DOT/FAA/AR-95/51

Clifee of Avadian Research Washington, D.C. 20091 Nondestructive Inspection of Piper PA-25 Forward Spar Fuselage Attachment Fitting

September 1995

Fina Report

This document is available to the public through the National Technica Information Service Springfield, Virginia 22161



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration

#### NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof. The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturer's names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the objective of this report.

I Neger No.       1 Recomment Activision No.       3. Recomment Activision No.         I NCT/PRA/AR-St/51       3. Recomment Activision No.       3. Recomment Activision No.         4. The POIL ALL INSTRUCTION OF PIPER DA 25 FORMARD SPAR FUNCLARE       3. Recomment Code         NUMBER TOTION OF PIPER DA 25 FORMARD SPAR FUNCLARE       3. Recomment Code         7. Authority       4. Antername Code       3. Recomment Code         7. Authority       4. Antername Code       3. Recomment Code         7. Authority       6. Performing Organization Report No         2. Authority       5. Authority       6. Performing Organization Report No         2. Authority       5. Support Name and Authority       5. Authority         2. Authority       5. Support Name and Authority       5. Authority         2. Authority       5. Support Name and Authority       5. Authority         2. Authority       5. Support Name and Authority       5. Authority         2. Authority       5. Support Name and Authority       5. Authority         2. Authority       5. Authority       5. Authority         2. Authority       5. Authority       5. Authority         2. Authority       5. Authority       5. Authority       5. Authority         2. Authority       5. Authority       5. Authority       5. Authority		Technical Report Occumentation Page
A THERMERANT AND ADDRESS AND ADDRESS AND ADDRESS AND ADDRESS A	I Nepori No. I Governmeni Arcession Nu.	0. Recyment's Catalog No.
<pre>third Summa third Summa S</pre>	000/FAA/AR-95/51	
DENDEFFERCION OF PIRER DA 75 FORMADO SUAR FUNCIARE     Deplement 1035       CTACIMUNAL PITTING     E Antonio       Autovit     E Antonio       Nativit     E Antonio       Nativit     E Antonio       Nativit     E Antonio       Nativit     E Antonio       Autovit     E Antonio       Nativit     E Antonio       Autovit     E	I, Trile and Subjects	> Nuperi Date
1. Autovist       1. Performing Diganization Report Na         David D., Nourie       1. Confistion Guardian         David D., Nourie       1. Confistion Guardian         David D., Neurie       2. Statemait <tr< td=""><td>NENDESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION OF PIPER HA VE FORWARD SYA Altacimenti fitting</td><td>R FUSELAGE Septement 1988</td></tr<>	NENDESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION OF PIPER HA VE FORWARD SYA Altacimenti fitting	R FUSELAGE Septement 1988
<pre>Laurovii E Admonist Subject Section (Control of Section (Cont</pre>		G. Performing Degamention (Jode
Naved G. Maare Performing Dynamic reade and Address Sandia Kational Taboratories Wind National Taboratories Wind National Taboratories Wind National Taboratories Subservery Agency Markade Address S. Department of Transportation Material Availation Administration Material Availation Administration (FAA r) Aquing Arrevall BDI Validation Center (AMBC) and and Mathematical Center Task Monitori Dave Galelia I Administration Administration (FAA r) Aquing Arrevalt BDI Validation Center (AMBC) and and Mathematical Center Task Monitori Dave Galelia I Administratical Center Case (FAA r) Aquing Arrevalt BDI Validation Center (AMBC) and and Mathematical Center Case (FAA r) Aquing Arrevalt (FAA r) Material Availation Administration (FAA r) Aquing Arrevalt (FAI r) Administratical Center Case (FAA r) Aquing Arrevalt (FAA r) Material Availation Administration (FAA r) Aquing Arrevalt (FAA r) Material Availation (FAA r) (FAA r) Aquing (FAA r) Material Availation (FAA r) (FAA r) (FAA r) (FAA r) Material Availation (FAA r) (FAA r) Material Availation (FAA r) (FAA r) Material Availation (FAA r	Autorist	E - Vericeming Organization Report No.
<pre>PersonnyDepartment Same And Address Sandla Kational Toporatories Sandla Kational Toporatories Sandla Kational Toporatories Support Validation Center Uf Confective Calidation Software Calidation Software Calidation Software Calidation Software Calidation Software Confective Calidation Software Calida</pre>	David G. Moore	
<pre>Madua Kational Tsuoratories sping Arrotati NDF Validarios Center using Arrotati NDF Validarios Center U Comfati (Guini Ne Using Origin (Street Conter Co</pre>	Performing Digastization harrie and Address	10 Work Unit No. (TARIS)
<ul> <li>Budgerstruck, New Mexico 57165</li> <li>Superiorging Ministruction administruction</li> <li>Superiorging Ministruction</li> <li>Superiorging</li></ul>	Wodia National Neoralories Wing Atronati NPS Validanies Survey	
2 Submound Agency Mirta and Addess       12 Submound Agency Mirta and Addess         1 S. Department of Trinsportation Ederal Aviation Administration ffire of Aviation Administration ffire of Aviation Research Assumption, N.C. 20501       13 Type of Regular and Merce Cos Wold Prinal Response Administration Administratio	Gruguezyue, New Mexico 57165	. 11 Contract or Grant Ne
<ul> <li>3. Department of Trinsportation.</li> <li>3. Type Cheval and Mendous deviced</li> <li>4. Sponson Agency Date</li> <li>4. Sponson Agency Date</li> <li>4. Sponson Agency Date</li> <li>4. Type Cheval and Mendous Agency Date</li> <li>4. Sponson Agency Date</li> <li>4. Type Cheval and Mendous Agency Date</li> <li>4. Type Cheval Agency Date</li> <li>4. Type Che</li></ul>	2 Sucreound Agency Name and Brokess	170FA 0/ 85-X-30032
Print Telephermanne of Triansportation         Print Telephermanne of Triansportation         Sederal Aviation Administration         Status         AR 400         Supplementary Notes         A Technical Center COTX: Stomaid Johnson         AA Technical Center CotX: Stomaid Johnson	5 Demonstration of The second	10. Type of Reput and Pence Coverent and Pence Coverent
<pre>iffice of Aviation Research Asshington, D.C. 2001 Supplementary Yorks The Technical Conter CATER' Richard Colmann (AA Technical Conter CATER' Richard Colmann (AA Technical Conter Cask Monitory Dave Guiolia E Ataland The Technical Aviation Administration's (FAA r) Aquoq Atropation (ND1) techniques to inspect a onward spar function Administration's (FAA r) Aquoq Atropation (ND1) techniques to inspect a orward spar function Administration's (FAA r) Aquoq Atropation (ND1) techniques to inspect a orward spar function and another that and estimate inspection (ND1) techniques to inspect a orward spar function and all another that and the provided that the AD Value and were onser on tadiography and ultratomic from the there to the AD Value and the term of a the attachment litting using ND1 rectiniques proved advised to the elect marks in the attachment litting using ND1 rectiniques proved advised us to the operative contrainers and were therefore ananitates and on the results of these experiments at all association from the provedure as subsequently evoluted for identifying the minerial terming and to appendice to this report. This is concerned as since been toporporated by the FAA into a revision of Answerthisens Directive (D-21-12).</pre>	Mederal Aviation Administration	F) TD i RP (2015) (4 Sponsoring Agency Ecne
Analogical C.C. 2001 S. Super-onlary Yoles TAA Technical Center (XTX: Richard Cohnson TAA Technical Center (XTX: Richard Cohnson Technical	iffic ol Avistian Research	AAK 330
S. Suppresentary Notes TAA Technical Center (XTX) Stonard Johnson TAA Technical Center (XTX) Stonard Johnson TAA Technical Center (XTX) Stonard Johnson TAA Technical Center (XTX) Stonard Johnson A A Technical Center (XTX) Stonard Johnson A A Technical Center (XTX) Stonard Johnson A A Technical Center (XTX) Stonard Johnson A Stonard Standard Johnson A Stonard Stonard Johnson A Stonard Stonard Johnson A Stonard Johnson Johnson A Stonard Johnson Johnson A Stonard Johnson Johnson A Stonard Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson A Stonard Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson A Stonard Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson A Stonard Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson A Stonard Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson A Stonard Johnson Johnso	0661113gCon, E.C. 70591	
<pre>WA Perintial Conter Cutar Storad Collinan WA Perintial Conter Tusk Monitory Dave Gulalia In Example Aviation Administration's (FAA r) Aging Arroialt ND1 Validation Center (AAND) an acidia National Laboratories upoled two possesticative imperion (ND1) techniques to imper the acidia National Laboratories upoled two possesticative imperion (ND1) techniques to imper the acidia National Laboratories upoled two possesticative imperion (ND1) techniques to imper the acidia National Laboratories upoled two possesticative imperion (ND1) techniques to imper the acidia National Laboratories upoled two possesticative imperion (ND1) techniques to imper the acidia National Laboratories upoled two possesticative imperion (ND1) techniques to imper the acidia National Space function in the attractment fitting simples out them the SA D's attractive to deveal where of the attractment fitting using ND1 rechniques proved advised us to the etect marks in the attractment fitting using ND1 rechniques proved advised us to the commerty contraines and white therefore ananyones ased on the results of these experiments at alliasonic test provedure was publicative ased on the results of these experiments at alliasonic test provedure was publicative as and on the results of these experiments at alliasonic test provedure was publicative as and on the results of these experiments at alliasonic test provedure was publicative as since been topoporated by the FAA tote a revision of AttivestAttored Directive (0-2)-12. </pre>	5. Supplementary Votes	·· ·· ·
The Factor of the factor factor back control back control to be control to the factor of the factor	an sellingal Center Coller Storaid Collingen (22 Perfertan) Coller Colle Mercine During Storage	
The Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA r) Aquoq Alicult NDI Validation Center (AANO) an apodia Sarrowsi Laboratories upolied two popositivitive inspection (NDI) techniques to inspect a orward spar fuelage arrachment fitning at a Piper MARS airmart. The NDI controlles upod were asses on radiography and ultratoric restury tethods. Each of these technologies by deveal whetcal thickness is the attachment fitting simples but from the OADS airmart. The NDI control is lifete to elect marks in the attachment fitting using NDI radiologues proved using table due to the commercy conclusions and were therefore analyzed assesses on the tecuits of these experiments at ultraunic test proved using the subpropositive elect marks in the attachment fitting using NDI radiologues proved using table due to the commercy conclusions and were therefore analyzed assesses on the results of these experiments at ultraunic test provedure was subpropositive eveloped for ideority by the material thinking and is appendiced to this report. This provedure as since been toporporated by the FAA into a revision of Ariverthiceas Directive (2-2)-12.	É Abairaci	
	The Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA r) Aging A Sandia Narrowsi Laboratories applied two desnestication (orward spar functing orractment fitting of a Piper F visco on radiography and altracomestics resting methods, whereal this way is the attachment fitting using ND rad select crack, in the attachment fitting using ND rad pometry conclusions and were therefore analyzed ased on the results of these experiments at altracomest based on the results of these experiments at altracomest	Alforadii ADI Valndarnus Center (AAAC) an Eve inspection (KDI) Leuhaiguns to Compact a G 28 alformati. The ADI contrologies boud were Each of these toronogues bid seveal of from the PADE atomistic lifetic to Holigues proved using abue due to the anic boot procedure was subsequently
	The Jederal Aviation Administration's (FAA r) Aging A Sandia Narrowsi Laboratories applied two magneticati forward spar functing arradiament (irring at a Piper b massed on radiography and altraromic rest my methods, wherchil chickness in the atrachment litting using NDL res select tracks in the atrachment litting using NDL res powerry conclusions and were therefore anonhouse powerry conclusions and were therefore anonhouse kased on the results of these experiments of a ultiage leveloped for identifying the micerial terming and s as since been toporporated by the FAA late a revisio	Arrela(U.NDI Validarius Genter (AAGO) an Eve inspection (NDI) Leuhignes to Inspective Kach of these tocrospics ded reveal not them the PA-DX arrelation for the Holiques proved uncertable due to the ship heat provedure was subsequently a appendiced to this report. This arrendice of al Arrest to this report. This arrest of al Arrest to this report. States are sub-
	The Jederal Aviation Administration's (FAA r) Aging A Sandia Narrowsi Laboratories apolled two momentical forward Spar Lucelage arrachment (firing of a Piper F based on radiography and alterories response the material children in the attractment litting samples of meterial children in the attractment litting using ND1 rad pometry conclusions and were therefore analysis pometry conclusions and were therefore analysis power of the results of these experiments ar officer leveloped for identifying the material thinking and a law since been toporporated by the FAA late a revisio	Alteratt ADI Validarius Genter (AAAD) an Eve impedition (KDI) Lechniques in them to A 25 Alternatt. The ADI considues used were Kach of these terrorques ind reveal of them the ADIA attriatt. Liferic to choiques proved user labue due to the mid bosh proveduce was subsequently a appendices to this report. This arcenuity of of Arrwerthicees Directive (2-21-12) (
	The Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA r) Aging A Sandia Narrowsi Laboratories apolled two memorylication (onward Spar Lucelage arrachment (irring of a Piper H based on radiography and alterories restures rethods, wherchil chicking in the attractment litting samples of meterial chicking in the attractment litting using ND1 rad powerry conclusions and were therefore analysis powerry conclusions and were therefore analysis (eveloped for identifying the majorial thinking and s as since been toporporated by not FAA late a revisio	Alexandi ADI Validarius Genter (AARO) an Eve impedition (KDI) Leuhaiguna to trape the A 25 Alexandri. The ADI contribute sound were Each of these toronogues hid reveal of them the MOS attribute lights to durigues proved usin table due to the durigues proved usin table due to the mid boot proveduce was subsequently a apponenties to this report. This arrestore of of Arrwerthicees Directive (2-21-12).
7 Key Werds	The Jederal Aviation Administration's (FAA r) Aging A Sandia Narrowsi Laboratories apolled two deservicul forward spar fuschage arrachment (irring of a Piper b based on radiography and alteromics realing methods, withrul thistog is the attachment litting using NDL rad select marks in the attachment litting using NDL rad powerry conclusions and were therefore analysis boot on the results of these experiments at alteras leveloped for identitying the minerial thinking and a law since been toporporated by non FAA lateral revision (as since been toporporated by non FAA lateral revision (as since been toporporated by non FAA lateral revision) (as since been toporporated by non FAA lateral revision) (by Mena (a) (b) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	Accord(U.NPI Validarius: Center (AAAC) an Eve impedition (NDI) Leuhniques to trape the G 25 Alignment. Die NDI considues bedieveel Kach of these terrorgies hed reveal of tran the MDA angreat. Lifesto to chaiques proved adoritable due to the said heat provedure was subarguently 5 Approduces to this report This arguments of of Argworthisees Directive (0-21-12) Argworthisees Directive (0-21-12)
<ul> <li>Key Wends</li> <li>14 Don Suber Statement</li> <li>spern PA-12, correston, wing spar attacement, ondestructive respection (NDL, agong Netiveal Technical Information Corvers, incraft, ultrespects, reductaply Springfield, Vicence 2001</li> </ul>	The Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA r) Aging A anddu Narrowsi Laboratories upoled two decession of organs Spar fuology antachment (irring of a Piper k ased on radiography and ultratorie rest my methods, where all thicking to the attractment litting using NDL red commerty opticizations and were therefore anonhouse ased on the results of these experiments at alliase eveloped for identifying the minerial forming and s as since been toporporated by non FAA toto a revisio as since been toporporated by non FAA toto a revisio for PAALS, correston, wing roar attractment, for objectructive inspection (NDL, aging) incraft, ultrasories, radioscapty Spi-	Accurate NP1 Validarius Genter (AAGO) an Eve impedition (ND1) Leuhigues to tope the A 25 algorithm (ND1) Leuhigues to tope the Each of these toprograms difference not toe 04 25 algorithm due to the duiques proved adoritable due to the said heat provedure was subsequently a approduced to this report. This argumute of al Aniwerthisees Directive ()-21-12. Aniwerthisees Directive ()-21-12. Aniwerthisees Directive ()-21-12. Aniwerthisees Directive ()-21-12. Aniwerthisees Directive ()-21-12. Aniwerthisees Directive ()-21-12. Aniwerthisees Directive ()-21-12.
7 Key Words     18 Data Subon Statement       9 per PA-25, correstori, wing rpar attractment, condestructive respection (NEC, aging Nettonal Technold Information dervice, increasing, withesenich, reductingly Springfield, Valence 2016)       8 Securit Class List ins means	The Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA r) Aging A Sandia Narrowsi Laboratories apolled two magneticati longars spacificaling arradiament (irring of a Piper b hased on radiography and ultratorie restury methods, material childring in the attachment litting using NDI rad powerry conclusions and were therefore anonhouse speed on the recults of these experiments ar obliase leveloped for identifying the material tornaing and s law since been toporporated by rule FAA into a recesso law since been toporporated by rule FAA into a recesso law since been toporporated by rule FAA into a recesso table property in the second of the recesso speed of the recults of the second of the second leveloped for identifying the material tornaing and s law since been toporporated by rule FAA into a recesso law since been toporporated by rule FAA into a recesso table properties (NDI, aging) NAT speed for imprection (NDI, aging) NAT inclusion, intraction, reduced play Spi-	Accuse(U.N.D.) Validation Genter (AVAC) an Eve importion (NDD) Lephispos to (capella A 26 algometric (NDD) Lephispos to (capella Each of these terrorgius for reveal of from the DA DS attriant. Lifet's to chilques proved advectable due to the sappendises to this report. This argumute of al Arguerthise as cubarquestly a appendises to this report. This argumute of al Arguerthise as Chicquire (D-21-12). Damager Stater: 0 Ani of available to the pillio through inv real Technici Information dervice. Hogfield, Vicinia (216)
Res Acros     14 Dolt Subor Statement       (per PA-15, correction, widg roar Attractment, Ondestructive respection (NLC, aging)     Retivual Technics (This report Correction	The Jederal Aviation Administration's (FAA r) Aging A Sandia Narrowsi Laboratories applied two nonesticuti (orward Spar Lucelage arrachment (irring of a Piper F based on tadiography and alteronic resting methods, witerial children in the attachment litting using ND1 red nomerry conclusions and were therefore analysis (ased on the results of these experiments at alterop leveloped for identifying the minerial thinking and s as since been toporporated by rice FAA later a revision (as since been toporporated by rice FAA later a revision (as since been toporporated by rice FAA later a revision (as since been toporporated by rice FAA later a revision (as since been toporporated by rice FAA later a revision (as since been toporporated by rice FAA later a revision (as since been toporporated by rice FAA later a revision (as since been toporporated by rice FAA later a revision (as since been toporporated by rice FAA later a revision (betructive respection (SEC), aging) [14] (codestructive respection, radiosciaply [15] (codestructive respection (SEC), aging) [16] (codestructive respective) [16] (codestructive respective) [16] (codestructive respective) [16] (codestructive) [16] (codestruc	Accord(U.KD1 Validarius: Center (AAAD) ar       Net importion (KD1) Leuhniques to Competite       A 25 Alremant. The ND1 constructes used were       Kach of these constructes here used       Not the D1 Validarius: Stiller to       Iniques proved accordance during to the       Sapportives to this report. This orderates       Sapportives to the pillic through the       Sapportives to the pillic through the       Sapportives. The constant through the       Sapportives. Variates 12161

.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
EXECUTIVE SUMN	1ARY	vii
INTRODUCTION		1
SPAR FITTING HIS	TORY	1
NDI TECHNOLOGI ATTACHMENT FIT	ES PERFORMED ON THE FORWARD SPAR TING	. 1
Radiography	Inspection	2
Ultrasonic Ma	aterial Thickness Inspection	2
CONCLUSIONS		5
APPENDIX A	Inspection Procedure Using a Single-Element Contact Transducer With a Plastic Delay Line	

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	View Looking Aft and a Side View of the Spar Attachment Fitting for the Piper Model PA-25-150. Figure Was Taken From AD 93-21-12.	10
2	Lower Attachment Fitting Ear as Assembled in the Present Configuration. Figure Was Taken From AD-93-21-12.	10
3	Picture of the Forward Spar Fuselage Attachment Cluster Cut From an Accident Airplane in Alabama.	11
4	Side View of Figure 3 That Displays the Extensive Corrosion of the Fitting Ears.	11
5	Sample 1 - A New, Fully Assembled Ear Fitting (Far Left) and Two Subassembly Parts	11
6	Sample 2 - Forward Spar Fuselage Tubular Attachment Cluster From a PA-25 Airplane. Note: Half of One Ear Was Removed by a Band Saw. The Darker Area Is Corrosion.	12
7	Sample 3 - Blind Sample Used to Develop the Inspection Techniques	12
8	Ultrasonic Thickness Gage Used for This Experiment. This Unit Is Portable and Has Setup Storage Capability. The Unit Also Has Both Thickness Readout and an A-Scan Presentation Display.	13
9	Steel Shims Used for the Thickness Calibration (Manufactured by the L.S. Sarrett Co.). The Shims Used Were 0.020, 0.030, 0.040, 0.050, 0.075, and 0.100 Inch Thick.	13
10	Illustration of the Eight Ultrasonic Measurement Locations for Various Samples. Sample 1 Locations Were Similar.	14
11	Illustration of the Undesirable Effect of Distortion of Wave Directivity Due to the Surface of the Test Specimen and How It Can Greatly Affect Ultrasonic Wave Propagation.	14

### LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Calibration Thickness Measurements for the Single-Element 10-MHz Transducer With the Hard-Faced Wear Plate	6
2	Thickness Measurements for the Single-Element 10-MHz Transducer With the Hard-Faced Wear Plate on Sample 1	6
3	Calibration Thickness Measurements for the First 15-MHz Delay Line Probe and Measurement Locations for Samples 1, 2, and 3	6
4	Thickness Measurements for the First 15-MHz Delay Line Probe on Sample 1 Where No Corrosion Was Present	7
5	Thickness Measurements for the First 15-MHz Delay Line Probe on Sample 2 Where Corrosion Was Indicated in the Outside and Inside Measurements	7
6	Thickness Measurements for the First 15-MHz Delay Line Probe on Sample 3 Where Corrosion Was Not Indicated on the Outside Measurements and Unknown for the Inside Measurements	7
7	Calibration Measurements for the Second 15-MHz Delay Line Probe	8
8	Thickness Measurements for the Second 15-MHz Delay Line Probe on Sample 1 With Two Different Inspectors (1 and 2) Where No Corrosion Was Present	8
9	Thickness Measurements for the Second 15-MHz Delay Line Probe on Sample 2 With Two Different Inspectors (1 and 2) Where Corrosion Was Indicated in the Outside and Inside Measurements	8
10	Thickness Measurements for the Second 15-MHz Delay Line Probe on Sample 3 with Two Different Inspectors (1 and 2) Where Corrosion Was Not Indicated on the Outside Measurements and Unknown for the Inside Measurements	9
11	Thickness Variation Between the First and Second 15-MHz Delay Line Probe for Samples 1, 2, and 3 for Two Different Inspectors Each Using One Probe	9
12	Variation Between Two Inspectors on Samples 1, 2, and 3 for the Second Probe Only	9

v

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA's) Aging Aircraft NDI Validation Center (AANC) at Sandia National Laboratoriess applied two nondestructive inspection (NDI) techniques for the inspection of a Piper PA-25 forward spar fuselage attachment fitting. A review of two [A-25 accidents revealed the susceptibility of these fittings to corrosion and corrosion induced~cracking. It was believed that corrosion was a precursor to the cracking and hence this effort focused primarily on developing techniques to detect the material thinning. The nondestructive testing techniques attempted were based on radiography and ultrasonics. Ea,,ch of these techniques did reveal material thinning in the attachment fitting using NDI proved unreliable due to geometry constraints and were therefore abandoned.

Based on the results of these experiments, an ultrasonic test procedure was subsequently developed for identifying the material thinning and is appendixed to this report. This procedure has since been incorporated by the FAA into a revision of Airworthiness Directive 93-21-12.

vii

#### INTRODUCTION

On February 22, 1994, the Aging Aircraft Nondestructive Inspection (NDI) Validation Center (AANC)' was contacted by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) National Resource Specialist (NRS) for Nondestructive Evaluation (NDE). The FAA Atlanta Aircraft Certification Of lice- (ACO) had requested support from the NRS concerning the development of inspection procedures to detect corrosion-induced cracking in a spar fitting on Piper PA-25 aircraft. The NRS requested the AANC to develop a low cost, portable inspection technique that could determine material thinning caused by corrosion; The detection of the material loss due to corrosion was the focus because it is believed to be a precursor to the cracking. Therefore, the procedures developed and presented in this report were evaluated and optimized for their ability to detect corrosion thinning and not for crack detection, although limited attempts to detect cracks were also studied and reported herein.

### **SPAR FITTING HISTORY**

On May 21, 1993, an in-flight separation of the wing from a Piper PA-25 airplane occurred. The investigation of the affected airplane revealed corrosion and cracks in the forward spar fuselage attachment fittings. The forward spar fuselage attachment fitting consists of a clevis ear welded to a 4130 steel tube forward spar as illustrated in figures 1 and 2. This welded metal interface fractured at the base of the clevis ear. Extensive corrosion was found at the fracture site. Further review revealed that a strong oxidation layer between the two welded sections of the fitting assembly (washer and ear flange) had occurred over a long period of time. Figures 3 and 4 are photographs showing the corroded spar fuselage attachment. This airplane was used for agricultural crop dusting and had accumulated over 5,000 hours time in service (TIS) at the time of the accident. A similar accident had occurred previously on September 21, 1991, to an airplane with 10,000 hours TIS. These two different accidents alerted the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on the Piper Model PA-25. The FAA also believes that airplanes utilized in agricultural environments are extremely susceptible to the above corrosion conditions.

The present nondestructive inspection techniques for the PA-25 forward spar fuselage attachment fitting are visual and dye penetrant inspections as mandated by Airworthiness Directive 93-2112. Neither of these techniques can detect the corrosion between the clevis ear layers nor the cracks that can develop from inside the tube diameter.

#### NDI TECHNOLOGIES PERFORMED ON THE FORWARD SPAR ATTACHMENT FITTING

On March 4, 1994, two forward attachment spar clusters and a new Piper manufactured fitting were received from the Atlanta ACO. Sample 1 was a new, fully assembled ear fitting. To help understand the current welding process, two subassembly piece parts were also sent (figure 5). Sample 2 was a forward spar fuselage attachment cluster which had extensive pitting and surface

'The AANC is operated by the Department of Energy's Sandia National Laboratory in Albuquerque, NM, for the FAA Technical Center under Interagency Agreement DTFA-03-95-X-90002.

corrosion on both ear fittings. Half of one ear was removed by a bandsaw to reveal the inner layer corrosion shown in figure 6. Sample 3 was a forward spar fuselage attachment cluster used as a blind sample to develop the inspection techniques. It was not known if corrosion existed between the inner layers. Sample 3 is shown in figure 7. The AANC staff performed both radiography and ultrasonic inspections on the spar cluster assembly. Test setup, calibration, and inspection techniques are described, and findings from each technique are stated.

## RADIOGRAPHY INSPECTION.

<u>TEST SETUP.</u> The radiographic test on the forward spar cluster (sample 2) was conducted using a standard, commercially available x-ray machine. Kodak M and AA films were assembled in a standard film cassette placed behind the spar cluster and a Pantak 320 KeV x-ray machine was used. The testing parameters were 250 KeV with a 10mA, 1.5-minute exposure with a source-tofilm distance of 5 feet.

The spar cluster was radiographed using the two different film speeds (M and AA). A 0.001inch lead foil was placed between the films to enhance the radiograph image. A 0.021-inch-thick piece of lead was also placed in front of and behind the films to eliminate some of the background noise due to scatter.

<u>FINDINGS</u>. The radiographs were reviewed visually. A Bausch & Lomb Measuring Magnifier was used to measure the gap between the washer/ear flange interface for corrosion and to determine if cracks could be detected in the attached tubing. A gap between the washer/ear fitting greater than the design tolerance would indicate material loss possibly due to corrosion. Film densities were also measured.

The gap between the washer and ear flange was measured to be between 0.015 to 0.025 inch for the corroded sample 2. The standard design tolerance for this gap, however, was unknown so an assessment of the corrosion thinning could not be made. Cracks in the inside tube diameter of sample 2 could not be detected. Alignment between the x-ray source and the spar cluster would be critical if this technique was used to find cracks in the tubing. For this application, radiography would not be reliable in finding cracks in the tubing without conducting several exposures at different orientations.

# **ULTRASONIC MATERIAL THICKNESS INSPECTION.**

The ultrasonic test system used was a QUANTUM QFT-1 made by NDT Instruments Division, NDT Systems, Inc. This instrument was selected because it possessed both ultrasonic flaw detection capability and thickness gage (LED readout) capability. Figure 8 is a photograph of the ultrasonic system. Steel shims (manufactured by the L. S. Sarret Company) were used for the thickness calibration. The shims used were 0.020, 0.030, 0.040, 0.075, and 0.100 inch thick. These steel shims were selected because they possessed ultrasonic material velocity similar to that of the three samples. Figure 9 displays the calibration standards used for the system setup.

A contact ultrasonic test method was selected for this inspection based on the necessity that the instrument be portable. Three different contact ultrasonic transducers were evaluated. They were (1) single-element contact transducer with a thin hard-faced wear plate, (2) dual-element contact transducer with a thin hard-faced wear plate, and (3) a single-element contact transducer with a plastic delay line. The following is an evaluation of each transducer type.

TEST SETUP - SINGLE-ELEMENT CONTACT TRANSDUCER WITH A THIN HARDFACED WEAR PLATE INSPECTION. A 10-MHz, 0.25-inch-diameter probe with a pulse-echo technique was evaluated. The probe was coupled to the 0.1 00-inch steel shim. The instrument range was adjusted to this maximum thickness. The detection gate delay (zero adjust) was adjusted to the first backwall echo. The instrument velocity was adjusted to display the known maximum thickness. With this setup, the thickness of the two thinnest steel shims could not be measured since the initial pulse could not be reduced enough for an accurate near surface measurement. Calibration on the thicker steel shims was successful and the results are shown in table 1. Thickness measurements were recorded for sample 1, the fully assembled ear fitting, at eight measurement locations around the test sample. Figure 10 illustrates the eight locations where ultrasonic measurements were taken on the samples. Table 2 displays the test results for the 1 0-MHz, single-element probe on sample 1.

The test setup was then applied on the surface corroded sample 2. The thickness of sample 2 could not be determined. The instrument sensitivity (gain) was increased and the backwall echo still could not be detected. The problems with sample 2 were due to the rough outer surface of the test sample. Figure 11 illustrates the undesirable effect of surface roughness on the distortion of ultrasonic wave propagation. This probe and technique were abandoned.

<u>FINDINGS</u>: The test system (probe and instrument) percent accuracy based on the 0.075-inch calibration thickness is 1.33 percent. This instrumentation error is assumed to be in the measurements on sample 1 found in table 2. A variance in measurements was not conducted on this probe because it could not perform a thickness measurement on sample 2.

TEST SETUP - DUAL-ELEMENT CONTACT TRANSDUCER WITH A THIN HARD-FACED WEAR PLATE INSPECTION. A 3.5-MHz, 0.25-inch-diameter probe with a pitch/catch technique was evaluated next. This method was selected to improve the sound penetration in sample 2 due to its rough surface and maximize the ultrasonic response from within the test material. The probe was coupled to the 0.1 00-inch steel shim. The instrument range was adjusted to this maximum thickness. The detection gate delay (zero adjust) was adjusted to the first backwall echo. The instrument velocity was adjusted to display the known maximum thickness. Thickness could not be determined for the thinner steel shims (0.020 -0.040 inch). Calibration on two of the thicker steel shims was successful (0.075 and 0.100 inch). Thickness was determined for the inner surface of samples 1 and 2. The same sensitivity (instrument gain) as the steel shims was used. However, thickness measurements were not obtained for the outside dimension of samples 1 and 2. The outside dimension was thinner than the 0.075-inch steel shim and not within the calibration range. This test method was abandoned.

<u>FINDINGS</u>. A variance in measurements was not conducted on this probe because it could not perform an outside surface thickness measurement on samples 2 and 3.

TEST SETUP - SINGLE-ELEMENT CONTACT TRANSDUCER WITH A PLASTIC DELAY LINE. Two 1 5-MHz, 0.25-inch-diameter probes with a 0.375-inch plastic delay using a pulseecho technique were evaluated. This method was selected to improve the near surface resolution of the inspection. The calibration on most of the steel shims was successful with the first transducer. Thickness measurements were recorded for all three samples. The calibration on all of the steel shims was successful with the second transducer. Thickness measurements were recorded for all three samples. The final inspection technique developed using these transducers is found in appendix A.

<u>FINDINGS.</u> The percent accuracy based on the 0.075-inch calibration thickness for both ultrasonic probes was 2.66 percent (+0.002 inch). This instrumentation error was assumed to be in the measurements on all three test samples. Table 3 displays the calibration range used on the first ultrasonic probe and the eight measurement locations. The test setup was calibrated for the range of 0.040-0.100 inch. Tables 4 through 6 display the inspection results for the first probe on test samples 1, 2, and 3.

Table 7 displays the calibration range used on the second ultrasonic probe. The measurement locations were similar to the previous test; however, to more fully assess sources of variability in the possible variance of the thickness measurements, a second inspector also took measurements with the second probe. Tables 8 through 10 display the inspection results for the second probe for the two inspectors.

An attempt to establish a thickness measurement (confidence level) outside the experimental error was conducted. Table 11 displays the thickness variation between two different inspectors and two different delay line transducers (probes). The highest variability was found between samples 2 and 3. The maximum variability was + 0.008 inch at the same measurement location. Table 12 displays the thickness variation between the two inspectors and the same probe. The highest variability was found between samples 2 and 3. The maximum variability for sample 2 was + 0.008 inch and the maximum variability for sample 2 was + 0.008 inch and the maximum variability for sample 3 was + 0.007 inch.

The variability between probes and operators is due mainly to the signal amplitude caused by surface roughness and the care the operator takes to maximize the return echo. The gate threshold that detects the material thickness can trigger on the first or second positive half cycle of the ultrasonic backwall signal. The difference between the first and second positive half cycle is calculated in steel to be 0.008 inch for a 1 5-MHz probe. It is believed that the variability between the two different probes and two different inspectors was caused by the signal amplitude of the first positive half cycle either triggering or not triggering the thickness measuring logic circuits of the instrument. Probe manipulation and scanning technique affect the height of the return echo. The return echo height determines which cycle the trigger gate detects. The specification of a material thinning requirement to detect inner layer corrosion should accommodate a thickness variability of 0.008 inch in addition to the calibration error.

### CONCLUSIONS

Based on the experiments conducted, the single-element transducer with a plastic delay line was the optimum test m material thinning in the sample PA-25 spar attachment fittings. This method can be employed in the field with a peraccuracy based on the 0.075-inch calibration shim of 2.6 percent. A key to developing a reliable ultrasonic inspectio overcome the surface roughness that may be present.

At the time of publishing this report, the single-element ultrasonic technique with the plastic delay line has been incorporated by the FAA into a revision of AD 93-21-12.

#### TABLE CALIBRATION THICKNESS MEASUREMENTS FOR THE SINGLE FLOMENT "SHOUL TRANSDUCER WITH THE HARD FACED WEAR PLATE

Calibration Thickness <u>(.nche</u> s)	Ultraspuie Measurement (mches)	Percent Accuracy Based on the 0.075 Inch Reading
9.620	***	(0.075 - 0.074) * 100 = 1.37%
0.030	•••	(0.075)
0.040	0.039	
0.075	0.074	
0,110	0.100	

•••• Dissionic Under to instant research could not be made for these shims. The instrument could not reduce the initial pulse enough for an acoustic near seriors inclasterers.

TABLE? THICKNESS MEASUREMENTS FOR THE SNOLE-ELUMENT 10-MHZ TRANSDUCTR WITH THE EARD FACED WEAR PLATE ON SAMPLE I

Magneter	Octor	h-	Insid	e
Location	Thickness (in <u>ches)</u>	Gain ( <u>dB)</u>	THekness (inches)	Ga.n (333)
	0.059	58	0.095	58
	0.059	58	0.005	<8
	5.059	58	0.495	58
-	0.055	58	0.095	53
÷	0.059	58	0.095	58
6	0.059	58	0.100	58
7	0.059	58	0.100	28
8	0.059	58	0.100	55

TABLE 3. CALEBRATION THZCKNESS MEASUREMENTS FOR TPUTFIEST 15-MH2 DELAY LINE PROBE AND MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS FOR SAMPLES 1, 2, AND 3

t alibration	Ultrasmie	Percent Accuracy Based on 0.075 Reading
Thickness (melves)	Measurement (inches)	( <u>).077 - 0.0</u> 75) * 100 = 2.663% (0.075)
0.040 0.050 *	0.040 0.649	* An auditional threeness block way
0.075 0.100	0.007	ad 200 to the contration mandatory.

# TABLE 4 THICKNUSS MEASUREMENTS FOR THE FIRST IS MHZ DELAY LINE PROBE ON SAMPLE L WHERE NO CORROSION WAS PRESENT.

Measurement Location	Outside Thickness (mches)	Gain (38)	Inside Thickness (inches)	Guin (dB)
1	0.065	65	0.200	63
?	0.063	63	0.160	61
3	0.063	61	0.102	63
4	0.063	63	0.110	63
5	0.063	63	0.100	63
6	0.063	63	0.100	63
7	0.063	ń3	0.100	55
8	0.053	63	0.100	63

# TABLE 5. THICKNESS MEASUREMENTS FOR THE FIRST IS MHZ DELAY LINE PROBE ON SAMPLE 2 WIIFRE CORROSION WAS INDICATED IN THE OUTSIDE AND INSIDE MEASUREMENTS.

Measurement Li <u>quation</u>	Outside Thickness Gain (inches) (dB)		laside Thickness <u>finches</u> )	Gain (dB)	
1	0.063	62	0.095	73	
2	0.063	6.1	0.095	73	
3	0.063	62	0.100	73	
4	0.063	62	0.100	67	
5	0.018	66	0.100	67	
6	0.058	66	0.100	67	
7	0.058	73	0.100	74	
8	0.062	66	0.095	72	

#### TABLE 6. THICKNESS MEASUREMENTS FOR THE FIRST 15-MHZ DELAY LINU PROBE ON SAMPLE 3 WHERE CORROSION WAS NOTINDICATED ON THE OUTSIDE MEASUREMENTS AND UNIKNOWN FOR THE INSIDE MEASUREMENTS.

Measurement Location	Oatside Frickness (inche <u>s)</u>	Gain (dB)	fonde Thickness <u>Linchest</u>	Gaen (dB)
1	0.968	63	0.169	67
2	0.068	61	0.100	67
3	0.068	63	0.095	63
4	0.068	63	0.095	63
5	0.068	63	11.1195	63
6	0.068	53	0.095	65
7	0.068	63	11.1195	63
8	11.06%	63	0.100	65

#### TABLE 7. CALIDRATION MEASUREMENTS FOR THE SECOND USING DELAY LINE PROBE

Calibration	Ultrasonic	Percent Accuracy Based
Thickness	Measurement	on 0.075 Reading
(inches)	(inches)	
		(0.077-0.075) * 100 × 2.665%
0.020	0.039*	(0.075)
0.030	0.032	
0.040	0.040 *	The gate measured the second
0.075	0.077	multiple of the backwall ocho. This
Ö.100	0.100	measurement must be divided
		by two.
	Calibration Thickness (anches) 0.020 0.030 0.040 0.075 0.100	Califeration         Ultrastoric           Tirokacos         Meni rementati           (inches)         Unitability           0.6201         0.539*           0.031         0.332*           0.040         0.640           0.077         0.077*           0.100         0.100

#### TABLE 8, THICKNESS MEASUREMENTS FOR THE SECOND (S-MHZ DRI AY LINE PROBE ON SAMPLE I WITH I WO DIFFFRENT INSPECTORS (LAND 2) WHERE NO CORROSION WAS PRESENT.

Measuremen	t Outsid	e (TE	Inside	0	Outsid	e (2)	Inside	(2)
Lecation	Thickness ( (anches)	lain (dB)	Thickness G (inches)	(1) (1)	Thickness ( i) <u>(utchest</u>	iain <u>A</u> BJ	(linches)	(dB)
1	0.065	64	0.097	64	0.063	64	0.100	64
2	0.065	64	0.097	ć∸	0.063	64	0.100	64
3	6.1165	64	0.097	0±	0.963	- 64	0.160	64
4	0.065	64	0.097	6·l	11.063	64	9.100	64
5	0.065	64	0,1197	64	0.063	64	3,100	i 64
6	0.065	64	0.1197	64	0.063	64	11100	64
7	0.065	64	0.097	64	0.063	-64	0.100	- 64
8	0.065	64	0.097	64	0.063	6.1	0.100	i 64

#### TABLE 9 THICKNESS MEASLREMLINTS FOR THE SECOND 15-MHZ DELAY UNL PROBE ON SAMPLE 2 WITH 1WO DIFFERENT INSPECTORS (19.02) 25 WHERE CORROSON WAS INDICATED 25 (14): OTSIDE AND INSIDE MEASUREMENTS:

Measurement	Oulside (I)		Inside (1)		Outside (2)		Eusade (2)	
	Thickness (	hickness Gain		Thickness Gain Th		hickness Gain - "I		a.us
Location B	linches)	(68)	(in closa)	(48)	UICOCS!	(dB)	(inclus)	(48)
1	0.061	64	0.092	69	0.063	64	d 100	64
2	0.061	64	0.092	69	0.063	6.1	0.100	64
3	0.656	64	0.092	69	0.063	- 64	0.100	64
4	0.056	64	0.097	69	0.063	54	0.100	68
5	0.056	64	0.097	69	0.058	64	0.100	70
6	0.056	66	0.097	69	0.058	- 64	9.100	68
7	0.056	70	0.097	69	11.06-1	- 65	0.100	64
×	0.061	64,	0.997	商	6,063	64	000	64

TABLE 10. THICKNESS MEASUSEMENTS FOR THL SECOND IS MICZ DELAY HIS PROBE ON SAMPLE 3 WHIT I'ND DEPERENT INSPECTORS (LAND 2) WHERE CORROSION WAS NOT INDICATED ON THE OUTSIDE MEASUREMENTS AND DAKNOWN FOR THE INSIDE MEASUREMENTS.

2

Measurement	Oularde (1)		Insule (1)		Outside (2)		Jaside (2)	
Location	Thickness (inches)	Cain (dB)	Thickness ( (enches)	in LdB1	Thickness ( <u>(applies)</u>	ain (0B)	Thickness 	Gam (11B)
1	0.065	64	0.092	64	0.068	64	0.095	e
2	0.065	64	0.092	64	0.068	64	0 095	6
3	0.065	64	0.094	61	0.068	64	0 095	(
-1	0.065	64	0.094	64	0.068	- 64	0.095	
5	0.065	64	0.092	64	8.068	64	0.005	e
ń	0.065	64	0.097	64	0.068	64	0.095	ć
7	0.1166	67	0 092	64	0.068	64	6.035	
8	0.051	64	3.092	64	0.068	64	0.095	6

TABLE 11. THICKNESS VARIATION BETWEEN THE REST AND SECOND 15-MH2 DELAY LINE INCODE FOR SAMPLES 1, 2, AND 3 FOR TWO DIFFERENT INSPECTORS EACH USING ONL PROFE.

Mcasurement)	Sample 1 inches		Samp e 3	2	Sample 3 incres	
			ind	nes		
	outside	ın «ide	untsi de	nuside	ratside	iasule
1	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.000	0.003	0.008
2	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.003	0.003	0.008
3	0.662	0.005	0.007	0.008	C.005	11.1101
4	0.002	0.003	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.001
5	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.000	0.005	11.003
6	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.002
7	0.002	0.000	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.003
3	6.602	0.003	0.602	0.002	0.007	0.008

#### TABLE 12. VARIATION SET WEEN TWO INSPECTORS ON SAMPLES 1, 2, AND 3 FOR THE SECOND PROBE ONLY.

Measurement	Sample 1 nches		Sample 1	2	Sample 3 inches	
			ind	ies.		
	nutside	suside	outside	inside	nartsid e	inside
:	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.0H8	0.003	0.003
2	0.062	0.003	0.002	0.00%	0.003	0.003
3	0.002	0.003	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.00.
2	0.002	0.003	0.007	0.001	0.003	6.601
5	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.007	6.003
6	0.662	0.003	6 602	0.003	0.063	0.002
7	0.602	0.001	0.008	0.005	0.001	0.003
5	0.002	0.062	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.003



Figure 1. View Looking aft and a side view of the spar attachment sutting for fife Piper model parts to figure was taken from ad 95  $21\cdot12$ 



FIGURE 2. LOWER ATTACHMENT FITTING BAR AS ASSEMBLED IN THE PO-SENT CONFIGURATION (1700R), WAS TAKEN FROM AD-03-21-12.



FIGURE 3. PICTURE OF THE FORWARD SPAR FUNELAGE A FLACHMENT CLUSTER CULTEROM AN ACCIDENT AIRPLANEEN ALABAMA.



FIGURE 4. SIDE VIEW OF FIGURE 3. THAT DISPLAYS THE EXTENSIVE CORRUSION OF THE FITTING EARS.



FIGURE 5 SAMPLETT - A NEW, FULLY ASSEMBLED FAR FITTING (FAR LEFT) AND TWO SUBASSEMBLY PARTS



PROUND 6. SAMPLE 2 - FORWARD SPAR FUSICIANT FLUELAR ATTACHMENT CLUSTER FROM A PA-25 ADPLANE. NOTE: HALF OF ONE EAR WAS REMOVED BY A BAND SAW. THE DARKER AREA IS CORROSION.



FIGURE 7 SAMPLE 3 - BLIND SAMPLE USED TO DEVIT OP THE INSPECTION TECHNIQUES.

12



FIGURE 8. ULTRASONIC THICKNESS GAGE USED FOR THIS EXPERIMENT. THIS UNIT IS PORTABLE, AND HAS SETHIP STORAGE CAPABILITY THE UNIT ALSO HAS BOTH FUICKNESS READOUT AND AN A-SCAN PRESENTATION DISPLAY.



FIGURE 9. STEEL SHIMS USED FOR THE THRENESS CALIBRATION (MANUFACTURED BY THE L.S. SARRETTON). THE SHIMS USED WERE 0.026,0.030,0.040,0.050,0.075, AND 0.100 INCH THECK.







Inside serface of Pipe





Outside surface of Sample 2 and Sample 3 Forward Spar Cluster

Erside surface of Sample 2 and Sample 3 Forward Spar Cluster

FIGURE 10. ILLUSTRATION OF THE EIGHT UFTRASONIC MEASURMENT LOCATIONS FOR VARIOUS SAMPLES. SAMPLE FLOCATIONS WERE SIMILAR.

ment Part



RDUGH AND IRREGULAR SURFACE POOR FLAT SMOOTH SURFACE BEST

FIGURE 11. DAMSTRATION OF THE UNDESIRABLE EFFECT OF DISTORTION OF WAVE DIRECTIVITY DUE TO THE SURFACE OF THE TEST SPECIMEN AND HOW IT CAN GREATLY AFFECT FLITRASONIC WAVE PROPAGATION.

:4

## APPENDIX A

Inspection Procedure Using a Single-Element Contact Transducer with a Plastic Delay Line

#### Inspection Procedure to Detect Material Thinning Caused by Corrosion for a Piper Model PA25 Forward Spar Fuselage Attachment Fitting Using a Ultrasonic Contact Transducer with a Plastic Delay Line

#### 1. Purpose

To find and estimate material thinning of the two welded sections on the forward spar fuselage attachment fitting assembly (washer and ear flange) caused by corrosion. This inspection procedure uses a Quantum QFT-1 ultrasonic test instrument and a contact transducer with a plastic delay line.

Note: It is recommended that the assigned inspector using this equipment and the following procedure must be experience and well founded in the fundamentals of ultrasonic testing. Inspectors should fully possess the qualification of ultrasonic testing personnel as defined in <u>Recommended Practice No. SNT-TC-1A</u>, <u>Personnel Qualification and Certification in Nondestructive Testing</u>, available from ASNT (American Society for Nondestructive Testing).

#### 2. Equipment

- A. A NDT Systems, Inc., QUANTUM<sup>TM</sup> Model QFT-1 was used. An equivalent portable combination ultrasonic flaw detector/thickness gage possessing both LED readout and A-trace can be used.
- B. The ultrasonic probe used in this test setup was a Nova D11R replaceable delay line transducer with replaceable D11R-T tips. An equivalent 15-Megahertz, 0.25-inch-diameter probe with a 0.375-inch plastic delay line can be used.
- C. The standard used for thickness calibration were steel shims manufactured by the L. S. Sarret Company. To ensure proper calibration, the steel shims should be free of scratches and dirt. To verify the shim thickness a zero to one inch calibrated micrometer should be used to measure the steel shims.
- D. The couplant used in performing the calibration and the inspection should not be water based. Glycerin and 3-in-1 oil were used to conduct this test set-up and inspection.
- 3. Material Preparation
  - A. The forward spar fuselage attachment fitting should have bubbled or flaking paint removed. If surface pitting is found on the either side of the ear flange it should be lightly sanded. Removal of surface pits will enhance the accuracy of the inspection technique.
- 4. Instrument Calibration
  - A. Turn the instrument power on and check the battery charge status. The instrument should have at least 40% of available battery life. Select the NEW setup option. Adjust the screen brightness and contrast of the display screen for the desired environmental conditions (e.g., outside sunlight). Note: Unscrew the plastic delay line from the transducer. Add couplant (3-in-1 oil) to the base of the delay line. Then reattach the delay line.
  - B. Obtain steel shims with the thicknesses of 0.020, 0.030, 0.040, 0.050, 0.075, and 0.100 inch. Couple the probe to the 0.100-inch steel shim using a drop of glycerin or 3-in-1 oil. An A-trace will appear on the screen and a thickness readout will appear in the

right hand corner. The signals on the screen from left to right are the initial pulse, the delay line, front surface of the steel shim, and the backwall echo of the 0.100-inch steel shim. A thin horizontal bar should be present at the 30% full-scale screen height. This horizontal bar represents the thickness gate. The thickness gate will terminate at the delay line to steel shim interface.

- C. Select the signal element transducer setting from the probe selection (PRB) menu. Adjust the gain setting to increase the backwall signal from the 0.100 steel shim to 80% (approximately 63 dB). Adjust the damping, voltage, and pulse width to obtain the maximum signal response. The values selected for this inspection were inspection were 34 ohms, 200 volts, and 30 nanoseconds. These settings will vary from probe to probe. Note: If a new setting is selected on the power-up sequence, the range setting defaults to 2.0 inches. Exit the probe selection menu.
- D. Select the display (DSP) menu. Adjust the arrow keys to display all the waveforms. Select the waveform that gives the best signal display on the 0.100-inch steel shim. The value selected for the inspection was positive half-wave rectified. Select the Interface synchronization IF SYNC. This selection automatically starts the thickness gate at the leading edge of the interface echo. Exit the display menu and return to the main menu.
- E. Select the thickness (THK) menu. Move the cursor to the BLOCK menu and adjust the blocking gate to three-quarters of the distance between the initial pulse and the interface echoes. This step assures a stable interface IF SYNC.
- F. Select the calibration (CAL) menu. Move the cursor to the range (R) menu. Decrease the range to 0.34 inch. Several of the multiple backwall echos will disappear from the screen. The thickness readout should be present in the right hand corner. The reading should be near 0.100 inch. Move the cursor to the velocity (V) menu. Select a velocity of 0.231 in/µs. The reading should be 0.100 inch. Now couple the transducer to the thinnest steel shim. If the thickness readout does not agree with the known thickness, reposition the cursor to the zero (Z) menu. Use the arrow keys to produce the known thickness. Again check the thicks shim. If the readout does not read the correct thickness re-adjust the zero value. After this adjustment is made, record the thickness values for each of the steel shims. The calibration procedure is now complete.
- 5. Inspection Procedure
  - A. Add couplant to the outside inspection surface. To assure proper coupling to the test sample, twist the probe clockwise and counterclockwise (with a 45 degree twist) and maintain contact with the test surface. Measure and record the thickness. Repeat the above process at eight equally spaced locations around the washer.
  - B. Add couplant to the inside inspection surface. To assure proper coupling to the test sample twist the probe (clockwise and counterclockwise with a 45 degree twist). Measure and record the thickness. Repeat the above process at eight equally spaced locations around the ear flange.
- 6. Inspection Results
  - A. Refer to the applicable documentation for the corrosion limits.