)}$$

which states that the absolute response of $\hat{P}_L(V)$ to a constant percentage change in $B(t)$ is about twice the fractional change in the background concentration.

Although the responses of $\hat{\lambda}_L$ and $\hat{P}_L(V)$ to systematic changes in the source and background terms are identical to the responses of $\hat{\lambda}$ and $\hat{P}(V)$ to such systematic changes, we will see that the standard deviations of the errors of estimation $\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda}_L)]$ and $\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{P}_L)]$ are much greater than the standard deviations of the errors of estimation $\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda})]$ and $\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{P})]$. First let us consider $\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda}_L)]$.

The Larsen estimate of λ is defined as

$$\hat{\lambda}_L = 8760 \times \hat{P}_L(\chi > 35), \quad \text{--- (86)}$$

and the error of the estimate is defined as

$$\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda}_L) = \lambda - \hat{\lambda}_L, \quad \text{--- (87)}$$

where $\hat{P}_L(\chi > 35)$ is the probability that a randomly selected one-hour pollution level will be greater than 35 ppm as determined from the distribution function fitted to the data. The variance of the error of the Larsen estimate of λ is, from equations (86,87),

$$V[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda}_L)] = V(\lambda - \hat{\lambda}_L) = V(\hat{\lambda}_L) = (8760)^2 V[\hat{P}_L(\chi > 35)]. \quad \text{--- (88)}$$

The variance of the Larsen estimate, $\hat{P}_L(\chi > 35)$, can be determined from the properties of the binomial distribution (with N = the number of years of simulated data) as

$$\begin{aligned} V[\hat{P}_L(\chi > 35)] &= \hat{P}(\chi > 35)[1 - \hat{P}(\chi > 35)] / (8760 \times N), \\ &\approx \hat{P}_L(\chi > 35) / (8760 \times N), \end{aligned} \quad \text{--- (89)}$$

since $1 - \hat{P}(\chi > 35) \approx 1$. Thus, from equations (86,88,89), the variance of the error of the Larsen estimate of λ is approximately

$$\begin{aligned} V[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda}_L)] &\approx 8760 \times \hat{P}_L(\chi > 35) / N, \\ &= \hat{\lambda}_L / N, \end{aligned} \quad \text{--- (90)}$$

and the standard deviation of the error is

$$\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda}_L)] \approx (\hat{\lambda}_L / N)^{1/2}. \quad \text{--- (91)}$$

Recalling equation (76), we see that

$$\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda})] \approx 3 \times 10^{-2} \hat{\lambda}. \quad \text{--- (76)}$$

Therefore, we find (for a typical value of $N=10$ years), $\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda}_L)] > \text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda})]$ for $\lambda \leq 112$ and, in particular, for λ in the range of about 2, we find that

$$\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda}_L)] = 0.45 \text{ and } \text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda})] = 0.06, \text{ or that}$$

$$\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda}_L)] / \text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda})] = 7.5.$$

Similarly, the Larsen estimate of $P(V)$, is defined by equation (28) as

$$\hat{P}_L(V) = 1 - \exp(-\hat{\lambda}_L)(1 + \hat{\lambda}_L), \quad \text{--- (28)}$$

for $\hat{\lambda}_L \geq 1$, and the error of the estimate, $\hat{P}_L(V)$, is defined as

$$\varepsilon(\hat{P}_L) = P(V) - \hat{P}_L(V). \quad \text{--- (92)}$$

We can approximate, as in equation (78), the error of the estimate, $\hat{P}_L(V)$, as

$$\varepsilon(\hat{P}_L) \approx [d\hat{P}_L(V)/d\hat{\lambda}_L] \varepsilon(\hat{\lambda}_L), \quad \text{--- (93)}$$

for small errors of estimation, $\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda}_L)$. Thus, we can write the variance of the Larsen estimate of the probability of violating the standards from equation (93) as

$$V[\varepsilon(\hat{P}_L)] \approx [d\hat{P}_L(V)/d\hat{\lambda}_L]^2 V[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda}_L)], \quad \text{--- (94)}$$

and the standard deviation of estimation error, $\varepsilon(\hat{P}_L)$, as

$$\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{P}_L)] \approx [d\hat{P}_L(V)/d\hat{\lambda}_L] \text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda}_L)]. \quad \text{--- (95)}$$

From equation (54), which defines $\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{\lambda}_L)]$, we can now write equation (95) as

$$\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{P}_L)] \approx \hat{\lambda}_L \exp(-\hat{\lambda}_L) (\hat{\lambda}_L/N)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad \text{--- (96)}$$

Recalling equation (81) we see that for the method of computing λ from the sum of the $P_1(t)$'s,

$$\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{P})] \approx \hat{\lambda}^2 \exp(-\hat{\lambda}) \times 3 \times 10^{-2}. \quad \text{--- (81)}$$

Thus, for $N \approx 10$

$$\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{P}_L)] > \text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{P})] \text{ for } \lambda \leq 112 \text{ and in the range of } \lambda \approx 2$$

$$\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{P}_L)] \approx 0.121 \text{ and } \text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{P})] \approx 0.016 \text{ or}$$

$$\text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{P}_L)] / \text{STD}[\varepsilon(\hat{P})] = 7.5.$$

SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK

This report has demonstrated that the probability of violating a "not to be exceeded more than once per year" one-hour air quality standard can be bounded from above. This bound has been shown to be a simple function of λ . The parameter λ is the expected number of hours during which the hourly average pollution levels will exceed the standard. Furthermore, from the definition of λ and that of P (Violation) — the probability of violating the standard — this result applies not only to one-hour standards, but also to any n -hour standard for which the n -hour intervals do not overlap. In other words, for any case in which λ is well defined, and the Markov property holds, the upper bounds on the probability of violating a "not to be exceeded more than once per year" standard are those presented early in this report.

For the special case of the one-hour standard for CO it has been shown that λ and $P(\text{Violation})$ can be determined in a computationally efficient manner. This method of estimating λ and $P(\text{Violation})$ is sensitive to real systematic changes in the underlying parameters, but it is very insensitive to unbiased errors of estimation in the hourly pollution parameters. In particular, this report has shown that this proposed method produces λ and $P(\text{Violation})$ estimates having far smaller variabilities of estimate than λ and $P(\text{Violation})$ estimates from distribution-fitting methods.

An algorithm has been developed to analyze the impact of proposed highways on air quality with respect to the one-hour CO standard. This algorithm applies the results of this report to the specific problem of a particular nonreactive pollutant (CO), emitted from a particular source type (line sources), and time averaged over non-overlapping one-hour periods. These results can, however, also be applied to other pollutants with other averaging times and to other source types. The only restriction to estimating the upper bound on the probability of exceeding a "not to be exceeded more than once per year" standard for any pollutant over any averaging time from any source type is that the process must be Markovian and one must be able to estimate λ , the expected number of averaging periods during which the time-averaged pollution level exceeds the standard per year.

For the case of the eight-hour standard for CO, the results of this report are not applicable. Even though sequential one-hour pollution levels are Markovian, sequential eight-hour overlapping pollution levels are not Markovian. Thus the major assumption of this report is violated by the eight-hour averaged pollution process. Furthermore, there does not exist a formulation such as equation (29) which expresses eight-hour averaged pollution levels as a function of eight-hour averaged meteorological, source, and background conditions, since the stationarity assumption implicit in equation (29) cannot reasonably be extended to periods as long as eight hours.

The eight-hour problem will require further study for its solution. Simulation studies appear to offer promise as possible solutions to the problem. Also it may be possible to demonstrate that the indicator process for the eight-hour problem is Markovian even though the underlying eight-hour averaged pollution process is not Markovian. Such an approximation would allow the results of Chapter 2 to be applied to the eight-hour problem as well as to the one-hour problem.

Another possible approach to the eight-hour problem would be to consider non-overlapping eight-hour pollution averages. In this manner it may be possible to combine results for non-overlapping intervals into a composite result for overlapping intervals.

REFERENCES

Benarie, M., 1974, "The Use of the Relationship between Wind Velocity and Ambient Pollutant Concentration Distributions for the Estimation of Average Concentration from Gross Meteorological Data", Proceedings of the Symposium on Statistical Aspects of Air Quality Data, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Environmental Research Center, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

Bencala, K. E., and Seinfeld, J. H., 1976, "On Frequency Distributions of Air Pollutant Concentrations", Atmospheric Environment, 10, p. 941.

Calder, K. L., 1971, "A Climatological Model for Multiple-Source Urban Air Pollution", Report NOAA-72030635, NOAA Environmental Research Laboratories, Air Resources Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

Carpenter, W. A., Heisler, R. L., and Curling, S. F., 1979, "Analyzing Historical Meteorological Data", Virginia Highway and Transportation Research Council, Charlottesville, Virginia, VHTRC79 R-54, June 1979.

Crutcher, H. L., 1957, "On the Standard Vector-Deviation Wind Rose", J. Meteor., 14:, p. 28.

Crutcher, H. L., and Baer, L., 1962, "Computations from Elliptical Wind Distribution Statistics", J. App. Meteor., 1: p. 522.

Crutcher, H. L., and Joiner, R. L., 1977, "Separation of Mixed Data Sets into Homogeneous Sets", NOAA Technical Report EDS-19, U. S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Environmental Data Service, Springfield, Virginia.

Federal Register, 1971, Vol. 36, No. 84, Part II, Washington, D. C., April 30, 1971.

Gifford, F. A., 1959, "Statistical Properties of a Fluctuating Plume Model", Advances in Geophysics, 6, p. 117.

Gifford, F. A., 1974, "The Form of the Frequency Distribution of Air Pollution Concentrations", Proceedings of the Symposium on Statistical Aspects of Air Quality Data, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Environmental Research Center, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

Habegger, L. J., and Wolsko, T. D., 1974, "Estimation of Annual 1-Hour and 8-Hour Maximum Air Pollutant Concentration Near Highways", Paper No. 74-123, 67th Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, Denver, Colorado.

Hirtzel, C. S., and Quon, J. E., 1979, "Statistical Dependence of Hourly Carbon Monoxide Measurements", J. Air Poll. Control Assoc., 29:2, p. 161.

Hunt, W. F., 1972, "The Precision Associated with the Sampling Frequency of Log-Normally Distributed Air Pollutant Measurements", J. Air Poll. Control Assoc., 22:9, p. 687.

Juneja, W. K., Horschlet, D. D., and Haskew, H. M., 1977, "A Treatise on Exhaust Emission Test Variability", Paper 770136, Society of Automotive Engineers International Automotive Engineering Congress and Exposition, Detroit, Michigan.

Kalpasanov, Y., and Kurchatova, G., 1976, "A Study of the Statistical Distribution of Chemical Pollutants in Air", J. Air Poll. Control Assoc., 26:10, p. 981.

Knox, J. B., and Pollack, R. I., 1974, "An Investigation of the Frequency Distributions of Surface Air-Pollutant Concentrations" Proceedings of the Symposium on Statistical Aspects of Air Quality Data, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Environmental Research Center, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

Kumar, S., Lamb, R. G., and Seinfeld, J. H., 1976, "Prediction of Long-Term Mean and Mean Square Pollutant Concentrations in an Urban Atmosphere", Atmospheric Environment, 10, p. 707.

Larsen, R. I., Zimmer, C. E., Lynn, D. A., and Blemel, K. G., 1967, "Analyzing Air Pollutant Concentration and Dosage Data", J. Air Poll. Control Assoc., 17: 2, p. 85.

Larsen, R. I., 1969, "A New Mathematical Model of Air Pollutant Concentration Averaging Time and Frequency", J. Air Poll. Control Assoc., 19:1, p. 25.

Larsen, R. I., 1971, "A Mathematical Model for Relating Air Quality Measurements to Air Quality Standards", Environmental Protection Agency Publication AP-89, Office of Air Programs, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

Larsen, R. I., 1973, "Response to A Comment on A New Mathematical Model of Air Pollution Concentration", J. Air Poll. Control Assoc., 23:4, p. 292.

Larsen, R. I., 1974, "An Air Quality Data Analysis System for Interrelating Effects, Standards, and Needed Source Reductions -- Part 2", J. Air Poll. Control Assoc., 24:6, p. 551.

Larsen, R. I., 1977, "An Air Quality Data Analysis System for Interrelating Effects, Standards, and Needed Source Reductions: Part 4. A Three-Parameter Averaging-Time Model", J. Air Poll. Control Assoc., 27:5, p. 454.

Lee, E. S., Sarin, S. C., and Wang, K. M., 1973, "Parametric Time Series Modeling of Stochastic Air Pollution Data", Report RB73-15, Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California.

Lindley, D. V., 1969, Introduction to Probability and Statistics from a Bayesian Viewpoint. Part 1 - Probability, Cambridge at the University Press, London.

Lynn, D. A., 1974, "Fitting Curves to Urban Suspended Particulate Data", Proceedings of the Symposium on Statistical Aspects of Air Quality Data, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Environmental Research Center, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

Mage, D. T., and Ott, W. R., 1978, "Refinements of the Lognormal Probability Model for Analysis of Aerometric Data", J. Air Poll. Control Assoc., 28:8, p. 796.

Mage, D. T., and Ott, W. R., 1975, "An Improved Statistical Model for Analyzing Air Pollution Concentration Data", Paper No. 75-51.4, 68th Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, Boston, Massachusetts.

Neustadter, H. E., and Sidik, S. M., 1974, "On Evaluating Compliance With Air Pollution Levels Not to Be Exceeded More Than Once A Year", J. Air Poll. Control Assoc., 24:6, p. 559.

Ott, W. R., and Mage, D. T., 1976, "A General Purpose Univariate Probability Model for Environmental Data Analysis", Comput. and Ops. Res., 3, p. 209.

Pasquill, F., 1974, Atmospheric Diffusion, 2nd Edition, John Wiley & Sons, New York.

Patel, N. R., 1973, "Comment on A New Mathematical Model of Air Pollution Concentration", J. Air Poll. Control Assoc., 23:4, p. 291.

Pollack, R. I., 1975, "Studies of Pollutant Concentration Frequency Distributions", EPA publication EPA-650/4-75-004, National Environmental Research Center, Office of Research and Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

Seinfeld, J. H., 1975, Air Pollution, Physical and Chemical Fundamentals, McGraw-Hill, New York.

Snee, R. D., and Pierrard, J. M., 1977, "The Annual Average: An Alternative to the Second Highest Value as a Measure of Air Quality", J. Air Poll. Control Assoc., 27:2, p. 131.

Tiao, G. C., Box, G. E. P., and Hamming, W. J., 1974, "A Statistical Analysis of the Los Angeles Ambient Carbon Monoxide Data", Technical Report 365, Department of Statistics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.

Tikvart, J. A., Freas, W. P., 1977, "A Frequency Distribution Analysis of Calculated Pollutant Concentrations, Infrequent Meteorological Conditions and Allowable Emissions", 5th Conference on Probability and Statistics in Atmospheric Sciences, Sponsored by the American Meteorological Society.

Turner, D. B., 1974, "Air Quality Frequency Distributions from Dispersion Models Compared with Measurements", Proceedings of the Symposium on Statistical Aspects of Air Quality Data, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Environmental Research Center, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

Venkatram, A., 1979, "Applications of Pollutant Frequency Distributions", J. Air Poll. Control Assoc., 29:3, p. 251.

Zimmer, C. E., and Larsen, R. I., 1965, "Calculating Air Quality and Its Control", J. Air Poll. Control Assoc., 15:12, p. 565.

APPENDIX

THE COMPUTER PROGRAM PROBCOL

The computer program PROBCOL finds the probability that a highway line source (or a group of up to ten line sources) will cause a violation of the one-hour NAAQS for CO. PROBCOL, which uses the method developed in this report, is written in Fortran IV and implemented on a CDC Cyber 172 computer. The program requires less than four minutes of CPU time, and the maximum run cost is less than \$15. The input requirements for PROBCOL are described below.

The coordinate system used to locate the sources and receptors in PROBCOL is oriented such that +X is East, +Y is North, and the origin is arbitrary. (Typically, one would simply use the Universal Traverse Mercator (UTM) coordinates found on all U. S. Geological Survey maps to specify the locations of the receptor and sources.) All distances (coordinates) inputted to PROBCOL must be specified in meters, all concentration inputs must be specified in ppm CO, and all source emissions inputs must be specified in micrograms/meter-second. The data card requirements for PROBCOL follow.

Card 1: Format (3(F6.0, 1X)).

Column 1: The X-coordinate of the receptor.
8: The Y-coordinate of the receptor.
15: The height of the receptor.

Card 2: Format (I2).

Column 1: The number of line sources included in this analysis.

Card 3: Format (4(F6.0,1X)), (Line Source 1).

Column 1: The X-coordinate of the West end point of Source 1.
8: The Y-coordinate of the West end point of Source 1.
15: The X-coordinate of the East end point of Source 1.
22: The Y-coordinate of the East end point of Source 1.

Card 4: Format (4(F6.0,1X)), (Line Source 2).

Column 1: The X-coordinate of the West end point of Source 2.
 8: The Y-coordinate of the West end point of Source 2.
 15: The X-coordinate of the East end point of Source 2.
 22: The Y-coordinate of the East end point of Source 2.

Etcetera for each of the line sources in the analysis.

Card N: Format (F2.0).

Column 1: The one-hour CO standard (=35 ppm).

Card N+1: Format (I2).

Column 1: The number of Background/Source conditions (hereafter referred to as NBS) which can occur for each time period. (Typically equals seven for the seven days of the week. Although, for instance, a value of two for weekdays and weekends might be used.)

Following Card N+1 are 96 groups of cards, one group for each of the 96 time periods in a year. Each group contains NBS (recall that NBS = the number of B/S conditions) cards, one card for each of the NBS background/source conditions. The 96 one-hour time periods (TP) are defined as:

<u>Time Periods</u>	<u>Months</u>	<u>Time</u>
1		0000 to 0100 ST
to	11, 12, 1	to
24		2300 to 2400 ST
25		0000 to 0100 ST
to	2, 3, 4	to
48		2300 to 2400 ST
49		0000 to 0100 DST
to	5, 6, 7	to
72		2300 to 2400 DST
73		0000 to 0100 DST
to	8, 9, 10	to
96		2300 to 2400 DST

Notice that the one-hour shifts from Standard Time to Daylight Saving Time are incorporated in the definitions of the time periods. The (96 × NBS) background/source cards are specified as follows:

Card TP1, B/S condition 1: Format (F5.4,1X,F4.0,10 (1X,F6.0)).

Column 1: The fraction of the time that B/S condition 1 for TP1 will occur.
 7: Background concentration for TP1, B/S condition 1.
 12: Emissions for source 1, for TP1, B/S condition 1.
 19: Emissions for source 2, for TP1, B/S condition 1.
 26: Emissions for source 3, for TP1, B/S condition 1.
 etc.
 75: Emissions for source 10, for TP1, B/S condition 1.

Card TP1, B/S condition 2: Format (F5.4,1X,F4.0,10(1X,F6.0)).

Column 1: The fraction of the time that B/S condition 2 for TP1 will occur.
 7: Background concentration for TP1, B/S condition 2.
 12: Emissions for source 1, for TP1, B/S condition 2.
 19: Emissions for source 2, for TP1, B/S condition 2.
 etc
 75: Emissions for source 10, for TP1, B/S condition 2.

Etcetera for each B/S condition for TP1 up to,

Card TP1, B/S condition NBS: Format (F5.4,1X,F4.0,10(1X,F6.0)).

Column 1: The fraction of the time that B/S condition NBS for TP1 will occur.
 7: Background concentration for TP1, B/S condition NBS.
 12: Emissions for source 1, for TP1, B/S condition NBS.
 etc
 75: Emissions for source 10, for TP1, B/S condition NBS.

Card TP2, B/S condition 1: Format (F5.4,1X,F4.0,10(1X,F6.0)).

Column 1: The fraction of the time that B/S
condition 1 for TP2 will occur.
7: Background concentration for TP2, B/S
condition 1.
12: Emissions for source 1, for TP2, B/S
condition 1.
etc
75: Emissions for source 10, for TP2, B/S
condition 1.

Etcetera for each B/S condition for TP2 up to,

Card TP2, B/S Condition NBS: Format (F5.4,1X,F4.0,10(1X,F6.0)).

Columnar information defined in same manner as above.

Etcetera for each B/S condition for each time period up to,

Card TP96, B/S condition NBS: Format (F5.4,1X,F4.0,10(1X,F6.0)).

A listing of the computer PROBCO1, its incorporated documentation, and a sample output for a six-lane roadway carrying heavy traffic and with the receptor located 15 meters from the nearest traffic lane follows.

PROGRAM PROBCU1	73/172	IS	FTN 4.6+452	06/08/79	14.40.34	PAGE	1
-----------------	--------	----	-------------	----------	----------	------	---

```

PROGRAM PR08C01(INPUT,OUTPUT,TAPE4,TAPE5-INPUT,TAPE6-OUTPUT)

      INTEGER C,CLASS,I,J,K,NBS,NSOURC,TPS

      REAL ALFERK,ALPHA,BJ,CA,CGNC,DUMMX,DUMHY,DY,EN,ENERR,GAMMA,IA,IAL,
      -IAG,IB,IB6,INTGRL,KIC,K3,LENGTH,OX,DY,OZ,PCC,PREER,PROB,
      -PX,QK,SA,SUM,SUV,SV2,SK1,UIC,VIC,WNDMIN,X,XK1,XK2,X1,X2,Y1,Y2

      REAL B(12),F(97),FBS(12),INT(10,96,2),K1(96,2),K2(96,2),M(96,2),
      -PC(5),SOURCE(10,12),STATIN(6),Y(7),Z(7)

      COMMON X1,Y1,X2,Y2,OX,DY,OZ,ALPHA,GAMMA,LENGTH,CLASS

      COMMON /H/ INT,WNDMIN,NSOURC

      DATA F/97*0.0/
      DATA WNDMIN/1.0/

      C      ALFERK  REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
      C      - THE MAXIMUM ALPHA INTEGRATION ERROR IN THE EXPECTED
      C      NUMBER OF EXCEEDENCES PER YEAR.

      C      ALPHA    REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
      C      - THE WIND DIRECTION MEASURED CCW FROM EAST IN RADIANS.
      C      ALPHA IS THE DIRECTION THE WIND IS COMING FROM.

      C      B        REAL ARRAY -- DIMENSION 12 -- INPUT.
      C      CONTAINS THE BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS IN PPM CO,
      C      INDEXED BY 8/5 CONDITION.

      C      BJ       REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
      C      -B(JJ).

      C      C        INTEGER SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
      C      - STABILITY CLASS INDEX.

      C      CA       REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
      C      - COS(ALPHA).

      C      CLASS    INTEGER SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
      C      - STABILITY CLASS INDEX.

      C      CONC     REAL SCALAR -- INPUT.
      C      - CONCENTRATION IN PPM CO USED TO FIND PICO .(E. CONC).

      C      DUMMX    REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
      C      A GENERAL PURPOSE DUMMY VARIABLE.

      C      DUMHY    REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
      C      A GENERAL PURPOSE DUMMY VARIABLE.

      C      DY       REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
      C      A GENERAL PURPOSE DUMMY VARIABLE.

```

06/08/79 14.40.34

FIN 4.6452

PROGRAM PROBCUL 73/172 TS

```

C EN      REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C          * THE EXPECTED NUMBER OF EXCEEDENCES PER YEAR.
C
C ENERR    REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C          * ERROR BOUND ON EN.
C
C F        REAL ARRAY -- DIMENSION 97 -- CALCULATED
C          F(IK) = THE VALUE AT ALPHA *K*0.065449847 OF THE
C          PRODUCT OF THE PROBABILITY DENSITY FUNCTION OF ALPHA
C          AND THE EXPECTED NUMBER OF EXCEEDENCES PER YEAR GIVEN
C          ALPHA FOR A STANDARD VALUE OF CONC.
C
C FBS      REAL ARRAY -- DIMENSION 12 -- INPUT.
C          THE FREQUENCY OF A B/S CONDITION INDEXED BY B/S CONDITION.
C
C GAMMA    REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C          * ROAD ANGLE MEASURED CCW FROM EAST IN RADIAN.
C
C I        INTEGER SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C          * AN INDEXING VARIABLE.
C
C IA       REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C          A GENERAL PURPOSE DUMMY VARIABLE.
C
C IA1      REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C          * THE EXPECTED NUMBER OF EXCEEDENCES PER YEAR FOUND BY
C          A COTEPS FIRST ORDER INTEGRATION ON EVERY OTHER ALPHA.
C
C IA6      REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C          SAME AS IA1 BUT USING A COTEPS SIXTH ORDER INTEGRATION.
C
C IB       REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C          A GENERAL PURPOSE DUMMY VARIABLE.
C
C IB1      REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C          SAME AS IA1 BUT USING EVERY ALPHA.
C
C IB6      REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C          SAME AS IB1 BUT USING A COTEPS SIXTH ORDER INTEGRATION.
C
C INT      REAL ARRAY -- DIMENSION 10,96,5 -- CALCULATED.
C          * THE GAUSSIAN DISPERSION INTEGRAL IN METERS--1 INDEXED
C          BY ROADWAY, ALPHA, AND CLASS.
C
C INTGRL   REAL SCALAR(FUNCTION) -- CALCULATED.
C          * THE VALUE IN METERS--1 OF THE GAUSSIAN DISPERSION
C          INTEGRAL FOR GIVEN SOURCE LOCATION, RECEPTOR LOCATION,
C          WIND DIRECTION, AND STABILITY CLASS.
C
C J,K      INTEGER SCALARS -- CALCULATED.
C          INDEXING VARIABLES.
C
C KIC      REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C          * A TERM IN THE EXPRESSION FOR F IN (METERS/SECOND)**4.
C
C
C
C

```

115 C K1,K2 REAL ARRAYS -- DIMENSIONS 96,5 -- CALCULATED.
 C - TERMS IN THE EXPRESSION FOR F INDEXED BY ALPHA AND CLASS
 C K1 IS IN (METERS/SECOND)**-2, AND K2 IS IN
 C (METERS/SECOND)**-1.

120 C K3 REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
 C - A DIMENSIONLESS TERM IN THE EXPRESSION FOR F.

125 C L INTEGER SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
 C AN INDEXING VARIABLE.

C LENGTH REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
 C - THE LENGTH IN METERS OF A ROADWAY.

130 C M REAL ARRAY -- DIMENSION 96,5 -- CALCULATED.
 C - A TERM IN THE EXPRESSION FOR F IN (METERS/SECOND)**-2.

C NBS INTEGER SCALAR -- INPUT.
 C - THE NUMBER OF BACKGROUND/SOURCE (B/S) CONDITIONS.

135 C NSGURC INTEGER SCALAR -- INPUT.
 C - THE NUMBER OF SOURCES (ROADWAYS) USED IN THE ANALYSES.

C UX,OY,OZ REAL SCALARS -- INPUTS.
 C - THE X, Y, AND Z COORDINATES OF THE RECEPTOR LOCATION
 C IN METERS.

140 C PC REAL ARRAY -- DIMENSION 5 -- INPUT.
 C CONTAINS THE PROBABILITY OF A CLASS, INDEXED BY CLASS.

145 C PCC REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
 C - PC(C).

C PRERR REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
 C ERROR BOUND ON PROB.

150 C PROB REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
 C - PICO .GT. CONC TWICE OR MORE IN A YEAR).

C PX REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
 C A GENERAL PURPOSE DUMMY VARIABLE.

155 C QK REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
 C - THE WIND SPEED REQUIRED TO PRODUCE A CONCENTRATION,
 C CONC, AT THE RECEPTOR GIVEN THE RECEPTOR LOCATION, THE
 C SOURCE LOCATIONS AND STRENGTHS, THE BACKGROUND CONCENTRA-
 C TION, THE STABILITY CLASS, AND THE WIND DIRECTION.

C SA REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
 C - SIN(ALPHA).

165 C SOURCE REAL ARRAY -- DIMENSION 10,12 -- INPUT.
 C CONTAINS THE SOURCE STRENGTHS IN MICROGRAMS(CO)/METER-SECOND,
 C INDEXED BY ROADWAY AND B/S CONDITION.

170 C

06/08/79 14.40.34

FIN 4.6+452

PROGRAM PA93CUL 73/172 IS

```

C      STATIN  REAL ARRAY -- DIMENSION 6 -- INPUT.
C      C      CONTAINS THE PARAMETERS OF THE METEOROLOGICAL DATA
C      C      DISTRIBUTION FOR A SINGLE CLASS AND TIME PERIOD.
175  C      SUM    REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C      C      = THE SUM OVER K OF FK1.
C
C      SUV      REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C      C      THE COVARIANCE IN (METERS/SECOND)**2 OF WIND SPEEDS IN
180  C      C      THE X AND Y DIRECTIONS = STATIN(9).
C
C      SU2      REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C      C      THE VARIANCE IN (METERS/SECOND)**2 OF THE WIND SPEED IN
185  C      C      THE X DIRECTION = STATIN(4).
C
C      SV2      REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C      C      THE VARIANCE IN (METERS/SECOND)**2 OF THE WIND SPEED IN
C      C      THE Y DIRECTION = STATIN(6).
C
C      SXK1     REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C      C      = SORT(K1(K,C)), IN (METERS/SECOND)**-1.
190  C
C      TPS      INTEGER SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C      C      = AN INDEXING VARIABLE.
195  C
C      UIC      REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C      C      THE AVERAGE WIND SPEED IN THE X DIRECTION IN
C      C      METERS/SECOND = STATIN(2).
C
C      VIC      REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C      C      THE AVERAGE WIND SPEED IN THE Y DIRECTION IN
C      C      METERS/SECOND = STATIN(3).
200  C
C      WNDMIN   REAL SCALAR -- DATA.
C      C      THE MINIMUM WIND SPEED, IN METERS/SECOND, TO BE USED IN
C      C      THE GAUSSIAN DISPERSION FORMULATION.
205  C
C      X        REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C      C      A GENERAL PURPOSE UTILITY VARIABLE.
210  C
C      XK1      REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C      C      = K1(K,C) IN (METERS/SECOND)**-2.
C
C      XK2      REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C      C      = K2(K,C) IN (METERS/SECOND)**-1.
215  C
C      X1,Y1    REAL SCALARS -- INPUTS.
C      C      THE X AND Y COORDINATES OF THE WEST-MOST END POINT OF A
C      C      ROADWAY, IN METERS.
220  C
C      X2,Y2    REAL SCALARS -- INPUTS.
C      C      THE X AND Y COORDINATES OF THE EAST-MOST END POINT OF A
C      C      ROADWAY IN METERS.
225  C
C      C
C      C
C      C

```

```

230      C      Y      REAL ARRAY -- DIMENSION 7 -- CALCULATED.
      C      = THE SEVEN COTE'S VARIABLES FOR INTEGRATING F USING
      C      EVERY OTHER ALPHA.
      C
235      C      Z      REAL ARRAY -- DIMENSION 7 -- CALCULATED.
      C      = THE SEVEN COTE'S VARIABLES FOR INTEGRATING F USING
      C      EVERY ALPHA.
      C
      5000 FORMAT(41F6.0,1X)
240      5001 FORMAT(12)
      5002 FORMAT(F2.0)
      5003 FORMAT(F5.4,1X,F4.2,10(1X,6PF6.0))
      C
      6000 FORMAT(1H1//0P,40X,1INPUT DATA LISTING#)
      6001 FORMAT(/0TP,13)
      6002 FORMAT(1P1//0P,40X,1RESULTS//0Q,2X,1FOR A STANDARD OF P,F4.1,P
      +P#//0P,1CX,1THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS PER YEAR IS P,F7.3,
      +P WITH A STANDARD ERROR OF P,F5.3//11X,1THE MAXIMUM PROBABILITY OF
      + TWO OR MORE VIOLATIONS PER YEAR IS P,F6.2,P O/O WITH A STANDARD E
      +ROR OF P,F5.2,P O/O#)
250      6400 FORMAT(1P0,5X,1CLASS P,11,P, STATIN(1 TO 6) = P,16,5F13.5)
      6500 FORMAT(1P0,10THE RECEPTOR, 0X, 0Y, 0Z = P,2(F6.0,P, P),F6.0)
      6503 FORMAT(1P0,5X,1P8'S CONDITION P,12,F10.3,F6.2,6P10F6.0)
      6550 FORMAT(1P0,ROADWAY#P,12,P, X1, Y1, X2, Y2 = P,3(F6.0,P, P),F6.0)
      6600 FORMAT(1H1)
      C
255      C      WRITE(6,6000)
      C
      C      READ THE CARTESIAN COORDINATES OF THE RECEPTOR.
      C
260      1      READ(5,5000) 0X,0Y,0Z
      C
      WRITE(6,6500) 0X,0Y,0Z
      C
      C      READ THE NUMBER OF SOURCES (IE ROADWAYS).
      C
265      2      READ(5,5001) NSOURC
      DO 200 I=1,NSOURC
      C
270      C      FOR EACH ROADWAY READ THE COORDINATES OF ITS END POINTS,
      READ(5,5000) X1,Y1,X2,Y2
      IF(X1 .LE. X2) GO TO 170
      C
275      C      IF THE FIRST END POINT IS EAST OF THE SECOND, REVERSE THEM.
      DUMMX=X1
      DUMMY=Y1
      X1=X2
      Y1=Y2
      X2=DUMMX
      Y2=DUMMY
      C
280      C
      C      SET GAMMA TO PIE/2.
      C
285      170      GAMMA=1.5707963

```



```

345      N=N+2
      IF(N.LT.27) GO TO 270
      WRITE(6,6600)
      N=0
      274 DUMMY=DUMMY+1
      PC(C)=1
      IF(1.EQ.0) GO TO 300
      VIC=STATIN(2)
      VIC=STATIN(3)
      SU2=STATIN(4)
      SUV=STATIN(5)
      SV2=STATIN(6)

355      C
      C FOR EACH CLASS AND WIND ANGLE, COMPUTE THE COMPONENTS OF
      C THE PROBABILITY FUNCTION F(.).
      C
      KIC=SU2+SV2-SUV+2
      K3=(VIC+2+SV2+VIC+2+SU2-2.0*VIC+VIC+SUV)/KIC
      ALPHA=-0.065449847
      DO 280 J=1,96
      ALPHA=ALPHA+0.065449847
      CA=COS(ALPHA)
      SA=SIN(ALPHA)
      K1(J,C)=(CA+2+SV2+SA+2+SU2-2.0*CA+SA+SUV)/KIC
      K2(J,C)=-2.0*(CA+VIC+SV2+SA+VIC+SU2-(CA+VIC+SA+VIC+SUV)/KIC
      X=6.5*(K2(J,C)+2/(4.0*K1(J,C))-K3)
      H(J,C)=0.0
      IF(X.GT.-32.0) H(J,C)=EXP(X)/(6.2831853*SQRT(KIC))
      280 CONTINUE
      300 CONTINUE

370      C
      C COMPUTE THE NORMALIZED VALUES OF PC(.1) FROM THE ABSOLUTE VALUES.
      C PC(C) IS READ IN AS THE NUMBER OF OCCURRENCES OF CLASS C AND
      C DUMMY IS THE SUM OF PC(C) OVER C.
      C
      DO 301 C=1,5
      PC(C)=PC(C)/DUMMY
      301 CONTINUE

      DO 310 I=1,NBS
      C
      C FOR EACH B/S CONDITION, READ THE FREQUENCY OF THE CONDITION,
      C THE BACKGROUND CONCENTRATION FOR THE CONDITION, AND THE NSOURC
      C SOURCE STRENGTHS FOR THE CONDITION.
      C
      READ(5,5003) FBS(I),R(I), (SOURCE(J,I),J=1,NSOURC)
      WRITE(6,6503) I,FBS(I),R(I), (SOURCE(J,I),J=1,NSOURC)
      N=N+2
      IF(N.LT.27) GO TO 310
      WRITE(6,6600)
      N=0
      310 CONTINUE

395      C
      C FIND THE EXPECTED NUMBER OF TIMES CO WILL
      C EXCEED CONC IN THIS TP.
      C
      DO 500 C=1,5

```

```

400      IF(PC(C).EQ.0) GO TO 500
      DU 400 J=1,NBS
      PX=91.25*PC(C)*FBS(J)
      BJ=8(J)
      IF(BJ.LT. 0.0) BJ=0.0
      DD 470 K=1,96
      C
      C
      C
      IF 8J EXCEEDS CONC THEN CONC WILL BE EXCEEDED WITH PROBABILITY 1.
      IF(BJ.GE. CONC) GO TO 450
      XK1=K1(K,C)
      XK2=K2(K,C)
      SXK1=SQRT(XK1)
      DY=XK2/(2.0*SXK1)
      QK=0.0
      C
      C
      C
      415      FIND THE SUM OF THE SOURCE*INTEGRAL PRODUCTS.
      DU 440 L=1,NSOURC
      QK=QK+SOURCE(L,J)*INT(L,K,C)
      440      CONTINUE
      C
      C
      C
      NORMALIZE BY 870/PIE AND (CONC-BJ) TO GET QK IN METERS/SEC.
      QK=QK*276.92960/(CONC-BJ)
      C
      C
      C
      IF QK.LE.WNDMIN THEN CONC WILL BE EXCEEDED WITH PROBABILITY 0.
      IF(QK.LE.WNDMIN) GO TO 470
      DUMHY=(SXK1+QK+DY)*0.70710678
      DUMHY=DY*0.70710678
      IA=0.0
      IFABS(DUMHY) .LT. 8.0) IA=EXP(-DUMHY**2)
      IFABS(DUMHY) .LT. 8.0) IB=EXP(-DUMHY**2)
      F(K)=F(K)+X*(H(K,C)/SXK1)*((IA-IB)+XK2*0.62665707+
      -(ERF(DUMHY)-ERF(DUMHY))/SXK1))
      GO TO 470
      450      F(K)=F(K)+PX
      470      CONTINUE
      F(97)=F(1)
      480      CONTINUE
      500      CONTINUE
      600      CONTINUE
      C
      C
      C
      445      DETERMINE THE EXPECTED NUMBER OF TIMES CONC WILL BE EXCEEDED IN
      A YEAR BY INTEGRATING F(.) OVER ALPHA USING COTES 1ST AND 6TH
      ORDER NUMERICAL TECHNIQUES.
      SUM=0.0
      DD 610 I=1,96,2
      SUM=SUM+F(I)
      610      CONTINUE
      IAI=SUM*0.13089989
      C
      C
      C
      455      G.13089989*(2*PI)/48.
      C
      C

```



```

515      A=1-SQRT(1-EN)
      PROB=1-2*X*EXP(-X)/EN
      PRERR=(ENER*2*X*EXP(-X)/EN)+(1/EN)+(1-X)/2-(1-X)/(2*X))
      GO TO 800
700      PROB=1-EXP(-EN)*(1+EN)
      PRERR=ENER*EN*EXP(-EN)
      GO TO 800
520      PROB=0.0
      PRERR=0.0
      GO TO 100+PRDB
600      PRERR=100+PRERR
      WRITE(6,6002) CONC,EN,ENER,PROB,PRERR
525      STOP
      END

```

420008 CM STORAGE USED 2.511 SECONDS

REAL FUNCTION INIGKL(TOLER)

INIGKL COMPUTES THE GAUSSIAN DISPERSION INTEGRAL RELATIVE TO A
RECEPTOR AT (OX,OY,OZ) FOR A GROUND LEVEL LINE SOURCE RUNNING FROM
(RX1,RY1) TO (RX2,RY2) GIVEN THE WIND ANGLE AND THE STABILITY
CLASS. NOTE THAT (EXCEPT FOR THE DESIRED TOLERANCE) THE PARAMETERS
OF INIGKL ARE PASSED THROUGH BLANK COMMON TO SPEED EXECUTION.

LOGICAL FIRST

INTEGER CLASS, ID, IR, IRO, JR, KR

REAL AHC, ALPHA, BHC, CA, CAG, CG, COTES1, DA, DB, DIST, DISTAR, DR,
-OSTAR, DUMMY, ER, FR, FREXP, FRO, FRI, FR2, GAMMA, HC, INIGRX, L, LAST, LAST1,
-UX, OY, OZ, P, PR, R, RA, RB, RO, RSTAR, RX, RX1, RX2, RY1, RY2, R1, R2, SA, SAG, SG,
-SIGMAH, SIGMAV, STEP, STEP2, STEP3, SUM, TOLER, TOLER1, TOLER2, TOLER3,
-VC, ZK

INTEGER INDEX(101)

REAL AH(6), AV(9,6), BH(6), BV(9,6), HOFSET(6), VOFSET(6), Y(7), Z(7)

COMMON RX1, RY1, RX2, RY2, OX, OY, OZ, ALPHA, GAMMA, L, CLASS

AH REAL ARRAY -- DIMENSION 6 -- CONSTANT.
CONTAINS THE LOG-LOG INTERCEPTS IN METERS FOR THE
HORIZONTAL DISPERSION PARAMETER, INDEXED BY CLASS.

AHC REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
= AH(C), IN METERS.

ALPHA REAL SCALAR -- PARAMETER/COMMON.
= THE WIND DIRECTION MEASURED CCW IN RADIANS FROM EAST.
ALPHA IS THE DIRECTION THE WIND IS COMING FROM.

AV REAL ARRAY -- DIMENSION 9,6 -- CONSTANT.
CONTAINS THE LOG-LOG INTERCEPTS IN METERS FOR THE
VERTICLE DISPERSION PARAMETER INDEXED BY DIST AND CLASS.

BH REAL ARRAY -- DIMENSION 6 -- CONSTANT.
CONTAINS THE LOG-LOG SLOPES FOR THE HORIZONTAL DISPERSION
PARAMETER, INDEXED BY CLASS.

BHC REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
= BH(C).

BV REAL ARRAY -- DIMENSION 9,6 -- CONSTANT.
CONTAINS THE LOG-LOG SLOPES FOR THE VERTICLE DISPERSION
PARAMETER INDEXED BY DIST AND CLASS.

CA REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
= COS(ALPHA).

```

C CAG REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   = COS(ALPHA-GAMMA).
C
C CG REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   = COS(GAMMA).
C
C CLASS INTEGER SCALAR -- PARAMETER/COMMON.
C   = ATMOSPHERIC STABILITY CLASS.
C
C COTES1 REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   = THE TEMPORARY INTEGRAL OVER EITHER R1 TO R0 OR R0 TO R2
C   COMPUTED FROM COTES METHOD OF ORDER 1 IN METERS**1.
C
C DA REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   = THE DERIVATIVE OF F AT RA, WHERE F IS THE GAUSSIAN
C   INTEGRAND.
C
C DB REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   = THE DERIVATIVE OF F AT RB.
C
C DIST(R) REAL SCALAR (STATEMENT FUNCTION) -- CALCULATED.
C   = THE DISTANCE MEASURED ALONG THE WIND VECTOR IN METERS
C   FROM THE RECEPTOR TO THE ROADWAY POINT R.
C
C DISTR REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   = DIST(R), IN METERS.
C
C DLSTAR REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   = DIST(L), IN METERS.
C
C DR REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   = THE DERIVATIVE OF F AT R.
C
C OSTAR REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   = DIST(O), IN METERS.
C
C DUMMY REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   = A TEMPORARY STORAGE VARIABLE.
C
C ER REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   = THE APPROXIMATE AREA UNDER F(R) FROM R TO AN END POINT.
C
C FIRST LOGICAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   = .TRUE. WHEN SUBPROGRAM IS INTEGRATING OVER THE FIRST
C   HALF OF THE INTERVAL (R1,R2), IE OVER THE INTERVAL
C   (R1,R0).
C
C FR REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   = F(R), IN METERS.
C
C FREXP REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   = THE EXPONENT OF THE EXPONENTIAL PART OF F(R).
C
C FRD REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   = F(R0), IN METERS.
C

```

```

119 C FM1 REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
    C • F(R1), IN METERS.
    C
120 C FR2 REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
    C • F(R2) IN METERS.
    C
    C GAMMA REAL SCALAR -- PARAMETER/COMMON.
    C • THE ROAD ANGLE MEASURED CCW IN RADIANS FROM EAST.
    C
    C HC REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
    C • HOFSET(CLASS), IN METERS.
    C
    C HOFSET REAL ARRAY -- DIMENSION 6 -- CONSTANT.
    C CONTAINS THE OFFSET DISTANCES IN METERS FOR THE
    C HORIZONTAL DISPERSION PARAMETER, INDEXED BY CLASS.
    C
130 C LD INTEGER SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
    C • INDEX(MIN(101.0,(DISTRO+VC)/100.0+1.0)).
    C
    C INDEX INTEGER ARRAY -- DIMENSION 101 -- CONSTANT.
    C CONTAINS THE INDICES FOR THE FIRST SUBSCRIPT IN AV AND BV
    C INDEXED BY DIST.
    C
    C INTRX REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
    C • THE TEMPORARY VALUE OF EITHER THE INTEGRAL FROM R1 TO
    C R2 OR THE INTEGRAL FROM R2 TO R1, IN METERS+-1.
    C
    C IR INTEGER SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
    C • THE COUNT OF THE NUMBER OF BISECTIONS USED TO REFIN AN
    C INTEGRATION END POINT, AND
    C • THE COUNT (IN POWERS OF TWO) OF THE NUMBER OF COTES'S
    C INTERVALS USED TO DO AN INTEGRATION.
    C
140 C IRD INTEGER SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
    C • THE COUNT OF THE NUMBER OF BISECTIONS USED TO FIND R2.
    C
    C JR INTEGER SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
    C • THE LOOP STARTING POINT FOR CALCULATING THE NEXT GROUP
    C OF ELEMENTS OF Y.
    C
    C KR INTEGER SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
    C • THE LOOP INCREMENT FOR CALCULATING THE NEXT GROUP OF
    C ELEMENTS OF Y.
    C
    C L REAL SCALAR -- PARAMETER/COMMON.
    C • THE ROADWAY LENGTH, IN METERS.
    C
    C LAST REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
    C • THE PREVIOUS VALUE OF INTRX IN THE ITERATION OF THE
    C COTES'S INTEGRATION.
    C
165 C LAST1 REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
    C • THE PREVIOUS VALUE OF COTES1 IN THE ITERATION OF THE
    C COTES'S FIRST ORDER INTEGRATION.
    C
170 C

```

```

C   UX,UY,UZ REAL SCALARS -- PARAMETERS/COMMON.
C   - THE X, Y, AND Z COORDINATES OF THE RECEPTOR LOCATION,
C   IN METERS.
C
C   175 P(R) REAL SCALAR (STATEMENT FUNCTION) -- CALCULATED.
C   - THE DISTANCE MEASURED PERPENDICULAR TO THE WIND VECTOR
C   IN METERS FROM THE RECEPTOR TO THE WIND VECTOR THROUGH
C   THE ROADWAY POINT R.
C
C   180 PR REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   - P(R), IN METERS.
C
C   R REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   - THE DISTANCE IN METERS FROM (RX1,RY1) AS MEASURED
C   ALONG THE ROADWAY.
C
C   RA,RB REAL SCALARS -- CALCULATED.
C   - THE LEFT AND RIGHT-HAND END POINTS IN THE SEVERAL
C   BISECTION TECHNIQUES AND INTEGRATIONS USED, MEASURED
C   ALONG THE ROADWAY FROM (RX1,RY1) IN METERS.
C
C   RO REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   - THE DISTANCE MEASURED ALONG THE ROADWAY IN METERS FROM
C   (RX1,RY1) TO THE POINT WHERE F(R) REACHES ITS MAXIMUM.
C
C   RSTAR REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   - THE DISTANCE MEASURED ALONG THE ROADWAY IN METERS
C   FROM (RX1,RY1), TO THE POINT WHERE DIST(R) = 0.
C
C   200 RX REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   - EITHER R1 OR R2 DEPENDING ON WHETHER WE ARE DOING A
C   COTEPS INTEGRATION ON (R1,RO) OR (RO,R2).
C
C   205 RX1,RY1 REAL SCALARS -- PARAMETERS/COMMON.
C   - THE X AND Y COORDINATES OF THE WEST-MOST ROADWAY END
C   POINT, IN METERS.
C
C   210 RX2,RY2 REAL SCALARS -- PARAMETERS/COMMON.
C   - THE X AND Y COORDINATES OF THE EAST-MOST ROADWAY END
C   POINT, IN METERS.
C
C   215 R1 REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   - THE DISTANCE MEASURED ALONG THE ROADWAY IN METERS
C   FROM (RX1,RY1) TO THE LEFT-HAND END POINT OF THE
C   INTEGRATION INTERVAL.
C
C   220 R2 REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   - THE DISTANCE MEASURED ALONG THE ROADWAY IN METERS FROM
C   (RX1,RY1) TO THE RIGHT-HAND END POINT OF THE INTEGRATION
C   INTERVAL.
C
C   SA REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   - SIN(ALPHA).
C
C   225 SAG REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
C   - SIN(ALPHA-GAMMA).

```

```

FUNCTION INTGRL 73/172 TS          FTM 4.6+452      06/08/79 14.40.34      PAGE 5

230      C      SG      REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
          C      . SIN(GAMMA).
          C
          C      SIGMAH  REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
          C      . THE HORIZONTAL DISPERSION PARAMETER, IN METERS.
          C
          C      SIGMAV  REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
          C      . THE VERTICLE DISPERSION PARAMETER IN METERS.
          C
          C      STEP    REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
          C      . THE STEP SIZE USED IN THE COTEPS INTEGRATION.
          C
          C      STEP2   REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
          C      . STEP/2.0.
          C
          C      STEP3   REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
          C      . STEP*3.
          C
          C      SUM      REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
          C      . (APPROXIMATELY) THE SUM OF THE INTEGRAND VALUES USED TO
          C      DETERMINE COTES1.
          C
          C      TOLER    REAL SCALAR -- PARAMETER.
          C      . THE DESIRED PRECISION OF THE VALUE RETURNED BY INTGRL.
          C
          C      TOLER1   REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
          C      . LN(TOLER).
          C
          C      TOLER2   REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
          C      . TOLER/2.
          C
          C      TOLER3   REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
          C      . (APPROXIMATELY) LN(TOLER/2/1500) WHICH REPRESENTS THE
          C      LN OF THAT ERROR WHICH COULD BE TOLERATED IN THE
          C      INTEGRAND OVER AN INTERVAL OF 1500 METERS AND STILL
          C      YIELD AN INTEGRATION ERROR .LT. TOLER/2.
          C
          C      VC        REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
          C      . VOFSET(CLASS), IN METERS.
          C
          C      VOFSET    REAL ARRAY -- DIMENSION 6 -- CONSTANT.
          C      CONTAINS THE OFFSET DISTANCES IN METERS FOR THE VERTICLE
          C      DISPERSION PARAMETER, INDEXED BY CLASS.
          C
          C      Y          REAL ARRAY -- DIMENSION 7 -- CALCULATED.
          C      . THE SEVEN COTEPS VARIABLES USED IN THE INTEGRATION.
          C
          C      Z          REAL ARRAY -- DIMENSION 7 -- CONSTANT.
          C      CONTAINS THE SEVEN COTEPS CONSTANTS.
          C
          C      ZR         REAL SCALAR -- CALCULATED.
          C      . THE LENGTH IN METERS OF A COTEPS INTEGRATION SUB
          C      INTERVAL DIVIDED BY 840.
          C
          C      DATA AH /0.4998,0.3399,0.2001,0.1137,0.09524,0.06387/

285

```

06/08/79 14.40.34

FIN 4.6452

FUNCTION INTGR1 73/172 TS

DATA AV /0.1161,0.04661,0.01164,0.0001818,3*0.1301,6*0.03566,
-9*0.1103,3*0.08671,2*0.1646,4*0.4533,2*0.07768,3*0.1375,2*0.3038,
-2*0.8287,4*0.05943,0.1080,0.1859,2*0.3836,1.5252/

290 C DATA BH /0.6679,0.6760,0.8961,6.9251,0.9011,0.9000/

295 C DATA BV /1.6461,1.2155,1.4587,6*2.1280,3*0.9596,6*1.0962,9*0.9119,
-3*0.8647,2*0.7614,4*0.6149,2*0.8299,3*0.7293,2*0.6146,2*0.4936,
-4*0.7476,0.7042,0.6256,2*0.4751,0.3708/

300 C DATA HOFSET /7.8848,12.0129,20.5217,34.3906,45.9989,72.0415/

305 C DATA INDEX /2*1,2,2*3,4,4*5,10*6,20*7,60*8,9/

310 C DATA VOFSET /11.5155,12.7796,17.4995,27.0231,35.9362,57.2639/

315 C DATA Z /41.0,216.0,27.0,272.0,27.0,27.0,216.0,41.0/

320 C STATEMENT FUNCTIONS P(R) AND DIST(R) ARE DEFINED AS FOLLOWS.

325 C P(R)=R*SAG+SA*(RX1-OX)-CA*(RY1-OY)
DIST(R)=R*CAG+CA*(RX1-OX)+SA*(RY1-OY)

330 C INITIALIZE INTGR1, SET UP VARIOUS TOLERANCE LIMITS BASED ON TOLER,
C AND ASSIGN FREQUENTLY USED ARRAY ELEMENTS AND FUNCTION CALLS TO
C SCALARS TO SPEED EXECUTION.

335 C INTGR1=0.0
TOLER1=ALOG(TOLER)

340 C TOLER2=TOLER/2.0

345 C TOLER3=TOLER1-8.0

350 C VC=VOFSET(CLASS)

355 C HC=HOFSET(CLASS)

360 C AHC=AH(CLASS)

365 C BHC=BH(CLASS)

370 C CA=COS(ALPHA)

375 C SA=SIN(ALPHA)

380 C CG=COS(GAMMA)

385 C SG=SIN(GAMMA)

390 C CAG=COS(ALPHA-GAMMA)

395 C SAG=SIN(ALPHA-GAMMA)

400 C RSTAR IS THE R AT WHICH DIST(R) = 0.

405 C INITIALIZE RSTAR TO A LARGE VALUE.

410 C RSTAR=1.0E70

415 C IF(CAG.NE. 0.0) RSTAR=(CA*(OX-RX1)+SA*(OY-RY1))/CAG

420 C WE WISH TO INTEGRATE OVER ALL R SUCH THAT DIST(R) .GE. 0, TO DO
C THIS WE FIND R1 AND R2, THE POINTS BETWEEN WHICH DIST(R) .GE. 0.

425 C IF(RSTAR .LT. 0.0) GO TO 20

430 C IF(RSTAR .EQ. 0.0) GO TO 30

```

C      USTAR IS THE VALUE OF DIST(Q).
C
345  USTAR--RSTAR+CAG
      IF(DSTAR.LT.0.0) GO TO 10
C
C      IF RSTAR.GT.0 AND DSTAR.GE.0, R1=0 AND R2=MIN(RSTAR,L).
C
350  R1=0.0
      R2=AMIN(RSTAR,L)
      GO TO 40
C
C      IF RSTAR.GT.0 AND DSTAR.LT.0, R1=RSTAR AND R2=L.
C
355  R1=RSTAR
      R2=L
C
C      R1.GE. R2 IMPLIES THAT THE INTERVAL OVER WHICH DIST(R).GE.Q IS
360  NOT CONTAINED WITHIN THE ROADWAY LENGTH. THUS THE INTEGRAL = 0.
      IF(R1.GE. R2) RETURN
      GO TO 40
C
365  DSTAR IS THE VALUE OF DIST(Q).
C
C      DSTAR--RSTAR+CAG
C
370  RSTAR.LT.0 AND DSTAR.LT.0 IMPLIES THAT THE INTERVAL OVER WHICH
      DIST(R).GE.0 IS NOT CONTAINED WITHIN THE ROADWAY LENGTH. THUS
      THE INTEGRAL = 0.
      IF(DSTAR.LT.0.0) RETURN
C
375  IF RSTAR.LT.0 AND DSTAR.GE.0, R1=0 AND R2=L.
      R1=0.0
      R2=L
      GO TO 40
C
380  DSTAR IS THE VALUE OF DIST(L).
C
385  DSTAR=L+CAG+CAG*(RX1-0X1)+SA*(RY1-0Y1)
      RSTAR.EQ.0 AND DSTAR.LT.0 IMPLIES THAT THE INTERVAL OVER
      WHICH DIST(R).GE.0 IS NOT CONTAINED WITHIN THE ROADWAY LENGTH.
      THUS THE INTEGRAL = 0.
      IF(DLSTAR.LT.0.0) RETURN
C
390  IF RSTAR.EQ.0 AND DLSTAR.GE.0, R1=0 AND R2=L.
      R1=0.0
      R2=L
C
395  FIND R0, THE R SUCH THAT THE DERIVATIVE OF F(R) = 0.
      C
      C
      C

```

06/08/79 14.40.34

FIN 4.6452

FUNCTION INTRNL 73/172 IS

```

400 C FIND DA, THE DERIVATIVE OF F AT R1.
    C
    C 40
    PR=P(R1)
    DISTR=DIST(R1)
    IU=INDEX(MINI(101.0,(DISTR+VC)/100.0+1.0))
    SIGMAH=AHC*(DISTR+HC)**BHC
    SIGMAV=AV(ID,CLASS)*(DISTR+VC)**BV(ID,CLASS)
    DA=(-PR+SAG/SIGMAH**2)+(((PR/SIGMAH)**2-1.0)*(BHC/(DISTR+HC))+
    -((UZ/SIGMAV)**2-1.0)*(BV(ID,CLASS)/(DISTR+VC)))*CAG

410 C IF DA .EQ. 0, WE HAVE FOUND RD.
    C
    C
    IF(DA .EQ. 0.0) GO TO 110

415 C FIND DB, THE DERIVATIVE OF F AT R2.
    C
    C
    PR=P(R2)
    DISTR=DIST(R2)
    IU=INDEX(MINI(101.0,(DISTR+VC)/100.0+1.0))
    SIGMAH=AHC*(DISTR+HC)**BHC
    SIGMAV=AV(ID,CLASS)*(DISTR+VC)**BV(ID,CLASS)
    DB=(-PR+SAG/SIGMAH**2)+(((PR/SIGMAH)**2-1.0)*(BHC/(DISTR+HC))+
    -((UZ/SIGMAV)**2-1.0)*(BV(ID,CLASS)/(DISTR+VC)))*CAG

420 C
    C
    C
    IF DB .EQ. 0, WE HAVE FOUND RD.
    C
    C
    IF(DB .EQ. 0.0) GO TO 110

425 C
    C
    C
    STARTING WITH THE ROADWAY END POINTS AND ROADWAY POINT CLOSEST TO
    C THE RECEPTOR, USE THE BISECTION TECHNIQUE (THE DERIVATIVE OF F
    C CHANGES SIGN ONLY AT RD) TO LOCATE RD.
    C
    C
    RA=R1
    RB=R2

430 C
    C
    C
    THIS VALUE OF RD IS THE ROAD POINT CLOSEST TO THE OBSERVER
    C
    C
    RD=CA*(RYI-DY)-SA*(RXI-DX)
    IF(RD .LE. RA .OR. RD .GE. RB) RD=(RA+RB)/2.0

435 C
    C
    C
    RD IS A COUNTER. WE WILL PERFORM A MAXIMUM OF 20 BISECTIONS TO
    C LOCATE RD.
    C
    C
    IRO=1

440 C
    C
    C
    IF THE INTERVAL CONTAINING RD IS STILL LARGE, AND WE HAVE NOT YET
    C DONE 20 BISECTIONS, WE CONTINUE THE BISECTION PROCESS.
    C
    C
    50 IF((RB-RA) .LT. 1.0 .OR. IRO .GT. 20) GO TO 110
    IRO=IRO+1
    PR=P(RU)
    DISTR=DIST(RD)
    IU=INDEX(MINI(101.0,(DISTR+VC)/100.0+1.0))
    SIGMAH=AHC*(DISTR+HC)**BHC
    SIGMAV=AV(ID,CLASS)*(DISTR+VC)**BV(ID,CLASS)
    DR=(-PR+SAG/SIGMAH**2)+(((PR/SIGMAH)**2-1.0)*(BHC/(DISTR+HC))+
    -((UZ/SIGMAV)**2-1.0)*(BV(ID,CLASS)/(DISTR+VC)))*CAG

```



```

515      SIGMAV=AV(ID,CLASS)*(DISTR+VC)**BV(ID,CLASS)
      FR1=0.0
      CN=-0.5*((P(R1)/SIGMAH)**2+(OZ/SIGMAV)**2)
      IF(ER.GT. TOLER3) FR1=EXP(ER)/(SIGMAH+SIGMAV)
      IR=0
121      R=(RA+R1)/2.0
      IR=IR+1
      DISTR=DIST(R)
      ID=INDEX(MIN1(101.0,(DISTR+VC)/100.0+1.0))
      SIGMAH=AMC*(DISTR+HC)**BHC
      SIGMAV=AV(ID,CLASS)*(DISTR+VC)**BV(ID,CLASS)
      FR=0.0
      ER=-0.5*((P(R)/SIGMAH)**2+(OZ/SIGMAV)**2)
      IF(ER.GT. TOLER3) FR=EXP(ER)/(SIGMAH+SIGMAV)
      ER=(FR1+FR)*(R-RX)/2.0
      IF(ER.LE. TOLER2) R1=R
      IF(ER.GT. TOLER2) R1=R
      IF(ER.GE. 15.0)R. ABS(RA-R1) .LT. 1.0) GO TO 140
      GO TO 121
      C
      C      COMPUTE F AT THE NEW VALUE OF R1.
      C
535      FR=FR1
      DISTR=DIST(R1)
      ID=INDEX(MIN1(101.0,(DISTR+VC)/100.0+1.0))
      SIGMAH=AMC*(DISTR+HC)**BHC
      SIGMAV=AV(ID,CLASS)*(DISTR+VC)**BV(ID,CLASS)
      FR1=EXP(-0.5*((P(R1)/SIGMAH)**2+(OZ/SIGMAV)**2))/(SIGMAH+SIGMAV)
      C
      C      REFINE R2 TO ELIMINATE UNDERFLOW AND IMPROVE EFFICIENCY.
      C
545      RX=R2
      RA=RU
      DISTR=DISTR2
      ID=INDEX(MIN1(101.0,(DISTR+VC)/100.0+1.0))
      SIGMAH=AMC*(DISTR+HC)**BHC
      SIGMAV=AV(ID,CLASS)*(DISTR+VC)**BV(ID,CLASS)
      FR2=0.0
      ER=-0.5*((P(R2)/SIGMAH)**2+(OZ/SIGMAV)**2)
      IF(ER.GT. TOLER3) FR2=EXP(ER)/(SIGMAH+SIGMAV)
      IR=0
555      R=(RA+R2)/2.0
      IR=IR+1
      DISTR=DISTR1
      ID=INDEX(MIN1(101.0,(DISTR+VC)/100.0+1.0))
      SIGMAH=AMC*(DISTR+HC)**BHC
      SIGMAV=AV(ID,CLASS)*(DISTR+VC)**BV(ID,CLASS)
      FR=0.0
      ER=-0.5*((P(R)/SIGMAH)**2+(OZ/SIGMAV)**2)
      IF(ER.GT. TOLER3) FR=EXP(ER)/(SIGMAH+SIGMAV)
      ER=(FR2+FR)*(R-R)/2.0
      IF(ER.LE. TOLER2) R2=R
      IF(ER.GT. TOLER2) R2=R
      IF(ER.GE. 15.0)R. ABS(RA-R2) .LT. 1.0) GO TO 160
      GO TO 151
      C
      C
570      C

```

```

C      C      COMPUTE F AT THE NEW VALUE OF R2.
C      C      160  FN=FR2
C      C      DISTR=DIST(R2)
C      C      ID=INDEX(MINI(101.0,(DISTR+VC)/100.0+1.0))
C      C      SIGMAH=ABC*(DISTR+HC)**BHC
C      C      SIGMAV=AV(ID,CLASS)*(DISTR+VC)**BV(ID,CLASS)
C      C      FR1=EXP(-0.5*((P(R2)/SIGMAH)**2+(Q2/SIGMAV)**2))/(SIGMAH*SIGMAV)
C      C      580  INIGRL=0.5*((R0-R1)*(FR0+FR1)+(R2-R0)*(FR2+FR0))
C      C      C      SINCE THE INTEGRAL IS .LT. THIS VALUE OF INIGRL, WE MAY RETURN IF
C      C      C      INIGRL IS .LT. TOLER.
C      C      C      IF(INIGRL .LT. TOLER) RETURN
C      C      C      INTEGRATE F(R) FROM RA TO RB. NOTE THAT F(R) IS INTEGRATED AS THE
C      C      C      SUM OF THE INTEGRAL FROM R1 TO R0 AND THE INTEGRAL FROM R0 TO R2.
C      C      C      INIGRL=0.0
C      C      RA=R1
C      C      RB=R0
C      C      FR=FR1
C      C      FIRST=.TRUE.
C      C      SET UP VARIABLES FOR COTE'S INTEGRATION (FIRST AND SIXTH ORDERS.)
C      C      DO NOT INTEGRATE IF THE UPPER BOUND OF THE INTEGRAL OVER THIS
C      C      C      INTERVAL IS .LT. TOLER2 = TOLER/2.
C      C      600  INIGRL=0.5*(RB-RA)*(FR0+FR1)
C      C      IF(INIGRL .LT. TOLER2) GO TO 221
C      C      ZR=ABS(RB-RA)/840.0
C      C      IR=3
C      C      LAST=0.0
C      C      SUM=0.0
C      C      STEP=(RB-RA)/6.0
C      C      STEP3=STEP*3.0
C      C      R=RA-STEP
C      C      DO 180 JR=1,7
C      C      R=R+STEP
C      C      DISTR=DISTR
C      C      ID=INDEX(MINI(101.0,(DISTR+VC)/100.0+1.0))
C      C      SIGMAH=ABC*(DISTR+HC)**BHC
C      C      SIGMAV=AV(ID,CLASS)*(DISTR+VC)**BV(ID,CLASS)
C      C      FR=EXP(-0.5*((P(R)/SIGMAH)**2+(Q2/SIGMAV)**2))/(SIGMAH*SIGMAV)
C      C      LAST=LAST+FR*(JR)
C      C      Y(JR)=FR
C      C      IF(JR .EQ. 1 .OR. JR .EQ. 7) FR=FR/2.0
C      C      SUM=SUM+FR
C      C      CONTINUE
C      C      180  LAST=LAST+ZR
C      C      LAST1=SUM*ZR+140.0
C      C      REPEAT COTE'S INTEGRATION UNTIL DESIRED ACCURACY.
C      C      625  GENERATE NEXT SET OF COTE'S VARIABLES.

```

```

190  ST=P2-STEP/2.0
    DUMMY=Y(4)
630  Y(1)=Y(1)+DUMMY
    Y(7)=Y(7)+DUMMY
    DUMMY=Y(3)
    Y(3)=Y(2)+Y(5)
    Y(5)=Y(6)+DUMMY
    IR=IR+2
635  DO 201 KR=1,3
    R=RA+STEP2-STEP*KR
    DUMMY=0.0
    DO 200 JK=KR,IR,3
    R=R+STEP3
    DISTR=DISTR(R)
    ID=INDEX(MIN1(101.0,(DISTR+VC)/100.0+1.0))
    SIGNAH=ABC*(DISTR+HC)+BHC
    SIGNAV=AV(ID,CLASS)*(DISTR+VC)+BV(ID,CLASS)
    FR=EXP(-0.5*((P(R)/SIGNAH)+2+102/SIGNAV)+2)/((SIGNAH+SIGNAV)
    DUMMY=DUMMY+FR
    SUM=SUM+FR
200  CONTINUE
201  Y(2+KR)=DUMMY
    ZR=ZR/Z2.0
    INTEGRX=ZR*(Z(1)+Y(1)+Y(7))+Z(2)*(Y(2)+Y(6))+Z(3)*(Y(3)+Y(5))+
    -Z(4)*Y(4)
C
C
C
C
655  C TEST THE COTE'S SIXTH ORDER INTEGRAL FOR CONVERGENCE. IF IT FAILS
C TEST THE FIRST ORDER INTEGRAL. NOTE THAT FOR ASYMPTOTIC INTEGRANDS
C THE LOWER ORDER COTE'S FORMULAS ARE OFTEN SUPERIOR.
C
    IF(ABS(LAST-INTEGRX) .LE. TOLER2) GO TO 221
    COTES1=SUM+ZR+140.0
    IF(ABS(LAST1-COTES1) .LE. TOLER2) GO TO 219
    LAST=INTEGRX
    LAST1=COTES1
    STEP=STEP2
    STEP3=STEP+3.0
    GO TO 190
C
219  INTEGRX=COTES1
221  INTEGRL=INTEGRX+INTEGRX
    IF(.NOT.FIRST) RETURN
222  FIRST=.FALSE.
    RA=RG
    AB=R2
    FR=FR2
    GO TO 176
    END

```

440008 CH STORAGE USED 8.664 SECONDS

REAL FUNCTION ERR(Z)

ERR(Z) RETURNS THE VALUE OF THE ERROR FUNCTION OF Z. THE ALGORITHM IS DUE TO H. ABRAMSON OF NEWYORK UNIVERSITY AND IS OF THE CHEBYSCHV POLYNOMIAL TYPE.

INTEGER I,J

REAL AAZERU, AZERO, BZERO, COEFF, X, Y, Z

REAL A(26), AA(17), B(26), BB(19)

DATA AZERO	/	3.8873036552229	/
DATA A(1)	/	-1.3816314200198	/
DATA A(2)	/	.64731640485458	/
DATA A(3)	/	-.30593102442204	/
DATA A(4)	/	.138679747202030	/
DATA A(5)	/	-.059247456591259	/
DATA A(6)	/	.236917518249282E-01	/
DATA A(7)	/	-.88473626352405E-02	/
DATA A(8)	/	.30656617113609E-02	/
DATA A(9)	/	-.100638635123798E-02	/
DATA A(10)	/	.3074632884308E-03	/
DATA A(11)	/	-.88261983755363E-04	/
DATA A(12)	/	.238450961660726E-04	/
DATA A(13)	/	-.60791002850583E-05	/
DATA A(14)	/	.146597217338083E-05	/
DATA A(15)	/	-.033515993427206E-05	/
DATA A(16)	/	.007280579544232E-05	/
DATA A(17)	/	-.00150579117668E-05	/
DATA A(18)	/	.000297094742055E-05	/
DATA A(19)	/	-.00005621273938E-05	/
DATA A(20)	/	.000010113162390E-05	/
DATA A(21)	/	-.1750650469E-10	/
DATA A(22)	/	.0291038139 E-10	/
DATA A(23)	/	-.0046532645E-10	/
DATA A(24)	/	.0007164815E-10	/
DATA A(25)	/	-.0001063749E-10	/
DATA A(26)	/	.0000152467E-10	/
DATA B(27)	/	.0	/
DATA B(28)	/	.0	/
DATA AAZERO	/	1.97070527225754	/
DATA AA(1)	/	-.143397402717750E-01	/
DATA AA(2)	/	.29736169220262E-03	/
DATA AA(3)	/	-.98035160433624E-05	/
DATA AA(4)	/	.043313342034728E-05	/
DATA AA(5)	/	-.002362150026241E-05	/
DATA AA(6)	/	.000151549676581E-05	/
DATA AA(7)	/	-.000011084939856E-05	/
DATA AA(8)	/	.0904259014E-10	/
DATA AA(9)	/	-.0080947054E-10	/
DATA AA(10)	/	.0007853856E-10	/
DATA AA(11)	/	-.0009817918E-10	/
DATA AA(12)	/	.90715E-15	/
DATA AA(13)	/	-.10046E-15	/
DATA AA(14)	/	.01315E-15	/

FUNCTION ERF

73/172 IS

FTN 4,6+452

06/08/79 14.40.34

PAGE

2

```

DATA AA(15) /-00170E-15 /
DATA AA(16) / -00023E-15 /
DATA AA(17) /-00003E-15 /
DATA BB(18) / .0 /
DATA BB(19) / .0 /

```

C

C

```

Y=ABS(Z)
IF(Y .GT. 16.) GO TO 4
IF(Y.GT.4.0)GO TO 2

```

```

X=Y/4.
COEFF=4.*X*X-2.
DO 1 I=1,26

```

```

J=27-I

```

```

B(J)=COEFF*B(J+1)-B(J+2)+A(J)

```

CONTINUE

```

BZERO=COEFF*B(1)-B(2)+AZERO

```

```

ERF=SIGN(X/2*(BZERO-B(2)),Z)

```

RETURN

X=4./Y

```

COEFF=4.*X*X-2.

```

```

DO 3 I=1,17

```

```

J=18-I

```

```

BB(J)=COEFF*BB(J+1)-BB(J+2)+AA(J)

```

CONTINUE

```

BBZERO=COEFF*BB(1)-BB(2)+AAZERO

```

```

ERF=SIGN(1.-(BBZERO-BB(2)))/(2.*Y*EXP(Y+Y)).56418958354776,Z)

```

RETURN

ERF=SIGN(1.,Z)

RETURN

END

41000B CM STORAGE USED .421 SECONDS

INPUT DATA LISTING

THE RECEPTOR, OX, OY, OZ = 15.0, 0.0, 2.0

ROADWAY 1, X1, Y1, X2, Y2 = -20.0, 2000.0, 20.0, -2000.0

ROADWAY 2, X1, Y1, X2, Y2 = -54.0, 2000.0, 46.0, -2000.0

ROADWAY 3, X1, Y1, X2, Y2 = -58.0, 2000.0, 42.0, -2000.0

ROADWAY 4, X1, Y1, X2, Y2 = -74.0, 2000.0, 26.0, -2000.0

ROADWAY 5, X1, Y1, X2, Y2 = -78.0, 2000.0, 22.0, -2000.0

ROADWAY 6, X1, Y1, X2, Y2 = -82.0, 2000.0, 18.0, -2000.0

TP 1

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 601 -1.68541 1.02802 9.45589 -1.92770 17.66351

CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 319 -.50868 -1.0108 1.13178 .21923 1.89802

B/S CONDITION 1 .143 1.92 676. 676. 676. 676. 676. 676.

B/S CONDITION 2 .143 1.34 473. 473. 473. 473. 473. 473.

B/S CONDITION 3 .143 1.26 445. 445. 445. 445. 445. 445.

B/S CONDITION 4 .143 1.44 507. 507. 507. 507. 507. 507.

B/S CONDITION 5 .143 1.81 637. 637. 637. 637. 637. 637.

B/S CONDITION 6 .143 1.70 597. 597. 597. 597. 597. 597.

B/S CONDITION 7 .143 1.57 552. 552. 552. 552. 552. 552.

A-29

TP 2

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 608 -1.60613 1.04630 8.91752 -1.02915 16.33521

CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 312 -.54561 -.09263 1.07813 .17771 1.87593

B/S CONDITION 1 .143 2.88 592. 592. 592. 592. 592. 592.

B/S CONDITION 2 .143 2.02 414. 414. 414. 414. 414. 414.

B/S CONDITION 3 .143 1.90 390. 390. 390. 390. 390. 390.

B/S CONDITION 4 .143 2.16 444. 444. 444. 444. 444. 444.

B/S CONDITION 5 .143 2.71 557. 557. 557. 557. 557. 557.

8/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.54	523.	523.	523.	523.	523.	523.	523.
8/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.35	483.	483.	483.	483.	483.	483.	483.
TP 3									
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) -	600	-1.57178	1.14070	9.49712	-1.55316	16.21417			
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) -	320	-0.58350	-0.05941	1.12962	.14081	1.67696			
8/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.36	507.	507.	507.	507.	507.	507.	507.
8/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.35	355.	355.	355.	355.	355.	355.	355.
8/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.21	334.	334.	334.	334.	334.	334.	334.
8/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.52	380.	380.	380.	380.	380.	380.	380.
8/S CONDITION 5	.143	3.16	478.	478.	478.	478.	478.	478.	478.
8/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.97	448.	448.	448.	448.	448.	448.	448.
8/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.74	414.	414.	414.	414.	414.	414.	414.

TP 4									
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) -	589	-1.51857	1.16304	8.63453	-1.06051	16.06932			
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) -	331	-0.49420	-0.17248	1.20556	.34075	1.62419			
8/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.68	423.	423.	423.	423.	423.	423.	423.
8/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.02	296.	296.	296.	296.	296.	296.	296.
8/S CONDITION 3	.143	1.90	278.	278.	278.	278.	278.	278.	278.
8/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.16	317.	317.	317.	317.	317.	317.	317.
8/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.71	398.	398.	398.	398.	398.	398.	398.
8/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.54	373.	373.	373.	373.	373.	373.	373.
8/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.35	345.	345.	345.	345.	345.	345.	345.

TP 5									
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) -	592	-1.57718	1.12880	8.56545	-0.65451	15.57766			
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) -	328	-0.49875	-0.11061	1.06776	.29919	1.91607			
8/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.68	592.	592.	592.	592.	592.	592.	592.
8/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.02	414.	414.	414.	414.	414.	414.	414.

B/S CONDITION 3	.143	1.90	390.	390.	390.	390.	390.	390.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.16	444.	444.	444.	444.	444.	444.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.71	557.	557.	557.	557.	557.	557.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.54	523.	523.	523.	523.	523.	523.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.35	483.	483.	483.	483.	483.	483.

TP 6

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	602	-1.60181	1.16299	8.59392	-1.09444	15.29264
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	318	-5.6714	-1.9497	1.24690	.38666	1.74974
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.88	1690.	1690.	1690.	1690.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.02	1183.	1183.	1183.	1183.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	1.50	1113.	1113.	1113.	1113.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.16	1268.	1268.	1268.	1268.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.71	1592.	1592.	1592.	1592.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.54	1493.	1493.	1493.	1493.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.35	1381.	1381.	1381.	1381.

A-31

TP 7

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	596	-1.60594	1.16087	8.90845	-1.20862	15.64198
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	324	-5.6395	-1.2201	1.27728	.29087	1.74829
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.84	5410.	5410.	5410.	5410.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.69	3787.	3787.	3787.	3787.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.53	3561.	3561.	3561.	3561.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.88	4057.	4057.	4057.	4057.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	3.62	5094.	5094.	5094.	5094.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	3.39	4778.	4778.	4778.	4778.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	3.14	4418.	4418.	4418.	4418.

TP 8

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	628	-1.51911	1.14014	6.75491	-1.21427	15.10953
---------------------------	-----	----------	---------	---------	----------	----------

CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	262	-.59442	-.14911	.97799	.19266	1.68788
B/S CONDITION 1	.143 4.60 6677. 6677. 6677. 6677. 6677. 6677.					
B/S CONDITION 2	.143 3.36 4674. 4674. 4674. 4674. 4674. 4674.					
B/S CONDITION 3	.143 3.16 4396. 4396. 4396. 4396. 4396. 4396.					
B/S CONDITION 4	.143 3.60 5008. 5008. 5008. 5008. 5008. 5008.					
B/S CONDITION 5	.143 4.52 6288. 6288. 6288. 6288. 6288. 6288.					
B/S CONDITION 6	.143 4.24 5898. 5898. 5898. 5898. 5898. 5898.					
B/S CONDITION 7	.143 3.92 5453. 5453. 5453. 5453. 5453. 5453.					

TP 9

CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	94	-.48787	-.26777	.90676	.19071	1.35811
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	150	-.91513	.02413	5.36034	-.22571	8.43020
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	512	-1.76779	1.27492	9.92040	-2.13235	17.45392
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	164	-.50988	-.11604	1.31097	.12500	1.87381

2-32

B/S CONDITION 1	.143 5.76 6086. 6086. 6086. 6086. 6086. 6086.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143 4.03 4260. 4260. 4260. 4260. 4260. 4260.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143 3.79 4006. 4006. 4006. 4006. 4006. 4006.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143 4.32 4564. 4564. 4564. 4564. 4564. 4564.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143 5.42 5731. 5731. 5731. 5731. 5731. 5731.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143 5.09 5376. 5376. 5376. 5376. 5376. 5376.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143 4.70 4970. 4970. 4970. 4970. 4970. 4970.

TP 10

CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	194	-.23103	-.52649	.97842	.24961	1.91934
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	506	-1.02555	.25251	5.60600	-.55725	10.57447
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	220	-3.34218	3.02123	17.56827	-2.43734	27.27729
B/S CONDITION 1	.143 4.80 4818. 4818. 4818. 4818. 4818. 4818.					
B/S CONDITION 2	.143 3.36 3373. 3373. 3373. 3373. 3373. 3373.					
B/S CONDITION 3	.143 3.16 3172. 3172. 3172. 3172. 3172. 3172.					
B/S CONDITION 4	.143 3.60 3613. 3613. 3613. 3613. 3613. 3613.					

8/S CONDITION 5	.143	4.52	4537.	4537.	4537.	4537.	4537.	4537.	2.49305
8/S CONDITION 6	.143	4.24	4256.	4256.	4256.	4256.	4256.	4256.	11.27349
8/S CONDITION 7	.143	3.92	3935.	3935.	3935.	3935.	3935.	3935.	27.62977
TP 11									
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	166		.08120	-.44295	.83061	.14959			
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	466		-1.03279	-.17260	6.12707	-.82376			
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	288		-3.54792	3.27385	17.78715	-2.24430			
8/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.84	3973.	3973.	3973.	3973.	3973.	3973.	
8/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.69	2781.	2781.	2781.	2781.	2781.	2781.	
8/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.53	2615.	2615.	2615.	2615.	2615.	2615.	
8/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.68	2979.	2979.	2979.	2979.	2979.	2979.	
8/S CONDITION 5	.143	3.62	3741.	3741.	3741.	3741.	3741.	3741.	
8/S CONDITION 6	.143	3.39	3509.	3509.	3509.	3509.	3509.	3509.	
8/S CONDITION 7	.143	3.14	3244.	3244.	3244.	3244.	3244.	3244.	
TP 12									
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	144		.35139	-.36806	1.16800	.08255			
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	463		-.91495	-.34279	6.25016	-1.12558			
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	313		-4.08898	2.83617	15.67151	-3.40942			
8/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.88	3973.	3973.	3973.	3973.	3973.	3973.	
8/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.62	2781.	2781.	2781.	2781.	2781.	2781.	
8/S CONDITION 3	.143	1.90	2615.	2615.	2615.	2615.	2615.	2615.	
8/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.16	2979.	2979.	2979.	2979.	2979.	2979.	
8/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.71	3741.	3741.	3741.	3741.	3741.	3741.	
8/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.54	3509.	3509.	3509.	3509.	3509.	3509.	
8/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.35	3244.	3244.	3244.	3244.	3244.	3244.	
TP 13									
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	126		.11397	-.27357	1.59349	-.31901			
									2.52416

CLASS 3, STATISTICS	443	-51242	6.09195	-1.21419	11.66817
CLASS 4, STATISTICS	321	-4.16427	2.47696	-3.49115	29.92637
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.40	3688.	3688.	3688.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	1.68	2722.	2722.	2722.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	1.58	2560.	2560.	2560.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	1.80	2916.	2916.	2916.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.26	3681.	3681.	3681.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.12	3435.	3435.	3435.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	1.96	3175.	3175.	3175.

TP 14

CLASS 2, STATISTICS	119	.34908	-26244	.99797	2.51431
CLASS 3, STATISTICS	408	-1.12902	-34947	6.91530	12.07549
CLASS 4, STATISTICS	333	-4.27598	2.06727	16.65050	33.91771
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	1.92	4226.	4226.	4226.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	1.34	2958.	2958.	2958.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	1.26	2782.	2782.	2782.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	1.44	3170.	3170.	3170.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	1.61	3480.	3480.	3480.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	1.70	3733.	3733.	3733.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	1.57	3451.	3451.	3451.

TP 15

CLASS 2, STATISTICS	125	.29440	-35864	1.36116	2.01676
CLASS 3, STATISTICS	470	-1.24340	-30557	6.77340	12.29479
CLASS 4, STATISTICS	325	-4.08982	2.31363	16.61250	30.86760
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.40	4226.	4226.	4226.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	1.68	2958.	2958.	2958.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	1.58	2782.	2782.	2782.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	1.80	3170.	3170.	3170.

B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.26	3980.	3980.	3980.	3980.	3980.	3980.	3980.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.12	3733.	3733.	3733.	3733.	3733.	3733.	3733.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	1.96	3451.	3451.	3451.	3451.	3451.	3451.	3451.
TP 16									
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	166		.02755		-.21934		1.42393		-1.88943
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	498		-1.24147		-.25992		6.62276		-2.10039
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	316		-3.62750		2.21560		16.00064		-5.31647
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.88	5748.	5748.	5748.	5748.	5748.	5748.	5748.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.92	4023.	4023.	4023.	4023.	4023.	4023.	4023.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	1.90	3784.	3784.	3784.	3784.	3784.	3784.	3784.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.16	4311.	4311.	4311.	4311.	4311.	4311.	4311.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.71	5412.	5412.	5412.	5412.	5412.	5412.	5412.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.54	5077.	5077.	5077.	5077.	5077.	5077.	5077.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.35	4694.	4694.	4694.	4694.	4694.	4694.	4694.

A-35

TP 17									
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	30		.05267		-.27367		1.02978		1.31366
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	159		-1.05447		-.02497		6.07479		-2.00448
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	614		-2.33577		1.04886		12.63448		-5.15783
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	117		-.35675		-.34897		1.46774		-.27621
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.36	6424.	6424.	6424.	6424.	6424.	6424.	6424.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.35	4497.	4497.	4497.	4497.	4497.	4497.	4497.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.21	4229.	4229.	4229.	4229.	4229.	4229.	4229.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.52	4818.	4818.	4818.	4818.	4818.	4818.	4818.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	3.16	6049.	6049.	6049.	6049.	6049.	6049.	6049.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.97	5674.	5674.	5674.	5674.	5674.	5674.	5674.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.74	5246.	5246.	5246.	5246.	5246.	5246.	5246.

TP 18

CLASS 4, STATISTICS TO GO -	702	-1.54490	.99503	11.30662	-4.02117	16.15382
CLASS 5, STATISTICS TO GO -	217	-.44375	-.39539	1.50079	-.30684	2.06521
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.84 6077.	6077.	6077.	6077.	6077.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.69 4674.	4674.	4674.	4674.	4674.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.53 4396.	4396.	4396.	4396.	4396.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.68 5006.	5006.	5006.	5006.	5006.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	3.02 6288.	6288.	6288.	6288.	6288.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	3.39 5898.	5898.	5898.	5898.	5898.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	3.14 5453.	5453.	5453.	5453.	5453.

TP 19

CLASS 4, STATISTICS TO GO -	676	-1.36505	.94760	11.26979	-3.37778	17.13650
CLASS 5, STATISTICS TO GO -	242	-.40886	-.29416	1.64228	-.27403	2.49923
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	4.52 4733.	4733.	4733.	4733.	4733.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	3.62 3313.	3313.	3313.	3313.	3313.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.84 3116.	3116.	3116.	3116.	3116.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	3.24 3550.	3550.	3550.	3550.	3550.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	4.07 4457.	4457.	4457.	4457.	4457.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	3.62 4181.	4181.	4181.	4181.	4181.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	3.23 3866.	3866.	3866.	3866.	3866.

21
10
9

TP 20

CLASS 4, STATISTICS TO GO -	602	-1.47063	.78953	10.78315	-3.29360	17.23590
CLASS 5, STATISTICS TO GO -	258	-.24306	-.34539	1.71568	-.08915	2.21698
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.36 4733.	4733.	4733.	4733.	4733.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.35 3313.	3313.	3313.	3313.	3313.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.21 3116.	3116.	3116.	3116.	3116.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.52 3550.	3550.	3550.	3550.	3550.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	3.16 4457.	4457.	4457.	4457.	4457.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.97 4181.	4181.	4181.	4181.	4181.

B/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.74	3866.	3866.	3866.	3866.	3866.
TP 21							
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	622	-1.26123	.92614	10.54831	-2.73626	17.94710	
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	264	-.41992	-.35447	1.48479	-.04116	1.77558	
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	1.92	3381.	3381.	3381.	3381.	
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	1.24	2367.	2367.	2367.	2367.	
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	1.26	2226.	2226.	2226.	2226.	
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	1.44	2536.	2536.	2536.	2536.	
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	1.81	3184.	3184.	3184.	3184.	
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	1.70	2987.	2987.	2987.	2987.	
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	1.57	2761.	2761.	2761.	2761.	

TP 22							
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	634	-1.63882	1.08136	10.07673	-2.20567	16.73855	
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	286	-.41958	-.39308	1.10405	.02513	1.92972	
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	1.92	2536.	2536.	2536.	2536.	
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	1.34	1775.	1775.	1775.	1775.	
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	1.26	1669.	1669.	1669.	1669.	
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	1.44	1902.	1902.	1902.	1902.	
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	1.81	2388.	2388.	2388.	2388.	
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	1.70	2240.	2240.	2240.	2240.	
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	1.57	2071.	2071.	2071.	2071.	

TP 23							
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	629	-1.62014	1.07639	9.76819	-1.99274	16.71808	
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	291	-.42718	-.31605	1.23217	.11760	1.95111	
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.40	1690.	1690.	1690.	1690.	
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	1.68	1183.	1183.	1183.	1183.	
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	1.58	1113.	1113.	1113.	1113.	

8/S CONDITION 4	.143	1.60	1266.	1268.	1268.	1268.	1268.	1268.	1268.
8/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.26	1542.	1592.	1592.	1592.	1592.	1592.	1592.
8/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.12	1493.	1493.	1493.	1493.	1493.	1493.	1493.
8/S CONDITION 7	.143	1.96	1381.	1381.	1381.	1381.	1381.	1381.	1381.
TP 24									
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	604	-1.74728	1.12942	9.82399	-1.78525	16.90720			
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	316	-.36820	-.26804	1.36445	.25727	2.02111			
8/S CONDITION 1	.143	1.92	845.	845.	845.	845.	845.	845.	845.
8/S CONDITION 2	.143	1.34	592.	592.	592.	592.	592.	592.	592.
8/S CONDITION 3	.143	1.26	556.	556.	556.	556.	556.	556.	556.
8/S CONDITION 4	.143	1.44	634.	634.	634.	634.	634.	634.	634.
8/S CONDITION 5	.143	1.61	796.	796.	796.	796.	796.	796.	796.
8/S CONDITION 6	.143	1.70	747.	747.	747.	747.	747.	747.	747.
8/S CONDITION 7	.143	1.57	690.	690.	690.	690.	690.	690.	690.

TP 25									
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	669	-1.07628	.65045	13.22726	-1.92132	16.51132			
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	222	-.63694	-.32090	1.75255	.05595	2.25167			
8/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.16	761.	761.	761.	761.	761.	761.	761.
8/S CONDITION 2	.143	1.51	533.	533.	533.	533.	533.	533.	533.
8/S CONDITION 3	.143	1.42	561.	501.	501.	501.	501.	501.	501.
8/S CONDITION 4	.143	1.62	571.	571.	571.	571.	571.	571.	571.
8/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.03	716.	716.	716.	716.	716.	716.	716.
8/S CONDITION 6	.143	1.91	672.	672.	672.	672.	672.	672.	672.
8/S CONDITION 7	.143	1.76	621.	621.	621.	621.	621.	621.	621.

TP 26									
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	658	-1.16187	.68172	13.36755	-1.76039	16.58081			
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	234	-.54299	-.27577	1.50473	.05182	2.68472			

B/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.24	666.	666.	666.	666.	666.	666.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.27	466.	466.	466.	466.	466.	466.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.13	438.	439.	436.	438.	436.	436.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.43	469.	499.	499.	499.	499.	499.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	3.05	627.	627.	627.	627.	627.	627.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.66	588.	588.	588.	588.	588.	588.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.65	544.	544.	544.	544.	544.	544.

TP 27

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	661	-1.15027	.92272	12.82191	-1.36046	16.30372
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	232	-.50578	-.26060	1.74669	.36330	2.13471

B/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.78	571.	571.	571.	571.	571.	571.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.65	399.	399.	399.	399.	399.	399.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.49	376.	376.	376.	376.	376.	376.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.64	428.	428.	428.	428.	428.	428.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.49	376.	376.	376.	376.	376.	376.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.84	428.	428.	428.	428.	428.	428.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	3.56	537.	537.	537.	537.	537.	537.

TP 28

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	634	-1.15393	1.13150	13.02368	-1.52383	16.93918
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	257	-.49926	-.21381	1.52207	.18874	2.20233

B/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.34	504.	504.	504.	504.	504.	504.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	3.09	466.	466.	466.	466.	466.	466.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	3.24	475.	475.	475.	475.	475.	475.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.27	333.	333.	333.	333.	333.	333.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.13	313.	313.	313.	313.	313.	313.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.43	357.	357.	357.	357.	357.	357.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	3.05	448.	448.	448.	448.	448.	448.

TP 29

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	657	-1.04070	1.08572	12.96584	-1.15134	16.84933
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	236	-.61809	-.29076	1.46312	.23006	2.03809
B/S CONDITION 1	.143 2.66 420.	420.	420.	420.	420.	420.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143 2.65 388.	388.	388.	388.	388.	388.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143 3.24 666.	666.	666.	666.	666.	666.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143 2.27 466.	466.	466.	466.	466.	466.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143 2.13 438.	438.	438.	438.	438.	438.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143 2.43 499.	499.	499.	499.	499.	499.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143 3.05 627.	627.	627.	627.	627.	627.

TP 30

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	639	-.93933	1.31756	13.28420	-1.11384	15.73613
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	252	-.55052	-.26111	1.55947	.13832	2.36337
B/S CONDITION 1	.143 2.86 588.	588.	588.	588.	588.	588.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143 2.65 544.	544.	544.	544.	544.	544.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143 3.24 1902.	1902.	1902.	1902.	1902.	1902.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143 2.27 1331.	1331.	1331.	1331.	1331.	1331.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143 2.13 1252.	1252.	1252.	1252.	1252.	1252.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143 2.43 1426.	1426.	1426.	1426.	1426.	1426.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143 3.05 1791.	1791.	1791.	1791.	1791.	1791.

P
50

TP 31

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	656	-.95904	1.21601	13.95619	-.62875	16.12360
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	236	-.55894	-.22267	1.44342	.30946	1.82310
B/S CONDITION 1	.143 2.86 1680.	1680.	1680.	1680.	1680.	1680.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143 2.65 1553.	1553.	1553.	1553.	1553.	1553.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143 4.32 6086.	6086.	6086.	6086.	6086.	6086.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143 3.02 4260.	4260.	4260.	4260.	4260.	4260.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143 2.84 4006.	4006.	4006.	4006.	4006.	4006.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143 3.24 4564.	4564.	4564.	4564.	4564.	4564.

B/S CONDITION 7 .143 4.07 5731. 5731. 5731. 5731. 5731. 5731.

TP 32

CLASS 1, STATIN(I TO 6) =	2	.36500	.36500	4.23402	4.22405	4.23405
CLASS 2, STATIN(I TO 6) =	98	-.28143	-.44704	2.11957	.44822	2.68282
CLASS 3, STATIN(I TO 6) =	139	-.77050	.10935	8.23827	1.38389	14.10153
CLASS 4, STATIN(I TO 6) =	516	-1.30236	1.66145	14.79259	-1.23821	17.03728
CLASS 5, STATIN(I TO 6) =	135	-.32289	-.18985	1.38201	.48120	1.87745
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.82	5376. 5376. 5376. 5376. 5376.	5376.		
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	3.23	4970. 4970. 4970. 4970. 4970.	4970.		
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	5.40	7512. 7512. 7512. 7512. 7512.	7512.		
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	3.78	5258. 5258. 5258. 5258. 5258.	5258.		
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	3.26	4945. 4945. 4945. 4945. 4945.	4945.		
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	4.05	5634. 5634. 5634. 5634. 5634.	5634.		
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	5.09	7074. 7074. 7074. 7074. 7074.	7074.		

TP 33

CLASS 1, STATIN(I TO 6) =	16	-.07813	-.54937	1.40874	-.69401	3.76513
CLASS 2, STATIN(I TO 6) =	143	.03720	-.37538	3.05314	.57341	3.76814
CLASS 3, STATIN(I TO 6) =	305	-.62590	.57784	9.90750	.47148	13.99643
CLASS 4, STATIN(I TO 6) =	368	-1.74685	1.96375	18.92257	-1.71147	21.22541
CLASS 5, STATIN(I TO 6) =	61	-.44885	-.62869	1.24884	.07738	1.73768
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	4.77	6636. 6636. 6636. 6636. 6636.	6636.		
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	4.41	6135. 6135. 6135. 6135. 6135.	6135.		
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	6.48	6846. 6846. 6846. 6846. 6846.	6846.		
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	4.54	4793. 4793. 4793. 4793. 4793.	4793.		
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	4.27	4507. 4507. 4507. 4507. 4507.	4507.		
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	4.66	5135. 5135. 5135. 5135. 5135.	5135.		
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	6.10	6447. 6447. 6447. 6447. 6447.	6447.		

TP 34

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	22	-02182	-05455	2.43895	-00607	3.49903
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	165	.26432	-05389	2.96346	.24077	4.84444
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	455	-073749	.58389	10.42403	-052801	15.16475
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	230	-3.44730	2.96435	25.31691	-1.53859	26.94720
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	5.72 6048. 6048. 6048. 6048. 6048.				
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	5.29 5591. 5591. 5591. 5591. 5591.				
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	5.40 5420. 5420. 5420. 5420. 5420.				
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	3.78 3794. 3794. 3794. 3794. 3794.				
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	3.56 3568. 3568. 3568. 3568. 3568.				
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	4.65 4065. 4065. 4065. 4065. 4065.				
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	5.09 5104. 5104. 5104. 5104. 5104.				

TP 35

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	29	.68931	-05552	2.21570	.18318	3.44990
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	174	.20920	-018420	3.70959	-084618	6.54698
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	454	-070802	.46626	12.21087	-2.14882	17.62326
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	234	-3.83577	2.69291	26.47639	-2.47034	31.04779
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	4.77 4788. 4788. 4788. 4788. 4788.				
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	4.41 4426. 4426. 4426. 4426. 4426.				
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	4.32 4469. 4469. 4469. 4469. 4469.				
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	3.02 3128. 3128. 3128. 3128. 3128.				
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.64 2942. 2942. 2942. 2942. 2942.				
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	3.24 3352. 3352. 3352. 3352. 3352.				
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	4.07 4209. 4209. 4209. 4209. 4209.				

TP 36

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	32	-03594	-023313	2.59350	-041777	3.92127
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	156	.34184	-013867	4.59544	-025593	5.48248
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	455	-1.28389	.45048	13.07811	-2.57104	18.68619
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	248	-3.96177	2.32363	26.61194	-3.01963	30.26284

B/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.82	3948.	3948.	3948.	3948.	3948.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	3.53	3650.	3650.	3650.	3650.	3650.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	3.24	4469.	4469.	4469.	4469.	4469.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.27	3128.	3128.	3128.	3128.	3128.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.13	2942.	2942.	2942.	2942.	2942.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.43	3352.	3352.	3352.	3352.	3352.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	3.05	4209.	4209.	4209.	4209.	4209.

TP 37

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	30	.75367	.03367	2.21358	-.25224	3.09953
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	165	.09424	-.21909	4.59090	-.84385	6.46555
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	441	-1.08218	.16896	13.97094	-3.25622	18.48553
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	227	-4.50472	2.05837	23.08210	-3.29270	34.91664

A
L
C

B/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.86	3948.	3948.	3948.	3948.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.65	3650.	3650.	3650.	3650.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.70	4374.	4374.	4374.	4374.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	1.69	3062.	3062.	3062.	3062.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	1.78	2880.	2880.	2880.	2880.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.03	3281.	3281.	3281.	3281.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.54	4119.	4119.	4119.	4119.

TP 38

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	25	.70320	-.75480	2.69809	-.00327	2.99011
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	143	-.09573	-.22846	5.01647	-.56136	4.69066
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	442	-.91930	.32661	13.20482	-2.47874	20.48983
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	263	-4.37120	1.37548	23.81951	-4.06979	35.93066
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.39	3864.	3864.	3864.	3864.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.21	3572.	3572.	3572.	3572.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.16	4755.	4755.	4755.	4755.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	1.51	3328.	3328.	3328.	3328.

B/S CONDITION 5 .143 1.42 3130. 3130. 3130. 3130. 3130. 3130.
 B/S CONDITION 6 .143 1.62 3566. 3566. 3566. 3566. 3566. 3566.
 B/S CONDITION 7 .143 2.03 4477. 4477. 4477. 4477. 4477. 4477.

TP 39

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 23 .98565 .83043 3.01923 -.71618 1.80563
 CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 131 .30336 -.33412 3.35654 -.92849 5.50074
 CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 434 -1.00823 .09671 12.95265 -3.08673 18.44417
 CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 305 -4.21220 1.31984 23.88656 -5.27167 37.58985

B/S CONDITION 1 .143 1.91 4200. 4200. 4200. 4200. 4200. 4200.
 B/S CONDITION 2 .143 1.76 3883. 3883. 3883. 3883. 3883. 3883.
 B/S CONDITION 3 .143 2.70 4755. 4755. 4755. 4755. 4755. 4755.
 B/S CONDITION 4 .143 1.69 3328. 3328. 3328. 3328. 3328. 3328.
 B/S CONDITION 5 .143 1.78 3130. 3130. 3130. 3130. 3130. 3130.
 B/S CONDITION 6 .143 2.03 3566. 3566. 3566. 3566. 3566. 3566.
 B/S CONDITION 7 .143 2.54 4477. 4477. 4477. 4477. 4477. 4477.

TP 40

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 16 .09188 -.34125 2.85096 .16000 3.32096
 CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 116 .07716 -.36069 3.15181 -.00415 4.32806
 CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 425 -.89358 .17840 11.56795 -3.23553 16.74127
 CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 335 -4.18758 1.37767 24.90705 -4.39218 34.50002
 B/S CONDITION 1 .143 2.39 4200. 4200. 4200. 4200. 4200. 4200.
 B/S CONDITION 2 .143 2.21 3883. 3883. 3883. 3883. 3883. 3883.
 B/S CONDITION 3 .143 3.24 4466. 4466. 4466. 4466. 4466. 4466.
 B/S CONDITION 4 .143 2.27 4526. 4526. 4526. 4526. 4526. 4526.
 B/S CONDITION 5 .143 2.13 4257. 4257. 4257. 4257. 4257. 4257.
 B/S CONDITION 6 .143 2.43 4850. 4850. 4850. 4850. 4850. 4850.
 B/S CONDITION 7 .143 3.05 6089. 6089. 6089. 6089. 6089. 6089.

TP 41

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	7	-52571	.10429	3.69960	-1.44505	2.54343
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	92	.08022	-.13717	3.50031	-.53863	3.77857
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	438	-.72219	.10146	10.64400	-2.11263	14.68064
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	355	-3.54713	1.73346	25.64099	-6.61350	34.68848
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.86	5712.	5712.	5712.	5712.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.65	5281.	5281.	5281.	5281.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	3.78	7227.	7227.	7227.	7227.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.65	5059.	5059.	5059.	5059.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.49	4758.	4758.	4758.	4758.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.84	5420.	5420.	5420.	5420.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	3.56	6805.	6805.	6805.	6805.

TP 42

CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	55	.15655	-.56909	2.77709	-.39998	3.24747
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	292	-.60712	.07740	10.67920	-1.98069	12.90557
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	523	-2.23671	1.19709	24.74218	-6.62163	25.72875
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	23	.01391	-.88957	1.47852	-.04647	1.58630
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.34	6384.	6384.	6384.	6384.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	3.09	5902.	5902.	5902.	5902.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	4.32	7512.	7512.	7512.	7512.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	3.02	5258.	5258.	5258.	5258.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.84	4945.	4945.	4945.	4945.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	3.24	5634.	5634.	5634.	5634.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	4.07	7074.	7074.	7074.	7074.

A-45

TP 43

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	804	-1.05757	.73856	17.64595	-4.54776	19.92377
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	88	-.35807	.05000	1.87008	-.70893	2.93337
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.82	6636.	6636.	6636.	6636.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	3.53	6135.	6135.	6135.	6135.

B/S CONDITION 3 .143 4.66 5325. 5325. 5325. 5325. 5325. 5325.
 B/S CONDITION 4 .143 3.40 3728. 3728. 3728. 3728. 3728. 3728.
 B/S CONDITION 5 .143 3.20 3506. 3506. 3506. 3506. 3506. 3506.
 B/S CONDITION 6 .143 3.65 3994. 3994. 3994. 3994. 3994. 3994.
 B/S CONDITION 7 .143 4.58 5014. 5014. 5014. 5014. 5014. 5014.

TP 44

CLASS 4, STATIN(I TO 6) = 760 -.91118 .71379 16.39306 -4.45931 18.20996
 CLASS 5, STATIN(I TO 6) = 112 -.46277 .00437 2.22100 -.57669 2.38713
 B/S CONDITION 1 .143 4.29 4704. 4704. 4704. 4704. 4704. 4704.
 B/S CONDITION 2 .143 3.97 4349. 4349. 4349. 4349. 4349. 4349.
 B/S CONDITION 3 .143 3.78 5325. 5325. 5325. 5325. 5325. 5325.
 B/S CONDITION 4 .143 2.65 3728. 3728. 3728. 3728. 3728. 3728.
 B/S CONDITION 5 .143 2.49 3506. 3506. 3506. 3506. 3506. 3506.
 B/S CONDITION 6 .143 2.84 3994. 3994. 3994. 3994. 3994. 3994.
 B/S CONDITION 7 .143 3.56 5014. 5014. 5014. 5014. 5014. 5014.

2
1
46

TP 45

CLASS 4, STATIN(I TO 6) = 737 -.99548 .73178 14.70496 -2.97496 18.23450
 CLASS 5, STATIN(I TO 6) = 155 -.36516 -.36548 2.22254 -.46548 2.64126
 B/S CONDITION 1 .143 3.34 4704. 4704. 4704. 4704. 4704. 4704.
 B/S CONDITION 2 .143 3.09 4349. 4349. 4349. 4349. 4349. 4349.
 B/S CONDITION 3 .143 2.16 3804. 3804. 3804. 3804. 3804. 3804.
 B/S CONDITION 4 .143 1.51 2663. 2663. 2663. 2663. 2663. 2663.
 B/S CONDITION 5 .143 1.42 2504. 2504. 2504. 2504. 2504. 2504.
 B/S CONDITION 6 .143 1.62 2853. 2853. 2853. 2853. 2853. 2853.
 B/S CONDITION 7 .143 2.03 3582. 3582. 3582. 3582. 3582. 3582.

TP 46

CLASS 4, STATIN(I TO 6) = 717 -.94856 .73282 14.28413 -2.78447 18.40618

CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	176	-0.48609	-0.45392	1.85046	-0.09468	2.60644
8/S CONDITION 1	.143	1.91	3360.	3360.	3360.	3360.
8/S CONDITION 2	.143	1.76	3106.	3106.	3106.	3106.
8/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.16	2853.	2853.	2853.	2853.
8/S CONDITION 4	.143	1.51	1997.	1997.	1997.	1997.
8/S CONDITION 5	.143	1.42	1878.	1878.	1878.	1878.
8/S CONDITION 6	.143	1.62	2140.	2140.	2140.	2140.
8/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.03	2686.	2686.	2686.	2686.

TP 47

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	760	-1.09676	.61051	13.46809	-2.71452	18.31672
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	192	-0.57672	-0.35010	1.74401	-0.00879	2.54298
8/S CONDITION 1	.143	1.91	2520.	2520.	2520.	2520.
8/S CONDITION 2	.143	1.76	2330.	2330.	2330.	2330.
8/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.70	1902.	1902.	1902.	1902.
8/S CONDITION 4	.143	1.89	1331.	1331.	1331.	1331.
8/S CONDITION 5	.143	1.78	1252.	1252.	1252.	1252.
8/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.03	1426.	1426.	1426.	1426.
8/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.54	1791.	1791.	1791.	1791.

2-47

TP 48

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	767	-1.08762	.56413	12.69361	-2.49078	17.09770
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	185	-0.61005	-0.37757	1.24651	.19296	2.72093
8/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.39	1680.	1680.	1680.	1680.
8/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.21	1553.	1553.	1553.	1553.
8/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.16	951.	951.	951.	951.
8/S CONDITION 4	.143	1.51	666.	666.	666.	666.
8/S CONDITION 5	.143	1.42	626.	626.	626.	626.
8/S CONDITION 6	.143	1.62	713.	713.	713.	713.
8/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.03	895.	895.	895.	895.

TP 49

CLASS 4, STATIN(L TO 6) =	599	-0.49576	-1.05205	6.86172	.69127	14.61503
CLASS 5, STATIN(L TO 6) =	321	-0.59477	-0.63053	1.36539	-0.15309	2.35793
B/S CONDITION 1	.143 1.41 840. 840. 840. 840. 840.					
B/S CONDITION 2	.143 1.76 777. 777. 777. 777. 777.					
B/S CONDITION 3	.143 2.00 1014. 1014. 1014. 1014. 1014.					
B/S CONDITION 4	.143 2.02 710. 710. 710. 710. 710.					
B/S CONDITION 5	.143 1.90 668. 668. 668. 668. 668.					
B/S CONDITION 6	.143 2.16 761. 761. 761. 761. 761.					
B/S CONDITION 7	.143 2.71 955. 955. 955. 955. 955.					

TP 50

CLASS 4, STATIN(L TO 6) =	582	-0.56146	-0.80345	6.60769	.67390	14.26775
CLASS 5, STATIN(L TO 6) =	338	-0.59056	-0.73663	1.29068	.15066	2.09749
B/S CONDITION 1	.143 2.54 896. 896. 896. 896. 896.					
B/S CONDITION 2	.143 2.35 828. 828. 828. 828. 828.					
B/S CONDITION 3	.143 4.32 888. 888. 888. 888. 888.					
B/S CONDITION 4	.143 3.02 621. 621. 621. 621. 621.					
B/S CONDITION 5	.143 2.64 584. 584. 584. 584. 584.					
B/S CONDITION 6	.143 3.24 666. 666. 666. 666. 666.					
B/S CONDITION 7	.143 4.07 836. 836. 836. 836. 836.					

A-148

TP 51

CLASS 4, STATIN(L TO 6) =	577	-0.59307	-0.62256	7.61931	1.22544	14.21985
CLASS 5, STATIN(L TO 6) =	343	-0.67948	-0.53948	1.09510	.15535	2.31241
B/S CONDITION 1	.143 3.82 784. 784. 784. 784. 784.					
B/S CONDITION 2	.143 3.53 725. 725. 725. 725. 725.					
B/S CONDITION 3	.143 5.04 761. 761. 761. 761. 761.					
B/S CONDITION 4	.143 3.53 533. 533. 533. 533. 533.					

B/S CONDITION 5	.143	3.32	501.	501.	501.	501.	501.	501.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	3.76	571.	571.	571.	571.	571.	571.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	4.75	716.	716.	716.	716.	716.	716.

TP 52

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	548	-42781	-33113	7.04329	1.52319	14.02439
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	372	-74290	-52409	87606	.08953	2.13772
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	4.45	672.	672.	672.	672.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	4.12	621.	621.	621.	621.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	4.32	634.	634.	634.	634.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	3.02	444.	444.	444.	444.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.84	417.	417.	417.	417.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	3.24	475.	475.	475.	475.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	4.07	597.	597.	597.	597.

A
1
30

TP 53

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	520	-38273	.00979	7.56859	1.87915	14.00769
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	400	-73987	-.36477	1.00084	.13983	2.01124
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.82	560.	560.	560.	560.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	3.53	518.	518.	518.	518.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	4.32	888.	888.	888.	888.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	3.02	621.	621.	621.	621.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.84	584.	584.	584.	584.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	3.24	666.	666.	666.	666.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	4.07	836.	836.	836.	836.

TP 54

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	540	-34237	.07537	7.28519	1.92847	13.75205
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	380	-68100	-.29105	.99860	.18000	2.12695
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.82	784.	784.	784.	784.

B/S CONDITION 2	.143	3.53	725.	725.	725.	725.	725.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	4.32	2536.	2536.	2536.	2536.	2536.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	3.02	1775.	1775.	1775.	1775.	1775.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.84	1669.	1669.	1669.	1669.	1669.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	3.24	1902.	1902.	1902.	1902.	1902.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	4.07	2388.	2388.	2388.	2388.	2388.

TP 55

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	557	-42111	.15084	6.23337	1.01075	13.19527
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	363	-63609	-16667	1.17925	.17698	2.00717
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.82	2240.	2240.	2240.	2240.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	3.53	2071.	2071.	2071.	2071.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	5.76	8114.	8114.	8114.	8114.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	4.03	5680.	5680.	5680.	5680.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	3.79	5342.	5342.	5342.	5342.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	4.32	6086.	6086.	6086.	6086.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	5.42	7641.	7641.	7641.	7641.

A-50

TP 56

CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	147	-72238	-14299	1.59460	.22726	2.25184
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	133	-57316	.44962	8.10654	1.92250	12.12532
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	423	-33707	.31007	7.16984	2.36286	15.23811
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	217	-47793	.08456	1.09478	.25490	2.11457
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	5.09	7168.	7168.	7168.	7168.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	4.70	6627.	6627.	6627.	6627.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	7.20	10016.	10016.	10016.	10016.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	5.04	7011.	7011.	7011.	7011.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	4.74	6594.	6594.	6594.	6594.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	5.40	7512.	7512.	7512.	7512.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	6.78	9432.	9432.	9432.	9432.

TP 57

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	88	-0.36795	-0.26602	1.19892	.34926	3.39101
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	395	-0.44266	-0.03202	2.42023	.01216	4.95085
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	389	-0.44084	.35560	0.76578	2.14474	16.29497
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	49	.34633	1.71265	29.26642	6.49142	39.27642
B/S CONDITION 1	.143 6.36 8858. 8848. 8848. 8848. 8848. 8848.					
B/S CONDITION 2	.143 5.68 8180. 8180. 8180. 8180. 8180. 8180.					
B/S CONDITION 3	.143 8.64 9129. 9129. 9129. 9129. 9129. 9129.					
B/S CONDITION 4	.143 6.02 6390. 6390. 6390. 6390. 6390. 6390.					
B/S CONDITION 5	.143 5.69 6010. 6010. 6010. 6010. 6010. 6010.					
B/S CONDITION 6	.143 6.48 6846. 6846. 6846. 6846. 6846. 6846.					
B/S CONDITION 7	.143 6.14 8596. 8596. 8596. 8596. 8596. 8596.					

TP 58

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	151	-0.08828	-0.29377	1.73215	-0.04496	3.46078
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	343	-0.35679	.02609	4.49699	.22245	7.16039
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	389	-0.66969	.65093	11.15962	.96983	17.58733
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	37	-0.30297	2.36865	27.13222	5.49464	37.19966
B/S CONDITION 1	.143 7.63 8064. 8064. 8064. 8064. 8064. 8064.					
B/S CONDITION 2	.143 7.06 7455. 7455. 7455. 7455. 7455. 7455.					
B/S CONDITION 3	.143 7.20 7227. 7227. 7227. 7227. 7227. 7227.					
B/S CONDITION 4	.143 5.04 5059. 5059. 5059. 5059. 5059. 5059.					
B/S CONDITION 5	.143 4.74 4758. 4758. 4758. 4758. 4758. 4758.					
B/S CONDITION 6	.143 3.40 5420. 5420. 5420. 5420. 5420. 5420.					
B/S CONDITION 7	.143 6.78 6805. 6805. 6805. 6805. 6805. 6805.					

TP 59

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	137	.31912	.10190	2.32192	-0.24060	3.93203
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	345	-0.02287	-0.13484	4.69236	-0.40005	8.42964

CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	394	-1.04234	.31234	11.71694	-1.06373	18.32541
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	44	-.33455	2.24591	32.12233	2.63457	34.11343
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	6.36 6384.	6384. 6384.	6384. 6384.		
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	5.88 5902.	5902. 5902.	5902. 5902.		
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	5.76 5959.	5959. 5959.	5959. 5959.		
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	4.03 4171.	4171. 4171.	4171. 4171.		
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	3.79 3923.	3923. 3923.	3923. 3923.		
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	4.32 4469.	4469. 4469.	4469. 4469.		
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	5.42 5611.	5611. 5611.	5611. 5611.		

TP 6J

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	115	.45157	.10487	2.79812	-.02224	3.07771
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	327	-.01193	-.12382	5.23389	-.68363	8.59053
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	419	-.85029	.02959	12.36597	-2.13367	18.98398
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	58	-1.38000	1.85776	30.35896	3.30352	33.44492

A-52

B/S CONDITION 1	.143	5.09 5264.	5264. 5264.	5264. 5264.		
-----------------	------	------------	-------------	-------------	--	--

B/S CONDITION 2	.143	4.70 4867.	4867. 4867.	4867. 4867.		
-----------------	------	------------	-------------	-------------	--	--

B/S CONDITION 3	.143	4.32 5959.	5959. 5959.	5959. 5959.		
-----------------	------	------------	-------------	-------------	--	--

B/S CONDITION 4	.143	3.02 4171.	4171. 4171.	4171. 4171.		
-----------------	------	------------	-------------	-------------	--	--

B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.84 3923.	3923. 3923.	3923. 3923.		
-----------------	------	------------	-------------	-------------	--	--

B/S CONDITION 6	.143	3.24 4469.	4469. 4469.	4469. 4469.		
-----------------	------	------------	-------------	-------------	--	--

B/S CONDITION 7	.143	4.07 5611.	5611. 5611.	5611. 5611.		
-----------------	------	------------	-------------	-------------	--	--

TP 6I

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	113	.33133	-.31726	2.63257	-.49408	3.08830
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	325	.00403	-.23058	5.23302	-.53521	8.92838
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	420	-1.19300	-.07548	12.70238	-3.38959	19.65319
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	62	-1.30339	.25016	31.43742	2.27260	35.56889
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.62 5264.	5264. 5264.	5264. 5264.		
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	3.53 4867.	4867. 4867.	4867. 4867.		

B/S CONDITION 3 .143 3.60 5632. 5832. 5832. 5832. 5832. 5832.
 B/S CONDITION 4 .143 2.52 4083. 4083. 4083. 4083. 4083. 4083.
 B/S CONDITION 5 .143 2.37 3840. 3840. 3840. 3840. 3840. 3840.
 B/S CONDITION 6 .143 2.70 4374. 4374. 4374. 4374. 4374. 4374.
 B/S CONDITION 7 .143 3.39 5492. 5492. 5492. 5492. 5492. 5492.

TP 62

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 85 .15718 -.46965 2.12063 -.38314 3.39633
 CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 314 -.42863 -.20755 5.86473 -1.01845 7.92070
 CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 420 -1.12902 -.49256 12.66610 -2.91803 20.17472
 CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 71 -1.84169 .86028 23.66511 .92548 39.46178
 B/S CONDITION 1 .143 3.16 5152. 5152. 5152. 5152. 5152. 5152.
 B/S CONDITION 2 .143 2.94 4763. 4763. 4763. 4763. 4763. 4763.
 B/S CONDITION 3 .143 2.88 6339. 6339. 6339. 6339. 6339. 6339.
 B/S CONDITION 4 .143 2.62 4438. 4438. 4438. 4438. 4438. 4438.
 B/S CONDITION 5 .143 1.90 4173. 4173. 4173. 4173. 4173. 4173.
 B/S CONDITION 6 .143 2.16 4755. 4755. 4755. 4755. 4755. 4755.
 B/S CONDITION 7 .143 2.71 5970. 5970. 5970. 5970. 5970. 5970.

A-53

TP 63

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 85 .19729 -.56482 2.98763 -.00323 2.92049
 CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 278 -.45669 -.29158 5.91832 -1.23479 8.58722
 CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 479 -1.39590 -.63977 13.47799 -4.37624 19.34068
 CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 78 -.38885 -.07590 25.73843 -4.65936 43.80448
 B/S CONDITION 1 .143 2.54 5600. 5600. 5600. 5600. 5600. 5600.
 B/S CONDITION 2 .143 2.35 5177. 5177. 5177. 5177. 5177. 5177.
 B/S CONDITION 3 .143 3.60 6339. 6339. 6339. 6339. 6339. 6339.
 B/S CONDITION 4 .143 2.52 4438. 4438. 4438. 4438. 4438. 4438.
 B/S CONDITION 5 .143 2.37 4173. 4173. 4173. 4173. 4173. 4173.
 B/S CONDITION 6 .143 2.70 4755. 4755. 4755. 4755. 4755. 4755.

8/S CONDITION 7 .143 3.39 5970. 5970. 5970. 5970. 5970. 5970.

TP 64

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	94	-41606	-34394	2.65089	-42284	3.32870
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	268	-47261	-47646	6.36885	-1.51051	8.64478
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	475	-1.26556	-5.68621	12.33159	-3.65592	20.05893
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	63	-1.52928	-10337	25.97319	-1.24461	46.72904

8/S CONDITION 1 .143 3.18 5600. 5600. 5600. 5600. 5600.

8/S CONDITION 2 .143 2.94 5177. 5177. 5177. 5177. 5177.

8/S CONDITION 3 .143 4.32 8622. 8622. 8622. 8622. 8622.

8/S CONDITION 4 .143 3.02 6035. 6035. 6035. 6035. 6035.

8/S CONDITION 5 .143 2.84 5676. 5676. 5676. 5676. 5676.

8/S CONDITION 6 .143 3.24 6466. 6466. 6466. 6466. 6466.

8/S CONDITION 7 .143 4.07 8119. 8119. 8119. 8119. 8119.

TP 65

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	85	-10353	-62624	2.62027	-32542	3.35683
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	262	-67412	-43744	5.56829	-1.92268	8.65498
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	489	-1.12202	-61900	12.88694	-2.95284	20.31827
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	84	-1.93131	-90274	27.06686	-1.03460	44.51400

8/S CONDITION 1 .143 3.02 7616. 7616. 7616. 7616. 7616.

8/S CONDITION 2 .143 3.53 7041. 7041. 7041. 7041. 7041.

8/S CONDITION 3 .143 5.04 9636. 9636. 9636. 9636. 9636.

8/S CONDITION 4 .143 3.53 6745. 6745. 6745. 6745. 6745.

8/S CONDITION 5 .143 3.32 6344. 6344. 6344. 6344. 6344.

8/S CONDITION 6 .143 3.76 7227. 7227. 7227. 7227. 7227.

8/S CONDITION 7 .143 4.75 9074. 9074. 9074. 9074. 9074.

TP 66

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 83 -45325 -06747 2.80211 -37704 3.62442

CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	242	-0.6537	-0.52236	0.05995	-1.68717	8.96991
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	506	-1.00628	-0.72668	11.91743	-2.57461	20.20502
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	95	-0.95537	-1.43242	30.77305	-4.44796	38.88090
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	4.45 8512. 8512. 8512. 8512. 8512.				
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	4.12 7869. 7869. 7869. 7869. 7869.				
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	5.7610016.10016.10016.10016.10016.10016.				
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	4.03 7011. 7011. 7011. 7011. 7011.				
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	3.79 6594. 6594. 6594. 6594. 6594.				
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	4.32 7512. 7512. 7512. 7512. 7512.				
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	5.42 9432. 9432. 9432. 9432. 9432.				

TP 67

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	17	-129941	0.28882	3.44997	0.34627	3.11020
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	205	-0.59971	-0.29522	4.57502	-0.88203	5.82093
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	597	-0.64854	-0.86965	10.68476	-2.25265	16.77955
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	161	-1.04297	-0.62772	24.62132	-4.58415	42.21967

B/S CONDITION 1 .143 5.09 8848. 8848. 8848. 8848. 8848.

B/S CONDITION 2 .143 4.70 8180. 8180. 8180. 8180. 8180.

B/S CONDITION 3 .143 6.48 7100. 7100. 7100. 7100. 7100.

B/S CONDITION 4 .143 4.54 4970. 4970. 4970. 4970. 4970.

B/S CONDITION 5 .143 4.27 4674. 4674. 4674. 4674. 4674.

B/S CONDITION 6 .143 4.86 5325. 5325. 5325. 5325. 5325.

B/S CONDITION 7 .143 6.10 6886. 6886. 6886. 6886. 6886.

TP 68

CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	147	-0.80061	-0.32415	2.69480	-0.31339	2.64723
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	616	-0.46498	-1.14250	8.61584	-2.26874	13.27917
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	157	.12471	-0.44369	24.61562	-2.11064	33.41601
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	5.72 6272. 6272. 6272. 6272. 6272.				
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	5.29 5798. 5798. 5798. 5798. 5798.				

B/S CONDITION 3	.143	5.04	7100.	7100.	7100.	7100.	7100.	7100.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	3.53	4970.	4970.	4970.	4970.	4970.	4970.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	3.32	4674.	4674.	4674.	4674.	4674.	4674.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	3.78	5325.	5325.	5325.	5325.	5325.	5325.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	4.75	6686.	6686.	6686.	6686.	6686.	6686.

TP 69

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	766	-.12535	-1.08568	9.12055	-1.13712	14.74900
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	154	-.81455	-.37494	1.98752	-.50277	2.66305
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	4.45	6272.	6272.	6272.	6272.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	4.12	5798.	5798.	5798.	5798.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.88	5071.	5071.	5071.	5071.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.02	3550.	3550.	3550.	3550.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	1.90	3339.	3339.	3339.	3339.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.16	3804.	3804.	3804.	3804.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.71	4776.	4776.	4776.	4776.

TP 70

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	711	-.07489	-1.22499	8.29287	-.36168	13.65858
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	209	-.66498	-.74081	2.08641	-.49756	2.41848
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.54	4480.	4480.	4480.	4480.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.35	4142.	4142.	4142.	4142.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.88	3804.	3804.	3804.	3804.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.02	2663.	2663.	2663.	2663.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	1.90	2504.	2504.	2504.	2504.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.16	2853.	2853.	2853.	2853.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.71	3582.	3582.	3582.	3582.

TP 71

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	654	-.34910	-1.30599	7.77543	-.68759	14.18000
---------------------------	-----	---------	----------	---------	---------	----------

CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	200	-0.41710	-0.80459	1.75249	-0.24114	2.42321
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.54	3360.	3360.	3360.	3360.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.35	3106.	3106.	3106.	3106.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	3.60	2536.	2536.	2536.	2536.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.52	1775.	1775.	1775.	1775.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.37	1669.	1669.	1669.	1669.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.70	1902.	1902.	1902.	1902.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	3.39	2388.	2388.	2388.	2388.

IP 72

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	615	-0.38914	-1.23413	7.49379	-0.05448	14.15955
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	309	-0.66292	-0.75402	1.43087	-0.09301	2.37689
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.18	2240.	2240.	2240.	2240.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.94	2071.	2071.	2071.	2071.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.88	1268.	1268.	1268.	1268.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.02	888.	888.	888.	888.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	1.90	835.	835.	835.	835.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.16	951.	951.	951.	951.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.71	1194.	1194.	1194.	1194.

A-57

IP 73

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	533	-0.35957	-0.18869	6.92166	.07571	15.51588
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	387	-0.60085	-0.29041	1.17493	.12252	2.14979
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.54	1120.	1120.	1120.	1120.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.35	1035.	1035.	1035.	1035.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.64	930.	930.	930.	930.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	1.85	651.	651.	651.	651.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	1.74	612.	612.	612.	612.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	1.98	697.	697.	697.	697.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.49	876.	876.	876.	876.

TP 74

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	514	-37514	.01963	7.34561	1.44110	15.60732
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	406	-58069	-27756	1.17274	.20926	2.25988
B/S CONDITION 1	.143 2.33 821.	821. 821.	821. 821.	821. 821.		
B/S CONDITION 2	.143 2.16 759.	759. 759.	759. 759.	759. 759.		
B/S CONDITION 3	.143 3.96 814.	814. 814.	814. 814.	814. 814.		
B/S CONDITION 4	.143 2.77 569.	569. 569.	569. 569.	569. 569.		
B/S CONDITION 5	.143 2.61 536.	536. 536.	536. 536.	536. 536.		
B/S CONDITION 6	.143 2.97 610.	610. 610.	610. 610.	610. 610.		
B/S CONDITION 7	.143 3.73 766.	766. 766.	766. 766.	766. 766.		

TP 75

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	522	-29885	.35011	6.85868	1.52581	15.54717
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	398	-73023	-29116	1.64587	.25812	2.11468
B/S CONDITION 1	.143 3.50 719.	719. 719.	719. 719.	719. 719.		
B/S CONDITION 2	.143 3.23 664.	664. 664.	664. 664.	664. 664.		
B/S CONDITION 3	.143 4.62 697.	697. 697.	697. 697.	697. 697.		
B/S CONDITION 4	.143 3.23 488.	488. 488.	488. 488.	488. 488.		
B/S CONDITION 5	.143 3.04 459.	459. 459.	459. 459.	459. 459.		
B/S CONDITION 6	.143 3.47 523.	523. 523.	523. 523.	523. 523.		
B/S CONDITION 7	.143 4.35 657.	657. 657.	657. 657.	657. 657.		

TP 76

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	491	-35128	.80157	7.40053	1.92324	15.31635
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	429	-60457	-29636	1.01426	.27580	2.13361
B/S CONDITION 1	.143 4.08 616.	616. 616.	616. 616.	616. 616.		
B/S CONDITION 2	.143 3.77 569.	569. 569.	569. 569.	569. 569.		
B/S CONDITION 3	.143 3.96 581.	581. 581.	581. 581.	581. 581.		
B/S CONDITION 4	.143 2.77 407.	407. 407.	407. 407.	407. 407.		

B/S CONDITION 5 .143 2.61 383. 383. 383. 383. 383.
 B/S CONDITION 6 .143 2.97 436. 436. 436. 436. 436.
 B/S CONDITION 7 .143 3.73 547. 547. 547. 547. 547.

TP 77

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 500 -.26712 .95712 6.86932 1.93337 13.63829
 CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 420 -.64924 -.20726 1.00276 .29082 2.22168
 B/S CONDITION 1 .143 3.50 513. 513. 513. 513. 513.
 B/S CONDITION 2 .143 3.23 475. 475. 475. 475. 475.
 B/S CONDITION 3 .143 3.96 814. 814. 814. 814. 814.
 B/S CONDITION 4 .143 2.77 569. 569. 569. 569. 569.
 B/S CONDITION 5 .143 2.61 536. 536. 536. 536. 536.
 B/S CONDITION 6 .143 2.97 610. 610. 610. 610. 610.
 B/S CONDITION 7 .143 3.73 766. 766. 766. 766. 766.

2-59

TP 78

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 482 -.32241 1.21830 6.74953 1.88243 13.73273
 CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 438 -.66276 -.01680 1.03284 .29110 2.27820
 B/S CONDITION 1 .143 3.50 719. 719. 719. 719. 719.
 B/S CONDITION 2 .143 3.23 664. 664. 664. 664. 664.
 B/S CONDITION 3 .143 3.96 2324. 2324. 2324. 2324. 2324.
 B/S CONDITION 4 .143 2.77 1627. 1627. 1627. 1627. 1627.
 B/S CONDITION 5 .143 2.61 1530. 1530. 1530. 1530. 1530.
 B/S CONDITION 6 .143 2.97 1743. 1743. 1743. 1743. 1743.
 B/S CONDITION 7 .143 3.73 2189. 2189. 2189. 2189. 2189.

TP 79

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 499 -.26533 1.30218 7.09695 1.38997 12.90291
 CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 421 -.66686 .04732 1.05218 .35308 2.28399
 B/S CONDITION 1 .143 3.50 2053. 2053. 2053. 2053. 2053.

B/S CONDITION 2	.143	3.23	1898.	1898.	1898.	1898.	1898.	1898.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	5.26	7438.	7438.	7438.	7438.	7438.	7438.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	3.70	5207.	5207.	5207.	5207.	5207.	5207.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	3.48	4897.	4897.	4897.	4897.	4897.	4897.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	3.96	5579.	5579.	5579.	5579.	5579.	5579.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	4.97	7004.	7004.	7004.	7004.	7004.	7004.
TP 80								
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	547	-0.22982	1.13165	6.35390	1.40831	13.33286		
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	373	-0.62998	.03032	.93746	.32964	2.01759		
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	4.66	6570.	6570.	6570.	6570.	6570.	6570.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	4.31	6074.	6074.	6074.	6074.	6074.	6074.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	6.60	9181.	9181.	9181.	9181.	9181.	9181.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	4.62	6427.	6427.	6427.	6427.	6427.	6427.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	4.35	6044.	6044.	6044.	6044.	6044.	6044.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	4.95	6886.	6886.	6886.	6886.	6886.	6886.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	6.22	8646.	8646.	8646.	8646.	8646.	8646.

TP 81								
CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	21	-1.18190	.61667	1.16689	-.02001	3.18334		
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	284	-.95653	.03183	1.63481	.45027	3.12741		
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	260	.00704	.78592	6.56966	2.42622	11.75767		
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	232	-.56569	2.26897	9.53413	1.57098	16.76213		
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	123	-.54203	.01520	.81411	.39470	2.10851		
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	5.83	8110.	8110.	8110.	8110.	8110.	8110.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	5.39	7498.	7498.	7498.	7498.	7498.	7498.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	7.92	8368.	8368.	8368.	8368.	8368.	8368.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	5.54	5858.	5858.	5858.	5858.	5858.	5858.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	5.21	5509.	5509.	5509.	5509.	5509.	5509.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	5.94	6276.	6276.	6276.	6276.	6276.	6276.

B/S CONDITION 7 .143 7.46 7860. 7880. 7880. 7880. 7880. 7880.

TP 82

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	48	-14117	14187	1.25942	.24024	3.74228
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	352	-126952	-03837	2.12869	.34181	3.73101
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	417	-22201	.65820	7.76278	2.05762	13.51037
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	100	.22280	3.82780	19.00630	2.03300	28.27442

B/S CONDITION 1 .143 7.00 7392. 7392. 7392. 7392. 7392. 7392.

B/S CONDITION 2 .143 6.47 6834. 6834. 6834. 6834. 6834. 6834.

B/S CONDITION 3 .143 6.00 6625. 6625. 6625. 6625. 6625. 6625.

B/S CONDITION 4 .143 4.62 4637. 4637. 4637. 4637. 4637. 4637.

B/S CONDITION 5 .143 4.35 4361. 4361. 4361. 4361. 4361. 4361.

B/S CONDITION 6 .143 4.95 4968. 4968. 4968. 4968. 4968. 4968.

B/S CONDITION 7 .143 6.22 6238. 6238. 6238. 6238. 6238. 6238.

21

TP 83

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	92	.08891	-02497	1.71308	-03961	3.89195
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	305	.09525	-03593	3.35905	.17127	5.64646
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	432	-23028	.80109	9.40897	1.14705	15.18948
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	91	-31231	4.06549	23.08102	-0.75899	31.46718

B/S CONDITION 1 .143 5.83 5852. 5852. 5852. 5852. 5852. 5852.

B/S CONDITION 2 .143 5.39 5410. 5410. 5410. 5410. 5410. 5410.

B/S CONDITION 3 .143 5.28 5462. 5462. 5462. 5462. 5462. 5462.

B/S CONDITION 4 .143 3.70 3824. 3824. 3824. 3824. 3824. 3824.

B/S CONDITION 5 .143 3.48 3596. 3596. 3596. 3596. 3596. 3596.

B/S CONDITION 6 .143 3.96 4097. 4097. 4097. 4097. 4097. 4097.

B/S CONDITION 7 .143 4.97 5144. 5144. 5144. 5144. 5144. 5144.

TP 84

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	97	.41929	.00804	2.32957	.31785	3.79283
---------------------------	----	--------	--------	---------	--------	---------

CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	249	.24217	.00177	3.40931	-.50142	7.27546
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	423	-.11095	.29617	9.48704	.68340	17.30694
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	101	-1.29911	3.15337	25.99046	5.99615	32.90304
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	4.66 4825.	4825. 4825.	4825. 4825.	4825. 4825.	
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	4.31 4461.	4461. 4461.	4461. 4461.	4461. 4461.	
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	3.96 5462.	5462. 5462.	5462. 5462.	5462. 5462.	
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.77 3824.	3824. 3824.	3824. 3824.	3824. 3824.	
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.61 3596.	3596. 3596.	3596. 3596.	3596. 3596.	
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.97 4097.	4097. 4097.	4097. 4097.	4097. 4097.	
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	3.73 5144.	5144. 5144.	5144. 5144.	5144. 5144.	

TP 85

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	94	.41277	-.00043	2.35669	.11261	3.76171
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	297	.20923	.01980	4.34149	-.57441	7.83272
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	419	-.24339	-.12141	9.90349	-.79026	17.79493
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	110	-.95082	2.75855	25.39039	.26544	35.43439
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	3.50 4825.	4825. 4825.	4825. 4825.	4825. 4825.	
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	3.23 4461.	4461. 4461.	4461. 4461.	4461. 4461.	
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	3.30 5346.	5346. 5346.	5346. 5346.	5346. 5346.	
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.31 3742.	3742. 3742.	3742. 3742.	3742. 3742.	
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.17 3520.	3520. 3520.	3520. 3520.	3520. 3520.	
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.48 4010.	4010. 4010.	4010. 4010.	4010. 4010.	
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	3.11 5034.	5034. 5034.	5034. 5034.	5034. 5034.	

A-62

TP 86

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	103	.00175	.02340	2.54857	-.20493	3.47035
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	275	.24222	-.45200	4.08755	-.59005	7.92026
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	436	-.47544	-.08874	11.31561	-1.52916	18.63173
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	106	-.75887	2.09575	25.67845	.43272	42.45664
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.92 4722.	4722. 4722.	4722. 4722.	4722. 4722.	

B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.70	4366.	4366.	4366.	4366.	4366.	4366.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.64	5811.	5811.	5811.	5811.	5811.	5811.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	1.85	4068.	4068.	4068.	4068.	4068.	4068.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	1.74	3826.	3826.	3826.	3826.	3826.	3826.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	1.98	4358.	4358.	4358.	4358.	4358.	4358.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.49	5472.	5472.	5472.	5472.	5472.	5472.

TP 87

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	81	.13988	-.02988	2.72225	-.16375	3.72275
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	279	.20591	-.28896	4.23102	-.78234	7.92632
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	449	-.63441	-.22982	10.34021	-.46098	18.47963
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	111	-1.34874	1.22928	24.63897	-1.72754	42.37972
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.33	5133.	5133.	5133.	5133.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.16	4746.	4746.	4746.	4746.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	3.30	5811.	5811.	5811.	5811.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.31	4068.	4068.	4068.	4068.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.17	3826.	3826.	3826.	3826.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.48	4358.	4358.	4358.	4358.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	3.11	5472.	5472.	5472.	5472.

TP 88

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	72	-.20778	-.13208	2.73919	.08716	3.66997
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	271	-.06723	-.37598	4.57664	-.63130	7.45828
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	456	-.62686	-.56110	10.18009	-1.45523	17.35999
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	121	-1.20868	1.54388	26.97734	.66242	43.28136
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.92	5133.	5133.	5133.	5133.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.70	4746.	4746.	4746.	4746.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	3.96	7903.	7903.	7903.	7903.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.77	5532.	5532.	5532.	5532.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.61	5203.	5203.	5203.	5203.

B/S CONDITION 6 .143 2.97 5927. 5927. 5927. 5927. 5927. 5927.

B/S CONDITION 7 .143 3.73 7442. 7442. 7442. 7442. 7442. 7442.

TP 89

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 42 -.35905 -.13714 3.17219 -.45571 3.84602

CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 248 -.10734 -.17347 4.25665 -.73844 7.73116

CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 495 -.55174 -.58691 8.79935 -.38448 16.75901

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 135 -.98178 1.33844 26.61087 -3.30439 42.05655

B/S CONDITION 1 .143 3.50 6981. 6981. 6981. 6981. 6981.

B/S CONDITION 2 .143 3.23 6454. 6454. 6454. 6454. 6454.

B/S CONDITION 3 .143 4.62 8833. 8833. 8833. 8833. 8833.

B/S CONDITION 4 .143 3.23 6183. 6183. 6183. 6183. 6183.

B/S CONDITION 5 .143 3.04 5815. 5815. 5815. 5815. 5815.

B/S CONDITION 6 .143 3.47 6625. 6625. 6625. 6625. 6625.

B/S CONDITION 7 .143 4.35 8318. 8318. 8318. 8318. 8318.

216

TP 90

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 20 .05900 .39400 2.02474 -.75621 4.79521

CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 217 -.29912 -.37152 3.82323 -.60739 5.49732

CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 536 -.44918 -.68537 8.76704 -.87348 14.20507

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) = 147 -.22762 .81605 24.44823 -2.22403 40.04560

B/S CONDITION 1 .143 4.08 7802. 7802. 7802. 7802. 7802.

B/S CONDITION 2 .143 3.77 7213. 7213. 7213. 7213. 7213.

B/S CONDITION 3 .143 5.28 9181. 9181. 9181. 9181. 9181.

B/S CONDITION 4 .143 3.70 6427. 6427. 6427. 6427. 6427.

B/S CONDITION 5 .143 3.48 6044. 6044. 6044. 6044. 6044.

B/S CONDITION 6 .143 3.96 6886. 6886. 6886. 6886. 6886.

B/S CONDITION 7 .143 4.97 8646. 8646. 8646. 8646. 8646.

TP 91

CLASS 1, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	7	- .99571	.51657	2.15506	-.40986	5.63081
CLASS 2, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	139	-.30656	-.11266	3.27064	-.29332	4.58298
CLASS 3, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	394	-.01739	-.65685	8.34386	-1.00174	13.01852
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	324	-.15929	.20247	13.05983	-2.12109	22.72886
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	56	-.37000	-.88375	2.82410	-.26927	2.15881
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	4.66	8110. 8110. 8110. 8110. 8110.			
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	4.31	7498. 7498. 7498. 7498. 7498.			
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	5.94	6508. 6508. 6508. 6508. 6508.			
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	4.16	4556. 4556. 4556. 4556. 4556.			
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	3.91	4285. 4285. 4285. 4285. 4285.			
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	4.46	4881. 4881. 4881. 4881. 4881.			
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	5.59	6129. 6129. 6129. 6129. 6129.			

TP 92

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	695	.25675	-.39407	9.83900	-.73978	15.08566
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	225	-.37920	-.48164	2.14862	-.59062	2.51477
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	5.25	5749. 5749. 5749. 5749. 5749.			
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	4.85	5315. 5315. 5315. 5315. 5315.			
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	4.62	6508. 6508. 6508. 6508. 6508.			
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	3.23	4556. 4556. 4556. 4556. 4556.			
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	3.04	4285. 4285. 4285. 4285. 4285.			
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	3.47	4881. 4881. 4881. 4881. 4881.			
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	4.35	6129. 6129. 6129. 6129. 6129.			

TP 93

CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	626	.31696	-.48105	8.55199	-.443791	14.67232
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	294	-.38949	-.63027	1.74242	-.16582	2.46857
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	4.08	5749. 5749. 5749. 5749. 5749.			
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	3.77	5315. 5315. 5315. 5315. 5315.			
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.64	4649. 4649. 4649. 4649. 4649.			

B/S CONDITION 4	.143	1.43	3254.	3254.	3254.	3254.	3254.	3254.	3254.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	1.74	3060.	3060.	3060.	3060.	3060.	3060.	3060.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	1.96	3467.	3467.	3467.	3467.	3467.	3467.	3467.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.49	4378.	4378.	4378.	4378.	4378.	4378.	4378.
TP 94									
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	286		.10148	-.28890	0.34113	.44894	15.36172		
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	333		-.36096	-.67357	1.51025	.06092	2.16039		
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.33	4106.	4106.	4106.	4106.	4106.	4106.	4106.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.16	3797.	3797.	3797.	3797.	3797.	3797.	3797.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.64	3487.	3487.	3487.	3487.	3487.	3487.	3487.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	1.85	2441.	2441.	2441.	2441.	2441.	2441.	2441.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	1.74	2295.	2295.	2295.	2295.	2295.	2295.	2295.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	1.98	2615.	2615.	2615.	2615.	2615.	2615.	2615.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.49	3283.	3283.	3283.	3283.	3283.	3283.	3283.

TP 95									
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	559		-.02038	-.53309	0.58243	1.24015	15.14722		
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	361		-.52778	-.48388	1.26808	.06574	2.21663		
B/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.33	3080.	3080.	3080.	3080.	3080.	3080.	3080.
B/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.16	2847.	2847.	2847.	2847.	2847.	2847.	2847.
B/S CONDITION 3	.143	3.30	2324.	2324.	2324.	2324.	2324.	2324.	2324.
B/S CONDITION 4	.143	2.31	1627.	1627.	1627.	1627.	1627.	1627.	1627.
B/S CONDITION 5	.143	2.17	1530.	1530.	1530.	1530.	1530.	1530.	1530.
B/S CONDITION 6	.143	2.48	1743.	1743.	1743.	1743.	1743.	1743.	1743.
B/S CONDITION 7	.143	3.11	2189.	2189.	2189.	2189.	2189.	2189.	2189.

TP 96									
CLASS 4, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	543		-.29838	-.37492	0.59797	1.28468	15.50311		
CLASS 5, STATIN(1 TO 6) =	377		-.50546	-.42708	1.18083	.14525	2.12828		

8/S CONDITION 1	.143	2.42	2053.	2053.	2053.	2053.	2053.
8/S CONDITION 2	.143	2.70	1896.	1898.	1898.	1898.	1898.
8/S CONDITION 3	.143	2.64	1162.	1162.	1162.	1162.	1162.
8/S CONDITION 4	.143	1.89	814.	814.	814.	814.	814.
8/S CONDITION 5	.143	1.74	765.	765.	765.	765.	765.
8/S CONDITION 6	.143	1.58	872.	872.	872.	872.	872.
8/S CONDITION 7	.143	2.49	1094.	1094.	1094.	1094.	1094.

RESULTS

FOR A STANDARD OF 35.0 PPM

THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS PER YEAR IS 1.204 WITH A STANDARD ERROR OF .036

THE MAXIMUM PROBABILITY OF TWO OR MORE VIOLATIONS PER YEAR IS 33.90 O/D WITH A STANDARD ERROR OF 1.31 O/D

>>> COST REPORT FOR PROUCT3 <<<

06/06/79 14.48.26

06/06/79

RESOURCE	BILLING RATE	UNITS USED	COST
CENTRAL PROCESSOR	1100.00 / HOUR	171.557 CP SECONDS	1 5.00
PERIPHERAL PROCESSOR	20.00 / HOUR	17.563 PP SECONDS	.10
I/O	60.00 / HOUR	7.879 IO SECONDS	.18
FIELD LENGTH	3.00 / Kilo-WORD-HOUR	3300.268 Kilo-WORD-SECS.	2.75
MAGNETIC TAPES PUNCHED	.50 / TAPE	1 MAG TAPE(S)	.50
CARDS READ	.0025 / CARD	1962 CARDS READ	4.96
BASIC COST			13.48

(BASIC COST INCLUDES LINES PRINTED, CARDS PUNCHED AND PLOTTER LINE CHARGES)

JOB PRIORITY 4 PRIORITY COST FACTOR 1.00 APPROXIMATE ADJUSTED COST 13.48

AS OF LAST ACCOUNT UPDATE, ACCOUNT EXPIRES 06/30/79, FUNDS LEFT UNLIMITED

STARTING MONDAY, JUNE 11, NOS/BE WILL NO LONGER BE AVAILABLE.
NOS WILL BE THE OPERATING SYSTEM.

06/06/79 UVA NOS/BE 1.02 LEVEL 454-03/11/76

14.40.31.00 PROUCT3 PRIN

14.40.31.00 PROUCT3.4207.00.1777.ATI.

14.40.31.00 LABEL TAPE 4.901-STATISTIX, I-999, VSR-003, N

14.40.31.00 LKING, NY.

14.40.31.00 MT 025 ASSIGNED

14.40.32.00 VOLUME SERIAL NUMBER IS 000603

14.40.33.00 LABEL READ WAS STATISTIX

14.40.33.00 EDITION NUMBER 00

14.40.33.00 REVISION CYCLE 999

14.40.33.00 CREATION DATE 79531

14.40.33.00 REEL NUMBER 0004

14.40.33.00 SKIPPED TAPE 4.217.9.

14.40.34.00 FIN (CL-F)

14.41.00.00 44000 CM STORAGE USED

14.41.00.00 11.016 CP SECONDS COMPIATION TIME

14.41.00.00.

14.48.25.00 BLKCS READ 000017

14.48.25.00 STOP

14.48.25.00 198.271 CP SECONDS EXECUTION TIME

14.48.26.00 STOP

14.48.27.00 END OF JOB, **

PRINT COST 14.48.26 PROUCT3 / / / / END OF LIST / / / / 0002967 LINES

