

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

REPORT NUMBER: FHWA-AZ--8601 1992

HILFIKER REINFORCED SOIL EMBANKMENT WITH FULL- HEIGHT, CAST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE PANELS

Final Report


Prepared by:

Greg Rollins
Abdallah H. Osseiran
Larry A. Scofield
Arizona Transportation Research Center
206 South 17th Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

May 1992

Prepared for:

Arizona Department of Transportation
206 South 17th Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
in cooperation with
U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration



The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the Arizona Department of Transportation or the Federal Highway Administration. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. Trade or manufacturer's names which may appear herein are cited only because they are considered essential to the objectives of the report. The U.S. Government and the State of Arizona do not endorse products or manufacturers.

Technical Report Documentation Page


| | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| 1. Report No. FHWA-AZ-8601 1992 | | 2. Government Accession No. | | 3. Recipient's Catalog No. | |
| 4. Title and Subtitle HILFIKER REINFORCED SOIL EMBANKMENT WITH FULL-HEIGHT, CAST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE PANELS | | | | 5. Report Date May, 1992 | |
| | | | | 6. Performing Organization Code | |
| 7. Authors Greg Rollins, Abdallah H. Osseiran, Larry A. Scofield | | | | 8. Performing Organization Report No. | |
| 9. Performing Organization Name and Address ARIZONA TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH CENTER 206 S. 17TH AVENUE, MAIL DROP 075R PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007 | | | | 10. Work Unit No. | |
| | | | | 11. Contract or Grant No. HPR-PL-1(33) Item 114 | |
| 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 206 S. 17TH AVENUE PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007 | | | | 13. Type of Report & Period Covered Final; 9/86 - 11/91 | |
| | | | | 14. Sponsoring Agency Code | |
| 15. Supplementary Notes Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration | | | | | |
| 16. Abstract <p>The objective of this experimental project was to evaluate the construction and performance of a full-height retaining wall system. The contractor chose to use the Hilfiker Reinforced Soil Embankment with cast-in-place, concrete panels. The project included three Hilfiker walls at the interchange of I-10 and 24th St. in Phoenix.</p> <p>Construction of the embankment consisted of placing steel mesh reinforcing mats at 2' intervals, followed by placing and compacting the backfill material. Some of the backfill had to be imported as the on-site material failed resistivity tests. The concrete panels were poured after the embankment was completed. The concrete was poured from the top of the embankment, many times in excess of 20'. Because of the pour height, and difficulty in vibrating, air voids, hairline cracks, and honeycombing were prevalent in the northeast and southwest walls.</p> <p>The evaluation period began with the construction of the walls, September 1986, and extended through October, 1991. The southeast wall is significantly distressed. A large vertical crack has formed along the Hilfiker wall - bridge abutment interface. Also, the anchor slab above the crack has been witnessed deflecting considerably (about 1"). A hypothesis tied to these problems is that a void may have formed beneath the anchor slab. Water exiting the embankment may be carrying soil out with it. An erosion channel immediately below the crack is evidence of water passing through the crack. The other walls also share these distresses to a much lesser degree. ADOT is currently investigating the cracks and deflections.</p> <p>Recommendations for future use of reinforced earth systems include increasing backfill density requirements and providing controlled drainage from the embankment. The drainage should be filtered to prevent the removal of backfill material. Also, during design, provision should be made for the geometric configurations of the features of the roadway, such as catch basins and light pole foundations.</p> | | | | | |
| 17. Key Words retaining wall, reinforced earth system, mechanically stabilized embankment, full-height retaining wall, Hilfiker, reinforcing mats, cast-in-place retaining wall, full-height panels | | | 18. Distribution Statement Document is available to the U.S. Public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia, 22161 | | 23. Registrant's Seal  |
| 19. Security Classification (of this report) Unclassified | 20. Security Classification (of this page) Unclassified | 21. No. of Page 108 | 22. Price | | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| Background | 1 |
| Problem Statement | 1 |
| OBJECTIVE..... | 2 |
| PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION..... | 2 |
| Climatic Conditions | 3 |
| Soil Conditions..... | 4 |
| CONSTRUCTION..... | 4 |
| Embankment Construction..... | 4 |
| Panel Construction | 14 |
| The Northeast Wall | 17 |
| The Southwest Wall..... | 20 |
| The Southeast Wall | 29 |
| COST | 31 |
| EVALUATION..... | 31 |
| Surveys..... | 31 |
| Cracks..... | 36 |
| Deflection of Slabs..... | 38 |
| Other Retaining Walls..... | 40 |
| Moreland Drop Structure..... | 40 |
| SPRR Overpass | 42 |
| Warner Road Interchange | 43 |
| CONCLUSIONS | 45 |
| RECOMMENDATIONS..... | 45 |
| REFERENCES | 47 |
| APPENDIX A: FHWA Approved Workplan | A1 |
| APPENDIX B: Excavation Logs of Worksite | B1 |
| APPENDIX C: Hilfiker Construction Guide | C1 |
| APPENDIX D: Construction Project Plans..... | D1 |
| APPENDIX E: ADOT Specifications for Backfill Material..... | E1 |
| APPENDIX F: Typical Results of Resistivity, pH, Chloride, and Sulfate Tests..... | F1 |
| APPENDIX G: Backfill Density Test Results..... | G1 |
| APPENDIX H: Concrete Mixes Used for Panels..... | H1 |
| APPENDIX I: Record of Moreland St. Drop Structure Wall Features..... | I1 |
| APPENDIX J: Record of Warner Rd. I.C. Wall Features | J1 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|----|---|----|
| 1 | Location of Hilfiker Walls..... | 3 |
| 2 | Average Monthly Precipitation for Phoenix, Arizona | 3 |
| 3 | Average Daily Temperature Extremes by Month for Phoenix, Arizona | 4 |
| 4 | Preparation of Subgrade and Levelling Course | 6 |
| 5 | Typical Dimensions of the Horizontal Reinforcing Mats | 7 |
| 6 | Typical Dimensions of the Backing Mats | 8 |
| 7 | Placement of the First Layer of Reinforcement..... | 9 |
| 8 | Geotextile Fabric Screen to Retain Compacted Backfill..... | 9 |
| 9 | Schematic Diagram of the Lowest 2' Section on the Hilfiker Reinforced Embankment..... | 10 |
| 10 | Dump Truck Used to Deliver Backfill Material and Hand Tampers Used for Compaction Near the Backing Mats | 11 |
| 11 | Backfill Material Distributed on the Reinforcing Mats | 11 |
| 12 | Backfill Material Larger Than a 4 in. Sieve Placed Near the Backing Mats..... | 12 |
| 13 | Workers Checking the Vertical and Horizontal Alignment of a Hilfiker Embankment..... | 13 |
| 14 | NE Wall Embankment Prior to Construction of Full-Height Concrete Panels..... | 13 |
| 15 | Rubber and Wood Forms Used to Attain Specified Rustication..... | 14 |
| 16 | Long Internal Vibrators Initially Used to Vibrate the Concrete Panels..... | 15 |
| 17 | Additional External Vibrators Later Added to the Lower Sections of the Wall..... | 15 |
| 18 | Honeycombing and Air Pockets Visible in the Full-Height Panels | 16 |
| 19 | Honeycombing Still Visible After Patching Attempts | 16 |
| 20 | Hairline Shrinkage or Settlement Cracks and Air Voids | 18 |
| 21 | Air Voids and Horizontal Cracks in the Concrete Panels | 18 |
| 22 | Schematic Diagram Illustrating the Conflict Between the Top Reinforcing Mat and the Concrete Pavement | 19 |
| 23 | Backfill Material With Rocks Larger Than the 6 Inch Sieve..... | 20 |
| 24 | Patched Honeycombed Areas of the SW Wall..... | 21 |
| 25 | Typical Cracks Along the Horizontal Rustication of the Concrete Panels | 21 |
| 26 | Exposed Reinforcing Steel Due to Severe Honeycombing..... | 22 |
| 27 | Two Panels Which Needed to be Broken Out and Replaced | 23 |
| 28 | Severe Honeycombing Treated by Patching | 23 |
| 29 | Backfill Material that had Seeped Through Abutment Wingwall..... | 24 |
| 30 | Crevice Formed by Washout of Backfill Material..... | 24 |
| 31 | Void at the Top of the Embankment Due to Loss of Backfill Material | 25 |
| 32 | Support to Bridge Abutment for Refilling Rain Caused Crevice | 25 |
| 33 | Overturned Crane on SW Embankment | 26 |
| 34 | Damage Caused by Overturned Crane | 26 |
| 35 | Site of Damaged SW Wall Section After Repair | 27 |
| 36 | Completed SW Wall (Photo From the West End) | 28 |
| 37 | Completed SW Hilfiker Wall (Photo Facing Northwest)..... | 28 |
| 38 | SE Embankment Prior to Placement of the Concrete Forms | 29 |
| 39 | Forms of the SE Wall Were Placed Similarly to the Other Walls..... | 30 |
| 40 | Completed SE Hilfiker Wall (Photograph Facing East) | 30 |
| 41 | Movements of the Caps Placed on the Northeast Wall..... | 33 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|----|--|----|
| 42 | Movements of the Caps Placed on the Southwest Wall | 34 |
| 43 | Movements of the Caps Placed on the Southeast Wall..... | 35 |
| 44 | Aluminum Caps of the SE Wall Have Been Removed..... | 36 |
| 45 | Vertical Crack at the Interface of the SE Wall and the Bridge Abutment | 37 |
| 46 | Damage to the Concrete at the Top of the Cracked Interface | 37 |
| 47 | Erosion Channel Immediately Below Vertical Crack of the SE Wall..... | 38 |
| 48 | Interface of SW Hilfiker Wall and the 24th Street Bridge Abutment | 39 |
| 49 | Interface of NE Hilfiker Wall and the 24th Street Bridge Abutment..... | 39 |
| 50 | Moreland Street Dropout and Retaining Walls..... | 41 |
| 51 | Retaining Wall About the Moreland Street Drop Structure (Looking North) | 41 |
| 52 | Large Vertical Crack Along the West Face of the Hilfiker Wall at the Moreland Street Dropout | 42 |
| 53 | South Retaining Wall at the SPRR Overpass | 43 |
| 54 | Location of the Warner Road I.C. Retaining Wall | 44 |
| 55 | Hilfiker Wall at the Warner Road Interchange..... | 44 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|---|---|----|
| 1 | - Summary of Geotechnical Logs for Southwest Wall Site..... | 5 |
| 2 | - Recent Movements of the Northeast Hilfiker Wall | 31 |
| 3 | - Movements of the Southwest Hilfiker Wall | 32 |
| 4 | - Estimated Movements of the Southeast Hilfiker Wall | 32 |
| 5 | - Additional Retaining Wall Projects Monitored at the Request of the FHWA | 40 |

INTRODUCTION

Background

Many soils that are adequately compacted can have high compressive and shear strengths. However, soils rarely have any significant tensile strength. Mechanically stabilized embankments include reinforcement that interacts with the soil by means of friction, and thereby increases the tensile strength and overall stability of the soil. Reinforcement materials used include steel rods and bars, as well as geotextiles and fibers.

The bond between the reinforcement and the soil is a shear bond that is dependent on the vertical effective stress in the soil at any given depth. Because effective stress varies with pore water pressure, the use of reinforcement in poorly draining silts or clays leads to unpredictable performance. Hence, granular soils such as sand are commonly used for mechanically stabilized embankments. Granular soils are essentially free draining, which leads to rapid dissipation of any pore water pressure.

The overall stability of soil used for structural purposes is judged considering the possibility of sliding, overturning, bearing capacity failure, and excessive settlement. Considerations regarding the stability of mechanically stabilized soil also include safety against reinforcement pullout and rupture, and reduction of effective reinforcement area due to corrosion.

Problem Statement

During the last decade, there has been a rapid increase in the number of mechanically stabilized retaining systems commercially available. This has left government agencies with the dilemma of weighing the potential for significant initial savings versus a frequently unknown product performance record.

There has been considerable experience gathered regarding retaining wall systems that use small modular precast facing panels of approximately 25 sq. ft. Such systems have the panels individually attached to the reinforcing elements in the ground. These panels have been shown to allow considerable soil settlement without significant distress. Recently, however, aesthetic considerations have led to a preference for full-height retaining wall panels. The Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) had specified full-height retaining walls with an architectural rustication detail for Interstate 10 in the metropolitan Phoenix area. This was done to maintain uniformity in the urban highway system.

There are concerns with the use of full-height panel retaining walls. Full-height panels require many connections between the individual panels and reinforcing elements, which in turn leads to indeterminacy in the structure. There is not a well-known effective means to analyze the internal behavior of full-height panel, mechanically stabilized systems. Also, there is a paucity of performance data available.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this experimental project was to monitor the construction and performance of a Hilfiker full-height retaining wall system. The construction of the system was documented with notes on progress, methods of construction, and difficulties encountered. The performance of the wall, based on surveyed movement and visual inspection, was recorded and evaluated.

Due to the limited experience and knowledge of full-height panel retaining walls, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) requested that the three permanent retaining systems to be installed at the interchange of I-10 and 24th Street in Phoenix, Arizona, be classified as an experimental project. The Arizona Department of Transportation approved three different wall systems for use at this location. Those systems were:

1. Reinforced Earth System with precast concrete face panels and cast-in-place coping.
2. Retained Earth System with precast concrete face panels and cast-in-place coping.
3. Hilfiker Reinforced Soil Embankment with cast-in-place concrete facing.

The contractor chose to use the Hilfiker system because it was believed to be the least expensive to construct. The FHWA approved workplan for this experimental project is in accordance with the FHWA Geotechnical Advisory 5.0.3 and is included in this report as APPENDIX A.

PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

ADOT highway project IR-10-3(204) included the construction of the Hilfiker full-height retaining walls. The project began at 16th Street and extended east to 28th Street (I-17 MP 195.09 to I-10 MP 150.39), a distance of 1.73 miles. Figure 1 shows the locations of the Hilfiker walls.

The project consisted of construction of a traffic interchange between I-10, I-17, and 24th Street. Included in this project was the construction of three permanent retaining walls. The walls are identified by the relative position; the northeast (NE) wall, the southwest (SW) wall, and the southeast (SE) wall. These walls became the Experimental Project incorporating the Hilfiker Reinforced Soil Embankment System, designated AZ-8601.




Figure 1 Location of Hilfiker Walls

Climatic Conditions

Precipitation in Phoenix averages 7.01 inches per year with the monthly distribution depicted in Figure 2¹. Figure 3 shows the average high and low daily temperature variations by month.




Figure 2 Average Monthly Precipitation for Phoenix, Arizona².




Figure 3 Average Daily Temperature Extremes by Month for Phoenix, Arizona³.

Soil Conditions

There was a geotechnical investigation consisting of four test pits and two drilled boreholes conducted prior to construction of the southwest wall. The pits and boreholes were placed along the site of the retaining walls. The excavation logs of these tests are presented in APPENDIX B and are summarized in Table 1. Excavation data for the northeast and southeast wall sites was not available.

CONSTRUCTION

Tanner Construction was awarded the contract for the construction of the Hilfiker Reinforced Soil Embankments of this project. Selvage, Heber, and Nelson were the consulting engineers for the retaining wall design.

The construction of the embankments and the panels for each of the walls was similar, and a description is forthcoming. A construction guide provided by Hilfiker is included as APPENDIX C, and reductions of a portion of the construction project plans are included as APPENDIX D. Further comments or conditions specific to a wall are addressed individually under that wall's heading.

Embankment Construction

Preparation of the subgrade consisted of excavating the existing soil to design level. The soil was then tested for resistivity. If the soil did not pass resistivity requirements, the excavation was continued one more foot, and an approved aggregate base course (ABC) was placed and compacted to bring the elevation up to plan level. ADOT specifications for backfill material are given in APPENDIX E. The final grade of the subgrade varied between one and two percent, sloping away from the face of the wall.

| Identification | Depth | Description |
|----------------|--------------|---|
| Test Pit #1 | 0 to 2 ft | Gravelly sand and fill. |
| | 2 to 11 ft. | Sand, gravel, cobbles, and some boulders. |
| Test Pit #2 | 0 to 2 ft. | Gravelly sand and fill. |
| | 2 to 18 ft. | Sand, gravel, cobbles, with large concrete blocks, asphalt, wood and metal scrap. |
| Test Pit #3 | 0 to 2 ft. | Gravelly sand and fill. |
| | 2 to 14 ft. | Sand, gravel, cobbles, boulders, concrete blocks, and wood and metal scrap. |
| | 14 to 19 ft. | Sand, gravel, cobbles, and some boulders. |
| Test Pit #4 | 0 to 2 ft. | Gravelly sand and fill. |
| | 2 to 8 ft. | Sand, gravel, cobbles, boulders, concrete blocks, and wood and metal scrap. |
| | 8 to 13 ft. | Sand, gravel, cobbles, and some boulders. |
| Boring #84-135 | 0 to 1 ft. | Silty sand with clay. |
| | 1 to 3 ft. | Gravel and cobbles |
| Boring #84-136 | 0 to 2 ft. | Gravelly sand and fill |
| | 2 to 8 ft. | Sand, gravel, cobbles, and boulders mixed with debris. |
| | 8 to 13 ft. | Sand, gravel, cobbles, and some boulders. |

Table 1 Summary of Geotechnical Logs for Southwest Wall Site.


A reinforced concrete leveling course was constructed to serve as the base for the cast-in-place concrete faces of the Hilfiker walls. The concrete course is 15" wide and 6" deep. Figure 4 is a photograph of the preparation of the leveling course and subgrade.




Figure 4 Preparation of Subgrade and Leveling Course.

Reinforcement of the embankment was in the form of galvanized welded steel wire mesh (W7xW7) mats. The horizontal mats were 7.5' x 20' (variable), and the backing mats were 8' x 2'. Figures 5 and 6 show dimensions of the reinforcing mats. Figure 7 demonstrates the placement of the first layer of reinforcement. The first layer was placed on the subgrade and held in place with form pins. A spacer cage was used on the first layer to hold the backing mat away from the vertical bars of the horizontal reinforcing mat.

A geotextile fabric screen was used to keep the compacted backfill behind the wire mesh. Initially, the fabric was rolled under the reinforcing mats, resulting in a 1/2" to 3/4" crevice. Because of the concern that concrete may not have filled the crevice, the fabric was cut into 1/2' intervals and placed on the vertical bars of the mats. This is shown in Figure 8.




REINFORCEMENT MAT PLAN VIEW




REINFORCEMENT MAT PERSPECTIVE VIEW

Figure 5 Typical Dimensions of the Horizontal Reinforcing Mats.



BACKING MAT PLAN VIEW



BACKING MAT PERSPECTIVE VIEW

Figure 6 Typical Dimensions of the Backing Mats.



Figure 7 Placement of the First Layer of Reinforcement.




Figure 8 Geotextile Fabric Screen to Retain Compacted Backfill.

The horizontal reinforcing mats were placed successively in the embankment at 2' lifts. Each lift included a non-galvanized #4 rebar to mitigate thermal expansion or contraction of the wire mesh. The backfill material was placed in 1' lifts on the reinforcing mats with a ten-wheel dump truck and compacted with passes by a rock-bucket loader, a grader, a backhoe, water trucks, and dump trucks. Compaction of the backfill within 2' of the backing mats was performed by water-jetting and a hand vibrating tamper (a jumping jack). Initially, hand rammers were used in this zone, but they were found to be slow and inefficient. A schematic diagram of the two lower 1' lifts of the Hilfiker embankment is shown in Figure 9. Figure 10 shows the use of a hand tamper near the backing mat, and a dump truck used to deliver the backfill material. Figure 11 is the backfill material being distributed on the reinforcing mats with a backhoe/loader.




Figure 9 Schematic Diagram of the Lowest 2' Section of the Hilfiker Reinforced Embankment.



Figure 10 Dump Truck Used to Deliver Backfill Material and Hand Tampers Used for Compaction Near the Backing Mats.



Figure 11 Backfill Material Distributed on the Reinforcing Mats.

Attempts were made by the contractor to use only backfill material passing a 4" sieve in the 2' nearest the backing mats for the northeast and southwest walls. This was not required in the specifications, and quite often did not take place, as illustrated in Figure 12.



Figure 12 Backfill Material Larger Than a 4 " Sieve Placed Near the Backing Mats.

The backfill material used for the northeast and southwest walls was primarily river run obtained from the old embankments existing at the site. The material was processed on a grizzly and mixed. Although specifications required that all backfill material pass a 6" sieve (page D2), there were many rocks larger than that. Attempts were made to remove these rocks both manually and with a rock bucket. These attempts failed as a significant percentage of large rocks remained, as Figure 11 shows. Also, this material did not pass minimum resistivity requirements. Results of resistivity tests ranged from 536 ohm-cm to 2412 ohm-cm, whereas the special provisions of the project required 3000 ohm-cm minimum. As a result, the site was over-excavated by 1' prior to backfill placement, as required in the special provisions of the project. The approved material used to replace the over-excavated material was cohesionless and passed a 3" sieve. It was provided by Calmat of Arizona. The backfill for the southeast wall consisted entirely of the Calmat material. The backfill for all of the walls met specifications in regard to chloride content, sulfate content, and pH. APPENDIX F shows typical results of resistivity, pH, chloride, and sulfate tests for the on-site river run and the Calmat material. Random field tests on the density of the compacted backfill indicated 90 to 101 percent of the maximum dry density by AASHTO T-180. Specifications called for a minimum of 90 percent. Density test results are included as APPENDIX G.

The horizontal reinforcing mats were stacked on top of the backfill lifts and interlocked with the vertical backing mats. During construction the alignment of the embankment was kept vertical, checked with a 4' carpenter's level and string line. This is shown in Figure 13. Figure 14 shows the fully completed northeast wall embankment prior to the construction of the full-height concrete panels.




Figure 13 Workers Checking the Vertical and Horizontal Alignment of a Hilfiker Embankment.



Figure 14 NE Wall Embankment Prior to Construction of Full-Height Concrete Panels.

Panel Construction

Placement of the full-height concrete wall face followed the completion of the embankment. The procedure consisted of pouring the concrete from the top of the embankment down into full-height rubber inner forms and wood outer forms. The forms were configured to meet ADOT I-10 Project Architectural Rustication Detail M7 - A. Figure 15 is a photo of the rubber and wood forms used to attain the rustication.



Figure 15 Rubber and Wood Forms Used to Attain Specified Rustication.

The concrete was mixed at a batch plant and delivered to the site in transit mixers. The concrete was poured down the full face of the embankment, at times over 20'. Upon pouring, the concrete was vibrated with long internal vibrators as shown in Figure 16. After removing the forms, the concrete showed extensive honeycombing and air voids. Therefore, external vibrators were used in addition to the long internal vibrators. However, because the vibrations of the external vibrators did not transfer well through the rubber and wood forms, honeycombing and air voids were still visible. Use of external vibrators is shown in Figure 17. Figure 18 shows an example of the honeycombing and air pockets in the concrete panels.




Figure 16 Long Internal Vibrators Initially Used to Vibrate the Concrete Panels.




Figure 17 Additional External Vibrators Later Added to the Lower Sections of the Wall.




Figure 18 Honeycombing and Air Pockets Visible in the Full-Height Panels.

The wall was patched and painted; however, honeycombing and air pockets remained evident as shown in Figure 19.



Figure 19 Honeycombing Was Still Visible After Patching Attempts.

The contractor could not use tremie concrete nor larger diameter internal vibrators because of the limited clearance of the forms. The concrete panels were only 6" wide and had a maximum of 1.5" clearance for the internal vibrators. In an attempt to attain a higher quality finish, three different mix designs were tried by the contractor. APPENDIX H includes the different mix designs, and corresponding typical compression test results.

The Northeast Wall

Construction of the Hilfiker Reinforced Earth System of the northeast wall began in September, 1986. The construction of the wall was completed (minus painting) in January 1987. The wall ranged in height from 8' to 32', and was 1000' long. Construction notes specific to the northeast wall follow.

The river run backfill material did not pass resistivity requirements, and a \$16,000 force account was initiated by ADOT to compensate the contractor for additional excavation. The subgrade was over-excavated 1' and filled with approved aggregate from Calmat of Arizona. The total backfill material volume was 15,270 cubic yards. Twenty field density tests were performed (APPENDIX G). The backfill met density specifications. All backfill material was specified to pass the 6" sieve, but this requirement was not always met.

The concrete wall panels originally were to have a 1:48 batter. However, a change order was initiated by the contractor to construct a vertical wall instead. The change order was approved by ADOT at no change in cost. An additional approved change order allowed the contractor to drop the concrete more than 8' without the use of approved pipes or tubes. The change order was requested because there was no clearance in the concrete panels to allow for the use of a tremie. There was no change in costs for this change order.

The plans did not provide for drainage outlets in the concrete panels. The panels immediately began to display honeycombing, air voids, and shrinkage and settlement cracks. The cracks were discontinuous and located primarily, but not limited to, the horizontal rustication of the wall. Figures 20 and 21 illustrate the horizontal cracks and air voids.

Additional work included removing the top reinforcing mat and backfill to accommodate the 15" needed for the concrete pavement (10" Portland Cement Concrete Pavement and 5" Lean Concrete Base). A design error provided only 6" clearance for the pavement. The work was performed under a \$5000 force account. This force account also provided for the shortening of the lugs of the anchor slab to preclude them from interfering with the reinforcing mats. This is illustrated in a schematic included as Figure 22. The lugs were shortened from the standard 5' and 3.5', to 1'. Another force account required the contractor to seal the construction joint between the Hilfiker wall and the new PCCP. The contractor was provided \$5000 to remove the existing filler and apply a bituminous joint sealant.




Figure 20 Hairline Shrinkage or Settlement Cracks and Air Voids.




Figure 21 Air Voids and Horizontal Cracks in the Concrete Panels.




Figure 22 Schematic Diagram Illustrating the Conflict Between the top Reinforcing Mat and the Concrete Pavement (View is a Lengthwise Cross-Section).

Nine aluminum caps used as bench marks were embedded into epoxy at different locations along the concrete cap of the northeast wall. The caps were placed and surveyed to monitor the movement of the wall at future dates. The caps were initially surveyed by ADOT construction surveyors in March, 1987.

The Southwest Wall

Construction of the Hilfiker Reinforced Earth System of the southwest wall began in October, 1986, and was completed in November, 1987. Construction was put on hold from March to September, 1987, as the contractor concentrated work on a different aspect of the overall project. The completed wall ranged in height from 4' to 30', and was 1220' long. Construction notes specific to the southwest wall follow.

The subgrade for the southwest wall needed to be over-excavated 8.5' to remove existing concrete rubble. A total of 22 field density tests were performed by ADOT during construction of the southwest wall (APPENDIX G). The backfill met density specifications. However, this backfill material did not meet gradation requirements. Project specifications called for all material to pass the 6" sieve. This was clearly not the case as a significant portion of the backfill was greater than 6". Figure 23 shows the varying size of aggregate.



Figure 23 Backfill Material With Rocks Larger Than the 6" Sieve.

The concrete panels of the face of the wall were poured similarly to the procedure followed for the northeast wall. Consistent with the other walls, there were no drainage outlets provided in the plans. Once again, cracks, honeycombing, and air voids were prevalent along the panels. Figure 24 shows patched honeycombed areas, and Figure 25 shows typical cracks ranging from 0.007" to 0.03" along the horizontal rustication of the concrete wall.




Figure 24 Patched Honeycombed Areas of the Southwest Wall.




Figure 25 Typical Cracks Along the Horizontal Rustication of the Concrete Panels.

It is believed that these horizontal cracks exist in all of the horizontal rustication of the concrete walls. However, this was not verified at upper portions of the wall due to an inability to access these heights.

More severe voids and honeycombing, late in the construction of the southwest wall, resulted in the exposure of the embankment reinforcing steel as shown in Figures 26 and 27. In these instances, the contractor broke the face of the panels and replaced them with a higher quality concrete finish. Another remedy was extensive patching, shown in Figure 28.



Figure 26 Exposed Reinforcing Steel Due to Severe Honeycombing.

A problem developed at the interface of the bridge abutment wingwall and the Hilfiker concrete panels. Prior to the completion of the last panel of the wingwall, a significant amount of the backfill washed out due to heavy rainfall. Figure 29 shows the backfill material that had been lost through the abutment. Figure 30 is the crevice formed by the seepage. Figure 31 is the void created at the top of the embankment, noticeable because the PCCP had not yet been placed.




Figure 27 Two Panels That Needed to be Broken Out and Replaced.



Figure 28 Severe Honeycombing Treated by Patching.




Figure 29 Backfill Material that Seeped Through Abutment Wingwall.



Figure 30 Crevice Formed by Washout of Backfill Material.



Figure 31 Void at the Top of the Embankment Due to Loss of Backfill Material.

To remedy this situation, the contractor supported the bottom of the abutment wingwall as shown in Figure 32, and filled the void with a structural backfill material. The material was then compacted with a jetting technique.




Figure 32 Support to Bridge Abutment for Refilling Rain Caused Crevice.

During the placement of the forms for the concrete panels, a crane overturned and damaged the wall's steel reinforcement. Figures 33 and 34 show the overturned crane and the resulting damage. Apparently the operator failed to extend the crane's outriggers prior to lifting some forms. The damage was repaired by straightening the steel and placing additional rebar. Figure 35 shows the site of the damaged section after repairs had been completed.




Figure 33 Overturned Crane on SW Embankment.



Figure 34 Damage Caused By Overturned Crane.



Figure 35 Site of Damaged SW Wall Section After Repair.

Change orders were requested by the contractor to avoid cutting the reinforcing mats of the embankment. The first change order was necessary because some of the catch basins in the plans interfered with the reinforcement of the embankment. This change order allowed for the use of catch basin blockouts, and a reduction in the slope of a drainage pipe from the catch basins. The cost of the change order was \$955. The second change order was initiated because of underground features of the guardrail and barrier transitions in the plans. In order to avoid the mats, the barrier was extended beyond the extent of the reinforcement. A force account was established to blockout the foundation of a light pole that was in conflict with the reinforcing mats. The force account paid \$1500 to the contractor.

Upon completion of the southwest wall, 15 aluminum caps were placed in epoxy along the length of the wall. The caps were surveyed by ADOT personnel in December, 1987, to be used to monitor movements of the wall at future dates.

Figures 36 and 37 show the southwest Hilfiker wall at completion.



Figure 36 Completed SW Wall (Photo From the West End).



Figure 37 Completed SW Hilfiker Wall (Photo Facing Northwest).

The Southeast Wall

Construction of the Hilfiker Reinforced Earth System of the southeast wall began in August, and was completed in December, 1987. The completed wall ranged in height from 3' to 12', and was 680' long. Construction notes specific to the southeast wall follow.

The backfill material for the southeast wall consisted entirely of material supplied by Calmat of Arizona. The material passed resistivity, pH, and chloride requirements, and all material passed the 3" sieve. Twelve density tests were performed by ADOT during construction of the southeast wall (APPENDIX G), and the backfill passed density requirements.

Towards the end of the embankment construction, it became evident that the contractor did not have enough reinforcing mats to raise the embankment to plan elevation. Upon approval from the Hilfiker company, the contractor increased the space between mats to 3' rather than 2.5' as required by the plans. Figure 38 shows the completed embankment prior to placing the concrete forms.




Figure 38 SE Embankment Prior to Placement of the Concrete Forms.

The concrete for the panels of the face of the wall was poured in similar fashion to the other walls. Figure 39 shows the forms in place. The southeast wall panels, like the others, had no drainage outlets specified in the plans. However, this concrete wall had noticeably fewer shrinkage cracks, and a better quality finish than the other walls. This was probably due to the relative height and length of this wall.



Figure 39 Forms of the SE Wall Were Placed Similarly to the Other Walls.

Upon the completion of construction, 9 aluminum caps were embedded in epoxy along the top of the southeast wall. The caps were surveyed to monitor movements of the wall at future dates. Figure 40 is a photograph of the completed southeast Hilfiker wall.



Figure 40 Completed SE Hilfiker Wall (Photograph Facing East).

COST

The lump sum cost for the construction of the three retaining walls was \$1,150,000, as bid for the project. The contractor indicated that this amount was not sufficient to cover the construction costs of the walls, but did not comment on the actual figures. Dividing the lump sum \$1,150,000 by the construction area (68,862 ft²) results in a cost of \$16.70 / ft² paid by ADOT.

EVALUATION

Evaluation of the Hilfiker Reinforced Earth Systems was conducted in the form of visual inspection of the walls, and surveys of the aluminum caps epoxied to the walls to monitor movement. The evaluation period extended from completion of the walls through September, 1991.

Surveys

A final survey of the aluminum caps embedded in epoxy on each of the three Hilfiker walls was performed during July and August, 1991. The survey consisted of determining the location and elevation of the aluminum caps, and comparing them with the results of the surveys performed upon completion of the walls. The overall movement of the caps on each of the three walls is given in Tables 2, 3, and 4. Figures 41, 42, and 43 are maps of the aluminum caps of each of the walls, with arrows indicating the direction and magnitude of movements.

| Cap Number | Elevation Change (ft.) | Horizontal Movement (ft.) |
|------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | -.0033 | .1424 |
| 2 | +.0007 | .0968 |
| 3 | -.0233 | .0443 |
| 4 | -.0293 | .0292 |
| 5 | -.0353 | .0496 |
| 6 | -.0393 | .0256 |
| 7 | -.0423 | .0652 |
| 8 | +.0403 | .0442 |
| 9 | -.0613 | .0183 |
| Maximum | -.0613 (Cap 9) | .1424 (Cap 1) |

Movements based on surveys 3/13/87 and 7/20/91.

Table 2 Movements of the NE Hilfiker Wall.

| Cap Number | Elevation Change (ft.) | Horizontal Movement (ft.) |
|------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | -.0340 | .1261 |
| 2 | -.0300 | .0786 |
| 3 | -.0240 | .0915 |
| 4 | -.0050 | .0790 |
| 5 | -.0040 | .0673 |
| 6 | -.0150 | .0253 |
| 7 | -.0140 | .0337 |
| 8 | -.0280 | .0512 |
| 9 | -.0290 | .0390 |
| 10 | -.0360 | .0861 |
| 11 | -.0230 | .0734 |
| 12 | -.0080 | .1846 |
| 13 | -.0100 | .2559 |
| 14 | +.0080 | .3101 |
| 15 | .0000 | .2544 |
| Maximum | -.0360 (Cap 10) | .3101 (Cap 14) |

Movements between surveys 12/15/87 and 8/03/91.

Table 3 Movements of the SW Hilfiker Wall.

| Cap Number | Elevation Movement (ft.) | Horizontal Movement (ft.) |
|------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | -.0800 | .1362 |
| 3 | -.0780 | .0472 |
| 4 | -.0770 | .1335 |
| 5 | -.0730 | .0536 |
| 6 | -.0730 | .0874 |
| 7 | -.0630 | .1622 |
| 8 | -.0550 | .1231 |
| 9 | -.0520 | .1958 |
| Maximum | -.0800 (Cap 1) | .1958 (Cap 9) |


Movements Between Surveys 1/13/88 and 8/3/91. All aluminum caps have been removed. Movements are based on surveyor's estimate of position of caps for 8/3/91 survey.

Table 4 Estimated Movements of the SE Hilfiker Wall.

MOVEMENTS OF BRASS CAPS PLACED UPON THE NORTHEAST HILFIKER RETAINING WALL

I-10 and 24th STREET
PHOENIX, ARIZONA

I-10 WESTBOUND



| | CAP # | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| TOTAL MOVEMENT | North (ft.) | .0061 | .0363 | .0644 | .0242 | .0421 | .0285 | .0443 | .0943 | .1415 |
| | East (ft.) | .0173 | .0252 | -.0101 | .0082 | -.0263 | .0065 | .0007 | -.0217 | .0157 |
| | Elevation(ft.) | -.0613 | -.0403 | -.0423 | -.0393 | -.0353 | -.0293 | -.0233 | .0007 | -.0033 |

SCALE: 1" = 100'

Figure 41 Movements of the Caps Placed on the Northeast Wall.







Figure 42 Movements of the Caps Placed on the Southwest Wall.

MOVEMENTS* OF BRASS CAPS PLACED UPON THE SOUTHEAST HILFIKER RETAINING WALL



 LATERAL SURFACE MOVEMENT
 ELEVATION CHANGE
 (Arrows not to scale. Magnitudes shown below)

| CAP # | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | A | B |
|------------------|---|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|---|---|
| ΔNorth (ft.) | — | -.0265 | .0387 | .0275 | .0218 | -.0643 | .1494 | .0850 | .1872 | — | — |
| ΔEast (ft.) | — | .1336 | .0271 | -.1306 | -.0490 | .0592 | .0634 | .0891 | -.0574 | — | — |
| ΔElevation (ft.) | — | -.08 | -.078 | -.077 | -.073 | -.073 | -.063 | -.055 | -.052 | — | — |

SCALE: 1" = 100'

*MOVEMENTS CANNOT BE TAKEN AS PRECISE; SURVEY CAPS HAVE BEEN REMOVED. DATA BASED ON SURVEYORS ESTIMATE.

Figure 43 Movements of the Caps Placed on the Southeast Wall.

There is reason to doubt the reliability of the results of the surveys. Notice, for example, the northeast wall. Table 1 indicates that the aluminum cap with the greatest lateral movement, and a significant change in elevation is Cap 1. Figure 41 shows that Cap 1 is at the low end of the wall, and it would be expected that this cap would be the least susceptible to movement.

The aluminum caps embedded in epoxy on the southeast wall have been removed. Apparently they were scraped off by some sort of heavy vehicle, although this would be difficult considering the caps proximity to the concrete barriers placed on top of the wall. Figure 44 shows the location of a removed aluminum cap.



Figure 44 Aluminum Caps of the SE Wall Have Been Removed.

Additionally, the southeast wall has two aluminum caps that have not been surveyed. These caps are similar to the caps originally placed on the walls. Neither the ADOT surveyors who performed the initial and final surveys of the walls, nor ATRC personnel, are aware of the origin of these caps.

Cracks

There is a large (0.5" to 1.5") vertical crack at the interface between the southeast Hilfiker wall and the southeast abutment of the I-10 and 24th Street bridge. The retaining wall has settled about an inch relative to the bridge abutment at this point. Figure 45 is a photo of this crack along the face of the wall, and Figure 46 shows the damage to the concrete at the top of the wall at the crack.



Figure 45 Vertical Crack at the Interface of the SE Wall and the Bridge Abutment.



Figure 46 Damage to the Concrete at the Top of the Cracked Interface.

A large erosion channel had formed immediately below the vertical crack, yielding evidence that water was entering the reinforced earth embankment and exiting through this crack. Following rains it was noticed that sediment was carried into 24th Street. Figure 47 shows the erosion channel, which has at times been filled in by landscape maintenance crews.



Figure 47 Erosion Channel Immediately Below Vertical Crack of the SE Wall.

The two other Hilfiker walls were subject to similar phenomena; vertical cracks at the bridge abutment interface. However, the cracks were not as severe. Below the southwest wall crack there was a small erosion channel. There was not a channel below the northeast wall crack. Figures 48 and 49 show the Hilfiker wall - bridge abutment vertical cracks for the southwest and the northeast walls. Many hairline vertical and horizontal cracks are visible throughout all three of the retaining walls at this interchange.

Deflection of Slabs

In October, 1991, Local ADOT construction personnel reported that the anchor slab was deflecting relative to the approach slab of the southeast wall when 18-wheel trucks passed. Further inspection showed that this deflection was also evident, to a lesser extent, on the southwest wall. The deflections were not measured, but it appeared as though the maximum deflection on the southeast wall was about an inch.



Figure 48 Interface of SW Hilfiker Wall and the 24th Street Bridge Abutment.



Figure 49 Interface of NE Hilfiker Wall and the 24th Street Bridge Abutment.

Other Retaining Walls

In addition to the Hilfiker retaining wall Experimental Project at I-10 and 24th Street, the ATRC has been monitoring three additional full-height retaining walls at the request of the FHWA. Those retaining wall systems are included in Table 5.

| Project Number | Description | System Design |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| IR-10-3(224) | Moreland St. Drop Structure | Hilfiker/Gravity |
| IR-10-3(192) I-10-3(220) | Warner Road I.C. SPRR Overpass | Hilfiker VSL |

Table 5 Additional Retaining Wall Projects Monitored at the Request of the FHWA.

Moreland Drop Structure

The Moreland Street Drop Structure is located in Phoenix between the ramp from westbound I-10 to northbound SR-51 and the ramp from westbound I-10 to eastbound Loop 202. Around the drop structure are two full-height retaining walls, ranging in height from 0' to approximately 13'. The western wall is part of a Hilfiker Reinforced Soil Embankment System, and was constructed with the drop structure in 1986. The southern wall is a gravity retaining wall, completed in 1988. Figure 50 depicts the geometry of the site, and Figure 51 is a photograph of the walls taken facing north. The walls can be differentiated at the site by their concrete caps. The Hilfiker wall is 10.5" across the top, whereas the gravity wall is 16" across the top.

Inspection of this facility included mapping the vertical cracks, expansion joints, and weep holes of both sections of the wall, and recording the widths of the vertical cracks. The cracks varied in width from 0.007" to 0.1" with the exception of a single large vertical crack along the west face of the Hilfiker wall. The crack is 0.5" wide; however, there is no vertical displacement across the crack at the top of the wall. Figure 52 is a photo of this large vertical crack. APPENDIX I is a record of the location and size of cracks, expansion joints, and weep holes along both the gravity wall and the Hilfiker Wall.




Figure 50 Moreland Street Dropout and Retaining Walls.



Figure 51 Retaining Walls About the Moreland Street Drop Structure (Looking North).




Figure 52 Large Vertical Crack Along the West Face of the Hilfiker Wall at the Moreland Street Drop Structure.

SPRR Overpass

The overpass of I-10 over the Southern Pacific Railroad (SPRR) is situated just south of the Washington-Jefferson Streets exit in Phoenix. The overpass is also referred to as the Harrison Street Bridge. There are two retaining wall systems here: a north wall and a south wall. The systems are reinforced earth retaining walls designed by VSL, and constructed in 1985. Figure 53 is a photograph of the south VSL wall at the SPRR overpass.

This site has been precisely surveyed by ADOT's Photogrammetry and Mapping (P&M) Services, between June, 1988 and May, 1989, and again in September, 1991, in an endeavor to monitor the movements of the wall. The surveyors report that the wall has moved out and then back. The magnitudes of the movements have ranged from 0.02' to 0.05'.

Inspection of the VSL walls revealed many horizontal hairline cracks in the walls. The cracks appeared throughout the height of the walls, but were most frequent in the lowest one-third of the walls.



Figure 53 South Retaining Wall at the SPRR Overpass.

Warner Road Interchange

The retaining wall at the interchange of I-10 and Warner Road in Phoenix is also a Hilfiker wall and is located on the west side of the eastbound I-10 offramp. Figure 54 shows the geometry of the site. Because of the sound walls, the retaining wall is not visible from I-10 or Warner Road. The wall ranges in height linearly (north to south) 0' to 12.5'. The wall is not accessible in the northernmost 50' due to a chain link fence.

Inspection of this site consisted of walking the length of the retaining wall and recording the location of vertical and horizontal cracks, and expansion joints. The cracks were approximately 0.02" wide. The record is included as APPENDIX J. Figure 55 is a photograph of the retaining wall at the Warner Road Interchange.

There were plant wells placed at 8' intervals along the top of the retaining wall. Plants were no longer growing in these wells, but the drip irrigation system was continuing to operate. Also, irrigation of the plants immediately east of the sound wall was noticeably seeping down slope through the soil beneath the sound wall. The moisture was evident all the way down to the retaining wall. Because of the absence of weep holes in the retaining wall, the situation of additional water in the soil could be reducing the stability of the retaining wall. The drip irrigation system of the vacant plant wells has since been shut down. There were no major distresses visible on the wall.




Figure 54 Location of the Warner Road I.C. Retaining Wall.




Figure 55 Hilfiker Wall at the Warner Road Interchange.

CONCLUSIONS

Currently the southeast Hilfiker wall of the experimental project (I-10 and 24th St.) is visibly distressed. There is a large vertical crack at the retaining wall - bridge abutment interface, and the PCCP anchor slab above this crack is deflecting noticeably. ADOT engineers have met to discuss the situation and formulate a plan of action.

A probable cause of the observed deflection of anchor slab is the formation of a void in the embankment. The void could be caused by the washing out of embankment material through the large vertical crack at the wall - abutment interface. This would be consistent with the washout problems encountered with the southwest Hilfiker wall during construction as seen in Figures 30, 31, and 32.

A hypothesis as to the cause of the large vertical crack is differential settlement between the bridge abutment and the Hilfiker wall/embankment. The bridge abutment is on piers, whereas the Hilfiker system is not.

Each of the other walls of this project are experiencing similar distress, but to a much lesser degree. The southwest and the northeast walls each have small cracks at their interface with the bridge abutments, and slight deflections of the anchor slabs have been noticed. These distresses are more significant on the southwest wall than the northeast wall.

ADOT has performed a Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) test at the site in an attempt to determine if a void is present, and if so, to what extent. The results indicate major deflections, supporting the void hypothesis. Coring through the PCCP will follow to confirm the presence of a void.

RECOMMENDATIONS

For future construction of reinforced earth systems, the following recommendations are made:

1. Backfill material used for similar projects should pass a 3" sieve. This recommendation is based on the experiences of this project. The finer material facilitated construction and was more readily compacted.
2. Compaction requirements for the backfill material should be increased from 90%, as specified for this project, to 95% of the maximum dry density. This may alleviate differential settlement between the area beneath the Hilfiker mats (90% compaction) and the rest of the embankment (95% compaction). This recommendation is consistent with ADOT Specification 205-3.04.
3. Better finish of the concrete panels may be achieved with a thicker wall. Construction of the forms for a thicker wall would allow more room for the use of vibrators. Also, forms constructed of steel, or a similarly rigid material would allow for better results of exterior vibrators.

4. In the design of a particular reinforced soil system, the features of the roadway should be considered. There should be no conflict between the soil reinforcement and the road features such as catch basins, anchor lugs, light pole foundations, etc.

5. Proper drainage should be provided for the retaining system. Drains should include sand or synthetic filters to prevent the loss of material from the embankment. This recommendation is in light of the extreme difficulty in keeping water from entering the embankment.

6. Continued visual monitoring and comparison surveys on the walls is recommended. Documentation of the methods used to correct existing distresses is also desirable.

REFERENCES

1. The University of Arizona, "Arizona Climate, The First Hundred Years," 1985.
2. Chart compiled from data from "Arizona Climate, The First Hundred Years," University of Arizona, 1985.
3. Chart compiled from data from "Arizona Climate, The First Hundred Years," University of Arizona, 1985.

APPENDIX A FHWA APPROVED WORKPLAN

"FULL HEIGHT PANELS UTILIZED IN RETAINING WALL DESIGNS:

FHWA EXPERIMENTAL PROJECT NO. AZ8601

ADOT PROJECT NO. I-10-3(204)C

16TH STREET TO 28TH STREET

PROBLEM STATEMENT

The proliferation of proprietary earth retaining systems has left highway engineers with a complex, and sometimes ambiguous problem of weighting the potential for initial cost savings against a frequently unknown product performance record.

In the last ten years considerable experience has been gained in assessing the performance of these systems which typically utilized small modular precast facing panels approximately 25 sq. ft. in area. These panels, individually attached to the ground reinforcing strips, allowed structures to incur considerable settlement without any significant distress. In recent times, however, aesthetic considerations have compelled designers to require full height panels in lieu of the smaller modular panels.

The utilization of full height panels requires the connection of multiple reinforcing strips to a single panel, introducing a degree of indeterminacy to the structure. The inability to effectively analyze the internal behavior of the systems and the paucity of satisfactory performance qualifies these as appropriate to the experimental category. Considerable concern exists as to the ability of these newer systems to sustain settlements.

OBJECTIVE

The object of this project is to document the construction and evaluate the performance of the full height panel retaining systems for a period of at least three years. The progress, methods of construction, difficulties encountered and required design changes will be reviewed.

Should performance problems develop, the causes and necessary remedial measures will be monitored and reported.

WORKPLAN

The proposed workplan is in accordance with the requirements outlined in FHWA Geotechnical Advisory 5.0.3 for Experimental Project No. 2 "Ground Modifications Systems". At minimum, the following information will be collected and reported:

- I. SITE INFORMATION
 - A) Project Location and Description
 - B) Plan and Profile
 - C) Subsurface Profile
 - 1) Laboratory Test Results
 - 2) Field Test Results
 - D) Site Problems

II. DESIGN DETAILS

- A) Alternates Considered
- B) Design Procedures (Example Computations)
- C) Monitoring Program
- D) Specification Types
- E) Method of Construction Acceptance

III. CONSTRUCTION DATA

- A) Unit Prices
- B) Change Orders
- C) Placement and Erection Procedures
- D) Construction Problems
- E) Time-Rate of Construction Estimates

Note: The monitoring program will consist of physical survey information collected as necessary to detect manifestations of distress. No instrumentation is anticipated at this time.

IV. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

- A) Assessment of Design and Construction Practices
- B) Performance Appraisal with Time
- C) Documentation of Required Maintenance and Effectiveness

V. REPORTING

- A) A post construction report will be prepared which describes the cost, installation procedures, evaluation techniques and erection equipment utilized to construct and analyze the wall system. This report should be prepared within 120 days after completion of construction.
- B) Performance evaluations will be conducted on a periodic basis at least annually for the first 3 years. Should distress become evident, physical surveys will be conducted to establish the magnitude and extent of the problem.
- C) A final report will be prepared at the end of the evaluation period which describes and analyzes the data collected and summarizes the findings.

APPENDIX B EXCAVATION LOGS OF WORKSITE


APPENDIX C HILFIKER CONSTRUCTION GUIDE

C.I.P. CONSTRUCTION GUIDE

COMPACTED
GRAINFILL

CONCRETE WALL TOP
FACE TO BE USED TO SET
JOB REQUIREMENTS

ARCHITECTURAL TREATMENT
OF WALL FACE TO SUIT
PROVIDENTIAL JOB SITE



VERTICAL REINFORCEMENT
IS TO BE PLACED AT
REGULAR INTERVALS
AS SHOWN

FACE OF WALL TO BE
SERVED AS REQUIREMENT
IN C.I.P. FACE

R.S.F. CAST-IN-PLACE FACE




HILFIKER RETAINING WALLS

WELDED WIRE • R.S. EMBANKMENT • CONCRIB
(707) 443-5091 • P.O. Drawer L • Eureka, CA 95501

APPENDIX C

C2

TO UNLOAD




TO UNLOAD: HOOK CHAINS AS SHOWN, BEING SURE TO HOOK THE BOTTOM-MOST WIRE ON EACH BUNDLE.


NOTE :

THIS BOOKLET IS INTENDED TO BE A GENERAL GUIDE FOR THE INSTALLATION OF THE HILFIKER R.S.E. WITH C.I.P. FACE WALL. IF ANY PART OF YOUR CONSTRUCTION PLANS ARE AT VARIANCE WITH INFORMATION IN THIS GUIDE, FOLLOW YOUR CONSTRUCTION PLANS.

WALL PARTS




EXCAVATION



EXCAVATE ACCORDING TO THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS OF YOUR JOB

YOU MUST EXCAVATE TO A SOLID FOUNDATION THAT WILL SUPPORT THE WEIGHT OF THE WALL.




MAKE SURE WALL FOUNDATION IS TO LINE AND GRADE.

A LITTLE TIME SPENT NOW MAY SAVE A LOT OF TIME LATER

PREPARE THE TRENCH FOR THE CAST-IN-PLACE LEVELING COURSE. BE SURE YOU HAVE THE REQUIRED BERM IN FRONT.


FOLLOW THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS OF YOUR JOB FOR SIZE AND REINFORCING OF LEVELING COURSE.

POUR THE CONCRETE AND LEVEL THE TOP OF IT TO YOUR EXCAVATED GRADE.



IF YOUR CONSTRUCTION PLANS CALL FOR A VARIABLE BASE WALL WITH A CAST-IN-PLACE FOOTING, CUT AND PREPARE SOIL AND REINFORCING ACCORDING TO PLANS.

POUR THE FOOTING WITH A KEY FOR LATER KEYING INTO THE CAST-IN-PLACE FACE



5

PLACE THE MATS WITH THE VERTICAL FACES ON TOP OF THE LEVELING COURSE. LEAVE A 6-INCH SPACE BETWEEN THE SIDES OF THE MAT FACES.

IF YOUR WALL IS CURVED OR ANGLED, BACK OF MATS WILL LOOK LIKE THIS:


CONVEX CURVE: OVERLAP THE BACK OF THE MATS AND CLIP THE ENDS TOGETHER WITH HOG RINGS.

STRAIGHT WALL


WALL FACE
LEVELING COURSE

CONCAVE CURVE: SPREAD THE BACK OF THE MATS AS REQUIRED.


IF A SMOOTHER CURVE IS DESIRED, YOU MAY SPLIT THE BASE OF THE MATS. DO NOT SPLIT THE FACE, BUT BEND IT AS REQUIRED. CUT ONLY TRANSVERSE WIRES. SEE STEP 7



CONSTRUCTION OF FOUNDATION LAYER





6
PLACE THE MATS FOR THE FIRST LIFT. BE SURE THE MAT FACES ARE PROPERLY LOCATED ON THE LEVELING COURSE OR FOOTING. SOIL AROUND THE CAST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE MUST BE LEVEL AND SOLID. USE A STRINGLINE WHERE WALL IS STRAIGHT TO ALIGN THE MAT FACES. STAKE TO HOLD IN PLACE.



7
MATS MAY BE CUT TO FIT EXCAVATION IF NEEDED. CUT ONLY TRANSVERSE WIRES. DO NOT SHORTEN THE BASE DEPTH OF THE MATS.

INSTALL THE WIRE SPACER CAGES. PLACE THE HOOKED WIRES OVER THE TOP HORIZONTAL WIRE ON THE MAT FACE. THE BOTTOM WIRE ON THE SPACER CAGE RESTS AGAINST THE MAT FACE. SPACER CAGES ARE INSTALLED ONLY IN THE FIRST LIFT.







PLACE THE BACKING MATS AGAINST THE SPACER CAGE WITH THE 6-INCH FOOT TOWARD THE INSIDE OF THE WALL. BACKING MATS SPAN FROM CENTER TO CENTER OF THE 7'-6" MATS. USE HALF BACKING MATS AT THE ENDS OF THE LIFT. UNROLL THE SCREEN AGAINST THE BACK OF THE BACKING MATS AND CLIP WITH HOG RINGS

BEGIN LOADING BACKFILL OVER THE BASE OF THE MATS.

DO NOT OPERATE HEAVY EQUIPMENT ON EXPOSED WIRE.



WHEN THE BACK OF THE MATS ARE WEIGHTED WITH FILL, THE STAKES CAN BE REMOVED.




PLACE AND COMPACT A LAYER OF BACKFILL ABOUT 12 INCHES DEEP. USE CARE WHEN COMPACTING THE SOIL AGAINST THE BACKING MAT SO YOU DON'T BEND IT OUT. THE 3-INCH SPACE BETWEEN THE BACKING MAT AND THE WIRE FACE MUST BE MAINTAINED.

DO NOT HIT OR DAMAGE THE WIRE WITH COMPACTING EQUIPMENT.

IT IS IMPORTANT TO KEEP THE BACKFILL DRY. IF RAIN IS EXPECTED, PROTECT THE BACKFILL WITH A PLASTIC COVERING. IF BACKFILL DOES GET WET, REMOVE THE WET MATERIAL BEFORE CONTINUING CONSTRUCTION.



PLACE AND COMPACT THE REMAINING BACKFILL FOR THIS LIFT. STOP THIS LAYER OF BACKFILL JUST BEFORE IT REACHES THE BACKING MATS TO PREVENT BENDING THE MATS OUTWARD WHEN YOU COMPACT. YOU WILL FILL THE SPACE WHEN YOU PLACE THE BACKFILL IN THE NEXT LIFT.



THE TOP OF THE COMPACTED BACKFILL MUST BE 0~2 INCHES ABOVE THE LEVEL OF THE NEXT LIFT (3~5 INCHES ABOVE THE TOP WIRE ON THE MAT FACE)

THIS IS TO INSURE AGAINST UNDERFILLING, WHICH WOULD CAUSE BULGING OF THE BACKING MATS LATER.

NOTE: THIS WALL IS A REINFORCED SOIL STRUCTURE.

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT THE BACKFILL MATERIAL BE CAREFULLY COMPACTED TO PREVENT LATER SETTLEMENT.


WORKING YOUR WAY UP

⑭

PLACE THE REINFORCEMENT MATS FOR THE NEXT LIFT.

LOWER THE MATS CAREFULLY OVER THE PROJECTING HOOKS OF THE LIFT BELOW. DO NOT BEND THE HOOKS OUT OF LINE.

THE TOP OF THE VERTICAL WIRES OF THE BACKING MAT BELOW WILL PROJECT ABOUT 2 INCHES THRU THE BASE OF THIS LIFT. KEEP THEM BEHIND THE FIRST TRANSVERSE WIRE IN THE BASE OF THESE MATS.



LEAVE SOME CLEARANCE BETWEEN THE MAT FACE AND THE PROJECTING HOOK TO DROP THE FLAT BAR DOWN BETWEEN THEM. TAPPED HOLES IN THE BARS FACE THE FRONT OF THE WALL.


(FLAT BARS ARE PLACED FOR THE FULL LENGTH OF EVERY LIFT)


NOW SLIDE THE MATS BACK AGAINST THE BARS.

STAND THE BACKING MATS UP AGAINST THE PROJECTING HOOK WITH THE FOOT ON THE BACKING MAT TOWARD THE INSIDE OF THE WALL. BACKING MATS SPAN FROM CENTER TO CENTER OF REINFORCEMENT MATS AS SHOWN IN STEP ⑨.

CLIP IN PLACE WITH HOG RINGS.

UNROLL THE SCREEN AGAINST THE BACKING MATS AND CLIP IN PLACE.







HOLD A HAND LEVEL AGAINST THE BASE OF THE LIFT BELOW AND THE BASE OF THE ONE YOU'RE INSTALLING AND SHIFT THE MAT UNTIL THE LEVEL SHOWS VERTICAL.

STAKE THE MATS TO HOLD THEM IN PLACE.

BEGIN LOADING BACKFILL AS IN STEP 10

AS YOU NEAR THE FRONT OF THE WALL WITH THE BACKFILL, PUSH FILL INTO THE SPACE IN THE LIFT BELOW THAT IS JUST BEHIND THE BACKING MATS.





USE A HAND TAMPER TO COMPACT THE FILL BEHIND THE BACKING MAT IN THE LIFT BELOW.

KEEP A 2-INCH TO 3-INCH CUSHION OF FILL OVER THE BASE WIRES AS YOU WORK TO PREVENT DAMAGE TO THE WIRE.

COMPLETE THE BACKFILL AS SHOWN IN STEPS ⑪ THRU ⑬.

COMPACT CAREFULLY.

REPEAT STEPS ⑭ THRU ⑳ TO THE FINAL LIFT.





WHEN YOU HAVE PLACED THE FINAL (TOP) LIFT, YOU WILL FIND THAT THE WIRE PROJECTS ABOVE THE ELEVATION GIVEN IN YOUR PLANS FOR THE TOP OF THE CAST-IN-PLACE FACE.

CUT ALL WIRE OFF 3 INCHES LOWER THAN THIS FUTURE ELEVATION.

ATTACHING THE FORMS

THREAD THE SNAPTIES INTO THE THREADED HOLES IN THE FLAT BARS, SPACING THEM ACCORDING TO YOUR JOB PLANS.

LINE UP THE CONE PARTS ON THE SNAPTIES USING A STRINGLINE.



SLIDE THE FORMS ONTO THE SNAPTIES AND SECURE WITH METAL WEDGES.

CAST THE CONCRETE ACCORDING TO YOUR JOB SPECIFICATIONS.

APPENDIX D CONSTRUCTION PROJECT PLANS

**PHOENIX - CASA GRANDE HIGHWAY
16TH STREET TO 28TH STREET
MARICOPA COUNTY**

| PERMANENT RSE WALLS, QUANTITIES | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| WALL | FACE OF WALL, SQ. FT. | BACKFILL, CUBIC YDS. |
| J-17 | 26,572 | 17,262 |
| 24B | 5349 | 1981 |
| 24A | 22,138 | 15,273 |


DETAIL AND SECTION DESIGNATION

SECTION (LETTER) OR DETAIL (NUMERAL) DESIGNATION

ON DRAWING WHERE SECTION OR DETAIL IS TAKEN: SHEET NUMBER WHERE SHOWN

SHEET NUMBER IS REPLACED WITH A LINE IF TAKEN AND SHOWN ON SAME SHEET

ON DRAWING WHERE SECTION OR DETAIL IS SHOWN: SHEET NUMBER WHERE TAKEN




| F.H.W.A. REGION | STATE | PROJECT NO. | SHEET NO. | TOTAL SHEETS | AS BUILT |
|-----------------|-------|--------------|-----------|--------------|----------|
| 9 | ARIZ | 1-10-31(204) | 55 | 533 | |

DATE: 9/13/05
FOR THE CONSULTING ENGINEER: K. J. N.
DESIGN: K.J.N. DRAWN: P.P.S. CHECKED: K.J.N.

HILFIKER RETAINING WALLS
(707) 445-0261 • P.O. DRAWER 1 • EUREKA, CALIFORNIA 95501

SHN SELVAGE - HEBER - NELSON & ASSOCIATES
CONSULTING ENGINEERS (707) 445-0091
2380 MYRTLE AVE. EUREKA, CA. 95501




PHOENIX - CASA GRANDE HIGHWAY
16TH STREET TO 28TH STREET
MARICOPA COUNTY

| | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|-------------|-----------|--------------|----------|
| F.H.W.A. REGION | STATE | PROJECT NO. | SHEET NO. | TOTAL SHEETS | AS BUILT |
| 8 | ARIZ | F-10-3(204) | 56 | 533 | |


DATE: 0-13-85
DESIGN: K.J.N. DRAWN: D.P.S. CHECKED: K.J.N.

HILFIKER RETAINING WALLS
(707) 465-8901 • P.O. DRAWER 1 • EUREKA, CALIFORNIA 95021

SELVAJE - HEBER - NELSON & ASSOCIATES
CONSULTING ENGINEERS (707) 444-6991
2389 MYRTLE AVE. EUREKA, CA. 95501



D3



ELEVATION
SCALE: HORIZ. 1" = 20'
VERT. 1" = 10'

1-10 REINFORCED SOIL WALL
BUCKEYE ROAD TO 24TH ST.

REDUCED SIZE
DO NOT SCALE



HILFIKER RSE WALL
STA 234+01 TO 240+04.14
EB I-17

**PHOENIX - CASA GRANDE HIGHWAY
16TH STREET TO 28TH STREET
MARICOPA COUNTY**

| | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|
| F.N.W.A. REGION | STATE | PROJECT NO. | SHEET NO. | TOTAL SHEETS | AS BUILT |
| 6 | ARIZ | 1-10-31(204) | 57 | 533 | |
| DATE | | FOR THE CONSULTING ENGINEER | | | |
| DESIGN: K.J.M. | | DRAWN: D.P.S. | | CHECKED: K.J.M. | |

HILFIKER RETAINING WALLS
(707) 443-0081 • P.O. DRAWER L • EUREKA, CALIFORNIA 90001

SHN SELVAGE-HEBER-NELSON & ASSOCIATES
CONSULTING ENGINEERS (707) 444-0891
2300 MYRTLE AVE. EUREKA, CA. 95501




BASE WIDTH (B) SEE ELEVATIONS
SECTION A
14'-5" 2 OF 8

QUANTITY SUMMARY


| | |
|------------------|---------------|
| CAP | 775 FT. |
| BARRIER | 444 FT. |
| STRUCT. EX. | 2614 C.Y. |
| WALL BACKFILL | 1756 C.Y. |
| 50'-FT WALL FACE | 20,576 SQ.FT. |

ROADWAY
TOP MAT
UPPER PAY LIMIT
RUSTICATION FACE
EXST. GRID
STRUCT. EX.
BOTTOM MAT
LOWER PAY LIMIT
WALL BACKFILL STRUCT. EX.

PAY LIMIT DIAGRAM




DETAIL B
5 1/2" x 11'-0"




BASE WIDTH (B) SEE ELEVATIONS
SECTION B
14'-5" 1, 2 OF 8


NOTE: SURFACE & SUB-SURFACE DRAINAGE CONTROL TO PREVENT SATURATION OF THE BACKFILL MATL. & TO RELIEVE ALL HYDROSTATIC PRESSURES IS REQUIRED.




DETAIL C
1 1/2" x 1'-0"



SECTION D
3'-8" x 1'-0"



DETAIL 4
7'-10" 2 OF 8



DETAIL 5
3'-4" x 1'-0" 1, 2 OF 8

HILFIKER RSE WALL SECTIONS AND DETAILS

REDUCED SIZE DO NOT SCALE

PHOENIX - CASA GRANDE HIGHWAY
16TH STREET TO 28TH STREET
MARICOPA COUNTY


DETAIL AND SECTION DESIGNATION

SECTION (LETTER) OR DETAIL (NUMERAL) DESIGNATION
ON DRAWINGS WHERE SECTION OR DETAIL IS TAKEN: SHEET NUMBER (WHERE SHOWN)
ON DRAWINGS WHERE SECTION OR DETAIL IS SHOWN: SHEET NUMBER (WHERE TAKEN)
SHEET NUMBER IS REPLACED WITH A LINE IF TAKEN AND SHOWN ON SAME SHEET

| | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------------|-----------|--------------|----------|
| F.H.W.# | STATE | PROJECT NO. | SHEET NO. | TOTAL SHEETS | AS BUILT |
| 9 | ARIZ | 1-10-3(204) | 58 | 533 | |
| DATE FOR THE CONSULTING ENGINEER | | | | | |
| DESIGN K.J.N. DRAWN R.D.J. CHECKED K.J.N. | | | | | |

HILFIKER RETAINING WALLS
1071 445-0891 • P.O. DRAWER 1 • EUREKA, CALIFORNIA 95501



SHN SELVAGE, HEBER, NELSON & ASSOCIATES
CONSULTING ENGINEERS (707) 445-0891
2380 MYRTLE AVE. EUREKA, CA. 95501



PLAN
SCALE 1" = 20'

QUANTITY SUMMARY

| | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| BARRIER | 1,714 L.F. |
| * STRUCT. EXC. | 22,718 C.Y. |
| * WALL BACKFILL | 17,254 C.Y. |
| 50 FT WALL FACE | 27,487 [±] TOTAL |
| (R FOR INFORMATION ONLY) | |



ELEVATION
SCALE: HORIZ 1" = 20'
VERT. 1" = 10'

I-10 REINFORCED SOIL WALL
24TH STREET TO SALT RIVER BRIDGE

REDUCED SIZE
DO NOT SCALE

HILFIKER RSE WALL
STA 7893+26.71 TO STA. 7896+70.71
EB CST C
RAMP 24-B


D5

PHOENIX - CASA GRANDE HIGHWAY
16TH STREET TO 28TH STREET
MARICOPA COUNTY


| | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|------------------------------|-----------|--------------|----------|
| F.R.W.A. REGION | STATE | PROJECT NO. | SHEET NO. | TOTAL SHEETS | AS BUILT |
| | ARIZ | 1-10-3(204) | 59 | 533 | |
| DATE | | FOR THE CONSULTING ENGINEER | | | |
| DESIGN: K.J.N. | | DRAWN: RDN / CHECKED: K.J.N. | | | |

HILFIKER RETAINING WALLS
(707) 443-5061 • P.O. DRAWER 1 • LINDEN, CALIFORNIA 95501

SHN SELVAGE · HEBER · NELSON & ASSOCIATES
CONSULTING ENGINEERS (707) 445-0091
2359 MYRTLE AVE. EUREKA, CA. 95501



PLAN
SCALE: 1" = 20'



ELEVATION
SCALE: HORIZ. 1" = 20'
VERT. 1" = 10'

1-10 REINFORCED SOIL WALL
24TH STREET TO SALT RIVER BRIDGE

REDUCED SIZE
DO NOT SCALE

HILFIKER RSE WALL
STA. 7896+70.71 TO STA. 7900+22.44
EB CST. CL
RAMP 24-B
SHEET 5 OF 8

D6



PHOENIX - CASA GRANDE HIGHWAY
16TH STREET TO 28TH STREET
MARICOPA COUNTY

| | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|-------------|-----------|--------------|----------|
| F.H.W.A. REGION | STATE | PROJECT NO. | SHEET NO. | TOTAL SHEETS | AS BUILT |
| 9 | ARIZ | 1-10-3(204) | 60 | 533 | |

DATE FOR THE CONSULTING ENGINEER
DESIGN: K.J.N. DRAWN: RDW. CHECKED: K.J.N.

HILFIKER RETAINING WALLS
1707 445-9001 • P.O. DRAWER L • EUREKA, CALIFORNIA 95501

SELVADE - HEBER - NELSON & ASSOCIATES
CONSULTING ENGINEERS (707) 445-8891
2386 MYRTLE AVE. EUREKA, CA 95501



I-10 REINFORCED SOIL WALL
24TH STREET TO SALT RIVER BRIDGE

HILFIKER RSE WALL
STA. 0+69.58 TO STA. 5+89.58
RAMP 24-A CST. C



D7

PHOENIX - CASA GRANDE HIGHWAY
16TH STREET TO 28TH STREET
MARICOPA COUNTY

| | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|
| F.H.W.A. REGION | STATE | PROJECT NO. | SHEET NO. | TOTAL SHEETS | AS BUILT |
| 8 | ARIZ | | 61 | 533 | |
| DATE | | FOR THE CONSULTING ENGINEER | | | |
| DESIGN: K.J.N. | | DRAWN: R.D.W. | | CHECKED: K.J.N. | |

HILFIKER RETAINING WALLS
(707) 443-5001 • P.O. DRAWER L • EUREKA, CALIFORNIA 95501

SHN
SELVAGE - HEBER - NELSON & ASSOCIATES
CONSULTING ENGINEERS (707) 445-0091
2389 MYRTLE AVE. EUREKA, CA. 95501



D8

REDUCED SIZE
DO NOT SCALE

HILFIKER RSE WALL
STA. 5+89.58 TO STA. 10+93.34
RAMP 24-A CST. C

I-10 REINFORCED SOIL WALL
24TH STREET TO SALT RIVER BRIDGE

SHEET 7 OF 8

PHOENIX - CASA GRANDE HIGHWAY
16TH STREET TO 28TH STREET
MARICOPA COUNTY








| F.W.N.A. REGION | STATE | PROJECT NO. | SHEET NO. | TOTAL SHEETS | AS BUILT |
|------------------|-------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| 9 | ARIZ | 1-10-8(204) | 62 | 533 | |
| DATE | | K. J. N. / J. N. / K. J. N. | | FOR THE CONSULTING ENGINEER | |
| DESIGN: K. J. N. | | DRAWN: P. P. | CHECKED: K. J. N. | | |

HILFIKER RETAINING WALLS
(707) 448-0001 • P.O. DRAWER 1 • EUREKA, CALIFORNIA 95501

SHN BELVAE - NEBER - NELSON & ASSOCIATES
CONSULTING ENGINEERS (707) 448-0001
2380 MYRTLE AVE. EUREKA, CA. 95501

D9



REDUCED SIZE
DO NOT SCALE

1-10 REINFORCED SOIL WALL
24TH STREET TO SALT RIVER BRIDGE


HILFIKER RSE WALL
SECTIONS AND DETAILS

**PHOENIX - CASA GRANDE HIGHWAY
16 TH STREET TO 28 TH STREET
MARICOPA COUNTY**


| | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|-------------|-----------|--------------|----------|
| FEDERAL REGION | STATE | PROJECT NO. | SHEET NO. | TOTAL SHEETS | AS BUILT |
| 9 | ARIZ | I-10-3(204) | 103 | 533 | |

DATE: 2-22-85
 FOR THE CONSULTING ENGINEER: [Signature]
 DESIGN: [Signature] DRAWN: JPB CHECKED: [Signature]

STANLEY CONSULTANTS
 In Association With
HESS, FOGT, ROUNTREE, INC.
 Consulting Engineers & Land Surveyors



D10



**PAVING PLAN
SHEET 4 OF 6**

**REDUCED SIZE
DO NOT SCALE**

APPENDIX E ADOT SPECIFICATIONS FOR BACKFILL MATERIAL

As fill material is placed behind panels, the panels shall be maintained in a vertical position by means of temporary wooden wedges placed in the joints at the junction of the two adjacent panels on the external side of the walls. External bracing will be required subject to the approval of the Engineer. Vertical tolerances (plumbness) and horizontal alignment tolerance shall not exceed 3/4 of an inch when measured along a ten foot straight edge. The maximum allowable offset in any panel joint shall be 3/4 of an inch. The overall vertical tolerance of the wall (plumbness from the top to bottom) shall not exceed 1/2 of an inch per ten feet of wall height.

Backfill Placement:

Backfill placement shall closely follow the erection of panels. At each reinforcing mesh level, backfill shall be roughly leveled before placing and attaching the mesh. Reinforcing mesh shall be placed normal to the face of the wall except for any special conditions shown on the project plans. The maximum lift thickness shall not exceed ten inches before compaction. The contractor shall decrease the lift thickness, if necessary, to obtain the specified density. Backfill compaction shall be accomplished without disturbance or distortion of reinforcing mesh and panels. The entire volume, as shown in the project plans, shall be compacted to 95 percent maximum density as specified in the Specifications. Compaction of the volume shall not be accomplished by sheep foot, grid rollers, or any other type of equipment employing a foot, which in the opinion of the Engineer could penetrate the material and damage the reinforcing mesh. At the end of each day's operation, the contractor shall shape the last level of backfill so as to permit runoff of rainwater away from the wall face.

Surface Stain Coating:

The retaining wall shall be stained in accordance with the requirements specified herein under Section 601.

Hilfiker Reinforced Soil Embankment:

Materials:

Reinforcing Wire and Steel:

All wire and welded wire fabric shall conform to the requirements of ASTM A-82 and ASTM A-185 and shall be galvanized in accordance with the minimum requirements of ASTM A 123.

Reinforcing steel shall conform to the requirements of ASTM A-615, Grade 40 and shall conform to the requirements of Section 1003.

Flat bar shall conform to the requirements of ASTM A-36 and shall be galvanized in accordance with the minimum requirements of ASTM A 123.

Concrete:

Concrete shall be Class S (f'c = 4,000) conforming to the requirements of the Specifications for Section 1006.

Backfill Material:

All backfill material used in the structure volume shall be reasonably free from organic and otherwise deleterious materials and shall conform to the following gradation limits as determined by AASHTO T-27.

| Sieve Size | Percent Passing |
|------------|-----------------|
| 6 inch | 100 |
| 3 inch | 75-100 |
| No. 4 | 25 - 60 |
| No. 200 | 0- 15 |

Backfill material shall meet the following corrosion requirements:

| | |
|-------------|---------------------------------|
| Resistivity | 3,000 Ohm Centimeters (Minimum) |
| pH | 4.5 to 9.5 |
| Chlorides | 200 PPM (Maximum) |
| Sulfates | 1,000 PPM (Maximum) |

The resistivity and pH shall be tested in accordance with Arizona Test Method 236. Chlorides and sulfate content will be tested in accordance with California Department of Transportation Test Methods 422 and 417 respectively.

Materials not conforming to these specifications shall not be used without the written consent of the Engineer.

The contractor shall furnish the Engineer a Certificate of Compliance certifying the above materials comply with the applicable contract specifications. A copy of all test results performed by the contractor or his supplier necessary to assure contract compliance shall also be furnished the Engineer.

Acceptance will be based on the Certificate of Compliance, accompanying test reports, and visual inspection by the Engineer.

Construction Requirements:

Erection of the reinforced soil embankment (RSE) retaining wall shall be in accordance with the requirements of the Hilfiker Company.

Backfill material shall be compacted to 90 percent of maximum density in accordance with AASHTO T-180. Maximum water content of any backfill material shall be less than or equal to 100 percent of the optimum moisture content as determined for use in accordance with AASHTO T-180.

Prior to the addition of the cast-in-place concrete facing, the wall shall be constructed to the full heights shown on the project plans and time shall be allowed for any anticipated short-term consolidation of foundation soils or construction settlement to occur.

At the end of each day's operations, the contractor shall slope the last level of backfill as to permit runoff of rainwater away from the wall face and off reinforcing mats.

Vertical tolerances (plumbness) and horizontal alignment tolerance prior to constructing the cast-in-place concrete facing shall not exceed 1 1/2 inches when measured along a 10-foot straightedge.

The overall vertical tolerance of the wall (plumbness from top to bottom) after the construction of the cast-in-place facing shall not exceed 3/4 inch per 10 foot of wall height.

Cast-in-place concrete facing shall be colored and textured as specified herein under Section 601.

Basis of Payment:

Payment for this work will be made at the contract lump sum price for ITEM 9140118 and ITEM 9140119, which price shall be full compensation for the items complete, including excavating, concrete leveling pad, special backfill material, cast-in-place cap, rustication, staining, and all materials, as described and specified herein and on the project plans.

Should a change in area of wall constructed be ordered by the Engineer, an adjustment in the lump sum price will be predicated on a square foot cost established by dividing the lump sum price bid by the area shown on the project plans for the particular retaining wall system selected for construction.

Concrete barrier will be paid for under Item 9100006.

SECTION 303 - AGGREGATE SUBBASES AND AGGREGATE BASES:

303-1 Description:

The work under this section shall consist of furnishing, placing and compacting aggregate subbases and aggregate bases in accordance with the details shown on the project plans and the requirements of these specifications.

Aggregate subbases and aggregate bases are designated as Class 1 through Class 6. The class of aggregate subbase and aggregate base will be shown on the project plans or specified in the special provisions.

303-2 Materials:

Aggregate for the various classes of aggregate subbases and aggregate bases shall consist of stone, gravel or other approved inert material of similar characteristics, and shall be clean and free from vegetable matter and other deleterious substances.

Aggregate subbases and aggregate bases shall conform to the requirements shown in the following table for the class specified:

| TABLE 303-1 | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|--------|---|-------|--------|-----------|
| Percent Passing Sieve (Inch or No.) | | | | | | | | |
| CLASS OF AGGREGATE | 3 | 1½ | 1 | ¾ | ¼ | 8 | 200 | PI, I MAX |
| 1 | | | 100 | 90-100 | | 35-55 | 0- 8.0 | 3 |
| 2 | | 100 | 90-100 | | | 35-55 | 0- 8.0 | 3 |
| 3 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 100 | | | | | 35-70 | 0-10.0 | 5 |
| 5 | 100 | | | | | 30-75 | 0-10.0 | 5 |
| 6 | | | | | | | | |

Notes:

- (1) The percentage, by weight, passing each sieve will be determined in accordance with the requirements of Arizona Test Method 201.
- (2) The PI (Plasticity Index) will be determined in accordance with the requirements of AASHTO T 90.
- (3) Classes 1, 2 and 3 are bases; Classes 4, 5 and 6 are subbases.
- (4) The requirements for Class 3 and for Class 6 will be specified in the special provisions.
- (5) For Class 1 through Class 4 aggregate, at least 30 percent, by weight, of the aggregate material retained on the No. 8 sieve shall have at least one rough and angular surface which has been produced by crushing, when tested in accordance with the requirements of Arizona Test Method 212.

Payment for this work will be made at the contract price cubic yard for ITEM 2030201 - EXCAVATION (For Landfill Removal) which price shall be full compensation for this item complete, including disposal of excavated material and proof rolling, as described and specified herein and on the project plans.

Filter fabric will be paid for under Item 8050111.

Backfill material will be paid for under Items 2030301 or 2030901.

ITEM 2030501 - STRUCTURAL EXCAVATION:

ITEM 2030506 - STRUCTURE BACKFILL:

Additional to the requirements of Subsection 203-5:

The quantities shown in the proposal for these items are for structural excavation and structure backfill for underpass structures and retaining walls.

Where specified on the project plans, structure backfill shall consist of materials conforming to the requirements of Aggregate Base C1.2. Any overexcavation by the contractor shall be backfilled with the same material specified for structure backfill at that location, except as noted below.

The new pier for the structure supported by a spread footing shall be founded in the material known locally as S-G-C (Sand-Gravel-Cobbles). The S-G-C material is expected to be found at or above the elevation shown on the project plans. Upon excavation to that elevation, if undisturbed S-G-C is not found at or above the planned elevation, excavation shall be extended to undisturbed S-G-C material to the limits determined by the Engineer and unsuitable material removed. The resulting excavation between the elevation to which the excavation has been extended and the planned elevation of the bottom of the footing shall be filled with Class S, f'c = 3,000 concrete to the limit of the footing plan.

Structural excavation and structure backfill for the bridge structure will be paid for as herein specified under "CONSTRUCT STRUCTURES."

Overexcavation and concrete required to fill overexcavation back to planned elevation of bottom of footing for the structure will be paid for as specified under Section 104.03.

ITEM 2030851 - CONSTRUCT DITCH (Concrete Lined):

The work under this item consists of constructing ditches and lining these ditches with concrete at the location and in accordance with the details shown on the project plans. The work shall also include furnishing and installing the trash rack at station 10+55+ of the concrete lined ditch.

HNTB
9/12/85
Rev. 11/6/85
Rev. 11/27/85

Special Provisions
I-IR 10-3(204) & I-IR 10-3(221)
Page 42 of 319

(B) Backfill:

(1) Structure Backfill:

Structure backfill material shall be selected from excavation or from a source selected by the contractor. It shall not contain frozen lumps, stones larger than three inches in diameter, chunks of clay or other objectionable material. Backfill material to be used for metal piles or similar items of metal shall have a value of resistivity not less than 3000 ohm-cm or the value shown on the project plans, whichever is less. When resistivity is not shown on the plans, the backfill material shall have a value of resistivity not less than that of the existing in-place material or 3000 ohm-cm whichever is less. Backfill material shall have a pH value between 6.0 and 9.0, inclusive, for all installations. Tests for pH and resistivity shall be in Tests for pH and resistivity shall be in accordance with the requirements of Arizona Test Method 236.

Structure backfill material shall conform to the following gradation:

| Sieve Size | Percent Passing |
|------------|-----------------|
| 3 inch | 100 |
| 3/4 inch | 60-100 |
| No. 8 | 35- 80 |
| No. 200 | 0- 12 |

The plasticity index shall not exceed 12 when tested in accordance with the requirements of AASHTO T 90.

(2) Use of Slurry:

As an alternate to the material requirements of Structural Backfill, the Engineer may allow material conforming to the following requirements to be used in a slurry mixture in situations where the slurry will be confined by free-draining soils:

| Sieve Size | Percent Passing |
|------------|-----------------|
| 1 1/2 inch | 100 |
| 3/4 inch | 90-100 |
| No. 8 | 35- 80 |
| No. 200 | 0- 8 |

The plasticity index shall not exceed 8 when tested in accordance with the requirements of AASHTO T 90.

SECTION 205

If the cause of such condition is determined to have been unforeseeable and beyond the control of and without fault or negligence of the contractor, such further work shall be done as directed and will be paid for as extra work in accordance with the requirements of Subsection 109.04. Excess Moisture caused by irrigation water, storm drainage, weather, breakage of mains, or other similar cause will be considered as within the responsibility of the contractor.

205-3.02 Excavation:

Excavation shall conform with the requirements of Subsection 203-3.

205-3.03 Embankment:

The placement and compaction of embankment shall conform with the requirements of Subsection 203-10.

205-3.04 Compacting and Finishing:

The top six inches of the subgrade shall be compacted to a density not less than 95 percent of the maximum density as determined in accordance with the requirements of Arizona Test Methods 225, 226 and 227, except that when asphaltic concrete or portland cement concrete is to be placed directly on subgrade, the required density shall be 100 percent.

The surface of the subgrade shall be finished to a reasonably smooth and uniform surface and in reasonably close conformity to the lines, grades, dimensions and cross section shown on the project plans or established by the Engineer. The finished surface of the subgrade shall not vary by more than 0.04 of a foot above or below the grade established by the Engineer.

205-4 Method of Measurement:

Measurement of grading roadway for pavement will be made by the square yard of the area prepared and subsequently covered with a subbase, base, asphaltic concrete or portland cement concrete; however, when raised median islands are constructed, the area occupied by these islands will be included in the area measured for payment. Where the new pavement is not bounded by curb and gutter and additional shoulder work is necessary to construct the typical section shown in the project plans, such work shall be considered as incidental and the cost will be considered as included in the cost of the contract bid item Grading Roadway for Pavement.

205-5 Basis of Payment:

The accepted quantities of grading roadway for pavement, measured as provided above, will be paid for at the contract unit price per square yard for the work complete as specified herein and as shown on the project plans.

SECTION 206 - FURNISH WATER SUPPLY:

206-1 Description:

The work under this section shall consist of either developing or obtaining an adequate water supply and furnishing all water required for the work.

APPENDIX F TYPICAL RESULTS OF RESISTIVITY, pH, CHLORIDE, AND
SULFATE TESTS

APPENDIX G

HILFIREN
IFR 10-3 (204)

Handwritten notes on the left margin:
 Eye -
 Roadway
 Disturbance
 No. 2
 No. 2
 No. 2

| | | | |
|-----|----------|---|---|
| #19 | 10-1-80 | | TO DIST Resist & pH only |
| #20 | 10/1-80 | | TO DIST - Note from Potable Screen used in part BM - 4802 used on Hilfike Wall in plating. Resist to pH |
| #21 | 10-10-80 | RESISTIVITY 5469 pH 90 RAMP #4 2H | RESIST (2101) RAMP #4 pH 8.8 #4B 5' |
| #22 | " | RESISTIVITY 5469 pH 90 | RAMP NW - FEB - 121 |
| #23 | " | RESISTIVITY 6670 pH 91 | RAMP NW - FEB 30' |
| #24 | " | RESISTIVITY 6337 pH 90 | RAMP NW #10-31 |
| #25 | " | RESISTIVITY 6670 pH 91 | RAMP #4-1A |
| #26 | " | RESISTIVITY 8004 pH 8.8 | RAMP #4 2H |
| #27 | " | RESISTIVITY 10.339 pH 9.3 | RAMP #4 - 3A |
| #28 | 10-16-80 | | STEVE TANNER would like to use it RESISTIVITY - 2001 pH - 8.8 10-21-80 |

II R 10-3(204)
 ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 MATERIALS SERVICES
 MISCELLANEOUS DATA CARD

Sample
 9/18/80

Not From Samples

eleven

TANNER 30 Day Test ~~at~~ ~~different~~ ~~foot~~

#11 9-16-80 Hole #1 approx 6' depth Ramp (EM)

DIST-3655
 PH-8.1
 TANNER-3640
 ADDT #11-3183
 TANNER-3640

#12 9-16-80 Hole #3 x18' deep - (EM)

DIST 3350
 PH-8.5
 TANNER-3150
 ADDT #12-2801

#13
 DIST 10 650
 PH 8.5
 TANNER-9800
 ADDT #13-9338

#14
 DIST-4087
 PH 7.9
 TANNER-10,500
 ADDT #14-3502

#15
 DIST-5427
 PH 9.1
 TANNER 5390
 ADDT #15-4736

#16
 DIST-3953
 PH 8.8
 TANNER-3640
 ADDT #16-3168

#17
 DIST-6633
 PH 8.5
 TANNER-5740
 ADDT #17-

#18
 DIST 5561
 PH 8.9
 TANNER-5110
 ADDT #18

White *JWS*
Yellow
Blue

MATERIALS SERVICES

87

Received 9-18-86 Test Commenced 9-19-86 Material Soil/Aggregate
Identification 454-461 Sampled 9-12-86 Lab. No. 86-27921
Submitted by Schotka Sampled by Jenkins Project No. I-IR10-3(204)
Source of Sample project Quantity _____
Location of Supply Tanner
Project Name Phoenix - Casa Grande Hwy Contractor Tanner
Specifications Governing _____
Remarks _____

0269069 ORG 4841

I-10-3(204) PIN P

TS20 A.C. 96970 Fund Code 81693

9/19/86 Amt. 427.00

Test Results

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | <i>11</i> | <i>12</i> | <i>13</i> | <i>14</i> | <i>15</i> | <i>16</i> | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 454 | 455 | 456 | 457 | 458 | 459 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride: | none | none | none | none | none | none | none | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfate: | none | none | none | none | none | none | none | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | <i>17</i> | <i>18</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 460 | 461 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride: | none | none | none | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfate: | none | none | none | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Douglas A. Forstia

SEP 23 1986

MATERIALS TESTING ENGINEER

F4

LAB NUMBER: G 1 481
 PROJ CODE: 1167
 MATL: SB
 TYPE: 18
 PUR-POSE: A
 TESTING LAB: C
 SPEC #: 2
 SIZE: 21

TEST NO.: 32
 LOT OR SUFFIX: 27
 SAMPLED BY: JENKINS 35
 MO. DAY YEAR: 08 08 87
 TIME: 09:30

LIFT NO.: 47
 SAMPLED FROM: HALENER - STEPHEN BULL 63
 RDWY: 65
 STATION PLUS: 69 71

P = PIT
 E = EXC.
 RDWY: 74
 STATION OR PIT NO.: 78
 PROJECT NUMBER: ITRD-3749
 PROJECT ENGINEER: SCHOTKA

I = IN PLACE
 IF MILEPOST, INPUT DECIMAL IN COL. 78

REMARKS: USE CAPITAL LETTERS
 G 2 NEEDED CH + SOI (ASPH)
 Spec Prev 09 310
 CENTRAL 365

KEYPUNCH INSTRUCTIONS: Duplicate col. 3 thru 7 on all cards

% OVERSIZE ARIZ 201

| WEIGHTS RETAINED | % RET. | % PASS | SPECS. | CUMULATIVE % RET. FINENESS MODULUS |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------------------------|
| 3" <input type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| 2 1/2" 460 | | | | |
| 2" 700 | | | | |
| 1 1/2" 920 | | | | |
| 1" 500 | | | | |
| 3/4" 1000 | | | | |
| 1/2" 1110 | | | | |
| 3/8" 580 | | | | |
| 1/4" 660 | | | | |
| #4 380 | | | | |
| #20 9130 | | | | |
| Total 15440 | | | | |

WT PASS #4 SPLIT FINE SIEVE FACTOR

| WEIGHTS RETAINED | % RET. | % PASS | SPECS. |
|------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| #8 11 13 | | | |
| #10 16 | | | |
| #16 19 | | | |
| #30 22 | | | |
| #40 25 | | | |
| #50 28 | | | |
| #100 31 | | | |
| #200 34 | | | |
| #200 37 | | | |
| Total | | | |
| Elutriation | | | |

Dry Weight

| | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|----|--------------------|
| Liquid Limit, (LL) | T - 89 D - 423 | 41 | 43 | C & D = ASTM Tests |
| Plastic Limit (PL) | T - 90 D - 424 | | 45 | |
| Plasticity Index (PI) = LL - PL | T - 90 D - 424 | | 47 | SPECS 6 |
| Abrasion (A, B, C, D.) | T - 96 C - 131 | | 48 | |
| e 100 Revolutions | | | 50 | % |
| e 500 Revolutions | | | 52 | % |
| Absorption, H ₂ O | ARIZ 211 T 85 C 128 | | 56 | % |
| Specific Gravity, SSD | | | 60 | |
| Specific Gravity, OD | | | 64 | |
| Sand Equivalent | T - 176 D - 2419 | ARIZ 242 (MAFC) | 66 | |
| Fractured Faces Weight (W _f) | (np) | | | E |
| Total Sample Weight (W _a) | (np) | | | E |
| Fractured Faces FF = $\frac{W_f}{W_a} \times 100$ | ARIZ 212 | 67 | 69 | % |
| Wet Weight (W) | (np) | | | E |
| Dry Weight (D) | (np) | | | E |
| Moisture Content = $\frac{W-D}{D} \times 100$ | T - 255 C - 566 | 70 | 72 | % |
| FLAKINESS INDEX | ARIZ 233 | | 74 | % |
| pH | Ariz 236 or 237 | | 76 | |
| Resistivity (ohm-cm) Ariz 236 | | 5360 | 82 | |

FINENESS MODULUS = $\frac{\text{TOTAL CUMULATIVE \% RET.}}{100}$
 NECESSARY FOR ALL FA & CA
 chloride: 24 ppm
 sulfate: none

USE CAPITAL LETTERS

LAB NUMBER: G 1 3081

PRJ CODE: 1167

MATL: SB

TYPE: 15

MUN. TESTING LAB: A D 2

SIZE: 4 21

TEST NO.: 32

LOT OR SUFFIX: 27

SAMPLED BY: JENKINS

MO. DAY YEAR: 8 28 7

TIME: 19:30

LIFT NO.: 47

SAMPLED FROM: HILFELER - STAKE #63

RDWY: 65

STATION PLUS: 69 71

ORIGINAL SOURCE: ROADWAY

STATION OR PIT NO.: 74

PROJECT NUMBER: 78 80

PROJECT ENGINEER: SCOTKA

I = IN PLACE IF MILEPOST, INPUT DECIMAL IN COL. 78

REMARKS: USE CAPITAL LETTERS

G 2 NEED REVISION, PH, Cl_2 + 50% F.A.S.A.?

Spec from pg 310

Dist

KEYPUNCH INSTR thru 7 on all cards

KEYPUNCH INSTRUCTIONS: Duplicate col. 3 thru 7 on all cards

100%
% OVERSIZE ARIZ 201

| WEIGHTS RETAINED | % RET. | % PASS | SPECS. | CUMULATIVE % RET. FINENESS MODULUS |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------------------------|
| 3" <input type="checkbox"/> | 100 | 0 | 75-100 | |
| 2 1/2" <input type="checkbox"/> | 100 | 0 | | |
| 2" <input type="checkbox"/> | 85.4 | 6 | | |
| 1 1/2" <input type="checkbox"/> | 81.7 | 6 | | |
| 1" <input type="checkbox"/> | 74.9 | 5 | | |
| 3/4" <input type="checkbox"/> | 87.4 | 6 | | |
| 1/2" <input type="checkbox"/> | 99.9 | 7 | | |
| 3/8" <input type="checkbox"/> | 49.1 | 4 | | |
| 1/4" <input type="checkbox"/> | 63.6 | 5 | | |
| #4 <input type="checkbox"/> | 30.9 | 2 | | |
| #20 <input type="checkbox"/> | 82.4 | 59 | 25-60 | |
| Total | 1397.3 | | | |

T = AASHTO Tests
C & D = ASTM Tests

| | | | |
|--|--|------|----|
| Liquid Limit (LL) | T - 89 D - 423 | 41 | 43 |
| Plastic Limit (PL) | T - 90 D - 424 | | 45 |
| Plasticity Index (PI) = LL - PL | T - 90 D - 424 | | 47 |
| Abrasion (A, B, C, D.) | T - 96 D - 291 | | 48 |
| @ 100 Revolutions | | | 50 |
| @ 500 Revolutions | | | 52 |
| Absorption, H ₂ O | ARIZ 211 T 85 C 128 | | 56 |
| Specific Gravity, SSD | | | 60 |
| Specific Gravity, DD | | | 64 |
| Sand Equivalent | T - 176 D - 2419 ARIZ 242 (MAFC) | | 66 |
| Fractured Faces Weight (W _f) | (np) | | 68 |
| Total Sample Weight (W _a) | (np) | | 68 |
| Fractured Faces FF | $\frac{W_f}{W_a} \times 100$ ARIZ 212 | 67 | 69 |
| Wet Weight (W) | (np) | | 70 |
| Dry Weight (D) | (np) | | 70 |
| Moisture Content | $\frac{W-D}{D} \times 100$ T - 255 C - 566 | 70 | 72 |
| FLAKINESS INDEX | ARIZ 233 | | 74 |
| ph | Ariz 236 or 237 | 8.9 | 75 |
| Resistivity (ohm-cm) Ariz 236 | | 5360 | 76 |

WT PASS #4 SPEIT FINE SIEVE FACTOR

| WEIGHTS RETAINED | % RET. | % PASS | SPECS. |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| #8 <input type="checkbox"/> | 5 | 54 | |
| #10 <input type="checkbox"/> | 1 | 53 | |
| #16 <input type="checkbox"/> | 3 | 49 | |
| #30 <input type="checkbox"/> | 12 | 37 | |
| #40 <input type="checkbox"/> | 7 | 23 | |
| #50 <input type="checkbox"/> | 6 | 15 | |
| #100 <input type="checkbox"/> | 6 | 7 | |
| #200 <input type="checkbox"/> | 2 | | |
| #200 <input type="checkbox"/> | 1 | | |
| Total | 440 | 4.4 | 0-15 |
| Elutriation | 34 | | |

TEST OPERATOR: [Signature]

FINENESS MODULUS = TOTAL CUMULATIVE % RET. 100

NECESSARY FOR ALL FA & CA

LABMAN, PROJECT SUPERVISOR, OR RESIDENT ENGINEER: [Signature]

4.5-9.5 X 8.14
3000 X

APPENDIX G BACKFILL DENSITY TEST RESULTS

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DAILY COMPACTION LOG

JANUARY 10, 1987

PROJECT # = I-IR-10-3(204)

NAME = 16TH ST - 28TH ST

RE/SUPERVISOR = D. A. SCHOTKA

CONTRACTOR = TANNER

MATERIAL = BACKFILL 01

SPEC. = 50%

| TEST NO | DATE | TESTED BY | LOCATION OF TEST | SOURCE OF MATERIAL | P | M | ABS | SP. GR | DPT | H2O | PROCTOR | XRET | ADJ. PROC | FIELD MOISTURE | FIELD DENSITY | FIELD X COMP |
|---------|------|-----------|------------------|--------------------|---|---|-----|--------|-----|-----|---------|------|-----------|----------------|---------------|--------------|
|---------|------|-----------|------------------|--------------------|---|---|-----|--------|-----|-----|---------|------|-----------|----------------|---------------|--------------|

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|---------|--------------|--|---|---|------|------|-----|--|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-------|-------|
| 1 | 09/30 | NICHOLS | 24ST SW WALL | | 1 | L | 0.00 | 2.66 | 9.8 | | 123.8 | 48.2 | 136.2 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 141.0 | 103.5 |
|---|-------|---------|--------------|--|---|---|------|------|-----|--|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-------|-------|

Hilfiker Wall, material from Union Rock

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|---------|--------------|--|---|---|------|------|-----|--|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-------|------|
| 2 | 09/30 | NICHOLS | 24ST SW WALL | | 1 | L | 0.00 | 2.66 | 9.8 | | 123.8 | 47.7 | 136.1 | 3.9 | 5.6 | 131.8 | 95.8 |
|---|-------|---------|--------------|--|---|---|------|------|-----|--|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-------|------|

Hilfiker Wall, matl from Union Rock

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|------------|--|---|---|------|------|-----|--|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-------|------|
| 3 | 10/02 | CRAFT | 8' BK WALL | | 1 | L | 0.00 | 2.60 | 9.8 | | 123.8 | 33.8 | 131.3 | 4.4 | 6.8 | 126.1 | 96.0 |
|---|-------|-------|------------|--|---|---|------|------|-----|--|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-------|------|

Hilfiker Wall, Ramp 24 A

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|------|-------------|--|---|---|------|------|-----|--|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-------|-------|
| 4 | 11/03 | AUER | RT C/L I-10 | | 1 | L | 0.00 | 2.60 | 9.8 | | 123.8 | 45.9 | 134.0 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 134.8 | 100.6 |
|---|-------|------|-------------|--|---|---|------|------|-----|--|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-------|-------|

Hilfiker Wall, SW wall 24ST

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|----------------|--|---|---|------|------|-----|--|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-------|-------|
| 5 | 10/03 | CRAFT | 11' BK FC WALL | | 1 | L | 0.00 | 2.60 | 9.8 | | 123.8 | 24.3 | 129.2 | 5.2 | 7.7 | 130.9 | 101.3 |
|---|-------|-------|----------------|--|---|---|------|------|-----|--|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-------|-------|

Hilfiker Wall, Ramp 24 A

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|---------|--|---|---|------|------|-----|--|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-------|------|
| 6 | 10/03 | CRAFT | 9' BKFC | | 1 | L | 0.00 | 2.60 | 9.8 | | 123.8 | 36.2 | 131.9 | 4.1 | 6.6 | 130.6 | 99.0 |
|---|-------|-------|---------|--|---|---|------|------|-----|--|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-------|------|

Hilfiker Wall, Ramp 24 A

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|--------------|--|---|---|------|------|-----|--|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-------|------|
| 7 | 10/06 | CRAFT | 9' BKFC WALL | | 1 | L | 0.00 | 2.60 | 9.8 | | 123.8 | 52.4 | 135.5 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 130.5 | 96.3 |
|---|-------|-------|--------------|--|---|---|------|------|-----|--|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-------|------|

Hilfiker Wall, Ramp 24 A

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|----------|-----------------|--|---|---|------|------|-----|--|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-------|-------|
| 8 | 10/07 | MCCANDLE | SW WALL 24TH ST | | 1 | L | 0.00 | 2.60 | 9.8 | | 123.8 | 47.9 | 134.5 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 136.0 | 101.1 |
|---|-------|----------|-----------------|--|---|---|------|------|-----|--|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-------|-------|

Hilfiker Wall

PROJECT LABMAN



DATE 1-10-87

RE/SUPERVISOR



DATE 2-13-87

Handwritten note:
2/16/87

6

LAB NUMBER: G 1 3
 PROJ CODE: 1167
 MATL: BF
 TYPE: AB
 PUR-POSE: V
 LAB: P
 SPEC #: 16
 SUFF: 19
 20 21

TEST NO.: 22
 LOT OR SUFFIX: 26 27
 SAMPLED BY: Walker
 MO. DAY YEAR: 09 08 87
 TIME: 7:30

LIFT NO.: 46 47
 SAMPLED FROM: Hilliker Ramp 24-B
 RDWY: 64 65
 STATION PLUS: 789350

ORIGINAL SOURCE: Hilliker Ramp 24-B
 STATION OR PIT NO.: 75 76 77 78
 PROJECT NUMBER: IIR10-3-(204)
 IF MILEPOST, INPUT DECIMAL IN COL. 69

I = IN PLACE IF MILEPOST, INPUT DECIMAL IN COL. 78 REMARKS USE CAPITAL LETTERS!

| | | | | |
|----|---|---------------|----------------|----|
| G | 2 | permanet wall | Steps 1 left 2 | 33 |
| 34 | | | | 61 |
| 62 | | | | 80 |

KEYPUNCH INSTRUCTIONS: Duplicate col. 3 thru 7 on all cards

G 3 14.06-1.88 8:14-1.88

| | | | | |
|--|------|----|---|------|
| A. TOTAL WET WEIGHT OF MATERIAL FROM THE HOLE | 1218 | LB | a RETAINED ON #4 = $\frac{b}{A} \times 100$ | 48.6 |
| B. WET WEIGHT OF MATERIAL RETAINED ON #4 SIEVE | 592 | LB | IF RETAINED ON #4 IS MORE THAN 50% (60% IF AB), GO NO FURTHER | |
| C. WET WEIGHT OF MATERIAL PASSING THE #4 SIEVE = A - B | 626 | LB | | |
| D. MOISTURE OF THE MATERIAL PASSING THE #4 SIEVE | 5.8 | % | $E = \frac{D}{100} a - a$; if $M > 4.00$, $E = \frac{D}{100} (100 - a) + a M$ | |
| E. MOISTURE CORRECTED FOR MATERIAL RETAINED ON #4 | 3.5 | % | | G 4 |

| | | | |
|---|-----|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| VOLUMETER DENSITY | | ONE POINT PROCTOR (ARIZ 232) | |
| FINAL | 768 | CF | b WEIGHT OF MOLD & SOIL |
| G. BEGINNING | 072 | CF | c WEIGHT OF MOLD |
| H. VOL. OF HOLE = F - G | 096 | CF | d. WEIGHT OF COMPACTED SOIL = b - c |
| I. WET DENSITY = $\frac{F}{H}$ | 269 | PCF | e WET DENSITY = $d \times 30$ |
| J. DRY DENSITY = $\frac{I}{100 - E} \times 100$ | 226 | PCF | f. WEIGHT OF WET SOIL |
| | | | g WEIGHT OF DRY SOIL |

| | | | |
|--|-------|-----------------------------|--|
| PROCTOR DENSITY | | h WEIGHT OF WATER = $f - g$ | |
| PROCTOR NUMBER | 49 50 | | i WEIGHT OF WATER = $\frac{h}{f} \times 100$ |
| PROCTOR METHOD (A, C, D, or I) | | | j. MOISTURE CONTENT = $\frac{h}{f} \times 100$ |
| K. OPTIMUM MOISTURE | 72 52 | % | k. FAMILY OF CURVES NUMBER |
| L. MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY | 55 58 | PCF | k. OPTIMUM MOISTURE |
| ADJUSTMENT FOR RET #4 & COMPACTION CALCULATION | | L. MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY | |
| | | | 1230 |

| | | | | |
|---|-------|-----|---|--|
| M. ABSORPTION OF RET #4 (AASHTO T-85 & ASTM C-127) | 59 62 | % | FOR METHOD A OR ONE-POINT ONLY | |
| N. SPECIFIC GRAVITY OF RET #4 (OVEN DRY BASIS) | 2625 | | $O = \frac{K}{100} a - a$; if $M > 4.00$, $O = \frac{K}{100} (100 - a) - a M$ | |
| O. ADJUSTED OPTIMUM MOISTURE | 5.8 | % | $P = \frac{(100 - a) L - 56.3 a N}{100}$ | |
| P. ADJUSTED MAX DRY DENSITY | 1349 | PCF | | |
| COMPACTION = $\frac{J}{P} \times 100$ OR $\frac{I}{P} \times 100$ | 90.8 | % | | |
| COMPACTION SPECIFICATION | 90 | % | | |

MATERIALS SERVICES
 VOLUMETER DENSITY (ARIZ 231)

Don Walker
 DENSITY TEST OPERATOR

44-9348 R-1-81

APPENDIX H CONCRETE MIXES USED FOR PANELS



Review of Admixtures and Weights indicates this Design meets requirements. Approval of this Mix Design shall not release the Contractor of Full Responsibility for the Results.

PAC 10/16/86

The Tanner Companies, United Metro Materials Division, P.O. Box 20128, Phoenix, Arizona 85036. (602) 262-1211

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - MATERIALS SERVICES
CLASS S STRUCTURAL CONCRETE MIX DESIGN

PROJECT NO: I-IR-10-3(204) & PROJECT NAME: I-10 BETWEEN 16TH ST.
(221) TO 28TH ST.
CONTRACTOR: TANNER CONCRETE SUPPLIER: UNITED METRO #1
CONTRACTING
MIX DESIGN DATE: 10/09/86 MIX DESIGN BY: H. WRIGHT
DESIGN STRENGTH (F'c) 4000 PSI AT 28 DAYS
CEMENT TYPE: II LOW ALKALI SOURCE: SUNBELT TORREON
POZZOLAN TYPE: "F" SOURCE: PAGE
FINE AGG FINENESS MODULUS: 2.75 SOURCE: SALT RIVER
COARSE AGGREGATE AASHTO SIZE DESIGNATION #7
COARSE AGG #1 57% 1/2" INCH MAX SIZE SOURCE: SALT RIVER
COARSE AGG #2 % INCH MAX SIZE SOURCE:
AIR ENTRAINING AGENT TYPE: SOURCE: RATE-OZ/CY:
WATER REDUCING AGENT TYPE: SOURCE: W. R. RATE-OZ/CWT: 3.00-5.00
HYCOL OR DARATARD-17 GRACE
OTHER ADMIXTURE TYPE: DARACEM-100 SOURCE: W. R. RATE-OZ/CWT: 6.00-8.00

| MATERIALS | WEIGHT/CU. YD. | SPECIFIC GRAVITY | VOLUME CU. FT./CU. YD. |
|---------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| CEMENT | 559 LB. | 3.15 | 2.84 |
| POZZOLAN | 119 LB. | 2.30 | 0.83 |
| WATER | 300 LB. | 1.00 | 4.81 |
| FINE AGGREGATE | 1317 LB. (SSD) | 2.65 | 7.96 |
| COARSE AGGREGATE #1 | 1746 LB. (SSD) | 2.65 | 10.56 |
| COARSE AGGREGATE #2 | LB. (SSD) | | |
| AIR CONTENT | % | | |
| OTHER ADMIXTURE | LB. | | |
| TOTAL | 4041 LB. | | CU. FT. = 27.00 |

SLUMP 6 +/- 1 INCHES

CODE NUMBER: *ALIFIKEN* 24052-01
Building tomorrow today.

FLOWABLE

ADDRESS BY.

H2



The Tanner Companies, United Metro Materials Division, P.O. Box 20128, Phoenix, Arizona 85036, (602) 262-1211

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - MATERIALS SERVICES
CLASS S STRUCTURAL CONCRETE MIX DESIGN

PROJECT NO: I-IR-10-3(204) PROJECT NAME: I-10 BETWEEN 16TH ST. &
&(221) 28TH ST.
CONTRACTOR: CONCRETE SUPPLIER: UNITED METRO PLANT 1
MIX DESIGN DATE: 03/07/87 MIX DESIGN BY: H. WRIGHT

DESIGN STRENGTH (F'c) 4500 PSI AT 28 DAYS

CEMENT TYPE: II LOW ALKALI SOURCE: SUNBELT TORREON

POZZOLAN TYPE: "F" SOURCE: PAGE

FINE AGG FINENESS MODULUS: 2.75 SOURCE: SALT RIVER

COARSE AGGREGATE AASHTO SIZE DESIGNATION #57

COARSE AGG #1 70 % 1 INCH MAX SIZE SOURCE: SALT RIVER

COARSE AGG #2 30 % 1/2 INCH MAX SIZE SOURCE: SALT RIVER

AIR ENTRAINING AGENT TYPE: SOURCE: RATE-OZ/CY:

WATER REDUCING AGENT TYPE: SOURCE: W. R. RATE-OZ/CWT: 3.0-5.0
HYCOL OR DARATARD-17 GRACE

OTHER ADMIXTURE TYPE: SOURCE: W. R. RATE-OZ/CWT: 6.0-12.0
DARACEM-100 GRACE

MATERIALS WEIGHT/CU. YD. SPECIFIC GRAVITY VOLUME CU. FT./CU. YD.

CEMENT 499 LB. 3.15 2.54

POZZOLAN 106 LB. 2.30 0.74

WATER 267 LB. 1.00 4.28

FINE AGGREGATE 1382 LB. (SSD) 2.65 8.36

COARSE AGGREGATE #1 1283 LB. (SSD) 2.65 7.76

COARSE AGGREGATE #2 549 LB. (SSD) 2.65 3.32

AIR CONTENT %

OTHER ADMIXTURE LB.

TOTAL 4087 LB. CU. FT. = 27.00

SLUMP 6 +/- 1 INCHES CODE NUMBER: 14522

APPROVED BY: _____ DATE: _____
Building tomorrow today. H3

Handwritten signature and date: 3-30-87

**APPENDIX I RECORD OF MORELAND ST. DROP STRUCTURE WALL
FEATURES**

Hilfiker Retaining System at the Moreland St. Drop Structure

CC - Crack in only the Concrete Cap.

WC - Crack in the Wall only.

EJ - Expansion Joint in the Wall and Concrete Cap.

EJ-W - Expansion Joint in the Wall only.

H - Weep Hole.

P - Paint Missing on the top of the wall.

| Station | Wall Height | Feature | Width of Vertical Crack (or EJ) (inches) |
|---------|-------------|----------------------|---|
| 1+00 | | Begin SE End of Wall | |
| 1+07 | | CC | |
| 1+13 | | CC | |
| 1+14 | | WC & CC | |
| 1+16 | | CC | |
| 1+20 | | CC | |
| 1+24 | | CC | |
| 1+29 | | CC | |
| 1+33 | | CC | |
| 1+35 | | H | |
| 1+38 | 3' 9" | EJ | |
| 1+43 | | CC | |
| 1+45 | | H | |
| 1+47 | | CC | |
| 1+51 | | WC & CC | 0.02 |
| 1+52 | | CC | |
| 1+55 | | WC, CC, & H | 0.025 |
| 1+58 | | CC | |
| 1+62 | | CC & EJ-W | |
| 1+66 | | H | |
| 1+68 | | CC | |
| 1+70 | | WC & CC | 0.01 |
| 1+74 | | CC | |
| 1+76 | | H | |
| 1+77 | | WC & CC | 0.025 |
| 1+80 | | CC | |
| 1+85 | | WC & CC | 0.045 |
| 1+86 | | H | |
| 1+88 | | CC | |
| 1+93 | | WC & CC | 0.016 |
| 1+96 | | H | |
| 2+00 | | CC | |
| 2+04 | | CC | |
| 2+10 | 6' 9.5" | EJ | |
| 2+12 | | CJ | |
| 2+17 | | CC & H | |

| | | | |
|------|----------|-------------------|-------|
| 2+18 | | H | |
| 2+19 | | CJ & CC | |
| 2+22 | | CC | |
| 2+25 | | CC | |
| 2+28 | | H | |
| 2+30 | | CC | |
| 2+34 | | CC & EJ-W | |
| 2+36 | | CC | |
| 2+38 | | CC & H | |
| 2+41 | | WC & CC | 0.025 |
| 2+45 | | CC | |
| 2+47 | | CC | |
| 2+48 | | H | |
| 2+50 | | WC & CC | 0.05 |
| 2+51 | | CC | |
| 2+54 | | CC | |
| 2+55 | | CC | |
| 2+57 | | H, CC(x2), & EJ-W | 0.2 |
| 2+61 | | CC | |
| 2+63 | | CC | |
| 2+66 | | CC & EJ-W | 0.05 |
| 2+69 | | CC & H | |
| 2+71 | | CC | |
| 2+74 | | CC & EJ-W | 0.05 |
| 2+78 | | CC | |
| 2+79 | | H | |
| 2+82 | 7' 10.5" | EJ | |
| 2+85 | | CC | |
| 2+88 | | CC | |
| 2+90 | | H, CC, & EJ-W | 0.02 |
| 2+93 | | CC | |
| 2+95 | | CC | |
| 2+98 | | CC & EJ-W | |
| 2+99 | | H | |
| 3+00 | | CC | |
| 3+02 | | CC | |
| 3+03 | | CC | |
| 3+04 | | CC | |
| 3+06 | | CC & EJ-W | 0.025 |
| 3+08 | | CC | |
| 3+11 | | CC | |
| 3+15 | 6' 0" | EJ | |
| 3+24 | | WC & CC | 0.01 |
| 3+27 | | H | |
| 3+30 | | WC & CC | 0.009 |
| 3+31 | | H | |
| 3+33 | | WC & CC | 0.007 |
| 3+37 | | CC & H | |
| 3+40 | | CC | |

| | | | |
|------|--------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| 3+41 | | WC, CC, & H | 0.05 |
| 3+50 | | WC & CC | 0.03 |
| 3+57 | 12' 3" | EJ & H | Begin Horiz. Cracking; 2' 2" up. |
| 3+61 | | CC & H | |
| 3+63 | | CC | |
| 3+66 | | WC & CC | 0.009 |
| 3+68 | | H | |
| 3+69 | | WC | 0.01 |
| 3+70 | | CC | |
| 3+72 | | H & CC | |
| 3+74 | | WC & CC | 0.016 |
| 3+76 | | CC | |
| 3+77 | | WC, CC, & H | 0.013 |
| 3+79 | | CC | |
| 3+81 | | WC & CC | 0.013 |
| 3+83 | | H | |
| 3+85 | | WC & CC | 0.02 |
| 3+87 | | H | |
| 3+88 | | WC & CC | 0.002 |
| 3+90 | | WC & CC | 0.03 |
| 3+92 | P | CC & H | |
| 3+94 | P | WC & CC | 0.016 |
| 3+96 | P | CC | |
| 3+97 | P | H | |
| 3+98 | P | CC & EJ-W | 0.013 |
| 4+02 | P | H | |
| 4+07 | | WC | 0.02 |
| 4+08 | | CC & H | |
| 4+12 | | H | |
| 4+13 | 13' 4" | EJ | |
| 4+17 | | H | |
| 4+21 | | WC & CC | 0.016 |
| 4+22 | | H | |
| 4+24 | | CC | |
| 4+26 | | WC | 0.013 |
| 4+27 | | CC & H | |
| 4+29 | | CC | |
| 4+32 | | CC | |
| 4+33 | | H | |
| 4+34 | | WC | 0.007 |
| 4+37 | | WC, CC, & H | 0.016 |
| 4+40 | | CC | |
| 4+42 | | H & EJ-W | 0.013 |
| 4+45 | | WC & CC | 0.01 |
| 4+48 | | CC & H | |
| 4+49 | | WC | 0.016 |
| 4+51 | | WC & CC | 0.002 |
| 4+52 | | H | |
| 4+53 | | WC & CC | 0.02 |

| | | | |
|------|--------|---------------|-------|
| 4+55 | | CC | |
| 4+58 | | WC, CC, & H | 0.016 |
| 4+61 | | CC | |
| 4+63 | | WC & H | 0.02 |
| 4+65 | | WC & CC | 0.01 |
| 4+68 | | H | |
| 4+70 | 13' 0" | EJ | |
| 4+73 | | CC & H | |
| 4+75 | | CC | |
| 4+77 | | H | |
| 4+78 | | WC & CC | 0.02 |
| 4+81 | | CC | |
| 4+82 | | WC, CC & H | 0.007 |
| 4+86 | | WC & CC | 0.2 |
| 4+88 | | H | |
| 4+90 | | WC & CC | 0.009 |
| 4+93 | | CC & H | |
| 4+94 | | WC | 0.01 |
| 4+98 | | CC, EJ-W, & H | 0.009 |
| 5+01 | | CC | |
| 5+02 | | WC | 0.016 |
| 5+03 | | H | |
| 5+04 | | CC | |
| 5+06 | | WC & CC | 0.013 |
| 5+08 | | H | |
| 5+09 | | CC | |
| 5+10 | | WC & CC | 0.04 |
| 5+12 | | CC | |
| 5+13 | | CC & H | |
| 5+15 | | CC | |
| 5+16 | | CC | |
| 5+17 | | WC | 0.005 |
| 5+18 | | H | |
| 5+20 | | CC | |
| 5+22 | | WC | 0.005 |
| 5+23 | | CC & H | |
| 5+26 | 13' 1" | EJ | |
| 5+28 | | H | |
| 5+32 | | CC & H | |
| 5+34 | | WC & CC | 0.1 |
| 5+36 | | CC | |
| 5+38 | | H | |
| 5+43 | | CC & EJ-W | 0.025 |
| 5+44 | | H | |
| 5+47 | | WC & CC | 0.01 |
| 5+49 | | H | |
| 5+51 | | CC | |
| 5+52 | | WC | 0.013 |
| 5+54 | | CC | |

| | | | |
|------|----------|----------------|-------|
| 5+55 | | H | |
| 5+56 | | WC | 0.01 |
| 5+57 | | CC | |
| 5+60 | | H | |
| 5+61 | | WC | 0.009 |
| 5+62 | | CC | |
| 5+64 | | H | |
| 5+67 | | WC & PC(x2) | 0.05 |
| 5+70 | | H | |
| 5+72 | | WC | 0.02 |
| 5+75 | | CC & H | |
| 5+76 | | WC | 0.04 |
| 5+81 | | H | |
| 5+84 | | WC & CC | 0.01 |
| 5+85 | 13' 1.5" | WC, CC, & H | 0.5 |
| 5+92 | | H | |
| 5+93 | | CC | |
| 5+94 | | WC & CC | 0.02 |
| 5+97 | | WC, CC, & H | 0.007 |
| 6+03 | 13' 1" | EJ | |
| 6+06 | | H | |
| 6+09 | | CC | |
| 6+11 | | WC & CC | 0.002 |
| 6+12 | | CC & H | |
| 6+14 | | WC & CC | 0.013 |
| 6+16 | | CC & H | |
| 6+18 | | WC | 0.009 |
| 6+21 | | CC & H | |
| 6+27 | | WC & CC | 0.1 |
| 6+30 | | CC | |
| 6+32 | | CC | |
| 6+35 | | WC & CC | 0.01 |
| 6+39 | | WC & CC | 0.02 |
| 6+44 | | CC | |
| 6+50 | | NE End of Wall | |

APPENDIX J RECORD FO WARNER RD. I.C. WALL FEATURES

Retaining System at the Warner Road I.C.

VWC - Vertical Wall Crack.

EJ - Expansion Joint in the Wall.

Moist - Significant Moisture Visible at Top of the Wall

| Station | Wall Height | Distance From Top To Horiz. Crack | Feature |
|---------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1+00 | | | Begin Accessible Wall |
| 1+05 | 55" | 45" | EJ |
| 1+10 | | | VWC |
| 1+14 | | | VWC |
| 1+17 | | | VWC |
| 1+22 | | | VWC |
| 1+23 | | | VWC |
| 1+29 | 65" | 46" | EJ |
| 1+35 | | | VWC |
| 1+37 | | | VWC |
| 1+41 | | | VWC |
| 1+44 | | | VWC |
| 1+48 | | | VWC |
| 1+54 | | | EJ |
| 1+60 | | | VWC |
| 1+64 | | | VWC |
| 1+69 | | | VWC |
| 1+73 | | | VWC |
| 1+78 | | | EJ |
| 1+83 | | | VWC |
| 1+88 | | | VWC |
| 1+92 | 105" | 77" | VWC |
| 1+94 | | | VWC |
| 1+98 | | | VWC |
| 2+03 | 111" | 66", 89" | EJ |
| 2+05 | | | VWC |
| 2+15 | | | VWC |
| 2+20 | | | VWC, Moist |
| 2+26 | | | EJ |
| 2+32 | | | VWC |
| 2+39 | | | VWC |
| 2+45 | | | VWC |
| 2+52 | 139" | 103" | EJ |
| 2+58 | | | VWC |
| 2+60 | | | VWC |
| 2+70 | | | End North-South Wall |

No Visible Features on East-West Wall