HE 18.5 .A34 no. DOT-TSC-NHTSA-.81-8

NO. DOT-TSC-NHTSA-81-8

DOT-HS-805 895

## AUTOMOTIVE FUEL ECONOMY--POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENT THROUGH SELECTED ENGINE AND DIFFERENTIAL GEAR LUBRICANTS

Ted M. Naman

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY BARTLESVILLE ENERGY TECHNOLOGY CENTER P.O. Box 1398 Bartlesville OK 74003



JULY 1981

FINAL REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MAR 4 1982 LIBRARY

DOCUMENT IS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC THROUGH THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE, SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22161

#### Prepared for

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION Office of Research and Development Washington DC 20590

#### NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof.

#### NOTICE

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturer's names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report.

#### NOTICE

The views and conclusions contained in the document are those of the author(s) and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies or opinions, either expressed or implied, of the Department of Transportation.

|    |   |  | lechnical Report Documentation P  |
|----|---|--|---|
| 5  | 1. Report No.   | 2. Government Accession No.  | 3. Recipient's Cotalog No.  |
| 4  | A. Title ond Subtitle   |  | 5. Report Date  |
| -  | AUTOMOTIVE FUEL ECONOMY   | POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENT  | July 1981<br>6. Performing Organization Code  |
| 1- | LUBRICANTS  | NUD DITTERENTIAL OLAR  | DOT/TSC   |
| SA | 7. Author's)<br>T.M. Naman  |  | DOT-TSC-NHTSA-81-8  |
| -8 | 9. Performing Organization Nome and Address<br>U.S. Department of Energy  | gy *   | 10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)<br>HS153/R1415  |
|    | Bartlesville Energy Tech<br>P.O. Box 1398   | nnology Center   | 11. Controct or Gront No.<br>RA - 78 - 19   |
|    | Bartlesville OK 74003   |  | 13. Type of Repart and Period Covered   |
|    | U.S. Department of Trans<br>National Highway Traffic  | sportation<br>Safety Administration  | Final Report<br>September 1979  |
|    | Office of Research and I<br>Washington DC 20590   | )evelopment  | 14. Sponsoring Agency Code<br>DOT/NHTSA   |
|    | <pre>15. Supplementory Notes *Interagency agreement with:</pre>   | U.S. Department of Tra<br>Research and Special F<br>Transportation Systems<br>Cambridge MA 02142   | Insportation<br>Programs Administration<br>Center   |
|    | 16. Abstroct  |  |   |
|    | This report evaluat   | es the effects of four   | engine lubricants and   |
|    | automobiles operated at   | lubricants on the fuel 20°, 70°, and 100° F a  | economy of two 1978<br>mbient temperatures.   |
|    | The engine lubricants<br>procedure and steady sta<br>lubricants were evaluate   | lubricants on the fuel<br>20°, 70°, and 100° F a<br>were evaluated using<br>te tests from a cold s<br>ed in steady state oper  | economy of two 1978<br>mbient temperatures.<br>the 1978 Federal Test<br>tart. The gear<br>ation from a cold start   |
|    | The engine lubricants<br>procedure and steady sta<br>lubricants were evaluate   | lubricants on the fuel<br>20°, 70°, and 100° F a<br>were evaluated using<br>te tests from a cold s<br>ed in steady state oper  | economy of two 1978<br>mbient temperatures.<br>the 1978 Federal Test<br>tart. The gear<br>ation from a cold start   |
|    | three differential gear<br>automobiles operated at<br>The engine lubricants<br>procedure and steady sta<br>lubricants were evaluate   | lubricants on the fuel<br>20°, 70°, and 100° F a<br>were evaluated using<br>te tests from a cold s<br>ed in steady state oper  | economy of two 1978<br>mbient temperatures.<br>the 1978 Federal Test<br>tart. The gear<br>ation from a cold start   |
|    | three differential gear<br>automobiles operated at<br>The engine lubricants<br>procedure and steady sta<br>lubricants were evaluate   | lubricants on the fuel<br>20°, 70°, and 100° F a<br>were evaluated using<br>te tests from a cold s<br>ed in steady state oper  | economy of two 1978<br>mbient temperatures.<br>the 1978 Federal Test<br>tart. The gear<br>ation from a cold start   |
|    | three differential gear<br>automobiles operated at<br>The engine lubricants<br>procedure and steady sta<br>lubricants were evaluate   | lubricants on the fuel<br>20°, 70°, and 100° F a<br>were evaluated using<br>te tests from a cold s<br>ed in steady state oper<br>DE<br>TR  | economy of two 1978<br>mbient temperatures.<br>the 1978 Federal Test<br>tart. The gear<br>ation from a cold start<br>PARTMENT OF<br>ANSPORTATION  |
|    | three differential gear<br>automobiles operated at<br>The engine lubricants<br>procedure and steady sta<br>lubricants were evaluate   | lubricants on the fuel<br>20°, 70°, and 100° F a<br>were evaluated using<br>te tests from a cold s<br>ed in steady state oper<br>DE<br>TR  | economy of two 1978<br>mbient temperatures.<br>the 1978 Federal Test<br>tart. The gear<br>ation from a cold start<br>PARTMENT OF<br>ANSPORTATION<br>MAR 4 1982  |
|    | three differential gear<br>automobiles operated at<br>The engine lubricants<br>procedure and steady sta<br>lubricants were evaluate   | lubricants on the fuel<br>20°, 70°, and 100° F a<br>were evaluated using<br>te tests from a cold s<br>ed in steady state oper  | economy of two 1978<br>mbient temperatures.<br>the 1978 Federal Test<br>tart. The gear<br>ation from a cold start<br>PARTMENT OF<br>ANSPORTATION<br>AR 4 1982<br>LIBRARY  |
|    | Three differential gear<br>automobiles operated at<br>The engine lubricants<br>procedure and steady sta<br>lubricants were evaluate   | lubricants on the fuel<br>20°, 70°, and 100° F a<br>were evaluated using<br>te tests from a cold s<br>ed in steady state oper<br>DE<br>TR<br>N   | economy of two 1978<br>mbient temperatures.<br>the 1978 Federal Test<br>tart. The gear<br>ation from a cold start<br>PARTMENT OF<br>ANSPORTATION<br>MAR 4 1982<br>LIBRARY   |
|    | <pre>three differential gear<br/>automobiles operated at<br/>The engine lubricants<br/>procedure and steady sta<br/>lubricants were evaluate</pre> 17. Key Words<br>Engine Lubricants<br>Gear Lubricants                                      | lubricants on the fuel<br>20°, 70°, and 100° F a<br>were evaluated using<br>te tests from a cold s<br>ed in steady state oper<br>DE<br>TR<br>N<br>M<br>18. Distribution Stor<br>DOCUMEN<br>THROUGH   | economy of two 1978<br>mbient temperatures.<br>the 1978 Federal Test<br>tart. The gear<br>ation from a cold start<br>PARTMENT OF<br>ANSPORTATION<br>MAR 4 1982<br>LIBRARY   |
|    | Inree differential gear<br>automobiles operated at<br>The engine lubricants<br>procedure and steady sta<br>lubricants were evaluate 17. Key Words Engine Lubricants Gear Lubricants Fuel Economy  | lubricants on the fuel<br>20°, 70°, and 100° F a<br>were evaluated using<br>te tests from a cold s<br>ed in steady state oper<br>DE<br>TR<br>N<br>N<br>N<br>N<br>N<br>N<br>N<br>N<br>N<br>N<br>N<br>N<br>N<br>N<br>N<br>N<br>N<br>N  | economy of two 1978<br>mbient temperatures.<br>the 1978 Federal Test<br>tart. The gear<br>ation from a cold start<br>PARTMENT OF<br>ANSPORTATION<br>AR 4 1982<br>LIBRARY<br>ement<br>T IS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC<br>THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL<br>TION SERVICE, SPRINGFIELD,<br>22161                        |
|    | Inree differential gear automobiles operated at The engine lubricants procedure and steady stalubricants were evaluate lubricants were evaluate for the engine Lubricants Gear Lubricants Fuel Economy 19. Security Classif. (of this report) | lubricants on the fuel         20°, 70°, and 100° F a         were evaluated using         te tests from a cold s         ed in steady state oper         DE         TR         Market         18. Distribution Stot         DOCUMEN         THROUGH         INFORMA         VIRGINIA         20. Security Clossif. (of this poge) | economy of two 1978<br>mbient temperatures.<br>the 1978 Federal Test<br>tart. The gear<br>ation from a cold start<br>PARTMENT OF<br>ANSPORTATION<br>MAR 4 1982<br>LIBRARY<br>ement<br>it is available to the public<br>the NATIONAL TECHNICAL<br>TION SERVICE, SPRINGFIELD,<br>21. No. of Pages 22. Price |

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)

Reproduction of completed poge authorized

.

-

. . .

.

#### PREFACE

This report, prepared by the U.S. Department of Energy, Bartlesville Energy Technology Center, Bartlesville, Oklahoma; for the U.S. Department of Transportation, Transportation Systems Center, Energy Technology Branch, Cambridge, MA, describes experimental work and findings in a study of fuel economy effects of four engine lubricants and three differential gear lubricants on two 1978 automobiles operated over the temperature range encountered in the United States using the Federal test procedure and 60-mph steady state tests.

This project is funded by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Office of Research and Development, Office of Passenger Vehicle Research, Technology Assessment Division under PPA HS153.

Mr. James A. Kidd, Jr. of the U.S. Department of Transportation, Transportation Systems Center, is the technical monitor.

| I f f d     Statut     I man to tatut       1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1  | 1 kit         2 kit <th< th=""><th>5</th><th>enversions te Metric</th><th>Measures</th><th></th><th><b>.</b><br/>  .   .   .   .</th><th>22 23</th><th>•</th><th>Aggreximate Conve</th><th>rsions from Meta</th><th>ic Meesures</th><th></th></th<>  | 5                  | enversions te Metric | Measures                 |         | <b>.</b><br>  .   .   .   . | 22 23               | •        | Aggreximate Conve  | rsions from Meta     | ic Meesures    |         |
|--|--|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------|
| Million         Million <t< th=""><th></th><th>Mutliply by</th><th></th><th>To find</th><th>Symbol</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Mainpir by<br/>LENGTH</th><th></th><th>1241-44</th></t<>  |  | Mutliply by        |                      | To find                  | Symbol  |                             |                     |          |                    | Mainpir by<br>LENGTH |                | 1241-44 |
| Notice         Notice<  |  | LENGTH             |                      |                          |         | , I. I. I                   | 02<br> <br>         |          | and transform      | 2                    | 4              | 2       |
| Contraction         Contraction <thcontraction< th=""> <thcontraction< th=""></thcontraction<></thcontraction<>  | Control  |                    |                      |                          |         | .1.1.                       | 61                  | E s      | C B ntimeter B     | 0.0                  | in ches        | 2.3     |
| Contraction         Contraction <thcontraction< th=""> <thcontraction< th=""></thcontraction<></thcontraction<>  | Continuent     City     City <td>•2.5</td> <td></td> <td>Centimaters</td> <td>Cm</td> <td>1.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <th>meters</th> <td></td> <td>1001</td> <td>ε</td>   | •2.5               |                      | Centimaters              | Cm      | 1.                          |                     |          | meters             |                      | 1001           | ε       |
| Meta,         Image: Second secon   | Neto:         Neto: <th< td=""><td>8</td><td></td><td>Centimeters</td><td>E</td><td>' '<br/>7</td><td>e .5<br/>PX<br/> <br/>      </td><td></td><th>A shareful a</th><td></td><td>yards<br/>miles</td><td>21</td></th<>  | 8                  |                      | Centimeters              | E       | ' '<br>7                    | e .5<br>PX<br> <br> |          | A shareful a       |                      | yards<br>miles | 21      |
| Income         MEA         MEA<  |  |                    |                      | metars                   | εJ      | <b> </b>                    |                     |          |                    |                      |                |         |
| Notice         Notice<  |  | AREA               |                      |                          | E       | .                           |                     |          | 1                  | AREA                 |                |         |
| Posses continues         Constrantes         Constrantes <thconstrantes< th=""></thconstrantes<>   | Norm         Control         Control <thcontrol< th=""> <thcontrol< th=""> <thcont< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td> ' ' <br/> <br/> </td><td>5</td><td><b>~</b></td><th>squere centimeters</th><td>0.16</td><td>square inches</td><td>Ĩ</td></thcont<></thcontrol<></thcontrol<>  |                    |                      |                          |         | ' ' <br> <br>               | 5                   | <b>~</b> | squere centimeters | 0.16                 | square inches  | Ĩ       |
| Note of the sector of  | Notice in the second billowing in the  | 5.9<br>8           |                      | Bquars Centimeters       | ξ~      | <b>'</b>  ''                | "E<br>ST            | ~ *      | Bquare melere      | 1.2                  | Bquare yarde   | ን:      |
| event with the sector is a sector in the sector in the sector is a sector in the sector in the sector is a sector in the sector is a sector in the sector is a sector in the sector in the sector is a sector in the sector in th  | Notes transform     Notes transform <th< td=""><td>6.0<br/>8</td><td></td><td>Aquare maters</td><td>e 7</td><td>l ,   ,</td><td></td><td></td><th>square hildmeters</th><td></td><td>squere miles</td><td>1</td></th<>   | 6.0<br>8           |                      | Aquare maters            | e 7     | l ,   ,                     |                     |          | square hildmeters  |                      | squere miles   | 1       |
| Nettoria         Na         MASS (weight)           Period   | Nettors     No     MASS [moight]       Parto     Parto     Parto       Parto<  | 2.6                |                      | <b>Bquare hildmeters</b> | ិទ្ធ    | 1,1                         | 2<br>• t            |          | HACINGS (16'COM M  | •;                   | BCR1           |         |
| MASS         Mass <th< td=""><td>MASS (weight)         MASS (weight)           Proven         1           Proven</td><td>0.4</td><td></td><td>hectares</td><td>2</td><td>•1•1</td><td>13</td><td></td><th></th><td></td><td></td><td>•</td></th<>  | MASS (weight)         MASS (weight)           Proven         1           Proven  | 0.4                |                      | hectares                 | 2       | •1•1                        | 13                  |          |                    |                      |                | •       |
| Rend         Rend <th< td=""><td>Mark     Mark     Mark     Mark     Mark     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     1     Mark     001     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     1     Mark     001     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     1     Mark     001     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     1     Mark     Mark     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     Mark     1     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     Mark     1     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     Mark     1     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     Mark     1     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     Mark     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     Mark     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     Mark     Mark     Mark       Mico</td><td>MASS [weight]</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>•<br/>•<br/>•</td><td></td><td></td><th>-</th><td>MASS (weight)</td><td></td><td></td></th<>  | Mark     Mark     Mark     Mark     Mark     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     1     Mark     001     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     1     Mark     001     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     1     Mark     001     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     1     Mark     Mark     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     Mark     1     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     Mark     1     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     Mark     1     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     Mark     1     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     Mark     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     Mark     Mark     Mark       Michons     1     Mark     Mark     Mark     Mark       Mico   | MASS [weight]      |                      |                          |         | •<br>•<br>•                 |                     |          | -                  | MASS (weight)        |                |         |
| Kingeren         60  | Kindna<br>Kindna<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi<br>(benasi)<br>(benasi)<br>(benasi)(benasi<br>(benasi)<br>(benasi)(benasi<br>(benasi)(benas | 28                 |                      | 1                        |         |                             | •<br>•              |          | grams              | 8.036                | DUTC 05        | 2       |
| Introduction         Introduction<   | Conta         I         Conta (1000 k)         I         Conta (1000 k) <thconta (1000="" k)<="" th=""> <thconta (1000="" k)<="" th=""> <thc< td=""><td>0.46</td><td></td><td>kilogræms</td><td>6 -6</td><td>'<b> </b>'</td><td></td><td></td><th>lastograme.</th><td>2.2</td><td>spunds</td><td>2</td></thc<></thconta></thconta>   | 0.46               |                      | kilogræms                | 6 -6    | ' <b> </b> '                |                     |          | lastograme.        | 2.2                  | spunds         | 2       |
| mililiana mi<br>mililiana mi<br>mi<br>mi<br>mi<br>mi<br>mi<br>mi<br>mi<br>mi<br>mi   | militions militi   | <b>0.9</b>         |                      | tomes                    | Ð       | ' ' <br> <br>  4            |                     |          | tomes (1999 kg)    |                      | 81001 1.0048   |         |
| mittiliters mit vision of the mittiliters mittiliters mittiliters mit vision of the mittiliters  | militiles mi<br>militiles mi<br>multiles multiles mi<br>multiles multiles mi<br>multiles multiles multiles<br>multiles multiles multiles<br>multiles multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multiles<br>multile   | VOLUME             |                      |                          |         | <b>' </b> '1                | 70<br> <br>         |          |                    | VUINAE               |                |         |
| militiona mi<br>militiona mi<br>mi<br>militiona mi<br>mi<br>mi<br>mi<br>mi<br>mi<br>mi<br>mi<br>mi<br>mi   | mililites milities mi   |                    |                      |                          |         |                             |                     |          | 1                  |                      | 1              |         |
| millulities millulitite millulitite millulitite millulitite millulitite millul   | milititation milit   |                    |                      | mittiliters              | Ĩ       | <b>!</b> ' '                | T                   |          | millitiere         | 0.03                 | fluid sunces   | 11 er   |
| milluters mil to the million milluters million milluters million milluters million mil   | militian mil   | 15                 |                      | milbiliters              | ī       | '                           | •                   |          | libers             | 2.1                  | Bunta          | 1       |
| Litera 1<br>Litera   | litere I<br>litere   | 30                 |                      | millibitors              | Ē       | 3<br>' '                    |                     |          | liters             | 1.04                 | grang          | 5       |
| Iteration is a cubic material is a cubic material is a cubic parts is a cu   | Interest in a cubic meters in  | 0.24               |                      | liters                   | -       | 'I'                         | -                   | -        | Piters             | <b>2</b> .0          | gallone        | 17      |
| litera e lit   | Items     ItemPERATURE     Case       Lubric meters     m     m       Cubic meters     m       Calsue     c       Calsue   | 0.95               |                      | 11010                    | nto cas | '1                          |                     | -        | cubic maters       |                      | cubic varia    |         |
| cubic meters m <sup>1</sup><br>cubic meters m <sup>1</sup><br>constants <sup>2</sup> C Calsura <sup>3</sup> /5 (hhen Fahrenheit<br>constants <sup>2</sup> C Calsura <sup>3</sup> /3 (hen Fahrenheit<br>constants <sup>3</sup> /3 (hen Fa | Cubic meters m <sup>1</sup><br>Cubic meters m <sup>1</sup><br>Calsura °C<br>Calsura °C<br>Calsu   | 3.6                |                      | liters                   | a       | ' '                         | 9                   |          |                    |                      |                | 2       |
| Cubic meters     m <sup>1</sup> L       IEMPERATURE (axect)       Importance   | Cubic meters         n <sup>1</sup> V         TEMPERATURE (arect)           Cubic meters         n <sup>1</sup> V         TemPerature         0.6 (then         Fehrenheit         0.6 (then           Calsura         °C         Calsura         °C         Calsura         0.6 (then         Fehrenheit         0.6 (then         Fehrenheit <td>£0.0</td> <td></td> <td>cubic meters</td> <td>ີຄ</td> <td> ' </td> <td>:::::</td> <td></td> <th></th> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>  | £0.0               |                      | cubic meters             | ີຄ      | '                           | :::::               |          |                    |                      |                |         |
| Colsue °C Colsus 9/5 (then Fohrenheit **<br>Lemparature odd 321 temparature **<br>Lemparature ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **   | Calsua C<br>Calsua C<br>Languatua C   | 0.76               |                      | Cubic meters             | Ē       | ' '<br>2                    | \$                  |          | IEMI               | PERATURE (exect      | ~              |         |
| Celaus C<br>Lengerature old 321 temperature old 321 temperature<br>Langerature C<br>Langerature of 321 temperature<br>Langerature of 321 temperature   | Celsue °C Celsue   | IPERATURE (exact   | -                    |                          |         | ''''                        | •                   |          | Calance            | 9/5 (then            | F aite anthait |         |
| Colsue C   | Calsua C<br>lenparature C<br>Lenparature C<br>Lenparature C<br>Lentari, ver MBN MNN, F, Add. 784, 0<br>0<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20   |                    |                      |                          |         | <b>'</b>  '                 |                     |          | temperatura        | IZC PP*              | temperature    |         |
| lemperature  |  | 5/9 (sher          |                      | Celsius                  | ·       | ' <b> </b> '                | E                   |          |                    |                      |                |         |
|  |  | Bubtracting<br>321 |                      | lenperature              |         | ' '<br>  1                  |                     |          | :                  |                      | ā R            |         |
|  |  | Ĩ                  |                      |                          |         | <b> </b> ,1,                | <b>z</b>            | • •      | 0 1 40             | 021 1 00             | 140 200        | 6       |

METRIC CONVERSION FACTORS

## CONTENTS

| Section  |  | Page   |
|----------|--|--|
| 1        | INTRODUCTION   | 1-1  |
| 2        | EXPERIMENTAL EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURES  | 2 - 1  |
|          | <pre>2.1 Vehicles.<br/>2.2 Fuels.<br/>2.3 Engine Lubricants.<br/>2.4 Gear Lubricants.<br/>2.5 Driving Cycles.<br/>2.6 Ambient Test Temperatures.<br/>2.7 Instruments and Apparatus.<br/>2.8 Torque and Horsepower Calculation.</pre> | 2 - 1<br>2 - 2<br>2 - 2<br>2 - 2<br>2 - 2<br>2 - 4<br>2 - 4<br>2 - 4<br>2 - 6<br>2 - 8 |
| 3        | ENGINE LUBRICANTS - FTP EVALUATION   | 3-1  |
|          | 3.1       20°F Ambient   | 3 - 1<br>3 - 4<br>3 - 4  |
| 4        | ENGINE LUBRICANTS COLD START TO 60 MPH<br>STEADY STATE EVALUATION  | 4 - 1  |
|          | 4.1       20°F Ambient   | 4 - 1<br>4 - 1<br>4 - 3  |
| 5        | OIL TEMPERATURES   | 5-1  |
| 6        | EFFECT OF HOT START VS COLD START  | 6-1  |
| 7        | DIFFERENTIAL GEAR LUBRICANTS - COLD START<br>TO 60 MPH STEADY STATE EVALUATION   | 7-1  |
|          | <pre>7.1 20°F Ambient</pre>  | 7 - 1<br>7 - 1<br>7 - 4<br>7 - 7   |
| 8        | SUMMARY OF RESULTS   | 8-1  |
|          | REFERENCES   | 8 - 2  |
| APPENDIX | A  | A - 1  |
| APPENDIX | В  | B-1  |
| APPENDIX | С  | C-1  |

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

| Figure |  | Page  |
|--------|--|-------|
| 1      | 1978 FTP Driving Schedule  | 2 - 5 |
| 2      | Automobile Wheel Torque Sensor   | 2 - 7 |
| 3      | Rear View of an Automobile Rear Axle Under<br>Accelerating Conditions                                  | 2 - 9 |
| 4      | Vehicle Fluid Warm-Up Temperatures in the<br>1978 Federal Test Procedure140-CID Fairmont               | 3-2   |
| 5      | Comparison of Fuel Economy with Test Lubricants<br>Relative to Base Lubricant, 20°F                    | 3 - 3 |
| 6      | Comparison of Fuel Economy with Test Lubricants<br>Relative to Base Lubricant, 70°F                    | 3-5   |
| 7      | Comparison of Fuel Economy with Test Lubricants<br>Relative to Base Lubricant, 100°F                   | 3-6   |
| 8      | Vehicle Fluid Warm-Up Temperatures in<br>Steady-State Operation231-CID Buick                           | 4 - 2 |
| 9      | Effect of Cold Start Vs Hot Start on Vehicle<br>Fuel Economy, 70°F, 231-CID Buick                      | 6 - 2 |
| 10     | Effect of Hot Start Vs Cold Start on Vehicle<br>Fluid Temperatures, 70°F, 231-CID Buick                | 6 - 3 |
| 11     | Comparison of Fuel Economy with Gear Lubricants in Steady-State Operation                              | 7 - 2 |
| 12     | Comparison of Axle Efficiency and Horsepower<br>Loss in Steady-State Operation, 20°F,<br>231-CID Buick | 7 - 3 |
| 13     | Comparison of Axle Efficiency and Horsepower Loss<br>in Steady-State Operation, 70°F, 231-CID Buick    | 7 - 5 |
| 14     | Comparison of Axle Efficiency and Horsepower Loss<br>in Steady-State Operation, 100°F, 231-CID Buick   | 7 - 6 |
| 15     | Differential Gear Oil Temperatures in Steady-<br>State Operation, 231-CID Buick                        | 7 - 8 |

## LIST OF TABLES

.

| Table |  | Page  |
|-------|--|-------|
| 1     | TEST VEHICLE DESCRIPTION                               | 2 - 1 |
| 2     | ENGINE AND GEAR LUBRICANTS' PROPERTIES                 | 2 - 3 |
| 3     | RELATIVE OIL TEMPERATURES IN THE FTP                   | 5 - 2 |
| 4     | RELATIVE OIL TEMPERATURES IN STEADY-STATE<br>OPERATION | 5 - 3 |
| A-1   | FUEL ECONOMY RESULTS                                   | A-1   |
| B-1   | 60 MPH STEADY-STATE FUEL ECONOMY RESULTS               | B-1   |
| C-1   | 60 MPH STEADY-STATE FUEL ECONOMY                       | C-1   |

,

. 8 .

Over the past few years, passenger car fuel economy has become a major issue in the automotive industry and the various regulatory and technical governmental agencies. With the skyrocketing cost of a barrel of crude oil and the need to reduce our dependence on foreign oil producers, more fuel efficient automobiles must be manufactured to achieve the federally mandated fuel economy standards. While fuel economy is a very complex function of many variables, it is essentially a function of seven factors: <sup>1\*</sup> friction between moving parts in a vehicle; aerodynamic drag; tire rolling resistance; vehicular weight; driving characteristics; ambient temperature; and trip length. This report discusses two of these factors: friction reduction via selected engine and differential gear lubricants, and the magnitude of their effects at three ambient temperatures (20°, 70°, and 100°F).

In a spark-ignition, internal-combustion engine, less than 30 percent of the energy supplied by the fuel is converted to mechanical work, and approximately 70 percent is lost to the exhaust, coolant, and lubricant.<sup>2</sup> Of the 30 percent of the fuel energy available to do mechanical work, about 12 to 25 percent is translated to brake horsepower at the drive wheels. Approximately 5 to 8 percent is spent in overcoming losses in the transmission and drive lines. At wide open throttle, engine friction losses account for approximately 5 percent of the energy provided by the fuel.<sup>2</sup> At part throttle, friction losses account for as much as 8 percent of the fuel energy converted to do mechanical work. This shows the potential improvement in engine efficiency through low viscosity and friction-modified engine oils and suggests the operating range in which the most dramatic improvement in fuel economy might be expected. Even small

Superscript numbers designate references at end of report.

incremental improvements in fuel economy on the order of 1 to 5 percent can be significant on a large scale, especially considering that the average American car burns more than its own weight in gasoline each year--about 700 gallons totaling over 28 percent of petroleum consumption in the United States.<sup>3</sup>

Most passenger car differentials employ hypoid gears with a spiral bevel design.\* Hypoid gears typically operate between 86 and 98 percent efficiency at high loads.<sup>4</sup> At lighter loads, the efficiency is somewhat less, about 70 percent under city driving conditions.

Power losses through the differential are mainly due to churning (work required to overcome internal fluid friction), friction between moving parts such as gear teeth, and vibration from various sources.<sup>5</sup>

In most cases, friction and churning losses account for most of the power losses at high and low loads. Friction losses manifest themselves in heat losses and higher operating temperatures which result in lower axle efficiency and shortened lubricant life.<sup>5</sup>

Relative fuel savings of up to 5 percent have been reported in short trip service with lower viscosity gear lubricants.<sup>5</sup> In general, the lower the viscosity of the gear lubricant, the higher the axle efficiency. However, if the viscosity of the lubricant is inadequate to maintain hydrodynamic lubrication at peak loads and extreme temperatures, metal-to-metal contact begins, and the resulting increased operating temperature of the lubricant could lead to boundary lubrication which is characterized by increased power losses, wear, and reduced efficiency.<sup>6</sup>

\*Gears with a spiral bevel design have curved teeth and operate more quietly than those with straight teeth, because the curved teeth make a sliding contact. Also, the spiral bevel ring gear and pinion arrangement is stronger than a straight tooth setup, because more than one tooth is in contact at all times.

Hypoid gears have the spiral bevel design, but the center of the pinion shaft is below the center of the ring gear. The advantage of this design is that it allows the drive shaft to be placed lower, thus reducing the floor hump.

### 2. EXPERIMENTAL EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURES

#### 2.1 VEHICLES

Two vehicles were used in this program:

A 1978 Buick Century equipped with a 231-CID (3.8L),
 V6, 2-bbl engine (used in both engine and gear lubricants studies).

2. A 1978 Ford Fairmont equipped with a 140-CID (2.3L), 4-cylinder, 2-bbl engine (used in the engine lubricants study).

A detailed description of the two vehicles is given in Table 1. The vehicles were purchased new and accumulated approximately 4,000 miles of city/highway driving to stablize exhaust emissions and fuel economy

| DOE/BETC ID No     | 179     | 192      |
|--------------------|---------|----------|
| Manufacturer       | Buick   | Ford     |
| Model              | Century | Fairmont |
| Engine             | V6      | 4-cyl    |
| Displacement, CID  | 231     | 140      |
| Carburetion        | 2-bb1   | 2-bb1    |
| Compression ratio  | 8.0:1   | 9.0:1    |
| Horsepower:        |         |          |
| SAE Net            | 105     | 89       |
| @ RPM              | 3400    | 4800     |
| Transmission       | Auto    | Auto     |
| Axle ratio         | 2.73    | 3.08     |
| Air conditioning   | yes     | yes      |
| Power steering     | yes     | yes      |
| Power brakes       | yes     | yes      |
| Curb wt, 1b        | 3158    | 2748     |
| Inertia wt, lb     | 3500    | 3000     |
| Emission controls: |         |          |
| AIR                | no      | yes      |
| Catalyst           | yes     | yes      |
| EGR                | yes     | yes      |
| Carbon canister    | yes     | yes      |

TABLE 1. TEST VEHICLE DESCRIPTION

2.2 FUELS

The test fuel was a summer grade fuel with 10 psi Reid vapor pressure,\* 0.718 specific gravity, and 50 percent distillation at 218°F.

2.3 ENGINE LUBRICANTS

Table 2 describes the properties of the following test lubricants:

1. A commercial 10W40 premium quality SE (base lubricant).

2. An experimental 5W20 synthetic SE/CC.\*\*

3. A commercial 10W40 premium quality synthetic SE/CC.

4. A commercial 10W40 premium SE/CC containing graphite in colloidal suspension.

2.4 GEAR LUBRICANTS

The following lubricants were used in this study:

1. A commercial multigrade 85W90 (base lubricant).

2. A commercial 75W synthetic

3. An experimental 75W mineral containing one percent molybdenum disulfide (MoS<sub>2</sub>).

Reid vapor pressure (Rvp) is the gage vapor pressure of 38°C (100°F) gasoline in a rigid container having a four to one volumetric ratio of air to liquid.

SE = The second highest (fifth) American Petroleum Institute service classification for spark ignition engine oil. SF is the highest classification.

CC = The second highest (third) API service classification for compression ignition engine oil.

TABLE 2. ENGINE AND GEAR LUBRICANTS' PROPERTIES

|                            |       | Engine    | Lubricants |            |       | iear Lubricar | its    |
|----------------------------|-------|-----------|------------|------------|-------|---------------|--------|
|                            | 10W40 | 5W20      | 10W40      | 10W40      | 85W90 | 75W           | 75W    |
|                            | Base  | Synthetic | Synthetic  | w/Graphite | Base  | Synthetic     | w/MoS2 |
| Flash noint <sup>o</sup> F | 415   | 455       | 460        | 420        | 430   | 350           | 365    |
| Kinematic viscosity:       |       |           |            |            |       |               |        |
| CS @ 40° C                 | 85.10 | 61.90     | 93.70      | 85         | 181.5 | 29.76         | 31.56  |
| CS @ 100° C                | 14.28 | 6.87      | 14.40      | 15.16      | 15.22 | 5.25          | 5.95   |
| Viscosity index            | 142   | 65        | 138        | 147        | 95    | 120           | 136    |
| Sulfated ash,              |       |           |            |            |       |               |        |
| pct wt                     | 0.72  | _         | 0.79       | 0.75       | 0.04  | 0.04          | 0.07   |
| Elemental analysis,        |       |           |            |            |       |               |        |
| pct wt:                    |       |           |            |            |       |               |        |
| Ba                         | 0.03  | 0.03      | 0.03       | 0.03       | Trace | Trace         | 0.04   |
| Ca                         | 0.03  | 0.03      | 0.02       | 0.03       | Trace | 0.002         | Trace  |
| Mg                         | 0.005 | 0.002     | 0.005      | 0.005      | Trace | 0.004         | Trace  |
| Zň                         | 0.006 | 0.006     | 0.006      | 0.006      | 0.003 | 0.005         | 0.006  |
| Ρ.                         | 0.15  | 0.27      | 0.23       | 0.21       | Trace | Trace         | Trace  |
| S.                         | 0.34  | 0.37      | 0.44       | 0.57       | 1.60  | 1.79          | 1.34   |
| API service                | SE    | SE/CC     | SE/CC      | SE/CC      | GL5   | GL5           | GL5    |
|                            |       |           |            |            |       |               |        |

•

#### 2.5 DRIVING CYCLES

Two different cycles were used in this study:

1. The 1978 Federal test procedure (FTP), five-bag test, was used in the engine lubricants study.<sup>7</sup>

2. Sixty mph, steady state from cold start was used in both the engine and gear lubricants study.

The FTP conformed to the Code of Federal Regulations.<sup>7</sup> (See Figure 1.)

The steady-state test consisted of a cold start after an overnight soak at the test ambient temperature, followed immediately by acceleration to 60 mph according to the following equation:

v = 1.5t

where,

v = vehicular speed, miles per hour

t = elapsed time from cold start, seconds

The acceleration time from 0 to 60 mph was 40 seconds. Once the vehicle reached 60 mph, the driver maintained that speed for 45 minutes. The total distance traveled was 45 miles. The driver followed a prescribed driving schedule during each test, and fuel economy was calculated every 60 seconds by the carbon balance method using a constant volume sampling system and a computerized data acquisition system.

#### 2.6 AMBIENT TEST TEMPERATURES

All tests were conducted at 20°, 70°, and 100°F ambients. In the case of the 20°F ambient, it was necessary, in some instances, to start the test near 15°F to obtain an average test temperature near 20°F. Triplicate tests were conducted at each ambient temperature for each engine lubricant, and duplicate tests were run for each differential gear lubricant. The results presented in Appendices A, B, and C are based on the average of the triplicate and duplicate tests.





Numerical Values with Arros Toward x Axis Indicate Miles at End of Each Test Segment. Note:

#### 2.7 INSTRUMENTS AND APPARATUS

The vehicle tests were conducted on a chassis dynamometer equipped with road load power control and direct-drive inertia system in a climate-controlled test cell. Ambient temperature capabilities were 15° to 100°F. The exhaust gas analytical system consisted of a flame ionization detector, for determination of hydrocarbon; nondispersive infrared analyzers, for determination of carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide; and a chemiluminescence analyzer, for determination of nitrogen oxide. The exhaust emissions were collected using the "bag sampling" technique, and fuel economy was calculated using the carbon balance method. In addition, to properly evaluate the effects of the gear lubricants on axle horsepower losses and efficiency, the test vehicle was outfitted with a wheel torque sensor and a driveshaft torque sensor. Both torque sensors were outfilled with speed sensors which provided analog signals proportional to wheel speed. An exploded view of the wheel torque sensor is shown in Figure 2.

The wheel torque sensor assembly consisted of the following:

- A wheel adapter bolted to the right rear brake drum of the vehicle to convert the bolt circle diameter from 4.75 inches to 5 inches.
- 2. An electrical resistance strain gage bridge built in a foil grid that was bonded to a disc and deformed in the same manner as the disc.
- 3. A second adapter to accommodate a slip-ring assembly.
- 4. The wheel and tire assembly that mounted on the first adapter.
- 5. A slip-ring assembly that bolted to the wheel and connected the torque signal back to an instrument.

The wheel torque sensor assembly moved the wheel approximately 2 inches outward from its original position.



FIGURE 2. AUTOMOBILE WHEEL TORQUE SENSOR

#### 2.8 TORQUE AND HORSEPOWER CALCULATION

The strain gage bridges and 60-tooth gears provide torque and revolutions per minute (RPM) readouts for the driveshaft and right rear wheel. In addition to empirical determination, the rear wheel RPM can be calculated by dividing the driveshaft RPM by the rear axle gear ratio (2.73:1). This RPM should be the same for both rear wheels unless slippage occurred or the vehicle began to turn. Neither was the case, since the vehicle was anchored when tested on the chassis dynamometer, and there was no slippage during acceleration.

The driveshaft torque sensor was essentially an in-line sensor with a four-arm bonded foil strain gage bridge. The nonrotating section was flanged on both sides and bolted to the driveshaft.

Torque measurement was based on torsional windup (angular deflection or strain) of a rotating shaft. The amount of angular deflection is always very small (0.5 to 1 degree typical).<sup>8</sup>

When torque was applied to the drive wheels of the vehicle through the rear axle, the axle shaft was twisted by loading. The wheel torque sensor provided an output voltage directly proportional to the applied torque. The strain gage bridge was connected to four silver slip rigns mounted on the rotating shaft. Silver graphite brushes rubbed on these slip rings and provided a path for the incoming excitation voltage and the output signal voltage. This signal was displayed by a digital indicator and then fed into a computerized data acquisition system. Torque, RPM, and horsepower were then measured and calculated every 60 seconds for 45 minutes. Torque for acceleration conditions was calculated as follows:

In general, in any rotating system (Figure 3), the sums of all torques must equal the product of the moment of inertia about the rotating axis and the angular acceleration:<sup>8</sup>

 $T_T - T_L = I_{\alpha}$ 



REAR VIEW OF AN AUTOMOBILE REAR AXLE UNDER ACCELERATING CONDITIONS FIGURE 3.

where,

- $T_{T}$  = Output torque of the test unit
- $T_{T}$  = Torque of the loading device
- I = Moment of inertia of all rotating components
- $\alpha$  = Angular acceleration of all rotating components

This equation points out that the torque of the test device and the torque of the loading device are only equal when the angular acceleration is zero. When the shaft is being accelerated, the torque of the test device will be greater than that of the load, and the converse will occur during deceleration.

In the case of an automobile rear axle (Figure 3) at rest, all the external forces and their moments about the axle shaft are balanced. During acceleration, these forces and their moments become unbalanced, and torque causes an angular acceleration about the axle shaft. The summation of torque must equal the product of the moment of inertia about the axle shaft times the angular acceleration:

 $T_1 + T_2 = I_1 \alpha_1 + I_2 \alpha_2$ 

If the vehicle is at reat, then the initial angular velocity of the wheels is zero, and the initial angular displacement is zero. Then the general formula for rotation of a body about an axis through the center of gravity applies:

(1)

$$\theta = 1/2 \alpha t^2$$

Where,

- $\theta$  = Angular displacement
- $\alpha$  = Angular acceleration
- t = Elapsed time

Then:

$$W = \frac{d\theta}{dt} = \alpha t$$

This equation shows that the angular velocity (RPM) is directly proportional to angular acceleration. Therefore, unless the left and right rear wheels are turning at different speeds, the angular acceleration of both wheels are equal, and equation (1) can be rewritten:

 $T_1 + T_2 = (I_1 + I_2) \alpha$ 

It should be mentioned here that the moment of inertia of the right rear wheel/tire assembly is higher than the left assembly because of the additional mass of the adapters, torque sensor, and slipring.

Since torque was measured only at the right rear wheel, it was then safe to multiply that reading by 2, only after the vehicle had reached 60 mph under cruising conditions and zero angular acceleration:

 $\alpha = \frac{dw}{dt} = 0 \text{ if } w = \text{constant}$ 

Horsepower was calculated as follows:

HP input = 
$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{Torque Input,} \\ \frac{\text{ft-lb} \\ 5252 \end{array}}{\text{Torque Output}}$$
  
HP output = 
$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{Torque Output} \\ \frac{\text{ft-lb} \\ 5252 \end{array}}{\text{x RPM Wheel}}$$

Horsepower losses were the difference between horsepower input and horsepower output. These losses were, as discussed previously in this report, the result of churning and friction in the differential.

The axle efficiency was calculated as follows:

efficiency =  $\frac{\text{HP Output}}{\text{HP Input}}$ 

#### 2-11/2-12

.

#### 3. ENGINE LUBRICANTS - FTP EVALUATION

In order to show the relative effects of the various test lubricants on fuel economy of the test vehicles, the following was established:

- 1. The commercial 10W40 engine lubricant was considered the base lubricant through the entire program.
- 2. The percent change in fuel economy was calculated as follows:

percent change = 
$$\frac{FE_T - FE_B}{FE_B} \times 100$$

where,

 $FE_T$  = Average fuel economy with the test lubricant, mpg  $FE_B$  = Average fuel economy with the base lubricant, mpg

During each test, the following temperatures were measured: coolant, oil, transmission fluid, carburetor air intake, air to vehicle, and differential gear oil. For the purpose of this discussion, only the most pertinent temperatures are shown in Figure 4.

Based on Figure 4, the vehicle warm-up period (approximately 20 minutes) appeared to be during the cold transient and stabilized portions of the 1978 Federal Test Procedure. During that period, the coolant temperature appeared to stabilize first, followed by the engine oil, transmission fluid, and the gear oil. The fully warmed-up conditions appeared to be during the hot transient and highway segments of the FTP.

#### 3.1 20°F AMBIENT

As shown in Figure 5, the 5W20 synthetic lubricant averaged from 1.4 to 1.7 percent increase in fuel economy when compared to the 10W20 base lubricant. The 10W40 synthetic lubricant







showed a consistent decrease in fuel economy throughout the test, averaging from 1.0 to 2.0 percent. The 10W40 graphite-containing lubricant showed essentially little or no change in fuel economy.

#### 3.2 70°F AMBIENT

As shown in Figure 6, the 5W20 synthetic lubricant averaged from 1.1 to 3.5 percent increase in fuel economy when compared to the 10W40 base lubricant in all five segments of the FTP. The 10W40 synthetic lubricant showed a significant increase in fuel economy, ranging from 2.8 to 4.3 percent over the entire FTP. The 10W40 graphite lubricant averaged from 2.5 to 5.7 percent increase in fuel economy. The maximum benefit (7.6 percent) occurred in the Ford Fairmont during the cold-transient portion of the FTP.

#### 3.3 100°F AMBIENT

At this ambient temperature, the 5W20 synthetic lubricant averaged from 0.2 to 0.7 percent increase in fuel economy when compared to the base lubricant (Figure 7). The 10W40 synthetic lubricant showed an average increase of 1.0 percent in fuel economy in one vehicle (Buick) and an average decrease of 1.3 percent in the second vehicle (Ford). The 10W40 graphite lubricant averaged from 0.1 to 1.4 percent increase in fuel economy over the entire cycle.

As illustrated in figures 5, 6, and 7, the fuel efficiency of the two test vehicles differed greatly from cold start to fully warmed-up conditions. It appeared that the percent change in fuel economy from the baseline of the 140-CID Ford was highest during warm-up from cold start and decreased as the vehicle was fully warmed-up. FTP warm-up data is in Figure 4 and Table 3. On the other hand, the fuel economy of the 231-CID Buick was lowest during warm-up from cold start and increased as the vehicle fluid tempterature stabilized. This implies that the frictional characteristics of the two engines, the fuel distribution, and the cold-start enrichment all play an important role in determining engine fuel efficiency under various conditions.







PERCENT CHANGE IN FUEL ECONOMY

#### 4. ENGINE LUBRICANTS COLD START TO 60 MPH STEADY STATE EVALUATION

Figure 8 shows the fluid temperatures during this test at the three ambient temperatures: 20°, 70°, and 100°F. Again, the engine coolant temperature appeared to stabilize first, followed by the oil, transmission fluid, and differential gear oil. It appeared that the differential gear oil temperature did not reach equilibrium until the distance traveled was near 40 miles. However, for the purpose of this discussion, it was established that 0-15 miles was the distance required for the vehicle to warm-up and 16-45 miles was the distance the vehicle traveled under fully warmed-up conditions.

#### 4.1 20°F AMBIENT

As shown in Figure 5, the 5W20 synthetic lubricant averaged from 0.5 to 2.9 percent increase in fuel economy when compared to the base lubricant. The most dramatic increase occurred in the Ford immediately after start up (19.8 percent). The 10W40 synthetic lubricant averaged from 0.5 to 3.2 percent increase in fuel economy. Again, the most significant increase (22.5 percent) occurred in the Ford immediately after cold start. The 10W40 graphite lubricant showed no measurable change in fuel economy under fully warmed-up conditions. However, an increase in fuel economy (11.3 percent) occurred immediately after cold start in the Ford and the Buick (11.6 percent).

#### 4.2 70°F AMBIENT

At this ambient temperature, the fuel economy with the three test lubricants was similar or slightly lower than with the 10W40 base lubricant (Figure 6). These findings were contrary to the results obtained in the FTP at the same ambient temperature, where all three test lubricants showed a marked increase in fuel economy over the baseline. However, during vehicle warm-up (0-15 miles), the 5W20 synthetic lubricant



and the 10W40 graphite lubricant averaged 3.7 and 3.9 percent increase in fuel economy with maximum increases of 7.7 and 4.5 percent immediately after cold start. This shows the influence of the test cycle on fuel economy benefits for both the low-viscosity and the friction-modified oils. Apparently, under lightload, low-speed operation such as in the FTP city cycle, fuel economy benefits with either low-viscosity or friction-modified oils are maximum; while, under light-load, high-speed operation such as in highway cruising, fuel economy benefits are minimum. This closely correlates with the fact that under part-throttle conditions, friction losses in an internal combustion engine account for as much as 8 percent of the fuel energy converted to do mechanical work, and suggests the operating range in which the most increase in fuel economy might be expected with low-viscosity or friction-modified oils.

#### 4.3 100°F AMBIENT

The engine response to the test lubricants at this temperature varied significantly from one vehicle to the other (Figure 7). In the case of the Buick, the 5W20 and 10W40 synthetic lubricants averaged 6.0 and 4.1 percent increase in fuel economy from cold start to fully warmed-up conditions while the graphite lubricant averaged about 2.8 percent. In the case of the Ford, the 5W20 synthetic and 10W40 graphite lubricants averaged less than 1.0 percent increase in fuel economy, while the 10W40 synthetic lubricant showed a significant decrease of 4.7 percent when compared to the base lubricant.

Extrapolating from the data indicates that employing a 5W30, a 7.5W30, or a 10W30 synthetic or friction modified mineral oil probably will improve fuel economy.

. ۵ .

•

#### 5. OIL TEMPERATURES

Table 3 shows the oil temperatures for the four test lubricants in the two vehicles during the FTP, and Table 4 shows the oil temperatures in steady state operation. In the Buick, the temperature of the oil containing graphite consistently ranged from 15° to 30°F lower than the other test oils. This suggests a decrease in frictional losses and, correspondingly, an increase in engine efficiency and fuel economy. This certainly was the case with the Buick at the three ambient temperatures.

In the Ford, the graphite oil temperature was about the same as the temperatures of the other test oils. This suggests no reduction in frictional losses and no increase in fuel economy, but this was not the case in the FTP since there was an increase in fuel economy even though the oil temperature was the same. TABLE 3. RELATIVE OIL TEMPERATURES IN THE FTP

RELATIVE OIL TEMPERATURES IN STEADY-STATE OPERATION TABLE 4.

| _         |                       |           |     |     |     |     |     |     |             |                          |     |     |      |     |     |     |
|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------|--------------------------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|
|           | 10W40<br>w/Graphite   |           | 102 | 232 | 254 | 255 | 256 | 256 |             |                          | 67  | 212 | 225  | 228 | 230 | 229 |
| Ambient   | 10W40<br>Synthetic    |           | 101 | 251 | 274 | 280 | 283 | 285 |             |                          | 100 | 215 | 230  | 233 | 234 | 234 |
| 1000      | 5W20<br>Synthetic     |           | 101 | 248 | 266 | 272 | 274 | 275 |             |                          | 67  | 206 | 220  | 224 | 225 | 225 |
|           | 10W40<br>Base         | 1         | 101 | 252 | 27ו | 277 | 279 | 280 |             |                          | 98  | 212 | 228  | 230 | 230 | 229 |
|           | 10W40<br>w/Graphite   |           | 72  | 221 | 249 | 252 | 253 | 253 |             |                          | 69  | 198 | 217  | 220 | 219 | 219 |
| Ambient   | 10W40<br>Synthetic    |           | 11  | 238 | 262 | 265 | 266 | 266 |             |                          | 68  | 197 | 218  | 219 | 219 | 219 |
| 100 E     | 5W20<br>Synthetic     | B U I C K | 70  | 239 | 258 | 260 | 260 | 260 | <br>F 0 R 0 |                          | 67  | 195 | 213  | 215 | 215 | 215 |
|           | 10W40<br>Base         |           | 12  | 234 | 260 | 263 | 264 | 264 |             |                          | 70  | 194 | 218  | 220 | 122 | 220 |
|           | 10W40<br>w/Graphite   |           | 26  | 174 | 221 | 228 | 228 | 228 |             | <br> <br> <br> <br> <br> | 21  | 180 | 197  | 200 | 204 | 204 |
| - Ambient | 10W40<br>Synthetic    |           | 23  | 222 | 254 | 258 | 259 | 260 |             | <br> <br> <br> <br>      | 18  | 171 | 194  | 661 | 202 | 203 |
| 200       | 5W20<br>Synthetic     |           | 24  | 226 | 253 | 256 | 257 | 258 |             | <br> <br> <br> <br>      | 61  | 182 | 66 l | 202 | 201 | 202 |
|           | 10W40<br>Base         |           | 21  | 219 | 255 | 260 | 261 | 262 |             | r<br>t<br>1              | 18  | 167 | 194  | 661 | 201 | 204 |
|           | Elapsed<br>time, min. |           | 0   | 6   | 18  | 27  | 36  | 45  |             | <br> <br> <br> <br> <br> | 0   | 6   | 18   | 27  | 36  | 45  |

5 - 3 / 5 - 4

•

#### 6. EFFECT OF HOT START VS COLD START

Tests were conducted on the Buick at 70°F ambient to determine the effect of hot start vs cold start on fuel economy and vehicle fluid temperatures in steady state operation.

The vehicle was soaked overnight at 70°F in the chassis dynamometer test cell. The engine was started cold, and the vehicle was driven through the steady state cycle described previously. At the end of the cycle, the vehicle was allowed to soak for 10 minutes at the test temperature, and was then restarted hot and run on the same cycle for 45 minutes. The coolant, oil, transmission fluid, and differential gear oil temperatures were measured in both cases, and fuel economy was calculated by the carbon balance method.

The results are illustrated in Figures 9 and 10. Immediately after starting the engine and for the first 15 miles, the increase in fuel economy during the hot start over the cold start averaged about 20.8 percent. From 16 to 45 miles, the average increase was about 6.0 percent.

The vehicle fluid temperatures appeared to stabilize at a much faster rate when started hot. The differential gear oil temperature appeared to reach equilibrium toward 40 miles from cold start and almost immediately after hot start. The same applied to the oil, transmission fluid, and coolant temperatures.







#### 7. DIFFERENTIAL GEAR LUBRICANTS - COLD START TO 60 MPH STEADY STATE EVALUATION

#### 7.1 20°F AMBIENT

At this temperature, the 75W synthetic lubricant and the 75W lubricant containing MoS<sub>2</sub> showed significant increases in fuel economy over an 85W90 base lubricant only during vehicle warm-up from cold start (Figure 11). During vehicle warm-up (0-15 miles), the 75W synthetic lubricant averaged 9.7 percent increase in fuel economy when compared to the base 85W90. However, under fully warmed-up conditions, the 75W synthetic lubricant showed a slight decrease in fuel economy. These results were confirmed in Figure 12, which showed an average of 4.5 hp loss for the 75W synthetic lubricant during warm-up and 5.5 hp loss for the 85W90 base lubricant. However, under fully warmedup conditions, the 75W synthetic lubricant showed a higher average horsepower loss (3.2 hp) than the 85W90 (2.2 hp). These results applied to the rear axle efficiency as well, which showed a higher efficiency for the 75W synthetic lubricant during warmup (83.5 vs 81.0 percent) and a lower efficiency (87.7 vs 92.2 percent) under fully warmed-up conditions.

The 75W lubricant containing  $MoS_2$  averaged 5.2 percent increase in fuel economy during warm-up but showed a decrease of 1.3 percent under fully warmed-up conditions. At this stage of the program, a malfunction in the wheel torque sensor prevented torque measurements and subsequent horsepower calculations to compare the 75W with  $MoS_2$  to the base lubricant. However, fuel economy was recorded as previously.

#### 7.2 70°F AMBIENT

During vehicle warm-up, the 75W synthetic lubricant showed an average of 1.2 percent increase in fuel economy (Figure 11) and a slight decrease under fully warmed-up conditions. The horsepower losses were 3.1 hp for the 75W synthetic vs 4.6 hp



PERCENT CHANGE IN FUEL ECONOMY

7 - 2

1



for the 85W90 during warm-up. Under fully warmed-up conditions, the losses were 2.3 and 2.2 hp, respectively. The corresponding axle efficiencies were 87.4 and 90.3 percent for the 75W synthetic, 82.8 and 91.6 percent for the 85W90 (Figure 13).

The 75W lubricant containing MoS<sub>2</sub> showed an average of 3.5 percent increase and 1.4 percent decrease in fuel economy from warm-up to fully warmed-up conditions (Figure 11).

#### 7.3 100°F AMBIENT

Again, as in the cases of the 20° and 70°F ambients, the 75W synthetic lubricant averaged 4.1 percent increase in fuel economy during warm-up and a slight decrease under fully warmed-up conditions (Figure 11). However, the horsepower losses in the differential were minimal, and the axle efficiency was higher (Figure 14).

The 75W lubricant with MoS<sub>2</sub> showed an average of 1.7 percent increase and 0.9 percent decrease in fuel economy from warm-up to fully warmed-up conditions (Figure 11).

The above results suggest the apparent benefits of lowviscosity gear lubricants in short-trip operation from cold start, whether they are synthetic or treated with frictionreducing additives. On the other hand, these results suggest the benefits of higher viscosity lubricants which provide wear protection under fully warmed-up conditions. However, it remains to be seen whether low-viscosity gear oils provide adequate wear protection under various ambient and operating conditions.





#### 7.4 GEAR OIL TEMPERATURE EFFECT

Figure 15 shows the oil temperatures for the three gear lubricants at the three ambient temperatures in steady state operation. The 75W synthetic and 75W containing MoS<sub>2</sub> ran consistently at lower temperatures than the baseline 85W90. The temperature difference ranged from 20° to 25°F. As one might expect, this temperature difference could be interpreted as less heat dissipation in the differential, and, therefore, reduced horsepower losses and higher efficiencies. This was true at all three ambient temperatures only during warm-up conditions with the 75W lubricants. However, under fully warmed-up conditions, the 85W90 lubricant showed lower horsepower losses and higher axle efficiencies.

Apparently, this temperature difference among the three gear lubricants is related to two factors: (1) the inherent properties of the synthetic lubricants, which show superior temperature-viscosity characteristics, particularly at extreme temperatures, than straight mineral gear lubricants; particularly at extreme temperatures, than straight mineral gear lubricants; and (2) the addition of dispersed solid lubricants to the base stock, which increased the viscosity index (Table 2) and, therefore, improved the viscosity-temperature characteristics of the final blend.







#### 8. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Generally, in the FTP, the increase in fuel economy with a 5W20 and a 10W40 synthetic crankcase lubricant ranged from 1.0 to 4.0 percent when compared to a base 10W40 lubricant. The increase in fuel economy with a graphite-containing 10W40 lubricant ranged from 0 to 6.0 percent, depending on the ambient temperature.

In steady state operation at 60 mph, the fuel economy associated with the synthetic and graphite lubricants increased at all ambient temperatures during vehicle warm-up from cold start, but the results varied from one vehicle to another. Under fully warmed-up conditions, the effect was much smaller.

In steady state operation at 60 mph, a 75W synthetic gear lubricant and a 75W gear lubricant containing MoS<sub>2</sub> showed increased fuel economy, lower horsepower losses, and higher axle efficiencies when compared to an 85W90 base lubricant. These benefits were obtained only during vehicle warm-up following cold start. Under fully warmed-up conditions, there was little or no change in fuel economy.

Standardized quantification of the influence of lubricants on automotive fuel economy requires a comprehensive and uniform test procedure; such a procedure is being developed by the American Society for Testing and Materials.

#### REFERENCES

- Shupe, D.C., "Automobile Fuel Economy," <u>Mechanical Engineering</u>, v. 99, No. 12, December 1977, pp. 30-34.
- 2. Chamberlin, W.B. and T.J. Sheahan, "Automotive Fuel Savings Through Selected Lubricants." Presented at SAE Automotive Engineering Congress and Exposition, Detroit, Michigan, Feb. 24-28, 1975, SAE paper No. 750377, 23. pp.
- 3. Gay, W.F., "Energy Statistics." A Supplement to the Summary of National Transportation Statistics, DOT-TSC-OST-76-30, U.S. Dept. of Transportation, 1976.
- 4. Coleman, W., "Computing Efficiency for Bevel & Hypoid Gears," <u>Machine Design</u>, v. 47, No. 20, August 21, 1976, pp. 64-65.
- 5. Gresty, D.A., et al, "The Effects of MoS<sub>2</sub> Based Lubricants on Automotive Gear Efficiency and Operating Temperatures." Presented at SAE Passenger Car Meeting, Detroit, Michigan, Sep. 26-30, 1977, SAE paper No. 770834, 16 pp.
- 6. Willermet, P.A. and L.T. Dixon, "Fuel Economy-Contribution of the Rear Axle Lubricant." Presented at SAE Passenger Car Meeting, Detroit, Michigan, Sep. 26-30, 1977, SAE paper No. 770835, 12 pp.
- 7. U.S. Code of Federal Regulations. Title 40--Protection of Environment; Chapter I--Environmental Protection Agency; Subchapter C--Air Programs; Part 86--Control of Air Pollution from New Motor Vehicle and New Motor Vehicle Engines: Certification and Test Procedures; Subpart B--Emission Regulations for 1977 and Later Model Year New Light-Duty Vehicles and New Light-Duty Trucks, Test Procedures; Sections 86.105-78 through 86.144-78. Revised July 1, 1977.
- "Lebow Torque Sensor Catalog." Catalog 201-A, November, 1975. Published by Lebow Associates, 1728 Maplelawn Rd., Troy, Michigan 48084.

## APPENDIX A

# TABLE A-1. FUEL ECONOMY RESULTS<sup>1</sup>

| Test<br>vehicle | Driving<br>schedule | Ambient<br>temp., °F | Fuel ec<br>Mean         | conomy, mpg<br>Std. dev. | Coeff. of<br>variation, pct |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                 |                     | 10W40 B/             | ASE OIL                 |                          |                             |
| Puick           | EPA City            | 20<br>70<br>100      | 14.49<br>17.33<br>17.86 | 0.10<br>0.08<br>0.32     | 0.69<br>0.45<br>1.77        |
| burck           | EPA Highway         | 20<br>70<br>100      | 22.17<br>23.15<br>22.87 | 0.29<br>0.22<br>0.40     | 1.31<br>0.95<br>1.76        |
| Ford            | EPA City            | 20<br>70<br>100      | 16.71<br>18.71<br>19.85 | 0.31<br>0.13<br>0.40     | 1.86<br>0.68<br>1.99        |
|                 | EPA Highway         | 20<br>70<br>100      | 26.58<br>27.53<br>28.50 | 0.23<br>0.33<br>0.25     | 0.88<br>1.18<br>0.87        |
|                 |                     | 5W20 SYI             | NTHETIC                 |                          |                             |
| Buick           | EPA City            | 20<br>70<br>100      | 14.75<br>17.56<br>17.95 | 0.28<br>0.31<br>0.39     | 1.92<br>1.77<br>2.17        |
|                 | EPA Highway         | 20<br>70<br>100      | 22.63<br>23.69<br>23.30 | 0.21<br>0.20<br>0.54     | 0.94<br>0.84<br>2.31        |
| Ford            | EPA City            | 20<br>70<br>100      | 17.01<br>18.52<br>20.02 | 0.19<br>0.41<br>0.55     | 1.12<br>2.21<br>2.74        |
|                 | EPA Highway         | 20<br>70<br>100      | 26.29<br>27.45<br>28.70 | 0.18<br>0.48<br>0.40     | 0.67<br>1.76<br>1.40        |

<sup>1</sup>Based on triplicate tests.

| Test<br>vehicle | Driving<br>schedule | Ambient<br>temp., °F | Fuel ec<br>Mean         | conomy, mpg<br>Std. dev.       | Coeff. of<br>variation, pct  |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                 |                     | 10W40 S              | NTHETIC                 | 10 mina anti anto 1900 mina an | 5 and a sing and the two and |
| Puick           | EPA City            | 20<br>70<br>100      | 14.03<br>17.56<br>18.29 | 0.07<br>0.04<br>0.04           | 0.50<br>0.20<br>0.23         |
| DUTCK           | EPA Highway         | 20<br>70<br>100      | 22.31<br>23.79<br>23.54 | 0<br>0.08<br>0.04              | 0<br>0.32<br>0.17            |
| Ford            | EPA City            | 20<br>70<br>100      | 16.63<br>18.99<br>19.87 | 0.33<br>0.35<br>0.13           | 2<br>1.86<br>0.66            |
|                 | EPA Highway         | 20<br>70<br>100      | 25.83<br>27.40<br>27.80 | 0.54<br>0.37<br>0.13           | 2.11<br>1.36<br>0.47         |
|                 |                     | 10W40 w/0            | GRAPHITE                |                                |                              |
| Rujek           | EPA City            | 20<br>70<br>100      | 14.57<br>17.40<br>18.13 | 0.32<br>0.16<br>0.22           | 2.22<br>0.93<br>1.20         |
| BUTCK           | EPA Highway         | 20<br>70<br>100      | 22.86<br>23.52<br>23.71 | 0.14<br>0.28<br>0.70           | 0.63<br>1.20<br>2.95         |
| Ford            | EPA City            | 20<br>70<br>100      | 16.61<br>19.38<br>20.19 | 0.17<br>0.01<br>0.19           | 1.02<br>0.07<br>0.94         |
|                 | EPA Highway         | 20<br>70<br>100      | 26.28<br>27.93<br>28.27 | 0.37<br>0.05<br>0.11           | 1.43<br>0.22<br>0.39         |

# TABLE A-1. FUEL ECONOMY RESULTS<sup>1</sup> (Cont.)

<sup>1</sup>Based on triplicate tests.

## APPENDIX B

## TABLE B-1. 60 MPH STEADY-STATE FUEL ECONOMY RESULTS

|         |                 |        |                     | Fully ¥  | varmed-up  |                |
|---------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|----------|------------|----------------|
| Test    | Test            | No. of | No. of measurements | fuel eco | onomy, mpg | Coeff. of      |
| vehicle | oil             | tests  | (1 min. intervals)  | Mean     | Std. dev.  | variation, pct |
|         |                 |        | 20° F AMBIENT       |          |            |                |
| Buick   | 10W40 base      | 2      | 34                  | 18.69    | 0.03       | 0.15           |
|         | 5W20 synthetic  | 2      | 34                  | 18.97    | 0.28       | 1.45           |
|         | 10W40 synthetic | 3      | 51                  | 19.18    | 0.35       | 1.83           |
|         | 10W40 graphite  | 2      | 34                  | 18.17    | 0.70       | 3.40           |
| Ford    | 10W40 base      | 3      | 51                  | 24.37    | 0.51       | 2.11           |
|         | 5W20 synthetic  | 3      | 51                  | 24.17    | 0.30       | 1.22           |
|         | 10W40 synthetic | 3      | 51                  | 24.26    | 0.32       | 1.33           |
|         | 10W40 graphite  | 2      | 34                  | 23.83    | 1.10       | 4.60           |
|         |                 |        | 70° F AMBIENT       |          |            |                |
| Buick   | 10W40 base      | 2      | 34                  | 20.72    | 0.05       | 0.24           |
|         | 5W20 synthetic  | 3      | 51                  | 20.45    | 0.13       | 0.66           |
|         | 10W40 synthetic | - 3    | 51                  | 21.05    | 0.29       | 1.37           |
|         | 10W40 graphite  | 3      | 51                  | 20.53    | 0.50       | 2.45           |
| Ford    | 10W40 base      | 3      | 51                  | 26.30    | 0.47       | 1.78           |
|         | 5W20 synthetic  | 3      | 51                  | 26.09    | 0.32       | 1.24           |
|         | 10W40 synthetic | 3      | 51                  | 24.89    | 0.67       | 2.68           |
|         | 10W40 graphite  | 2      | 34                  | 26.39    | 0.50       | 1.90           |
|         |                 |        | 100° F AMBIENT      |          |            |                |
| Buick   | 10W40 base      | 3      | 51                  | 19.33    | 1.08       | 5.57           |
|         | 5W20 synthetic  | 2      | 34                  | 20.51    | 0.69       | 3.38           |
|         | 10W40 synthetic | 3      | 51                  | 20.32    | 0.62       | 3.03           |
|         | 10W40 graphite  | 2      | 34                  | 20.56    | 0.02       | 0.10           |
| Ford    | 10W40 base      | 3      | 51                  | 27.23    | 0.20       | 0.73           |
|         | 5W20 synthetic  | 3      | 51                  | 27.13    | 0.62       | 2.27           |
|         | 10W40 synthetic | 3      | 51                  | 25.95    | 0.95       | 3.67           |
|         | 10W40 graphite  | 2      | 34                  | 27.73    | 0.15       | 0.54           |

B-1/B-2



## APPENDIX C

TABLE C-1. 60 MPH\_STEADY-STATE FUEL ECONOMY<sup>1</sup>

| Test  | Fully<br>fuel e         | warmed-up<br>conomy, mpg | Coeff. of            |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| gear oil  | Mean                    | Std. dev.                | variation, pct       |
|   | 20°                     | F AMBIENT                |                      |
| 85W90 base<br>75W synthetic<br>75W + MoS <sub>2</sub> | 19.80<br>19.81<br>19.43 | 0.16<br>0.38<br>0.35     | 0.79<br>1.92<br>1.82 |
|   | 70°                     | F AMBIENT                |                      |
| 85W90 base<br>75W synthetic<br>75W + MoS <sub>2</sub> | 21.55<br>21.57<br>20.85 | 0.21<br>0.09<br>0.41     | 0.95<br>0.43<br>1.97 |
|   | 100°                    | F AMBIENT                |                      |
| 85W90 base<br>75W synthetic<br>75W + MoS <sub>2</sub> | 21.39<br>21.23<br>21.30 | 0.09<br>0.01<br>0.59     | 0.43<br>0.03<br>2.72 |

<sup>1</sup>Results based on duplicate tests conducted on the 1978 Buick.

.

C-1/C-2

| י <b>ד</b> ד |  | AL        | Ne                         | - |
|--------------|--|-----------|----------------------------|---|
| FORMERLY     |  | utomotive | NHTSA-<br>NHTSA-<br>Indana |   |
| DOT F        |  |           | ω <u>, w</u>               |   |

.





TRANSPONTATION SYSTEMS CENTER KENDALL SOUARE, CAMBRIDGE, MA. 02142

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, 1300

.

ł

.

00347957

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 613

