Geographic Information System (GIS) Research – Image Acquisition and Processing

Contract Number: BDK# 83 WO 977-06

April 2010

Final Report

DISCLAIMER

"The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the State of Florida Department of Transportation."

		Technical Report Documentation Page
1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.
4. Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date
GIS Research - Image	Acquisition and Processing	April 2010
		6. Performing Organization Code
		Florida State University
7. Author(s)		8. Performing Organization Report No.
Stephen W. Hodge		
9. Performing Organization N	ame and Address	10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)
Florida State University	F 40	11. Contract or Crant No
296 Champions Way - FR	EAC	BDK83 WO 977 06
C 2200 University Center	C 2C41	BDR85 WO 977-00
12 Sponsoring Agoney Name	0-2041	12 Type of Pepert and Period Covered
Florida Department of Tra	insportation	Final Report
605 Suwannee Street. MS	30	April 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010
Tallahassee, Florida 3239	9	
		14. Sponsoring Agency Code
15. Supplementary Notes		
imagery available statev Transportation (FDOT) As a result of this projection of the state of th	vide, and to acquire, process, and provide Transportation Statistics Office. ct the FDOT has been able to acquire upda r inventory of the acquired imagery was up the FDOT's Transportation Statistics Office	the imagery to the Florida Department of ated high resolution imagery for 34 of Florida's pdated, the imagery processed and finally e and throughout other offices as well.
The FDOT can now m following:	ore effectively manage the basemap, wh	nich is used for many purposes, including the
• Providing a QA	tool for RCI feature lengths	
Dynamic segme	entation - the creation of GIS data lavers fr	rom RCI features
Man production)	
Creation of the	state's city-to-city mileage matrix	
Modeling	state s eng to eng inneuge mutik	
By utilizing the imager	v in conjunction with the baseman FDOT	can also accomplish the following
Derive information	tion that would otherwise have to be deriv	ed in the field such as road curvature
intersection dir	ection and others	ea in the new, such as toad cut vature,
Conduct histori	and analysis aspacially with record to real	ionments, reads that may no longer be used
Conduct mistori and other chara	car analysis, especially with regard to real cteristics.	ignments, toaus that may no longer be used,

and other characteristics.							
17. Key Word		18. Distribution Statement					
GIS, Imagery, DOQQ, Orthoimagery		No Restrictions					
19. Security Classification. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classifica Unclassifi	ation. (of this page) red	21. No. of Pages 17	22. Price			

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72) Reproduction of completed page authorized

Executive Summary

The 2025 Florida Transportation Plan (FTP), developed in 2005, provides the framework for how the state will meet the various transportation needs of not just its citizens, but also tourists and businesses. Federal, state, regional, local, and private entities will invest over \$161 billion in this system over the next two decades. These investments will be a key determinant of whether Florida is able to meet its economic and livability goals. The plan provides goals and objects for all aspects of transportation planning and also offers guidance on how transportation funds should be directed during constrained funding times.¹

Visualization of existing transportation infrastructure, land use, and population growth patterns is essential to planning for an effective Strategic Intermodal System in Florida.² Up-to-date aerial photography serves as a vital tool for planners, statisticians, and others involved in looking ahead for what is needed to ensure the mobility of people and commerce in an ever changing and evolving future.

In planning for future road improvements and development, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) often uses aerial photography. Although the older United States Geological Survey (USGS) one meter imagery is still used for some planning and retrospective views, the one foot high resolution imagery county datasets have become a vital part of FDOT's planning activities. Due to budget constraints, the USGS no longer has a work program to capture imagery on a statewide effort but is willing to partner with organizations capturing imagery for strategic US cities.³ During this contract period, Florida was able to secure over \$240,000 for image acquisition projects.

The Florida Department of Revenue (DOR) has as one of its mandates the requirement to supply aerial photography to each of Florida's counties every three years. For the most part, DOR has been coordinating the contracting of these projects and paying for them with state allocated funds. A handful of the more populous counties handle their own projects and have slightly different products to work with but follow the DOR specification fairly closely. Recent legislation changes the level of funding for this effort and may affect the availability of imagery in the future.

As budgets continue to be squeezed in this economic climate it will be interesting to see if there is any attempt to acquire imagery less often or to use more relaxed standards in the future. A bill during the 2010 session in fact attempted to change the interval for imagery acquisition for the smaller less populated counties to five years, but it did not pass. Either one of these would impact the ability of the FDOT to effectively plan for the future.

This project addresses the process of finding the most accurate and up-to-date imagery available in Florida and supplying it to the Transportation Statistics Office for use in assessing Florida's road

¹ 2025 Florida Transportation Plan, http://www.dot.state.fl.us/planning/ftp/2025FTP-LowRes.pdf

² Florida's Strategic Intermodal System, A transportation system to guide strategic investments linked to Florida's future economy, http://www.dot.state.fl.us/planning/sis/

³ The 133 Urban Areas initiative is part of the Homeland Security Information Program (HSIP), and has its origins in the Nunn-Lugar-Domenici Act (Defense Against Weapons of Mass Destruction Act 1996) where the Department of Defense was tasked to assist state and local governments in preparing for and responding to chemical, biological and nuclear incidents in 120 major cities. After 9-11, National Geospatial Intelligence Agency (NGA) and USGS expanded the list to 133 by adding the remaining state capitals not on the original list.

systems and planning for the future. An inventory of imagery was produced and used to track the process of modifying the imagery to meet the GIS needs of the FDOT. Imagery for 34 counties has been made available as a result of this project.

Table of Contents

Disclaimer	ii
Technical Report Documentation Page	iii
Executive Summary	iv
Introduction	1
Inventory	2
Documentation - Metadata Collector and Data Retrieval	3
Data Management	6
The Image Name	7
The Process	8
Other Activities	9
Appendix A. Processed Counties by Quarter	11

List of Tables

Table 1. C	Counties Processed	9
------------	--------------------	---

List of Figures

Figure 1. Three Year Image Acquisition Plan	.2
Figure 2. Florida Geospatial Metadata Index	.3
Figure 3. National Geospatial Clearinghouse	.4
Figure 4. Florida Image Inventory website	.5
Figure 5. Gridding Scheme	.6

Introduction

With Florida continuing to lead the nation in many growth areas, there is often need for the most upto-date and accurate imagery in order to keep up with the dynamic nature of Florida's roads. Accurate and up-to-date imagery is needed to better understand what the true situation is on the ground. From new road planning, to intersection improvements, being able to see exactly what the ground conditions are from an office environment saves the department both time and money. Aerial photography has always played an important role at the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), but with improvements in quality and timeliness of the imagery more detailed work can now be accomplished without field work and in an expedited manner.

The Transportation Statistics Office GIS Support Section at the FDOT is responsible for maintaining the Florida official GIS basemap. The basemap is an ArcInfo (ESRI) route coverage of the State Highway System, and is a geographic representation of the roads maintained in the Department's Roadway Characteristics Inventory (RCI). The format used to store the roads is currently being updated by FDOT employees to take advantage of ESRI's newest software release, ArcGIS 9.3. The basemap is modified daily by the Transportation Statistics Office GIS Support Section utilizing the high resolution (better than one meter) imagery provided by previous research projects as the source for these editing tasks.

Inventory

The inventory of available imagery has been maintained with a system developed from previously funded projects. Florida Resources and Environmental Analysis Center (FREAC) continues to work with the Florida Department of Revenue (DOR) as the main point of contact. Detailed lists were obtained that indicated the schedules for imagery acquisition planned for a three years horizon. The list included what organization was in charge of acquisition, resolution, and miscellaneous other relevant data.

Only true color imagery was considered for this project. Most of the imagery acquisition projects in Florida are coordinated through either DOR or the water management districts. True color imagery works best for the majority of the needs of these agencies and their constituents. All of the imagery used for this project was captured using digital camera technology rather than film. Digital imagery is more accurate, less expensive, and eliminates the scanning and associated film issues (scanner calibration, film development, etc) that had to be dealt with previously.

Other state departments were contacted concerning the availability of imagery. Many of them had image data sets, but because this project is specifically looking for ortho-rectified imagery and complete county coverage, no other state agency contacted was able to provide additional data sets.

Figure 1 shows the three year plan that covered this contract period.



Figure 1. Three Year Image Acquisition Plan

Documentation - Metadata Collector and Data Retrieval

The importance of metadata (data/information about data) is becoming more and more important as there are an ever increasing number of image data sets available in Florida. Descriptions of the imagery are necessary not only to keep up with what data is available, but also knowledge of details about that imagery is essential for understanding exactly what the data represents.

The concept of metadata has been around for a long time but is something that is often ignored by many data managers. Metadata is now a required part of all ortho-photography collected for Florida government agencies. The metadata must pass the Federal Geographic Data Committee's (FGDC – <u>http://www.fgdc.gov</u>) validation (<u>http://geo-nsdi.er.usgs.gov/validation/</u>) routines before FREAC will begin processing the data for FDOT.

FREAC continues to catalog all new image data sets in the Florida Geospatial Metadata Index (<u>http://clearinghouse.labins.org</u>). Figure 2 below shows the home page for the Clearinghouse. The system will allow the user to search for specific image datasets or browse through all that have been entered.



Figure 2. Florida Geospatial Metadata Index Home Page.

For more details about this system please see the final report for contract number BD# 543 WO 11. This system continues to feed the national metadata portal referred to as Geospatial One Stop or GOS (<u>http://www.geodata.gov</u>).

Figure 3 below shows the front page for the GOS.



Figure 3. The National Geospatial Clearinghouse.

Additionally FREAC worked with three water management districts to develop a new inventory tool that is designed specifically for imagery. The website allows users to sort and search all of the high resolution imagery datasets acquired since 2006 and has easy access to both the metadata and a

footprint that describes the extent of the dataset. The site can be found on the front page of LABINS (<u>http://www.labins.org</u>) or directly with this link: <u>http://mumrah.freac.fsu.edu/SWFWMD/imageryhome/</u>.

Figure 4 shows a typical results page for a query of Broward and Palm Beach Counties and any year.

<u>V</u> iew Higtory <u>B</u> ookmarks	<u>T</u> ools	Help												
• C 🗙 🏡 🛒	http://mu		ac.fsu.edu	J/SWFWMD/imagerydb	/?sort=-year&county=I	Broward&county=	Palm_Beach			☆ -	🔍 - Sofi	onic English	Customize	d Web Sear J
ited 📋 Clearinghouse Gatew	🗋 Rar	mona Adr	min 🗋 T	algov.com - E-Servic	LABINS - Land Bo	und 脑 Geos	patial One-Stop 脑	FSU IT News						
property tax administ 💌 🔧 Si	earch -	🔶 🧭 s	idewiki •	🟦 🧔 • 🛖• 🤇) 💊 M · 🔍 🕁	Bookmarks+ 🗆	🗆 • 💞 Check 🔹 👪	Translate 🔹	🐔 AutoLink 🔹	📔 Autol	Fill • 🌽			& • (
5 Server Man 🖂 📘 Clear Ca	iche Optie	ons 🖂	i Mapi	Invasives - Ad 🗵	MapInvasives - Ad	🖂 📄 Flori	da Geospatial 🗵 🛛	🛷 Objective-l	based V 🗵 🗍	💆 Index o	f ftp://sdrf		Florida I	mages 🖡
 Florida Images Invent 	ory			A	301							$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	SUVAR	
lorida Images II	nven	tory									🌡 (S)	.og-in stem Ad	ministra	tor Only)
Inventory List														
Filters	Foot	Meta data	Year 👻	County/Area	Fly Date	ReadyTo Deliver	Projection	Datum	Resolution	Units	RGB tiff	RGB sid	CIR tiff	CIR sid
Show All			2009	Broward	Dec 2008	Y	State Plane East	NAD83	1	ft	x	x		
			2009	Palm Beach	Jan/Feb 2009	Y	State Plane East	NAD83	0.5	ft	x	×	×	
By County			2007	Broward	Jan 2007	Y	State Plane East	NAD83	1	ft	×	×		
	-		2006	Palm Beach	Jan/Feb 2006	Y	State Plane East	NAD83	2	ft		×		
Alachua 🛄 Baker	1 -	4 of to	tal 4 im	ages				1						
Bradford Brevard														
By Year														
2006 A 2007 2008 2009 Y														
Go														
Download														
Click the links below to download the Inventory														
List.														
List. Second Excel File														
List. <u> Download Excel File</u> <u> Download DBF File</u>														

Figure 4. Florida Image Inventory Website.

Data Management

The gridding and naming convention used in past contracts was continued without changes for this contract.

The Grid

All vendors supplying data for this project used the state of Florida's agreed upon LiDAR and imagery grid to deliver individual tiles of imagery. This helps speed the processing because certain custom routines can be used again to process the same county imagery from different years.

FREAC continued to use the 2,000 meter grid agreed upon in an earlier contract. A point in the Gulf of Mexico was strategically chosen so as to ensure that the lower left coordinates of each grid or cell would always be whole numbers and ending with the last three digits of "000." This would be critical to the naming convention explained in the next section. Figure 5 below shows a small section of the grid in Escambia County.



Figure 5. 2000 Meter Grid Naming Convention.

The Image Name

The image naming convention developed in an earlier contract was used to name the tiled images in this contract as well.

Example:

7_262_067_2009_002.jpg

- 7 Projection is UTM
- 262 Row number
- 067 Column number
- 2009 Year the images was captured
- 002 Image collection number

(IMPORTANT NOTE: A typical flying season for Florida is from late November to late March. For example imagery for a county may have been collected during late December of 2007 and early January of 2008. Another example might be that imagery was completely captured in February of 2008. Up until 2008 the year portion of the name would have been 2007 in both previous examples. For the 2007-2008 flying season and beyond the year portion for the examples will be the later of the two years (2008 for the examples). The original strategy led to some confusion with users of the imagery. The users might find out that a particular county had 2008 imagery and that only the 2007 imagery was loaded into the DOT system. In fact DOT had the latest imagery, it was just named differently. This new naming strategy will produce what looks like a gap (no 2007 imagery) in the image datasets that in fact doesn't exist.)

The Process

The objective of this project is to re-project high resolution aerial photos of Florida counties to a Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) Zone 17 projection using the North American Datum (NAD) for 1983 (GRS80) and clip them to a 2000 meter grid. The final product is a JPG image with 25% compression and second order standard deviation stretch.

Since the resolution of the photos ranged from one quarter of a foot to two feet, to keep a realistic file size for the output image, a new grid of 2000 meter quadrates for the entire state was created (Figure 5.) The re-projected aerial photos were then clipped to this new grid. The output files are named using the naming convention established in a previous project.

During the contract period several counties were acquired at one half foot resolution rather than the more standard one foot. This meant that there were four times as many images to process, dramatically increasing processing time and increasing storage requirements by the same factor of four. FDOT has decided that the increased storage requirements are not worth the added resolution. All imagery less than one foot was resampled up to one foot.

Processing was done using ERDAS Imagine 9.3, ArcGIS 9.2 and 9.3, and Microsoft Access 2000 software packages. Processing the imagery was performed using guidelines and procedures developed in previous contract work. Minor modifications were made as needed.

More details of strategies that were developed as part of previous contract work to deal with specific issues such as black edges and image resolution can be found in the final report for contract number BD# 543 WO# 11.

Other Activities

FREAC continues to work with various state agencies, water management districts, and counties in an effort to ensure that orthoimagery is collected in a consistent manner and that agreed upon standards are used throughout the collection process. A draft document has been developed as a result of these efforts that can serve to guide organizations with the management of orthophotography acquisition projects. This document will become more and more important in the future as counties opt out of a DOR managed project for one that is run by the county itself. The document will hopefully help these projects produce imagery that will successfully feed into the processes that are a part of FDOT imagery contracts.

Table 1 shows the counties that were processed for this contract. The Sequence ID column is what is used to link back to the metadata for the particular county image data set.

Counties Processed

County	Sequence ID					
Bradford	2009_010					
Brevard	2009_020					
Broward	2009_009					
Charlotte	2009_016					
Citrus	2009_021					
Clay	2009_030					
Collier	2009_005					
De Soto	2009_022					
Glades	2009_002					
Hardee	2009_023					
Hernando	2009_024					
Highlands	2008_018					
Hillsborough	2009_017					
Hillsborough	2008_019					
Holmes	2009_006					
Indian River	2009_014					
Lake	2008 020					

County	Sequence ID						
Leon	2009_018						
Manatee	2009_025						
Martin	2009_007						
Miami-Dade	2009_003						
Monroe	2009_004						
Okeechobee	2009_027						
Palm Beach	2009_019						
Pasco	2009_028						
Pinellas	2009_015						
Santa Rosa	2006_024						
Sarasota	2009_026						
Seminole	2009_001						
St Lucie	2009_013						
Sumter	2009_029						
Union	2009_011						
Volusia	2009_012						
Washington	2009_008						

Table 1. Processed Counties and Their Respective Sequence IDs.

Appendix A. Processed Counties by Quarter

The following is a list of counties processed for contract BDK83 – WO 977-06.

A particular county that appears near itself chronologically in the list does so for several reasons. A problem may have been discovered with the processing of the imagery or problems with the original imagery have at times caused counties or parts of counties to be re-processed. Various strategies were used to look for problems with imagery both before and after the images were processed. The sixteen (ten full and six partial) counties in the Southwest Florida Water Management District have been on an acquisition schedule of every year since 2006. As a general practice, FREAC pushes these counties to the end of the priority order knowing that the oldest data set that DOT would have would be about one year old whereas the other 57 complete counties are on an approximate three year acquisition schedule.

All of the imagery is 2009 unless stated otherwise.

Quarter 1 April 1 – June 30, 2009

- a. Glades
- b. Holmes
- c. Washington
- d. Seminole
- e. Hillsborough (2008 half foot)
- f. Lake (2008 half foot)
- g. Hendry
- h. Highlands (2008 half foot)

Quarter 2

July 1 –September 30, 2009

- a. Bradford
- b. Broward
- c. Collier (half foot Urban area only)
- d. Collier (two foot)
- e. Miami-Dade
- f. Monroe
- g. Pinellas (half foot)
- h. St. Lucie
- i. Union
- j. Volusia

Quarter 3 October 1 – December 31, 2009

- a. Martin
- b. Indian River

- c. Charlotte
- d. Brevard
- e. Citrus
- f. De Soto
- g. Hardee
- h. Hernando
- i. Sarasota
- j. Manatee
- k. Hillsborough (2009)
- 1. Santa Rosa (2007)

Quarter 4 January 1 – March 31, 2010

- a. Clay
- b. Manatee
- c. Okeechobee
- d. Palm Beach (half foot)
- e. Pasco
- f. Sarasota
- g. Sumter
- h. Leon