National Park Service U.S. Department of the Interior

Natural Resource Stewardship and Science



# **Kings Mountain National Military Park**

Acoustical Monitoring 2012

Natural Resource Report NPS/NRSS/NRR-2014/875



**ON THE COVER** Acoustic monitoring system at the Battlefield Trail site, Kings Mountain National Military Park NPS Photo

# **Kings Mountain National Military Park**

Acoustical Monitoring 2012

Natural Resource Report NPS/NRSS/NRR-2014/875

Amanda Rapoza Cynthia Lee John MacDonald

US Department of Transportation Office of the Assistant Secretary for Research and Technology John A. Volpe National Transportation Systems Center Environmental Measurement and Modeling Division, RVT-41 Cambridge, MA 02142-1093

November 2014

U.S. Department of the Interior National Park Service Natural Resource Stewardship and Science Fort Collins, Colorado The National Park Service, Natural Resource Stewardship and Science office in Fort Collins, Colorado, publishes a range of reports that address natural resource topics. These reports are of interest and applicability to a broad audience in the National Park Service and others in natural resource management, including scientists, conservation and environmental constituencies, and the public.

The Natural Resource Report Series is used to disseminate high-priority, current natural resource management information with managerial application. The series targets a general, diverse audience, and may contain NPS policy considerations or address sensitive issues of management applicability.

All manuscripts in the series receive the appropriate level of peer review to ensure that the information is scientifically credible, technically accurate, appropriately written for the intended audience, and designed and published in a professional manner.

Data in this report were collected and analyzed using methods based on established, peer-reviewed protocols and were analyzed and interpreted within the guidelines of the protocols.

Views, statements, findings, conclusions, recommendations, and data in this report do not necessarily reflect views and policies of the National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use by the U.S. Government.

This report is available from the Natural Resource Publications Management website (<u>http://www.nature.nps.gov/publications/nrpm/</u>). To receive this report in a format optimized for screen readers, please email <u>irma@nps.gov</u>.

Please cite this publication as:

Rapoza, A., Lee, C., and MacDonald, J. 2014. Kings Mountain National Military Park: Acoustical monitoring 2012. Natural Resource Report NPS/NRSS/NRR—2014/875. National Park Service, Fort Collins, Colorado.

REPORT	<b>REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE</b>				
Public reporting burden for including the time for revi- data needed, and completing estimate or any other aspec burden, to Washington Headq Jefferson Davis Highway, Su Paperwork Reduction Project	ge 1 hour per response, gathering and maintaining the omments regarding this burden tions for reducing this ons and Reports, 1215 of Management and Budget,				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE March 2014		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final Report		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Kings Mountain Nationa 2012	l Military Park: Acousti	cal Monitoring	5. FUNDING NUMBERS VX-K6/MLE72		
6. AUTHOR(S) Amanda Rapoza, Cynthia	Lee and John MacDonald				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NA U.S. Department of Tra Office of the Assistan John A. Volpe National Environmental Measurem Cambridge, MA 02142-1	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER DOT-VNTSC-NPS-XX-XX				
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGEN	10.SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER				
U.S. Department of the I National Park Service Natural Resource Program Natural Sounds and Night 1201 Oakridge Drive Fort Collins, CO 80525					
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES NPS Program Manager: V	'icki Ward				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT	Y STATEMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE		
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wor During the winter of 2 Kings Mountain Nationa days each. The baseli and planners estimate future park planning of well as the development regulation of commerci developments near park activities, and reques summarizes the results	ds) 012(November-December) b 1 Military Park (KIMO)at ne data collected during the effects of future no bjectives such as creati at of an Air Tour Managem al air tours. The sound boundaries, air tours, ts for special use permine of the noise measuremen	baseline acoustical two sites deploye these periods wil bise impacts and wi ang acoustic resour hent Plan (ATMP), w sources of concer commercial and pri ts for noisy activ at study.	data were collected at d for approximately 30 l help park managers ll help to inform ce management plans, as hich provides for the n at KIMO include vate aircraft ities. This document		
14. SUBJECT TERMS Aircraft noise, air to	ones, noise impact	15. NUMBER OF PAGES 58			
noise, Air Tour Manage	ment Plan, ATMP, Nationa	l Park, soundscape	16. PRICE CODE		
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICA OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	FION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT		

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18 298-102

### **Table of Contents**

Pag
iguresvi
ablesiz
Executive Summaryx
Acknowledgmentsxii
ist of Termsxii
. Introduction
. Study Area
. Methods
3.1 Automatic Monitoring
3.2 Source Identification/Observer Logging
3.3 Calculation of Sound Level Descriptors
3.4 Definitions of ambient
3.5 Calculation of Ambients
. Results
4.1 Summary Results
4.2 Time Above Results
4.3 Temporal Trends
4.4 Acoustical Observer Log Results
Ambient Mapping
5.1 Define Acoustical Zones and Assign Ambient Data
5.2 Ambient Mapping of Localized Sound Sources
5.3 Final Ambient Maps2
Data for Individual Sites
6.1 Site KIMO001 – Battlefield Trail
6.2 Site KIMO002 – Garner Creek
iterature Cited

## Figures

	Page
Figure 1. Map of KIMO	2
Figure 2. Comparison of overall daytime L <sub>50</sub> sound levels.	10
Figure 3. Spectral data for the existing Ambient Without Fixed-Wing Aircraft and Helicopters ( $L_{50}$ ).	13
Figure 4. Spectral data for the Natural Ambient (L <sub>50</sub> )	13
Figure 5. Comparison of daily L <sub>50</sub> sound levels.	15
Figure 6. Comparison of hourly L <sub>50</sub> sound levels	16
Figure 7. Acoustical zones and measurement sites for KIMO	21
Figure 8. Baseline ambient map; Existing Ambient Without Fixed-Wing Aircraft and Helicopters (L <sub>50</sub> ).	26
Figure 9. Baseline ambient map; Natural Ambient (L <sub>50</sub> )	27
Figure 10. Photograph of Site KIMO001	
Figure 11. Distribution of sound sources audible ( <i>in situ</i> and off-site listening combined) during daytime and nighttime hours for KIMO001	32
Figure 12. Distribution of 1-second sound pressure level data measured during daytime and nighttime hours, and daytime/nighttime combined for KIMO001	32
Figure 13. Daily sound levels and average daily wind speed for KIMO001	33
Figure 14. Hourly sound levels and average hourly wind speed for KIMO001	33
Figure 15. One-third octave band exceedance levels (average hourly $L_{10}$ , $L_{50}$ , and $L_{90}$ ) during daytime and nighttime hours for KIMO001	34
Figure 16. Photograph of Site KIMO002	
Figure 17. Distribution of sound sources audible ( <i>in situ</i> and off-site listening combined) during daytime and nighttime hours for KIMO002.	
Figure 18. Distribution of data for KIMO002	36
Figure 19. Daily sound levels and wind speeds for Site KIMO002.	37
Figure 20. Hourly sound levels and wind speeds for Site KIMO002.	37
Figure 21. Sound spectrum for KIMO002.	

### Tables

	Page
Table 1. Percent Time Above Metrics (dBA).	xi
Table 2. Percent Time Above Metrics (truncated spectra – dBT).	xi
Table 3. Summary of daytime acoustical observer log data ( <i>in situ</i> and off-site listening combined).	xii
Table 4. Summary of nighttime acoustical observer log data (off-site listening) for all sites.	xii
Table 4. Summary of measurement sites selected for Kings Mountain	3
Table 5. Matrix of twelve potential ambient descriptors.	7
Table 6. Summary of measured ambient sound level data.	11
Table 7. Summary of measured, daytime (7 am to 7 pm), ambient sound level spectral data.	12
Table 8. Percent Time Above Metrics (dBA).	14
Table 9. Percent Time Above Metrics (truncated spectra – dBT).	14
Table 10. Summary of daytime acoustical observer log data ( <i>in situ</i> and off-site listening combined) for all sites	16
Table 11. Summary of nighttime acoustical observer log data (off-site listening) for all sites.	17
Table 12. Assignment of ambient data to acoustical zones.	22
Table 13. Composite ambient maps	22
Table 14. Estimated hourly roadway traffic volume and speed	23

### **Executive Summary**

During November to December of 2012, two acoustical monitoring systems were deployed in Kings Mountain National Military Park (KIMO). The purpose of this monitoring effort was to characterize existing sound levels, estimate natural ambient sound levels, and identify audible sound sources in the park. This report provides a summary of results of these measurements, representing the soundscape of KIMO's winter season.

In determining the current conditions of an acoustical environment, the National Park Service (NPS) examines how often sound pressure levels exceed certain decibel values that relate to human health and speech. The NPS uses these values for making comparisons, but they should not be construed as thresholds of impact. Table 1 and Table 2 report the percent of time that measured levels were above four sound level values at each of the Kings Mountain measurement locations for the winter season in dBA and dBT. The first value, 35 dBA, addresses the health effects of sleep interruption (Haralabidis, et. al., 2008). The second value is based on the World Health Organization's recommendation that noise levels inside bedrooms remain below 45 dBA (Berglund, et. al., 1999). The third value, 52 dBA, is based on the Environmental Protection Agency's speech interference threshold for speaking in a raised voice to an audience at 10 meters (Environmental Protection Agency, 1974). This value addresses the effects of sound on interpretive presentations in parks. The final value, 60 dBA, provides a basis for estimating impacts on normal voice communications at 1 m (3 ft). Hikers and visitors in the park would likely be conducting such conversations.

Site ID	Site Name	% Time above sound level: Daytime (7 am to 7 pm)			% Time above sound level: Nighttime (7 pm to 7 am)				
Olle ID		35 dBA	45 dBA	52 dBA	60 dBA	35 dBA	45 dBA	52 dBA	60 dBA
KIMO001	Battlefield Trail	66.1	10.7	1.2	0.1	23.6	1.9	0.3	0.0
KIMO002	Garner Creek	28.1	4.5	0.6	0.0	8.7	0.9	0.1	0.0

Table 1. Percent Time Above Metrics (dBA).

Table 2. Percent Time	Above Metrics	(truncated s	pectra – dBT)	

Site ID	ID Site Name % Time above sound level: Daytime (7 am to 7 pm)			% Time above sound level: Nighttime (7 pm to 7 am)					
Olle ID		35 dBT	45 dBT	52 dBT	60 dBT	35 dBT	45 dBT	52 dBT	60 dBT
KIMO001	Battlefield Trail	44.2	6.6	1.0	0.0	8.3	1.1	0.2	0.0
KIMO002	Garner Creek	17.2	3.4	0.6	0.0	5.8	0.7	0.1	0.0

Table 3 and Table 4 summarize the daytime and nighttime acoustical observer log data (office listening and *in situ* logging combined) which provides an indication of the amount of time that certain sources are audible at each site. The *in situ* logging is performed during visits to the site itself; off-site listening is performed in an office environment using audio files collected at each site.

# Table 3. Summary of daytime acoustical observer log data (*in situ* and off-site listening combined).

			% Time A	udible	
Site ID	Site Name	Fixed-Wing Aircraft and Helicopters	Other Aircraft Sounds	Other Human Sounds	Natural Sounds
KIMO001	Battlefield Trail	1.3	12.9	19.5	66.3
KIMO002	Garner Creek	1.4	9.5	11.4	77.7

#### Table 4. Summary of nighttime acoustical observer log data (off-site listening) for all sites.

			% Time A	udible	
Site ID	Site Name	Fixed-Wing Aircraft and Helicopters	Other Aircraft Sounds	Other Human Sounds	Natural Sounds
KIMO001	Battlefield Trail	1.1	3.6	21.2	74.0
KIMO002	Garner Creek	1.0	1.7	8.0	89.3

### Acknowledgments

The authors of this report wish to express their sincere gratitude to the National Park Service (NPS), Natural Sounds and Night Skies Division (NSNSD), for the coordination and support provided for this study.

### List of Terms

### **Acoustical Environment**

The actual physical sound resources, regardless of audibility, at a particular location.

### Amplitude

The instantaneous magnitude of an oscillating quantity such as sound pressure. The peak amplitude is the maximum value.

### Audibility

The ability of animals with normal hearing, including humans, to hear a given sound. Audibility is affected by the hearing ability of the animal, the masking effects of other sound sources, and by the frequency content and amplitude of the sound.

### dBA

A-weighted decibel. A-Weighted sum of sound energy across the range of human hearing. Humans do not hear well at very low or very high frequencies. Weighting adjusts for this.

### dBT

Truncated decibel. A measure of sound energy in the range of frequencies where transportation noise is most often focused (20 - 1250 Hz). Transportation is often a major contributor of low frequency sound, but this range does not correspond to a specific vehicle or type of transportation.

### Decibel

A logarithmic measure of acoustic or electrical signals. The formula for computing decibels is:  $20*(Log_{10}(sound \ level/reference \ sound \ level))$ . 0 dB represents the lowest sound level that can be perceived by a human with healthy hearing. Conversational speech is about 65 dB.

### Frequency

The number of times per second that the sine wave of sound repeats itself. It can be expressed in cycles per second, or Hertz (Hz). Frequency equals Speed of Sound/ Wavelength.

### Hearing Range (frequency)

By convention, an average, healthy, young person is said to hear frequencies from approximately 20 Hz to 20,000 Hz.

### Hertz

A measure of frequency, or the number of pressure variations per second. A person with normal hearing can hear between 20 Hz and 20,000 Hz.

#### **Human-Caused Sound**

Any sound that is attributable to a human source.

#### Leq

Energy Equivalent Sound Level. The level of a constant sound over a specific time period that has the same sound energy as the actual (unsteady) sound over the same period.

### $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{x}}$

A metric used to describe acoustical data. It represents the level of sound exceeded x percent of the time during the given measurement period.

#### Masking

The process by which the threshold of audibility for a sound is raised by the presence of another sound.

#### **Noise-Free Interval**

The period of time between noise events (not silence).

#### Noise

Sound which is unwanted, either because of its effects on humans, its effect on fatigue or malfunction of physical equipment, or its interference with the perception or detection of other sounds (Source: McGraw Hill Dictionary of Scientific and Technical Terms).

#### **Off-site Listening**

The systematic identification of sound sources using digital recordings previously collected in the field.

### 1. Introduction

An important part of the National Park Service (NPS) mission is to preserve and/or restore the resources of the parks, including the natural and cultural soundscapes associated with units of the national park system. The collection of ambient sound level data provides valuable information about a park's acoustical conditions for use in developing acoustic resource management plans.

Ambient sound level data are also required to establish a baseline from which noise impacts can be assessed. The National Parks Air Tour Management Act of 2000 provides for the regulation of commercial air tour operations over units of the national park system through air tour management plans (ATMPs). The objective of the ATMPs is to develop acceptable and effective measures to mitigate or prevent significant adverse impacts, if any, of commercial air tour operations upon the natural and cultural resources of and visitor experiences in national park units as well as tribal lands (those included in or abutting a national park).<sup>1</sup>

Ambient data were collected by NPS, Natural Sounds and Night Skies Division (NSNSD) personnel in Kings Mountain National Military Park (KIMO) during November and December of 2012. A map of the area managed by KIMO is shown in Figure 1. The purpose of this report is to provide a summary of the results of these measurements that will be used to represent KIMO's winter season.

The U.S. Department of Transportation, John A. Volpe National Transportation Systems Center (Volpe Center) is supporting the NPS, NSNSD and Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Western-Pacific Region (AWP) in the analysis of ambient sound level data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> KIMO is currently exempt from ATMP development.



Figure 1. Map of KIMO (National Park Service 2014).

### 2. Study Area

Two acoustical monitoring systems were deployed during November and December of 2012 to represent KIMO's winter season. These sites were selected based on discussions between NSNSD and KIMO personnel and are shown in Table 4.

Site ID	Site Name	# Days of Data	NLCD <sup>2</sup> Classification	Coordinates (latitude/longitude in decimal degrees)	Elevation (m)
KIMO001	Battlefield Trail	37 days	Deciduous Forest	35.14079° / 81.37952°	275 m (902 ft)
KIMO002	Garner Creek	36 days	Deciduous Forest	35.12191° / 81.40055°	253 m (830 ft)

Table 5. Summary of measurement sites selected for Kings Mountain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> With the goal of potentially facilitating future data transferability between parks, all baseline acoustic data collected have been organized/classified in accordance with the National Land Cover Database (NLCD). Developed by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), the NLCD is the only nationally consistent land cover data set in existence and is comprised of twenty-one NLCD subclass categories for the entire U.S. (Homer, et. al. 2004).

### 3. Methods

### 3.1 Automatic Monitoring

Larson Davis 831 sound level meters (SLM) were employed for continuous acoustical monitoring over the monitoring period at KIMO. The Larson Davis SLM is a hardware-based, real-time analyzer which constantly records one second sound pressure level (SPL) and one-third octave-band data, and exports these data to a portable storage device (thumb drive). These Larson Davis-based sites met American National Standards Institute (ANSI) Type 1 standards (American National Standards Institute 1990).

In addition to the Larson Davis SLM, each acoustical sampling station consisted of:

- Microphone with environmental shroud
- Preamplifier
- LiFE PO4 rechargeable battery packs
- Anemometer
- MP3 recorder
- Meteorological data logger

Each acoustical sampling station collected:

- Sound level data in the form of A-weighted decibel readings (dBA) every second
- Continuous digital audio recordings
- One-third octave-band data every second ranging from 12.5 Hz 20,000 Hz
- Meteorological data

### 3.2 Source Identification/Observer Logging

In characterizing natural and non-natural acoustical conditions in a park, knowledge of the intensity, duration, and distribution of the sound sources is essential. Thus, during sound-level data collection, FAA and NPS have agreed that periods of observer logging "*in situ*" (i.e., on site and in real-time) and/or off-site using high-quality digital recordings will be conducted in order to discern the type, timing, and duration of different sound sources. *In situ* observer logging takes full advantage of human binaural hearing capabilities, allows identification of sound source origin, simultaneous sound sources, and directionality, and closely matches the experience of park visitors. Off-site audio playback observer logging allows for sampling periodically throughout the entire measurement period (e.g., 10 seconds every 2 minutes) and repeated playback of the recordings (e.g., when the sound is difficult to identify). Bose Quiet Comfort Noise Canceling headphones were used for off-site audio playback to minimize limitations imposed by the office acoustical environment.

### 3.3 Calculation of Sound Level Descriptors

All sound-level data were analyzed in terms of the following metrics (also refer to the List of Terms section for definitions):

- L<sub>Aeq</sub>: The equivalent sound level determined by the logarithmic average of sound levels of a specific time period;
- L<sub>50</sub>: A statistical descriptor describing the sound level exceeded 50 percent of a specific time period (i.e., the median); and
- L<sub>90</sub>: A statistical descriptor describing the sound level exceeded 90 percent of a specific time period.

For each descriptor, both the broadband A-weighted sound level is determined along with its associated one-third octave band un-weighted spectrum from 12.5 to 20,000 Hz. The process of computing the un-weighted one-third octave-band spectrum is virtually identical to the process for computing the broadband A-weighted sound level descriptors. The only difference is that the sound-level value is computed for un-weighted frequency-based sound levels rather than for broadband A-weighted sound levels. Specifically, the un-weighted sound level is computed individually for each one-third octave-band. The 33 un-weighted one-third octave-band sound levels (12.5 to 20,000 Hz) define the un-weighted sound level spectrum. This method of constructing the sound level spectrum means it is not an actual measured one-third octave band spectrum associated with a particular measurement sample, but a composite spectrum using the computed descriptor for each one-third octave-band.

### 3.4 Definitions of ambient

The following four types of "ambient" characterizations are generally used and considered sufficient by the FAA and NPS in environmental analyses related to transportation noise (Fleming, et. al., 1999), (Fleming, et. al., 1998), (Plotkin, 2002).

- *Existing Ambient:* The composite, all-inclusive sound associated with a given environment, excluding only the analysis system's electrical noise (i.e., aircraft-related sounds are included);
- *Existing Ambient Without Source of Interest:* The composite, all-inclusive sound associated with a given environment, excluding the analysis system's electrical noise and the sound source of interest, in this case, commercial air tour (fixed-wing and helicopter) aircraft;
- *Existing Ambient Without All Aircraft (for use in assessing cumulative impacts):* The composite, all-inclusive sound associated with a given environment, excluding the analysis system's electrical noise and the sounds produced by the sound source of interest, in this case, all types of aircraft (i.e., commercial air tours, commercial jets, general aviation aircraft, military aircraft, and agricultural operations));<sup>3</sup> and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The definition of Existing Ambient Without All Aircraft used in this report is consistent with FAA's historical approach for cumulative impact analysis.

• *Natural Ambient:* The natural sound conditions found in a study area, including all sounds of nature (i.e., wind, streams, wildlife, etc.), and excluding all human and mechanical sounds.

If one considers the three sound level descriptors and the four types of ambient characterizations above, twelve ambient descriptors could potentially be computed as shown in Table 5.

	Ambient Type						
Metric	Metric Existing Existing Without Fix Existing Wing Aircraft and Helicopters		Existing Without All Aircraft	Natural			
L <sub>Aeq</sub>	1	4	7	10			
L <sub>50</sub>	2	5	8	11			
L <sub>90</sub>	3	6	9	12			

Table 6. Matrix of twelve potential ambient descriptors.

From the above twelve potential ambient descriptors, only the first three can be readily computed (see Section 4.5). The computation of ambient types other than Existing Ambient is more challenging because different sound sources often overlap in both frequency and amplitude; there is currently no practical method to separate out acoustic energy of different sound sources (i.e., human-caused sounds imbedded with natural sounds). The two ambient descriptors agreed upon for use in ATMP analyses are:

- L<sub>50</sub>, Existing Ambient Without Source of Interest Descriptor 5 from the table above; and
- $L_{50}$ , Natural Ambient ( $L_{Nat}$ ) Descriptor 11 from the table above.

### 3.5 Calculation of Ambients

Using the data in the acoustical observer logs, different characterizations of ambient can be estimated from the sound level data. This method was developed by performing detailed data analyses conducted by the Volpe Center, working closely with the NPS, comparing several approaches of estimating of the Natural Ambient and is comprised of the following steps: (Rapoza, et. al., 2008)

- 1) From the short-term *in situ* and off-site logging, determine the percent time human-caused sounds are audible.
- 2) Sort, high-to-low, the A-weighted level data, derived from the short term, one-second, one-third octave-band data (regardless of acoustical state), and remove the loudest percentage (determined from the percent time audible of human-caused sounds in the short-term observer logs) of sound-level data. For example, if from Step 1 above, it is determined that at a particular site, the percent time audible of all human-caused sounds is 40 percent, then the loudest 40 percent of the A-weighted level data is removed. The L<sub>50</sub> computed from the remaining data is the estimated A-weighted natural ambient. This L<sub>50</sub>, computed from the remaining data, can be mathematically expressed as an L<sub>x</sub> of the entire dataset as follows (% TA is the percent of time human-caused sounds are audible in the short-term observer logs):

$$L_x$$
, where  $x = 50 + \frac{\% TA}{2}$ 

For example, if non-natural sounds are audible for 40% of the time,  $L_0$  to  $L_{40}$  corresponds to the loudest (generally non-natural) sounds, and  $L_{40}$  to  $L_{100}$  corresponds to the quietest (generally natural) sounds. The median of  $L_{40}$  to  $L_{100}$  data is  $L_{70}$ . Therefore, the A-weighted decibel value at  $L_{70}$ , the sound level exceeded 70 percent of the time, would be used for the entire dataset to characterize the natural ambient sound level.

3) The associated one-third octave-band un-weighted spectrum from 12.5 to 20,000 Hz is constructed similarly, except the  $L_{50}$  is computed from the remaining data for each one-third octave-band, mentioned earlier. It is not an actual measured one-third octave-band spectrum associated with a particular measurement sample, but rather a composite spectrum derived from the  $L_x$  for each one-third octave-band.

This method for estimating the natural ambient is conceptually straightforward – as percent time audible approaches 0 percent, the  $L_x$  approaches  $L_{50}$ ; as it approaches 100 percent, the  $L_x$  approaches  $L_{100}$ . A concern with this approach is that sporadic, loud natural sounds, such as thunder, could be removed from the data before calculating natural ambient sound levels, and the resulting calculated natural ambient sound levels could be an under-estimate of natural ambient sound levels. Although this is a valid concern, such events are rare relative to the entire measurement period (>25 days). Therefore, removing these data should not likely have a significant impact on calculations of natural ambient sound levels. This method also eliminates the possibility of having an estimated natural ambient level that exceeds the existing ambient level.

Based on the concept of the above method, the computation of the other ambient types (Existing Ambient Without Fixed-Wing Aircraft and Helicopters, and Existing Ambient Without All Aircraft) is a similar process.

### 4. Results

This section summarizes the results of the study. Included are an overall summary of the final ambient sound levels for each measurement site, time analysis, temporal trends, and the acoustical observer data logged at each measurement site.

### 4.1 Summary Results

The following figures and tables are presented to show overall site-to-site comparisons:

- Figure 2 presents a plot of the overall daytime<sup>4</sup> L<sub>50</sub> sound level computed for each site (a few points of interest outside the parks are also shown for comparison purposes only). The figure also shows a dark line above and below each plotting symbol, which indicate the 95% confidence interval on the results<sup>5</sup>;
- Table 6 presents a tabular summary of the daytime and nighttime and computed ambients; and
- Table 7, Figure 3, and Figure 4 present the associated spectral data for these ambient maps.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> FAA and NPS have agreed that impact assessment will be conducted using ambient sound levels during the time that the air tour operations occur – typically daytime hours. Daytime (as used in this report) will refer to the time period 7 am to 7 pm; nighttime will refer to the time period 7 pm to 7 am.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The confidence interval is a measure of how certain one is of the value shown. The length of each of the dark lines indicate the day-to-day variability of the measurement for a particular site - the longer the line, the larger the day-to-day variability.



Figure 2. Comparison of overall daytime L<sub>50</sub> sound levels.

Table 7.	Summary	of measured	ambient	sound I	level	data.

Site ID	Site Name		Existing Ambient					Existing Ambient Without Fixed-	Existing Ambient	Natural	
		Total # Days	Daytime Data Only 7 am to 7 pm			Nighttime Data Only 7 pm to 7 am			Wing Aircraft and Helicopters (Daytime Data 7 am to 7 pm)	Without All Aircraft (Daytime Data 7 am to 7 pm)	Ambient (Daytime Data 7 am to 7 pm)
			L <sub>Aeq</sub> (dBA)	L <sub>50</sub> (dBA)	L <sub>90</sub> (dBA)	L <sub>Aeq</sub> (dBA)	L <sub>50</sub> (dBA)	L <sub>90</sub> (dBA)	L <sub>50</sub> (dBA)	L <sub>50</sub> (dBA)	L <sub>50</sub> (dBA)
KIMO001	Battlefield Trail	37	44.3	36.9	32.0	45.5	31.0	23.6	36.8	36.2	35.1
KIMO002	Garner Creek	36	40.2	30.8	27.1	41.9	26.5	20.0	30.8	30.1	29.4

Table 8. Summary of measured, daytime (7 am to 7 pm), ambient sound level spectral data. <sup>6</sup>

Frequency	Existing Amb Fixed-Wing Helicopte	bient Without Aircraft and rs L <sub>50</sub> (dB)	Natural Amb	bient L₅₀ (dB)	
(Hz)	KIMO001	KIMO002	KIMO001	KIMO002	
12.5	43.5	39.0	41.7	38.2	
16	43.2	38.9	41.7	38.2	
20	42.8	39.6	41.5	38.6	
25	41.9	38.8	40.4	38.0	
31	41.7	38.5	40.3	37.6	
40	40.8	37.9	39.2	36.8	
50	40.7	37.6	38.7	36.6	
63	39.6	36.5	38.2	35.7	
80	37.6	34.5	36.3	33.4	
100	34.0	29.5	32.2	28.4	
125	30.9	27.2	29.3	25.7	
160	29.6	26.2	27.0	24.6	
200	28.3	25.5	26.2	24.0	
250	27.1	24.5	25.0	23.0	
315	26.9	22.7	25.2	21.5	
400	26.7	20.6	25.0	19.7	
500	27.1	19.9	24.8	18.8	
630	26.3	17.5	24.4	16.7	
800	24.9	15.6	23.2	14.6	
1000	24.3	12.7	22.2	12.0	
1250	23.5	11.2	21.2	10.3	
1600	22.6	11.3	20.5	10.1	
2000	22.1	12.4	19.2	11.2	
2500	21.2	12.2	18.9	10.9	
3150	21.8	16.0	19.7	14.7	
4000	21.0	15.9	19.0	14.7	
5000	16.4	12.0	14.4	10.9	
6300	13.9	10.6	12.0	9.8	
8000	12.2	10.3	10.4	9.3	
10000	10.3	8.5	8.9	7.9	
12500	8.4	7.2	7.5	6.9	
16000	5.7	5.2	5.2	5.1	
20000	1.3	2.5	1.1	2.5	

\_\_\_\_\_

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$  As discussed in Section 3.5, the spectral data associated with the L<sub>50</sub> exceedence level is constructed by determining the L<sub>50</sub> from each one-third octave-band; therefore, it is not an actual measured one-third octave-band spectrum associated with a particular measurement sample.



Figure 3. Spectral data for the existing Ambient Without Fixed-Wing Aircraft and Helicopters (L<sub>50</sub>).<sup>7</sup>



Figure 4. Spectral data for the Natural Ambient (L<sub>50</sub>).<sup>7</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Also shown in each figure is the Equivalent Auditory System Noise (EASN), which represents the threshold of human hearing for use in modeling audibility using one-third octave-band data.

#### 4.2 Time Above Results

The Time Above metric indicates the amount of time that the sound level exceeds specified decibel values. In determining the current conditions of an acoustical environment, the NPS examines how often sound pressure levels exceed certain decibel values that relate to human health and speech. The NPS uses these values for making comparisons, but they should not be construed as thresholds of impact. Table 8 and Table 9 report the percent of time that measured levels were above four sound level values at each of the Kings Mountain measurement locations. The first value, 35 dBA, addresses the health effects of sleep interruption (Haralabidis, et. al., 2008). The second value addresses the World Health Organization's recommendation that noise levels inside bedrooms remain below 45 dBA (Berglund, et. al., 1999). The third value, 52 dBA, is based on the Environmental Protection Agency's speech interference threshold for speaking in a raised voice to an audience at 10 meters (Environmental Protection Agency, 1974). This value addresses the effects of sound on interpretive presentations in parks. The final value, 60 dBA, provides a basis for estimating impacts on normal voice communications at 1 m (3 ft). Hikers and visitors viewing scenic vistas in the park would likely be conducting such conversations.

Site ID	Site Name	% Time above sound level: Daytime (7 am to 7 pm)				% Time above sound level: Nighttime (7 pm to 7 am)			
one ib		35 dBA	45 dBA	52 dBA	60 dBA	35 dBA	45 dBA	52 dBA	60 dBA
KIMO001	Battlefield Trail	66.1	10.7	1.2	0.1	23.6	1.9	0.3	0.0
KIMO002	Garner Creek	28.1	4.5	0.6	0.0	8.7	0.9	0.1	0.0

Table 10.	Percent Tir	ne Above	Metrics	(truncated	spectra –	dBT).
				<b>\</b>		

Site ID	Site Name	% Time above sound level: Daytime (7 am to 7 pm)				% Time above sound level: Nighttime (7 pm to 7 am)			
		35 dBT	45 dBT	52 dBT	60 dBT	35 dBT	45 dBT	52 dBT	60 dBT
KIMO001	Battlefield Trail	44.2	6.6	1.0	0.0	8.3	1.1	0.2	0.0
KIMO002	Garner Creek	17.2	3.4	0.6	0.0	5.8	0.7	0.1	0.0

### 4.3 Temporal Trends

This section discusses the daily and diurnal trends of the data. Daily trends are shown on a 24-hour basis. Figure 5 presents the daily median Existing Ambient (i.e., the  $L_{50}$  with all sounds included) for the winter season. For the purpose of assessing daily trends in the data, sound level descriptors are computed for each individual hour; then the median from the 24 hours each day is determined. Dips and increases in daily sound levels are usually an indication of passing inclement weather and localized events. This data is useful in visually identifying potential anomalies in the data. Data anomalies are further examined from data recorded by the sound level meter and/or recorded audio samples.

Diurnal trends are shown on an hourly basis. Sites with a strong daytime diurnal pattern typically indicate the presence of human activity largely influencing the sound levels at those sites. Sites with a nighttime pattern typically indicate the presence of insect activity. Sites with little discernible pattern, e.g., somewhat constant across all hours, typically indicates a constant sound source. Examples of constant sound sources include nearby brook or river. This data is also useful in visually identifying potential anomalies in the data.



Figure 5. Comparison of daily L<sub>50</sub> sound levels.



Figure 6. Comparison of hourly L<sub>50</sub> sound levels.

### 4.4 Acoustical Observer Log Results

Table 10 and Table 11 summarize the day- and nighttime off-site listening and *in situ* logging results and provide an indication of the amount of time that certain sources are audible at each site. The *in situ* logging occurs at the site itself and consists of an observer that logs the time and duration of sounds that they hear at the site. Typically a limited amount of *in situ* logging is available due to logistics of the measurement and the days that the acoustic team is in the area. The off-site listening results are from a review of the audio files that were collected at each site. Continuous audio files were collected for the entire measurement and this allows a greater ability to listen and log sound sources for several days and any time period. These tables summarize the combined listening results for the winter measurements, these are the results determined from a review of the audio files and the in-situ sound source logs that were collected live at the site.

Table 11. Summary of daytime acoustical observer log data (*in situ* and off-site listening combined) for all sites.

		% Time Audible						
Site ID	Site Name	Fixed-Wing Aircraft and Helicopters	Other Aircraft Sounds	Other Human Sounds	Natural Sounds			
KIMO001	Battlefield Trail	1.3	12.9	19.5	66.3			
KIMO002	Garner Creek	1.4	9.5	11.4	77.7			

		% Time Audible						
Site ID	Site Name	Fixed-Wing Aircraft and Helicopters	Other Aircraft Sounds	Other Human Sounds	Natural Sounds			
KIMO001	Battlefield Trail	1.1	3.6	21.2	74.0			
KIMO002	Garner Creek	1.0	1.7	8.0	89.3			

Table 12. Summary of nighttime acoustical observer log data (off-site listening) for all sites.

### 5. Ambient Mapping

Using the ambient data measured at each site, a comprehensive grid of ambient sound levels throughout the park (i.e., an ambient "map") is developed. Ambient maps are useful to: (1) graphically characterize the ambient environment throughout an entire study area; and (2) to establish baseline, or background values in computer modeling.

The development of ambient maps is accomplished using Geographic Information System (GIS). In GIS, the following actions are performed:

- Define the input "objects":
  - Define the park boundary in Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM)<sup>8</sup> coordinates to set the initial grid area boundary.<sup>9</sup>
  - Divide the park into a regular grid of points at a desired spacing using a Digital Elevation Model (DEM), which is a digital representation of a topographic surface typically used in GIS applications. Each point is assigned an elevation value and UTM coordinates from the DEM. Note: For Kings Mountain, a grid spacing of 100 ft (30.5 m) was used.
  - o Define the acoustical zone boundaries in UTM coordinates.
  - Define the location of each measurement site.
- Assign a "measured" ambient sound level (and its associated one-third octave-band, unweighted spectrum), computed in Section 3.5, to each grid point within an acoustical zone.

For development of all ambient maps, except for Natural Ambient, three additional steps are performed:

- Define the location of localized noise sources, primarily vehicles on roads, but may also include brooks, waterfalls, and river rapids. The closest distance to each source is calculated and assigned to each grid point.
- Assign an ambient sound level (and its associated one-third octave-band, unweighted spectrum) for each roadway to each grid point using the drop-off rates determined by computer modeling discussed in Section 5.2.
- Compute a combined measured and roadway ambient (and spectra). This is performed by using energy-addition, i.e., sound levels in decibels were converted to energy prior to addition.

The resultant ambient maps are presented in Section 5.3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The UTM system provides coordinates on a worldwide flat grid for easy manipulation in GIS applications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Because the ATMP Act applies to all commercial air tour operations within the <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-mile outside the boundary of a national park, the park boundary included a <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-mile buffer.

### 5.1 Define Acoustical Zones and Assign Ambient Data

Because it is neither economically nor expeditiously feasible to manually collect noise data under all possible conditions throughout an entire park, areas of like vegetation, topography, elevation, and climate were grouped into "acoustical zones," with the assumption that similar wildlife, physical processes, and other sources of natural sounds occur in similar areas with similar attributes. The primary goal of the site selection process was to identify the minimum number of field-measurement sites, which would allow for characterization of the baseline ambient sound levels throughout the entire park by assigning measured data stratified to these acoustical zones. The following considerations are used in the determination of acoustical zones:

- Vegetation/Land Cover: Sound propagates differently over different types of ground cover and through different types of vegetation. For example, sound propagates more freely over barren environments as compared with grasslands, and less freely through forest type environments. In addition, vegetation is typically dependent upon time-of-year, with foliage being sparser in the winter than other times in the year. Land cover can also affect wildlife activity.
- Climate Conditions: Climate conditions (temperature, humidity, precipitation, wind speed, wind direction, etc.) can also affect ambient sound levels. For example, higher elevation areas typically exhibit higher wind speeds resulting in higher ambient sound levels. Climate is also dependent upon daily and seasonal variations, which can affect ambient sound levels. For example, under conditions of a temperature inversion (temperature increasing with increasing height as in winter and at sundown), sound waves may be heard over larger distances; and winds tend to increase later in the day, and, as such, may be expected to contribute to higher ambient noise levels in the afternoon as compared with the morning.
- Park Resources/Management Zones: Park resources contribute, not only, to the multitude of sounds produced in certain areas of the park, but also to the serenity of other areas in the park. The way in which a park manages its resources can affect how potential impacts may be later assessed. It may also help identify where greater resource protection may be needed.

Based on the above considerations, Figure 7 presents the acoustical zones that were developed and the location of the measurement sites for KIMO. Locations in KIMO where human activity is greatest were assigned to a developed zone. This includes areas nearby the visitor center, battlefield trail, administration offices, and residential areas within the ½-mile buffer. The ATMP Act applies to all commercial air tour operations within the ½-mile outside the boundary of a national park. Table 12 presents which measurement site data were applied to each acoustical zone based on best available data and geographical proximity.



Figure 7. Acoustical zones and measurement sites for KIMO.

Acoustical Zone	Site ID	Site Name
Developed	KIMO001	Battlefield Trail
Frontcoutnry	KIMO002	Garner Creek

Table 13. Assignment of ambient data to acoustical zones.

### 5.2 Ambient Mapping of Localized Sound Sources

The contributing effect of localized noise sources, primarily vehicles on roads, but may also include brooks, waterfalls, and river rapids, are typically modeled and combined with the measured sound levels to develop a composite, baseline, ambient "map" of a park for all ambient maps, except natural ambient (see Table 13). The combined (measured plus roadway, for example) ambient are computed by using energy-addition, i.e., sound levels in decibels were converted to energy prior to addition. Roadway sound sources were modeled using the Federal Highway Administration's Traffic Noise Model<sup>®</sup> (TNM) where the estimated drop-off rate, reflecting a continuous decrease in sound level as a function of increasing distance from each sound source, was computed (Lee, 2004). For a non-time-varying source, such as roadway noise, the TNM-computed  $L_{Aeq}$  sound level parameters may be conservatively assumed to be equivalent to the  $L_{50}$  and  $L_{90}$  and, thus, used interchangeably as the "roadway" ambient.

#### Table 14. Composite ambient maps.

Metric				
	Existing	Existing Without Sound Source of Interest	Existing Without All Aircraft	Natural
L <sub>50</sub>	Measured + Localized Noise Source(s)	Measured + Localized Noise Source(s)	Measured + Localized Noise Source(s)	Measured

In the vicinity of and within Kings Mountain, there were a number of roadways. The following general assumptions were made in the modeling:

- Roadway Traffic Volumes Annual traffic volume on each roadway was determined using data collected by the South Carolina Department of Transportation (SCDOT) (South Carolina Department of Transportation 2013) and the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) (North Carolina Department of Transportation 2013). Where data are available for multiple years, the most current year was chosen or the year corresponding to the study year, whichever was closer to the measurement data. The traffic volume for an average day during the peak winter month (November) was obtained by using monthly visitation data obtained from the NPS Public Use Statistics Office website (National Park Service 2013) to apportion the annual traffic. Hourly volume is estimated by dividing the month's volume by the number of days in the month (30) and by 12 hours per day, which assumes the majority of traffic for KIMO occurs between 7 am and 7 pm typical commute hours.
- Roadway Traffic Mix and Speeds –The traffic mix and speeds on a given roadway were based on two sources: (1) The NPS Monthly Usage information (National Park Service, 2013); and (2) observations by field personnel during previous site visits. In some cases, a specific speed limit

was determined using Google Maps using the "street view" to view an actual speed limit sign. In some specific cases, notations from the field notes en route to measurement site locations were used to determine speed limits over various segments. An average speed of 35 mph was assumed as the default within the park when another more specific speed limit could not be determined.

• Ground Impedance – An effective flow resistivity of 1000 cgs/rayls was used for Kings Mountain.

	Roadway		Estimated hourly volume					
#	Name	Average Speed (mph)	Autos	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	Buses	Motor- cycles	
1	Park Road (East Entrance)	25	39	0	0	0	1	
2	Park Road (West Entrance)	35	39	0	0	0	1	
3	SC- 161 (State Line - NC TO SC 55 - Station #262)	55	311	11	7	2	9	
4	SC-705 (SC161 to State Line NC - Station #755)	55	28	1	1	0	1	
5	SC-79 (SC 161 to State Line NC - Station #657)	45	28	1	1	0	1	
6	SC- 55 (SC 161 to SC 836 - Station #217)	45	279	10	6	2	9	
7	SC- 161 (SC 55 to US 321 - Station #259)	55	263	10	6	2	8	
8	SC-43 (SC 161 to SC 416 -Station #649)	45	44	2	1	0	1	
9	SC-23 (SC 820, L-23 to SC 161 - Station #631)	45	36	1	1	0	1	
10	S-1050 (SC 161 to S 1052 - Station #787)	45	18	1	0	0	1	
11	SC -820 (SC -1050 to S-23 - Station #773)	45	24	1	1	0	1	
12	SC -1052 (SC 5 to SC55 - Station #789)	45	8	0	0	0	0	
13	SC -55 (Couty Line -Cherokee to SC 161 -Station #215)	55	84	3	2	1	3	
14	SC -40 (SC 5 to SC 55 - Station #643)	40	64	2	1	0	2	
15	SC-5 (SC-11 to SC-5 BUS, SC -75 - Station #178)	45	422	15	9	2	13	
16	SC-5 (SC-11 to County Line (Cherokee) - Station #177)	35	311	11	7	2	9	
17	SC-11 (SC-5 to SC-97 - Station #377)	45	40	1	1	0	1	
18	SC-55 (SC 5 to County Line (York) - Station #228)	55	112	4	2	1	3	
19	SC-5 (SC-55 to County Line - Station #167)	35	334	12	7	2	10	
20	SC-5 (S-68 to SC 55- Station #165)	55	454	16	10	3	14	
21	SC -97 (SC-5 to S-687 to County Line (York) - Station #229)	30	32	1	1	0	1	
22	SC-44 (S-209 to SC-97 -Station #415)	40	20	1	0	0	1	
23	SC -5 (S-30 to S-68 - Station #163)	55	494	18	10	3	15	

Table 15. Estimated hourly roadway traffic volume and speed.

	Roadway		Estimated hourly volume				
#	Name	Average Speed (mph)	Autos	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	Buses	Motor- cycles
24	SC-286 Indian Springs Road (SC-141 to SC-5 - Station #329)	45	28	1	1	0	1
25	SC 223 (S-67 to S-141 - Station #327)	45	60	2	1	0	2
26	SC-66 (SC-99 to SC 5 - Station #433)	45	34	1	1	0	1
27	SC-5 (US 29 to S-30 - Station #161)	55	541	20	11	3	16
28	US29 (SC-5 to S-21 - Station #131)	55	254	12	7	1	5
29	US29 (SC-5 to S-127,L-140 Station #129)	55	396	19	11	1	8
30	S-67 (US29 to SC-223 - Station #435)	45	88	3	2	1	3
31	S-21 (US 29 to S-123 - Station #323)	45	40	1	1	0	1
32	US29 (S-21 to I85 - Station #133)	55	206	10	6	1	4
33	S-99 ( Station #451)	45	48	2	1	0	1
34	185 (SC5 to S-99 - Station #2347)	65	3,076	145	84	10	61
35	SC 5 (I85 to US 29 -Station #292)	55	470	17	10	3	14
36	185 (S-99 to US 29 - Station #2349)	65	3,060	144	84	10	61
37	US 29 (I85 to NC State Line - Station #135)	55	444	21	12	2	9
38	S-65 (SC 198 to S-99 - Station #431)	35	36	1	1	0	1
39	I85 (US29 to NC State Line - Station #2351)	65	3,076	145	84	10	61
40	S-21 (S-123 to SC 216 - Station #325)	45	26	1	1	0	1
41	SC 216 (S-21 to NC State Line - Station #309)	45	48	2	1	0	1
42	SC 216 (S-21 to National Park - Station #311)	45	34	1	1	0	1
43	SC 55 (S 836 to US 321 - Station #219)	35	565	20	12	3	17
44	Senate St/Smith St S 416 (S-206 to SC- 55 - Station #579)	25	143	5	3	1	4
45	S 208 (US 321 to S -1589 - Station #693)	25	76	3	2	0	2
46	US 321 (S-238 to SC-55 -Station #159)	35	833	39	23	3	16
47	S-227 (S-416 to SC-55 - Station #573)	25	131	5	3	1	4
48	Calhoun St S-209 (US 321 to S 717 - Station #577)	25	28	1	1	0	1
49	Flatrock St S=2-7 (US 321 to S-666 - Station #581)	25	32	1	1	0	1
50	McConnell St S-91 (S-666 to US 321 - Station #585)	25	104	4	2	1	3
51	Walnut St S-209 (S-91 to US321 - Station #576)	25	22	1	0	0	1
52	185 North Carolina line to Bethlehem Rd	65	3,092	146	85	10	61
53	226 between US29 and Carolina Ave	35	287	10	6	2	9
54	US 29 from NC State Line to Main St Split north of Elm Rd	35	714	34	20	2	14
55	Caveny Rd	35	19	1	0	0	1

Roadway			Estimated hourly volume				
#	Name	Average Speed (mph)	Autos	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	Buses	Motor- cycles
56	Dixon School Rd (NC State Line to I85)	55	215	8	5	1	7
57	Stewart Rd	35	17	1	0	0	1
58	Elm Road	45	39	1	1	0	1
59	226 Cleveland Ave (Carolina Ave to Lavender Rd)	35	478	17	10	3	15
60	Lavender Road	35	112	4	2	1	3
61	226 Cleveland Ave (North of Lavender Rd)	35	430	16	9	2	13
62	Bethlehem Church Road (Cleveland Ave to Mullinax Dr)	45	135	5	3	1	4
63	Bethlehem Church Road (Mullinax Dr to Long Branch Rd)	45	112	4	2	1	3
64	US 29 from Main Street to I85	55	484	23	13	2	10
65	Long Branch Road	35	35	1	1	0	1
66	Battleground Road	45	80	3	2	0	2
67	Bethlehem Church Rd (South of 185)	45	46	2	1	0	1
68	Margrace Road (South of Bethlehem Rd)	45	104	4	2	1	3
69	Bethlehem Rd (North of Battleground Ave)	45	112	4	2	1	3
70	Margrace Road (North of Bethlehem Rd)	45	271	10	6	2	8
71	US29/I85 (North of Bethlehem Rd)	65	3,251	153	89	11	64
72	Dixon School Rd (Kings Mountain Blvd to Battleground Ave)	35	127	5	3	1	4
73	State Rd S-46-731 Piedmont Road	25	4	0	0	0	0
74	Apple Road	25	4	0	0	0	0
75	Fire Road	25	8	0	0	0	0

### 5.3 Final Ambient Maps

The two ambient maps agreed upon for use in ATMP impact analyses are:

- Existing Ambient Without Fixed-Wing Aircraft and Helicopters (i.e., the Source of Interest); and
- Natural Ambient.

Figure 8 and Figure 9 present the two ambient maps for the winter season.



Figure 8. Baseline ambient map; Existing Ambient Without Fixed-Wing Aircraft and Helicopters  $(L_{50})$ .



Figure 9. Baseline ambient map; Natural Ambient ( $L_{50}$ ).

### 6. Data for Individual Sites

This section provides more detailed information for each individual site. For each site, the following are included:

- Figure 10, Figure 16: A photograph of the measurement site and a brief discussion of preliminary observations;
- Figure 11, Figure 17: A pie chart presenting a comparison of types of sound sources that were audible during observer logging;
- Figure 12, Figure 18: A graphic presenting distribution plots of the number of 1-second samples of each sound pressure level measured during daytime and nighttime hours, and daytime/nighttime combined;
- Figure 13, Figure 19: A graphic presenting the daily sound levels using three A-weighted metrics (L<sub>Aeq</sub>, L<sub>50</sub>, and L<sub>90</sub> refer to Terminology for definitions), as well as average daily wind speeds over the entire measurement period;
- Figure 14, Figure 20: A graphic presenting the hourly sound levels using three hourly Aweighted metrics (L<sub>Aeq</sub>, L<sub>50</sub>, and L<sub>90</sub> - refer to Terminology for definitions), as well as average hourly wind speeds over the entire measurement period; and
- Figure 15, Figure 21: A graphic presenting the sound levels for each of 33 one-third octave-band frequencies over the day and night periods using three hourly A-weighted metrics (L<sub>10</sub>, L<sub>50</sub>, and L<sub>90</sub>). The L<sub>10</sub> exceedance level represents the dB exceeded 10 percent of the time and 90 percent of the measurements are quieter than the L<sub>10</sub>. Refer to Terminology for definitions of L<sub>50</sub> and L<sub>90</sub>. The grayed area represents sound levels outside of the typical range of human hearing.

### 6.1 Site KIMO001 – Battlefield Trail



Figure 10. Photograph of Site KIMO001.

The KIMO001 Battlefield Trail site was located in a forested area, approximately 700 feet from the Visitor Center. The measurement system collected data from November 5, 2012 to December 11, 2012 to represent the winter season. The vegetation near the measurement system consisted of deciduous trees at 900 feet above sea level. Daytime sources of sound included vehicle sounds, birds, insects, animals, construction sounds (sometimes during early morning hours), lawn maintenance equipment, aircraft, and wind-related sounds.

On-site observations and off-site review of recorded audio data determined that aircraft were audible 14% of the daytime and 5% of the nighttime hours. Other human related sounds (mostly vehicles, construction sounds, and domestic dogs) were audible of 20% of the daytime and 21% of nighttime hours. The period of time where no human sounds were audible is called the "Noise-free" component of the soundscape. Noise-free time periods accounted for 66% of the daytime and 74% of the nighttime hours. Natural sounds audible at this site, which could have occurred concurrently with human sounds, included wind, wind in trees, bird vocalizations, and insects.

The overall median daytime sound level for this site was 38 dBA. Daily (twenty-four hour) median sound levels ( $L_{50}$ ) ranged from 29 dBA to 44 dBA. Elevated sound levels were primarily caused by winds agitating the dried deciduous leaves and causing continuous rustling sounds. Rain events caused elevated sound levels on November 13 and November 15 (Figure 13) and during the early morning hours (Figure 14). Hourly median sound levels varied from 28 dBA to 39 dBA. Daytime sound levels were greater than nighttime sound levels due to the greater wind speeds.



Figure 11. Distribution of sound sources audible (*in situ* and off-site listening combined) during daytime (left) and nighttime hours (right) for KIMO001.



Figure 12. Distribution of 1-second sound pressure level data measured during daytime (orange) and nighttime (pink) hours, and daytime/nighttime combined (blue) for KIMO001.



Figure 13. Daily sound levels and average daily wind speed for KIMO001.



Figure 14. Hourly sound levels and average hourly wind speed for KIMO001.



Figure 15. One-third octave band exceedance levels (average hourly  $L_{10}$ ,  $L_{50}$ , and  $L_{90}$ ) during daytime and nighttime hours for KIMO001.

#### 6.2 Site KIMO002 – Garner Creek



#### Figure 16. Photograph of Site KIMO002.

The KIMO002 Garner Creek site was located southeast of the electrical plant and approximately <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> mile from Piedmont Road. The measurement system collected data from November 6, 2012 to December 11, 2012 to represent the winter season. The vegetation near the measurement system consisted of deciduous trees and fallen leaves at an altitude of 830 feet above sea level. Daytime sources of sound included birds, insects, animals, wind-, and water-related sounds.

On-site observations and off-site review of recorded audio data showed aircraft were audible 11% of the daytime and 3% of nighttime hours. Other human-related sounds (vehicles, domestic dogs, and train horns) were audible of 11% of the daytime and 8% of the nighttime hours. The period of time where no human sounds were audible is called the "Noise-free" component of the soundscape. Noise-free time periods accounted for 78% of the daytime and 89% of nighttime hours. Natural sounds audible at this site, which could have occurred concurrently with human sounds, included wind, bird vocalizations, insects, and water-related sounds such as rain.

The overall median daytime sound level for this site was 38 dBA. Daily (twenty-four hour) median sound levels ( $L_{50}$ ) ranged from 29 dBA to 44 dBA. Elevated sound levels were primarily caused by winds agitating the dried deciduous leaves and causing continuous rustling sounds. Rain events caused elevated sound levels on November 13 and November 15 (Figure 13) and during the early morning hours (Figure 14). Hourly median sound levels varied from 28 dBA to 39 dBA. Daytime sound levels were greater than nighttime sound levels due to greater wind speeds and biological activity, such as birds.



Figure 17. Distribution of sound sources audible (*in situ* and off-site listening combined) during daytime (left) and nighttime hours (right) for KIMO002.



Figure 18. Distribution of data for KIMO002.



Figure 19. Daily sound levels and wind speeds for Site KIMO002.



Figure 20. Hourly sound levels and wind speeds for Site KIMO002.



Figure 21. Sound spectrum for KIMO002.

### **Literature Cited**

- American National Standards Institute. 1990. Specification for Sound Level Meters. American National Standard, ANSI Standard S1.4-1983 (R 1990). American National Standards Institute, New York, New York.
- Berglund, B., Lindvall, T. and Schwela, D.H (Eds.). <u>Guidelines for Community Noise</u>, World Health Organization, Geneva: 1999.
- Environmental Protection Agency. <u>Information on Levels of Noise Requisite to Protect the Public</u> <u>Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety</u>, March 1974. <u>http://www.fican.org/pages/noise\_speech.html</u> (accessed 30 September 2013).
- Fleming, G., et. al., <u>Ambient Sound Levels at Four Department of Interior Conservation Units in</u> <u>Support of Homestead Air Base Reuse Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement</u>, Report Nos. DOT-VNTSC-FAA-99-3, FAA-AEE-99-02, Cambridge, MA: John A. Volpe National Transportation Systems Center, June 1999.
- Fleming, G., et. al., <u>Development of Noise Dose/Visitor Response Relationships for the National</u> <u>Parks Overflight Rule: Bryce Canyon National Park Study</u>, Report Nos. DOT-VNTSC-FAA-98-6, FAA-AEE-98-01, Cambridge, MA: John A. Volpe National Transportation Systems Center, July 1998.
- Haralabidis Alexandros S., et. al., "Acute effects of night-time noise exposure on blood pressure in populations living near airports" <u>European Heart Journal Advance Access</u>, Published online February 12, 2008.
- Homer, C. C. Huang, L. Yang, B. Wylie and M. Coan. "Development of a 2001 National Landcover Database for the United States" <u>Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing</u>, Vol. 70, No. 7, July 2004, pp. 829-840.
- Lee, et. al., <u>FHWA Traffic Noise Model® (FHWA TNM®) User's Guide Version 2.5 Addendum to</u> <u>the User's Guide Version 1.0</u>, Report No. FHWA-PD-96-009, Washington, D.C.: Federal Highway Administration, April 2004.
- National Park Service. 2013. National Park Service Visitor Use Statistics website. <u>https://irma.nps.gov/Stats/</u> (accessed 28 October 2013).
- National Park Service. 2014. Kings Mountain National Military Park website. <u>http://www.nps.gov/kimo</u> (accessed 12 February 2014).
- North Carolina Department of Transportation. 2013. Traffic Volpe Maps website. <u>http://www.ncdot.gov/travel/statemapping/trafficvolumemaps/default.html</u> (accessed 28 October 2013).

- Plotkin, Kenneth J., <u>Review of Technical Acoustical Issues Regarding Noise Measurements in</u> <u>National Parks</u>, Draft Report WR 01-20, Arlington, VA: Wyle Laboratories, January 2002.
- Rapoza, et. al., Development of Improved Ambient Computation Methods in Support of the National Parks Air Tour Management Act, Cambridge, MA: John A. Volpe National Transportation Systems Center, October 2008.
- South Carolina Department of Transportation. 2013. SC Average Annual Daily Traffic website. <u>http://www.scdot.org/getting/annualtraffic.aspx</u> (accessed 28 October 2013).

The Department of the Interior protects and manages the nation's natural resources and cultural heritage; provides scientific and other information about those resources; and honors its special responsibilities to American Indians, Alaska Natives, and affiliated Island Communities.

NPS 335/127127, November 2014

National Park Service U.S. Department of the Interior



Natural Resource Stewardship and Science 1201 Oakridge Drive, Suite 150 Fort Collins, CO 80525

www.nature.nps.gov

EXPERIENCE YOUR AMERICA <sup>™</sup>