

DOT HS 807 589 Supplement to Final Report February 1990

Exploration of Impact Measures of Safety Belt Use Laws Volume II: Literature Reviewed, Expert Team Comments on Indicators, and Indicator Catalog

This document is available to the public from the National Technical Information Service, Springheid, Virginia 22(6)

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufactures. Trade or manufacturer's names appear only because they are considered essential to the object of this report.

ŀ

:

2

F

É.

• :

Tachaical Passes Basymontation B.

1. Report No. 2. Government Acces	sion No. 3. Recipiont's Catalog No.
DOT HS 807 589	
Title and Subtitle	5. Report Data
EXPLORATION OF IMPACT MEASURES OF SAFETY	BELT February 1990
USE LAWS. VOLUME II: LITERATURE REVIEWED TEAM COMMENTS ON INDICATORS, AND INDICATO	, EXPERT 6. Performing Organization Code R CATALOG
	8. Performing Organization Report No.
errence A. Miller, Kathryn E. H. Race, Geor	Kevin T. Fearn, ge S. Benjamin
Performing Organization Name and Address	10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)
National Safety Council	
444 North Michigan Avenue	11. Contract or Grant No. DTNU22-09.7 07201
Unicago, Illinois 60611	
2. Sponsoring Agoncy Name and Address	"
U. S. Department of Transportation	Supplement to
National Highway Traffic Safety Administra	ation '
Office of Driver and Pedestrian Research Washington, DC 20590	(NRD-41) 14. Sponsoring Agency Code
5. Supplementery Notes	l
tions. Volume II contains the detailed in based.	formation on which these findings were
tions. Volume II contains the detailed in based. 6 Abstract This project identified, evaluated, and law (SBUL) impact (other than fatality red institutional sources that collect them. T review, an expert team, and a National Safet Four indicators out of 52 candidates we assessing SBUL impacts: (1) the "KABC" inj (2) the Abbreviated Injury Scale used on Severity Score, (3) occupant ejections fro including cranium, brain, and concussive in Two data sources out of 160 surveyed sat The Major Trauma Outcome Study appears usefu of SBUL impact. The National Electronic I to gather national data to monitor future programmatic measures. The most promising involves modifications of several data sys reports with injury data in hospital medic Valid and reliable SBUL impact indica	formation on which these findings were recommended indicators of safety belt use buction and observed belt usage) as well as the project involved an extensive literature ty Council sponsored survey of data sources. The judged to have the highest potential for ury scale used on police accident reports, medical records, and its derivative Injury m vehicles, and (4) head and face injuries hjuries but excluding ear and eye injuries. tisfied most of the 13 evaluation criteria. al for a multi-state, before-and-after study njury Surveillance System could be adapted impacts of belt law revisions and other long-term, state-level evaluation approach tems to link crash data in police accident al records and trauma registries.
tions. Volume II contains the detailed in based. 6 Abstract This project identified, evaluated, and law (SBUL) impact (other than fatality red institutional sources that collect them. T review, an expert team, and a National Safer Four indicators out of 52 candidates we assessing SBUL impacts: (1) the "KABC" inj (2) the Abbreviated Injury Scale used on a Severity Score, (3) occupant ejections from including cranium, brain, and concussive in Two data sources out of 160 surveyed safer The Major Trauma Outcome Study appears usefue of SBUL impact. The National Electronic I to gather national data to monitor future programmatic measures. The most promising involves modifications of several data syss reports with injury data in hospital medic Valid and reliable SBUL impact indication many existing sources and it is unlikely to recommended that coordination and integration level should be given first priority. This volume of the first priority.	formation on which these findings were recommended indicators of safety belt use buction and observed belt usage) as well as the project involved an extensive literature ty Council sponsored survey of data sources. re judged to have the highest potential for ury scale used on police accident reports, medical records, and its derivative Injury m vehicles, and (4) head and face injuries njuries but excluding ear and eye injuries. tisfied most of the 13 evaluation criteria. al for a multi-state, before-and-after study njury Surveillance System could be adapted e impacts of belt law revisions and other long-term, state-level evaluation approach tems to link crash data in police accident al records and trauma registries. ators are not immediately available from that they can be generated quickly. It is on of data-gathering efforts at the national
tions. Volume II contains the detailed in based. Abstract This project identified, evaluated, and law (SBUL) impact (other than fatality red institutional sources that collect them. T review, an expert team, and a National Safe Four indicators out of 52 candidates we assessing SBUL impacts: (1) the "KABC" inj (2) the Abbreviated Injury Scale used on Severity Score, (3) occupant ejections fro including cranium, brain, and concussive in Two data sources out of 160 surveyed sat The Major Trauma Outcome Study appears usefu of SBUL impact. The National Electronic I to gather national data to monitor future programmatic measures. The most promising involves modifications of several data sys reports with injury data in hospital medic Valid and reliable SBUL impact indica many existing sources and it is unlikely to recommended that coordination and integratic level should be given first priority. This volume of the final report conta literature reviewed for the project, (2) indicators, and (3) a catalog of profiles	formation on which these findings were recommended indicators of safety belt use buction and observed belt usage) as well as the project involved an extensive literature ty Council sponsored survey of data sources. re judged to have the highest potential for ury scale used on police accident reports, medical records, and its derivative Injury m vehicles, and (4) head and face injuries. tisfied most of the 13 evaluation criteria. al for a multi-state, before-and-after study njury Surveillance System could be adapted e impacts of belt law revisions and other long-term, state-level evaluation approach tems to link crash data in police accident al records and trauma registries. ators are not immediately available from that they can be generated quickly. It is on of data-gathering efforts at the national ins (1) an annotated bibliography of the expert team comments on the potential of all potential indicators considered.
tions. Volume II contains the detailed in based. Abstract This project identified, evaluated, and law (SBUL) impact (other than fatality red institutional sources that collect them. T review, an expert team, and a National Safet Four indicators out of 52 candidates wer assessing SBUL impacts: (1) the "KABC" inj (2) the Abbreviated Injury Scale used on a Severity Score, (3) occupant ejections fro including cranium, brain, and concussive in Two data sources out of 160 surveyed sat The Major Trauma Outcome Study appears usefu of SBUL impact. The National Electronic I to gather national data to monitor future programmatic measures. The most promising involves modifications of several data sys reports with injury data in hospital medic Valid and reliable SBUL impact indica many existing sources and it is unlikely to recommended that coordination and integratic level should be given first priority. This volume of the final report conta literature reviewed for the project, (2) indicators, and (3) a catalog of profiles of Key Werd. AIS	formation on which these findings were recommended indicators of safety belt use buction and observed belt usage) as well as the project involved an extensive literature ty Council sponsored survey of data sources. re judged to have the highest potential for ury scale used on police accident reports, medical records, and its derivative Injury m vehicles, and (4) head and face injuries njuries but excluding ear and eye injuries. tisfied most of the 13 evaluation criteria. al for a multi-state, before-and-after study njury Surveillance System could be adapted e impacts of belt law revisions and other long-term, state-level evaluation approach tems to link crash data in police accident al records and trauma registries. ators are not immediately available from that they can be generated quickly. It is on of data-gathering efforts at the national ins (1) an annotated bibliography of the expert team comments on the potential of all potential indicators considered. 18. Distribution Statement
tions. Volume II contains the detailed in based. A Abstroct This project identified, evaluated, and law (SBUL) impact (other than fatality red institutional sources that collect them. The review, an expert team, and a National Safet Four indicators out of 52 candidates were assessing SBUL impacts: (1) the "KABC" inj (2) the Abbreviated Injury Scale used on the Severity Score, (3) occupant ejections from including cranium, brain, and concussive into Two data sources out of 160 surveyed sats The Major Trauma Outcome Study appears usefue of SBUL impact. The National Electronic I to gather national data to monitor futures programmatic measures. The most promising involves modifications of several data sysses reports with injury data in hospital medic Valid and reliable SBUL impact indicats many existing sources and it is unlikely to recommended that coordination and integration level should be given first priority. This volume of the final report contant literature reviewed for the project, (2) indicators, and (3) a catalog of profiles . Key Words . Key Words . Key Words . Key Belts . KABC	formation on which these findings were I recommended indicators of safety belt use fuction and observed belt usage) as well as the project involved an extensive literature ty Council sponsored survey of data sources. re judged to have the highest potential for ury scale used on police accident reports, medical records, and its derivative Injury m vehicles, and (4) head and face injuries njuries but excluding ear and eye injuries. tisfied most of the 13 evaluation criteria. al for a multi-state, before-and-after study njury Surveillance System could be adapted e impacts of belt law revisions and other long-term, state-level evaluation approach tems to link crash data in police accident al records and trauma registries. ators are not immediately available from that they can be generated quickly. It is on of data-gathering efforts at the national ins (1) an annotated bibliography of the e expert team comments on the potential of all potential indicators considered. 18. Distribution Statement Document is available to the U S
tions. Volume II contains the detailed in based. A Abirect This project identified, evaluated, and law (SBUL) impact (other than fatality red institutional sources that collect them. The review, an expert team, and a National Safet Four indicators out of 52 candidates were assessing SBUL impacts: (1) the "KABC" inj (2) the Abbreviated Injury Scale used on the Severity Score, (3) occupant ejections from including cranium, brain, and concussive into Two data sources out of 160 surveyed safet Two data sources out of 160 surveyed safet Two data sources out of 160 surveyed safet Two data sources out of 160 surveyed safet The Major Trauma Outcome Study appears usefue of SBUL impact. The National Electronic I to gather national data to monitor future programmatic measures. The most promising involves modifications of several data systeports with injury data in hospital medic Valid and reliable SBUL impact indication many existing sources and it is unlikely to recommended that coordination and integration level should be given first priority. This volume of the final report contant literature reviewed for the project, (2) indicators, and (3) a catalog of profiles Kap Words Kap Words Kap Use laws head injuries	formation on which these findings were recommended indicators of safety belt use buction and observed belt usage) as well as he project involved an extensive literature ty Council sponsored survey of data sources. re judged to have the highest potential for ury scale used on police accident reports, medical records, and its derivative Injury m vehicles, and (4) head and face injuries. tisfied most of the 13 evaluation criteria. al for a multi-state, before-and-after study njury Surveillance System could be adapted to impacts of belt law revisions and other long-term, state-level evaluation approach tems to link crash data in police accident al records and trauma registries. ators are not immediately available from that they can be generated quickly. It is on of data-gathering efforts at the national ins (1) an annotated bibliography of the expert team comments on the potential of all potential indicators considered. B. Durification Statement Document is available to the U. S. public through the sponsoring agency

3

Ð

impact assessment (19. Security Clessif, (of this report) trauma 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Peges 22. Price Unclassified Unclassified Ferm DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)

Division.

,

trauma registries

Reproduction of form and completed page is authorized

Preface

This volume of "Exploration of Impact Measures of Safety Belt Use Laws" consists of supplemental material that was too bulky to include with the final project report in Volume I.

Part A is an annotated bibliography of all the literature reviewed. It is listed in alphabetical order by the authors' last names.

Part B is a summary of all of the comments made by the expert team on a list of candidate indicators sent to them early in the project. A summary of the expert team ratings of each indicator may be found in Volume I, Appendix D, and descriptions of the indicators may be found in Part C of this volume.

Part C is a catalog of candidate indicators considered for this project. Each candidate was rated by the project team on the profile features defined in Volume I, Appendix C. A ranked listing and summary of ratings by both the project team and the expert team may be found in Volume I, Appendix E.

ĩ

Table of Contents

ŵ

ĩ

ž.

.

Part		Page
A	Literature Reviewed	A-1
в	Expert Team Comments on Indicators Index of Indicators	B-1 B-71
с	Indicator Catalog Index of Indicators	C-1 C-53

List of Abbreviations

AIS	Abbreviated Injury Scale
EMS	emergency medical services
ER	emergency room
E-code	external cause of injury (part of the ICD)
ICD	International Classification of Diseases, a manual used
	to classify diseases, injuries and causes of death.
ISS	Injury Severity Score
KABC	an injury code used for police accident reports: K- killed, A-incapacitating injury, B-nonincapacitating evident injury, C-possible injury
MAIS	maximum AIS severity score
MV	motor vehicle
MVA	motor-vehicle accident
NHTSA	National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

PART A

ŝ,

ŕ

ŝ

LITERATURE REVIEWED

[Documents are in alphabetical order by authors' names.]

document number reviewer	°95 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	s-87-0523 no
author	Agran, P.F. Dunkle, D.E. Winn, D.G.	year volume number pages month or issue	1987 27 1 58-64
title	Injuries to a sample of seatbelted children evaluated and emergency room	d treated in a hospit	tal
source	Journal of Trauma	·	
publication place			
indicator desc.	AIS, body part distribution Head, spine, extremity, chest/abdomen	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	children		
	9-hospital system		
what was counted	persons injured, injuries		
how it was reported	% distribution, number		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	hospital records, ER records		
body part(s) studied	head, spine, thoax, abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities,	/pelvis	
severity coding	AIS, MAIS		
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, belt use, vehicle parts, seating po	sition, age	
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	tabulations of severity and body part for selected seat group	belt users, subdivid	ed by age
	10-14 similar to adults	·	
	seat belt use self-reported		

ĩ

1

-

Ĩ

ž

. i

source institution 9 hospital E.R.s and coroner's office in a California County

document number	99	accession numb	er DIALOG
reviewer	Benjamin	abstract onl	y? yes
author	Allen, M.J.	ye	ar 1985
	Barnes, M.K. Bodiwala, G.G.	volu numb	me 16 er 7
		pag month or iss	ues 471-476 ue July
title	The effect of seat belt legislation o	n injuries sustained by car occupant	\$
source	Injury		
publication place			
indicator desc.	injury patterns, 402 cases reviewed		
• •	patterns of driver and front seat pas pre- and post- measure of severity an by belted and nonbelted drivers and p	sengers d site and type distributions of inj assengers	uries sustained
what was counted	iniuries		
how it was			
reported		: 3 1	
how it was used	SBUL evaluation		
source records			
body part(s)			
studied			
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	belt use, seating position		
sbul link?	VPS		
results desr.	comparison of two arguns 4 months hef	ore and after nacesane of law	
	compartsont of the groups 4 months bet		
		- -	

£

Ĩ

¥

locument number reviewer	83 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	s-79-0520 no
uthor	Andreasson, R. Roos, K.	year volume number pages month or issue	1977
itle	Effects of Sweden's belt use law		
ource ublication place	Proceedings of the 6th International Conference of th Accidents and Traffic Medicine	ne International Associa	tion of
ndicator desc.	body part: skull (-), face (-), chest (+), spine (+) percent of total injury ascribed to body part	·.	
hat was counted	ER visits		
bw it was eported	% distribution		
ow it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.	•	
ource records	EMS records		
ody part(s) tudied	head, cranium, face, neck/throat, throat, spine, tho extremities/pelvis, upper extremities, lower extremit	rax, abdomen/pelvic, ties	
everity coding			
iscellaneous elated variables	belt use		
iscellaneous elated variables bul link?	belt use yes		

source institution 16 collaborating hospitals in Sweden (listed)

₹

5

.

Î

1

	P .	8 	
document number reviewer	54 Landes	accession number abstract only?	S-88-1091 no
author	Arajarui, E.	year volume number pages month or issue	1988 20 4 251-259 August
title	A retrospective analysis of chest injurie	s in 280 seat belt wearers	
Bource	Accident Analysis and Prevention		
publication place	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
indicator desc.	examined chest injury fatalities of seat	belt wearers in Finland between 1972	and 1985.
	ribs/sternum/pelvis chest wall	т	
		i	
what was counted	Injuries, accidents		
now it was reported	% distribution		
now it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	accident records		
body part(s) studied	thorax		
severity coding	AIS		
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, vehicle parts, belt-	induced injury	
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	first listed chest injury patterns for fa Then looked at other related factors.	stally and severly injured seat belt	wearers.
	chest injury mortality (-) seat belts less effective in lateral coll	isions	

Ê

Ş

.

•

.

Ĵ

2

source institution Boards of Traffic Accident Investigation of the Insurance Companies (Finland)

document number reviewer	59 Fearn	accession number abstract only?	S-87-0682 no
author	Arajarvî, E. Santavirta, S. Tolonen, J.	year volume number pages month or issue	1987 27 4 393-397 April
title	Abdominal injuries sustained in severe traffic accide	ents by seatbelt wearers	
source	Journal of Trauma		
publication place			
indicator desc.	injury caused by belts in various crash scenarios		
	abdomen/pelvic contents		
what was counted	accidents, injuries		
how it was reported	% distribution, number		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	accident records, hospital records		
body part(s) studied	head, brain, spine, cervical, thoracic, lumbar, thora abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/pelvis, upper ex	ax, heart/lung, chest wa ktremities, lower extremi	ll, ribs, ities
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, seating position, belt-induced i accident type, belt use	injury, vehicle parts, ir	njury type,
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	analysis of severe traffic accidents over an 11-year role of seatbelt wearing on the injury profile	period in Finland to as	sess the
	decrease in fatalities and severe injuries		
			,

source institution University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

٩.

ζ,

ŝ

2

•

.

,

document number reviewer	82′ Benjamin	accession number \$-86- abstract only? no	0184
author	Backaitis, S.H. Dalmotas, D.	year 1985 volume number pages month or issue	
itle	Injury patterns and injury sources of unre occupants in injury producing frontal coll	strained an three point belt restrained c isions	:ar
publication place	29th Annual Proceedings of the American As Washington, DC	sociation for Automotive Medicine, Oct. 1	985,
ndicator desc.	AIS > or = 2, body segment injuries head (-), face (-), chest(+), abdomen (+)		
hat was counted	injuries		
ow it was eported	% distribution, rates		•
ow it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
ource records	accident records		
xody part(s) itudied	head, face, neck/throat, neck, thorax, ch extremities/pelvis, upper extremities, lo	est wall, abdomen/pelvic contents, wer extremities, pelvis	
severity coding	AIS	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	
niscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, seating position, vel	nicle parts	
bul link?	no		
esults desc.	injury index ratio of injuries to given p	art to all injuries at same severity leve	l
		o	
source institution	Canadian Restrained Occupant Injury File		

τ

3

•

<u>.</u>

.

~-

document number reviewer	102 Race	accession number abstract only?	\$-77-0426 no
author	Baird, J. Sublett, F. Hughes, R. Didion, J.	year volume number pages	1977
•	Stoddard, J. Wheatley. D. et. al.	month or issue	
title	Restraint systems evaluation program		
source	National Highway Traffic Safety Administration		
publication place		·	
indicator desc.	injury patterns		
	police, hospital, AIS data		
what was counted	persons injured	·	
how it was reported			
how it was used	other evaluation, air beg		
source records	special accident investigations		
body part(s) studied	head, face, neck/throat, neck, spine, thoracic, lumbe abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/pelvis, upper ex pelvis	er, thorax, chest wall, stremities, lower extrem	ilties,
severity coding	AIS, OIC		
miscellaneous related variables	type of vehicle, ejection, belt use, crash configurat alcohol	tion, seating position,	age, sex,
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	to evaluate accident data		
	may be outdated given age of study		

source institution Institute of Safety and System Management University of Southern California

3

Ĵ

ç,

reviewer	Landes		abstract only? no
author	Beier, G. Schuller, E. Spann, W.		year 1981 volume number pages month or issue
title	Risk and effectiveness of seat b	lts in Munich area	automobile accidents
source	Proceedings of the 25th STAPP Car	Crash Conference,	Sept. 1981, San Francisco, Society
publication place	OT AUCOMOLIVE ENGINEERS		
indicator desc.	belt effectiveness measured as the severity of actua without belts	ully sustained injur	ries compored to expected injuries
what was counted	injuries	and a second of the second	
how it was reported	% distribution, number		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	accident records	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
body part(s) studied			
	1		
severity coding	AIS		
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, belt use	e no - se de	
sbul link?	no	•	
results desc.	Using the effectiveness measure,	different direction	ns of impact were examined
	seat belts reduced number of non- also reduced.	minor, non-fatal i	njured occupants. Injury severity
		1	

l

τ

- 3

٢

2

source institution

document number reviewer	113 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	?-79-2632 no
author	Bohlin, N.	year volume number pages month or issue	1977
title	Fifteen years with 3 point safety belts		
source publication place	Proceedings of the 6th International Conference o Accident and Traffic Medicine	of the International Associa	tion of
indicator desc.	AIS 3-6 (p.147)		
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	number		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	corporate records		
body part(s) studied			
severity coding	A15		
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, belt use		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	tabulated frequency		

source institution Volvo Company, Sweden

.

ŝ.

5

٢,

			eustrect only/ no	_
uthor	Bohlin, N.I.		year 1979 volume number pages month or issue	
itle	Twenty years of safety Sweden	belt experience and the	effect of safety belt legislation in	
ource	•1979 International Sym	posium on Seat Belts in T	okyo	
ublication place		2		
ndicator desc.				
		* * *	· ·	
		£ -		
hat was counted	injuries	3 2 1 4		
ow it was eported	% distribution			
ow it was used	safety belt effectiven	ess eval., SBUL evaluatio	n	
ource records	corporate records, acc	ident records		
oody part(s)	thorax, head			
studied				
		ł		
severity coding	AIS			
niscellaneous	halt usa			
related variables				
soul link?	yes		497 /A10 7.41	
COULTS DESC.	mjury reducing effect	dverage 24 A (Aið 1*2)	, wh (113-3-0)	
		į		

÷

,a

ê,

(i)

-

source institution .

· .

document number reviewer	33 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	C-77-1947 no
author	Briner, A.M.	year volume number pages month or issue	1976 1 912-914 June
title	Penetrating eye injuries associated with motor vehi	icle accidents	
source	Medical Journal of Australia		۰,
publication place			
indicator desc.	penetrating eye injuries full thickness global laceration		
	eye injuries (incidence/nature) (-) partial or permanent loss of visual acuity (-)		
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	% distribution, number		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	hospital records, ER records, inpatient records		
body part(s) studied	face, eye		
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	belt use		
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	Tabulates each case as to use of restraint. Only incomplete. Annual decline in penetrating eye injuregistrations.	3 out of 24 used restraint uries despite increase in	s and 2 driver
	24 cases in 2.5 year period. 17 cases/year before seat belt legislation 9.6 cases/year after		

÷

source institution Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, Australia

2

. .

.

÷

Ψ,

document number reviewer	58 Benjamin		accession abstract	only?	DIALOG yes	
author	Budd, J.S.		month or	year volume number pages issue	1985 291 6498 785 Sept.	21
title	Effect of seat belt legislation on the inc accident department	idence of sterna	l fractures	seen in	the	
source	British Medical Journal					
publication place						
indicator desc.	citation only, need original					
	ribs/sternum/pelvis bruised/fractured sternum	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		26				
		1				
what was counted	ER visits					
		9 30 1				
now it was reported		2 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 -				
now it was used	SBUL evaluation	1 2				
source records						
pody part(s)	stërnum	1				
studied		n - 1, 100				
		4 				
coverity coding	•					
severity county						
miscellaneous related variables						
		•				
sbul link?	yes					
results desc.		•				
		2 2 2		•		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····			

ŧ

ą

2

5

document number reviewer	88 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	s-79-0529 no
author	Cameron, M.H. Nelson, P.G.	year volume number pages month or issue	1977
title	Injury patterns with and without seat belt	\$	
source publication place	Proceedings of the 6th International Confe Accidents and Traffic Medicine	rence of the International Associat	ion of
indicator desc.	AIS, injury to body parts types of injury in fatalities: fracture of types of injuries in survivors: concussion transient Cx cord damage (+), kidney (-)	vault of skull, brain damage, aort (-), pneumothorax (-), whiplash (4	a damage. >),
what was counted	persons injured, injuries		
how it was reported	% distribution		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	hospital records, coroner's records		
body part(s) studied	head, spine, thorax, abdomen/pelvic conten	nts, extremities/pelvis	
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, ejection, belt use, s	eating position	
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	distribution of injury types as percentage seat belt vs. nonseat belt. Further break	e of total cown by type of belt, location	
	6,526 cases, very comprehensive article. and age.	Some indicators dependent on type (of impact
	more fatality indicators, Table III, p.452	2	
·			

.

2

source institution Royal Autralasian College of Surgeons Pattern of Injury Survey

.

R.

.

÷.,

Victorian road casualties taken from all hospitals in Victoria, post mortem reports, and ambulance reports

document number reviewer	126 Miller	accession number ILL abstract only? no
author	Campbell, B.J.	year 1979 volume number pages month or issue
tițle	Seat belt effectiveness	
source	1979 International Symposium on Seat Be	elts in Tokyo
publication place	·	
indicator desc.		
		••
what was counted	injuries	·
•	•	
reported	number	
now it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.	
source records	accident records	
body part(s)		
studied		
		Non - Inve
severity coding		
miscellaneous	halt use	
related variables		4
results desc.	65 % reduction in serious and fatal in	iuries for belt wearers compared to expected based
	on experience of nonbelt wearers	
source institution		
		•

* 4 - 1

ġ,

document number reviewer	18 Niller	accession number abstract only?	Gurin no
author	Campbell, B.J. Campbell, F.A.	year volume number pages month or issue	
title	Casualty reduction and belt use associated with occup	ant restraint laws	
source	University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research	Center	
publication place	Chapel Hill, North Carolina		
indicator desc.	number of injuries in certain states before and after N.C. injuries broken down by severity (some other sta	law tes also).	
	number of injuries provided from state records		
	effects of SBULs in Illinois, Michigan, N.Carolina, T New York	exas,	
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	number		
how it was used	SBUL evaluation		
source records	accident records		
body part(s) studied			
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	seating position, belt use		
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	time series used. positive effect., in at least one s	state	
	Tex., N.Y., N.C., Mich., Ill.: number of injuries/mod	ierate, serious or all ((-)
	estimated 10 % improvement overall in injury due to S	BULS	

ł

÷

· · · ·

source institution traffic data sources in Illinois, Michigan, N. Carolina, Texas, and New York

document number reviewer	77 Fearn			accession number abstract only?	C-87-1743 no
author	Campbell, B.J. Campbell, F.A.			year volume number pages month or issue	1986
title	Seat belt laws in four fore	ign countries (compared to the	e United States	
source	AAA Foundation for Public S	afety	e		
publication place	Falls Church, Virginia		- -		
indicator desc.	expected vs. actual number	of moderate to	fatal injurie	s in North Carolina	
	effectiveness evaluation			·.	
					· .
			÷		
what was counted	persons involved, injuries		* 		
how it was reported	number		1		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness e	val., SBUL eva	luation		
source records	accident records				
			1		
studied			т. Х		
severity coding			- - -		
miscellaneous related variables					
			ļ		
sbul link?	yes		• *		
results desc.	to assess the effectiveness	s of the North	Carolina belt	law	
	decrease in observed post b	ælt law injuri	es and fatalit	ies compared to pred	icted
			-		

3

*

ŗ.

.

ļ

source institution University of North Carolina

document number reviewer	110 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	s-87-0401 no
author	Campbell, B.J. Campbell, F.A.	year volume number pages month or issue	1986
title	Early results of seat belt legislation in the United Sta	tes of America	
	Presentings of the IPCORI Conference, 1986		
mulication place	Proceedings of the freedy contracting, free		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
indicator desc.	injury severity, no injury, minor, moderate, serious, fatal police officer rating, guidelines not defined		
what was counted	persons involved, accidents		
how it was reported	% distribution, number		
how it was used	SBUL evaluation		
source records	accident records		
body part(s) studied			
severity coding	KABC		
miscellaneous related variables	type of vehicle		
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	tabulates moderate and severe injuries before and after 12-15 % drop	law .	
•			

o

۰. ۱

source institution State of North Carolina Police Crash Reports Highway Safety Research Center University of North Carolina

÷

.

•

÷.

2

document number reviewer	74 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	C-84-2825 no
author	Carlsson, G.	year volume number pages month or issue	1983 4 2 122-125
title	Ejectiona hazard in traffic accidents	2 2 2 2	
source	International Journal of Vehicle Design		
publication place			
indicator desc.	removed from interior of car, may be partially through open door	С -	
·	ENS reports ejections		
what was counted	injury episodes		
iow it was reported	% distribution		
ow it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	corporate records	- - - - - -	
oody part(s) studied			
severity coding	•		
niscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, seating position, ejec	tion, belt use	
bul link?	no	• 5 3	
results desc.	tabulation by type of impact. Only 2 be	t users in 90 cases	
		· ·	
		• • •	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· • •	

document number reviewer	44 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	DIALOG yes
author	Carter, R.E.	year volume number pages month or issue	1977 70 6 709-710 June
title	Traumatic spinal cord injuries due to automobile accidents		
source	Southern Medical Journal		
publication place	·		
indicator desc.	spinal cord injuries lead to rehabilitation spine injuries rehabilitation		
what was counted	persons injured		
how it was reported	% distribution, number		
how it was used	case reports		
source records	hospital records, inpatient records		
body part(s) studied	spine		
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, belt use, seating position		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	389 cases from motor vehicle accidents. 90 % had no seat belt don't know use in general population		

.

source institution Texas Institute for Rehabilitation and Research

•

ž

-

author	Champion, H.R. Copes, W.S. Craig, M. Morelli, S. Keast, S. Paia	year 1986 volume number pages month or issue
title	Preliminary study of head and neck trauma of	f automobile crashes and their consequences
source	National Highway Traffic Safety Administrati	on
publication place		
indicator desc.	carefully matched meeical and biomechanical	data
	health care	
what was counted	injuries	
how it was reported	:	
how it was used	epidemiological studies	
source records	hospital records, accident records, special	crash investigations
body part(s) studied	head, brain, face, neck/throat,	
severity coding	AIS	
miscellaneous related variables		
shul link?		4
results desc.	101 injury cases. Outcome norms: determine than or about the same as expected.	whether number of survivors is greater, less
		· · · · ·
		,
source institution	Research Foundation of the Washington Healt Washington Hospital Center Corporation 110 Irving Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20010	h Care Corp.
	Note: American College of Surgeons Committe	ee on Traima

¢

۶

,

٠

.

. (

document number reviewer	53 Fearn	accession number abstract only?	s-88-1376 no
author	Chetcuti, P. Levene, M.I.	year volume number pages month or issue	1987 15 207-209
title	Seat belts: a potential hazard to the fetus		
source	Journal of Perinatal Medicine		
publication place			
indicator desc.	separation of the placenta from the uterine wall due to abdominal injuries) impact with lap belt	
what was counted			
how it was reported			
how it was used	case reports		
source records	hospital records, ER records		
body part(s) studied	abdomen/pelvic contents		
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	belt-induced injury, seating position, belt use		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	seat belt use, even in low speed collisions, warrants a pregnant occupant to allow for possible resuscitation o	rapid assess in the ca of the fetus	se of the
	increase in injuries to the abdomen/pelvic contents of	pregnant occupants	

source institution Neonatal Unit, Department of Child Health, Leicester University School of Medicine, Leicester, United Kingdom

		l l				
document number reviewer	80 Benjamin		accession number abstract only	er NSC /? no		
author	Chorba, T.L. Reinfurt, D. Hulka, B.		yei volu numb peg monthorise	ar 1988 ne 260 er 24 es 3593-3597 m Dec 23/30		
titlé	Efficacy of mandatory seat-b 1983 through 1987	elt use legislation	the North Carolina expe	rience from		
source	Journal of the American Medi	cal Association				
publication place		,				
indicator desc.	uses police report to classi	fy none, mild, mode	erate, severe	<u> </u>		
		4				
			·			
		5 5 4				
what was counted	persons injured	-				
how it was reported	% distribution	-				
how it was used	SBUL evaluation	(1)				
source records	accident records					
body part(s)						
studied						
		2 8 7				
severity coding						
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, seating	position, belt us	e			
sbul link?	yes					
results desc.	tabulates rate of injury class on percentage of total accidents					
	significant reductions in severe and fatal injuries among front-seat car occupants.					
	HSRC data	1				
		۰. ۳ ۹				
source institution	School of Public Health University of North Carolina	3				
		4 4				

A-22

3

document number reviewer	119 Miller	accession number abstract only?	ILL no
author	Christian, M.S.	year volume number pages month or issue	1984 289 1525-1526 Dec. 1
title	Morbidity and mortality of car occupants: comparative sur	vey over 24 months	
source	British Medical Journal		
publication place			
indicator desc.			
what was counted	hospital admissions, hospital stays, injuries		
how it was reported	scores		
how it was used	SBUL evaluation		
source records	hospital records		
body part(s) studied			
severity coding	1 S S		
miscellaneous related variables	seating position, ejection, alcohol		
sbul link?	yes	· ·	
results desc.	mean injury severity score reduced from 4.104 for 12 mon 12 months after	ths before UK SBUL t	co 2.17 for

source institution

ē

2

document number reviewer	34 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	DIALOG yes
author	Cole, M.D. Clearkin, L. Dabbs, T. Smerdon, D.	year volume number pages month or issue	1987 71 6 436-440 June
title	The seat belt law and after		
source	British Journal of Ophthalmology		
publication place			
indicator desc.	perforating eye injuries (incidence/nature)) (-)	
		•. •.	
			×
		-	
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	number		
now it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.	2 1 2	
source records	hospital records, ER records, inpatient re	cords	
body part(s) Studied	face, eye	1 2 2 1 2 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	belt use	· · · · · · · ·	
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	378 serious eye injuries. Asserted that so injuries	eat belt legislation reduces serio	ous eye
		4 3 4	
		•	

÷,

Ź

3

document number reviewer	45 Race	accession number Gurin abstract only? no
author	Colorado Department of Health	year 1987 volume number pages month or issue
title	Annual report of the spinal cord injury Early Notif	ication System (ENS)
source	Colorado Department of Health	
publication place	Denver, Colorado	
indicator desc.	hospitalization cost, causes/levels spine injuries	
what was counted	persons injured, injuries, costs	
how it was reported		
how it was used	epidemiological studies, demographics	
source records	hospital records	
body part(s) studied	spine	
severity coding	ICD, (9CM), E-codes	
miscellaneous related variables		
sbul link?	no	
results desc.	76 mv cases. Hospitalized over 6500 days. \$6.5 mill spinal cord injury survivors. ages 16-45, comprehensive surveillance program	ion spent for 1987 Colorado spinal
	funded by a grant from National Institute of Disab (reported as collecting data)	lity and Rehabilitation Research
source institution	Spinal Cord Injury Early Notification System (ENS) Division of Prevention Programs, Colorado Departmer 4210 East 11th Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80220 (30 collaboration of Colorado Department of Health, Wyr Colo./Wyo. hospitals	nt of Health 33) 331-8344 xming Hospital Association, 42

.

•

٠

5

.

•

document number reviewer	14 Miller		accession number abstract only?	s-75-1037 no
author	Commonwealth Bureau of Censu and Statistics, Victoria Office	IS	year volume number pages month or issue	1973
title	Report on a statistical inve motor-vehicle accidents	estigation into the	effectiveness of seatbelts in	
source	Commonwealth Bureau of Censu	us and Statistics,	Victoria Office	
publication place		1	``	
indicator desc.	ratio of injured to noninju of injuries before and after	ed drivers for sea the law in Austra	at belts vs. nonseat belt weare alia	rs; number
	ï	÷		
		2		
		- - 		
what was counted	injuries			
how it was reported	number	: 3 ;		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness ev	val., SBUL evaluat	ion	
source records	accident records			
		8		
body part(s)				
studied				
severity coding		2 3 1		
miscellaneous related variables				
sbul link?	yes			
results desc.	number of injuries was comp Australian state of Victoria	ard to expected va	lues using time series; data 1	rom
	serious injuryies (-), numb	er of injuries (-)		
		× -		

ł

source institution Commonwealth Bureau of Census and Statistics

۷

document number reviewer	61 Landes	accession number abstract only?	B-81-0025 no
author	Dagnone, L.E. Siu, T.O.	year volume number pages noonth or issue	1981
title	The effect of seat belt use on the demand for medical	services	
source publication place	Proceedings of the International Symposium on Occupan Canada, June, 1981, A.A.A.N. Norton Grove, Illinois	t Restraints, Toronto,	Ontario,
indicator desc.	includes counts of initial ambulance runs, physician and neurosurgical consultations	assessment, required ER	services
	trauma/ER		
what was counted	ambulance runs, ER visits, hospital stays, hospital a doctor visits, clinic visits, disability days	dmissions, medical prod	edures,
how it was reported	number, rates		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	hospital records, EMS records		
body part(s) studied			
severity coding	disability days		
miscellaneous related variables	disability days		
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	The demand for medical services was measured before a (1975/1976)	and after belt use laws	in Ontario
	ambulance runs (-), physician assessment (-), ambula admissions(-), inpatient medical services (-), neuro operations (-), outpatient services (-), lost time o	nce transfers(-), hospi surgical consultations r disability time (+/-)	tal and surgical

.

source institution

document number reviewer	106 Landes	accession number S-80-0840 abstract only? no
euthor	Dalmotas, D.J.	year 1980 volume number pages month or issue
title	Mechanisms of injury to vehicle occupants a	estrained by three-point seat belts
source publication place	Proceedings of the 24th STAPP Car Crash Cor Automotive Engineers	nference, Oct. 1980, Troy, Nich., Society of
indicator desc.	general injuries, injuries of all body regions to front seat	beited occupants (all collision types)
what was counted	accidents, injuries	: 3 3 4 7 4
how it was reported	% distribution, number	
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.	
source records	accident records, hospital records	
body part(s) studied	head, neck, face, spine, thorax, chest wal extremities, lower extremities, pelvis	l, abdomen, extremities/pelvis, upper
severity coding	AIS	
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, vehicle parts, seatin	g position, type of vehicle
sbul link?	no	
results desc.	a large number of variables were examined severity, type of injury	including nature of impact, body region, AIS
	3-point belts afford front seat occupants threatening injuries	excellent protection against fatal or life
source institution	Dainius J. Dalmotas Vehicle Systems Division Road and Motor Vehicle Traffic Safety Bran Transport Canada	

4

document number reviewer	115 Fearn	accession number abstract only?	s-87-0770 no
s uthor	Deans, G.T. Magalliard, J.N. Kerr, M. Rutherford, W.H.	year volume number pages	1987 18 1 10-12
title	Neck sprainsa major cause of disability following car	month or issue accidents	January
source	Injury		
publication place			
indicator desc.	neck sprains, pain in the neck area following a motor vehicle acciden noncontact soft tissue neck injuries neck injuries (incidence/nature)	t	
what was counted	injuries		
now it was reported	% distribution, number		
ow it was used	other evaluation		
ource records	hospital records		
oody part(s) studied	neck/throat, neck		
severity coding			
niscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, belt use, seating position		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	explored the time course of onset of neck pain, many times unreported at hospital due to late onset, many more sprains were found to occur among belted occu	upants	
	neck (+)		
	patients wearing belts experienced neck pain more frequ	uently than unbelted p	atients

source institution Royal Victoria Hospital, Belfast, Northern Ireland

.

.

document number reviewer	39 Benjamin			accession abstrac	number tonly?	D I ALOG Yes
author	Deans, G.T. McGalliard, J.N. Rutherford, W.H.			month o	year volume number pages r issue	1986 292 6513 94-95 Jan. 11
title	Incidence and duration of neck pain among	petients	injured	in car ac	cidents	
source	British Medical Journal	1 - -				
publication place		2				
indicator desc.	abstract-get original					•
	neck injuries	5 1	• .			
		ř				
		*		•		
what was counted		2				
how it was reported						
how it was used		- - -				
source records		-				
		н - -				
		2				
studied						
severity coding		<i>i</i>				
miscellaneous		1 1				
sbul link?		4 2 2				
results desc.		7 2 3				
		2				
		- 1 2				
		:				<u> </u>
source institution		i i				

é

4

ŝ

-
document number reviewer	50 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	DIALOG yes
author	Denis, R. Allard, M. Atlas, H. Farkouh, E.	year volume number pages month or issue	1983 23 11 1007-1008 November
title	Changing trends with abdominal injury in seatbelt wearers		
source	Journal of Trauma		
publication place			
indicator desc.	gastrointestinal injury(+) surgical diagnosis		
	abdominal injuries		
what was counted	persons injured		
how it was reported	number		
how it was used	case reports		
source records	hospital records, inpatient records		
body part(s) studied	abdomen/pelvic contents		
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	belt use		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	case reports. 32 patients. 27 of 32 using 3 point belts		

source institution l'Hopital du Sacre-Coeur

,

	Ì	
document number reviewer	24 Miller	accession number C-76-271 abstract only? no
author	Einer-Wilsson, L.	year 1976 volume number
		month or issue
title	Restraint system effectiveness	
BOURCE	U.S. D.O.T. National Motor Vehicle	Safety Advisory Council Motor Vehicle Safety Semina
mulication place		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
indicator desc.	number of injuries by AIS, frequent experience of SAAB cars in Sweden t	y of injury for head, spinal, chest and others. ollowing SBUL in Sweden.
what was counted	injuries	х 1
now it was	X distribution. number	
eported		
now it was used	SBUL evaluation	
		:
source records	corporate records	
xxdy part(s) studied	head, face, spine, thorax	:
		1
		4 -
severity coding	AIS	
niscellaneous related variables	belt-induced injury, vehicle parts	, belt use
bul link?	yes	
esulte dess	for many types of injurian found	that framency use not reduced but severity use
	To many types of injuries, tound	THE HEYNERY WAS NOT FEAUCED OUT SEVERITY WAS
	minor injuries (-), moderate injur head (-), spine (+), chest (+), fa	ies (-), severe injuries (-), number of injuries (- :e (-)
		i -
		e de la companya de l

۶

÷

÷

4

ł

source institution SAAB, Sweden

•

A-32

document number reviewer	118 Miller	accession number abstract only?	ILL :
author	Epstein, H.C. Wiss, D.A. Cozen, L.	year volume number pages month or issue	1985 201 9-17 December
title	Posterior fracture dislocation of the hip with fractures	of the femoral head	
source publication place	Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research		
indicator desc.	······································		
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported			
how it was used	case reports		
source records	hospital records		
body part(s) studied	extremities/pelvis, pelvis		
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	-		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	"First priority in prevention of traumatic discloaction seat belts, because 80 % of these dislocations were the	of the hip is mandat result of dashboard	ory use of injuries."

;

0

source institution Univeristy of Southern California, Los Angeles

locument number reviewer	56 Benjamin		accession number abstract only?	DIALOG yes
author	Evans, P.D. Mackie, I.G.		year volume number pages month or issue	1985 16 7 485-486
itle	Fracture of the body of the ste	ernum associated with	the use of static seat b	elts
ource	Injury			
ublication place		\$ }		
ndicator desc.	4 case reports	к -		
	ribs/sternum/pelvis bruised/fractured sternum			
hat was counted	injuries			
ow it was eported		- - - - - 		
ow it was used	case reports	40 M M		
ource records	hospital records			
dy part(s) udied	thorax, sternum	an an		
everity coding				
iscell ane ous elated variables	belt use			
bul link?	no			
esults desc.	case reports	х 1		
		8 		
		a A		
		}		

٤

ميك

.

يتني

.*

source institution Cardiff Royal Infirmary

.

a

document number reviewer	16 Miller	accession number abstract only?	s-85 -0365 no
author	Freedman, L.S.	year volume number pages month or issue	1984 16 1 60-62 November
title	Initial assessment of the effect of the compuls injuries, and the Trauma Department work-load	ory use of seat belts on car	occupants'
source	Injury		
publication place			`
indicator desc.	number of injuries seen by ER before and after body	law, distribution by severity	and part of
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	% distribution		
how it was used	SBUL evaluation		
source records	hospital records, ER records, inpatient records		
body part(s) studied	head, face, neck/throat, neck, abdomen/pelvic c	contents, extremities/pelvis	
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	seating position, belt use, age		
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	before and after law; comparable 3 month period head (-), face (-), whiplash (+)	ds in 1982-1983 from English h	ospital

source institution Royal Berkshire Hospital, Reading, England

document number reviewer	78 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	S-87-0155 no
author	Friedel, B. Marburger, E.A.	year volume number pages month or issue	1986
title	Belt usage rates in the Federal Republic of	Germany and their medical conse	quences
source	30th Annual Proceedings of the American Ass	ciation for Automotive Medicine	, Oct.,
publication place		a	
indicator desc.	AIS > 1	4)	
what was counted	hospital admissions, hospital stays, injuri	es, clinic visits	
how it was reported	% distribution, number		
how it was used	SBUL evaluation		
source records	special studies		
body part(s) studied	head, eye, face, neck/throat, neck, spine, abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/pelvis	thoracic, thorax, sternum, ribs, , upper extremities, lower extre	mities
severity coding	AIS		
miscellaneous related variables			
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	overall injuries down (results also listed reduction in hospital admissions. eye injuries down.	by body part).	
	· -		
source institution			·····

Í

چ

.

A-36

document number reviewer	28 Landes	accession number abstract only?	C-85-2056 no
author title	Gloyns, R.F. Rattenbury, S.J. Rivlin, A.Z. Hayes, H.R.M. Hanstead, J.K. Proctor, S. Steering wheel induced head and facial injuries among: belts	year volume number pages month or issue st drivers restrained b	1981 y seat
SOURCE	Proceedings of the 6th International IRCOBI Conference	e	
publication place			
indicator desc.	head (except face, eye), face (except eye)	·.	
what was counted	injury episodes, drivers involved		
how it was reported	% distribution, number		
how it was used	epidemiological studies, other evaluation		
source records	accident records		
body part(s) studied	head, face		
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	injury type, vehicle parts		
sbul link?			
results desc.			

source institution

à.

document number reviewer	32 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	DIALOG yes
author .	Glynn, R.J. Seddon, J.M. Berlin, B.M.	year volume number pages month or issue	1988 106 6 785-789
title	The incidence of eye injuries in New Engla	nd adults	
BOURCE	Arch. Opthalmol.		
publication place		2	
indicator desc.	Random population, telephone survey		
	eye injuries (incidence/nature) (-)		
		2	
what was counted	injuries		
now it was reported	% distribution, rates		
now it was used	epidemiological studies	:	
source records	random telephone survey		
hote part(a)	6000 AVA		
studied	lace, eye	4 - - - -	
		7 3 1	
severity coding		- -	
miscellaneous related variables	belt use		
sbul link?			
results desc.	Rate up in non-seat belt users (self-repo whole sample.	rted). Not significant. Only 26 (ases in
	denominator of rate: population		
		•	
		- -	

ŝ

ż

.....

document number reviewer	11 Miller	accession number abstract only?	s-74-0238 no
author	Griffin, L.	year volume number pages month or issue	1973
title	Analysis of the benefits derived from certain present devices: a review of the literature	ly existing motor-vehic	le safety
source	University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research	Center	
publication place	Chapel Hill		
indicator desc.	distribution of injuries for belted vs. unbelted by A	IS level (driver and pa	ssenger)
	literature review, summary of other studies		
what was counted .	injuries		
now it was reported	% distribution		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records			
body part(s) studied			
	· · ·		
severity coding	AIS, KABC		
miscellaneous related variables	belt-induced injury, belt use		
	m		
sbul link?		•	

source institution cited other data sources

.

3

document number reviewer	13 Miller	and the second second second	accession number abstract only?	C-80-0374 no
author	Grime, G.		year volume number pages month or issue	1979 11 293-306 December
title	A review of research on the pr which provide upper torso rest	otection aff raint	orded to occupents of cars by seat	belts
source	Accident Analysis and Preventi	on	t 4.	
publication place				
indicator desc.	head, neck, chest, spine, abdo X injured	men, etc.		
	summary of other research			
			•	
			4 • • •	
what was counted	injuries			
how it was reported	rates			
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval	., SBUL eval	ustion	
source records			• • {	
			ş	
body part(s) studied	head, neck/throat, neck, spine	e, thorax, ab	domen/pelvic contents	
			÷	
severity coding	AIS			
miscellaneous			· ·	
related variables				
ebul link?	, M			
results decr.	in literature review and ease die	cursion of A	uetralian SBII	
	looked at fatalities and over	all serious i	niuries	
	estimated reduction of serious	; injuries wi	th belt use (45 to 70 %)	
	number of injuries from SBUL i	in Australia	(-)	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Ĵ

.ê

p

source institution

author Grime, G. yes 1975 volume number pages source 2nd International Conference of the International Research Committee on the Biokineti of Impacts publication place indicator desc. 2nd International Conference of the International Research Committee on the Biokineti of Impacts publication place indicator desc. Severity (not AIS). Body part: head and face, neck, shoulder, chest and ribs, interr organs, arms, legs and knees, cuts and bruises body part class vs. minor, moderate severe seatbelt wearers only what was counted how it was reported how it was used other evaluation source records corporate records, seat belt wearer reports body part(s) studied severity coding miscellaneous related variables crash configuration, belt-induced injury, belt use, speed sabul link? no results desc. tabulation of number of injuries by body part and severity and velocity of impact	document number reviewer	97 Benjamin	accession number C-70 abstract only? no	8-1203
month or issue title Head and neck injuries to car occupants wearing safety belts in frontal collisions source 2nd International Conference of the International Research Committee on the Biokineti of Impacts indicator desc. severity (not AIS). Body part: head and face, neck, shoulder, chest and ribs, interr organs, arms, legs and knees, cuts and bruises body part class vs. minor, moderate severe seatbelt wearers only what was counted accidents, injuries how it was number reported number how it was used other evaluation source records corporate records, seat belt wearer reports body part(s) head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/pelvis studied severity coding miscellaneous crash configuration, belt-induced injury, belt use, speed related variables crash configuration, belt-induced injury, belt use, speed sbul link? no results desc. tabulation of number of injuries by body part and severity and velocity of impact	author	Grime, G.	year 197 volume number	5
title Head and neck injuries to car occupants wearing safety belts in frontal collisions source 2nd International Conference of the International Research Committee on the Biokineti of Impacts indicator desc. severity (not AIS). Body part: head and face, neck, shoulder, chest and ribs, intern organs, arms, legs and knees, cuts and bruises body part class vs. minor, moderate severe seatbelt wearers only what was counted accidents, injuries number reported how it was number reported how it was used other evaluation source records corporate records, seat belt wearer reports body part(s) head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/pelvis studied severity coding miscellaneous related variables sbul link? no results desc. tabulation of number of injuries by body part and severity and velocity of impact			pages	
source 2nd international Conference of the International Research Committee on the Biokineti publication place indicator desc. severity (not AIS). Body part: head and face, neck, shoulder, chest and ribe, intern organs, arms, legs and knees, cuts and bruises body part class vs. minor, moderate severe seatbelt wearers only what was counted accidents, injuries number reported new it was used other evaluation source records corporate records, seat belt wearer reports body part(s) head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/pelvis studied severity coding miscellaneous related variables crash configuration, belt-induced injury, belt use, speed sbul link? no results desc. tabulation of number of injuries by body part and severity and velocity of impact	title	Head and neck injuries to car occupants wearing safe	ty belts in frontal collision	5
publication place indicator desc. severity (not AIS). Body part: head and face, neck, shoulder, chest and ribs, interr organs, arms, legs and knees, cuts and bruises body part class vs. minor, moderate severe seatbelt wearers only what was counted accidents, injuries how it was number reported how it was other evaluation source records corporate records, seat belt wearer reports body part(s) head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/pelvis studied severity coding miscellaneous related variables sbul link? no results desc. tabulation of number of injuries by body part and severity and velocity of impact	source	2nd International Conference of the International Re of Impacts	search Committee on the Bioki	netics
indicator desc. severity (not AIS). Body part: head and face, neck, shoulder, chest and ribs, interr organs, arms, legs and knees, cuts and bruises body part class vs. minor, moderate severe seatbelt wearers only what was counted accidents, injuries how it was number reported how it was used other evaluation source records corporate records, seat belt wearer reports body part(s) head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/pelvis studied severity coding miscellaneous related variables sbul link? no results desc. tabulation of number of injuries by body part and severity and velocity of impact	publication place			
body part class vs. minor, moderate severe seatbelt wearers only what was counted accidents, injuries how it was number reported number how it was used other evaluation source records corporate records, seat belt wearer reports body part(s) head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/pelvis severity coding related variables sbul link? no results desc. tabulation of number of injuries by body part and severity and velocity of impact	indicator desc.	severity (not AIS). Body part: head and face, neck, organs, arms, legs and knees, cuts and bruises	shoulder, chest and ribs, in	ternal
seatbelt wearers only what was counted accidents, injuries how it was reported number how it was used other evaluation source records corporate records, seat belt wearer reports body part(s) head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/pelvis severity coding related variables related variables crash configuration, belt-induced injury, belt use, speed sbul link? no results desc. tabulation of number of injuries by body part and severity and velocity of impact		body part class vs. minor, moderate severe		
what was counted accidents, injuries how it was reported number how it was used other evaluation source records corporate records, seat belt wearer reports body part(s) head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/pelvis studied related variables sbul link? no results desc. tabulation of number of injuries by body part and severity and velocity of impact		seatbelt wearers only	<i></i> .	
how it was reported number how it was used other evaluation source records corporate records, seat belt wearer reports body part(s) head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/pelvis studied severity coding miscellaneous related variables crash configuration, belt-induced injury, belt use, speed sbul link? no results desc. tabulation of number of injuries by body part and severity and velocity of impact	what was counted	accidents, injuries		
how it was used other evaluation source records corporate records, seat belt wearer reports body part(s) head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/pelvis studied severity coding miscellaneous related variables crash configuration, belt-induced injury, belt use, speed sbul link? no results desc. tabulation of number of injuries by body part and severity and velocity of impact	how it was reported	number		
source records corporate records, seat belt wearer reports body part(s) head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/pelvis severity coding miscellaneous related variables crash configuration, belt-induced injury, belt use, speed sbul link? no results desc. tabulation of number of injuries by body part and severity and velocity of impact	how it was used	other evaluation		
body part(s) head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/pelvis severity coding miscellaneous crash configuration, belt-induced injury, belt use, speed related variables sbul link? no results desc. tabulation of number of injuries by body part and severity and velocity of impact	source records	corporate records, seat belt wearer reports		
severity coding miscellaneous crash configuration, belt-induced injury, belt use, speed related variables sbul link? no results desc. tabulation of number of injuries by body part and severity and velocity of impact	body part(s) studied	head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/pelvic cont	ents, extremities/pelvis	
miscellaneous related variables sbul link? no results desc. tabulation of number of injuries by body part and severity and velocity of impact	severity coding			
sbul link? no results desc. tabulation of number of injuries by body part and severity and velocity of impact	miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, belt-induced injury, belt use,	speed	
results desc. tabulation of number of injuries by body part and severity and velocity of impact	sbut link?	no		
	results desc.	tabulation of number of injuries by body part and se	everity and velocity of impact	:
			•	

:

source institution Traffic Studies Group, London

.

.

 \tilde{r}_{ij}

document number reviewer	38 Benjamin	acce	esion Stract	number anly?	DIALOG yes
author	Guertler, A.T.		nth ei	year volume rumber pages r issue	1968 17 8 838-839 August
title	Blunt Laryngeal trauma associated with should	er harness use			
source	Ann. Emerg. Hed.				
publication place					
indicator desc.	neck injuries Laryngeal injury blunt laryngeal trauma, laceration from should 1 case	der belt			
what was counted	ER visits				
how it was reported					
how it was used	case reports				
source records	hospital records, ER records, inpatient recor	ds			
body part(s) studied	neck/throat, throat				
severity coding					
miscellaneous related variables	belt use				
sbul link?	no				
results desc.	case report				
	2 8 1				

3

-

,ri

جر.

source institution Madigan Army Medical Center, Tacoma, Washington

document number reviewer	43 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	DIALOG yes
author	Hadley, M.N. Sonntag, V.K.H. Grahm, T.W.	year volume number pages month or issue	1986 11 9 861-864
title	Axis fractures resulting from motor vehicle accidents. restraints	The need for occupant	t
source	Spine		
publication place			
indicator desc.	C2 fractures, persons thrown to back seat. 1 out of 30 had seat belt. Note: more than 30 cases. incomplete	78 vehicle cases but i	records
	spine injuries ejection		
what was counted	persons injured		
how it was reported	% distribution, number		
how it was used	case reports		
source records	hospital records, inpatient records		
body part(s) studied	cervical, spine		
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	ejection, belt use		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	case reports with seat belt use, associated often with	head injury.	
	contradicts article on hangman's noose dramatically (Lo	esoin et al.)	

4

source institution Barrow Neurological Institute, Phoenix, Arizona

E,

٣

ocument number eviewer	55 Benjamin	,	accession abstrac	number tonly?	DIALOG yes
author	Hamilton, J.R.L. Dearden, C. Rutherford, W.H.		month o	year volume number pages r issue	1984 16 3 155-156
title	Nyocardial contusion associated the seat belt syndrome	with fracture	of the sternum: impor	tant fea	tures of
source	Injury	1 - 2			
publication place		•			
indicator desc.	ribs/sternum/pelvis myocardial contusion bruised/fractured ribs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····		<u> </u>
what was counted	injuries	3 - - 			
now it was reported		- - - - -			
how it was used	case reports				
source records	hospital records				
body part(s) studied	thorax, heart/lung, sternum				
severity coding					
miscellaneous related variables	belt use	• • •			
sbul link?	no				
results desc.	3 case reports	5 9 1			
		-		•	
		2 1 1			
		1			

ž

â

ð

A-44

document number reviewer	≉I Fearn	abstract only?	5-00- NO
author	Hampson, S. Coombs, R.	year volume	1984 57
	NGRINGWAY, A.	pages month or issue	1033- Novem
title	Fractures of the upper thoracic spinean addition to th	e "seat-belt" syndro	me
source	British Journal of Radiology		
publication place			
indicator desc.	Spinal cord prome to injuries where the relatively mobil relatively fixed thoracic spine.	e cervical spine is a	attache
	single case report		
what was counted			
how it was reported			
how it was used	case reports		
source records	hospital records, ER records		
body part(s) studied	, spine, thoracic		
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	belt-induced injury, belt use		
sbullink? .	no		
results desc.	It was used to support the position that radiographic ex accidents should be extended to include views of the upp	xaminations following per thoracic region.) arv

Í.

Ŷ

1

source institution Royal Postgraduate Medical School & Hammersmith Hospital, England

,

document number reviewer	22 Miller	accession number C-84-1311 abstract only? no
author	Hartemann, F. Henry, C. Faverjon, G. Tarriere, C.	year 1984 volume number pages
title	Patel, A. Ten years of safety due to the three-point	seet belt
source publication place	Advances in Belt Restraint Systems: design Automotive Engineers Int. Congress Warrendale, Pennsylvania	, performance, and usage; Society of
indicator desc.	severe injury rates by part of body for be	ited vs. unbeited, also ejection rate
	French data (Renault).	
	effectiveness of French SBUL	
what was counted	injuries	· ·
how it was reported	X distribution, number, rates	
how it was used	SBUL evaluation	
source records	corporate records	
body part(s) studied	head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/p extremities, pelvis	elvic contents, extremities/pelvis, lower
		7 2 - - -
severity coding	AIS	- - - - -
miscellaneous related vari a bles	crash configuration, ejection	
sbul link?	yes	, 1
results desc.	injury severity and distribution by part of	of body for belted vs. unbelted
	ejection (-), severe head (-), chest (-),	abdomen (-), pelvis (-), legs (-)

)

ب

2

Ĩ;

Y

source institution French data, (Renault)

document number reviewer	103 Landes	accession number abstract only?	s-85-0867 no
author	Wenry, C. Faverjon, G. Thomas, C.	year volume number	1981
	Tarriere, C. Got, C. Decel	pages month or issue	
title	Comparison of risks for restrained drivers and collisions	d their front passenger in fronta	ot
source	Proceedings of the 6th International IRCOBI Co	onference	
publication place			
indicator desc.	driver, fron passenger risk in frontal collis restrained drivers and front passengers are co	ions, compared in frontal collisions	
,			
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	number		
how it was used	other evaluation		
source records	corporate records		
body part(s) studied	head, neck/throat, neck, spine, thorax, abdom upper extremities, lower extremities, pelvis	en/pelvic contents, extremities/	pelvis,
severity coding	AIS		
miscellaneous related variables	seating position		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	a comparison is made between severe injuries restrained)	of drivers and front seat passen	gers (both
	no difference in proportion of injuries. most wounded body areas are identified (same	for both front seat occupants).	
	severity of injury (AIS) by body part differe	nces are noted.	

source institution Peugeot-Renault Association

.

-7

÷

document number reviewer	67 Race	4	accession number abstract only?	Gurin no
author	Highway Loss Data Institute		year volume	1986
· ·		- - 2	number pages month or issue	
title	Insurance Special Report: a preliminary claim results before and after enactmen	evaluation of tof mandatory	New York and New Jerse seat belt legislation,	y insurance
source	Highway Loss Data Institute	:		
publication place				
ndicator desc.	New York, New Jersey	· · · · ·		
	insurance data	•••		
		:		
that was counted	insurance claims coste			
		*		
now it was reported	number	\$ 1		
now it was used	SBUL evaluation			
source records	insurance records	9 2		
		• • •		
pody part(s)		1 2 2 2		
studied		e l		
		2 # *	÷	
		r 7		
severity cooling				
niscellaneous related variables				
sbul link?	Ves	* \$ * * *		
results desc.	both states had lower overall injury cla	im frequency r	esults after law was p	assed as
	sompared to belore	1 4 5 1 4		
		1 		
		9 9 1 2		
			• •••••	
source institution	Highway Loss Data Institute	1		
	Washington DC 20037	E .		

. .

•

s,

S

A-48

Cocument number	Race	abstract only?	Gurin no
author	Highway Loss Data Institute	year volume number pages month or issue	1988
title	Insurance Special Report: insurance injury loss experience belt laws, 1983-1986 models	in eight states wi	th seat
source	Highway Loss Data Institute	•	
publication place		·····	
indicator desc.	collision/injury claim frequencies and percentages insurance data		
what was counted	insurance claims, costs		
how it was reported	number		
how it was used	SBUL evaluation		
source records	insurance records		
body part(s) studied			
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables			
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	pre-post law comparisons: found no reductions in personal claims in 1983-1986 model years. Relatively minor injuries. Post law injury claim frequencies and in some cases percer also having an injury claim was generally lower.	injury protection and the second s	coverage erage claims

.

.

source institution Highway Loss Data Institute Watergate Six Hundred Washington, DC 20037

4

ç

locument number reviewer	92 Benjamin		accession number abstract only?	s-87-1523 no
author	Hoffman, M.A.		year	1987
	Spence, L.J.		volume	27
	Armstrong, P.F.		nunder Dages	9 974-976
	Williams, J.I.		month or issue	September
itle	Filler, R.M. The pedicatric passenger: trends in se	atbelt use and inj	ury patterns	•
		•		
ource	Journal of Trauma			
Sublication place				
ndicator desc.	<pre>severe head (-), solid viscera (-), lum intestime injury (+), high cervical cho lower extremity fractures (+)</pre>	bar spine (+), rd (+),		
	number of cases by body part injured	1 7 4		
what was counted	hospital admissions, hospital stays	1 L		
		* *		
now it was reported	number			
low it was used	case reports	\$ - -		
ource records	accident records, hospital records	á		
body part(s) studied	external, head, face, thorax, abdomen/p	elvic contents		
severity coding	AIS, 185			
miscellaneous related variables	belt use	2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		
sbul link?	no	and the second sec		
results desc.	tabulate number of cases vs. restraint groups approximately equal	or no restraint		
	pediatric study	к.		
		s S		
		1 		
source institution	David E. Wesson The Hospital for Sick Children 555 University Avenue	n - Change Chang		
	JJJ UNIVERSITY AVENUE	1		

ī

Ş

5

document number reviewer	12 Miller	accession number C-82-0775 abstract only? no
author	Huelke, D.F.	year 1981 volume number
		pages month or issue
title	Effectiveness of occupant restraints in reducing seri	ous injuries and fatalities
source	Proceedings of the International Symposium on Occupar	nt Restraints, Toronto, June 1981
publication place	Morton Grove, Illinois; A.A.A.M.	
indicator desc.	head, neck, chest, abdominal injuries (fatal and seri	ious)
what was counted	injuries	
how it was reported	rates	
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.	
source records	accident records	
body part(s) studied	head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/pelvic contremities	ents, extremities/pelvis, lower
severity coding	AIS, DAIS	
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, belt use, ejection	
sbul link?	no	
results desc.	X reduction in number of cases by body part for fata those wearing belts vs. unrestrained	lities and serious injuries for
	overall serious injuries (-), head (-), neck (-), ch	est (-), abdomen (-), legs (-)

•

source institution NHTSA NCSS

· ·

ŝ

₽.

•

A-51

	Ĺ	
document number reviewer	125 Miller	accession number ILL abstract only? no
author	Huelke, D.F.	year 1979 volume number pages month or issue
title	Death and injuries prevented by Lap-sho	sulder belt usage in the United States
source	1979 International Symposium on Seat Be	elts in Tokyo
publication place		
indicator desc.		· ·
what was counted	injuries	
now it was reported	% distribution	
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.	
source records	accident records	· ·
body part(s) studied	head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdome	en/pelvic contents
severity coding	AIS	
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, belt use	
sbul link?	no	5 4
results desc.	frontal crash: serious head (-71%) serious neck (-100%) serious chest (-26%)	
	effectiveness of 64 % in reducing serie	ous injury in rural high speed crashes

8

2

۲

source institution

document number reviewer	93 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	S-83-0576 no
author	Huelke, D.F. Compton, C.P	year volume number pages month or issue	1983 41 241-244
title	Facial injuries in automobile crashes		
source	Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery		
publication place			
indicator desc.	AIS all levels: Facial injuries (-) AIS > or = 3: head (-), neck (-), face (-), chest (-), back (-), abdomen (-), extremities (-)		
	All cases from towaway crashes, reported injuries to Natio body part injury to all occupants, not just front seat	nal Crash Severity	Study. X
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	% distribution, number		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	accident records, NCSS		
body part(s) studied	face		
severity coding	AIS, DAIS		
miscellaneous related variables	vehicle parts, belt use		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	tabulates % body part > or = 3 AIS, belted vs. nonbelted.		

:

source institution Huelke, HSRI U. of Michigan

.

۰.

£

÷

• .

author Huelke, D.F. Lawson, T.E. year 197 volume 9 number 1 pages 11- month or issue Jul title Injuries to rear-seat passengers in frontal automotive crashes source HSRI Research Review publication place indicator desc. injury vs. no injury % of accident occupants with no injury % of accident occupants with no injury what was counted persons involved how it was % distribution, number reported how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, HSRI data file (CPIR)	78 15 y-Aug.
title Injuries to rear-seat passengers in frontal automotive crashes source HSRI Research Review publication place indicator desc. injury vs. no injury X of accident occupants with no injury what was counted persons involved how it was X distribution, number reported how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, HSRI data file (CPIR)	
source HSRI Research Review publication place indicator desc. injury vs. no injury % of accident occupants with no injury what was counted persons involved how it was % distribution, number reported how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, HSRI data file (CPIR)	
publication place indicator desc. injury vs. no injury % of accident occupants with no injury what was counted persons involved how it was % distribution, number reported safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, HSRI data file (CPIR)	
<pre>indicator desc. injury vs. no injury % of accident occupants with no injury what was counted persons involved how it was % distribution, number reported how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, HSRI data file (CPIR)</pre>	
what was counted persons involved how it was % distribution, number reported how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, HSRI data file (CPIR)	
what was counted persons involved how it was % distribution, number reported how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, HSRI data file (CPIR)	
how it was % distribution, number reported how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, HSRI data file (CPIR)	
how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, HSRI data file (CPIR)	
source records accident records, HSRI data file (CPIR)	
body part(s) studied	
severity coding AIS	
miscellaneous crash configuration, belt use, seating position, speed, age of occupant, car size related variables	•
sbul link? no	
results desc. tabulates belted vs. nonbelted	

×

ъ.

۲

source institution HSRI Collision Performance and Injury Report File

document number reviewer	86 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	NSC no
author	Huelke, D.F. Lawson, T.E. Scott, R. Marsh, J.C.	year volume number pages month or issue	1977
title	The effectiveness of belt systems in frontal and rollove	r crashes	
source publication place	Proceedings of the 6th International Conference of the I Accidents and Traffic Medicine	nternational Associa	tion of
indicator desc.	head (-), neck severe (-), neck minor (+), thorax (-), torso severe (-), lower extremity severe (-), ejection AIS 3-5 severity		
	for drivers only		
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported			
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	accident records, CPIR, RSCS		
body part(s) studied	head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/pelvic contents	, extremities/pelvis	
severity coding	AIS, DAIS		
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, belt use, seating position		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.			

.

.

2

à.

:

source institution U. of Michigan HSRI, used Collision Performance Injury Report (CPIR), and the Calspan Restraint System Effectiveness Study (RSES)

document number reviewer	114 Fearn)	accession number abstract only?	S∙82-0188 no
author	Huelke, D.F. O'Day, J.C. Barhydt, W.H.		year volume number pages month or issue	1982 22 1 50-52
title	Ocular injuries in automobile cra	shes		
source	Journal of Trauma	, ,		
publication place				
indicator desc.	eye injuries (incidence/nature) partial/permanent loss of visual occular injuries in car crashes number of moderate to sever injur	acuity ies to the eye	·.	
what was counted	injuries			
how it was reported	number	:		
how it was used				
source records	accident records	: :		١
body part(s) studied	face, eye	; ; ;		
severity coding	AIS	- 		
miscellaneous related variables	vehicle parts	- - - -		
sbul link?	no *	3		
results desc.	indicates the need for widespread cites a review article which indi frequency of eyeball injuries	d use of high penetra icated that use of La	ntion resistant windshin np/shoulder belts will o	elds Jecresse the

٠

.

.

æ

۲

source institution NHTSA NCSS

cocument number reviewer	Benjamin	abstract only?	no
author	Huelke, D.F. Sherman, H.W. Elliott, A.F.	year volume number pages month or issue	77
title	The rear seat occupant from data analysis of selected (clinical case studies	
source	77		
publication place			
indicator desc.	AIS 3-6. Body part: head (face) (-), neck (-), chest (+), abdomen (+), extremities (-), back (-)		
	Rear seat occupants, AIS by 6 categories, body part, a	number of cases	
what was counted	persons injured		
how it was reported	% distribution		
how it was used	epidemiological studies		
source records	accident records, FARS, NCSS, NASS, CPIR		
body part(s) studied			
severity coding	MAIS		
Serer rey boaring			
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, seating position, age of occupant	:	
miscellaneous related variables sbul link?	crash configuration, seating position, age of occupant	:	

.

• ^د و

\$

÷

.

source institution NCSS, NASS, FARS, Michigan '83 Accident files, CPIR/UMIVOR, University of Michigan Hospital

document number reviewer	72 Race	accession number S-75-0355 abstract only? no
author	Huelke, D.F. Sherman, H.W. O'Day, J.O.	year volume number pages month or issue
title	The hazard of the unrestrained occupant	4
source	Proceedings of the 18th Conference of th	e American Association for Automotive Medicine
ublication place		·
indicator desc.	injuries due to collision with other pas restrained	sengers including unrestrained hitting
	occupant-to-occupant injuries	
that was counted	injuries	
now it was reported	number	
now it was used	case reports	•
source records	accident records	
body part(s) studied	head, face, neck/throat, neck, thorax, c extremities/pelvis, upper extremities	hest, abdomen/pelvic contents,
severity coding	AIS	
miscellaneous related variables	occupant-to-occupant contact, vehicle pa	irts
sbul link?	no	
results desc.	analysis from performance and injury rep UM 40,000 collisions (Long form Revision 3) General Motors occurs in 22 % of cars with more than 1 upper body injuries	occupant
source institution	Highway Safety Research Institute (now L University of Nichigan	IMTRI)

` **a** '

lity a

.

2.4

••

÷

۹

document number reviewer	8 Miller	accession number abstract only?	C-82-08) no
author	Huelke, D. O'Day, J. Mendelsohn, R.	year volume number pages month or issue	1981 54 316-322 , March
title	Cervical injuries suffered in automobile crashes		
source	Journal of Neurosurgery		
publication place			
indicator desc.	cervical spine injuries		
	limited to cars towed from scene		
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	number		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval., epidemiological studies		
source records	accident records		
body part(s) studied	neck/throat, neck, spine, cervical		
severity coding	AIS		
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, vehicle parts, ejection		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	very little relates to seat belts (see p. 319)		
	cites other sources for positive effect of seat belts in r injuries	educing severe or	fatal nec
	Of 130 occupants with severe or fatal neck injury, only 4	had beits.	

source institution NHTSA NCSS

-

Ŧ

4

A-59

document number reviewer	1 Miller	accession number Gurin abstract only? no
author	Hunter, W.W. Reinfurt, D.W. Hirsch, M.G.	year 1988 volume number pages month or jasue
title	Analysis of Occupant Restraint Issues from	a State Accident Data
source	University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research Center	
publication place	Chapel Hill, North Carolina	
indicator desc.	number of injuries total, by injury type, accidents	part of body, ejections, various types of
	tied to SBUL in New Jersey	
what was counted	injuries	
how it was reported	rates	
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval., SBUL evaluation	
source records	accident records	
body part(s) studied	head, face, neck/throat, neck, spine, tho extremities/pelvis, upper extremities	rax, abdomen/pelvic contents,
severity coding	КАВС	
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, ejection, belt use, a	accident type, injury type
sbul link?	yes	
results desc.	two types of analysis: 1) changes in inju use law in N.J. 2) comparison of restrained and unrestrain	ries across time looking at period of seat belt ned occupants in N.J. and Pennsylvania.
	Generally positive results.	
	% (rate) of occupants injured with belts	less than occupants without belts
results desc.	two types of analysis: 1) changes in inju- use law in N.J. 2) comparison of restrained and unrestrain Generally positive results. % (rate) of occupants injured with belts	ries across time looking at period of se ned occupants in N.J. and Pennsylvania. less than occupants without belts

ซ้

÷

۹

source institution New Jersey and Pennsylvania state accident records anaylzed by UNC HSRC.

received by UNC HSRC from NHTSA

document number reviewer	25 Miller	accession number abstract only?	C-85-3091 no
author	Insurance Institute for Highway Safety	year volume number pages monthorissue	1985 20 11 October 5
title	British study links seat belt use to drop in injuries		
BR 11866	1145 Statue Boost		
publication place			
indicator desc.	number of MV injuries, by part of body, hospital days, avg. injury severity scores		
	data on 14,000 crash patients from 15 hospitals in Engl	and, Scotland, and Wa	les
	summary of original report. 14,000 crash patients from	a 15 hospitals in the 1	U.K.
what was counted	hospital bed-days, hospital admissions, hospital stays,	, ER visits, injuries	
how it was reported	% distribution, number		
how it was used	SBUL evaluation		
source records	hospital records		
body part(s) studied	head, brain, face, neck/throat, neck, spine, thorax, heart/lung		
severity coding	ISS		
miscellaneous related variables	seating position, belt use		
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	comparison of belted and unbelted drivers before and at ER-treated injuries (-), admissions (-), severe (-), ma (-), major brain (+), facial fractures (+/-), minor sca (-), minor face (-), lung (-)	fter law in U.K. ultiple severe (-), mu alp (+), spine (+), ho	ltiple minor spital days

source institution see original study: Rutherford, Greenfield, et al.

Ŧ

÷

.

document number reviewer	76 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	DIALOG yes
author	Janssen, E.G.	year volume number pages month or issue	1985 33 4 73-76 April
title	Safety beltsthe influence of the safety different kinds of collisions	y belt on the injuries of vehicle oc	cupants in
source	Verkeersrecht	4	
publication place	,	:	
indicator desc.	injury severity related to type of collision	· · ·	
	ENS reports ejections	-	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
what was counted	injuries		
now it was reported			
now it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records			
pody part(s) studied			
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, speed, ejection		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.		· · ·	
		3	

æ

é

document number reviewer	60 Race	accession number abstract only?	Gurin no
author	Johnson, S.	year volume number pages month or issue	
title	Data base linkage and the sensitivity index		
source	Maine Health Information Center		
publication place	Augusta, Maine		n
indicator desc.	patient records based on at scene reports (acciden the hospital (discharge data), and/or vital statis EMS reports	nt, ambulance), enroute (am stics (death certificate)	bulance), at
what was counted	persons injured		
While was counted			
how it was reported	rates		
how it was used	other evaluation, EMS system		
source records	accident records, death certificates, hospital rec	cords, inpatient records	
body part(s) . studied			
severity coding	Champion's trauma score		
miscellaneous related variables		· · ·	
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	data base includes restraint use information for t inconsistent use of E-codes by hospitals	traffic injuries.	

÷

source institution Sandra W. Johnson, Director EMS Data Research Unit Maine Health Information Center 81 Winthrop Street Augusta, Maine 04330 (207) 623-2555

Ŧ

÷

document number reviewer	35 Benjamin	accession number DIALOG abstract only? yes	
author	Johnston, P.B. Armstrong, M.F.	year 1986 volume 70 number 6 pages 460-462 month or issue June	2
title	Eye injuries in Northern Ireland two ye	ars after seat belt legislation	
source	British Journal of Ophthalmology	1 4	
publication place			
indicator desc.	penetrating eye injuries (-)		
What was counted	persons injured		
how it was reported	number		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.	1 2	
source records	hospital records		
		3	
<pre>body part(s) studied</pre>	face, eye		
		: ? 2	
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	seating position		
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	246 cases reviewed, 1984-1985. Motor-v reduction in incidence after law.	ehicle accidents caused 63 in front seaters.	6
		2	

.

æ

ź

1

source institution Royal Victoria Hospital, Belfast, Northern Ireland

document number reviewer	49 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	DIALOG yes
author	Johnstone, B.R. Waxman, B.P.	year volume number pages month or issue	1987 57 7 455-460 July
title	Transverse disruption of the abdominal walla tell-tal hollow viscus injury	e sign of seat belt r	elated
source	Australia and New Zealand Journal of Surgery		
publication place			
indicator desc.	thorax/abdomen, bruised/fractured ribs and sternum		
	hollow viscus, abdominal wall disruption, right rib fra right breast and spine	cture, left claricle	fracture,
	complex of injuries in front seat passengers with harne	ess and high speed	
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	number		
how it was used	case reports		
source records	hospital records, inpatient records		
body part(s) studied	spine, thorax, heart/lung, ribs, abdomen/pelvic content	:s	
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, belt use, seating position, speed		
sbul link?	no .		
results desc.	5 case reports		

:

source institution University of Melbourne Department of Surgery

document number reviewer	5 Miller	accession number Gurin abstract only? yes
author	Junghans, K.	year 1978 volume number pages , month or issue
title	Seatbelt-related abdominal injuries	
source	Langenbecks Arch. Chir.	
publication place		ł
indicator desc.	abdominal injuries	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	German article	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
what was counted	injuries	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
how it was reported		≨ 1
how it was used		
source records	· · ·	
body part(s) studied	abdomen/pelvic contents	
severity coding		
miscellaneous related variables	belt-induced injury, belt use	
sbul link?	no	
results desc.	use of seat belts seems to decrease head head (-), abdominal lesions (+), abdominal wall injuries, liver ruptures	injuries and increase abdominal injuries
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

\$

.

2

z

source institution German data base
document number reviewer	118 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	DIALOG Yes
author	Kahnberg, K.E. Gothberg, K.A.	year volume number pages month or issue	1987 16 2 154- April
title	Le Fort fractures (1). A study of frequency, etiology and	treatment	
source	International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery		
publication place			
indicator desc.	severity of maxillofacial fractures. classed as Le Fort I, II, III and partial maxillary		
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	number		
how it was used	SBUL evaluation		
source records	hospital records	•	
body part(s) studied	face, mouth		
severity coding	Le Fort number		
miscellaneous related variables			
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	301 cases reviewed and tabulated 1969-1982. Law in 1975. Distribution of fractures has changed to less severe.		

.

source institution

...

ocument number eviewer	o Miller	accession number abstract only?	Gurin yes
uthor	Karison, Trudy	year volume number pages	1982 22 4
tle	The incidence of hospital-treated facia	l injuries from vehicles	
Irce	Journal of Trauma		
olication place			
icator desc.	facial injuries	1	
	fractures, soft-tissue injuries, dental	injuries	
t was counted	ER visits, injuries	2 2 2 4	
it was		N 	
orted		\$ 2	
it was used	epidemiological studies		
ce records	hospital records, ER records		
part(s) ied	face	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
rity coding	AIS		
ellaneous ted variables	vehicle parts, injury type		
link?	no	•	
ilts desc.	unclear from abstract how much relates	to seat beits	
		4 * *	
		(* *	
rce institution	All Dane County, Wisconsin hospitals		
	Trudy Karlson Center for Health Systems Research and University of WisconsinMadison 1225 Observatory Drive Madieon Visconsin 53706	Analysis	

2

÷

=

£

A-68

document number reviewer	48 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	DIALOG yes
author	Keeling, P. Calthorpe, D. Lane, B. Collins, P.G.	year volume number pages month or issue	1987 18 2 93-95
title	Blunt injury of the neck of the pancreas: a report of nin	e patients	
source	Injury		·
publication place			
indicator desc.	blunt injury to pancreas surgical diagnosis		
	thorax/abdominal injuries		
what was counted	persons injured		
how it was reported	number		
how it was used	case reports		
source records	hospital records, inpatient records		
body part(s) studied	abdomen/pelvic contents		
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	belt use		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	case reports. 8 of 9 in auto crash, 2 of 8 used seat belts		
	probably not significant, 25 % seat belt use		

source institution

4

	le la construction de la			
ocument number	37	:	accession number	5-?????
eviewer	Benjamin	*	abstract only?	no
uthor	Larder, D.R. Twiss, M.K.	L	year volume	1985
	Mackay, G.M.	:	number pages	
			month or issue	
itle	Neck injury to car occupants using seat be	lts		
ource	29th Annual Proceedings of the American As	sociation f	or Automotive Medicine,	
ublication place	Washington, JC, October, 1965	:		
dicator desc.	neck injuries, soft tissue or sprain (+)	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	AIS 0 in one group, higher in other group			
	protonged symptoms der med as > 1 month	2		
		i. E		
nat was counted	injuries			
w it was	number scores	i.		
eported	HURBELLY SCOLES			
w it was used	case reports	*		
ource records	accident records			
		2		
		2		
xtv nart(s)	neck neck/throat			
tudied				
		1 1		
everity coding	AIS			
		i		
iscellaneous elated variables	belt use, vehicle parts, head restraint			
		с		
oul link?	no	ł		
esults desc.	sample of reported cases, 282 neck injurie hospital records, questionnaire many cases not in data base because of mir	or nature,	but symptoms persist	
	restraints do not limit law AIS neck injur	ies		
	in-depth investigation			
		- - 		

source institution Birmingham University Accident Research Unit

.

æ

document number reviewer	116 Fearn	accession number abstract only?	Gurin no
author	League General Insurance Company	year volume number pages month or issue	1986
title	Claims for severe injuries reduced 39 percent in safety-belt law, study by League General Insurance	first six months of Nichigan ee Co. shows	ı
source	CUNA Mutual Insurance Group League General Insura	nce Company	
publication place			

.

indicator desc. number of insurance claims for severe injury

insurance claims

how it was rates, number reported how it was used SBUL evaluation source records insurance records

body part(s)
studied

what was counted

severity coding

miscellaneous related variables

÷

 sbul link?
 yes

 results desc.
 to assess impact of SBUL (desirable direction)

 severe injury claims (-)

source institution League General Insurance Company G.P.O. Box 430-A Detroit, Michigan 48232 1-800-431-7464

document number reviewer	40 Benjamin	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	accession number abstract only?	7? 77
buthor	Lesoin et al.		year volume number pages month or issue	1985
itle.	Has the seat belt replaced the hangm	n's noose		
Bource	Lancet	ч ч		
sublication place				
ndicator desc.	increase fractures of C2			
	spine injuries 28 of 35 cases wearing seat belts, n	head rests		
		Ĩ		
hat was counted				
ou it was		5 1		
eported		,		
ow it was used				
ource records				
		e Y		
itudied				
		: - -		
_		3		
severity coding				
niscellaneous		+ # -		
bul link?				
results desc.	35 case reports 1970-1984			
		:		
		- -		
• · ·				
ource institution				

A-72

document number reviewer	96 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	C-78-1202 no
author	Mackay, G.M. Gloyns, R.F. Hayes, H.R.M. Griffiths, D.K. Rattenbury, S.J.	year volume number pages month or issue	1975
title	Serious trauma to car occupants mearing seat	belts	
source	Proceedings of the 2nd International Conferen	nce on the Biomechanics of Seriou	is Trauma
publication place			
indicator desc.	head, neck, chest, arms, abdomen, spine, pelv	ris, legs	
	front sest occupants, 3 point belts, AIS, boo	ly pert injured	
	no comparison with nonbelted		
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	number		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	hospital records		
body part(s) studied	head, neck/throat, neck, spine, thorax, abdom	men/pelvic contents, extremities,	, pelvis
severity coding	AIS		
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, belt use		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	tabulates number of cases by AIS & body part		
	no tabulation of non-seat belt biased study by selection		

source institution Accident Research Unit Birmingham, UK .

document number reviewer	65 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	C-85-2302 no
author	Mackay, M.	year volume	1985
		number	
		month or issue	
title	Two years' experience with the seat b	elt law in Britain	
source	Society of Automotive Engineers Technical Paper Series 851234		
publication place	Warrendale, Pennsylvania	•	
indicator desc.	reduction in hospital admissions, inj	ury patterns	
	health care		
	<pre>front seat occupants concussion(-), fractured skull(-), fa eye(-), rib fractures (+), internal c neck sprains (+), cervical fractures injury (-)</pre>	cial wounds (-), heat (-), (~), lumbar sprains and fractures (+),	abdominal
what was counted	hospital admissions, hospital stays		
how it was reported	% distribution, number		
how it was used	SBUL evaluation		
source records	accident records, hospital records	· ·	
body part(s) studied	head, face, eye, neck/throat, neck, s abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/ pelvis	pine, cervical, lumbar, thorax, sternum pelvis, upper extremities, lower extrem	n, ribs, mities,
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	injury type, belt use		
sbul link?	yes	· · ·	
results desc.	tabulates cases before and after law. accident, pedestrian & cyclists also miles per driver Concussion (-), skull fracture (-), f eye (-), rib fractures (+), internal lumbar sprains and fractures (+), abdominal injuries (-)	General driving activity slightly up. show reductions. Drop is significant k acial wounds (-), chest (-), neck sprains (+), Cx fractur	Other based on res (-),
		: 1	

2

¥

ł

source institution Department of Transport, United Kingdom

.

A-74

document number reviewer	101 Hoskin	accession number abstract only?	C-87-2291 no
author	Marburger, E.A. Friedel, B.	year volume number pages month or issue	1987 27 7 703-705 July
title	Seat belt legislation and seat belt effectiveness in t	he Federal Republic of	Germany
source	Journal of Trauma		
publication place			
indicator desc.	nature of injury, part of body, hospital records of patients admitted for MV injuries		
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	number		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	hospital records, inpatient records		
body part(s) studied	head, face, eye, mouth, spine, cervical, thorax, heart Extremities/pelvis, lower extremities	/lung, Abdomen/pelvic,	
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	belt use .		
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	injuries reduced: head, knee fractures, soft-tissue ir cervical fractures, major live and lung injuries, intr jaw injuries.	njuries to lower extrem a-abdominal angiorrhex	nities, is, eye and
	Specific injuries increased: cervical distortions, mir and thorax	oor soft-tissue injurie	s to pelvis

2

•

source institution

document number reviewer	27 Niller		accession number abstract only?	S-82-0141 no
author	Mellbring, G Dahlin, S. Lindblad, B.		year volume number pages month or issue	12 6 506-509
title	The hospital experience of seat	t belt legislation in t	the county of Skaraborg,	Sweden
source	Injury	2 2 -		
publication place				
indicator desc.	hospital admissions, injuries b	by AIS, by part of body	, related to seat belt	use
	part of body: head, neck, tho	rax, abdomen, pelvis, (arm, leg	
what was counted	hospit al admissions, ho spital a	stays, ER visits, inju	ries	
how it was reported	% distribution, number			
how it was used	SBUL evaluation	5 7		
source records	accident records, hospital rec	ords, ER records		
body part(s) studied	head, neck/throat, neck, thora extremities, lower extremities	x, abdomen/pelvic cont , pelvis	ents, extremities/pelvi	s, upper
severity coding	AIS	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
miscellaneous related variables	seating position, belt use	8 1 1 2 2 4 1 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		
sbul link?	yes	(
results desc.	hospital admissions (-), numbe (+, although less severe)	r of injuries/front se	at (-), head (-), neck	(-), thorax
		1 . - 		×
		·		

l

Ξ

3

. source institution County Hospitals in Sweden

document number reviewer	4 Miller	accession number abstract only?	\$-85-0973 no
author	Nills, P.J. Hobbs, C.A.	year volume	1984
		month or issue	223-235 November
title	The Probability of Injury to car occupants in frontal a	nd side impacts	
Source	SAE Techinical Paper Series		
publication place			
indicator desc.	number of injuries by part of body		
	head, chest, lower limb and pelvic injuries in frontal towaway police data	and side impacts	
	X reduction in probabilities with belts use vs. unrestr	ained	
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	% distribution, number		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	hospital records, accident records		
body part(s) studied	head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/pelvic content extremities, pelvis	s, extremities/pelvis	, lower
severity coding	AIS, MAIS		
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, belt use, seating position, speed		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	see p. 234.		
	front seat/front impact belts reduced injuries side impacts (seated on side of impact) significant r	eduction with belts	
	English data, uses Probit analysis		

source institution police data (from England ?)

locument number eviewer	104 Race	accession number ?? abstract only? yes
author	Noreland, J.D.	year 1962 volume 5 number pages 95-111 month or issue
title	Safety belts in motor cars: an assess	ment of their effectiveness
ource sublication place	Annals of Occupational Hygiene	
ndicator desc.	damage index, car-weight ratio	
that was counted	injuries	
iow it was eported		· • • •
iow it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.	3
ource records	·	
oody part(s) studied		•
severity coding		
niscellaneous related variables	belt use, damage index	
sbul link?	no	
results desc.	Given cars with the same damage index those ejected, 2) passengers vs. driv	x car/weight ratio, risk of injury was higher for 1) vers, 3) those not wearing seat belts

source institution

document number reviewer	19 Miller	accession number abstract only?	Gurin no
Buthor	Nueller, E.	year volume number pages month or issue	
title	Trauma from auto accidents		
source	unpublished draft		
publication place			
indicator desc.	number of injuries by severity score cost of medical treatment, admission to hospital		
what was counted	hospital admissions, hospital stays, injuries, costs		
now it was reported	scores		·
now it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	hospital records, ER records, inpatient records		
oody part(s) studied			
severity coding	ISS		
niscellaneous related variables	seating position, belt use		
sbul link?			
results desc.	1,364 patients reviewed in 4 hospitals over 6 months 58 % wearing safety belt, 42% not 1.8 ISS for belt wearers, 4.51 for non-belt wearers		÷
	belts are self-reported ??	•	
	belt use correlated to ability to pay for injuries susta	ined.	

source institution U. of Illinois Affiliated Hospitals (Lutheran General, Illinois Masonic, Mercy, Cook County)

> Elizabeth Mueller, M.D. Lutheral General Hospital Park Ridge, Illinois

· .

•

document number reviewer	47 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	\$-87-1205 กอ
author	Muwanga, C.L Cole, R.P. Sloan, J.P. Bruce, E. Dove, A.F. Dave, S. H.	year volume number pages month or issue	1986 17 1 37-39 January
title	Cardian contusion in patients wearing s	eat belts	
source	Injury		
publication place			
indicator desc.	14 cases with chest injury, EKG changes	, rise in CK-NB isoenzyme level	
	thorax/abdominal injuries myocardial contusion(+), measured by en	zyme CK + CK-MB	
what was counted	hospital admissions, hospital st ays		
how it was reported	% distribution, number		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval., case r	eports	
source records	hospital records, inpatient records		
body part(s) studied	heart/lung, thorax		
		1	
severity coding		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 	
miscellaneous related variables	seating position, belt use		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	From 8 hours to 72 hours, look for rise belt and non seatbelt. All cases had th	of 4 % in indicator. Controls were oracic injuries, ribs or sternum frac	both seat tures
	Not usefulall cases already have thor	acic injuries.	
		4	• •
		ŝ	

source institution

=

document number reviewer	17 Miller	accession number abstract only?	S-84-0258 no
author	Neuman, R.J. Jones, I.S.	year volume number pages month or issue	1984 24 2 129-135
title	A prospective study of 413 consecutive car occupants wi	th chest injuries	
source	Journal of Trauma		
publication place			
indicator desc.	chest injuries		
	English hospital study		
	used collision damage classification		
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	% distribution		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	hospital records		
body part(s) studied	head, face, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/pelvic o upper extremities, lower extremities	contents, extremities/	pelvis,
severity coding	AIS, MAIS, ISS		
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, seating position, vehicle parts, b	pelt use, speed	
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	severity and type of chest injury compared for restrain	ned vs unrestrained.	
	percentage of some chest injuries increased for belt-w	earers	
	belt use decreased severity of injury		

:

source institution John Radcliffe Hospital Oxford, England

•

÷

document number reviewer	84 Benjamin	accession number NSC abstract only? no	
author	Nordentoft, E.L. Nielsen, H.V. Eriksen, E. Weeth, R.	year 1977 volume number pages month or issue	
title	Effect of mandatory seat belt legislation moderate injury	in Denmark, with special regard to minor	and
source publication place	Proceedings of the 6th International Conf Accidents and Traffic Medicine	erence of the International Association of	;
indicator desc.	body parts: head (-), trunk (+), neck (+) per cent of total injury ascribed to body	pert	
	denominator of rates: average daily traff		
what was counted	ER visits, injuries		
now it was reported	% distribution, ratés (denominator: avera	ge daily traffic)	
now it was used	SBUL evaluation, epidemiological studies	• <i>•</i> •	
source records	accident records, hospital records		
oody part(s) studied	head, neck/throat, neck, thorax, abdomen/ extremities, lower extremities	pelvic contents, extremities/pelvis, uppe	r
severity coding	disability days	1 4 2	
miscellaneous related variables	seating position, belt use, disability da	ays	
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	tabulates seat belt use vs. non belt use		
*			

A-82

document number reviewer	21 Miller	accession number abstract only?	C-84-1311 no
author	Norin, H. Carlsson, G. Korner, J.	year volume number pages month or issue	1984
title	Seat belt usage in Sweden and its injury reducing effec	t	
source publication place	Advances in Belt Restraint Systems: design, performance Automotive Engineers Int. Congress Warrendale, Pennsylvania	, and usage; Society	of
indicator desc.	head injury rate and chest injury rate		
	comparison of rates before and after Swedish SBUL		
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	rates		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval., SBUL evaluation		
source records	corporate records		
body part(s) studied	head, thorax		
severity coding	AIS		
miscellaneous related variables	seating position, belt use		
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	minor and moderate injuries (-), severe injuries (-), overall injury rate (-)		
	minor to moderate chest (+), severe chest (-), minor to moderate head (-), severe head (-)		

source institution Volvo, Sweden

.

document number reviewer	127 Willer	accession number 1LL abstract only? no	
author	Nygren, A.	year '82? volume number pages month or issue	:
title	Injuries to car occupantssome aspec 5-year material from an insurance com	ts of the interior safety of cars: a study of a pany	
source	Acta Otolaryngologica		
publication place		- 	
indicator desc.			
		· · · ·	
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	% distribution, number		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval., epid	emiological studies	
source records	insurance records, hospital records,	accident records	
body part(s) studied	head, face, neck/throat, neck, spine, extremities/pelvis	thorax, abdomen/pelvic contents,	
		* •	
severity coding	ISS, AIS		
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, seating position	, accident type, belt use, injury type	
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	severe injuries occurred twice as oft ones. 3 times more often for unbelte	en among unbelted front-seat passengers as belte d drivers than belted.	d
	positive effect of seat belts in dimi	nishing severity of injury. approximately 30 %	
	Georgase in number of injuries.f8C181	ingeries recontinety ingin animing betted of 1987s	

.

5

.

source institution Kaloniska Hospital, Sweden Folksam Insurance Group, Sweden

ъ

document number reviewer	3 Miller	accession number abstract only?	C-84-1997 no
author	O'Day, J. Scott, R.E.	year volume number pages month or issue	1984 14 5 1-5
title	Myths and Realities of Seat Belt Use		
source	UNTRI Research Review		
publication place			
indicator desc.	consciousness after crash, ejection		
what was counted	injuries, number of conscious occupants, number of ejection	ons	
how it was reported	% distribution, number, rates		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	accident records		
body part(s) studied			
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	ejection, belt use		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	positive effect of belts on consciousness after crash and	preventing ejection	n
	consciousness after crash (+), ejection (-)		

source institution NCSS, NASS

.

.

author O'Day, J. Scott, R.E. ramber 2 pages 141- month or issue Summ title Safety belt use, ejection and entreparent source Health Education Guarterly publication place indicator desc. relates to fatalities EMS reports ejections what was counted injury episodes, ER visits how it was X distribution, number reported how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, special studies body part(s) studied severity coding miscellaneous related variables source indicator below in the severity coding miscellaneous ejection	ocument number eviewer	75 Benjamin		accession number abstract only?	C-85-2298 no
title Safety belt use, ejection and entrepment source Health Education Quarterly publication place indicator desc. indicator desc. relates to fatalities EMS reports ejections what was counted injury episodes, ER visits now it was X distribution, number reported safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, special studies source records ejection studied ejection	luthor	O'Day, J. Scott, R.E.		year volume number pages month or issue	1984 11 2 141-146 Summer
source Health Education Quarterly publication place indicator desc. relates to fatalities EMS reports ejections what was counted injury episodes, ER visits tow it was X distribution, number reported tow it was used safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, special studies sody part(s) studied severity coding hiscellaneous ejection elated variables studief tow like X accident records accident accident records accident a	litle	Safety belt use, ejection and entrapm	ent i		
publication place indicator desc. relates to fatalities EWS reports ejections what was counted injury episodes, ER visits how it was reported X distribution, number how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, special studies body part(s) studied ejection severity coding ejection miscellaneous related variables ejection	OURCE	Health Education Quarterly	5 *		
indicator desc. relates to fatalities EMS reports ejections what was counted injury episodes, ER visits how it was reported X distribution, number how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, special studies body part(s) studied severity coding ejection miscellaneous related variables ejection	ublication place				
EMS reports ejections what was counted injury episodes, ER visits how it was X distribution, number reported how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, special studies body part(s) studied severity coding miscellaneous ejection related variables ejection	ndicator desc.	relates to fatalities			
what was counted injury episodes, ER visits how it was X distribution, number reported how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, special studies body part(s) studied severity coding miscellaneous ejection related variables		ENS reports ejections	· . •		
what was counted injury episodes, ER visits how it was X distribution, number reported how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, special studies body part(s) studied severity coding miscellaneous ejection related variables sbul link? no					
how it was X distribution, number reported how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, special studies body part(s) studied severity coding miscellaneous ejection related variables	hat was counted	injury episodes, ER visits			
now it was used safety belt effectiveness eval. source records accident records, special studies body part(s) studied severity coding miscellaneous ejection related variables sbul link? no	ow it was eported	% distribution, number			
source records accident records, special studies	ow it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.			
body part(s) studied severity coding miscellaneous ejection related variables	ource records	accident records, special studies	4 2 2		
severity coding miscellaneous ejection related variables sbul link? no	ody part(s) tudied		- - 		
severity coding miscellaneous ejection related variables sbul link? no					
miscellaneous ejection related variables sbul link? no	everity coding				
sbul link? no	iscellaneous elated variables	ejection	и 		
	bul link?	no			
results desc. statistics on ejections, fire, submersion, with and without seat belts	esults desc.	statistics on ejections, fire, submer	sion, with and wit	hout seat belts	

2

ţ

source institution NASS, UMTRI, NCSS

document number reviewer	36 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	S-87-1110 no
author	Olney, D.B. Marsden, A.K.	year volume number pages month or issue	1986 17 6 365-367
title	The effect of head restraints and seat belts on the inci- accidents	dence of neck injury	in car
source	Injury *		
publication place			
indicator desc.	neck injuries		
	head restraint evaluation		
what was counted	ER visits, Injuries		
how it was reported	number		
how it was used	other evaluation		
source records	hospital records, ER records		
body part(s) studied	neck, neck/throat		
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	belt use, vehicle parts		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	Tabulations of indicator by restraints & seat belts, or 126 patients. No effect	none.	
	•		

source institution Accident and Emergency Department, Pinderfields General Hospital, Wakefield, England

.

-

.

.

document number reviewer	109 Benjamin		a	abstract only?	NSC NO
author	Orsay, E.M. Turnbull, T.L. Dunne, M. Barrett, J.A. Langenberg, P. Orsay, C.P.		-	year volume number pages month or issue	1988 260 24 3598-3603 Dec.23/30
title	Prospective study of the effec motor-vehicle accidents	t of safety	belts on morbidity	/ and health care	costs in
source	Journal of the American Medica	l Associati	on		
publication place			•		
indicator desc.	severity of injury, health car	e costs			
	ISS based on AIS overall score ISS=sum of top three AIS, squa hospital charges	red	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
what was counted	hospital stays, hospital admis	sions, ER	visits, costs		
how it was reported	% distribution, averages		1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval	•	•		
source records	hospital records, ER records,	inpatient	ecords		
body part(s) studied					
severity coding	100		3 9 9 7 7 1		
Sever ity county	122		- -		
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, belt use,	, seating p	osition, speed, alc	ohol use	
sbul link?	no				
results desc.	compares mean severity scores impact, position of victim.	and costs	for users vs. nonus	ers. Subsets by t	type of
source institution	Patricia Langenberg School of Public Health University of Illinois		<u></u>		

. .

ł

4 Chicago hospitals study of cases presented to ER aftermotor-vehicle accidents

18

,

document number reviewer	31 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	DIALOG yes
author	Patel, B.C.K. Morgan, L.H.	year volume number pages month or issue	1988 5 1 21-25
title	Penetrating eye injuries in road traffic accidents		
source	Archives of Emergency Medicine		
publication place			
indicator desc.	penetrating eye injuries eye injuries (incidence/nature) (-) partial/permanent loss of visual acuity (-) visual loss from shattered windshields	•	
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	% distribution, number		
how it was used	epidemiological studies		
source records	hospital records, ER records, inpatient records		
body part(s) studied	face, eye		
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	vehicle parts		
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	tabulated indicators by restraint use, also before and aft 8 out of 8 before law, no seat belt. 6 out of 8 after law, no seat belt	er law.	

source institution Manchester Eye Hospital, England

.

.

•

document number reviewer	63 Race	accession number Gurin abstract only? no
author	Peterson, T.D.	year 1988 volume
		number pages month or issue
title	Comparative summary of injuries and hospit of motor-vehicle accidents	al costs in the belted vs. unbelted victim
source		· · · ·
publication place		
indicator desc.	fractures, lacemation, head injury, hospit	al admissions, hospital costs
	health care	
		* # *
		-
what was counted	hospital admissions , hospital stays, injur	ies, costs, fractures, lacerations
how it was reported	% distribution, number	4 - - - -
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.	
source records	hospital records	· · ·
body part(s) studied	external, head, neck/throat, neck, spine, extremities/pelvis	thorax, abdomen/pelvic contents,
severity coding	155	5 7 7 7 7
miscellaneous related variables	type of vehicle, ejection, belt use, inju position, speed, alcohol, age	ry type, crash configuration, seating
sbul link?	no	4 7
results desc.	emergency rooms of 16 hospitals were surve	eyed, unbelted to belted victims
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	•	
source institution	Timothy D. Peterson, M.D. Director, Iowa Safety Restraint Assessmen 1200 Pleasant Street	t Study

-

h

•

-

.

÷

7

document number reviewer	20 Miller	accession number abstract only?	C-84-131 no
author	Petrucelli, E.	year volume number pages	1984
		month or issue	
title	The USA and safety belt use: a prognosis	for the remainder of the '80s	
source	Advances in Belt Restraint Systems: design	n, performance, and usage; Society (of
publication place	Warrendale, Pennsylvania		
indicator desc.	intensive care unit admissions, plastic s cases (cites other studies)	urgery clinic referrals, eye trauma	clinic
	cites results from other studies		
what was counted	medical procedures, clinic visits		
how it was reported	number		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records			
body part(s) studied			
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables			
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	referred to indicators cited from Canadia	n and English sources.	

;

.

source institution Canadian Ministry of Transportation and Communication

document number reviewer	100 Landes	accession number abstract only?	S-87-1352 no
author .	Petrucelli, E.	year volume number pages month or issue	1987 27 7 706-710 July
title	Seat belt laws: the New York experience-	preliminary data and some observat	tions
source	Journal of Trauma		
publication place			
indicator desc.	casualty reductions, includes fatalities, occupant head injuries.	but also occupant injuries by seve	erity,
	Compares 1985 with 1980-1984 average		
what was counted	injuries, insurance claims		
how it was reported	number, rates		
how it was used	SBUL evaluation		
source records	accident records, insurance records	- - 	
body part(s) studied	head		
severity coding			
berefity boaring		2	
miscellaneous related variables	belt use	2 2	
sbul link?	ves		
results desc.	positive effects of seatbelt law in N.Y.		
	(as demonstrated by several factors)		
		1	

*.

.

Ħ

.

source institution

,

•

.

Petty, P.G.	month or	year volume number pages issue	1977
The influence of seat belt wearing on the incidence of se	evere head in	jury	
Proceedings of the 6th International Conference of the In Accident and Traffic Medicine	nternational	Associat	tion for
head injury mild, severe mild defined as full faculties after one week severe defined as impaired faculties after one week			
injuries			
number			
SBUL evaluation			U
hospital records			
head, face			
· · ·			
no			
incidence of head injuries from year to year. Seat belt use not recorded. Followed seat belt legislation, no records prior to legi No good controls, essentially anecdotal study,	islation		
	Petty, P.u. The influence of seat belt wearing on the incidence of a Proceedings of the 6th International Conference of the I Accident and Traffic Medicine head injury mild, severe mild defined as full faculties after one week severe defined as impaired faculties after one week injuries number SBUL evaluation hospital records head, face No incidence of head injuries from year to year. Set belt use not recorded. Followed seat belt legislation, no records prior to leging Ho mod controls escentially apecdatal study.	no no no no no no no no no no	Petty, r.u. volume master pages month or issue The influence of seat belt waring on the incidence of severe head injury Proceedings of the 6th International Conference of the International Associat Accident and Traffic Redicine head injury mild, severe mild defined as full faculties after one week severe defined as impaired faculties after one week head, face

.

source institution Prince Henry's Hospital Helbourne, Australia

.

.

*

,,

.

7

document number reviewer	121 Niller	accession number ILL abstract only? no
author	Pietrafesa, C.A. Hoffman, J.R.	year 1983 volume 249 number 24 pages 3342-3344 month or issue June 24
title	Traumatic dislocation of the hip	* * * *
source	Journal of the American Medical Associat	fon
publication place		3 T
indicator desc.	·	
what was counted	injuries	
iow it was reported	number	
now it was used	case reports	:
source records	hospital records	
oody part(s) studied	extremities/pelvis, pelvis	
severity coding		
niscellaneous related variables	vehicle parts, belt use	•
sbul link?	no	
results desc.	not an evaluation study. However, autho virtually eliminate this injury.	r states that regular use of seat belts would
		- - -

۰.

5

٠

source institution

document number reviewer	15 Miller 	accession number abstract only?	C-82-0164 / no
author	Plueckhahn, V.D.	year volume number pages	1980 20 1 28-34
title	Road traffic accidents and the prevention of	injury and death of vehicle occ	upants
source	Nedical Science and the Law		
publication place	•		
indicator desc.	injuries per 10,000 vehicles		
		·	

what was counted injuries

how it was reported		rate	\$		
how	it	Was	used	SBUL	evaluation

source records accident records

body part(s) studied

.

.

.

severity coding

miscellaneous related variables

sbul link?	yes
results desc.	rates before and after SBUL in Victoria, Australia
	injuries per 10,000 vehicles (-)

source institution

locument number eviewer	123 Miller		accession number ILL abstract only? no
uthor	Prentice, H.A.J.		year 1979 volume number pages month or issue
itle	Seat belt effectiveness: possibl	e benefits and adve	rtising
OUFCE	1979 International Symposium on	Seat Belts in Tokyo	
publication place			
indicator desc.		\$;	
		;	
what was counted	injuries	à	
ow it was eported	% distribution, number		
now it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	accident records		
		r T	
oody part(s) studied	head, neck/throat, neck, spine, upper extremities, lower extremi	thorax, abdomen/pel ities, pelvis	vic contents, extremities/pelvis,
severity coding	AIS		
miscellaneous related variables	belt use		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	positive effect of belts for all	most all parts of bo	dy
		2 •	
		- F - 486L	

.

æ

.

۲

document number reviewer	26 Miller	accession number abstract only?	S-84-0815 no
author	Pye, G. Waters, E.A.	year volume number pages	1984 288 756-757
		month or issue	March 10
title	Effect of seat belt legislation on injuries in road traff	ic accidents in Not	tingham
source	British Medical Journal		
publication place			
indicator desc.	number of injuries by AIS before and after legislation part of body: face, head, neck, chest, all	· · · ·	
what was counted	ER visits, injuries		
how it was reported	number		
how it was used	SBUL evaluation		
source records	hospital records, ER records		
body part(s) studied	head, face, neck/throat, neck, thorax		
severity coding	AIS, ISS		
miscellaneous related variables			
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	<pre>number of injuries (-), moderate injuries (-), severe inj neck (-), chest (+/-)</pre>	juries (-), face (-)	, head (-),

:

,

٠

source institution large teaching hospital in the U.K.

.

<u>.</u>

÷

document number reviewer	2 Miller	accession number abstract only?	Gurin no
Buthor	Reinfurt, D.W. Campbell, B.J. Stewart, J.R. Stutts, J.C.	year volume number pages month or issue	1988
title	North Carolina's Occupant Restraint Law	A Three-Year Evaluation (excerpts)	
source	University of North Carolina Highway Sa	ety Research Center	
publication place	Chapel Hill, North Carolina	: : .	
indicator desc.	number of moderate and serious injuries A & B injuries, using ABCK scale		
		2 4 •	
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	number		
how it was used	SBUL evaluation	2 	
source records	accident records		
body part(s) studied			,
		•	
severity coding	KABC		
miscellaneous related variables	seating position, belt use		
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	time series for pre-law, warning phase,	citation phase	
	positive effect of law on reducing inju	ries	
	serious and fatal injuries (-5.4 % warn	ng phase, -14.6 % citation phase)	
		9 3	

يد

٠

ú

ų,

source institution UNC HSRC Reinfurt or Campbell

i.

•

document number reviewer	10 Miller	accession number NSC abstract only? NO
author	Rutherford, W.H. Greenfield, T. Hayes, H.R.M. Nelson, J.K.	year volume numeer pages month or issue
title	The Medical Effects of Seat Belt Legis	ation in the United Kingdom
source	Her Majesty's Stationery Office	
publication place	London	
indicator desc.	ER arrivals (-), hospital admissions (- bed occupancy (-), severe injuries (-), brain (-), lung (-), sternum (+), neck Total number of injuries, injuries by a admissions, and bed days. Parts of body: abdomen, pelvis, brain, Nature of injury: fractures, dislocation), face (-), eye (-), sprain (+). severity, part of body, nature of injury, hospital eye, head/neck, rib, face, spine & back, thorax. ons, sprain, abrasion, etc.
what was counted	hospital admissions, hospital stays, he	ospital bed-days, ER visits, injuries
how it was reported	% distribution, number	
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval., SBUL (evaluation
source records	hospital records, ER records, inpatien	: records
body part(s) studied	head, cranium, brain, face, eye, mouth lumbar, thorax, heart/lung, sternum, r upper extremities, lower extremities, j	neck/throat, neck, spine, cervical, thoracic, bs, abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/pelvis, pelvis
severity coding	AIS, MAIS, ISS	
miscellaneous related variables	seating position, belt use, injury type	
sbul link?	yes	
results desc.	see results on pp. 85-86	

. . .

source institution 15 hospitals in the U.K.

.

~

Ę.

	<u>k</u>	
document number reviewer	7 Miller	accession number Gurin abstract only? yes
Buthor	Ryan, P. Ragazzon, R.	year 1979 volume 49 number 2
		pages 200- month or issue April
title	Abdominal injuries in survivors of roa Victoria	d trauma before and since seatbelt legislation in
source	Australia and New Zealand Journal of S	urgery
publication place		
indicator desc.	abdominal injuries	
	comparison of 7 years pre- and post-le	gislation (no change)
	counted number of hospital admissions	mainly
what was counted	hospital admissions, hospital stays	
how it was reported	number	
how it was used	SBUL evaluation	
source records	inpatient records, hospital records	
body part(s) studied	abdomen/pelvic contents	
severity coding	AIS	
miscellaneous related variables	belt-induced injury	
sbul link?	ves	
results desc.	no change in proportion of admission admissions for gastrointestinal tract	for abdominal injuries, increase for number of and diaphragm
		:

÷

G,

source institution St. Vincent's Hospital, Melbourne, Australia 1

document number reviewer	89 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	s-79-0530 no
author	Sabey, B.E. Grant, B.E. Nobbs, C.A.	year volume number pages month or issue	1977
title	Alleviation of injuries by use of seat belts		
source	Proceedings of the 6th International Conference of the Accident and Traffic Medicine	International Associa	tion for
publication place			
indicator desc.	AIS 4-6 body parts: head (-), spine (-), neck (+), chest (-), abdomen (-), thigh (-), pelvis and hips (-) foot and ankle (+)	•	
	injuries per 1,000 occupants Note: most studies give % distribution and do not incl	ude uninjured.	
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	number		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	hospital records		
body part(s) studied	head, neck/throat, neck, spine, thorax, abdomen/pelvic	contents, extremities	/pelvis
severity coding	AIS		
miscellaneous related variables	seating position, belt use		
sbul link?			
results desc.	tabulate AIS 0-6 measure belted reduction % vs. expected from unbelted a	with severity AIS 2-6	

source institution Transport and Road Research Laboratory Crowthorne, Berks, England

.

'n

.

•

.....

-

document number reviewer	91 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	s-87-1356 no
author ·	Sato, T.B.	year volume number pages month or issue	1987 27 7 754-758 July
title	Effects of seat belts and injuries resul	ting from improper use	
source	Journal of Trauma	· · · ·	
publication place		: ;	
indicator desc.	<pre>body part: head (-), face (-), neck (+), chest (+), abdomen (+), legs (-),</pre>	êrms (-),	
	Hospital stay. Body part, % distributio Hospital staysevere defined as > or = parameter of speed	n of Injuries. 30 days.	
what was counted	persons injured	· 3 - - -	
how it was reported	% distribution, number	· •	
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.	\$ 1	
source records	accident records		
body part(s) studied	head, face, neck/throat, neck, thorax, a	bdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/	pelvis
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	belt use	5 	
shul link?	•	2 5 -	
results desc.	tabulate % distribution for seat belt us Serious stay vs. speed of impact	ers vs. non-seat belt use.	
		2 	
source institution	National Police Agency		

ĺ

4
document number reviewer	42 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	-79-052 no
euthor	Schmidt, G. Kallieris, D. Kappner, R. Mattern, R.	year volume number pages	1977
title	Schult, F. Forensic pathological and biomechanical experiences aft	month or issue er the first year of I	mendatory
source	6th Annual Conference of the International Association	of Accidents and Traf	fic
publication place	Nedicine		
indicator desc.	per cent of all cases using seat belts	,	
	spine injuries paraplegia, p.11 percent of all cases using sest belts		
what was counted	injuries		
how it was reported	% distribution, number		
how it was used	literature review		
source records	other literature		
body part(s) studied	spine		
severity coding	AIS		
miscellaneous related variables	belt use		
sbul link?	yes		

source institution References not given

document number reviewer	85 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	C-79-2629 no
author	Seeney, K.M.	year volume number pages month or issue	1977
title.	Queensland experience of compulsory wear	ing of seat belts	
source publication place	Proceedings of the 6th International Con Accidents and Traffic Medicine	ference of the International Associa	tion of
indicator desc.	head (-), chest (-) per cent of total injuries by body part		
what was counted	persons injured, injuries		
how it was reported	% distribution, number		
how it was used	SBUL evaluation		
source records	hospital records		
body part(s) studied	head, spine, thorax, abdomen/pelvic cont	ents, extremities/pelvis	
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	belt use		
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	tabulates seat belt use vs. nonuse		

1

₹.

,

ч

source institution Public Hospitals in Brisbane area, Australia

document number reviewer	70 Race	accession number abstract only?	C-87-0834 no
author	Sleet, D.A.	year Volume number pages month or issue	1984 11 2 113-125 Summer
title	Reducing motor vehicle trauma through health pr	omotion programming	
source	Health Education Quarterly		
publication place			
indicator desc.	estimated number of deaths and injuries saved (assumes 80 % compliance/preventable if belt use	?) was mandated, breakdown by s	tate
what was counted	injuries saved		
how it was reported			
how it was used			
source records			
body part(s) studied			
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	state		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	issue not relevant except for attached table		

source institution Highway Users Federation

document number reviewer	52 Fearn	accession number S-87-2293 abstract only? no
author	States, J.D. Huelke, D.F. Dance, M. Green, R.N.	year 1987 volume 27 number 7 pages 740-745 Bonth or issue July
title	Fatal injuries caused by underarm us	e of shoulder belts
source	Journal of Trauma	
publication place		,
indicator desc.	injuries, fatal and nonfatal, to the including those to intestines, liver wearing belts under arm or above pel	abdomen. , spleen, kidneys, aorta, lungs and diaphragm, from vis
what was counted	injuries	
how it was reported		
how it was used	case reports	
source records	hospital records, ER records	
body part(s) studied	thorax, heart/lung, ribs, abdomen/pe	lvic contents
severity coding		
miscellaneous related variables	belt-induced injury, crash configure	tion, belt use
sbul link?	no	
results desc.	the indicator was used to illustrate about as a result of seat belt laws, injuries. case studies	that with the increased us of seat belts that comes so also will come cases of misuse and associated
	increase inbelt use due to laws lead abdomen, ribs, etc.	s to increase in misuse and resulting injuries to
source institution	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		;

ŧ

÷

2

A-106

reviewer	Race	abstract only? no
author	States, J.D. Ingersoll, G.L. Annechiarico, R.P. Carleen, E.D.	year 1986 volume number pages
title	Good, R.G. Lieou, J., et al. The effect of the New York State safety belt law on ho County (Rochester) New York	month or issue ospital admissions in Monroe
source	30th Annual Proceedings of the American Association fo	or Automotive Medicine
publication place		
indicator desc.	injury patterns: total number, head, lower extremity injuries	injuries, serious chest/abdomin
	health care	
what was counted	hospital admissions, hospital stays	
how it was reported	number	
how it was used	SBUL evaluation	
source records	accident records, hospital records, ER records, inpati	ient records
body part(s) studied	head, face, neck/throat, neck, spine, cervical, thorad abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/pelvis, upper ext	cic, lumbar, thorax, tremities, lower extremities
severity coding	AIS, ISS, ICD	
miscellaneous related variables	belt use, alcohol	
sbul link?	yes	
nogulto docc	decrease in hospital admissions also.	ner or local hospital, emergence

•

source institution University of Rochester, Rochester, New York

document number reviewer	66 Race		accession number abstract only?	NSC ILL no
author	Stewart, A.L. Ware, J.E. Brook, R.H.		year volume number pages	1981 19 5 473-488
title	Advances in the measurement of funct	ional status: constru	uction of aggregate	indexes
source	Medical Care	2		
publication place				
indicator desc.	measure of physical capacities and l	imitations		
	general disability/rehabilitation	· · ·		
		4 : *		
what was counted	persons involved			
		1 m 4		
how it was reported	X distribution, number, scores	E T		
how it was used	chronic limitations index	, , с		
source records	special questionnaire			
hody part(s)				
studied				
		х 4		
		2 -		
severity coaing				
miscellaneous related variables		2 2		
		3 1 1		
sbul link?	no			
results desc.	both chronic limitations and those o	of shorter duration		
			•	
source institution	Anita Stewart Social Science Department The Health Insurance Study The Rand Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica California 90/06			

۳.

4

A-108

document number reviewer	29 Landes	accession number abstract only?	s-82-0129 no
author	Tarriere, C. Leung, Y.C. Fayon, A.	year volume number pages month or issue	1981
title	Field facial injuries and study of their simula	tion with dummy	
source publication place	Proceedings of the 25th STAPP Car Crash Conferen San Francisco, Calif.	nce, Society of Automotive En	gineers,
indicator desc.	face (except eye) facial/jaw injuries		
what was counted	injury episodes, injuries		
how it was reported	X distribution, number		
how it was used	epidemiological studies		
source records	corporate records		
body part(s) studied	head, face		
severity coding	AIS		
miscellaneous related variables	speed		
sbul link?			
results desc.			

source institution

document number reviewer	9 Miller	accession number NSC abstract only? no	
suthor	Thode, H.C. Barancik, J.I.	year 1987 volume number pages	
		month or issue	
title	Use of Logistic Regression in the N	ew York State Seatbelt Law	
source	Presented at the 1987 Joint Statist	ical Heetings, San Francisco, August 1987	
publication place		· · · · ·	
indicator desc.	injuries by severity, part of body a	and nature of injury	· · ·
	ties results to NY SBUL		÷
hat was counted	injuries, ER visits	i -	
now it was reported	rates		
iow it was used	epidemiological studies, SBUL evalu	stion	
ource records	nospital records, EK records		
ody part(s)	head, face, neck/throat, neck, spin	e, cervical, thorax, abdomen/pelvic contents,	
ludied	extremities/petvis, upper extremition	es, lower extremities	
evenity coding	AIS		
ni scel laneous	injury type		
related variables		1	
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	document is marked "Draft, not to b	e cited"	
	regression analysis for pre- / post	-law.	
	Found head and neck injuries decrea	sed after law.	
	head (-), neck (-), cervical strain Also in particular, external injuri- thorax/abdomen (+), extremities (+)	with other spinal injury (-) es to head decreased after law. Face (-), . cervical strain (+), nose/iaw fractures (+).	

source institution all hospitals in Suffolk County, New York

data gathered by Brookhaven National Laboratory and Department of Community and Preventive Medicine at S.U.N.Y. at Stony Brook

4

document number reviewer	79 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	s-81-0681 no
author	Thomas, C. Faverjon, G. Wenry, C.	year Volume rumber	1980
· . ·	Tarriere, C. Got, C. Petel A	month or issue	
title	Comparative study of 1,624 belted and 3,242 non-be effectiveness of seat belts	elted occupents: results on	the
source	Proceedings of the 24th Conference of the America	n Association for Automotiv	e Medicine
publication place			
indicator desc.	head injuries, pelvic injuries by AIS, ejection	yes, no	
what was counted	injury episodes, injuries		
how it was reported	% distribution		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records	accident records, supplemented by in-depth invest	igation of accident by team	I
body part(s) studied	head, thorax, abdomen/pelvis		
severity coding	AIS		
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, ejection, belt use, speed		
	no		
SOUL LINK?		•	

÷

source institution

document number reviewer	120 Miller	; : -	accession number abstract only?	ILL no
author	Thompson, J.F. Wood, R.F.N. Cahill, A.P. Franklin, P.N. Morris, P.J.	4 4 4	year volume number pages month or issue	1983 287 1260-1261 Oct. 29
title	Kidney transplantation and seat b	elt legislation		
source	British Medical Journal			
publication place		4		
indicator desc.		4		
		- -		
		4 2 2		
		-		
what was counted	consumption of medical supplies			
how it was reported	number			
how it was used	SBUL evaluation			
source records	hospital records	2 2		
		• •		
body part(s)	abdomen/pelvic contents			
studied		in te te		
severity coding			·	
miscellaneous related variables				
sbul link?	yes	4 1		
results desc.	SBULs have small effect on number only 9 were car occupants	of donors. Of	100 kidney donors in year	before law,
		8 1		

source institution

A-112

document number reviewer	81 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	C-79-262 no
author	Toomath, J.B.	year volume number pages	1977
title	Compulsory seat belt legislation in New Zealand	month of Isaue	
source	6th International Conference of the International Asso Medicine	ciation for Accidents	and Traffi
publication place			
indicator desc.	body part: head(-), neck(+), trunk(+), ejections(-), trunk (+), shoulder (-), steering wheel (+), also mechanisms of injury	·.	
what was counted	injury episodes		
how it was reported	X distribution		
how it was used	SBUL evaluation		
source records	accident records		
body part(s) studied			
severity coding			
	belt use		
miscellaneous related variables			
miscellaneous related variables sbul link?	yes		

•

;

•

source institution National Statistics Traffic Research New Zealand

•

».

...

document number reviewer	98 Renjamin	3 1 •	accession number abstract only?	C-80-3588 no
author	Trinca, G.		year volume number pages month or issue	1980 8 36-38
title	Nedical aspects of seat belt usage			
source	Journal of Traffic Medicine			
publication place				
indicator desc.	<pre>severity, ejection, head (-), face (-), eye injuries (-), spinal cord (-), knee sternum fractures (+)</pre>	chest (-), and thigh (-),		
	literature review	- - 		
	indicators not defined some percentages given			
what was counted		5 		
how it was reported				
how it was used				
cource seconds		e :		
		;		
		÷		
body part(s) studied				
		2 1 2		
severity coding		- - 		
		8		
miscellaneous related variables				
sbul link?	no	4 -		
results desc.	quoted from a pumber of articles	: •		
		-		
		T		
	/			
source institution	Gordon W. Trinca, OBE, MBBS, FRACS Chairman, National Road Trauma Committe	e		
	Royal Australasian College of Sugeons Senior Surgeon, Preston & Northcote Com Melbourne, Australia	munity Hospital		

÷

s,

•

ц.

.

A-114

document number reviewer	23 Miller	accession number abstract only?	C-84-1309 no
author	Trinca, G.W.	year volume number pages month or issue	1984
title	Thirteen years of seat belt usage: how great the bene	fits	
source publication place	Advances in Belt Restraint Systems: design, performan Automotive Engineers Int. Congress Warrendale, Pennsylvania	ce, and usage; Society	of
indicator desc.	number and severity of head and chest injuries, numbe hospitals.	r of WV injuries treate	d at
	experience in Victoria, Australia,		
	effects of SBUL in Australia		
what was counted	hospital admissions, hospital stays, ER visits, injur	ies	
how it was reported	% distribution		
how it was used	SBUL evaluation		
source records	hospital records, ER records, accident records		
body part(s) studied	head, thorax		
severity coding	AIS, MAIS		
miscellaneous related variables	belt use		
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	\boldsymbol{X} distributions by part of body for users and nonuser	`\$.	
	head (-), chest (+/-), hospital admissions (-)		

source institution hospital data from Australia

...

-

Preston and Northcote Community Hospital

document number reviewer	124 Miller	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	accession number abstract only?	ILL no
author	Trinca, G.W.		year volume number pages month or issue	1979
title	Medical aspects of seat belt usage			
source	1979 International Symposium on Sea	t Belts in Tokyo		
publication place				
indicator desc.		· · ·	<u> </u>	
		9 -	·	
what was counted	injuries .			
how it was reported	rates, number			
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval., SB	UL evaluation		
source records	hospital records			
body part(s) studied	head, face, thorax			
· · ·			•	
severity coding	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3		
mi scellaneou s related variables	vehicle parts, ejection, belt use			•
sbul link?	yes			
results desc.	belt wearers: injuries of a lower	average severity		0105
	probability of face and chest injur lower probability of	severe nead injury y ejection	ſ	ower

Ì

document number reviewer	107 Race	accession number abstract only?	C-77-2464 no
author	Trince, Like Dooley, B.J.	year volume number pages month or issue	1975 1 675678 May 31
title	The effects of mandatory seat belt wearing on the mortalin car occupants involved in motor vehicle crashes in Victor	ty and pattern of i	njury of
source	Nedical Journal of Australia		
publication place			
indicator desc.	general breakdown of injury types for front and side impa	:ts	
	hospital admissions		
what was counted	hospital admissions, hospital stays, ER visits		
how it was reported			
how it was used	SBUL evaluation		
source records	hospital records		
body part(s) studied	thorax, sternum, abdomen/pelvic contents, extremities/pel	vis, pelvis	
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	belt-induced injury, crash configuration		
sbul link?	yes		
results desc.	basic injury information		

, . .

.

source institution Preston and Northcote Community Hospital, Victoria, Australia

.

Road Trauma Committee of the Royal Australasian College of Surgeons

document number reviewer	73 Benjamin	accession number \$-88-0718 abstract only? no
nuthor	Tumbridge, R.J. Everest, J.T. Wild, B.R. Johnstone, R.A.	year 1988 volume number pages
itle	An in-depth study of road accident cas	wonth or issue ualties and their injury patterns
IOUFCE	Transport and Road Research Laboratory	, Department of Transport, United Kingdom
mublication place		
indicator desc.	MAIS, ejection, entrepment MAIS 0-6, fatal EMS reports ejections	
hat was counted	hospital admissions, hospital stays, E	R visits, injuries, disability days
now it was reported	X distribution, number	
ow it was used	other evaluation	
ource records	hospital records, ER records	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
xody part(s) studied		
everity coding	MAIS	
niscellaneous related variables	seating position, ejection	
sbul link?	no	2
results desc.	tabulate AIS vs. belted and unbelted. for MAIS > or = 3 by location for belted only	Also has information on body part distribution
source institution	Accident Service John Radcliffe Hospital Oxford, England	

o

5

÷

~

ŧ

reviewer	Benjamin	abstract only?	no
author	Vallet, G. Ramet, M. Debiez, G.	year volume number pages month or issue	1986
title	Seat belt efficiency: paired case study with unbelted an	d beited occupants	
source	Proceedings of the IRCOBI Conference, 1986		
publication place			
indicator desc.	accident severity, MAIS front occupants		
what was counted			
how it was reported			
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.		
source records			
body part(s) studied			
severity coding	AIS, DAIS		
miscellaneous related variables	crash configuration, belt use, seating position		
sbul link?	no		
results desc.	paired by accident severity (vehicle damage index) seat belt vs. non seat belt AIS		

•

source institution Laboratoire des Chocs et de Biomecanique FRANCE

-

.

6

	×,	
document number reviewer	108 Race	accession number C-?6-3116 abstract only? no
author	Vaughan, R.G. Wood, R. Croft, P.G.	year 1974 volume 7 number 5 pages 103-127 month or issue
title	Some aspects of compulsory seat selt wear	ing
source	ARRB Proceedings	
publication place		· ·
indicator desc.	injury patterns, don't know if data based on lap or lap-s	houlder belt
		1
what was counted	persons injured, hospital stays, hospita	l admissions, hospital bed-days, accidents
how it was reported	averages, number	
how it was used	SBUL evaluation	£ :
source records	hospital records	
body part(s) studied	head, cranium, neck/throat, neck, spine, extremities, lower extremities, pelvis	thorax, sternum, extremities/pelvis, upper
severity coding		
miscellaneous related variables		
sbul link?	yes	r *
results desc.	see specifically paragraphs 26 through 4	Ô .
		1 -
source institution	Accident Analysis Section Traffic Accident Research Unit Department of Motor Transport New South Wales, Australia	

document number reviewer	51 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	DIALOG yes
author	Vellar, I.D. Vellar, D.J. Mullany, C.J.	year volume number pages month or issue	1976 1 19 694-696 Nay 8
title	Rupture of the bowel due to road trauma		
source	Medical Journal of Australia		
publication place			
indicator desc.	rupture of the bowel (+) surgical diagnosis	ч. По селото село	
	abdominal injuries		
what was counted	hospital admissions, hospital stays		
how it was reported	number		
how it was used	case reports		
source records	hospital records, inpatient records		
body part(s) studied	head, face, abdomen/pelvic contents		
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	vehicle parts, belt use		
sbul link?	no .		
results desc.	case reports 1956-June 1976. 1956-1970, 5 cases, all no belts. 1971-1975, 11 cases, 8 with belts severe head injuries (-) severe face injuries (-) rupture of the bowel (+)		

÷

source institution St. Vincent's Hospital, Melbourne, Australia

•

i.

document number reviewer	30 Hoskin	accession number abstract only?	S-85-000 no
author	Vernon, S.A. Yorston, D.B.	year volume number pages month or issue	1984 77 3 198-200 March
title	Incidence of ocular injuries from road belt legislation	traffic accidents after introduction	of seat
lource	Journal of the Royal Society of Medicin	e ●	
publication place			
indicator desc.	To assess change in pattern of eye inju	ries follow SBUL	
	eye injuries (incidence/nature)		
		- - -	
hat was counted	ER visits	· · ·	
ow it was eported	number		
ow it was used	epidemiological studies	3	
ource records	hospital records, ER records, inpatient	records	
ody part(s)	face, eye	• •	
tudied		•	
everity coding		· · ·	
, .		х	
iscellaneous elated variables	belt use, vehicle parts		
bul link?	yes	,	
esults desc.	eye injuries (-)	, ; ;	
		2 2 2	
		4 	
		1 2 2	
		2 2	
ource institution			

author Waltham-Heuton Tribune year 1986 uoiame rankber pages aource Waltham-Heuton Tribune, 11-6-86 publication place indicator desc. trestmant cost of rehabilitation total modical costs of accident victime indicator desc. trestmant cost of rehabilitation total modical costs of accident victime what was counted ambulance runs, costs what was counted how it was X distribution, averages reported hospital records body part(s) studied studied weit use studied beit use studied beit use studied beit use studied beit use studied set use studied beit use studied beit use sbul link? yes results desc. examined effects of belt waring on total medical costs of accident victime	document number reviewer	117 Fearn	accession number abstract only?	Gurin No
title Hewton-Hellesley Hospital helps with study source Welthem-Hewton Tribune, 11-6-86 publication place Indicator desc. indicator desc. treatment cost of rehabilitation total medical costs of accident victime total medical costs of belted vs. unbelted occupants and pre-/post-law what was counted ambulance runs, costs how it was reported X distribution, averages reported how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval., SBUL evaluation acurce records hospital records body part(s) studied belt use related variables bul link? yes results desc.	author	Waltham-Newton Tribune	year volume number pages month or issue	1986
source Waltham-Heuton Tribune, 11-6-86 publication place treatment cost of rehabilitation total medical costs of accident victims total medical costs of balted vs. unbelted occupants and pre-/post-law what was counted ambulance runs, costs how it was reported X distribution, averages how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval., SBUL evaluation aource records hospital records body part(s) belt use related variables belt use studied set use studied set use studied belt use	title	Newton-Wellesley Hospital helps with study		
publication place indicator desc. trestment cost of rehabilitation total medical costs of accident victims total medical costs of belted vs. unbelted occupants and pre-/post-law what was counted ambulance runs, costs how it was reported X distribution, averages reported how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval., SBUL evaluation source records hospital records body part(s) studied belt use related variables belt link? yes results desc.	SOUFCE	Waitham-Newton Tribune, 11-6-86		
indicator desc., treatment cost of rehabilitation total medical costs of accident victims total medical costs of belted vs. unbelted occupants and pre-/post-law what was counted ambulance runs, costs how it was reported X distribution, averages how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval., SBUL evaluation aource records hospital records body part(s) studied belt use miscellaneous related variables belt use sbul link? yes results desc.	publication place			
what was counted ambulance runs, costs how it was '''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''	indicator desc.	treatment cost of rehabilitation total medical costs of accident victims total medical costs of belted vs. unbelted occupants	and pre-/post-law	
what was counted ambulance runs, costs how it was reported X distribution, averages safety belt effectiveness eval., SBUL evaluation source records hospital records body part(s) studied hospital records severity coding miscellaneous related variables belt use sbul link? yes examined effects of belt wearing on total medical costs of accident victims				
how it was reportedX distribution, averageshow it was usedsafety belt effectiveness eval., SBUL evaluationsource recordshospital recordsbody part(s) studied	what was counted	ambulance runs, costs		
how it was used safety belt effectiveness eval., SBUL evaluation source records hospital records body part(s) studied	how it was reported	% distribution, averages		
<pre>source records hospital records body part(s) studied severity coding miscellaneous related variables belt use sbul link? yes results desc. yes</pre>	how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval., SBUL evaluation		
body part(s) severity coding miscellaneous related variables belt use sbul link? yes results desc. examined effects of belt wearing on total medical costs of accident victims	source records	hospital records		
severity coding miscellaneous belt use related variables belt use sbul link? yes results desc. examined effects of belt wearing on total medical costs of accident victims	body part(s) studied			
miscellaneous belt use related variables sbul link? yes results desc. examined effects of belt wearing on total medical costs of accident victims	severity coding			
sbul link? yes results desc. examined effects of belt wearing on total medical costs of accident victims	miscellaneous related variables	belt use		
results desc. examined effects of belt wearing on total medical costs of accident victims	sbul link?	yes		
	results desc.	examined effects of belt wearing on total medical co	sts of accident victims	
preliminary data indicated as much as a 25 % reduction in medical costs during a 4-m period following implementation of the law		preliminary data indicated as much as a 25 % reduction period following implementation of the law	on in medical costs duri	ing a 4-month

source institution Dr. Charlotte Yeh Massachusetts Chapter of Emergency Physicians

•

document number reviewer	87 Benjamin	accession number S-79-0527 abstract only? no
Buthor	Walz, F. Niederer, P. Zollinger, U. Renfer, A.	year 1977 volume number pages month or issue
title	Analysis of 115 killed and 205 severe	ly injured (OAIS > or = 2) seat belt users
source	Proceedings of the 6th International (Accidents and Traffic Medicine	Conference of the International Association of
publication place		
indicator desc.	body parts, AIS percent of total injuries AIS > or = 2	
ihat was counted	injuries	
now it was reported	% distribution, number	
iow it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.	
ource records	accident records, hospital records	
body part(s) studied	head, face, spine, cervical, thoracic	, lumbar, thorax, abdomen/pelvic contents
severity coding	AIS, DAIS	2
miscellaneous related variables	ejection, belt use, crash configurati	on
sbul link?	no	, į
results desc.	cataloguing of injuries in seat belt part	users, tabulations of percent distributions by bod
·····		<u>}</u>
source institution	Institute of Forensic Medicine University of Zurich Swiss Federal Police Department	•

.•

- .-

document number reviewer	46 Race	accession number abstract only?	NSC ILL No
author	Watson, N.	year volume number pages month or issue	1983 21 1 63-64 Feb. 1
title	Road traffic accidents, spinal injuries and seat belts		
source	Paraplegia		
publication place			
indicator desc.	spine injuries (motor-vehicle related)		
	Australian/U.K. experience		
what was counted	injuries, costs		
how it was reported		•	
how it was used			
source records	hospital records, inpatient records		
body part(s) studied	spine		
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	ejection		
sbul link?	No		
results desc.	probability of spinal injury if ejected		

.

source institution Spinal Injuries Unit Lodge Moor Hospital Sheffield 10 England

)	
document number reviewer	71 Benjamin	accession number DIALOG abstract only? yes
author	Wild, B.R. Kenwright, J. Rastogi, S.	year 1985 volume 290 number 6482 pages 1621-1623 month or issue
title	Effect of seat belts on injuries to front	and rear seat passengers
source	British Medical Journal	
publication place		
indicator desc.	effect of injury by passenger to passenge definition of indicator not clear. "injury", incidence of injury	er collision.
	occupant-to-occupant injuries	
	iniunian.	
what was counted	njuries	
how it was reported		
how it was used	safety belt effectiveness eval.	Х 4
source records		
		1
body part(s) studied		:
severity coding		
miscellaneous related variables	occupant-to-occupant contact, seating pos	sítion
sbul link?	no	
results desc.	2,520 occupants of cars, front and rear s interaction by seat belt and no seat belt	seat passenger t. Restraints decrease injury incidence
source institution	Oxford Road Accident Group John Radcliff Hospital, Oxford, England	

Ξ

۳,

document number reviewer	57 Benjamin	accession number abstract only?	DIALOG yes
author	Wojcik, J.B. Morgan, A.S.	year volume number pages month or issue	1988 17 9 912-914 September
title	Sternal fracturesthe natural history		
SOURCE	Annals of Emergency Medicine		
publication place			
indicator desc.	ribs/sternum/pelvis bruised/fractured sternum		
what was counted	hospital admissions, hospital stays		
how it was reported	X distribution, number		
how it was used	case reports		
source records	hospital records		
body part(s) studied	thorax, heart/lung, sternum, ribs		
severity coding			
miscellaneous related variables	belt use		
sbul link?			
results desc.	66 cases, 59 % in mv accidents most did not wear seat belts. 18% myocardial contusion		

.

3

-

.

1

÷

•

source institution Trauma Unit, St. Vincent Hospital, Hartford, Connecticut

PART B

EXPERT TEAM COMMENTS ON INDICATORS

[See page B-71 for an index of indicators included in Part B.]

Notes:

a, 1

Numbers (e.g., 33) are used to identify expert team members. The same number is used for a particular expert in all parts of this report.

A summary of the expert team ratings of each indicator may be found in Volume I, Appendix D.

Indicators were rated as follows:

- + = an indicator with promise that should be fully explored.
- 0 = an indicator with unknown promise that should be given some attention during the project.
- an indicator with little or no promise that should be eliminated from further consideration during this project.
- DK = no opinion about this indicator (includes no response).

Items marked 0/+ and 0/- were tallied as 0.

,

٤.

7

Expert	<u>Rating</u>	Comments
1	0	
2	0	I don't believe accidents are prevented by seat belt usage but (hopefully) seat belt usage displays less severe injury results in accidents
4	+	
5	0	ok (probably/in non-law states probably useless because of "lie" factor in belt law states
7	+	
8	+	this will be necessary to derive a denominator for other indicators # ejections/crashes
9	+	
12	+	
13	0	
18	-	
19	-	not very useful unless belt use & injury severity recorded reliably by police
25	+	provides basic ref. data
26	+	to show changes in distributions of severity of injuries pre- and post- belt law
28	-	too ambiguous and prone to reporting levels and artifacts
29	-	Do you mean accidents involving injuries - not just accidents - Seat belts are not intended to reduce as per se.
30	-	the indicators rated (-) seem to be based on numbers of accidents or occupants involved in accidents which Mul would not be expected to affect to any great extent, or are surrogate measures for accident severity for which other more precise, measure may be available
31	·+	
33	+	especially if injury severity is assessed by improved scale, i.e., New York -problem may be slow input
35	0	
37	-	unless objective indication of belt use is implemented
38	+	subject to reporting bias
Unknown	0/+	increasing, with SBUL in effect, we see all drivers claiming belt use
Unknown	-	
		B-1

INCIDENCE OF EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE (EMS) CALLS FOR CRASHES; FREQUENCY/RATE

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	+	Do not expect crashes to decrease but can look at injury crashes, vs. property crashes & combine with Trauma Center data.
2	0	
4	+	
5	-	too weak an indicator by itself to detect change
7	dk 👘	
8	0	
9	-	
12	• +	
13	0	
18	-	
19	0	need to distinguish between occupants and non-occupants
25	+	Provides basic ref. data
26	+	
27	-	wide variability or service availability rural/urban would miss persons arriving by private vehicle
28	+ /	
29	-	
30	-	
31	+	
33	+	
35	ο	
37	-	Biased to minor/moderate severity accidents which belt use may not affect
38	-	difficult to get EMT observations/would have to rely on victim self report or police rept.
Unknown	· +	
Unknown	+	į

B-2

Ş

Ē

έ.

÷

AMBULANCE RUN REPORTS

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	-	Meaningless
. 2	+	
4	0	could supplement primary data, from eg police
5	+	maybe they could get belt use on a less biased basis
7	dk	
8	0	
9	+	
12	+	
13	0	
18	-	
19	-	
25	+	must be designed to reflect safety belt usage
26	+	
27	0	currently lacks uniform date set and documentation is often sub standard or incomplete
28	0	
29	dk	This is non-specific - what would you be looking for here?
30	-	
31	+	
33	NR	How is this indicator different from EMS call reports
35	-	
37	-	Biased to minor/moderate severity accidents that belt use may not affect.
38	+	
Unknown	+	
Unknown	+	

TRAUMA SCORE (CHAMPION, 1981)

1

Expert	Rating	Comments
1		(not in isolation)
2	+	
4	dk	
5	-	bias; based on those who show up at the hospital
7	0	
8	+	use with the Injury Severity Scale (155) to compare injury severity of populations pre and post belt laws
9	-	,
12	+	т - -
13	0	
18	+	
19	dk	
25	0	poor indicator
26	+	
27	+	
28	+	
29	dk	Not intended for police use - EMS personnel
30	đk	
31	+	Would need to be careful that all are using the Trauma Score and not the Revised Trauma Score - if the latter? weighted or unweighted?
33	+	would improve discrimination of EMS reports and permit stratification
35	0	
37	+	Assessing changing patterns/severity of injury is important
38	dk	
Unknown	0	
Unknown	+	

B-4

Q.

9

î

2

Indicator: NUMBER/PERCENT OF CASES TRANSPORTED BY AMBULANCE

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	-	meaningless
2	0	
4	NR	is this not included in ambulance run reports?
5	0	maybe
7	dk	
8	0	
9	-	
12	+	
13	-	extremely sensitive to variations in practice/rules for EMS
17	NR	
18	+	Add <u>MVA</u> to Indicator Name
19	-	too unspecific
25	0	
26	+	
27	-	as above: variability, poor documentation
28	0	
29	-	
30	-	
31	+	number rather than percent
33	-	no satisfactory (uniform) bottom line
35	-	
37	-	Biased to minor/moderate severity accident that belt use may not affect
38	-	how do you relate this to belt use, may be proxy measure
Unknown	+	
Unknown	-	

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	-	very small sample
2	dk	
4	-	
5	-	bias; only done in certain biased instances
7	-	
8	Ο	
9	-	
12	•	expect numbers are too small
13	-	
18	-	
19	-	to unspecific
25	+	useful if other EMS data are gathered as well
26	Ο	probably too few cases?
27	0	<pre>many confounding factors & poor documentation & utilization due to: 1) more air medical service available 2) hospital marketing 3) pressure, medical/ legal pressures 4) distance vs. level of care concerns</pre>
28	-	too infrequent - dependent on regional differences in helicopters
29	-	
30	-	Helicopter transfer is a function of how trauma system is organized and triage definitions
31	+ -	
33	-	Non uniform guidelines for dispatch - Most of country not covered
35	-	
37	dk	probably too few numbers
38	-	how do you relate this to belt use, may be proxy measure
Unknown	-	Not representative of general population
Unknown	+	Threshold for helicopter transfer dependent on many factors most SBU info is second hand

5

3

2

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	-	
. 2	dk	
4	-	
5	-	
7	-	
8	-	
9	-	
12	-	expect numbers are too small
13	-	
18	-	add MVA to Indicator name
19	-	too unspecific
25	+	useful if other EMS data is gathered as well
26	dk	
27	0	<pre>many confounding factors & documentation utilization due to 1) more air medical service available 2) hospital marketing pressure 3) medical/legal pressures 4) distance vs. level of care concerns</pre>
28	-	too infrequent dependent on regional differences in helicopter
29	-	
30	• –	
31	+	Number rather than percent
33	-	Non uniform guidelines for dispatch
35	-	
37	dk	probably too few numbers
38	-	how do you relate this to belt use, may be proxy measure
Unknown	-	Threshold for helicopter transfer dependent upon many factors, including Eton use. Also, most are secondary transfers-most SBU info is second hand
Unknown	-	

NUMBER/RATE OF EJECTIONS

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	+	4
2	0	the number of ejections may be significantly related to belt usage but is that what the variable implies?
4	+	!
5	+	good; if you can get it
7	0	- - -
8	+	2
9	+	
12	+	
13	+	but need criteria regarding partial ejection
18	+	
19	+	should decrease as belt use increases but it may be difficult to determine whether ejection occurred
25	+	
26	+	й.
27	+	
28	+	
29	+	Assumes baseline data
30	0	
31	· +	number rather than percent
33	+	
35	0	
37	+	good measure if properly reported
38	+	has promise. Would you collect from police reports?
Unknown	+	
Unknown	+	

,

-

B-8

Indicator:	EMS REPOR PERCENT C VICTIMS A	RTED BELT-USE AMONG CRASH VICTIM; DF BELT WEARERS FOUND AMONG CRASH AND FATALITIES
Expert	Rating	Comments
1	+	
2	+	
4	+	potentially useful, may be problems with reliability especially if there are insurance implications
5	+	maybe
7	0	
8	+	our experience has shown this to be relatively unreliable but somehow belted vs. unbelted must be determined if we intend to compare injury severity
9	+	
12	+	
13	0	
18	+	· ·
19	+ ·	as long as belt use is reported reliably by EMS staff
25	+	
26	0	how often do EMS record this information? may lack reliability if EMS not the first on the Scene
27	+	often the only source to verify
28	+	
29	+	Particularly useful in assessing belt use in serious crashes
30	0/+	
31	+	The belted status of crash victim is important. Our experience is that patients' answers to medical personnel appear to be reasonably truthful & accurate. Fairly high motivation is required by paramedics or ER staff to collect this info in every case.
33	+	
35	0	
37	-	Unless objective indication of belt use is achieved**
· 38	+	Has promise would you collect from police reports
Unknown	· 0	see indicator "Helicopter run reports" I have little faith in SBU or speed as reported by victims
Unknown	+	

•

n

-

.

B-9

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	+	for MV Occupant trauma
2	0	
.4	0	depends on scope of data collected
5	-	probably too crude to detect change
7	0	
8	0	total of visits/# accidents of given severity could provide crude measure of injury severity
9		
12	dk	changes could be due to many causes
13	0	
18	-	*
19	0	need to specify occupants of vehicle
25	0	would require reorientation of personnel
26	+	
27	+	
28	-	Too ambiguous and insensitive unless limited to traffic accident trauma
29	+	Assume baseline data (before/after comparisons)
30	+	
31	+	
33	+	useful if based on defined population
35	0	
37	-	Could be ok but biased to minor & moderate severity accidents that safety belt use may not affect.
38	+	how do you relate to belt use potential as proxy measure
Unknown	0/+	high importance
Unknown	-	

B-10
.

5

.

REDUCTION OR CHANGE IN TYPE OR NATURE OF VISIT

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	+	Change in numbers for MV trauma over time
· 2	+	
4	+	
5	0	maybe
7	-	
8	0	could see an increase or decrease in less severe injuries
9	+	
12	dk	changes could be due to many causes
13	+	but sensitive to reporting customs
18	+	Add MVA to indicator name
19	0	need to specify occupants of vehicle
25	0	
26	+	
27	+	
28	+	
29	+	Assumes baseline data (before and after comparisons)
30	dk	
31	+	
33	+	•
35	+	
37	+	Assessing changing patterns/sensitivity of injury is important
38	+	how do you relate to belt use potential as proxy measure
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	-	

NUMBER OF CASES TREATED VERSUS NUMBER ADMITTED

2

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	NR	Look at percentage of admissions overtime
2	0	
4	-	
5	-	This ratio might be unchanged even if belt use increases greatly
7	0	
8	+	<pre># admitted/total seen is a crude measure of severity</pre>
9	+	
12	dk	changes could be due to many causes
13	0	very sensitive to hospital, census, customs, billing practices
18	+	Add MVA to indicator name
19	+	need to specify occupants of vehicle
25	+	
26	+	
27	+	
28	0	
29	+	Assumes baseline data (before and after comparison)
30	+	
31	+	
33	0	This should be tested with existing data file: i.e., J. Barancik's
35	0	
37	dk	problem with conservative treatment of head injury
38	+	
Unknown	0/+	this is where the money is!
Unknown	-	

AVERAGE VEHICLE OCCUPANT TREATMENT COSTS --OVERALL AND BETWEEN THOSE ADMITTED AND THOSE RELEASED

Expert	<u>Rating</u>	Comments
1	-	cost data extremely difficult
2	-	
4 .	+	Useful if reliably broken down by belt use
5	0	maybe
7	+	
8	+	
9	-	
12	dk	changes could be due to many causes
13	. –	very sensitive to hospital, census, customs, billing, practices
18	+	
19	+	better if belt use could be determined by examining victim for belt induced marks, bruises, burns
25	0	
26	+	
28	+	
27	+	
29	0	Treatment costs would have to be standardized across hospitals. Estimating cost without info on crash severity is incomplete data. Could be very powerful if the circumstances of crash were also known (e.g., seating position, DV, type of crash configuration etc.
30	0	severity of injury and cost of treatment may not be consistent
31	-	There may be no change in proportion of admissions & release and yet the law may have been effective in decreasing mortality
33	0	No better than measuring treatment cases vs. admissions
35	+	
37	+	emphasizes the importance of the word "cost" in the matter
38	-	do not see potential
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	-	

BLOOD TRANSFUSIONS/COMPONENT CONSUMPTION

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	-	no use
2	0	
4	dk	
5	-	probably not
7	-	
8	D	a measure of expended resources and perhaps a measure of injury severity
9	-	
12	dik	changes could be due to many causes
13	-	very sensitive to hospital, census, customs, billing, practices
18	-	
19	-	
25	-	
26	dk	
27	+	
28	-	too insensitive
29	dk	
30	dk	
31	-	some who would have died now stay alive and need blood transfusions
33	-	therapeutic technology is changing too rapidly
35	+	r }
37	dk	
38	-	do not see potential
Unknown	0/+	too many factors at work, much regional variation. AIDS has changed use dramatically
Unknown	-	Sec. 1

HOSPITAL/INPATIENT ADMISSIONS: FREQUENCY/RATE

<u>Expert</u>	<u>Rating</u>	Comments
1	-	not in general
2	0	
4	no rating	Only if vehicle occupants can be identified and belt use known
5	dk	
7	0	
8	+ .	
9	+	
12	+	
13	-	
18	+	
19	-	
25	-	
26	+	
27	0	insurance companies have different criteria to allow for admission, so results may be confounded
28		-
29	+	Assumes baseline data & knowledge about belt use/non-use
30	+	
31	+	
33	+	
35	0	
37	+	cost/utilization driven measures are good
38	no rating	only if related to admission diagnosis
Unknown	0	
Unknown	0	

Expert	<u>Rating</u>	Comments
1	no rating	not in general
2	dk	
4	no rating	useful only if occupants and belt use info is known
5	+	maybe, MV related only
7	-	
8	-	
9	+	
12	+	
13	NR	
18	. +	for MVA
19	no rating	
25	-	
26	-	
27	0	hospitals are continuously manipulating # acute vs. # skilled beds to qualify for economic & political pressures & incentives. This could be confusing
28	-	
29	+	Assumes baseline data & info about use & non-use of belt at time of crash
30	dk	
31	dk	see note attached
33	0	needs testing -? appropriate date file, all hospital admission rates are steadily decreasing
35	0	2
37	+	cost/utilization driven measures are good
38	-	no use
Unknown	0	beware our expected shift in age groups may be a confounder
Unknown	-	

•

Indicator: NUMBER OF HOSPITAL BED-DAYS

Expert	<u>Rating</u>	Comments
1	no rating	
2	0	
4	no rating	useful only if occupants can be identified and belt use known
5	+	maybe, MV related only
7	-	
8	+	a measure of resource utilization & severity
9	-	·. ·
12	+	
13	-	
18	+	Add MVA to indicator name
19	no rating	
25	-	
26	-	
27	-	
28	-	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	
33	0	
35	0	
37	no rating	cost/utilization driven measures are good
38	no rating	only if you can relate to MV induced trauma
Unknown	0	
Unknown	+	

Indicator: AVERAGE HOSPITAL BED-DAYS

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
2	-	
4	no rating	
5	+	maybe, MV related only
7	-	
8	+	
9	-	
12	+	
13	-	
18	+	for MVA
19	+	occupants only
25	-	
26	-	
27	+	
28	-	7
29	NR	1
30	0	
31	dk	
33	0	
35	0	
37	no rating	cost/utilization measures on good
38	no rating	only if you can relate to MV induced trauma
Unknown	dk	
Unknown	+	

B-18

â

FREQUENCY/PERCENT OF CASES INVOLVING SURGICAL INTERVENTION

Expert	<u>Rating</u>	Comments
1	-	
2	dk	
4	no rating	
5	+	maybe, MV related only
7	-	
8	+	a measure of resource utilization & severity
9	-	what kind of "intervention"?
12	+	
13	-	a very awkward denominator
18	+	MVA
19	+	Identify occupants only
25	0	Probably not useful in isolation
26	+	for motor-vehicle related cases only
27	+	
28	-	
29	-	
30	dk	surgical intervention - severity of injury?
31	-	Doubt if this would be of value
33	0	Should be tested on Barancek data
35	0	
37	-	biased to minor cuts unless there is an injury severity threshold
38	-	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

.

MOTOR VEHICLE-RELATED ADMISSIONS

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	+	For these # of days/1CV days
2	+	
4	no rating	Only if occupants can be identified and belt use data known
5	+	maybe, MV related only
7	0	
8	+	needed to distinguish from other causes so that the other indications can be utilized & make sense
9	+	
12	+	
13	0	5
18	+	
19	no rating	
25	0	Probably not useful in isolation
26	+	
27	+	
28	+	
29	NR	Isn't this the whole issue
30	+	
31	+	
33	+	
35	0	
37	-	Biased to minor/moderate severity accidents, which belt use may not affect
38	+	if you can collect, potentially related to "E" codes
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

.

o

ABBREVIATED INJURY SCALE (AIS) CLASSIFICATION BY BODY REGION (SEE BELOW)

ŀ

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	+	all injuries should be AIS coded
2	+	
4	+	
5	dk	might not change among those who arrive at hospital for treatment
7	0	
8	+	Will enable identification of change in injury patterns, e.g., perhaps less head injuries post law
9	+	
12	+	
13	+	
18	+	
19	+	
25	0	AIS may be useful but significant logistical problems exist with non- participating institutions
26	+	
27	+	
28	+	
29	+	Many hospitals already use AIS, which makes hospital injury data an excellent emerging standardized source of info.
30	dk	not familiar enough with any of these to assess relative usefulness, etc.
31	+	
33	+	will identify body areas at risk, requires trained coder, done only on a few institutions
35	+	
37	+	this type of data is vital
38	+	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

CLASSIFICATION BY INJURY SEVERITY AIS-1 TO AIS-6 (MINOR TO MAXIMUM)

2

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	+	
2	+	i
4	. +	
5	no rating	all of these questionable because based only on patients who show up at hospital. Those whom the belt help don't come in
7	0	
8	0	· ·
9	+	
12	+	4 :
13	+	
18	+	
19	+	3 8
25	0	
26	. +	
27	+	
28	+	
29	+	AIS being used by many hospitals - makes hospital injury data an excellent emerging source for standardized info
30	dk	not familiar enough with any of these to assess relative usefulness, etc.
31	+	
33	+	will permit establishment at bottom line & stratification, requires trained coder, done only on a few institutions
35	+	:
37	+	this type of data is vital
38	+	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

Indicator:	ASSESSMENT OF MULTIPLE INJURIES: MAXIMUM AIS (MAIS) CODES	
Expert	Rating	Comments
1	+	
2	+	
4	+	
5	no rating	all of these questionable because based only on patients who show up at hospital. Those whom the belt help don't come in
7	0	
8	đk	
9	+	
12	+	
13	+	
18	+	
19	+	
25	`O	
26	+	
27	+	
28	+	
29	0	
30	dk	not familiar enough with any of these to assess relative usefulness, etc.
31	+	
33	+	Requires trained coder, done only on a few institutions
35	+	
37	+	this type of data is vital
38	+	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

Indicator: INJURY SEVERITY SCORES (ISS)

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	+	
2	+	
4	+	
5	no rating	all of these questionable because based only on patients who show up at hospital. Those who the belt help don't come in
7	0	
8	+	
9	+	
12	+	
13	+ .	
18	+	
19	+	
25	+	
26	+	
27	+	
28	+	
29	+	
30	dk	believe that changes in injury severity and location of injuries are important indicators of MVL impact not familiar enough with any of these to assess relative usefulness etc.
33	+	Requires trained coder, done only on a few institutions
35	+	
37	+	this type of date is vital
38	+	F
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	-	

PROBABILITY OF DEATH SCORE (PODS)

Expert	<u>Rating</u>	Comments
1	no rating	
2	0	
4	dk	
5	no rating	all of these questionable because based only on patients who show up at hospital. Those whom the belt help don't come in
7	0	
8	+	
9	dk	
12	+	
13	Ö	
18	+	
19	dk	
25	0	
26	dk	
27	+	
28	+	
29	-	
30	dk	not familiar enough with any of these to assess relative usefulness, etc.
31	+	
33	+	requires trained coder, done only on a few institutions
35	0	
37	-	this type of data is vital but in some ways "treatment" quality driven
38	+	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	-	

Indicator: MOTOR VEHICLE EXTERNAL (E) CODES

<u>Expert</u>	Rating	Comments
1	+	*E Codes Superior
2	0	
4	+	
5	+	maybe
7	+	
8	0	4 5
9	+	
12	+	
13	0	
18	+	
19	+	
25	+	
26	dk	5
27	+	
28	dk	
29	+	
30	dk	not familiar enough with any of these to assess relative usefulness, etc.
31	no rating	Might help in seeing that any drivers & passengers of cars entered into study, but better if exact seating position can be established and area of main impact to car
33	+	requires trained coder, done only on a few institutions - now available in N.Y. for hospital admissions
35	.+	
37	+	this type of data is vital
38	· +	
Unknown	0/+	needed on out patient visits
Unknown	+	

.

.

Indicator: NATURE OF DISEASE (N) CODES

:

Expert Ra	ating	Comments
1 no	o rating	
2	dk	
4	-	
5	dk	
7.	+	
8	dk	
9	+	
12	+	
13	-	
18	+	
19	dk	
25	0	
26	dk	
27	+	
28	dk	
29	+	
30	dk	not familiar enough with any of these to assess relative usefulness, etc.
31	· +	Though other systems of coding may be preferable, e.g., SNOMED
33	-	Not relevant. Requires trained coder done only on a few institutions
35	0	
37	+	this type of data vital
38	dk	
Unknown	dk	
Unknown	0	

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	-	
2	dk	
4	-	
5	+	maybe
7	. +	· ·
8	dk	does this mean each index pt is referred to other car occupants (which serve as control)?
9	-	
12	+	3
13	+	
18	+	•
19	0	
25	0	2
26	-	
27	+	2
28	đk	
29	0	
30	dk	not familiar enough with any of these to assess relative usefulness, etc.
31 ·	no rating	Suitable for small in-depth study not for mass statistics
33	đk	
35	0	
37	-	
38	NR	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

.

÷

INTERNATIONAL CLASSIFICATION OF DISEASES (ICD -9 CM) TO AIS 85 SCORES: CONVERSION TABLE (MACKENZIE ET AL 1986)

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	+	
2	dk	
4	dk	
5	dk	
7	dk	
8	+	certainly more available than AIS/ISS codes but some loss of accuracy
9	dk	
12	+	
13	0	
18	+	
19	dk	
25	+	
26	.+	
27	+	
28	+	
29	-	
30	dk	not familiar enough with any of these to assess relative usefulness, etc.
31	dk	
33	0	1/3 of ICD's lack specificity. Needs testing
35	0	
37	+	
38	dk	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	-	

Indicator: AIS 85: CONDENSED CHART CLASSIFICATIONS CODES

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
2	clk	
4	clk	
5	Ċ l k	
7	dlk	
8	0	
9	dk	
12	+	
13	0	
18	+	
19	dk	·
25	· +	
26	dk	
27	·+	3
28	·+ `	
29		
30	dЖ	not familiar enough with any of these to assess relative usefulness, etc.
31	dk	no personal experience
33	-+-	simplifies severity scaling
35	· -+	
37	no rating	
38	dk	
Unknown	0	how valid?
Unknown	-*	

GENERAL SHIFTS/CHANGES IN SEVERE/MODERATE/MINOR INJURY PATTERNS Indicator: Expert Rating Comments 1 + 2 0 This is a fundamental type of analysis + 4 Yes, by all means, if from an unbiased source like reports of <u>all</u> MV crashes 5 + 7 + How do you obtain this? 8 + 9 12 + 13 0 18 Add MVA to indicator name + 19 + 25 + 26 + 27 + 28 + 29 + good indicator if injury classifications are reliable 30 + 31 + 33 + requires severity scaling 35 + 37 better done w/AIS -38 + Unknown 0/+ Unknown +

.

Indicator: SKIN (INCLUDES ABRASIONS, CONTUSIONS, LACERATIONS)

Expert	<u>Rating</u>	Comments
1	no rating	see note attached
2	dk	• •
4	• +	some information about types of injury and body regions is helpful. Excessive clinical detail is not productive, however legislators & drivers are more interested in how many people will not be injured rather than the precise injury reduction
5	/ -	
7	0/+	
8	-	
9	-	
12	+	
13	0	
18	-	
19	0	could check injury victim for signs of having been belted during accident
25	+	"seat belt" sign especially helpful for confirmation
26	+	
27	·· + ·	
28	. –	
29	INR	
30	dk	
31	+	
33	-	not recorded uniformly
35	+	
37		
38	+	potential if can show correlation - use/non-use
Unknown	0/+	"air bag" brush burn will increase but will need to be extracted from out- patient data sources
Unknown	+	,

BURNS

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
2	dk	
4	no rating	
5	-	
7	0	
8	-	I think we'd be looking for changes (pre & post belt law) in injuries that have, immediate life threatening consequences or long term disabilities
9	-	
12	+	
13	-	
18	-	
19	. 0	
25	-	
26	-	
27	0	
28	-	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	
33	-	frequency too low
35	0	
37	-	· · · ·
38	-	do not think so
Unknown	dk	
Unknown	-	

:

•_

Expert	<u>Rating</u>	Comments
1	no rating	
2	dk	it is unclear to me how location of injury would be a good predictor at seat belt usage alone (i.e., type of accident)
4	no rating	
5	+	
7	0	
8	<u> </u>	
9	+	
12	+	
13	+	
18	+	
19	+	
25	+	9
26	+	
27	+	
28	+	
29	NR	
30	Ó	
31	+	9 3
33	+	probably
35	+	
37	+	Too few data annual & biased to very severe crashes leads to costs
38	+	potential if can show correlation use/non-use
Unknown	0	
Unknown	+	

Indicator:	BRAIN INJU 3RD/4TH CO	JRIES: COMA (GLASGOW SCALE) AMNESIA DLLISIONS OTHER MAJOR INJURIES
Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
2	dk	· ·
4	no rating	
5	+	
7	0	
8	+	
9	+	•.
12	+	
13	+	
18	, +	
19	+	
25	+	
26	· +	
27	+	
28	+	
29	NR	
30	0	
31	+	maybe difficult to get widespread accurate data. HAIS Available
33	+	probably
35	+	
37	+	too few data annual & biased to very severe crashes leads to costs
38	+	potential if can show correlation - use/non-use unclear
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

۰.,

Indicator: FACE TISSUE/WHOLE AREA

.

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
2	đk	į
4	no rating	
5	no rating	should decline
7	0	
8	0	
9	+	
12	+	
13	0	
18	-	
19	+	
25	+	<pre>studied at UT medical ctr - knoxville & presented Jan '89 (copy attached & abstract)</pre>
26	+	
27	+	
28	+	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	
33	ο	
35	+	
37	-	
38	no rating	
Unknown	0/+	face steering wheel contacts important here
Unknown	+	

FACIAL BONE/CRANIUM SEPARATIONS

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	,
. 2	dk	
4	no rating	
5	no rating	should decline
7	0	
8	+	
9	+	
12	+	
13	0	
18	+	
19	+	
25	+	studied at UT Medical Ctr - Knoxville & presented Jan '89 copy attached & ' abstract
26	+	
27	+	
28	+	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	
33	0	
35	+	
37	-	
38	no rating	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
2	dk	
4	no rating	
5	no rating	should decline
7	0	
8	+	*
9	+	
12	+	
13	0	
18	+	
19	+	
25	-	
26	dk	
27	+	
28	0	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	5 2
33	0	÷
35	+	
37	-	
38	no rating	
Unknown	0	
Unknown	+	

Ş

Expert	<u>Rating</u>	Comments
1	no rating	
2	dk	
4	no rating	
5 ·	no rating	should decline
7	0	
8	+	
9	+	
12	+	
13	0	
18	+	
19	0	
25	-	
26	dk	
27	+	
28	0	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	•
33	0	
35	+	
37	-	
38 [.]	no rating	
Unknown	dk	
Unknown	+	

PARTIAL/PERMANENT LOSS OF VISUAL ACULTY

Ĵ

		۲. ۲
Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
2	dk	
4	no rating	
5	mo rating	should decline
7	0	
8	·+	
9	-	ж.
12	+	τ
13	-	
18	- +	
19	0	
25	-	
26	-	
27	+	ł
28	0	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	
33 ·	0	<pre>look at linked police accident reports - hospital adm. records in Great Britain, possibly New York state, new legally blind pattern</pre>
35	0	
37	-	
38	no rating	
Unknown	dk	
Unknown	-	
		-

EAR (INCLUDING INNER ORGANS)

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
2	dk	•
4	no rating	
5	no rating	
7	0	
8	0	
9	-	
12	+	
13	-	
18	+	
19	0	
25	dk	
27	+	
28	0	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	
33	-	poorly reported
35	0	
37	-	
38	no rating	
Unknown	0	
Unknown	0	

,.

ş

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
2	dk	
· 4 ·	no rating	
5	no rating	should decline
.7	0	i
8	+	:
9	-	
12	+	
13	-	
18	+	
19	+	
25	+	studied at UT Medical Ctr -Knoxville & presented Jan '89 copy attached & abstract
26	+	
27	+	
28	+	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	
33	0	
35	+	
37	-	
38	no rating	
Unknown	dk	
Unknown	+	

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	1
2	đk	· · · · ·
4	no rating	ſ
5	no rating	should decline
7	0	
8	0	
9	+	·.
12	+	
13	0	
18	+	
19	+	
25	+	
26	+	
27	+	
28	0	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	availability
33	-	poorly reported
35	+	
37	-	
38	no rating	
Unknown	dk	·
Unknown	0	

Indicator:	NECK (INCLUDES WHIPLASH)
Expert	Rating Comments
1	no rating
2	dk
4	no rating
5	no rating
7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8.	0
9	+
12	+
13	-
18	+
19	0
25	+
26	-
27	+
28	+
29	NR
30	đk
31	+
33	- no bottom line
35	+
37	no rating whiplash-no/quadriplegia-ok
38	no rating
Unknown	0/+ big shift toward soft tissue injury expected as consequence of SBUL
Unknown	+

Indicator: THROAT

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
2	dk	
4	no rating	
5	no rating	
7	0	
8	+	
9	-	· · · · · ·
12	+	
13	-	
18	-	
19	+	
25	-	
26	-	
27	+	
28	0	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	
33	-	too infrequent
35	0	
37	-	
38	no rating	
Unknown	0/+	big shift toward soft tissue injury expected as consequence of SBUL
Unknown	+	

Indicator:	BELT-INDU	CED INJURIES
Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
2	+	
4	no rating	
5	+	sure, but how can one tell?
7	+	
8	+	
9	+	
12	+	
13	+	
18	+	
19	+	
25	+	
26	+	
27	+	
28	+	1
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	-	preferable to specify and document injuries and afterwards attempt to assess the role of the belt in the causation of some
33	+	combination based on clavicle force and sternum and ribs - require police accident report
35	+	
37	-	
38	no rating	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

ï

۲

ŝ
CHEST ORGAN INJURIES (HEART/LUNG)

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
2	dk	
4	no rating	
5	dk	
7	0	·
8	+	
9	+	·.
12	+	
13	0	
18	-	
19	+	
25	+	
26	+	
27	+	
28	+	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	
33	-	
35	+	
37	-	
38	no rating	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
2	dk	3
. 4	no rating	
5	đk	
7	0	
8	+	
9	+	
12	° +	
13	Ο	
18	-	
19	0	,
25	+	
26	+	
27	+	
28	+	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	
33	-	
35	+	
37	-	
38	no rating	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

5

BRUISED/FRACTURED STERNUM

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
· 2.	dk	
4	no rating	
5	+	
7	0	
8	+	
9	+	
12	. +	
13	+	
18	+	
19	· 0	
25	+	
26	+	
27	+	
28 ·	+	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	would be better as fractured sternum bruising to be entered as bruised chest wall
33	+	see note attached
35	+	
37	-	
38	no rating	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

BRUISED/FRACTURED RIBS

<u>Expert</u>	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2	dk	
4	no rating	
5	+	
7	0	: :
8	+	
9	+	
12	+	
13	+	
18	+	
19	+	
25	no rating	
26	+	f
27	+	
28	+	
29	NR	•
30	dk	
31	+	fractured ribs - bruising - chest wall. Ideally one would want the exact ribs broken, i.e., whether L or R and which ribs
33	+	see note attached
35	+	5
37	-	•
38	no rating	# • •
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	4 1

ABDOMEN (INCLUDES KIDNEYS, SPLEEN AND LIVER)

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
. 2	dk	
4	no rating	
5	+	
7	+	
8	+	
9	+	
12	+	
13	0	
18	-	
19	+	
25	+	
26	dk	
27	+	
28	0	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	
33	+	"lap belt injury" cross abdomensee note attached - injuries not unique to safety belt(SB) use
35	+	
37	-	
38	no rating	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

.

.

PELVIC ORGANS

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
2	dk	
4	no rating	
5	+	
7	+	•
8	+	
9	+	
12	+	
13	0	
18	-	
19	+	C.
25	-	
26	đk	
27	+	
28	0	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	
33	-	
35	+	
37 ·	-	
38	no rating	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	· +	
		1

Ĵ

. .

.

•

.

•		
Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
. 2	dk	
4	no rating	
5	+	yes, might respond but in which direction? Will increased belt use increase or decrease than?
7.	0	
8	+	·.
9	+	
12	+	
13	0	
18	+	
19	+	
25	+	
26	dk	
27	+	the resultant redistribution of injuries according to AIS85 will show increased percentage when belted vs. unbelted, but AIS score less in belted
28	+	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	damage to skeleton and no spinal cord needs to be identified
33	+	I think but am not certain about relation- ship to SB use
35	+	
37	-	
38	no rating	
Unknown	0/+	chance fractures & rear seat belted occupants
Unknown	+	

B-53

_	-							
Tm	a	÷.	~	-	۰	0	r	٠
- T I I	u	+	Ć	a	-	J	ж.	٠

THORACIC SPINE

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
2	NR	
4	no rating	
5	+	response direction unknown
7	0	
8	+	
9	+	
12	+	• •
13	0	
18	+	
19	0	
25	+	
26	dk	
27	+	
28	+	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	damage to skeleton and to spinal cord needs to be identified
33	+	
35	+	
37	-	
38	no rating	
Unknown	0/+	<pre>chance fractures & rear seat belted occupants</pre>
Unknown	+	

٣

LUMBAR SPINE

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
2	dk	
4	no rating	
5	+	response direction unknown
7	0	
8	+	
9	+	
12	+	
13	0	
18	+	
19	0	
25	+	,
26	dk	
27	+	
28	+	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	damage to skeleton and to spinal cord needs to be identified
33	+	
35	+	
37	-	
38	no rating	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	2
2	dk	
4	no rating	
5	dk	
7	0	
8	+	
9	+	
12	+	
13	ο	9
18	-	
19	-	
25	-	
26	dk	
27	+	
28	0	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	Laterality is valuable as well as specific bones, joints, etc.
33	-	
35	+	
37	-	
38	no rating	÷
Unknown	0/+	•
Unknown	+	

ι.

B-56

ð

î٩,

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
2	dk	
4	no rating	
5	dk	
7	0	
8	+	
9	+	
12	+	
13	0	
18	-	
19	+	
25	+	
26	dk	
27	+	
28	0	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	+	
33	+	useful if scaled for severity
35	+	
37	-	
38	no rating	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

}		?		
Indicator:	PELVIS			
(
Expert	Rating	Comments	····	
1	no rating			· .
2	dk			
. 4	no rating			
5	dk	5		
7	0			
8	+			
9	+	;		
12	+	`	•	
13	0			
18	-	ŧ		
19	-			
25	-			
26	dk			
27	+			
28	0	• • •		
29	NR			
30	dk	e f		
31	+			
33	no rating	: :		
35	+			
37	-			
38	no rating			
Unknown	0/+			
Unknown	+			
			-	
		4 -		
		4		
		2		

į

Ī

٩.

2

. .

EXPECTED VS. ACTUAL NUMBER OF INJURIES

Expert	<u>Rating</u>	Comments
1	+	
2	0	
4	+	vital
5	+	
7	+	
8	+	
9	+	
12	+	
13	+	
18	+	
19	0	how do you establish expected number
25	` +	
26	+	
27	+	
28	+	
29	+	plus types restrained & unrestrained
30	+	by injury category (e.g., fatal, serious moderate, minor)
31	+	expected vs. actual number of deaths
33	dk	
35	+	
37	+	
38	+	•
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

B-59

.

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	no rating	
2	0	
. 4	+	
5	+	
7	+	
8	+	
9	-	
12	+	
13	dk	
18	+	
19	+	
25	+	
26	+	5
27	+	
29	+	
30	0	
31	+	
33	+	limited by small numbers
35	+	
37	+	
38	+	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

۰.

₹\$

¥

4

ç

•

NUMBER OF NONINJURY CRASHES

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	+	
2	+	
4	-	Counterintuitive: higher wearing rates should correct some injury accidents to non-injury accidents
5	0	doubtful
7	+	
8	+	
9	+	
12	+	
13	NR	
18	-	
19	-	
25	+	
26	+	
27	+	
28	+	
29	+	Fundamental info. that nobody seems to have. Major difficulty would be in establishing baseline
30	+	as proportion of all crashes
[`] 31	dk	
33	+	non-uniform reporting
37	-	
38	+	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

B-61

. .

MEMBERSHIP CHANGES IN ORGANIZATION SERVING PEOPLE DISABLED BY CRASH INJURIES

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	-	use hospital discharge data
2	0	
4	-	
5	-	too general, too small, too unsensitive
7	-	
8	0	
9	-	
12	0,dk	changes could be due to many causes
13	0	
18	-	
19	+	need to identify occupants as opposed to motorcyclists
25	-	•
26	-	
27	0	wide variability in local public awareness, financing, and social pressure
28	-	see note attached
29	-	
30	-	change in number of accidents, regardless of MVL, effect these indicators
31	dk	
33	-	too late to be useful takes 1 to 3 years
35	0	- -
37	-	· · ·
38	0	
Unknown	dk	
Unknown	0	2 ,

B-62

7

2

2

¥¢

SALES VOLUME OF ADAPTIVE DEVICES FOR VEHICLES OF DISABLED PERSONS

.

.....

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	-	E coding critical
2	0	
4.	-	
5	-	too small, general & insensitive
7	-	
8	0	
9	dk	
12	0, dk	changes could be due to many causes
13	-	
18	-	
19	-	
25	0	
26	-	marketing and affordability variable confounding
28	-	too insensitive
29	-	
30	-	
31	dk	
33	-	too late to useful takes 1 to 3 years
35	0	
37	-	
38	-	
Unknown	0	•
Unknown	0	

TREATMENT COST OF REHABILITATION

Expert	<u>Rating</u>	Comments
1	+	
2	0	
4	+	of interest if adequate data are available
5	-	too insensitive general
7	0	
8	· +	tough to get
9	dk	
12	0	changes could be due to many causes
13	0	
18	+	
19	-	ř
25	0	4
26	+	•
27	+	
28	0	too insensitive
29	0	
30	·	
31	dk	
33	0	
35	0	
37	no rating	g total cost ok
38	-	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

30

S

Indicator:	INCIDENCE CRASH-REL HEPATITIS	OF SECONDARY CONSEQUENCE FOR EXAMPLE: ATED EPILEPSY POST BLOOD TRANSFUSION PAIN - KILLER ADDICTIONS
Expert	<u>Rating</u>	Comments
1	no rating	very difficult - questionable reliability
2	0	
4	-	
5	-	too insensitive
7	-	·
8	0	
9	-	
12	ο	changes could be due to many causes
13	0	
18	-	
19	-	
25	-	
26	0	
27	+	
28	-	too insensitive
29	-	
30	-	
31	dk	
33	-	not uniformly reported
35	0	
37	-	
38	-	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	° O	

e

.

r,

Ş.

. .

•

FREQUENCY/PERCENT INSURANCE COLLISION INJURY CLAIMS

Ŧ

2

9

<u>Expert</u>	<u>Rating</u>	Comments
1	0	۵. ۱
2	0	
• 4 .	+	useful to counter risk compensation' claims of greater driver security leading to riskier driving and more accidents
5	+	maybe
7	+	!
8	+	
9	+	
12	+	
13	+	
18	-	
19	-	
25	+	
26	+	
27	+	
28	+	
29	NR	
30	+	
31	· +	
33	dk	
35	0	
37	+	
38 .	+	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	

B-66

÷

q

c

.

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	-	
2	0	
4.	-	
5	0	
7	+	
8	dk	
9	dk	
12	0, dk	
13	+	
18	-	
19	-	
25	0	very limited use, if any
26	+	
27	0	
28	. –	
29	NR	
30	dk	
31	dk	
33	dk	
35	0	
37	-	
38	-	
Unknown	dk	
Unknown	+	

.

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	-	
2	0	2
4	-	
5	-	probably not; most vs. damage probably not occupant caused
7	0	
8	0	
9	+	
12	0, dk	
13	+	1
18	-	
19	-	
25	0	•
26	+	
27	+	
28	-	
29	NR	
30	-	
31	dk	
33	dk	aren't most windshields broken by flying rocks
35	0	
37	-	
3 8	+	
Unknown	dk	
Unknown	+	

0

.

2

.

.

4

÷

INCREASED NUMBER OF CASES

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	-	
2	+	
4	dk	· · ·
5	+	yes
7	+	
8	-	
9	dk	don't understand this
12	+	· · ·
13	-	
18	-	
19	•	
25	-	
26	-	
27	0	reflects legal community aggressiveness more than belt uses
28	-	
29	NR	
30	0	
31	dk	sounds possible, but don't know enough about it
33	-	too many confounding factors
35	0	
37	-	
38	+.	
Unknown	dk	
Unknown	+у	

INJURY PATTERNS BY OCCUPANT COMPARTMENT PARTS (E.G., STEERING WHEEL, DASH)

;

۶

Expert	Rating	Comments
1	0	
2	+	
4	0	: 1
5	+	maybe
7	+	
8	+	
9	+	
12	dk	
13	0	
18	+	
19	-	
25	0	
26	-	2
27	+	
28	-	
29	NR	
30	0	5
31	dk	
33	-	data source?
35	+	
37	-	
38	+	
Unknown	0/+	
Unknown	+	4 *

INDICATOR INDEX

Incidence of accident reports by police by driver	B-1
Incidence of emergency medical service (EMS) calls for crashes; frequency/rate.	8-2
Ambulance run reports	B-3
Trauma score (Champion, 1981)	B-4
Number/percent of cases transported by ambulance.	B-5
Helicopter run reports	B-6
Number/percent of cases transported by helicopter	8-7
Number/rate of ejections	B-8
FMS reported belt use among crash victims, percent of belt wearers found among	
crash victims and fatalities	8-9
Emergency room visits	8-10
Reduction or change in type or nature of visits for NVA	B-11
Number of cases treated versus number admitted.	B-12
Average vehicle occupant treatment costs overall and between those admitted	
and those released.	B-13
Blood transfusions/component consumption.	
Hospital/inpatient admissions: frequency/rate	R-15
Hospital bed occupancy rate/Dercent	R-16
Number of hospital bed-days .	B-17
Average hospital bed-days	R-18
Frequency/nercent of cases involving surgical intervention	B.10
Motor vehicle-related admissions.	B.17
Abbrevisted Injury Scale (AIS) classification by hody region	D_21
Abbreviated highly scale (AIS) classification by body regions	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Lassification by highly severity Alsi to Alsi o (minor to maximum)	0-22
ASSESSMENT OF MULTIPLE HIJDLES. MAATMAM ATS (MATS/ COUES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Injury severity scores (155)	8-24
Probability of death score (PDOS)	· · ·B·25
Motor venicle external cause codes (E-codes)	•••B-26
Nature of disease codes (N-codes)	B-27
Occupant to occupant injuries	· · .B-28
International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9CM) to AIS-85 Scores:	
Conversion table (MacKenzie et al, 1986)	8-29
AIS-85: Condensed classifications codes	B-30
General shifts/changes in severe/moderate/minor injury patterns	B-31
Skin (includes abrasions, contusions, lacerations)	B-32
Burns	B-33
Cranium (includes fractures)	B-34
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th collisions,	
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th collisions, other major injuries	B-35
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th collisions, other major injuries	B-35 B-36
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th collisions, other major injuries	B-35 B-36 B-37
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th collisions, other major injuries	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-38
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th collisions, other major injuries	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-38 B-38
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations Eye: eye injuries (general) Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-38 B-39 B-40
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations Eye: eye injuries (general) Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity Ear (including inner organs).	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-38 B-39 B-40 B-41
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th Collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations Eye: eye injuries (general) Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-38 B-39 B-40 B-41 B-42
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th collisions, other major injuries	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-37 B-38 B-39 B-40 B-41 B-42 B-43
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th collisions, other major injuries	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-38 B-39 B-40 B-41 B-42 B-43 B-44
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th collisions, other major injuries	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-38 B-39 B-40 B-41 B-42 B-43 B-44 B-44
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th collisions, other major injuries	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-38 B-39 B-40 B-41 B-42 B-42 B-43 B-44 B-44 B-45
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th collisions, other major injuries	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-38 B-39 B-40 B-41 B-42 B-42 B-43 B-44 B-44 B-45 B-46 B-47
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, 3rd/4th collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations Eye: eye injuries (general) Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible Type/nature of dental repairs Neck (includes whiplash). Throat. Chest organ injuries (heart/lung)	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-37 B-38 B-39 B-40 B-41 B-42 B-43 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-46 B-47 B-48
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th Collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations . Eye: eye injuries (general) . Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity . Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible . Type/nature of dental repairs . Neck (includes whiplash). Throat. Belt-induced injuries . Chest organ injuries (heart/lung) . Chest wall.	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-37 B-39 B-40 B-41 B-42 B-43 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-45 B-46 B-47 B-48 B-49
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, 3rd/4th collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations Eye: eye injuries (general) Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible Type/nature of dental repairs Neck (includes whiplash). Throat. Chest organ injuries (heart/lung) Bruised/fractured sternum	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-38 B-39 B-40 B-41 B-42 B-42 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-46 B-47 B-49 B-50
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th Collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations. Eye: eye injuries (general). Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity. Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible. Type/nature of dental repairs. Neck (includes whiplash). Hoat. Belt-induced injuries. Chest organ injuries (heart/lung). Chest wall. Bruised/fractured sternum. Bruised/fractured ribs.	
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th Collisions, other major injuries	
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th Collisions, other major injuries	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-37 B-38 B-39 B-40 B-41 B-42 B-43 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-46 B-46 B-47 B-50 B-52
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, 3rd/4th Collisions, other major injuries	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-39 B-40 B-41 B-42 B-43 B-44 B-44 B-45 B-47 B-46 B-47 B-48 B-49 B-51 B-52 B-52 B-52
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, 3rd/4th collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations . Eye: eye injuries (general) . Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity . Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible . Type/nature of dental repairs . Neck (includes whiplash). Throat Belt-induced injuries . Chest organ injuries (heart/lung) . Bruised/fractured sternum . Bruised/fractured sternum . Bruised/fractured ribs Abdomen (includes kidneys, spleen and liver). Pelvic organs . Cervical spine	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-37 B-38 B-39 B-40 B-41 B-42 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-45 B-46 B-47 B-48 B-48 B-50 B-51 B-54 .B-54 .B-54
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, 3rd/4th collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations . Eye: eye injuries (general) . Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity . Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible . Type/nature of dental repairs . Neck (includes whiplash). Throat Belt-induced injuries . Chest organ injuries (heart/lung) . Chest wall Bruised/fractured sternum . Bruised/fractured ribs Abdomen (includes kidneys, spleen and liver). Pelvic organs . Cervical spine Lumbar spine	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-38 B-39 B-40 B-41 B-42 B-42 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-45 B-44 B-45 B-45 B-51 B-55 B-55 B-55
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, 3rd/4th collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations . Eye: eye injuries (general) . Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity . Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible . Type/nature of dental repairs . Neck (includes whiplash). Throat Belt-induced injuries . Chest organ injuries (heart/lung) . Chest wall . Bruised/fractured sternum . Bruised/fractured ribs Abdomen (includes kidneys, spleen and liver). Pelvic organs . Cervical spine Lumbar spine	
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, 3rd/4th collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations Eye: eye injuries (general) Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible Type/nature of dental repairs Neck (includes whiplash). Throat. Belt-induced injuries (heart/lung) Chest wall. Bruised/fractured sternum Bruised/fractured ribs. Abdomen (includes kidneys, spleen and liver). Pelvic organs Cervical spine. Lumbar spine. Upper extremities Lower extremities	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-40 B-41 B-42 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-46 B-46 B-47 B-51 B-55 B-55 B-57 B-57 B-57 B-57
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, 3rd/4th collisions, other major injuries. face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations Eye: eye injuries (general) Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible Type/nature of dental repairs Neck (includes whiplash). Throat. Belt-induced injuries Chest organ injuries (heart/lung) Chest wall. Bruised/fractured ternum Bruised/fractured ribs. Abdomen (includes kidneys, spleen and liver). Pelvic organs Cervical spine. Upper extremities Lumbar spine. Pelvis. Pelvis. Pelvis.	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-45 B-55 B-55 B-57 B-58
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, 3rd/4th collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations Eye: eye injuries (general) Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible Type/nature of dental repairs Neck (includes whiplash). Throat. Belt-induced injuries Chest organ injuries (heart/lung) Chest wall. Bruised/fractured sternum Bruised/fractured ribs. Abdomen (includes kidneys, spleen and liver). Pelvic organs Cervical spine. Thoracic spine. Upper extremities Lumbar spine. Upper extremities Selvis. Expected vs. actual number of injuries.	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-39 B-39 B-40 B-41 B-42 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-45 B-46 B-47 B-46 B-47 B-51 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-58 B-59
Brain injuries: coma (Giasgow Scale), ammesia, 3rd/4th collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations Eye: eye injuries (general) Penetrating eye injuries. Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible Type/nature of dental repairs Meck (includes whiplash). Throat. Belt-induced injuries Chest wall. Bruised/fractured sternum Bruised/fractured ribs. Abdomen (includes kidneys, spleen and liver). Pelvic organs. Lumbar spine. Lumbar spine. Expected vs. actual number of injuries. Number of lives saved.	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-39 B-39 B-40 B-41 B-42 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-45 B-46 B-47 B-48 B-50 B-51 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-56 B-59 B-60
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations Eye: eye injuries (general). Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity. Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible. Type/nature of dental repairs Neck (includes whiplash). Throat. Belt-induced injuries Chest organ injuries (heart/lung) Chest wall. Bruised/fractured sternum Bruised/fractured sternum Bruised/fractured sternum Bruised/fractured sternum Bruised/fractured sternum Umbar spine. Lumbar spine. Lumbar spine. Expected vs. actual number of injuries. Number of lives saved Number of noninjury crashes.	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-38 B-39 B-40 B-41 B-42 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-45 B-45 B-51 B-51 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-56 B-57 B-58 B-61 B-61
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations Eye: eye injuries (general). Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible Type/nature of dental repairs Neck (includes whiplash). Throat. Belt-induced injuries Chest organ injuries (heart/lung) Chest wall. Bruised/fractured sternum Bruised/fractured sternum Bruise	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-40 B-41 B-42 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-45 B-51 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-57 B-58 B-58 B-60 B-62
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, 3rd/4th collisions, other major injuries. face tissue/whole area. facial bone/cranium separations Eye: eye injuries (general) Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible Type/nature of dental repairs Neck (includes whiplash). Throat. Belt-induced injuries Chest organ injuries (heart/lung) Chest wall. Bruised/fractured sternum Bruised/fractured ribs. Abdomen (includes kidneys, spleen and liver). Pelvic organs Cervical spine. Thoraci spine. Umbar spine. Upper extremities Lower extremities Lower extremities Number of lives saved. Number of noninjury crashes Nembership changes in organizations serving people disabled by crash injuries Sales volume of adaptive devices for vehicles of disabled persons	
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), amnesia, 3rd/4th collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations. Eye: eye injuries (general). Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity. Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible. Type/nature of dental repairs. Neck (includes whiplash). Throat. Belt-induced injuries. Chest organ injuries (heart/lung). Chest wall. Bruised/fractured sternum. Bruised/fractured ribs. Abdomen (includes kidneys, spleen and liver). Pelvic organs. Lumbar spine. Lumbar spine. Lumbar spine. Lumbar of lives saved. Number of lives saved. Number of noninjury crashes. Membership changes in organizations serving people disabled by crash injuries. Treatment cost of rehabilitation.	
Brain injuries: Coma (Glasgow Scale), ammesia, Srd/4th collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations Eye: eye injuries (general) Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible Type/nature of dental repairs Neck (includes whiplash). Throat. Belt-induced injuries (heart/lung) Chest organ injuries (heart/lung) Chest wall. Bruised/fractured ribs. Abdomen (includes kidneys, spleen and liver). Pelvic organs. Lumbar spine. Lumbar spine. Upper extremities Pelvis. Expected vs. actual number of injuries. Number of noninjury crashes Membership changes in organizations serving people disabled by crash injuries. Incidence of secondary consequences, for exemple: crash-related epilepsy,	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-39 B-39 B-40 B-41 B-42 B-44 B-44 B-45 B-44 B-45 B-44 B-45 B-45 B-50 B-51 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-56 B-57 B-58 B-58 B-58 B-58 B-56 B-60 B-61 B-64 B-64
Brain injuries: Coma (Glasgow Scale), ammesia, Srd/4th collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/Whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations. Eye: eye injuries (general). Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity. Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible. Type/nature of dental repairs. Neck (includes whiplash). Throat. Belt-induced injuries Chest wall. Bruised/fractured sternum. Bruised/fractured sternum. Bruised/fractured sternum. Cervical spine. Thoracic spine. Uupper extremities Lower extremities. Lower extremities. Number of lives saved. Number of lives saved. Number of noninjury crashes Membership changes in organizations serving people disabled by crash injuries. Treatment cost of rehabilitation.	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-42 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-45 B-44 B-45 B-44 B-45 B-51 B-51 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-56 B-61 B-62 B-65 B-65
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), ammesia, Srd/4th collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/Whole area. Face tissue/Face and the area area. Face tissue/Whole area. Face tissue/Whole area. Face tissue/Whole area. Face tissue/Whole area. Face tissue/Face and the area. Face tissue/Face area. Face ti	B-35 B-36 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-37 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-44 B-45 B-51 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-55 B-57 B-58 B-58 B-57 B-60 B-61 B-65 B-65 B-65
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), ammesia, Srd/4th collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Face tissue/face and the tissue area. Face tissue/fractured sternum Face tissue/fractured sternum Face tissue/fractured tiss. Face tissue/fractured tiss. Face tissue/fractured tiss. Face tissue/fractured tiss. Face tissue/fractured tiss. Face tissue. Face	
Brain injuries: coma (Glasgow Scale), ammesia, 3rd/4th collisions, other major injuries. Face tissue/whole area. Facial bone/cranium separations Eye: eye injuries (general). Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity. Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible Type/nature of dental repairs Neck (includes whiplash). Throat. Belt-induced injuries Chest organ injuries (heart/lung) Chest wall. Bruised/fractured ribs. Abdomen (includes kidneys, spleen and liver). Pelvic organs. Lumbar spine. Upper extremities Expected vs. actual number of injuries. Number of lives saved. Number of lives saved. Incidence of secondary consequences, for example: crash-related epilepsy, post-blood transfusion hepatitis, pain-killer addictions. Frequency/percent insurance collision injury claims. Safety belt replacement/damage claims.	
Brain injuries: coma (Giasgow Scale), amnesia, Srd/4th cilisions, other major injuries. face tissue/whole area. facial bone/cranium separations. Eye: eye injuries (general). Penetrating eye injuries. Partial/permanent loss of visual acuity. Ear (including inner organs). Mouth: fractured mandible. Type/nature of dental repairs Neck (includes whiplash). Belt-induced injuries Chest organ injuries (heart/lung). Chest vall. Bruised/fractured sternum Bruised/fractured sternum Bruised/fractured ribs. Abdomen (includes kidneys, spleen and liver). Pelvic organs. Cervical spine. Lumbar spine. Upper extremities Lower extremities Expected vs. actual number of injuries. Number of noninjury crashes. Membership changes in organizations serving people disabled by crash injuries Sales volume of adaptive devices for vehicles of disabled persons. Incidence of secondary consequences, for example: crash-related epilepsy, post-blood transfusion hepatitis, pain-killer addictions. Safety belt replacement/damage claims.	

PART C

ı.

INDICATOR CATALOG

[See page C-53 for an index of indicators included in Part C.]

indicator	ejections
indicator desc.	number or rate of persons thrown or partially thrown from the vehicle through windows or door or other openings due to forces of a crash as reported by police or other on-site data collection
reviewer	Landes/Willer
validity	medium
v-associated	yes, consistently shown that significantly fewer ejections among belted occupants
v-causally	questionable
v-temporally	questionable
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	direction of impact, seating position, improved vehicle and windshield design, possibly
v-how influenced	airbags higher incidence of ejection of unbelted occupants in rollovers and lateral impact. one study suggested unbelted rear occupants more prome to ejection.
v-compensated for	match for direction of impact or watch impact direction mix and vehicle design for substantial changes
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	yes, so called "partial ejections", however, become more subjective
usefulness	high
u-legislators	yes, correlated with fatalities and severe injuries
u-positive	yes, fewer fatalities, less severe injuries when not ejected
u-negative	no
u-tabulated	yes, generally ejection information is noted in an accident report; if not specific item, then part of narrative.
sensitivity	łow
s-how much change	although highly related to belt use, change in usage rates because of laws among those especially at risk of ejection may be low
generalizability	medium
g-valid generaliz.	ejection situations may not be typical
feasibility	medium
f-data collection	yes, defined fairly easily but relies on police or onsite data collection
f-special knowledg	e only in cases of "partial ejection". need criteria to establish exactly what this is.

comments

-

s

.

indicator	neurosurgical consultation (post motor-vehicle crash)
indicator desc.	ratios of belted vs. nonbelted so that values less than unity (<1) suggest a desirable effect of seat belt use
reviewer	Race
validity	high
v-associated	belted occupants associated with statistically significant fewer cases required
v-causally	yes
v-temporally	not known
v-other factors	assumes one knows belt use and nonuse
v-which others	assumes accuracy between belt use and nonuse cases
v-how influenced	
v-compensated for	
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	
usefulness	high
u-legislators _,	
u-positive	yes
u-negative	no
u-tabulated	not known
sensitivity	high
s-how much change	not known
generalizability	high
g-valid generaliz.	yes
feasibility	high
f-data collection	
f-special knowledge	e required detailed medical service information
comments	

C-2

12

indicator surgical operations (post motor-vehicle crash)

indicator desc. ratio of belted vs. nonbelted cases so that values less than unity (<1) suggest a desirable effect of safety belt use

reviewer	Race
validity.	high
v-associated	belted occupants were associated with statistically significant fewer cases requiring post-crash surgery
v-causally	yes
v-temporally	doesn't need to be to be userul
v-other factors	assumes one knows, have accurately identified
v-which others	belt use/nonuse cases
v-how influenced	
v-compensated for	
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	•
usefulness	high
u-legislators	
u-positive	yes
u-negative	
u-tabulated	
sensitivity	high
s-how much change	
generalizability	high
g-valid generaliz.	
feasibility	high
f-data collection	
f-special knowledg	e
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

comments

indicator

all head injuries to front seat occupants, excluding drivers with severity > AIS 2

1L

÷

~

indicator desc.

reviewer	Benjamin
validity	high
v-associated	yes
v-causally	yes
v-temporally	yes
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	interior design (steering wheel, airbags, types of impact)
v-how influenced	improved design may lessen head injuries and severity
v-compensated for	need to periodically compare statistics for seat belt users and nonusers
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	yes •
ușefulness	high
u-legislators	yes, life-threatening injuríes, disabilities
u-positive	yes, significant reduction with seat belt usage, 50 % or more
u-negative	
u-tabulated	widely available
sensitivity	high
s-how much change	up to 7 fold reduction with safety belt usage
generalizability	high
g-valid generaliz.	yes
feasibility	high
f-data collection	yes
f-special knowledge	physicians, nurses, medical records clerks
comments	includes all head injuries in front seat passengers, and also injuries < AIS 3 in drivers.

This will show benefits that are masked by steering wheel injuries or other factors that seem to increase severe head injuries in drivers despite seat belt use.

indicator face injuries

.

indicator desc. all injuries to face, AIS > 1, excludes eye.

reviewer	Benjamin
validity	high
v-associated	yes
v-causally	yes
v-temporally	yes
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	steering wheel design, airbags, position in vehicle (driver or front seat passenger)
v-how influenced	steering wheel poses high risk for belted driver
v-compensated for	tabulate passengers separately from drivers. periodic controls of belted versus unbelted.
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	
usefulness	high
u-legislators	most common injury, cosmetic effects important
u-positive	yes
u-negative	
u-tabulated	yes
sensitivity	medium
s-how much change	studies on facial fractures show minimal improvement. overall face injuries show marked improvement.
generalizability	high
g-valid generaliz.	
feasibility	high
f-data collection	
f-special knowledge	e medical clerk
comments	

indicator upper extremities

indicator desc. injuries to upper arm and forearm, AIS > 1. does not include hand or wrist

reviewer	Benjamin
validity	high
v-associated	yes
v-causally	yes
v-temporally	yes
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	seating position driver or passenger
v-how influenced	unrestrained passengers seem to be more at risk, therefore show more improvement with law
v-compensated for	can restrict indicator to front seat passengers
objectivity	medium
o-phys. evidence	reports differ as to what constitutes upper extremity
usefulness	medium
u-legislators	usually results in temporary disability
u-positive	yes
u-negative	
u-tabulated	useful in some data bases, others not well defined
sensitivity	medium
s-how much change	not all studies show significant change
generalizability	high
g-valid generaliz.	with proper definition
feasibility	hìgh
f-data collection	
f-special knowledge	e medical clerk

comments

reports vary in precision of "arm" or "upper extremity" definition and also in types of injury tabulated as fracture, soft tissue, etc. It appears that with precise definition, belt use laws and belt use will be reflected by improvement in this indicator.

indicator lower extremities

.

indicator desc. lower extremity injuries, AIS > 1, excluding ankles and feet

revi ewer	Benjamin
validity	medium
v-associated	yes
v-causally	yes
v-temporally	yes
v-other factors	unclear
v-which others	
v-how influenced	
v-compensated for	
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	
usefulness	medium
u-legislators	will include some permanent disability
u-positive	equivocable
u-negative	
u-tabulated	poor definition
sensitivity	low
s-how much change	many studies show no change
generalizability	
g-valid generaliz.	
feasibility	high
f-data collection	
f-special knowledge	e medical clerk
comments	literature does not give strong support for this indicator.

indicator

Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS)

indicator desc. includes MAIS, ISS. frequency or percent of AIS cases. injury classification system that when fully used describes the injury by body part, type and severity.

reviewer	Race	
validity	high	
v-associated	yes	ť
v-causally	yes	4
v-temporally		f
v-other factors	yes	
v-which others		
v-how influenced		2 4
v-compensated for	assessment made without knowledge of belt use	2 2 2 2 2 2
objectivity		
o-phys. evidence	standardize procedure. approximately "universal"	Usage
ușefulness	high	
u-legislators	yes	9 2 2
u-positive	yes	
u-negative		
u-tabulated	very common in medical literature	
sensitivity		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
s-how much change	τ.	- - - -
•		ŝ
generalizability	high	2 2 -
g-valid generaliz.		
feasibility	high	
f-data collection	yes	
f-special knowledge	requires AIS knowledge. data file may already be	coded
connents	this is a classification system. it is used to de related trauma. it is a means to an end and not a	escribe the nature and severity of motor-vehicle an indicator per se.
	good as a classification of the life-threatening rehabilitation or duration of injury consequence.	nature of injuries but not disability,

indicator

frequency/per cent of multiple injury cases

indicator desc. generally used when assessing injury patterns or the effect of belts or laws on same. may have potential as a way of identifying motor-vehicle related injury cases

reviewer	Race
validity	high
v-associated	
v-causally	yes
v-temporally	depends on data base
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	injuries due to vehicle deformation, steering wheel, dashboard, door pillars (B)
v-how influenced	
v-compensated for	improved vehicle design. maintain the integrity of the occupant compartment
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	
usefulness	high .
u-legislators	
u-positive	yes
u-negative	
u-tabulated	
sensitivity	
s-how much change	
generalizability	high
g-valid generaliz.	
feasibility	high
f-data collection	
f-special knowledg	e

comments

indicator general shifts in frequency of injury cases or distribution by severity

indicator desc. strong evidence in European literature that belts reduce the number of injuries and the severity of injury

÷.

-

×

reviewer	Race/Hoskin
validity	high
v-associated	yes
v-causally	yes
v-temporally	depends on available data base
v-other factors	yes ·
v-which others	vehicle deformation
v-how influenced	occupants collide with vehicle interior because of crushing of compartment
v-compensated for	improved vehicle design, information on vehicle itself determining change in velocity
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	yes
usefulness	high
u-legislators	yes and a second s
u-positive	yes
u-negative	sometimes studies report an increase in minor injuries
u-tabulated	depends on record keeping system
sensitivity	medium
s-how much change	not known
generalizability	high
g-valid generaliz.	depends on scope of record keeping system
feasibility	high
f-data collection	usually through trauma registry; can use police reports.
f-special knowledge	medical, unless already coded

comments
indicator	head injuries, excluding ear, eye, and face				
indicator desc.	cranium injuries, anatomic lesions, and non-anatomic (concussive) injuries				
reviewer	Benjamin/Planek				
validity	high				
v-associated	yes, head injuries due to occupant ejections and projection into the windshield, etc., should be prevented by belts so that frequency and severity are reduced yes, observed differences in head injury frequency, severity, and patterns among belted and unbelted occupants are robust enough to appear in belt law research it can be, but records must be available, preferably including uniform severity code as well as victim position				
v-causally					
v-temporally					
v-other factors	yes				
v-which others	severity of impact (delta V), angle of collision, seating position, changes in interior car design, introduction of airbags, raising of 55 mph speed limit				
v-how influenced	changes in one or a combination of these variables in post vs. pre-belt law periods can increase or dampen the effects				
v-compensated for	use comparison groups, check experience of belt users and non-users periodically				
objectivity	high				
o-phys. evidence	yes, but training in AIS coding, etc., would be necessary				
	high				
u-legislators	yes, it should be. head injuries are life-threatening and can cause major disability and are potentially high cost medical problems ves, reductions in the neighborhood of 50 % or more				
	belted driver injury due to steering wheel contact at high impacts could produce some				
u-tabulated	negative results yes, head injury data usually available in hospital records but storage and uniformity of data are questionable				
sensitivity	high				
	nign				
s-now much change	sensitivity should be high, especially if limited to drivers and front pass. AIS <= 2.				
generalizability	high				
g-valid generaliz.	yes, providing the study sample is statistically representative				
feasibility	medium				
f-data collection	yes, but training necessary to use AIS coding				
f-special knowledge	yes, physicians, nurses, medical records clerk				

comments

.

...

.

indicator ratio of head injuries to cervical sprain or whiplash

indicator desc. all head injuries, injuires to neck designated as strain, sprain, or whiplash

reviewer	Benjamin
validity	high
v-associated	yes
v-causally	yes
v-temporally	yes
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	head rests
v-how influenced	head rests offer protection against cervical sprain
v-compensated for	periodic study of belted versus nonbelted
objectivity	medium
o-phys. evidence	cervical sprain diagnosed by symptoms which may be delayed
usefulness	high
u-legislators	represents head injuries saved
u-positive	yes .
u-negative	not as defined
u-tabulated	yes
sensitivity	high
s-how much change	even with probable underreporting of cervical strain, reports give high improvement for index
generalizability	high .
g-valid generaliz.	
feasibility	high
f-data collection	
f-special knowledge	e medical clerk
comments	Three-point restraints protect head against impact by transferring stress to neck. Neck structures at risk are ligaments and muscles rather than central nervous system, as is the case with head injuries.

lung injuries

.

indicator desc. hemothorax, pneumothorax, pulmonary contusions (x-ray diagnosis)

reviewer	Benjamin				
validity	high				
v-associated	yes				
v-causally.	yes				
v-temporally	yes				
v-other factors	yes				
v-which others	interior design, steering wheel, dashes, clothing, seating position				
v-how influenced	new design should reduce incidence				
v-compensated for	periodic comparisons of seat belt use and non-seat belt use statistics				
objectivity	high				
o-phys. evidence	yes, x-ray				
usefulness	high				
u-legislators	yes, life threatening				
u-positive	yes				
u-negative					
u-tabulated	yes				
sensitivity	high				
s-how much change	significant changes with seat belt laws				
generalizability	medium				
g-valid generaliz.	total incidence low				
feasibility	high				
f-data collection	yes, x-ray criteria				
f-special knowledge	e medical				

comments

#

could be useful indicator. need large accident base because of low incidence

`

indicator	treatment cost of rehabilitation (spinal cord injuries)
indicator desc.	average annual cost (or projected total cost) of spinal cord injury incurred with and without safety belt
reviewer	Hoskin
validity	high
v-associated	yes
v-causally	yes, provided that medical record includes E-code or equivalent
v-temporally	yes, depending on data source
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	crash configuration, vehicle body style, presence of degenerative bone disease, etc.
v-how influenced	can increase severity of injury in spite of seat belt use
v-compensated for	if confounding factors are included in medical/rehab record, then they can be controlled statistically
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	yes, costs recorded in medical/rehab files
usefulness	high
u-legislators	yes, much of rehab cost comes from public funds
u-positive	no
u-negative	yes
u-tabulated	no
sensitivity	medium
s-how much change	probably moderately sensitive if adjusted for inflation
generalizability	high
g-valid generaliz.	spinal cord injury rehab costs are probably similar nationwide
feasibility	high
f-data collection	yes, easily
f-special knowledge	no, only examination of existing records
comments	document #45 was prime source. similar spinal cord registries could obtain similar data
	4

indicator motor-vehicle related admissions

indicator desc.

reviewer	Fearn				
validity	medium				
v-associated	yes				
v-causally	no				
v-temporally	yes				
v-other factors	yes				
v-which others	traffic density, general trends in other use groups, admissions policy				
v-how influenced	they also influence injury severity and thus number of admissions				
v-compensated for	collect data on other factors, compare direction and magnitude with vehicle occupant data				
objectivity	high				
o-phys. evidence	yes, hospital records				
usefulness	high				
u-legislators	yes				
u-positive	yes				
u-negative					
u-tabulated	yes				
sensitivity	high .				
s-how much change	probably not too much. some studies indicate an admission rate 3.5 times higher for unbelted than belted				
generalizability					
g-valid generaliz.					
feasibility	high				
f-data collection	yes				
f-special knowledge	no				
e					

comments

4

.

¢

indicator spinal cord injury

indicator desc. all spinal cord injuries resulting in permanent neurologic impairment

reviewer	Benjamin			
validity	medium			
v-associated	although belts protect against ejection and compart	ment impac	t, they directly st	ress the
v-causally	yes			
v-temporally	yes			
v-other factors	yes			
v-which others	compartment design, EMS handling		•.	
v-how influenced	trend to improvement independent of belt use laws			
v-compensated for	periodic controls of belted versus unbelted			
objectivity	hìgh			·····
o-phys. evidence				
usefulness	high	·····		
u-legislators				
u-positive	yes			
u-negative				
u-tabulated	no, spinal cord registries do not give belt use or individual state belt laws	crash data	. difficult to rel	ate to
sensitivity	low			
s-how much change	incidence of cases very low			
generalizability	low	• •		
g-valid generaliz.	no			
feasibility	medium	: 		
f-data collection	present spinal registry center not interested			
f-special knowledge	medical clerk			
comments	subject of special registry. high legislative impa	ct.		

÷

indicator fractured sternum

indicator desc. fracture of sternum by x-ray. bruised sternum, not well defined diagnosis

reviewer	Benjamin				
validity	high				
v-associated	yes				
v-causally	yes				
v-temporally	yes				
v-other factors	yes				
v-which others	interior design, steering wheel, protuberances, clothing, position				
v-how influenced	trend is to diminish				
v-compensated for	compare seat belt use and non-use statistics periodically				
objectivity					
o-phys. evidence	need x-ray, unlikely to be reported without x-ray				
usefulness	medium				
u-legislators	yes				
u-positive	direct effect of seat belt restraint, reciprocal of more serious injuries				
u-negative	definite increase in sternal injury with seat belt				
u-tabulated	yes				
sensitivity	high				
s-how much change	100% to 150% increase				
generalizability	high				
g-valid generaliz.	yes				
feasibility	high				
f-data collection	yes				
f-special knowledge	medical				

comments

,

very useful for gauging compliance. not good argument for legislation.

indicator number treated vs. number admitted

indicator desc. number (proportion or percentage) of MV accident victims treated vs. admitted

reviewer	Fearn
validity	medium
v-associated	yes
v-causally	no
v-temporally	yes
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	any factor affecting crash severity: traffic density, vehicle miles, no. of vehicles, average speeds, changes in speed laws, crash config., varying admissions policies (NMO) they could change the balance of those just treated vs. those admitted
v-compensated for	careful selection of study population; examination of trends in other road user groups
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	yes
usefulness	high
u-legislators	yes, indicator of less demand for medical services, i.e. less severe injuries
u-positive	yes
u-negative '	, :
u-tabulated	yes
sensitivity	medium
s-how much change	moderate increases in belt use appear to produce significant changes in the indicator.
generalizability	high
g-valid generaliz.	yes
feasibility	high
f-data collection	yes
f-special knowledge	e no
comments	

2

,

indicator	average medical treatment costs	
indicator desc.	acute care costs for safety belt use vs. nonuse, and admitted vs. treated and released	
_		
reviewer 	Hoskin	
validity	high	
v-associated	yes	
v-causally	yes, provided that medical record indicates motor-vehicle trauma	
v-temporally	yes	
v-other factors	yes	
v-which others	seating position, speed, vehicle make/model/size, crash configuration, etc.	
v-how influenced	can affect injury severity	
v-compensated for	can be controlled statistically if the confounding variables are identified	
objectivity	high	
o-phys. evidence	yes, medical record information	
usefulness	medium	
usefulness u-legislators	medium yes, a portion of costs come from public funds (medicaid)	
usefulness u-legislators u-positive	medium yes, a portion of costs come from public funds (medicaid) no	
usefulness u-legislators u-positive u-negative	medium yes, a portion of costs come from public funds (medicaid) no yes	
usefulness u-legislators u-positive u-negative u-tabulated	medium yes, a portion of costs come from public funds (medicaid) no yes no, only through special studies	
usefulness u-legislators u-positive u-negative u-tabulated sensitivity	<pre>medium yes, a portion of costs come from public funds (medicaid) no yes no, only through special studies medium</pre>	
usefulness u-legislators u-positive u-negative u-tabulated sensitivity s-how much change	<pre>medium yes, a portion of costs come from public funds (medicaid) no yes no, only through special studies medium due to confounding factors and inflation, not too sensitive</pre>	
usefulness u-legislators u-positive u-negative u-tabulated sensitivity s-how much change generalizability	<pre>medium yes, a portion of costs come from public funds (medicaid) no yes no, only through special studies medium due to confounding factors and inflation, not too sensitive high</pre>	
usefulness u-legislators u-positive u-negative u-tabulated sensitivity s-how much change generalizability g-valid generaliz.	<pre>medium yes, a portion of costs come from public funds (medicaid) no yes no, only through special studies medium due to confounding factors and inflation, not too sensitive high yes</pre>	
usefulness u-legislators u-positive u-negative u-tabulated sensitivity s-how much change generalizability g-valid generaliz.	<pre>medium yes, a portion of costs come from public funds (medicaid) no yes no, only through special studies medium due to confounding factors and inflation, not too sensitive high yes</pre>	
usefulness u-legislators u-positive u-negative u-tabulated sensitivity s-how much change generalizability g-valid generaliz. feasibility f-data collection	<pre>medium yes, a portion of costs come from public funds (medicaid) no yes no, only through special studies medium due to confounding factors and inflation, not too sensitive high yes high yes, a set of cost elements could be agreed upon.</pre>	
usefulness u-legislators u-positive u-negative u-tabulated sensitivity s-how much change generalizability g-valid generaliz. feasibility f-data collection f-special knowledge	<pre>medium yes, a portion of costs come from public funds (medicaid) no yes no, only through special studies medium due to confounding factors and inflation, not too sensitive high yes high yes, a set of cost elements could be agreed upon. e no, extracted from existing medical and accounting data.</pre>	

comments

*

. .

.

....

,

the number of confounding factors is the biggest drawback to this indicator.

penetrating eye injuries

indicator desc. eyes injuries AIS > 1

reviewer	Benjamin	4 1	
validity	high		
v-associated	yes		
v-causally	yes	į	
v-temporally	yes		
v-other factors	yes		
v-which others	shatter proof windshields, seat belts	•••	
v-how influenced	does not protect against flying glass	é V	
v-compensated for	periodic study of belted vs. nonbelted		
objectivity	high	1	
o-phys. evidence	yes		
usefulness	high		<u></u>
u-legislators	yes, loss of vision	¢	
u-positive	yes	5 7 2	
u-negative		и Х.	
u-tabulated	yes		
sensitivity	high		
s-how much change		2 2 3	
generalizability	high		····
g-valid generaliz.		5 3 1	
feasibility	high		
f-data collection	yes		
f-special knowledge	e medical clerk		
<u></u>		<u></u>	

ż

3

-

comments

although incidence is relatively low, data can be collected from eye centers to give large enough number for significant results.

/

indicator injury patterns by occupant compartment parts

.

5

indicator desc. injuries due to contact with interior vehicle components

reviewer '	Landes
validity	high
v-associated	yes, there are definite injury pattern differences between belted/unbelted (as well as
v-causally	driver/passenger) not a simple cause/effect. more of a shift in patterns or mix.
v-temporally	yes, in terms of injury "mix" changes
v-other factors	no
v-which others	
v-how influenced	
v-compensated for	
objectivity	medium
o-phys. evidence	yes, based on injuries themselves, or injuries and vehicle interior damage. need for judgement in many cases
usefulness	high
u-legislators	yes, both in terms of seat belt use and vehicle interior damage
u-positive	yes, decrease in certain injuries
u-negat i ve	yes, also seat belt specific injuries
u-tabulated	not known, many sources
sensitivity	high
s-how much change	any change should produce corresponding change in injury data
generalizability	high
g-valid generaliz.	yes
feasibility	medium
f-data collection	yes
f-special knowledge	e yes, knowledge of injury/vehicle part correspondence
comments	the indicator does not in itself appear to be a useful indicator. this is because injuries are generally enumerated first, and then inferences are made concerning the passenger compartment part responsible for the injury. (although not always stated outright, some studies appeared to combine injury information with vehicle interior damage to ascertain the vehicle part/injury type relationship).
	it seems that the best strategy may be to consider the vehicle part as a factor of certain injury

types e.g. chest injuries/steering wheel. there are several fairly specific injury/vehicle part combinations that would be useful in seat belt use evaluation. several important ones noted in the literature are: chest/steering wheel, face/steering wheel, head/interior side or roof, shoulder or chest or pelvis or abdomen/restraint system, face/instrument panel, eye/windshield, face/auto glass, neck/seat belts, hip/dashboard. see original copy of indicator profile for references numbers of articles dealing with each combination.

passenger compartment damage

indicator desc. damage to actual vehicle compartment components from occupant contact as an indicator of seat belt use

reviewer	Landes					
validity	high					
v-associated	yes	3				
v-causally	yes					
v-temporally	yes	ν				
v-other factors	yes					
v-which others	direction of impact					
v-how influenced	different occupant-compartment collisions result from different external collision	•				
v-compensated for	lirections analysis of external vehicle damage to ascertain direction of impact					
objectivity	high					
o-phys. evidence	yes, interior compartment damage					
usefulness	medium					
u-legislators	only indirectly					
u-positive	yes					
u-negative	no					
u-tabulated	no					
sensitivity	high					
s-how much change	any change in belt use would produce obvious changes					
generalizability	high					
g-valid generaliz.	yes, with seat belt use					
feasibility	medium					
f-data collection	yes					
f-special knowledge	yes, knowledge of occupant-compartment injury patterns	ري:				
comments	while reviewing the literature covering vehicle parts for the indicator "injury patterns by occupant compartment parts", it became apparent that passenger compartment damage may be a useful indicator of seat belt use.	بر				
	none of the literature reviewed enumerated indicents of interior vehicle damage. rather, the injuries were used as evidence to deduce the component of the vehicle with which the body contact was made. In some cases, the injury information was compared with observed passenger compartment damage to establish the area of body contact.					
	it seems that an examination of the vehicle passenger compartment alone would permit valid conclusions concerning occupant seat belt use. consequently, although passenger compartment damag- as an indicator in itself is not noted in the literature, it should be considered as a viable, potential indicator of seat belt use.					

C-22

indicator spinal fractures, sprains

.

indicator desc. exclude cervical sprains, diagnosis by x-ray of symptoms

reviewer	Benjamin
validity	medium
v-associated	yes
v-causally	mechanisms obscure
v-temporally	yes
v-other factors	not known
v-which others	passenger-to-passenger interaction
v-how influenced	
v-compensated for	•
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	symptoms, fairly well defined
usefulness	o
u-legislators	yes
u-positive	no
u-negative	yes, sprains of low back up 100%-300%
u-tabulated	yes
sensitivity	high
s-how much change	sprains of low back up 100%-300% for front seat drivers
generalizability	medium
g-valid generaliz.	overall incidence low
feasibility	high
f-data collection	yes
	madical

comments

¥

strong negative indicator of low incidence. mechanisms not clear. may not be constant in various studies. poor indicator.

ţ

number/percent of cases transported by ambulance

indicator desc.

reviewer	Fearn
validity	medium
v-associated	yes, increase in belt use should result in decrease in need for ambulance services
v-causally	no
v-temporally	yes
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	traffic conditions, seasonality, trends in ambulance runs for other road user groups
v-how influenced v-compensated for	could influence difference in number of runs over two observation periods, masking the true effect of the seat belt use law control data collection timing and sampling plan; collect data on other user groups
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	yes, although determination of belt use is often self-reported
usefulness	high
u-legislators	yes, it's a gauge of seat belt effectiveness and the demand for medical services
u-positive	yes, effect of seat belt in mitigating serious injury
u-negative	no
u-tabulated	unsure, the reviewed literature consisted of special studies, although number of runs may be routinely kept
sensitivity	medium
s-how much change	with belts about 50% effective in preventing the types of injuries which would require a run, it would appear only a moderate increase in use would produce a significant change,
generalizability	medium
g-valid generaliz.	yes, regarding general demand for services of belted vs. nonbelted occupants
feasibility	high
f-data collection	yes
f-special knowledge	no
comments	Dagnone and Siu (#61), derived two measures to look at all facets of the demand for medical services. They are the preventive rate and the population preventive rate, which assess the porportion of service utilization among the nonbelted which would be prevented if they used belts, and the amount of service utilization which would be prevented if belt use reached 100%, respectively.

9

î.

Ų

٤

indicator number of emergency room visits

indicator desc. number (or percent) of crash victims who receive ER treatment

validity	medium	
v-associated	yes	
v-causally	no	
v-temporally	yes	
v-other factors	yes	
v-which others	could possibly be influenced by traffic conditions, traffic exposure, trends in other	
v-how influenced		
v-compensated for	develop data collection strategies to permit valid before/after comparisons. compare expected vs. observed trends among various road user categories.	
objectivity	high	
o-phys. evidence	yes, documented emergency room treatment	
usefulness	high	
u-legislators	yes	
u-positive	yes, reduction in need for treatment, i.e. less serious injury	
u-negative	no	
u-tabulated	yes	
sensitivity	medium	
s-how much change	evidence is scant, but it appears the increase in the indicator will be $1/3$ to $1/2$ that of the increase in belt use	
generalizability	medium	
g-valid generaliz.	yes, about general injury severity of belt wearers vs. nonwearers	
feasibility	high	
f-data collection	yes	

comments

2

ı.

2

indicator injury type (MV crash related)

indicator desc. most common: 1) complaint of pain, 2) bleeding, 3) contusions, 4)bruises and abrasions, 5) fractures and dislocations, 6) concussions

reviewer	Race	
validity	high	
v-associated	yes	
v-causally	yes	
v-temporally	yes, should be attainable from state MV accident data	
v-other factors	yes	
v-which others	subject to MV accident: variations	
v-how influenced		
v-compensated for	compared to state without seat belt use law, for example	
objectivity	medium	
o-phys. evidence	not known who makes the assessment	
useful ness	high	
u-legi sla tors		
u-positive	reduction in more serious injury types	
u-negative	increase in less serious injury types, "complaint of pain"	
u-tabulated		
sensitivity	medium	
s-how much change		
generalizability	medium	
g-valid generaliz.		
feasibility	high	
f-data collection	yes	
f-special knowledge	je no	

3

ç

្ញា

comments

indicator	fracture of femur	
indicator desc.	x-ray diagnosis	.*

reviewer	Benjamin
validity	high
v-associated	yes
v-causally	yes
v-temporally	yes
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	interior design, impact absorption design
v-how influenced	not known
v-compensated for	not known
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	Х-гау
usefulness	medium
u-legislators	yes
u-positive	yes
u-negative	
u-tabulated	yes, low incidence
sensitivity	medium
s-how much change	25 % decrease
generalizability	medium
g-valid generaliz.	not easily
feasibility	high
f-data collection	yes
f-special knowledge	medical

comments

۷,

_

number of insurance claims for severe injury

indicator desc. severe described in document #116, page 3: 1) > or = 5 days in hospital, or 2) 2 or more months off work, or 3) \$5,000 or more in medical and wage payments or 4)multiple major broken bones, internal injuries, or serious head inj.

reviewer	Hoskin		
validity	high	· ·	
v-associated	yes		Ģ.
v-causally	yes		
v-temporally	yes	1	5
v-other factors	yes	• •	•
v-which others	crash configuration, speed, seating positi	on, etc.	
v-how influenced	confounding factors affect severity		•
v-compensated for	can be controlled statistically		
objectivity	medium		
o-phys. evidence	no, information as reported on claims form		
usefulness	high		•
u-legislators	yes		
u-positive	no		
u-negative	yes, serious injury		
u-tabulated	no .		
sensitivity	high		•
s-how much change	should be directly related		
generalizability	medium		•
g-valid generaliz.	depends on characteristics of insured vehi	cles and drivers	
feasibility	medium		•
f-data collection	depends on amount of detail in insurance r	ecords	
f-special knowledg	e no		Y
comments	based on League General Insurance Co study	· (#116)	-

.

.

-

-

post-crash consciousness of accident victim

indicator desc.

reviewer	fearn
validity	medium
v-associated	yes
v-causally	not known
v-temporally	yes
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	traffic conditions, other law changes e.g. decrease in speed limit, crash configuration
v-how influenced	changes in these variables could influence the conscious state of accident victims
v-compensated for	unsure, most reports seem to assume that the bolt use group and nonbelt use group are equally affected/unaffected by these variables and thus any change is due to use change
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	yes
usefulness	
u-legislators u-positive	information with regard to probability of serious injury though not as "quotable" as some indicators yes
u-negative	
u-tabulated	should be a part of ER reports; one study used NASS data, others were special studies
sensitivity	
s-how much change	not known
generalizability	medium
g-valid generaliz.	yes
feasibility	high
f-data collection	yes
f-special knowledge	e medical
•••••••••••••••••	

٠.

comments

indicator	fractured mandible	ż	
indicator desc.	fractured mandible, x-ray diagnosis		:
reviewer	Benjamin		:
validity	high		· · · ·
v-associated	yes		
v-causally	yes	- - -	
v-temporally	no		
v-other factors	yes		
v-which others	interior design, steering wheels		
v-how influenced			
v-compensated for	not known	х •	
objectivity	high		
o∘phys. evidence	yes, x-ray		
usefulness	low		
u-legislators	yes		
u-positive	not known		
u-negative		: :	
u-tabulated	no		
sensitivity		;	
s-how much change	not known	- 2 -	
generalizability			
g-valid generaliz.	not known		
feasibility	high		
f-data collection	yes	, , ,	
f-special knowledge	e medical		-

Ξ

indicator noncontact soft tissue neck injuries

indicator desc. neck sprains, whiplash

.

reviewer	Benjamin
validity	
v-associated	yes
v-causally	yes
v-temporally	yes
v-other factors	yes .
v-which others	head rests
v-how influenced	diminished
v-compensated for	periodic comparison of seat belt use vs. non-seat belt use, because head rests are becoming universal
objectivity	medium
o-phys. evidence	diagnosis can be fuzzy, depends on symptoms
usefulness	low
u-legislators	yes, disability and expense item
u-positive	no
u-negative	yes
u-tabulated	in trauma and insurance statistics (symptoms can be late)
sensitivity	high
s-how much change	appears to be significantly increased
generalizability	high
g-valid generaliz.	yes
feasibility	high
f-data collection	yes, by symptoms, persistent neck pain
f-special knowledge	e medical

.

comments

7

•

limited usefulness due to negative effect of seat belt use. ratio indicator, sprain/all neck and head injuries, would be much better.

average hospital stay

1

indicator desc. average number of days spent at hospital by MV crash victims

reviewer	Fearn
validity	medium
v-associated	yes
v-causally	no
v-temporally	yes
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	crash configuration, injury type/location, medical technology, course of treatment
v-how influenced	factors affecting injury severity will increase average hospital stay
v-compensated for	collect data from different regions, note trends in possible confounding factors
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	yes
usefulness	high
u-legislators	yes, directly related to injury severity or perhaps more importantly, costs.
u-positive	yes
u-negative	
u-tabulated	yes
sensitivity	low
s-how much change	mixed data, some indicate rather large reduction in indicator while in others the change was not significant
generalizability	medium
g-valid generaliz.	unsure due to variability in data noted above
feasibility	high
f-data collection	yes ·
f-special knowledge	e no
comments	

4

£

Ţ

÷

indicator	occupant-to-occupant injuries	÷
indicator desc.	"collision" between occupants within a vehicle	

reviewer	Landes
validity	high
v-associated	yes, in multiple occupant situations
v-causally	yes
v-temporally	yes
v-other factors	no
v-which others	
v-how influenced	
v-compensated for	
objectivity	medium
o-phys. evidence	some guess work needed in certain cases to separate occupant-to-occupant injuries from other injuries (e.g. occupant-interior injuries)
usefulness	medium
u-legislators	not known
u-positive	yes
u-negative	no
u-tabulated	no
sensitivity	high
s-how much change	any change in belt use of all occupants should produce change in indicator
generalizability	medium
g-valid generaliz.	
feasibility	low
f-data collection	not feasible as a single indicator
f-special knowledge	yes, medical if injury diagnosis is used, engineering if interior vehicle damage used.

comments

-

indicator kidney injury indicator desc. medical diagnosis in record of kidney injury (fuzzy definition) reviewer Benjamin validity high v-associated yes v-causally yes v-temporally yes v-other factors yes v-which others interior design, steering wheel, protuberances tend to diminish with modern design v-how influenced v-compensated for periodic comparison of seat belt use and non-seat belt use statistics medium objectivity o-phys. evidence not clear usefulness low u-legislators yes u-positive yes u-negative u-tabulated to an extent. probably underreported. low incidence sensitivity medium significant decrease but low incidence s-how much change generalizability medium g-valid generaliz. fuzzy definition, low incidence feasibility high f-data collection yes, but this may further aggravate underreporting f-special knowledge medical comments diagnosis of kidney injury can be made on symptoms, physical exam, urinalysis, x-ray, with varying degrees of precision

¥

a

indicator pelvic injuries

indicator desc.

pelvic bone fractures, separations of pelvic girdle, x-ray diagnosis

reviewer	Benjamin
validity	high
v-associated	yes
v-causally	yes
v-temporally	yes
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	seat design, clothing .
v-how influenced	not known
v-compensated for	not known
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	х-гау
usefulness	medium
u-legislators	yes
u-positive	yes, but equivocable
u-negative	
u-tabulated	yes
sensitivity	low
s-how much change	not known, low incidence
generalizability	low
g-valid generaliz.	no
feasibility	high
f-data collection	yes
f-special knowledge	medical

comments

đ

.

safety belt replacement claims/paid cases

indicator desc. incidence of insurance claims or retail/wholesale sales data for replacement safety belts

;

ĩ

÷.

¥

5

revi <i>e</i> wer	Hoskin
validity	high
v-associated	yes ;
v-causally	yes
v-temporally	yes
v-other factors	probably not
v-which others	normal wear ?, accident damage other than crashes ?
v-how influenced	
v-compensated for	
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	yes
useful ness	low
u-legislators	no
u-positive	no
u-negatíve	yes
u-tabulated	no
sensitivity	medium
s-how much change	depends on current incidence of safety belt replacement, which is unknown
generalizability	medium
g-valid generaliz.	
feasibility	medium
f-data collection	yes
f-special knowledge	e may require special training to distinguish causes of safety belt damage
comments	no literature support.
	: ,

indicator external injuries soft tissue injuries, skin lacerations indicator desc.

reviewer	Benjamin
validity	high
v-associated	yes
v-causally	yes
v-temporally	no, no good baseline data
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	seating position, auto design
v-how influenced	not known
v-compensated for	
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	yes
usefulness	medium
u-legislators	yes
u-positive	yes
u-negative	
u-tabulated	no
sensitivity	medium
s-how much change	not known, but expect will include any slight injuries
generalizability	low
g-valid generaliz.	perhaps
feasibility	low
f-data collection	yes
f-special knowledge	e medical records clerk

comments

Т.

.

only one article. articles indicate that laceration rate reduced on face and extremities by seat belt use, but data on lacerations is usually absorbed by regional classification so it is difficult to retrieve statistics on just lacerations. Facial lacerations account for many facial injuries and appear under that indicator.

		• i	
indicator	aorta rupture	• \$	
indicator desc.	aortic rupture with survival	• :	
revi eue r	Benjamin	\$ 	
validity	high		
v-associated	yes		
v-causally	yes	н. М	
v-temporally	yes		
v-other factors	yes		
v-which others	clothing, position in auto, speed	4 	
v-how influenced	not well defined		
v-compensated for	no		
objectivity	high	а а а	
o-phys. evidence	yes	9 11 2	
usefulness	low		
u-legislators	yes		
u-positive	not known		
u-negative	not known	; ;	
u-tabulated	no		
sensitivity	low		
s-how much change	no change in literature		
generalizability	low	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
g-valid generaliz.	no		
feasibility	high		
f-data collection	yes	•	
f-special knowledg	e medical	*	

ينَ

5

¥.

.....

comments

poor indicator. no significant change with seat belt use laws. most cases are fatal.

.

indicator	leg and ankle fractures
indicator desc.	x-ray diagnosis
reviewer	Benjamin
validity	high
v-associated	yes
v-causally	not known
v-temporally	yes
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	interior design, increased impact resistance
v-how influenced	not known
v-compensated for	
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	yes
usefulness	low
u-legislators	yes
u-positive	
u-negative	equivocable
u-tabulated	yes, low incidence
sensitivity	low
s-how much change	
generalizability	low
g-valid generaliz.	no
feasibility	high
f-data collection	yes
f-special knowledge	medical

comments

.

T

~

poor indicator.

windshield replacement/damage claims

indicator desc. incidence of insurance claims or retail/wholesale sales data for replacement windshields

reviewer	Hoskin	,
validity	medium	
v-associated	yes	
v-causally	yes, but not necessarily directly	
v-temporally	yes	
v-other factors	yes	
v-which others	other causes of windshield damage such as stones or vandalism	
v-how influenced	same resulting damage	
v-compensated for	may not be able to compensate	
objectivity	high	
o-phys. evidence	yes .	
usefulness	low	- <u></u>
u-legislators	no	
u-positive	no	
u-negative	yes	
u-tabulated	no	
sensitivity	medium	
s-how much change	depends on how may crashes result in windshield damage	
generalizability	medium	
g-valid generaliz.		
feasibility	medium	
f-data collection	yes	
f-special knowledge	ge may require special training to distinguish causes of damage to windshields	
comments	no literature support.	

.

Â

1

indicator facial bone/cranium separations

5

-

indicator desc. LeFort facial fracture classification

reviewer	Benjamin
validity .	high
v-associated	yes .
v-causally	yes
v-temporally	no
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	interior design, steering wheels
v-how influenced	might be influenced in future with airbags
v-compensated for	not known
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	yes
usefulness	low
u-legislators	yes, disfigurement disability
u-positive	yes
u-negative	
u-tabulated	no, requires detailed case study
sensitivity	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
s-how much change	not known
generalizability	
g-valid generaliz.	not known
feasibility	low
f-data collection	yes, but by labor intensive effort by experts
f-special knowledge	yes, maxilofacial surgeons, x-ray technicians
comments	not practical indicator

type/nature of dental repairs indicator indicator desc. not defined Benjamin reviewer validity. high v-associated yes v-causally yes v-temporally no v-other factors yes interior car design, steering wheel, protuberances v-which others v-how influenced unknown v-compensated for unknown objectivity high o-phys. evidence yes usefulness LOW u-legislators yes u-positive not known u-negative u-tabulated no sensitivity s-how much change not known generalizability g-valid generaliz. not known feasibility low f-data collection no, perhaps insurance records f-special knowledge yes, dental comments dental records unlikely to be generated in emergency room or hospital except as part of multiple facial injuries. no data base medically, maybe insurance records. needs evaluation.

ų

indicator liver injury

.

diagnosis of liver injury in medical record indicator desc.

reviewer	Benjamin
validity	medium
v-associated	yes
v-causally	not known
v-temporally	no
v-other factors	not known
v-which others	
v-how influenced	
v-compensated for	
objectivity	
o-phys. evidence	could be
useful ness	
u-legislators	yes
u-positive	not known
u-negative	not known
u-tabulated	no
sensitivity	
s-how much change	not known
generalizability	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
g-valid generaliz.	no
feasibility	medium
f-data collection	requires intensive medical study
f-special knowledge	medical
comments	low incídence, no useful data base, not an indicator.

£

.

facial lacerations (major soft tissue injuries)

indicator desc. appears as facial injuries in most studies. many are minor according to AIS

reviewer	Benjamin	_
validity	high	•
v-associated	yes	
v-causally	yes	
v-temporally	no	
v-other factors	yes a second s	
v-which others	auto design, windshields	
v-how influenced		
v-compensated for	no	
objectivity	high	•
o-phys. evidence	yes	
usefulness	low	-
u-legislators	yes	
u-positive	not known	
u-negative		
u-tabulated	no	
sensitivity		-
s-how much change	not known	
generalizability	low	-
g-valid generaliz.	no	
feasibility	low	-
f-data collection	no	
f-special knowledge		

ي.

•

¥.

íæ

comments

in practice, lacerations get absorbed into other categories--facial soft tissue or facial injuries overall. literature supports only the general category facial injuries.

, ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
indicator	splenic injury .	
indicator desc.	medical diagnosis	
reviewer	Benjamin	
validity	medium	
v-associated	y e s	
v-causally	yes · · ·	
v-temporally	yes	
v-other factors	yes	
v-which others	interior design, steering wheel, protuberances, clothing	
v-how influenced	not known	
v-compensated for	not known	
o-phys. evidence	requires surgical exploration	
useful ness	low	
u-legislators	yes	
u-positive	equivocable	
u-negative	equivocable	
u-tabulated	no	
sensitivity		
s-now much change	NOT KNOWN	
generalizability	low	
g-valid generaliz.	no	
		-
feasibility	medium	
f-data collection	yes, but would provide very low incidence	
f-special knowledge	e medical	

comments

.

Ð

low incidence, variable correlation. poor indicator.

blood transfusions

indicator desc.

reviewer	Race
validity	low
v-associated	there is no literature link to blood transfusions
v-causally	with belt use or motor-vehicle trauma
v-temporally	
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	surgical change in patients using their own blood
v-how influenced	during nonemergency surgerybiggest confounding factor
v-compensated for	the effect of AIDS on blood consumption
objectivity	high
o-phys. evidence	linking it to motor-vehicle related use may be difficult
usefulness	medium
u-legislators	g t
u-positive	yes, assuming belt use reduced need
u-negative	
u-tabulated	
sensitivity	low
s-how much change	not known
generalizability	low
g-valid generaliz.	
feasibility	low
f-data collection	
f-special knowledge	,
comments	

H.

è
indicator

chest wall

indicator desc. not well defined. other specific sternum and rib indicators are more explicit

reviewer	Benjamin
validity	high
v-associated	yes
v-causally	yes
v-temporally	not well defined
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	position, clothing
v-how influenced	varies
v-compensated for	difficult
objectivity	medium
o-phys. evidence	may be diagnosed on symptoms alone
usefulness	łow
u-legislators	yes
u-positive	
u-negative	incidence increased with seat belt use
u-tabulated	no
sensitivity	low .
s-how much change	not known
generalizability	low
g-valid generaliz.	no
feasibility	low
f-data collection	no
f-special knowledge	medical

comments

•

5

subsets relating to ribs and sternum are better indicators, but have negative effects

indicator rib fractures

indicator desc. rib fractures, x-ray diagnosis. rib bruise--hazy term, not definable

reviewer	Benjamin	
validity	medium	-
v-associated	yes	
v-causally	yes	
v-temporally	yes	
v-other factors	yes	
v-which others	interior design, protuberances, steering wheel, position, clothing	
v-how influenced	design trends are to minimize	
v-compensated for	compare seat belt use and non-use statistics periodically	
objectivity	medium	-
o-phys. evidence	need x-ray, but clinical diagnoses are reported	
ușefulness	low	_
u-legislators	yes	
u-positive	equivocable	
u-negative		
u-tabulated	yes	
sensitivity	low	
s-how much change	not known, may not be correlated	
generalizability	low	
g-valid generaliz.	no	
feasibility	medium	
f-data collection	yes, requires routine x-ray	
f-special knowledge	medical	
comments	accuracy of rib fracture reporting is low. many cases not diagnosed. seat belts both protect an	d

4

٢

.

ş

\$

indicator

ŀ

٠

.

.

٥,

=,

frequency of insurance injury claims

indicator desc. claim frequency per 1,000 insured vehicle years

reviewer	Noskin				
validity	medium				
v-associated	yes				
v-causally	not directly				
v-temporally	yes				
v-other factors	yes				
v-which others	age of vehicle and driver, deductible amount, etc.				
v-how influenced	affects injury severity and claims incidence				
v-compensated for	can be statistically controlled by standardization				
objectivity	medium				
o-phys. evidence	no, based on claims filed by insured				
usefulness	low				
u-legislators	insurance costs not a high priority issue				
u-positive	yes, reduction of costs to consumers if premiums are reduced				
u-negative	no .				
u-tabulated	no, only through special studies, e.g. #67 and #68				
sensitivity	low				
s-how much change					
generalizability	1 OW				
g-valid generaliz.					
feasibility	medium				
f-data collection	perhaps a narrower definition would produce a better indicator				
f-special knowledge	no .				

comments

indicator	general bruising (thorax)	4		
indicator desc.	not well defined			
				•
reviewer	Benjamin			
validity	medium			
v-associated	yes			
v-causally	in restricted circumstances			
v-temporally	no			
v-other factors	yes			
v-which others	interior design, clothing, position	•.		
v-how influenced	complex	- 		
v-compensated for	no			
		 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	
bjectivity	medium	2		
-phys. evidence	partially, also symptoms			
·····	·	 · •		
sefulness	low	5 5 4		
-legislators	no			
-positive	not known			
ı-negative	not known			
u-tabulated	no			
		1 		<u> </u>
sensitivity	low	1 - 1994		
-how much change	no	: -		
eneralizability	low	 •		
-valid generaliz.	no	4		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		 - 		
easibility	low			
-data collection	no			
-special knowledge	e medical	· ·	,	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
comments	poor indicator.			

1

\$

ð

ŝ

C-50

indicator facial scars

indicator desc. not well defined

reviewer	Benjamin
validity	low
v-associated	yes
v-causally	yes
v-temporally	no
v-other factors	yes
v-which others	auto design
v-how influenced	
v-compensated for	no
objectivity	medium
o-phys. evidence	depends on definitionssize, color, etc.
usefulness	łow
u-legislators	probably
u-positive	direction of effect not known
u-negative	
u-tabulated	no
sensitivity	
s-how much change	not known
generalizability	low
g-valid generaliz.	no
feasibility	low
f-data collection	no
f-special knowledge	
·	

comments

.

ć

ą

do not know of any data base on scars or literature relating scars to vehicular accidents.

indicator

nature of disease (N) codes

indicator desc.

probably most useful if linked with other factors

reviewer	Race	¢	1. * 	4. g		· .
validity	×	* * ·	** * *	;	W	、
v-associated	•	н 1				
v-causally		•			.	
v-temporally	•	2	, at			
v-other factors	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	54	•		и	
v-which others				, ,	· .	
v-how influenced					жн	
v-compensated for		. د ب		•	•	
objectivity			······································		······	<u> </u>
o-phys.evidence		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•		
usefulness		• *		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••• •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
u-legislators			· · ·			
u-positive		- 4 €. -	1		·· · ·	
u-tabulated					•	
sensitivity	······································			<u> </u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
s-how much change		an K		с. Х.,	-	
generalizability •		······································	•			
g-valid generaliz.				•. •.		•
feasibility	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
f-data collection				· · · ·	• •	
f-special knowledge	· · · · ·		•			-14

comments

this needs to be viewed as a prerequisite to identify cases. very crude as an indicator per se.

C-52

Ē

<u>s</u>i

INDICATOR INDEX

~

Ejections	.C-1
Neurosurgical consultation (post motor-vehicle crash)	C-2
Surgical operations (post motor-vehicle crash)	. 6-3
All head injuries to front seat occupants, excluding drivers with severity >Ats?	6-1
Face injuries	- C.S.
Upper extremities	
Lover extremities	7
Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS)	
Fragmancy/har cash of multiple injury cases	.0-8
Consol shifts in fragment of injury cases on distribution to constrain	.C-Y
Hend injustice overlating and one for a stribution by severity	.C-10
near injuries, excluding ear, eye, and lace	.C-11
Ratio of nead injuries to cervical sprain or wniplash	.C-12
Lung injuries	.C-13
Treatment cost of renabilitation (spinal cord injuries)	.C-14
Notor-vehicle related admissions.	.C-15
Spinal cord injury	.C-16
Fractured sternum	.C-17
Number treated vs. number admitted	. C - 18
Average medical treatment costs	. C - 19
Penetrating eye injuries	C-20
Injury patterns by occupant compartment parts	C+21
Passenger compartment damage.	C.22
Spinal fractures. sprains	
Number/per cent of cases transported by ambulance	- L-23
Number of emergency room visits	
	.6.25
Fracture of femile	.0-26
Number of remote along for constraints	.C-27
Nonte of insurance claims for severe injury.	.C-28
For the second s	.C-29
Fractured mandible	.C-30
Noncontact soft tissue neck injuries	.C-31
Average hospital stay	.C-32
Dccupant-to-occupant injuries	.C-33
Kidney injury	.C-34
Pelvic injuries	.C-35
Safety belt replacement claims/paid cases	.C-36
External injuries	.C-37
Aorta rupture	.0-38
Leg and ankle fractures	C-30
Windshield replacement/damage claim	c.40
Facial bone/cranium separations	0.43
Ivoe/nature of dental repairs	
liver injury	.0-42
Facial Jacerations (major soft tissue injurios)	.6-43
	.0-44
	.C-45
	.C-46
	.C-47
	.C-48
rrequency of insurance injury claims.	.C-49
General Druising (thorax)	.C-50
facial scars	.C-51
Nature of disease (N) codes	.C•52

Note: Wording of indicator may differ slightly from wording used in Volume I, Appendix D, Expert Team Ratings of Candidate Indicators, or Volume II, Appendix B, Expert Team Comments on Indicators. Wording here is the same as wording in Volume I, Appendix E, Ranked Listing of Candidate Indicators.

٦.,

C-53