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#### Abstract

During nine days in June 1977, four major metropolitan areas of the state of Virginia were surveyed to determine seat belt use by summer urban travelers. Observer-data collectors were stationed at selected signalized intersections. Motorists in the lane adjacent to the curb were shown a clipboard bearing the question, Are you wearing seat belts? The observer then approached the vehicle and visually verified the response given, and recorded whether seat belts and/or shoulder straps were being used. He also recorded the license number of the vehicle and the sex and approximate age of each occupant.

An association between the driver's use of belts and the right front passenger's use was noted during the survey. In vehicles in which the driver was not using a seat belt, $96.7 \%$ of the right front passengers (RFP's) were not using a seat belt. When the driver was using only a lap belt, $42.7 \%$ of the RFP's were using either the lap belt or the lap and shoulder belts. When the driver was using both lap and shoulder belts, $54.6 \%$ of the RFP's were using either the lap belt or the lap and shoulder belts. The last two figures for RFP use do not include the use of child seats, which added $1.6 \%$ and $1.3 \%$ to the totals.

It was found that only $16.3 \%$ of the drivers, $9.8 \%$ of the RFP's, and $3.4 \%$ of the remaining passengers used a seat belt and/or shoulder strap. Overall, $10.3 \%$ of the infant occupants were restrained by approved child seats. The results indicate that in comparison to males, more of the female drivers and RFP's use belts. Belt use by drivers was found to be higher in the 1972/1973 vehicle group and by RFP's of 1975 model cars than in the other age categories of vehicles. A greater percentage of Northern Virginia drivers and RFP's used belts than those in the other survey areas, and use was lowest for all occupant categories in Eastern Virginia.
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## INTRODUCTION

The safety advantage of using seat belts in automobile has been the subject of a number of papers, talks, and public relations campaigns. Both federal and state governments have required that all new cars be equipped with seat belts before they are offered for sale. The availability of seat belts, however, is not an indication of their use by the motoring public.

Numerous efforts have been initiated by government and private groups to persuade the motoring public to use the restraining devices. Most drivers are aware of some of these campaigns, and the public is also familiar with warning buzzers and lights, the interlock system, the three-point belt, and inertial reels. In addition, legislation requiring the use of seat belts has been sought by a number of jurisdictions.

Investigations have been conducted to determine whether seat belts in motor vehicles are actually being used. Early studies relied on questionnaire and interview formats, while later ones have used a variety of observational techniques. When motorists respond to questions on the use of seat belts they generally give the socially acceptable affirmative reply. When motorists are observered, however, their actual belt use is found to be less than that stated.

## PURPOSE

This study was initiated to determine the extent to which summer urban travelers use available seat belts and shoulder straps within the state of Virginia.

## METHOD

In June 1977 observer-data collectors surveyed four metropolitan areas of the state; namely, Western Virginia (Roanoke-Salem-Vinton), Northern Virginia (Alexandria-Arlington-Fairfax-Belvoir), Central Virginia (Richmond-Henrico-Chesterfield), and Eastern Virginia (Norfolk-Virginia Beach-Hampton). Each day of the week, Sunday through Saturday, was sampled for at least one full day, and Thursday and Friday were sampled for two days.

Three sites were used each day. These sites were chosen because the throughfares carried relatively high traffic volumes and provided an adequate and safe vantage point for observations. Each day both primary and secondary routes were sampled. Although the study sites did not include any along interstate highways, vehicles going to and from such roadways were surveyed. Three time periods were used: (1) 8:00 a.m. to 10:30 a.m, (2) ll:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m., and (3) 3:30 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.

The observations were made at signalized intersections and only the lane adjacent to the curb was used to obtain the sample. A clipboard bearing the question, Are you wearing seat belts? was displayed by the observer to alert travelers to the purpose of the survey. After the clipboard was presented, the observer approached the car from the front at a $45^{\circ}$ angle. Approaching at the right front fender, the observer walked along the side and past the vehicle while looking in and recording the data. Upon seeing the question most occupants would reply. This reply was acknowledged, but only data verified by the observer were recorded. Volunteers were acknowledged, but their responses were not recorded.

At each site the data collectors recorded whether the driver and passengers were using only the lap belt, both the lap and shoulder belts, or no form of restraint. In addition, they also recorded whether any of the infants were using approved child seats. An "approved child seat" was defined as those models distributed by the automobile industry, such as the "Love Seat" and "Tot Guard", as well as models sold by other retailers that have the same design characteristics. This category did not include models which hook over the seat or those inadequately anchored, or not anchored at all, to the vehicle. The survey personnel also recorded the sex and approxi mate age of each occupant, their seat position in the vehicle, and the license number of the vehicle (see Figure l).
FIGURE 1
SAFETY BELT USAGE SURVEY FORM

| Date Sheot No. |  |  | Start Time <br> Stop Time $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Location At |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| License Number | Driver |  | Mid Front |  | Rt. Front |  | Age | Lt. Rear |  |  | Mid Rear |  |  | Rt. Rear |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{F} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{Y} \\ \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{O} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{M} \\ \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{~A} & \mathbf{F} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{S} \\ \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I P Y } \\ & \text { M O } \end{aligned}$ | L S <br> N A | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY Y } \\ & \text { MO } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{S} \\ \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { M O } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{L} & \mathrm{S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | L S M <br> N  F | $\begin{array}{ll\|} \hline \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{Y} \\ \mathbf{M} & O \\ \hline \end{array}$ | L $S$ $M$ <br> $N$ $A$ $F$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IP Y } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY Y } \\ & \text { MO } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{S} \\ \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { M O } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll\|} \hline \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \hline L & S \\ N & A \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{IPY} \\ & \mathrm{MO} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | L S M <br> N  F | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{Y} \\ \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{O} \end{array}$ | L S M <br> N $A$ F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline \mathbf{L} & \mathrm{S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L} & \mathbf{S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { M O } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | L S M <br> N  F | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{P} Y \\ \mathrm{MO} \end{array}$ | L S M <br> N A F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I PY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \mathbf{L} & \mathrm{S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline L & S \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I PYY } \\ & \mathrm{MO} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | L S M <br> N  F | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} \mathbf{Y} \\ & \mathrm{MO} \end{aligned}$ | L S M <br> N A F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { M O } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{L}$ $\mathbf{S}$ M <br> N  F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P Y } \\ & \text { M } \end{aligned}$ | L S M <br> N A F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I P Y } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{S} \\ \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { L } & \text { S } \\ \text { N } & \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IP Y } \\ & \text { M O } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \mathbf{L} S \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | L S M <br> N  F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PY } \\ & \text { M O } \end{aligned}$ | L S M <br> N A F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { M O } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPYY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L} & \mathbf{S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IP Y } \\ & \text { M O } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{S} \\ \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPYY } \\ & \text { MO } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{L} S \\ \mathrm{~N} A \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \mathrm{MO} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | L S M <br> N F  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{PY} \\ & \mathrm{MO} \end{aligned}$ | I. $\mathbf{S}$ M <br> N A F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I P Y } \\ & \text { M O } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \mathbf{L} & \mathrm{S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { M O } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{S} \\ \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & S \\ N & A \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { M O } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | L S M <br> N  F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PY } \\ & \text { M O } \end{aligned}$ | L S M <br> N A F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I P Y } \\ & \text { MO } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{NA} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{S} \\ \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{L} & \mathbf{S} \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { M O } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { L S } \\ \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IPY } \\ & \text { MO } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { L } & \text { S } & \text { M } \\ \mathrm{N} & & \mathrm{F}\end{array}$ | PY M O | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { L } & \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{M} \\ \mathrm{N} & \text { A } & \mathbf{F}\end{array}$ | IP Y M O | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { L } & \text { S } \\ \text { N } & \text { A }\end{array}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ $\mathbf{F}$ | IP Y M | L N S | M $\mathbf{F}$ | IP Y M | L N | M | IP Y M O | L N A | M $\mathbf{F}$ | IPY MO |

$N=$ None
$A=A_{\text {lin }}$ ioved Child Seat

Occupant age was divided into five categories: (I) Infants (up to 3 years old), (2) pre-adults ( 4 to 16 years), (3) young adults (17 - 30 years), (4) middle adults (31 - 60 years), and (5) older adults (over 60 years). For this survey, vehicle age was divided into seven categories: (1) Pre-1963, (2) 1963-1967, (3) 1968-1971, (4) 1972/1973, (5) 1974, (6) 1975, and (7) 1976/1977. These divisions correspond to the following requirements and characteristics of seat belt installation in passenger cars: Belts not required, only lap belt installed, lap and shoulder belts, lap and shoulder belts with a continuous buzzer, belts coupled to the interlock system, a mix of vehicles with and without the interlock, and belts coupled to a 4-8 second buzzer.

This summer survey was the fourth in the series and was originally designed to determine if there were seasonal differences in seat belt and shoulder strap use. Just prior to the start of the survey, the NHTSA Region III office requested that the vehicle age classification system be modified. The original classification scheme had three vehicle age groups while the proposed system had seven. The new procedure required recording license plate numbers rather than classifying vehicles into age categories. This request was discussed with officials of the Virginia Division of Motor Vehicles and they indicated that they would furnish vehicle model years to match license plate numbers. The change in procedure was then made.

Because of this change, it was determined that comparisons with previous data would be inappropriate. Earlier studies had indicated that seat belt use was low, and with the change in procedure for classifying vehicle age a bias in a few vehicles could significantly affect the rate of use and statistical significance. Readers interested in the previous data can refer to the report "Seat Belt and Shoulder Strap Use Among Urban Travelers, A Comparison of Survey Results From 1974, 1975, and 1976."

## ANALYSES

During the nine-day survey period in June 1977 data were collected on 6,479 occupants of 4 ,118 vehicles. Data on the number and percentages of individuals surveyed by time period, by age of the automobile, by area of the state, by sex of the occupant, and by age of the occupant are presented in Appendix Tables A-1 through A-5.

Approximately one-third of the data were collected during each of the three time periods. When the data are categorized by vehicle age, and considering the number of model years
in each category, the 1972/1973 vehicles comprise the largest single group. The fewest vehicles were surveyed in the eastern area of the state and the most in the central area. There were more male drivers and more female passengers, which is not an unexpected finding considering current U. S. social mores. There were more middle adult drivers and right front passengers (RFP's), but this classification encompasses the greatest spread of ages (30 years). When age groups are combined, youths under 16 years make up nearly three-fourths of the remaining passengers.

Table 1 presents the results on the use of seat belts. Total driver use was $16.3 \%$, with those using only a lap belt making up 9.4\% of the total. Belts were used by less than a tenth of the RFP's. Of the remaining passengers, $2.0 \%$ used lap belts and an additional $1.4 \%$ were children properly restrained in child seats. Generally, it can be seen that the use of seat belts and child seats was not very prevalent among urban Virginia motorists during the period under study.

The data on the association between driver and passenger use of seat belts, presented in Table 2, show that when the driver was not using belts, only $3.3 \%$ of the RFP's were using them; when the driver was using only his lap belt, then $44.3 \%$ of the RFP's were restrained; and when the driver was using both lap and shoulder belts, $55.8 \%$ of these same passengers also were using belts. These figures include children in child seats. It is apparent that as drivers increased their own safety, there was an increase in the use of belts by RFP's. The situation was not nearly so favorable for the remaining passengers. When the driver was not using belts, less than $2.0 \%$ of the remaining passengers were properly restrained. If the driver was using belts, either the lap belt only or both the lap and shoulder belts, less than $14 \%$ of the remaining passengers were belted. There was a variation in the use of child seats by the two groups of belted drivers, but the numbers of users were so few as to make percentages of use rather meaningless.

Data on belt use by occupant seat position and vehicle age are presented in Table 3. For drivers, the rate of use increased as the vehicle model classification became newer, up to the 1972/1973 group, and then declined. Use rates varied from just over $9.0 \%$ for the pre-l963 vehicles to $22.5 \%$ for the 1972/1973 vehicles. Vehicles in the latter group are equipped with a continuous buzzer system. In the 1974 vehicles, those with the interlock system, driver use of seat belts was $19.4 \%$; for 1975 vehicles, which included cars with and cars without the interlock system, use was 17.5\%; and for the 1976/1977 vehicles, those with the 4 to 8 second buzzer system, belt use by drivers was down to $15.9 \%$.

Table 1
Use of Seat Belts

| Occupant <br> Seat Position | Restraint <br> Used | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Drivers | Lap only | 389 | 9.4 |
|  | Lap/shoulder | 283 | 6.9 |
|  | None | 3,446 | 83.7 |
|  | Child seat | 7 | 0.5 |
|  | Lap only | 77 | 5.5 |
|  | Lap/shouider | 54 | 3.8 |
| Remaining | None | 1,269 | 90.2 |
| Passengers | Child seat | 13 | 1.4 |
|  | Lap only | 19 | 2.0 |
|  | Lap/shoulder | 0 | - |
|  | None | 922 | 96.6 |

Table 2
Association Between Driver and Passenger Use of Seat Belts

| occupant <br> Posizion | Occupant <br> Use of Belts | When Driver Not Using Belts |  | When Driver Using Only Lap Belts |  | When Driver Using Lap and Shoulder Belts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
|  | Child Seat | 4 | 0.3 | 2 | 1.6 | 2 | 1.3 |
| Pight | Lap Oniy | 13 | 2.5 | 48 | 38.7 | 11 | 24.3 |
| ?assengers | Lap/Shoulder | 18 | 1.5 | 5 | 4.0 | 32 | 40.3 |
|  | None | 1,165 | 96.7 | 69 | 55.7 | 34 | 44.2 |
|  | Child Seat | 7 | 0.9 | 2 | 2.5 | 4 | 7.3 |
| Remaining | Lap Oniy | 7 | 0.3 | 9 | 11.3 | 3 | 5.9 |
| Using | Lap/Shoulder | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
|  | None | 809 | 98.3 | 69 | 86.3 | 44 | 36.3 |

Table 3
Belt Use By Vehicle Age

| Occupant <br> Seat Position | Vehicle Age | Number |  | Percent Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Used | Not Used |  |
| Drivers | Pre-63 | 5 | 50 | 9.1 |
|  | 63-67 | 47 | 438 | 9.7 |
|  | 68-71 | 132 | 894 | 12.9 |
|  | 72/73 | 209 | 718 | 22.5 |
|  | 74 | 85 | 353 | 19.4 |
|  | 75 | 59 | 279 | 17.5 |
|  | 76/77 | 135 | 714 | 15.9 |
| RightFrontPassengers | Pre-63 | 1 | 19 | 5.0 |
|  | 63-67 | 8 | 170 | 4.5 |
|  | 68-71 | 25 | 330 | 9.8 |
|  | 72/73 | 37 | 281 | 11.6 |
|  | 74 | 19 | 120 | 13.7 |
|  | 75 | 18 | 104 | 14.8 |
|  | 76/77 | 30 | 245 | 10.9 |
| RemainingPassengers | Pre-63 | 0 | 14 | - |
|  | 63-67 | 1 | 134 | 0.7 |
|  | 68-71 | 6 | 249 | 2.4 |
|  | 72/73 | 8 | 226 | 3.4 |
|  | 74 | 4 | 89 | 4.3 |
|  | 75 | 4 | 77 | 4.9 |
|  | 76/77 | 7 | 133 | 5.0 |

For every vehicle age category, RFP use was lower than that for the drivers. With the exception of pre-1963 cars, use rates increased with the recency of manufacture, up to 1975 models with a rate of $14.8 \%$. Other use rates by RFP's were 4.5\% for the 1963-1967 models, 9.8\% for the 1968-1971, $11.6 \%$ for the 1972/1973, 13.7\% for the 1974, and 10.9\% for the 1976/1977 model years.

The remaining passengers had belt use rates which did not exceed $5 \%$ and this rate was observed for only the newest model cars. For occupants of the three rear seats and center front seat, the percentages of belt use by vehicle age were $0.7 \%$ for the 1963-1967 category, $2.4 \%$ for the 1968-1971, $3.4 \%$ for the $1972 / 1973,4.3 \%$ for the $1974,4.9 \%$ for the 1975 , and $5.0 \%$ for the $1976 / 1977$ categories.

The data in Table 4 are for belt use according to the sex of the occupant. There it can be seen that $17.9 \%$ of the female drivers and $15.0 \%$ of the male drivers were using seat belts. For RFP's, $10.7 \%$ of the females and $7.9 \%$ of the males were observed to be using belts. For the remaining passengers, $2.7 \%$ of the females and $4.1 \%$ of the males had their belts fastened. Generally, it can be concluded that females are more apt than males to be using seat belts; but all rates of use are relatively low.

Table 4
Belt Use by Sex of Occupant

| Occupant <br> Seat Position | Sex of <br> Occupant | Number |  | Percent <br> Use |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Used | Not Used |  |
| Drivers | Male | 343 | 1,938 | 15.0 |
|  | Female | 329 | 1,508 | 17.9 |
| Right Front | Male | 36 | 422 | 7.9 |
| Passengers | Female | 102 | 847 | 10.7 |
| Remaining | Male | 18 | 418 | 4.1 |
| Passengers | Female | 14 | 504 | 2.7 |

In Table 5 belt use data according to the age of the occupant are presented. The two groups of drivers between the ages of 17 and 60 have nearly the same use rates; $16.7 \%$ of the young adults ( 17 to 30 years) and $16.4 \%$ of the middle adults ( 31 to 60 years) used belts. Of the older adult drivers, those over 60, 12.1\% used seat belts; the pre-adults had the highest use rates for drivers, $20 \%$.

With the exception of infants, use rates by RFP's were low. Among the infants, $29.7 \%$ were restrained with $18.9 \%$ using approved child seats. Other use rates were: $6.6 \%$ for pre-adults, $9.0 \%$ for young adults, $10.6 \%$ for middle adults, and $10.0 \%$ for older adults. For passengers over 17 years and riding in the outboard front passenger seat, the combined use rate was $10.0 \%$.

Passengers riding in the center front seat and the three rear seats had the lowest rates of seat belt use. For all practical purposes, occupants other than infants do not use restraints while riding in these seats. Even for infants, the use rate was only $10.2 \%$, with $8.3 \%$ being accounted for by use of child seats.

Table 5
Belt Use by Age of Occupant

| Occupant <br> Seat Position | Age of <br> Occupant | Number |  | Percent <br> Use |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Used | Not Used |  |
| Drivers | Pre-Adult | 2 | 8 | 20.0 |
|  | Young Adult | 222 | 1,109 | 16.7 |
|  | Middle Adult | 425 | 2,162 | 16.4 |
|  | 23 | 167 | 12.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Right | Infant | 11 | 26 | 29.7 |
| Front | Pre-Adult | 19 | 267 | 6.6 |
| Passengers | Young Adult | 33 | 333 | 9.0 |
|  | Middle Adult | 61 | 517 | 10.6 |
|  | Older Adult | 14 | 126 | 10.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Remaining | Infant | 16 | 141 | 10.2 |
| Passengers | Pre-Adult | 10 | 541 | 1.8 |
|  | Young Adult | 1 | 85 | 1.2 |
|  | Middle Adult | 3 | 109 | 2.7 |
|  | Older Adult | 2 | 46 | 4.2 |

Data on belt use by survey time period are contained in Table 6. For both drivers and right front passengers, the highest use rates occurred during the midday survey period (17.4\% of drivers and $10.9 \%$ of RFP's), followed by the morning period (l6.1\% of drivers and $10.0 \%$ of RFP's), and the lowest rates were during the afternoon period (15.4\% of drivers and $8.2 \%$ of RFP's). For the remaining passengers, the rates of use in decreasing order occurred during midday ( $3.9 \%$ ), afternoon ( $3.3 \%$ ), and morning ( $2.8 \%$ ). For each of the occupant seat position categories, there was little difference in use rates based on the time of day.

Table 6
Belt Use by Time Periods

| Occupant <br> Seat Position | Period | Percent <br> Use |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Used | Not Used |  |
| Drivers | A.M. | 227 | 1,185 | 16.1 |
|  | MID. | 248 | 1,179 | 17.4 |
|  | P.M. | 197 | 1,082 | 15.4 |
|  | A.M. | 37 | 332 | 10.0 |
| Passengers | MID. | 63 | 513 | 10.9 |
|  | P.M. | 38 | 424 | 8.2 |
| Remaining |  |  |  |  |
| Passengers | MID. | 8 | 278 | 2.8 |
|  | M.M. | 14 | 10 | 349 |

Table 7 presents data on belt use according to the area of the state. A greater percentage of Northern Virginia drivers ( $22.2 \%$ ) were using seat belts and shoulder straps than were drivers in the Central ( $14.7 \%$ ), Western ( $14.5 \%$ ), or Eastern ( $13.7 \%$ ) parts of the state. More Northern RFP's (13.7\%) were using belts than were those in the Central ( $9.0 \%$ ), Western ( $9.0 \%$ ), or Eastern ( $7.7 \%$ ) areas. For the passengers in the remaining seat positions, use was greatest in the Central area ( $4.2 \%$ ) followed by the Western ( $4.1 \%$ ), the Northern ( $3.4 \%$ ), and the Eastern (2.2\%) areas. In general, belt use rates were greatest in the Northern area, lowest in the Eastern, and nearly identical in the Central and Western parts of the state.

Table 7
Belt Use By Area Surveyed

| Occupant <br> Seat Position | Survey Area | Number |  | Percent Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Used | Not Used |  |
| Drivers | Western | 155 | 911 | 14.5 |
|  | Northern | 226 | 793 | 22.2 |
|  | Central | 162 | 940 | 14.7 |
|  | Eastern | 129 | 802 | 13.7 |
| RightFrontPassengers | Western | 33 | 334 | 9.0 |
|  | Northern | 48 | 302 | 13.7 |
|  | Central | 29 | 295 | 9.0 |
|  | Eastern | 28 | 338 | 7.7 |
| Remaining Passengers | Western | 10 | 235 | 4.1 |
|  | Northern | 8 | 230 | 3.4 |
|  | Central | 8 | 185 | 4.2 |
|  | Eastern | 6 | 272 | 2.2 |

The seat belt and shoulder strap use data according to the sex and age of the occupant in Table 8 show that young and middle adult female drivers used belts more than did their male counterparts. The respective comparative rates were $18.8 \%$ and $17.9 \%$ for females and $14.6 \%$ and $15.4 \%$ for males. For older adult drivers, males ( $12.7 \%$ ) had a higher use rate than females (ll. $3 \%$ ). There were too few pre-adult drivers or belt users to allow meaningful comparisons.

Of those passengers riding in the right front seat, young ( $10.8 \%$ ), middle ( $11.2 \%$ ), and older (ll. $8 \%$ ) adult females had higher belt use rates than did males of the same age classifications (5.2\%, 8.6\%, and 3.3\%). Male infants (31.3\%) and preadults (7.7\%) had rates greater than those for female infants (28.6\%) and pre-adults (5.4\%).

Use rates were also computed for the various age and sex categories of passengers in the remaining seats. A cursory glance at the table indicates just how few individuals were using their restraint systems. Except for male and female infants with rates of $9.8 \%$ and $10.8 \%$, respectively, and male older adults with a rate of $9.1 \%$, less than $3.5 \%$ of the passengers in any of the remaining categories used belts.

Table 8
Belt Use by Sex and Age of Occupant


In Appendix Table $B-1$, data are presented on the use of seat belts and shoulder straps according to age of vehicle, occupant age, and seat position. In every vehicle age category, there were very few pre-adult and older adult drivers or belt users. For young and middle adult drivers, belt use increased by model year up to $1972 / 1973$ vehicles; after this point use decreased.

Drivers of pre-1963 vehicles, those in which seat belts were not required to be installed, had very little belt use, in fact, so few used them that percentages provide little useful information. Belt use rates varied from 8.6\% in 19631967 model vehicles to $21.8 \%$ in $1972 / 1973$ cars for young adult drivers (see Table 9). Use rates varied from 10.5\% in 19631967 autos to $23.3 \%$ in $1972 / 1973$ cars for middle adult drivers (also see Table 9). Rates for drivers of cars in the last four model years were between $18.0 \%$ and $18.6 \%$ for young adults and from $14.6 \%$ to $19.5 \%$ for middle adults. Use rates ranged from $2.0 \%$ of older adult drivers in 1968-1971 vehicles to $25.0 \%$ of those in 1974 autos.

The data presented in Table 10 are for belt use by RFP's according to vehicle and occupant ages. The 1972/1973 vehicles had the highest use rates for pre-adult and older adult RFP's, while the 1975 vehicles had the highest rate of use for young and middle adults. There were several combinations of vehicle and occupant ages where no RFP was observed using seat belts or shoulder straps.

Where there were users of seat belts, pre-adult RFP use rates ranged from $5.0 \%$ in 1968-1971 autos to $11.4 \%$ in 1972/ 1973 cars; varied from 4.6\% of young adults in 1963-1967 cars to $20.5 \%$ in 1975 vehicles; went from a low of $5.7 \%$ of middle adults in 1963-1967 autos to 16.0\% in 1975's (the number of middle adults in pre-1963 vehicles and of those using seat belts were so few as to be insignificant); and ranged from $5.0 \%$ of older adults in 1963-1967 autos to $17.7 \%$ of those in 1972/1973 vehicles.

For 24 out of the 35 vehicle and occupant age categories of data on the remaining passengers, no one was observed using seat belts. In addition, other than for infants in 1968-1971 and 1976/1977 model year groups, belt users in the remaining categories of data exceeded three individuals in only two cases.

Data on seat belt and shoulder strap use according to vehicle age and area of the state surveyed are presented in Appendix Table B-2. Autos in the last six model years had the greatest percentage of restraint users. Generally, drivers of 1972/1973 cars and RFP's of 1974 cars had the highest rates of belt use. In addition, a greater percentage of drivers and RFP's in the Northern survey area used seat belts and shoulder straps than did those in other areas.

Table 9
Driver Use Rates by Vehicle and Occupant Ages

|  | Pre-63 | $\frac{63-67}{}$ | $\frac{68-71}{}$ | $\frac{72 / 73}{}$ |  | $\frac{74}{}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Young Adult | - | 8.6 | 14.8 | 21.8 | 18.6 | 18.0 | 18.5 |
| Middle Adult | Il.1 | 10.5 | 12.8 | 23.3 | 19.5 | 17.0 | 14.6 |

Table 10
Right Front Passenger Use Rates by Vehicle and Occupant Ages

|  | Pre-63 | 63-67 | 68-71 | $72 / 73$ | 74 | 75 | 76/77 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-Adult | - | - | 5.0 | 11.4 | 11.1 | - | 7.5 |
| Young Adult | - | 4.6 | 5.6 | 8.0 | 6.9 | 20.5 | 12.7 |
| Middle Adult | 20.0 | 5.7 | 7.6 | 11.7 | 13.8 | 16.0 | 11.1 |
| Older Adult | - | 5.0 | 7.0 | 17.7 | 16.7 | - | 11.5 |

The highest percentage of belt use, $31.2 \%$, was by Northern drivers of 1972/1973 cars. The lowest use of seat belts, none, was by Northern drivers of pre-l963 cars (see Table ll). For RFP's, the highest rate of use, $25.8 \%$, was by Eastern area occupants of 1975 cars; while the lowest use, zero, was shared by several areas and vehicle ages (see Table l2). Use rates were generally low for the remaining passengers. There was no one using belts in 12 categories of data, and in another 12 use was less than $4.0 \%$ of the occupants. In 27 of the 28 remaining passenger data categories, three or fewer occupants were using seat belts (see Table l3). When the rate for each model year was rank ordered and an overall ranking was established, Northern drivers and RFP's had the highest rate of use, the Western area drivers and RFP's had the next highest overall rate of use, followed by drivers and RFP's from the Centeral and Eastern areas, which were tied (see Tables ll and 12).

a Overall rank order
b Rank order for each model year

Table 12
Right Front Passenger Use Rates by Vehicle Age and Area Surveyed

|  | $\frac{\text { Fre-63 }}{}$ | $\frac{63-67}{}$ | $\frac{68-71}{}$ | $\frac{72 / 73}{8.8(3)}$ | $8.5(4)$ | $15.8(2)$ | $11.1(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West(2)a | $16.7(1)^{b}$ | $8.3(1)$ | $5.8(3)$ | $9.5(2)$ |  |  |  |
| North (1) | $-(2)$ | $8.3(1)$ | $14.1(1)$ | $13.9(1)$ | $20.0(1)$ | $10.0(4)$ | $15.2(1)$ |
| Central (3) | $-(2)$ | $-(3)$ | $8.1(2)$ | $10.8(3)$ | $13.9(3)$ | $11.7(2)$ | $8.8(4)$ |
| East (3) | $-(2)$ | $-(3)$ | $2.0(4)$ | $13.3(2)$ | $3.3(4)$ | $25.8(1)$ | $9.2(3)$ |

aOverall rank order
b rank order for each model year

Table 13
Remaining Passenger Use Rates by Vehicle Age and Area Surveyed


During the summer 1977 survey, 4,118 drivers of passenger cars containing l,407 RFP's and 954 remaining passengers (6,479 occupants) were surveyed. The data were obtained from the four major metropolitan areas of the state and included a variety of streets and roads within as well as those entering and leaving these urban areas during three daily time periods. The age of the vehicle was divided into seven categories and the age of the occupant into five.

The major findings of the study were as follows.

1. Overall, $16.3 \%$ of drivers, $9.8 \%$ of RFP's, and $3.4 \%$ of remaining passengers used seat belts and/or shoulder straps.
2. There was an association between driver and RFP use of belts. If one wore belts there was an increased tendency for the other to also use belts.
3. Belt use was highest in the newer cars. More drivers of 1972/1973 cars and RFP's of 1975 cars used belts than did occupants of vehicles in other model years.
4. A greater percentage of female drivers and RFP's used belts than did males.
5. Slightly over $16.0 \%$ of the young and middle adult drivers used belts. Belt use by the other passengers, when categoried by age of occupant, generally was less than $10.0 \%$.
6. Overall, $10.3 \%$ of the infant occupants were restrained by approved child seats.
7. A greater percentage of Northern Virginia drivers and RFP's used belts than did those in the other survey areas. Restraint use by all occupants was lowest in the Eastern area.
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## Appendix Table A-1

## Time Period Data

| Time Periods | Number | \% Total |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 1,412 | 34.3 |
| Morning | 1,427 | 34.7 |
| Midday | 1,279 | 31.1 |

## Appendix Table A-2 <br> Vehicle Age Data

| Vehicle Ages | Number | \% Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pre-1963 | 55 | 1.3 |
| $63-67$ | 485 | 11.8 |
| $68-71$ | 1,026 | 24.9 |
| $72 / 73$ | 927 | 22.5 |
| 74 | 438 | 10.6 |
| 75 | 338 | 8.2 |
| $76 / 77$ | 849 | 20.6 |

> Appendix Table A-3
> Location Data

Locations
Western
Northern
Central
Eastern

| Number | $\%$ Total |
| :---: | ---: |
| 1,066 | 25.9 |
| 1,019 | 24.8 |
| I, 102 | 26.8 |
| 931 | 22.6 |

A-1

Appendix Table A-4
Sex of Occupant Data

| Occupant <br> Seat Position |
| :--- |
| Drivers |
| Right Front |
| Passengers |
| Remaining |
| Passengers |



Appendix Table A-5
Age of Occupant Data

Right
Front
Passengers

Remaining
Passengers

Appendix Table B-1
Belt Use by Vehicle and Occupant Ages

| Vehicle Age | Occupant <br> Seat Position | Age of Occupant | Number |  | Percent Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Used | Not Used |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { e } \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & \text { e } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Drivers <br> Right <br> Front <br> Passengers <br> Remaining <br> Passengers | Pre-Adult <br> Young Adult <br> Middle Adult <br> Older Adult <br> Infant <br> Pre-Adult <br> Young Adult <br> Middle Adult <br> Older Adult <br> Infant <br> Pre-Adult <br> Young Adult <br> Middle Adult <br> Older Adult | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 21 \\ 24 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ \\ 4 \\ 8 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ 11.1 \\ 28.6 \\ - \\ - \\ 20.0 \\ - \\ - \\ - \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hat{e} \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & e \end{aligned}$ | Drivers <br> Right <br> Front <br> Passengers <br> Remaining <br> Passengers | Pre-Adult <br> Young Adult <br> Middle Adult <br> Older Adult <br> Infant <br> Pre-Adult <br> Young Adult <br> Middle Adult <br> Older Adult <br> Infant <br> Pre-Adult <br> Young Adult <br> Middie Adult <br> Older Adult | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 14 \\ 30 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 149 \\ 257 \\ 31 \\ 4 \\ 39 \\ 42 \\ 66 \\ 19 \\ 16 \\ 74 \\ 17 \\ 20 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.0 \\ 8.6 \\ 10.5 \\ 6.1 \\ 20.0 \\ - \\ 4.6 \\ 5.7 \\ 5.0 \\ - \\ - \\ 5.6 \end{array}$ |
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Appendix Table B-l (Continued)
Belt Use by Vehicle and Occupant Ages

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Vehicle } \\ \text { Age } \end{gathered}$ | Occupant Seat Position | Age of Occupant | Number |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Use } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Used | Not Used |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & 1 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | Drivers | Pre-Adult | 0 | 3 | - |
|  |  | Young Adult | 50 | 289 | 14.8 |
|  |  | Middle Adult | 81 | 554 | 12.8 |
|  |  | Older Adult | 1 | 48 | 2.0 |
|  | Right <br> Front <br> Passengers | Infant | 3 | 7 | 30.0 |
|  |  | Pre-Adult | 4 | 76 | 5.0 |
|  |  | Young Adult | 5 | 85 | 5.6 |
|  |  | Middle Adult | 10 | 122 | 7.6 |
|  |  | Older Adult | 3 | 40 | 7.0 |
|  | Remaining Passengers | Infant | 5 | 44 | 11.1 |
|  |  | Pre-Adult | 1 | 149 | 0.7 |
|  |  | Young Adult | 0 | 24 | - |
|  |  | Middle Adult | 0 | 26 | - |
|  |  | Older Adult | 0 | 6 | - |
| $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}}$ | Drivers | Pre-Adult | 0 | 3 | - |
|  |  | Young Adult | 60 | 215 | 21.8 |
|  |  | Middle Adult | 143 | 471 | 23.3 |
|  |  | Older Adult | 6 | 29 | 17.1 |
|  |  | Infant | 2 | 6 | 25.0 |
|  | Right | Pre-Adult | 8 | 62 | 11.4 |
|  | Front | Young Adult | 6 | 69 | 8.0 |
|  | Passengers | Middle Adult | 16 | 121 | 11.7 |
|  |  | Older Adult | 5 | 23 | 17.7 |
|  | Remaining <br> Passengers | Infant | 3 | 26 | 10.3 |
|  |  | Pre-Adult | 5 | 145 | 3.3 |
|  |  | Young Adult | 0 | 11 | - |
|  |  | Middle Adult | 0 | 30 | - |
|  |  | Older Adult | 0 | 14 | - |

> Appendix Table B-l (Continued)
> Belt Use by Vehicle and Occupant Ages

| Vehicle Age | Occupant Seat Position | Age of Occupant | Number |  | Percent Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Used | Not Used |  |
| $\underset{\substack{~ \\ \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ \hline}}{ }$ | Drivers <br> Right <br> Front <br> Passengers <br> Remaining <br> Passengers | Pre-Adult <br> Young Adult <br> Middle Adult <br> Older Adult <br> Infant <br> Pre-Adult <br> Young Adult <br> Middle Adult <br> Older Adult <br> Infant <br> Pre-Adult <br> Young Adult <br> Middle Adult <br> Older Adult | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 26 \\ 55 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 114 \\ 227 \\ 12 \\ 1 \\ 32 \\ 27 \\ 50 \\ 10 \\ 12 \\ 53 \\ 11 \\ 6 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.6 \\ 19.5 \\ 25.0 \\ 75.0 \\ 11.1 \\ 6.9 \\ 13.8 \\ 16.7 \\ - \\ 3.6 \\ - \\ 22.2 \end{array}$ |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\circ}}$ | Drivers <br> Right <br> Front <br> Passengers <br> Remaining <br> Passengers | Pre-Adult <br> Young Adult <br> Middle Adult <br> Older Adult <br> Infant <br> Pre-Adult <br> Young Adult <br> Middle Adult <br> Older Adult <br> Infant <br> Pre-Adult <br> Young Adult <br> Middle Adult <br> Older Adult | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 21 \\ 35 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0 96 171 12 4 18 31 42 9 19 28 13 10 7 | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 17.0 \\ 14.3 \\ 33.3 \\ 20.5 \\ 16.0 \\ - \\ 3.5 \\ 23.1 \end{array}$ |
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> Appendix Table B-l (Continued)
> Belt Use by Vehicle and Occupant Ages


> Appendix Table B-2
> Belt Use by Vehicle Age and Area Surveyed


## Appendix Table B-2 (Continued)

Belt Use by Vehicle Age and Area Surveyed


Appendix Table B-2 (Continued)
Belt Use by Vehicle Age and Area Surveyed

| Vehicle Age | Occupant Seat Position | Survey Area | Number |  | Percent Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Used | Not Used |  |
| $\underset{\substack{ \pm \underset{\sim}{*}}}{ }$ |  | Western | 24 | 82 | 22.6 |
|  | Drivers | Northern | 23 | 83 | 21.7 |
|  |  | Central | 23 | 109 | 17.4 |
|  |  | Eastern | 15 | 79 | 16.0 |
|  |  | Western | 6 | 32 | 15.8 |
|  | Right | Northern | 7 | 28 | 20.0 |
|  | Front | Central | 5 | 31 | 13.9 |
|  | Passengers | Eastern | 1 | 29 | 3.3 |
|  |  | Western | 3 | 19 | 13.6 |
|  | Remaining | Northern | 1 | 30 | 3.2 |
|  | Passengers | Central | 0 | 20 | - |
|  |  | Eastern | 0 | 20 | - |
| $\begin{gathered} n \\ \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ | Drivers | Western | 12 | 68 | 15.0 |
|  |  | Northern | 21 | 69 | 23.3 |
|  |  | Central | 11 | 78 | 12.4 |
|  |  | Eastern | 15 | 64 | 19.0 |
|  |  | Western | 3 | 24 | 11.1 |
|  | Right | Northern | 3 | 27 | 10.0 |
|  | Front | Central | 4 | 30 | 11.7 |
|  | Passengers | Eastern | 8 | 23 | 25.8 |
|  | Remaining <br> Passengers | Western | 3 | 16 | 15.8 |
|  |  | Northern | 1 | 26 | 3.7 |
|  |  | Central | 0 | 20 | - |
|  |  | Eastern | 0 | 15 | - |


| Vehicle | Occupant Seat Position | Survey Area | Number |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Use } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Used | Not Used |  |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\lambda}$ | Drivers | Western | 25 | 171 | 12.8 |
|  |  | Northern | 45 | 184 | 19.7 |
|  |  | Central | 39 | 193 | 16.8 |
|  |  | Eastern | 26 | 166 | 13.5 |
|  | Right <br> Front <br> Passengers | Western | 6 | 57 | 9.5 |
|  |  | Northern | 12 | 67 | 15.2 |
|  |  | Central | 6 | 62 | 8.8 |
|  |  | Eastern | 6 | 59 | 9.2 |
|  | Remaining Passengers | Western | 0 | 30 | - |
|  |  | Northern | 3 | 42 | 6.7 |
|  |  | Central | 5 | 31 | 13.9 |
|  |  | Eastern | 1 | 30 | 3.2 |

