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## History of MOVES Sensitivity Analysis

- MOVES Project Level Analysis Began in November 2012
- Is a complement analysis to the Regional Level Sensitivity Analysis - Report released in December 2012
- Focused on three variables associated with the Project Level Domain
- Age Distribution
- Fleet Mixture (Link Source Type)
- MOVES Drive Schedules
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## Age Distribution Analysis

- The Project Level applied more meaningful variations
- Reached out to the Transportation Planning Board (TPB) of the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments (MWCOG)
- Provided Age Distribution data for each MOVES source type
- For each source type analyzed, divided the Age Distribution into age groups based upon the trends observed from the TPB of MWCOG data.
- Analyzed the effects of vehicle aging on Passenger Cars, Transit Buses, Single Unit Trucks and Combination Trucks


## Age Distribution Passenger Car

| Passenger Car |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Age <br> Range | Baseline Age <br> Fraction | Scenario 1 | Scenario 2 | Scenario 3 | Scenario 4 | Scenario 5 |
| $\mathbf{0 - 3}$ years | 0.32 | $-5 \%$ | $-10 \%$ | $-20 \%$ | $-30 \%$ | $-45 \%$ |
| $4-7$ years | 0.22 | $-2 \%$ | $-5 \%$ | $-7.50 \%$ | $-10 \%$ | $-20 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8 - 1 2}$ years | 0.26 | $5 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| $13-17$ years | 0.14 | $4 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8 - 3 0}$ years | 0.06 | $2.50 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $7.50 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Average <br> Vehicle Age | $\mathbf{7 . 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 2 4}$ |


| Source Type | Pollutant | Case | Average Age | Emission Rate (gram/vehiclemile) | Percent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Passenger Car | NOX | Baseline | 7.48 | 0.2929 | - |
|  |  | Scenario 1 | 7.68 | 0.3017 | 2.91\% |
|  |  | Scenario 2 | 7.86 | 0.3104 | 5.63\% |
|  |  | Scenario 3 | 8.21 | 0.3246 | 9.76\% |
|  |  | Scenario 4 | 8.53 | 0.3367 | 12.99\% |
|  |  | Scenario 5 | 9.24 | 0.37 | 20.84\% |

# Fleet Mix (Link Source Type) 

- Conduct Fleet Mix Sensitivity Analysis for multiple cases
- Geographic Area Data Source
- Passenger Car to Passenger Truck Ratio
- Heavy Duty Truck Mix
- Heavy Duty Truck Type Mix
- Transit Bus Mix
- Utilized Fleet Mix data provided by Georgia Tech
- Compared composite emissions rates to the 'Baseline Case’ specific to the scenario/cases that were analyzed


## Fleet Mix Geographic Area



# Average Speed compared to ${ }^{-}$The Project Level Sensitivity Analysis compared using  

N Highway Capacity Manuale(HCM) derived Drive Schedule/Operating Mode

- Trip based Empirical Data provided by Georgia Tech
- Link Types analyzed
- Cruise Conditions

Arterial
Freeway
Intersection Links

## Example: Nioves effult <br> Sum of speed

Drive Schedule View

second -

| driveSchedule ID | AverageSpeed (m ph) | drive Sche dule Nam e |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1026 | 43.2662 | Final FC12LOSE Cycle (C15R10-00782) |
| 1029 | 31.0232 | Final FC14LOSB Cycle (C15R07-00177) |
| 1030 | 25.379 | Final FC14LOSC Cycle (C10R04-00104) |

## Average Speed

## - The user will specify an average speed for a link

- The average speed and distance assigned to the link determines the Source Operating Hours spent of the link.
- The average speed should renresent the conditions of the roadwav segment being analyzed
- The Average Speed
lrive n the Default Database
Queue
Link


Average Speed compared to constant approach speed Drive Schedule

| Road Type | Link Type | Scenario | CO <br> Emissions Rates (gram/vehmile) | Percent Change Compared to Average Speed | PM2.5 Emissions Rates (gram/vehmile) | Percent Change Compared to Average Speed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urban Unrestricted Access | Approach | 25 mph Average Speed | 3.6880 | -27.12\% | 0.0504 | -36.64\% |
|  |  | Constant 25 mph Drive Schedule | 2.6876 |  | 0.0320 |  |
| Urban Unrestricted Access | Approach | 35 mph Average Speed | 3.1387 | -24.33\% | 0.0374 | -38.41\% |
|  |  | Constant 35 mph Drive Schedule | 2.3752 |  | 0.0230 |  |
| Urban Unrestricted Access | Approach | 45 mph Average Speed | 2.7569 | -19.77\% | 0.0314 | -35.52\% |
|  |  | Constant 45 mph Drive Schedule | 2.2118 |  | 0.0203 |  |

## Project Level Sensitivity



- An older average age does not always equate to higher emissions rates (Transit Bus Scenario)
- For passenger cars when the average age increases by a year then the emissions rates increase was in the $10 \%$ percent range for CO, VOC, and NOX. The emissions rate increase in PM2.5 was approximately $5 \%$.
- Getting the fleet mix accurate for your project is important
- The ratio between passenger cars and passenger trucks is important primarily for CO
- Getting the ratios between single unit and combination trucks are


## Sensitivity Questions - Drive

Sehneichlediference in emissions rates between using average speed versus user provided link drive schedule/operating mode distribution?

- The default drive schedules utilized when using average speed might not represent the exact profile you want to model
- Link might only have deceleration and idle
- Link might only have cruise with no deceleration or acceleration
- How detailed do you have to be?
- Individual drive schedules for each vehicle on the link?
- Does it matter if you are more detailed?

