10. UMTA-MA-06-0025-78-9

JV

HE

18.5

.A37

no.

DOT-

TSC-

UMTA-

78-45

# NOISE ASSESSMENT OF THE PORT AUTHORITY TRANSIT CORPORATION LINDENWOLD RAIL TRANSIT LINE

R. Spencer E. Hinterkeuser

The Boeing Vertol Company P.O. Box 16858 Philadelphia, PA 19142



in the way in



OCTOBER 1978 INTERIM REPORT

MAR 1 3 19/9 LIBRARY

DOCUMENT IS AVAILABLE TO THE U.S. PUBLIC THROUGH THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE, SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22161

Prepared for

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION. OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND DEPLOYMENT Office of Rail and Construction Technology Washington DC 20590

### NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof.

### NOTICE

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report.

.

415								
185								
A27								
, 431		Technical Report Documentation P						
no. 1. Report No.	2. Government Accessian No.	2. Recipient's Catalog No.						
DOT- UMTA-MA-06-0025-78-9								
J. Title ond Subtitle	J	5. Report Date						
Noise Assessment of t	the Port Authority	October 1978						
JMTA - Transit Corporation I Transit Line	Lindenwold Rail	6. Performing Organization Code						
15.45		8. Performing Organization Report Na.						
R.H. Spencer, E.G. Hi	nterkeuser	DOT-TSC-UMTA-78-45						
9. Performing Organization Name and Addr		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)						
The Boeing Vertol Con	pany DEPARTMENT	R9743/UM949						
P.O. Box 16858 Philadelphia, Pa. 19	THANSPORTATIC	1. Contract or Grant No. 別 DOT-TSC-850						
		13. Type of Repart and Period Covered						
12. Spansaring Agency Name and Address	MAR 1 3 1979	Interim Report						
U.S. Department of Tran	sportation	October 1974-July 1975						
Office of Rail and Cons	truction Technology							
2100 Second Street S.W.	,Washington, D.C. 205	590 UTD-30						
15. Supplementary Notes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
*Under contract to:	U.S. Department of Tr	ansportation, Research						
Center, Cambridge.	Massachusetts 02142.	nsportation Systems						
16. Abstract								
Urban Mass Trans through the Transment of Transpor approximately 1 four miles are : is given for spe and along the no Based on these r sound levels, Ly to be in the 70 route. Wayside track, range fro interval for 84 tion $L_A(Max)$ lev 70 to 74 dBA int the 80 to 89 dBA The rationale for for arriving at are discussed ex- mentation and pr	Urban Mass Transportation Administration and technically adminis- through the Transportation Systems Center of the U.S. Depart- ment of Transportation. The PATCO urban rail transit line mass approximately 14.2 miles of two-way revenue track (of Directoration four miles are in subway), and 12 stations. Noise level data is given for specific measurements made in cars, in stations, ORT and along the non-subway wayside at appropriate locations. Based on these measurements, in-car average maximum, A-weighted sound levels, L <sub>A</sub> (Max), range from 72 to 84 dBA and are station to be in the 70 to 79 dBA interval for 95 percent of the PACTO route. Wayside L <sub>A</sub> (Max) levels, at 15m (50 ft) from the near track, range from 76 to 84 dBA and are in the 80 to 84 dBA interval for 84 percent of the above ground route mileage. BStall tion L <sub>A</sub> (Max) levels are somewhat more dispersed, and even in the 70 to 74 dBA interval for 58 percent of the stations. The rationale for choice of measurement sites and the methodology for arriving at the summary noise distributions from the data are discussed explicitly. Measurement and analysis instru- mentation and procedures are also described.							
17 Key Wards								
17. Key Words Noise, Rapid Transit, Tran Noise, Measurement Methodo strumentation, Data Analys Noise, Station Platform No Noise	18. Distribution plogy, In- pis, Comunity pise, Vehicle	Stotement IT IS AVAILABLE TO THE U.S. PUBLIC I THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL TION SERVICE, SPRINGFIELD, 22161						
<ul> <li>17. Key Words</li> <li>Noise, Rapid Transit, Tran</li> <li>Noise, Measurement Methodo</li> <li>strumentation, Data Analys</li> <li>Noise, Station Platform No</li> <li>Noise</li> <li>19. Security Classif. (of this report)</li> </ul>	18. Distribution Document Document Document Document Document Document Document Document Document HROUGH INFORMA VIRGINIA 20. Socurity Classif. (of this page)	Statement IT IS AVAILABLE TO THE U.S. PUBLIC I THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL TION SERVICE, SPRINGFIELD, 22161 21. No. of Pages 22, Price						
<ul> <li>17. Key Words</li> <li>Noise, Rapid Transit, Tran</li> <li>Noise, Measurement Methodo</li> <li>strumentation, Data Analys</li> <li>Noise, Station Platform No</li> <li>Noise</li> <li>19. Security Classif. (of this report)</li> <li>Unclassified</li> </ul>	18. Distribution Dology, In- Diss, Comunity Dise, Vehicle 20. Socurity Classif. (of this page) Unclassified	Stotement IT IS AVAILABLE TO THE U.S. PUBLIC I THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL TION SERVICE, SPRINGFIELD, 22161 21. No. of Poges 22, Price 194						

.

3

#### PREFACE

This report has been prepared under the Urban Rail Noise Abatement Program being sponsored by the Urban Mass Transportation Administration's (UMTA's) Office of Rail and Construction Technology. The Noise Abatement Program is being managed at the Transportation Systems Center for UMTA. The objectives of the Noise Abatement Program are to assess noise produced by urban rail transit operations and to appraise methods and costs for reduction of such noise.

This report is one in a series of six noise assessment reports covering noise due to transit operations on seven rail transit systems in five U.S. cities. Consistent results of the six assessments were achieved through use of standardized noise measurement and data reduction procedures developed at TSC and tested on the Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (MBTA) in Boston. The assessment report for the MBTA was published in 1974 (Reference 1).

Physical differences among the transit systems, as well as differences in the technical orientations of the teams, and in funds available to the teams for measurement and analysis, led to some differences in report organization, technical depth and writing style. Therefore, to provide at least introductory consistency among the reports for the reader, the front material, including the introduction of each assessment report, has been edited at TSC. The organization and technical content of each report, however, are basically as originally written by the respective teams and are, together with the accuracy of the measurements, the responsibility of the authors.

This report has been prepared by the Boeing Vertol Company under contract DOT-TSC-850. Authors of the report were R. H. Spencer and E. G. Hinterkeuser. Technical Monitors for the program were Dr. E. G. Apgar and Dr. Robert Lotz. Liaison with the Port Authority Transit Corporation was provided by Mr. David L. Andrus. Dr. Leonard Kurzweil of the Transportation Systems Center directed the final technical editing of the report.

	inder?	Ē	5 # 3	ÊĒ		<u>~</u> ⊆ <sup>2</sup> ₽	Ē				2 2				8 = 1	1 6	8	£.	k			.*					
Mesures	To find	inches	inches fset	miles		square inches square vards	square milss	1014			ounces pounds	short tons			fluid cunces	pinu	galions	cubic feet	cubic yards			Calmandra.(	temperature	:	ä	160 200	
rsions from Metric	Maltiply by LENCTU	0.04	9.9 9.3	0.6	AREA	0.16	4.0	2.B	1966 (mainho)	(Infirm) cevi	0.036	12		VOLUME	0.03	2.1	0.26	36	1.3		ERATURE (exact)	9.75 (char	(2E ppu		90.0	60 120	20 140
Approximete Conve	Whan Yan Knew	milimeters	cantimeters maters	meters kilometers	1	square contimators souther maters	square kilometers	hectares (10,000 m <sup>-</sup> )	2		gräms Litoorama	tonnes (1000 hg)		1	millificers	litters Litters	liters	cubic meters	cubic maters		TEMF		Leigius temperature		5 32	0	
1	Symbol	le l	δe	e Ş		Ĩ5~e	~	2			<u>م</u>	ñ			Ē			Ē	Ē				Ų		ē	Ť	<b>4</b> • 1
EZ	22 IZ	30	61 	91 	21 9			▶ 1	13     	Z   			0	L 6		<b>*</b> .		,		,    	S			E		:   	נש <sup>1</sup>   
9  ' ' '		° 	rh.	' '   7	.   .   1   1	  ' ' '	'''  	ייי		I' 'ŀ ₅	' <b> '</b>  '	[ <b>'</b> 1	' '¦'	'r	<b>1.</b>  .1		'  3	'1'	'l'	יוין	2	' '1'	'1'	'l'	11 1	ľ	inches
	ymbel		Ę	Ē	5	75	°E '	رب ورب	2			¢,	-		Ē	Ē	Ē-		-	-	ε ືe	ł		ů			
Meesures	Tn Find		Caint trine fee 5	centimeters merec	k.i.lometers	souare centimaters	square meters	squars hilometers	hectarss		grams	kilograms	tomes		milliliters	multiliters	milliliters	litter s	liters	li ters	cubic meters			Calaius	temperaturs		
versions to Metric	Mattipty by	LENGTH	2.5	ğ	9.1	6.5	6.0	0.6	0.4	IASS (weight)	28	0.45	0.9	VOLUME	ŝ	15	8	270	0.95	3.8	0.0 ¥	ERATURE (aract)		5/9 (sher	subtracting 32)	i	
Approximate Convers	Whan Yee Know		inches	feet	nies	enter inches	square feet	square mites	ecres	2	ounces	spunod	short tons (2000 lb)	1	tessoons	tablespoons	fluid ounces	cups	guarts	gelions	cubic feet	TEMP		Fahrenheit	temperature		
	Symbol		5	ar T	Ē	24	<sup>4</sup>				20	e e			tso	Thep	1 02	0 <b>1</b>		ā	<b>1</b>	2		•			

METRIC CONVERSION FACTORS

iv

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
	LIST OF FIGURES	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF DEFINITIONS	xiii
1.	SUMMARY	1-1
2.	INTRODUCTION 2.1 Program Scope 2.2 Reader's Guide to Report	2-1
3.	GENERAL MEASUREMENT METHODOLOGY 3.1 Community Noise Sampling Strategy Conditions at Measurement Site Microphone Positions	3-1 3-1
	3.2 Station Noise Sampling Strategy Conditions at Measurement Site Microphone Positions	3-5
	3.3 Vehicle Interior Noise Sampling Strategy Conditions at Measurement Site Microphone Positions Measurement Procedure	3-6
4.	INSTRUMENTATION AND DATA ANALYSIS 4.1 Instrumentation Data Requirements Data Acquisition System Equipment Calibrations	4-1 4-1
	4.2 Data Analysis Graphic Level Recorder Calibration Individual Event Analysis Grouped Data Analysis Statistical Analysis	4 – 5

### TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

			PAGE
5.	NOIS 5.1	E ASSESSMENT DATA Description of Transit System Routes and Service Engineering Features Roadbed Rail Vehicles Stations	5-1 5-1
	5.2	Noise Assessment Data 5.2.1 Wayside Community Aerial Track At-Grade Track Special Track	5-7 5-10
		5.2.2 Station Platform Aerial Stations At-Grade Stations	5-70
		5.2.3 Vehicle Interior Typical Commute Trips Train Attendant Round Trip	5-120
6.	TRAN	SIT SYSTEM LINE SUMMARY	6-1
	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5	General Community Noise Station Noise In-Car Noise PATCO Noise Summary	6-1 6-1 6-5 6-6 6-6
7.	REFE	RENCES	7-1
3 5 5 5			

#### APPENICES

Α.	A Stati	istical	Analysis	s of	SEPTA	Broad	Street-A-1
	Subway	Station	Noise I	Data			

B. Report of Inventions B-1

### LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
4.1 4.2	Typical Data Acquisition System Block Diagram of Noise Measurement Instrumentation - BART	4-2 4-3
4.3	Data Analysis Equipment Schematic	4-6
4.4	Method of Determining LAmax and Ts	4-8
4.5	Spectral Analysis Equipment	49
4.6	System Noise Level Averages Analysis Equipment	4-13
5.1	PATCO Lindenwold Rapid Transit System Schematic	; 5-2
5.2	PATCO Road Bed	5-3
5.3	System Track Diagram	5-6
5.4	Community Noise Survey Locations	5-11
5.5	Wayside Measurement Site, Benj. Franklin	
	Bridge Portal	5-13
5.6	Community Statistical Distribution	5-15
	Benj. Franklin Bridge (South Side) Day 12m	
5.7	Community Statistical Distribution	5-16
	Benj. Franklin Bridge (South Side) Rush 12m	<b>-</b> 1 -
5.8	Community Statistical Distribution	5-1/
5 0	Benj. Franklin Bridge (South Side) Eve. 12m	E 10
5.9	Community Statistical Distribution	01-C
5 10	Benj. Franklin Bridge (South Side) Night 12m	5-10
5.10	Community Statistical Distribution	7-19
5 11	Community Statistical Distribution	5-20
J.II	Ponj Franklin Pridge (South Side) Day 60m	5 20
5 1 2	Community Statistical Distribution	5-21
J.12	Benj Franklin Bridge (South Side) Eve 60m	5 21
5 1 3	Community Statistical Distribution	5-22
2.13	Benj Franklin Bridge (South Side) Eve. 120m	5 22
5.14	Typical Noise Time History - Camden	5-23
0.11	Community 12m	
5.15	Wayside Measurement Location - Collingswood	5-25
	Elevated Embankment	
5.16	Community Statistical Distribution	5-27
	Ogden & Atlantic Ave, Day 15m	
5.17	Community Statistical Distribution	5-28
	Ogden & Atlantic Ave, Rush, 15m	
5.18	Community Statistical Distribution	5-29
	Ogden & Atlantic Ave, Eve. 15m	
5.19	Community Statistical Distribution	5-30
	Ogden & Atlantic Ave, Night, 15m	
5.20	Community Statistical Distribution	5-31
	Ogden & Atlantic Ave, Day, 30m	F 22
5.21	Community Statistical Distribution	5-32
	Ogden & Atlantic Ave, Rush, 30m	

FIGURE		PAGE
5.22	Community Statistical Distribution Ogden & Atlantic Ave. Eve. 30m	5-33
5.23	Community Statistical Distribution Ogden & Atlantic Ave. Day, 60m	5-34
5.24	Community Statistical Distribution Ogden & Atlantic Ave. Rush, 60m	5-35
5.25	Community Statistical Distribution Ogden & Atlantic Ave. Eve. 60m	5-36
5.26	Typical Time History - Ogden & Atlantic Wayside	5-37
5.27	Wayside Measurement Location, Collingswood Concrete Viaduct	539
5.28	Community Statistical Distribution - Dayton & South Atlantic Aves, Day 15m	5-41
5.29	Community Statistical Distribution - Dayton & South Atlantic Aves, Rush 15m	5-42
5.30	Community Statistical Distribution - Dayton & South Atlantic Aves, Eve. 15m	5-43
5.31	Community Statistical Distribution - Dayton & South Atlantic Aves, Night, 15m	5-44
5.32	Community Statistical Distribution - Dayton & South Atlantic Aves, Day, 30m	5-45
5.33	Community Statistical Distribution - Dayton & South Atlantic Aves, Rush, 30m	5-46
5.34	Community Statistical Distribution - Dayton & South Atlantic Aves, Eve. 30m	5-47
5.35	Community Statistical Distribution - Dayton & South Atlantic Aves, Day, 60m	5-48
5.36	Community Statistical Distribution - Dayton & South Atlantic Aves, Rush, 60m	5-49
5.37	Community Statistical Distribution - Dayton & South Atlantic Aves, Eve. 60m	5-50
5.38	Community Statistical Distribution - Dayton & South Atlantic Aves, Day, 120m	5-51
5.39	Typical Time Hostory, Dayton, South Atlantic Ave.	5-52
5.40	Wayside Measurement Site, Westmont	5-54
5.41	Community Statistical Distribution, Westmont, Rush, 15m	5-56
5.42	Typical Time History Westmont	5-57
5.43	Wayside Measurement Site, Ha-donfield	5-59
5.44	Community Statistical Distribution, Redman and N. Atlantic Aves, Daytime, 15m	5-61
5.45	Community Statistical Distribution, Redman and N. Atlantic Aves, Rush, 15m	5-62

. . . . . . .

FIGURE		PAGE
5.46	Community Statistical Distribution, Redman and N. Atlantic Aves, Eve. 15m	5-63
5.47	Community Statistical Distribution, Redman and N. Atlantic Aves, Night, 15m	5-64
5.48	Community Statistical Distribution, Redman and N. Atlantic Aves, Day, 30m	5-65
5.49	Community Statistical Distribution, Redman and N. Atlantic Aves, Eve. 30m	5-66
5.50	Community Statistical Distribution, Redman and N. Atlantic Aves, Day, 60m	5-67
5.51	Community Statistical Distribution, Redman and N. Atlantic Aves, Eve. 60m	568
5.52	Typical Time History, Haddonfield Broadway Subway Station Platform	569 5-72
5.54	Platform Statistical Distribution - Broadway, Center, Day	5-74
5.55	Platform Statistical Distribution - Broadway, Center, Bush	5-75
5.56	Platform Statistical Distribution - Broadway, Center, Evening	5-76
5.57	Platform Statistical Distribution - Broadway, Center, Night	5-77
5.58	Typical Time History - Broadway Station	5-78
5.59	City Hall Subway Station Platform	5-80
5.60	Platform Statistical Distribution, City Hall, Center, Rush	5-82
5.61	Typical Time History - City Hall Station	5-83
5.62	Ferry Avenue Station Platform	5 <b>-</b> .85
5.63	Platform Statistical Distribution - Ferry Ave., Center, Day	5 <del>-</del> 87
5.64	Platform Statistical Distribution - Ferry Ave., End, Evening.	5-88
5.65	Typical Time History - Ferry Avenue - Station	589
5.66	Collingswood Station Platform	5-91
5.67	Platform Statistical Distribution - Collingswoo Center, Day	₫ <b>,5-</b> 93
5.68	Platform Statistical Distribution - Collingswoo Center, Rush	d <b>,5-</b> 94
5.69	Platform Statistical Distribution - Collingswoo	d <b>,5-</b> 95
5.70	Platform Statistical Distribution - Collingswoo	₫ <b>,5-</b> 96
5.71 5.72	Typical Time History - Collingswood Station Haddonfield Station Platform	5-97 5-99

FIGURE

PAGE

5.73	Platform Statistical Distribution -	5-101
5.74	Platform Statistical Distribution -	5-102
5.75	Platform Statistical Distribution -	5-103
5.76	Platform Statistical Distribution - Haddonfield, Center, Night	5-104
5.77	Typical Time History - Haddonfield Station	5-105
5.78	15th/16th and Locust Subway Station Platform	E 107
5.79	Platform Statistical Distribution -	5-107
	15th/16th and Locust. Center. Day	5-109
5.80	Platform Statistical Distribution -	F 110
	15th/16th and Locust. Center, Rush	5-110
5.81	Platform Statistical Distribution -	5-111
	15th/16th and Locust, Center Evening	
5.82	Platform Statistical Distribution -	5-112
	15th/16th and Locust, Center, Night	
5.83	Typical Noise Time History - 15th/16th and	5-113
	Locust	0 110
5.84	9th/10th St. Subway Station Platform	5-115
5.85	Platform Statistical Distribution -	5-117
	9th/10th St. Center, Day	
5.86	Platform Statistical Distribution -	5-118
	9th/10th St. Center, Rush	
5.87	Typical Time History - 9th/10th Street	5-110
5.88	In-Car Measurement Locations - Budd Car.	5 - 122
3.00	Single	J-122
5.89	In-Car Statistical Distribution - Budd Car.	5-12/
	Single, Center, W. Bound	5 124
5,90	In-Car Statistical Distribution - Budd Car.	5-125
	Single, Over Truck, W.Bound	5 125
5.91	In-Car Statistical Distribution - Budd Car,	5-126
	Single, Center, E.Bound	
5.92	In-Car Statistical Distribution - Budd Car.	5-127
	Single, Over Truck, E. Bound	
5.93	In-Car Statistical Distribution - Budd Car.	5-128
	Double, Center, W.Bound	
5.94	In-Car Statistical Distribution - Budd Car.	5-129
	Double, Over Truck, W.Bound	
5.95	In-Car Statistical Distribution - Budd Car.	5-130
	Double, Center, E. Bound	
5.96	In-Car Statistical Distribution - Budd Car.	5-131
2.2.2	Double, Over Truck, E.Bound	

FIGURE	PAGE
5.97 Time History of In-Car Noise	5-132
6.1 PATCO - Track Construction Summary	6-4
6.2 PATCO - Summary of Noise Environment	6-7

### LIST OF TABLES

PAGE

1.1	Average Maximum A-Weighted Sound Level Distribu- tions	1-2
3.1	Community Noise Survey Strategy	3-2
5.1	PATCO Transit Car Specifications	5-4
5.2	Distances Between Rapid Transit Stations	5-5
5.3	Explanation for Measurement Result Summary Tables	5-8
5.4	Summary of Measurement Results - Benjamin Franklin South Side	5-14
5.5	Summary of Measurement Results - Ogden and Atlantic Avenues	5-26
5.6	Summary of Measurement Results - Dayton and South Atlantic Avenues	5-40
5.7	Summary of Measurement Results - Westmont- Rohrer Towers	5-55
5.8	Summary of Measurement Results - Redman and North Atlantic Avenues	5-60
5.9	Summary of Measurement Results - Station Noise, Broadway	5-73
5.10	Summary of Measurement Results - City Hall	5-81
5.11	Summary of Measurement Results - Ferry Avenue	5-86
5.12	Summary of Measurement Results - Collingswood	5-92
5.13	Summary of Measurement Results - Haddonfield	5-100
5.14	Summary of Measurement Results - 15th/16th and Locust	5-108
5.15	Summary of Measurement Results - 9th/10th Streets	5-110
5.16	Summary of Measurement Results - 1960 Budd Cars	5-123
6.1	Generalized Operating Summary and Input for Calculations	6-2
6.2	Noise Measurement Summary - PATCO Transit System - Day	6-3

- L<sub>A</sub>(Max) Maximum A-weighted sound pressure level for a given noise event, measured in dBA.
- AL<sub>i</sub> Instantaneous A-weighted sound pressure level for sample "i", measured in dBA.

$$L_{eq} = 10 \log \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{antilog} (AL_{i}/10)}{n} \right]$$

dBA.

n - Number of samples of AL in a specified time period.

$$L_{dn} = 10 \log \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{(L_{eq}/10)} W_{i}}{24} \right)$$

 $W_{i}$  - Time of day weighting factor  $W_{i}(0700-2200) = 1$  $W_{i}(2200-0700) = 10$ 

T<sub>i</sub> - Time interval for "i"-th period

$$L_{R} = L_{\lambda}(Max) + 10 \log T_{5}$$
, in dBA

SENEL - Single Event Noise Exposure Level, measured in dBA.

SENEL = 10 log 
$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{antilog} (AL_i/10) \cdot \Delta t\right]$$

∆t - Effective duration of noise event, measured in seconds.

CNEL - Community Noise Equivalent Level-in dB.

$$CNEL = 10 \log \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i} \cdot antilog (SENEL_{n}/10)}{86400} \right]$$

 $W_i$  - Time of day weighting factor

$$W_{i}^{*} (0700-1900) = 1$$
$$W_{i}^{*} (1900-2200) = \sqrt{10}$$
$$W_{i}^{*} (2200-0700) = 10$$

Hz - Frequency, measured in cycles per second

The Urban Mass Transportation Administration is supporting a program under the technical administration of the Transportation Systems Center to determine the noise climate of the major rapid rail transit systems in the United States and to assess the impact of that noise on patrons, employees, and wayside communities. The results are to be used in determining approaches and associated costs to reach various selected noise abatement levels. The methodology, measurement techniques, and analysis are common for all systems studied so that results can be compared. Noise assessment reports, covering each of the major rapid transit systems, are being issued as a series.

The Port Authority Transit Corporation (PATCO) Lindenwold Line, described in this report, consists of approximately 14.2 miles of two-way revenue track of which 4 are underground, 4.6 are on an embankment, 4.1 at grade, one mile in cut, and one-half mile on concrete viaduct.

The average speed for the entire route (including stops) is 40 mph (64 kph), with normal running speeds of 75 mph (121 kph) southeast of Camden. Fully welded track is used, except on the Benjamin Franklin Bridge and certain sections of underground track in Philadelphia. Stainless steel Budd Company electric cars are in use on the PATCO system, operated as single units and in married pairs. Upholstered seat covers provide some measure of acoustical absorption, and acoustical insulation is used in the car body construction.

Another acoustical feature noted on the PATCO system is the use of thin metal perforated ceiling throughout the six New Jersey stations.

Noise Assessment was of three general types:

- 1. Community noise
- 2. Station noise
- 3. In-Car noise.

Conditions for each type of measurement were standardized as far as possible for supporting later analysis and for ensuring comparability of results with those of other systems. In addition to the acoustic data channels, one channel of a tape track was provided for comments by the measurement observer to assist in the later description or explanation of the noise environment and phenomena.

Noise recordings were made with standardized instrumentation having a flat (unweighted) frequency response characteristic. Field calibration was performed during the data acquisition. In addition, equipment was periodically calibrated using Class 2 NBS standards. Detailed results are too extensive to show in this summary. However, the following estimates of sound levels (in dBA), were determined for the PATCO Lindenwold Line.

#### TABLE 1.1. AVERAGE MAXIMUM A-WEIGHTED SOUND LEVEL DISTRIBUTIONS FOR THE PATCO LINDENWOLD LINE.

MAXIMUM SOUND LEVELS (dBA)

	70 to 74	75 to 79	80 to 84	85 to 89	90 to 94	95 to 100
Car Interior* (Percent of Route Mileage)	48	47	5	0	0	0
Wayside at 15 m (50 ft) Distance (Percent of Above Ground Route Mileage)	0	7	84	0	9	0
Station Platform (Percent of Stations)	42	· 0	16	42	0	0

\*Average of Single and Double Cars

#### 2. INTRODUCTION

#### 2.1 Program Scope

This report describes the noise climate of the Port Authority Transit Corporation (PATCO). The work is part of a noise assessment study by this contractor which included PATCO, the Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA), the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) System, and the Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority (RTA), formerly the Cleveland Transit System (CTS). Similar assessments have been undertaken by separate contractors of the Chicago Transit Authority (CTA), the New York City Transit Authority (NYCTA), and the Port Authority Trans-Hudson (PATH). The noise assessments for the BART, RTA, and SEPTA systems, as well as for those systems considered by other contractors, are reported in other documents of this series.

This work was done as part of an Urban Mass Transportation Administration (UMTA) program to assess the noise produced by various U.S. urban rail transit operations and to appraise methods and costs for reduction of such noise. The characterization of the noise climate of each rail transit system, carried out in a uniform manner, provides data to assist in determining UMTA priorities and funding decisions. The noise assessment activity has three elements:

- 1. Noise climate assessment.
- 2. Consideration of abatement technique options.
- 3. Cost estimation for abatement to specified noise levels.

Specifically, this activity allows noise level comparisons (a) of systems, (b) of different types of equipment or track structures on the same system, and (c) before and after noise control actions. It also provides data pertinent to the establishment of possible regulatory action to control noise levels.

The specific purpose of the work reported in this volume was to measure and otherwise describe the noise climate of the PATCO system as well as to describe the measurement and analysis methodology used.

The noise climate and associated information includes descriptions of the various sources and paths of noise, and their relative contribution to the noise climate at the point of measurement.

The PATCO Lindenwold Line was surveyed and classified by vehicle type, station type, roadbed construction type, and type of wayside land use. Representative measurement locations were then defined for each of these categories as well as for other locations with specified singularities (unique noise characteristics). This .approach, common to all assessments, is based on the noise assessment of the Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (MBTA), (Reference 1), which served as a pilot study for these later assessments. Consistency of results were achieved through the use of a standardized noise measurement and data reduction process. This process was successfully validated through "round robin" tests in which the assessment teams made simultaneous measurements of noise from Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority trains and, without communication between teams, reported the resulting reduced data. The findings of all teams correlated well.

For the purposes of this assessment activity, it is adequate to measure a limited, but statistically sufficient number of vehicles, stations, and community sites, selected to cover the major construction and operating features of the system.

The present data describe the existing system noise climate and permits a first order estimate of abatement techniques and associated costs to satisfy reduced noise level criteria. When a preliminary investigation such as this reveals noise problems, and a decision is made to proceed with their solution, more detailed measurements and analyses must be made. Normally, this would include detailed diagnostic measurements to identify the dominant sources and paths for engineering design of site-specific noise control treatments.

#### 2.2 Reader's Guide to Report

The general measurement methodology, including sampling strategy for measurement site selections, site conditions, microphone positions, and measurement procedures for community, station, and in-car noise assessments are presented in Section 3. Details of the instrumentation and data analysis procedures are given in Section 4. Section 5 includes an overview of the PATCO system (Section 5.1) followed by a detailed description of the measurement results. The principal findings are summarized in Section 6.

#### 3. GENERAL MEASUREMENT METHODOLOGY

#### 3.1 Community Noise

Sampling Strategy - The purpose of this survey was to determine noise levels in the wayside community caused by train operations as well as other community background noise. Measurements of noise in the community have been categorized as shown in Table 3.1 by source, path and receiver. In each case, the variable which affects either the physical noise during generation, propagation, or reception, or the response of the listener to that noise, have been itemized.

For each transit line in this study, the type of railcar used was typical of the system as was the rail type and quality. However, a wide variation in roadbed type, background noise, conditioning of residents to noise, and land usage was noted.

Except for areas where wheel screech, rail joint noise or other singularities prevailed, the sites were selected from operational characteristics of the transit systems. Thus, locations were chosen at the wayside where the trains were operating near normal full speed as well as decelerating and accelerating near stations.

Noise measurements considering all the variables shown in Table 3.1 would be not only costly and time-consuming, but also unnecessary to adequately describe the community noise. Site selection was based on the following parameters:

Type of Roadbed Support

- (1) Aerial Structure
- (2) At-grade
- (3) Underground
- (4) Other sites with singularities

Building Construction Type

- (1) Residential
- (2) Commercial

The measuring microphone or sound level meter for all types of transit structures was 1.6m (5.25 ft) above the ground. This was also the case near aerial structure. Previous measurement on BART\* indicated that for the type of structure present on that system, no significant difference existed between noise levels at 1.5m (5 ft) above grade and 9.1m (29.9 ft) above grade, 15m (50 ft) from the near track centerline.

<sup>\*</sup> S.L. Wolfe, H.J. Saurenman, P.Y.N Lee, "Noise Assessment of the Bay Area Rapid Transit System," UMTA-MA-06-0025-78-10, October 1978.

### TABLE 3.1. COMMUNITY NOISE SURVEY STRATEGY

Sound Source Parameters

Car

Type, No. Cars, Wheel Quality, Truck Type Rail Type Jointed, Welded, Surface Roughness, Type of Fastener Track Construction Tangent, Curve

Sound Path Parameters

Roadbed Type

Open-cut (Concrete, Grassy), At-grade, Elevated Structure (Steel, Concrete), Underground

Terrain Attenuation Housing Density, Terrain Type

Sound Receiver Parameters

Background Noise Time of Day (Waking/Sleeping) Conditioning of Residents to Noise Land Use Residential, Commercial Conditions at Measurement Site - The measurement site was chosen such that no obstacles were in the vicinity of the microphone to disturb the sound field. Meteorological conditions such as temperature and wind were noted and no measurements were made in winds above 7m/sec (23 ft/sec). Microphones were located no closer than 2m (6.6 ft) from any reflecting surface (other than the ground). Photographs of each measurement site were taken.

Microphone Positions - The basic distance for measurement of noise for all wayside measurements was 15m (50 ft) with alternate distances of 7.5m, 30m, 60m (25, 100 and 200 ft respectively) selected where the 15m distance was not achievable.

The microphone and windscreens were oriented vertically at a distance of 1.6m (5.25 ft) above local ground level for all measurements.

Measurement Procedure - Measurement procedures and practices as defined in International Standard ISO-3095-1975(E) in draft form at the time of the noise measurements, "Acoustics -Measurement of Noise Emitted by Railsound Vehicles," were used as a guide for the measurement program. A calibration tone was recorded on each tape track just prior to and immediately following the measurement program to insure that a valid sample of data had been obtained. A sound level meter also was employed frequently as a verification measurement system. Recorder gain settings were selected to provide optimum dynamic range coverage.

For each train passby, additional information such as vehicle identification number and wheel condition, or specific noise sources whether or not they were related to the transit train, was recorded. In general, 30-minute recordings were made at each microphone location four times during a normal day and included measurements during daytime off-peak service (10 a.m. to 2 p.m.), rush hour (4 p.m. to 6 p.m.), evening (7 p.m. to 10 p.m.), and night (11 p.m. to 4 a.m.) to obtain sufficient information to calculate day-night levels, Ldn. It was also necessary to establish the number of train passbys required to be included in the data sample such that future reductions of system noise of 5 dBA or more could be detected and whether the reductions would be significant for a 95% confidence level. The methodology describing this investigation is presented in detail in Appendix A. In this appendix it has been shown that a sample size of 4 trains is adequate to detect a reduction in system noise level. Based on daytime headways of  $6-7\frac{1}{2}$  minutes between trains for each of the systems surveyed, a 30 minute recording interval was then selected for a sample. This was then standardized for each time period throughout the day. It was generally observed that during this period, six trains in each direction passed by the microphone location.

No attempt was made to operate the propulsion system with the car on jacks (spin test) to determine the contribution of motor and gearbox noise. This should be performed in any future study where noise reduction of an existing car is contemplated. Although a complete diagnostic study of the data was not performed, sufficient information was obtained to identify sources which contribute to the car signature in the community.

#### 3.2 Station Noise

Sampling Strategy - Station platform noise measurements were intended to assess the noise environment to which the transit system patrons are exposed while entering and leaving trains at a station platform or while waiting for trains, and to determine the exposure of employees in ticket booths due to train passage. Measurements of noise in transit stations were categorized by station platform layout (i.e., center platform, side platform) and roadbed category (i.e., elevated, atgrade, underground, freeway median).

Conditions at Measurement Site - The microphone locations were chosen so that no permanent obstacles were present near the microphone. The platform locations selected were open visually and acoustically to all tracks at that station so that noise of all trains had some direct-incident waves arriving at the microphone. Except for rush-hour measurement periods, shielding at mid-platform locations by patrons was minimal. Meteorological conditions such as temperature and wind were noted and no measurements were made in winds above 7m/sec (23 ft/sec). Photographs of each measurement site were taken.

Microphone Positions - The noise measurement locations were 1.6m (5.25 ft) above the platform level in the middle of a stopped train and at the end of a stopped train at a distance of 2m (6.6 ft) or one-half the platform width, whichever was smaller, from the platform edge. The microphone was oriented vertically with a wind-screen attached.

Measurement Procedure - Procedures for measurement of noise levels on station platforms generally follow those outlined for community noise recordings. The 30 minute sampling time provided sufficient passings of trains to achieve statistical confidence levels as described in Appendix A.

3-5

#### 3.3 Vehicle Interior Noise

<u>Sampling Strategy</u> - Measurements of noise within the transit vehicle were made to document the acoustic environment which patrons and operating personnel experience under typical service conditions. Continuous recordings were made in the second car of a multicar train during round trips. Microphone locations were selected to be representative of the locations of patrons and car operators; that is, a mid-car seated ear level position and an operator's ear level position within the cab area.

Cars selected for measurement were chosen as being typical examples of a specific car model to be surveyed. Cars with wheel flats were avoided when smoothed wheels were normally observed in operation.

Conditions at Measurement Site - Data were taken during nonrush hour conditions so that the area within lm (3.3 ft) of the microphone was free of riders. This also improved the chances for obtaining data which was clear of conversation and other non-vehicle noise. No effort was made to correct for these sources. The car chosen for recording was free from unusual noise sources. General vehicle conditions and unusual conditions such as slowing for maintenance or construction personnel were noted.

Microphone Positions - The microphone was oriented vertically at the ear level of a seated passenger at a mid-car position 1.2m (4 ft) above the floor. In addition to a mid-car microphone position, noise data was recorded at the train operator's location and over a truck. To standardize with other program measurements, a windscreen was placed over the microphone. Variations in noise throughout the car both longitudinally and vertically were investigated using a sound level meter.

Measured or estimated speeds were reported on the tape at least once between adjacent stations. Each car in the train surveyed was identified by car number, and unusual conditions of any nature in the car were similarly reported.

<u>Measurement Procedure</u> - The procedure for recording vehicle interior noise levels was to calibrate the on-board microphones prior to data recording. Data records were then initiated at a station stop with doors open, and continuous records were taken over the travelled route. An auxiliary channel was used to voice-annotate the data with incidentals such as travel time, station stop, estimated speed, and track identification. At the end of the trip, with car doors open, the data recorder was stopped and the microphone recalibrated.

#### 4. INSTRUMENTATION AND DATA ANALYSIS

#### 4.1 Instrumentation

Data Requirements - The noise of the transit system was recorded on magnetic tape using a flat, or unweighted, frequency response characteristic. Flat response is important in order to avoid peak clipping and harmonic distortion of the recorded noise data. The monitoring meter of the tape recorder was set to fast/quasi-peak to avoid overload, such as might occur during wheel/rail impact noise at joints and crossovers.

Noise data has been summarized in tabular and graphic format in a standard manner so that comparisons may be made among measurements for each test condition or among different transit systems.

Data Acquisition System - The prime data acquisition systems (illustrated in Figures 4.1 and 4.2) consisted of Bruel and Kjaer one-half inch and one-inch microphone cartridges and cathode followers, either battery-powered or driven from a power supply integral to the magnetic tape recorders. These microphones, in addition to their normal protection grids, were fitted with wind-screens for both interior and exterior noise measurements. These were spherical, open cell foam covers.

The output of the microphones was tape recorded in the direct mode (amplitude modulation) on portable Kudelski tape recorders, either Nagra Model III for single-channel, or Nagra IV SJ for dual-channel data acquisition. The tape recorder was batteryoperated and run at a tape speed covering the frequency range of interest.

To supplement laboratory calibrations, field equipment checks were made using Bruel and Kjaer Sound Level Calibrators for single frequency, single level calibrations. This was done prior to the start and after the completion of any measurements recorded on each tape reel with occasional in-between calibrations if the measurements extended over a period of hours on any one tape reel.

The data recorded on magnetic tape was also checked for fidelity by the simultaneous use of headsets on the output of the tape recorders while data was in the process of being recorded. Where this was not feasible (for example, when the acoustic environment was too high to aurally separate the headphone signal from the surrounding environment) the built-in loudspeakr of the tape



FIGURE 4.1. TYPICAL DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM





recorder was used in a less noisy setting to verify the correctness and fidelity of the noise data, immediately after acquiring the data.

Tape recorder gain settings were optimized for maximum signalto-noise ratio or dynamic range with the aid of a Bruel and Kjaer sound level meter Type 2203. This is a general purpose sound level meter with characteristics as specified by ANSI Standard S1.4-1971.

Equipment Calibrations - In addition to the field calibrations performed during the acquisition of the data, microphones, calibrators, tape recorders and analysis equipment were periodically laboratory calibrated using reference instruments and signal generators of the Class 2 type which are traceable to the National Bureau of Standards. In this data analysis, compensation has been included for the effects of using a foam windscreen and a microphone protection grid, corrections for random sound wave incidence for in-car and station platform noise data, and right-angle (90-degree) incidence for community noise data. The individual corrections for tape recorder frequency response and incidence angle relative to the microphone were summed as a function of frequency. These corrections were then applied to the analysis in terms of a weighting network with the same characteristic as the correction curve.

#### 4.2 Data Analysis

Graphic Level Recorder Calibration - Since the data contained in this report will be compared with the acoustical environment of numerous other transit systems, it is important that the levels reported are correct on an absolute basis. It is also important because at some future time this data will form a baseline against which changes in system noise will be measured when improvements have been incorporated. An effort has therefore been made to ensure that the basic noise level data, reported in terms of sound level dBA, is reproducible. The average maximum levels of acoustic events are therefore desired from graphic level recorder traces simulating the "Slow" response of a sound level meter meeting ANSI Sl.4-1971 Type 1 accuracy. Equivalence of graphic level recorder response to such a sound level meter accuracy was initially ensured by using the techniques described in a paper by Webster and Farinacci (Reference 2). Subsequently, an alternate and less time-consuming instrument calibration method was adopted when laboratory comparisons indicated that ordinary train and other environmental noises were accurately reproduced. This simpler method consisted of setting the potentiometer range control knob of the graphic level recorder to 40 dB, and the lower limiting frequency knob to 20 Hz. The writing speed knob was then adjusted to give a square corner trace to a 1000 Hz, 400 millivolt step input with the graphic level recorder baseline sensitivity adjusted to give a trace deflection at the 30 dB line on the 50 dB range paper. This test was then repeated at the 40 dB line. The final writing speed knob setting was chosen as the middle writing speed of those settings which met the square corner criterion. Transient noises also were correctly represented with errors not exceeding 2 to 3 dBA.

Individual Event Analysis - Typical acoustical events have been illustrated in a dBA time history format with calibrated amplitude and time axes on a strip-chart. These are annotated to illustrate special, as well as expected, acoustic events such as wheel squeal, door closings, etc.

Figure 4.3 illustrates the basic data reduction equipment in schematic form. Specifically, the typical events illustrated on the strip chart recordings are:

 Community Noise: Passby as a function of distance from track



TAPE RECORDER PLAYBACK TYPE NAGRA III or TYPE NAGRA IV SJ

dB (A) WEIGHTING NETWORK TYPE BK 2112 or TYPE GR 1921 GRAPHIC LEVEL RECORDER

TYPE BK 2305

.

FIGURE 4.3. DATA ANALYSIS EQUIPMENT SCHEMATIC FOR INDIVIDUAL EVENT ANALYSIS

0	Station Noise:	Passby Train Arrival Train Departure Train Stopped
0	In-Car Noise:	Acceleration Steady Speed Deceleration Special Noises

A-weighted time histories of the above types of noise events are used to determine both the Average Maximum Level  $L_A(Max)$ and the duration (T) in seconds of the noise event measured 5 dBA below the  $L_A(Max)$ . The duration is then used to calculate  $L_R$ :

$$L_{R} = L_{\Delta}(Max) + 10 \log T_{5} dBA$$

where:

- L<sub>A</sub>(Max) = maximum A-weighted sound level for a given noise event
  - $T_5 = duration in seconds of the 5 dB-down points from L<sub>a</sub>(Max)$

 $L_R$  is, in effect, an approximation to SENEL, the Single Event Noise Exposure Level used in computing the Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL).  $L_R$  was suggested by Schultz (Reference 3) and has been applied to urban rail transit vehicle noise as a measure of the total sound energy contained in a discrete noise event as measured at a standard receiver location.  $L_R$  has been applied to data measured as part of this program on station platforms and at community wayside locations. Figure 4.4 illustrates this method of determining  $L_R$  and also indicates the smoothed curve faired through fluctuating data.

Special noises noted may be specific to a particular site, illustrations of train squeal, pure tones from equipment, tunnel section, wheel impact at rail joints, turnouts and crossovers, car banging due to hunting, flange rubbing, etc. The equipment illustrated in Figure 4.5 was utilized for the documentation of singular spectral characteristics with either fixed bandwidth or fixed percentage bandwidth frequency analyzers.

Grouped Data Analysis - In order to assess the statistical significance and the level of confidence which can be expected from the results of this measurement program, a detailed statistical analysis was performed of the noise data encountered at one of



FIGURE 4.4. METHOD OF DETERMINING LA(Max) AND T5



TAPE RECORDER PLAYBACK

TYPE NAGRA III or TYPE NAGRA IV SJ SPECTRUM ANALYZER

TYPE GR 1921

CHART RECORDER

GRAPHIC LEVEL

FIGURE 4.5. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS EQUIPMENT SCHEMATIC FOR SITE SPECIFIC NOISE SINGULARITIES

Philadelphia's subway station platforms. This analysis (detailed in Appendix A) established that in order for a future 5 dBA reduction in train noise level to be significant statistically with a 95-percent confidence level and detectable considering normal data scatter, a sample of from four to six train passbys was necessary. This criterion was generally met at all measuring locations and times of day with the exception of nighttime when reduced transit system activity did not permit a sufficient data sample. Based on the assumption that the noise of transit systems other than Philadelphia's have similar statistical properties, the statistical analysis further showed that a standard deviation of less than 2.2 dBA at a particular site indicates a sufficiently small data scatter permitting the detection of a 5 dBA reduction with 95-percent confidence.

The validity of the foregoing conclusions have been further demonstrated by comparing the average  $L_A(Max)$  platform noise levels for two SEPTA Broad Street Subway stations. In each case, the specific sites compared were for the two meter microphone positions adjacent to the local southbound tracks. Fourcar trains were recorded during the daytime period at the Walnut-Locust and the Spring Garden Stations with the following results:

TRAIN OPERATING	L <sub>A</sub> (Max) ~ dBA		
CONDITION	WALNUT-LOCUST	SPRING GARDEN	
ARRIVING, NEAR TRACK DEPARTING, NEAR TRACK ARRIVING, FAR TRACK DEPARTING, FAR TRACK	94 86* 90 88	92 92 89 90	
AVERAGE MAXIMUM LEVEL	90	91	

#### \* low speed

With one exception, the corresponding noise events are within 2 dBA of each other. The exception is for noise levels of departing trains, operating on the near track at Walnut-Locust which differ by 6 dBA from the corresponding condition at Spring Garden. This reduction in level at Walnut-Locust can be attributed to slower train speeds since immediately south of Walnut-Locust the system changes from a four-track system to a two-track system.
Since both Walnut-Locust and Spring Garden are four-track, two center platform stations with the same architectural features at platform level, the close agreement among the measured noise levels confirms the validity of the detailed statistical analyses at the beginning of the measurement program. This analysis demonstrated the justification for sampling only one station of each type on the system.

The measurement summary tables included for each measurement site reported therefore list the standard deviation for  $L_A(Max)$  and  $L_R$  for each noise sample recorded. In addition, the cumulative amplitude distributions have been tabulated for  $L_{99}$ ,  $L_{90}$ ,  $L_{50}$ ,  $L_{10}$ , and  $L_1$ . The equivalent sound level,  $L_{eq}$ , and the Day-Night Level,  $L_{dn}$  (for wayside sites), are also presented for each measurement site documented.

The Equivalent Sound Level, Leq, provides a single number measure of the time varying noise, not only of the transit vehicles, but all noise at a specific site. It has been calculated separately for each time period when noise was sampled. It also is used for calculating the Day-Night Levels. Leq has been determined from the following expression:

$$L_{eg} = 10 \text{ Log } \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{ antilog } \frac{AL_{i}}{10}}{n}$$

where:

- AL; is the instantaneous A-level for sample i
  - n is the number of samples of AL in a specified time period

For the analysis, n was chosen based on a sampling rate of r = 10/second, where n = rT and T is the sample time. Thus, for a 30-minute sample:

 $n = 10 \times 30 \times 60$ 

n = 18000

The Day-Night Equivalent Sound Level  $(L_{dn})$ , like the Equivalent Sound Level  $(L_{eq})$ , was developed as a single number measure of community noise exposure, but unlike  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{dn}$  adds corrections to nighttime noise to account for increased annoyance during the night hours. It has been included in this study to assess the total community noise and has significance in that the transit system is a contributor to the total noise environment. In some instances, reduction of transit system noise would have to be accompanied by reductions in numerous other community noise sources to arrive at any substantial reduction in  $L_{dn}$ . The expression used for calculating  $L_{dn}$  is:

$$L_{dn} = 10 \log \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{-10} \cdot W_{i} \cdot T_{i}}{24} \right]$$

where:

- L is determined as noted above for four time periods throughout the day
- W is the weighting factor for nighttime annoyance
  - $W_i$  (7 a.m. 10 p.m.) = \_1  $W_i$  (10 p.m. - 7 a.m.) = 10
- T, is the time interval for i<sup>th</sup> period
  - n is the number of weighted-L<sub>eq</sub> periods throughout the day

Input for calculating  $L_{dn}$  for stations and communities is presented in a later section of this report.

Statistical Analysis - Characteristic noise profiles were also prepared in terms of cumulative sound level amplitude distribution plots and tabular summaries so that  $L_x$  statistics can be used to derive additional transit system noise attributes. Figure 4.6 illustrates the analysis equipment used to derive statistical and other environmental noise parameters such as  $L_{eq}$  and  $L_{dn}$ .



TAPE RECORDER PLAYBACK

TYPE NAGRA III or TYPE NAGRA IV SJ SPECTRUM ANALYZER

TYPE GR 1921

NOISE PROPERTIES COMPUTER ( L10,L50,L90,Leq)

TYPE TEK 31

OR

TYPE BL 2112

TYPE IBM 1800

FIGURE 4-6. SYSTEM NOISE LEVEL AVERAGES AND CHARACTERISTICS - ANALYSIS EQUIPMENT

.

#### 5. NOISE ASSESSMENT DATA

# 5.1 Description of Transit System

<u>Routes and Service</u> - The Delaware River Port Authority's Port Authority Transit Corporation Lindenwold High Speed Line has a route structure as shown in Figure 5.1 and operates rapid service between Philadelphia and Lindenwold, New Jersey. The line is 14.2 miles (22.9 km) long and has 12 stations. It went into operation in January 1969 between Camden and Lindenwold, with service extended to Philadelphia one month later on a section of track formerly used by the SEPTA bridge cars. The entire distance is covered in less than 23 minutes, for an average speed (including 10 intermediate stops) of 40 mph (64 kph). West of Camden, speeds are held to 40 mph (64 kph) maximum in the four miles (6.4 km) of subway which has several sharp curves requiring 30, 20 and 15 mph limits (48, 32, 24 kph). Southeast of Camden, on the new section of track, normal running speed is 75 mph (121 kph).

<u>Roadbed</u> - Fully welded track is used, except in the subway between 8th and Race Streets (near the Ridge Avenue connection) and 16th Street in Philadelphia, and on the Benjamin Franklin Bridge and its approaches where the rail is jointed. The roadbed in these latter sections consists of short wood ties set in concrete, with every fifth tie a long tie, Figure 5.2(a). East of the Broadway station in Camden, the roadbed is new with continuously welded rail seated on double-shouldered tie-plates, and anchored using compression clips (Figure 5.2(b)). Ten miles of roadbed in New Jersey is above ground, with 45 percent on an embankment, 5 percent on concrete viaduct, (Figure 5.2(c)), 40 percent at grade, and about 10 percent in a cut. The remaining section, some of which is in Camden and about 2.5 miles (4 km) on the Pennsylvania side, is underground.

Near Lindenwold there is a short section of roadbed which runs at-grade, parallel to the Pennsylvania-Reading Seashore Line (PRSL). The Lindenwold station itself is on elevated embankment, with the PRSL track at-grade parallel to it.

Two stations on the system, Westmont and Collingswood, are located on concrete viaducts. At the Collingswood Station, residential dwellings are within 75 ft of the roadbed.

Wheel squeal was noted at the Lindenwold yardloop, and at six underground locations in both Philadelphia and Camden. Impact noise occurs at insulated track joints.

Rail Vehicles - PATCO uses 75 Budd Company electric cars with a third rail shoe collecting power for the stainless steel cars which, according to type, are in two weight classes: double cars and single cars. Detailed construction features are outlined in Table 5.1. There are 25 single-unit double-end cars seating 72, and 50 cars arranged as 25 married pairs, each car seating 80.





(A)

UNDERGROUND

ON GRADE

(B)

(C)

CONCRETE VIADUCT

FIGURE 5.2 PATCO ROADBED

## TABLE 5.1 PATCO TRANSIT CAR SPECIFICATIONS

MANUFACTURER -DESIGNER -LENGTH OVER COUPLERS -MAXIMUM WIDTH -HEIGHT, RAIL TO TOP -LENGTH, TRUCK CENTERS -TRUCK WHEEL BASE -LIGHT WEIGHT -SEAT ARRANGEMENT -PASSENGERS, SEATED -CONSTRUCTION -

BUFF STRENGTH -BRAKING -

BRAKE UNITS -BRAKE RATE -

EMERGENCY -

SUSPENSION -

WHEEL SIZE -NO. OF MOTORS HORSEPOWER PER CAR -SPEED -

INITIAL ACCELERATION -

POWER -CURRENT COLLECTION -DOORS PER SIDE -

FEATURES -

The Budd Company Louis T. Klauder & Assoc. 67' 6" 10' 0" 12' 4" 47' 6" 7' 6" 78,000 Lb. (singles) 2-2 fixed transverse seats 80 each in married pairs; 72 in singles Integrated body, no center sill. Stainless steel frame and siding. 200,000 lb. Dynamic plus electropneumatic tread type. Composition shoes Service from 75 to 60 mph -2.5 mphps increasing to 3.0 mphps at 50 mph continuing 3.0 to stop. 3.2 mphps at 50 mph with electropneumatic only. Entirely air springs with automatic leveling, load weighing to maintain acceleration level. 28" 4 GE 1255A3 (140 HP) 500 85 mph maximum programmed for maximum of 75 mph. 3.0 mphps. Average acceleration to 36.5 mph is 2.75 mphps. 680V. DC Guarded overrunning third rail. Two double on all cars plus one single near cab on single cars. Doors interlocked with traction motors for safety. Fully automatic train operation with cab signaling; M-G and battery for all auxiliary power; wheel slip-slide protection; tinted glass windows; 10-ton air conditioning; train phone,

PA system.

Operation of the cars is under automatic control (ATO) and the most frequent headway intervals are two minutes. These intervals lengthen to 7-1/2 to 10 minutes during non-rush hour periods and on weekends, and to one hour during 1:30 to 5:30 a.m. "owl" hours. Service is continuous, 24 hours a day, 365 days a year.

The car interiors are fully climate controlled and employ transverse, high-backed suburban seating. The seats provide some measure of acoustical absorption by their upholstered covers. The floor construction is plymetal with thermal/ acoustical insulation on the underside covered with stainless steel sheets. The walls and ceiling also contain acoustical/ thermal insulation to increase the car body transmission loss.

<u>Stations</u> - The PATCO system has 12 stations with an average station spacing of 1.29 miles (2.08 km). Distances between the stations are shown in the following table:

Table 5.2. Distances Betwee	n Rapid	Transit	Stations
		Miles	km
Lindenwold to Ashland		1.79	2.88
Ashland to Haddonfield		3.19	5.13
Haddonfield to Westmont		0.87	1.40
Westmont to Collingswood		1.05	1.69
Collingswood to Ferry Avenue	!	1.61	2.59
Ferry Avenue to Broadway		2.16	3.48
Broadway to City Hall		0.25	0.40
City Hall to 8th-Market		2.28	3.67
8th-Market to 9-10/Locust		0.43	0.69
9-10 Locust to 12-13/Locust		0.29	0.47
12-13/Locust to 15-16 Locust		0.28	0.45

TOTAL 14.2 22.85

All of the stations are of the center platform type on short concrete pillars. Ferry Avenue Station in Camden is somewhat unique in that its platform is split by a tail track for local trains. Figure 5.3 illustrates the track diagram for the remainder of the system.

An acoustical feature noted on the PATCO line is the use of thin metal perforated ceiling throughout its six New Jersey stations.



FIGURE 5.3. SYSTEM TRACK DIAGRAM

## 5.2 Noise Assessment Data

The environmental noise data of the transit system has been grouped for each measurement location with site descriptions and data on the noise survey results. After a general review of the test sites, whether they be community, station or car, and their relationship to the overall transit system geography, specific details are furnished for each site, including the following:

- a. A short description of the important features of the measurement site.
- b. A description of the noise climate identifying the major sources of noise at the location.
- c. Photograph of site including both microphones and tracks.
- d. Sketch of site showing location of both microphones and tracks.
- e. A summary table of the statistical measures of each noise sample (L1, L10, L50, L90 and L99,  $L_{eq}$ ), along with the average maximum levels of the train passbys on the near and far tracks. Also given in the table are the average level of  $L_R$  for the passbys on the near and far tracks.
- f. Statistical distribution curves for all 30 minute samples at each site.
- g. A sample strip chart trace including near and far track train passbys at the microphone closest to the track.

Table 5.3 is presented to describe the content of information in each summary table. An explanation of each column follows:

Column

- (1) The measurement period in 24 hours during which the noise sample was taken.
- (2) Distance of the microphone from the centerline of the nearest track.
- (3) Length of data sample, in minutes.

TABLE 5.3.	EXPLANATION FOR MEASUREMENT RESULT SUMMARY
	TABLES PRESENTED AT EACH SITE.

(11)	Leq	
(10)	CUMULATIVE AMPLITUDE DISTRIBUTION L99 L90 L50 L10 L1	(12) L <sub>dn</sub> =
(6)	Lr Far	
(8)	AVG NEAR	trains)
(2)	LEVEL FAR	ur 2-car
(9)	AVG MAX NEAR	means fou
(2)	UNITS	.: 4-2 -
(4)	TRAIN CONDITIONS	Frains – (e.g eviation of l
(3)	SAMPLE TIME	ck ber of ] ndard De
(2)	MIC POSITION	a - Tra b - Num c - Sta
(1)	TIME	Notes:

## Column

- (4) Type of train operation during sample, i.e. Passby for community noise and Arrival or Departure for station noise.
- (5) Identification for the data presented.
  - N = Number of trains in sample cars per train (4-2 indicates four 2-car trains)
  - dBA = Averaged A-weighted sound levels, L<sub>A</sub>(Max)
     for number of trains noted (See Fig. 4-4)
    - S = Standard deviation of  $L_A(Max)$  or  $L_R$ listed immediately above it.

where 
$$\frac{x_{i}}{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (Max)}$$
 or  $L_{R}$   
 $\frac{x_{i}}{x} = Mean value of  $L_{A}(Max)$  or  $L_{R}$$ 

- (6)  $L_{\Lambda}$  (Max) data for trains operating on near tracks.
- (7)  $L_{\Lambda}$  (Max) data for trains operating on far tracks.
- (8)  $L_R$  data for trains operating on near tracks.
- (9)  $L_R$  data for trains operating on far tracks.
- (10) Summary of cumulative amplitude distribution for data sample, dBA.
- (11) Equivalent Sound Level for sample of duration noted in Column (3) (See Section 4-2)
- (12) Day-Night Equivalent Sound Level for A-weighted noise level integrated over 24 hour period. Weightings are applied to the noise levels measured during the four time periods during the day. (See Section 4-2 and Table 6.1.)

#### 5.2.1 Wayside Community

Community noise surveys on the PATCO line were conducted at five locations: one in Camden, two at Collingswood, one near the Haddonfield Station, and one in Westmont (See Figure 5.4).

The Benjamin Franklin Bridge portal site in Camden has high level vehicular traffic ambient noise and is, in addition, subject to transit car wheel squeal and noise from sounding the transit car warning horn upon entering the tunnel. Noise levels resulting from wheel squeal are not noticeable at any other community location along the system, with the exception of occasional squeal emitted at the Lindenwold shop area as trains come on and off line, out of and into the yard area.

Collingswood is a residential community located close to the system right-of-way. It has a quiet surburban noise characteristic and surveys were made near sections of track located on elevated embankment as well as concrete viaduct.

In Westmont, the train passes within 82 ft (23m) of a high rise apartment building. Train operation and track geometry is similar to the Collingswood concrete viaduct site.

Haddonfield is the site of the fifth community noise survey. The track is located in a cut which channels and significantly changes the directivity of train noise in the surrounding community.

UTOMNSUN IT GYCS SSOON SLIMM 00044#1+ 1 GEOR OVON WYNSJAJ HADDUNFIELD BERLIN 331 SURES ITTS SILLI SILVIS CAMDEN, BENJ, FRANKLIN BRIDGE PORTAL AT CH. NYA WHY SSLIT (° SUNIX D I/ 1101743 HORSE 11 NOBUQUA 01.TA 9 A 34464 1104.5F WHITE/ KΕΥ  $\odot$ 1. S. S. S.C. 1.1 8 (IL NOS 2 5 Ind act 440 TTTS HOSTIT Wr. Epiloali HALT WHITTHAN THAN CRAVAJUNE THREET ILADDON AVE A B C D E reus. AUDADANAY hit and The source of the 5 3 6 R HITZARE ALTACITOR m EL MILLA 3 2 1. sr.all SUBLAVE ~ FRANKFORD ELEVATED rocust st. - 15 134UM

- COLLINGSWOOD, CONCRETE VIADUCT,
- COLLINGSWOOD, ELEVATED ENBANKMENT
  - - WESTMONT, CONCRETE VIADUCT
- HADDONFIELD, IN CUT

#### BENJ. FRANKLIN BRIDGE WAYSIDE

## SITE DESCRIPTION (see Figure 5.5)

The transit system operates across the Benjamin Franklin Bridge and exits the subway on the New Jersey side of the bridge at a portal which is located directly under the road surface on the bridge ramp. The track then is located outboard of the road surface on the bridge proper. On entering the tunnel, eastbound, the tracks curve sharply to the south under 5th Street, Camden. At the measurement site, the track is layed on ballast and wood ties. Fourth Streeet passes under the bridge approach and also has an exit onto Pearl Street. The Camden campus of Rutgers University is situated immediately south of the bridge plaza. Data was taken at 12m rather than the normal 15m distance since the latter site was in a traffic lane on Pearl Street.

## NOISE CLIMATE (see Table 5.4, Figures 5.6 - 5.14)

A predominant source of noise at this site is the vehicle traffic on the Benjamin Franklin bridge. During change of classes at the university, the activity associated with this, including parking of cars, is audible. Normal city sounds, such as sirens, are also heard from time-to-time. Westbound trains occasionally emit wheel squeal near the tunnel portal, but this is often eliminated by greasing the rail in this area. Eastbound trains are heard as they pass by, but are sometimes masked by truck noise on the bridge.



FIGURE 5.5. WAYSIDE MEASUREMENT SITE, BENJ. FRANKLIN BRIDGE PORTAL, CAMDEN, N.J. - NEAR RUTGERS UNIV. CAMPUS

5-13

SUMMARY OF MEASUREMENT RESULTS, 30-MINUTE SAMPLE COMMUNITY NOISE, PATCO-BEN FRANKLIN BRIDGE (SOUTH SIDE) TABLE 5.4 .

TIME	MIC	SAMPLE	TRAIN	STINI	AVG MAX NFAR	LEVEL	AVG	LR Far	CUM		IVE /	AMPL I	TUDE	
					a) EAST	WEST	EAST	WEST	L99	L90	1-50	L10		L G G
				( q	4-2	4-2	4-2	4-2						
				dBA	82	78	88	86						
	1		·	c) S	8.52	1.31	6.79	0.81	2	20	09	C 7	5	C F
lay	12m	30 min.	Pass-by	z	1-4	1	1-4	I	5	2	סע	71	ō	7/
				dBA	79.5	1	88.0		T					
				S	1	8	1	1						
kush	12m	30 min.	Pass-by	dBA					65	66	69	73	81	72
vening	12m	30 min.	Pass-by	dBA					60	62	65	69	80	69
light	12m	14 min.	Pass-by	dBA					56	58	61	65	76	67
a y	3 O m	15 min	Pass-by	dBA					74	76	78	82	88	80
Jay	60m	15 min	Pass-by	dBA					73	74	77	80	84	78
Evening	60m	15 min	Pass-by	dBA					58	60	63	69	74	66
vening	120m		Pass-by	dBA					61	62	63	67	72	
										<u> </u>				
Notes:	a - Tra b - Num c - Sta	ick iber of indard De	Trains -(e.g. eviation of L	: 4-2 evel	means fo	ur 2-car	· trains)				-		Ldn =	76



FIGURE 5.6. BEN FRANKLIN BRIDGE (SOUTH SIDE) COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - 12M DAYTIME.



12M - RUSH WOUR.



COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION -12M - EVENING.



COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - 12M - NIGHT.



FIGURE 5.10.

BEN FRANKLIN BRIDGE (SOUTH SIDE) COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION -30M - DAYTIME.



FIGURE 5.11. BEN FRANKLIN BRIDGE (SOUTH SIDE) COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION -60M - DAYTIME.



FIGURE 5.12.

BEN FRANKLIN BRIDGE (SOUTH SIDE) COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION -60M - EVENING.



FIGURE 5.13.

BEN FRANKLIN BRIDGE (SOUTH SIDE) COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION -120M - EVENING







#### COLLINGSWOOD EMBANKMENT WAYSIDE

SITE DESCRIPTION (see Figure 5.15)

East of the concrete viaduct site in Collingswood, the transit system operates on a section of elevated embankment. The tracks are approximately 15 ft (4.6m) above street level and the embankment is retained by vertical concrete walls. A measurement site was selected at Ogden Avenue to survey noise. This vicinity is taken as representative of acoustically similar locations along the PATCO System. The immediate area comprises residential homes and is generally a quiet neighborhood. Collingswood Station is located 2 blocks west of this position.

## NOISE CLIMATE (see Table 5.5, Figures 5.15 - 5.26)

Traffic, aircraft, dogs, children at play, all comprise the ambient noise in this community. Transit system noise forms a part of this, but trains generally cannot be heard for more than two blocks from the system. Propulsion system noise appears to be the predominant noise on the rail car.



TABLE 5.5 SUMMARY OF MEASUREMENT RESULTS FOR 30-MINUTE SAMPLE, COMMUNITY NOISE, PATCO-OGDEN AND ATLANTIC AVES., COLLINGSWOOD - CAR TYPE: BUDD

	1 1 2		67		70	65	60	65	68	62	65	65	61	72
TUDE	2		79		82	77	73	80	82	74	١٢	78	74	rdn =
I T T ON	L10		68		71	68	58	63	67	65	64	67	65	
IVE /	L50		58		60	58	48	54	58	54	57	59	51	
ULAT DIS	L90		54		56	54	46	53	54	49	54	56	49	
CUM	L99		53		54	53	46	53	53	49	53	53	48	
LR FAR	WEST	4-2	79	1.65										~
AVG NEAR	EAST	5-2	87	2.17										r trains
LEVEL	WEST	4-2	74	1.71										our 2-ca
AVG MAX NEAR	a)EAST	5-2	83	2.53										 2 means f
UNITS		b) N	dBA	c) S	dBA	g.: 4- Level								
TRAIN CONDITIONS			Pass-by		Pass-by	rains - (e. viation of								
SAMPLE			30 min.		30 min.	30 min.	30 min.	14 min.	15 min.	15 min.	8 min.	10 min.	17 min.	 ck ber of T ndard De
MIC			15m		15m	15m	15m	30m	30m	30m	60m	60m	60m	a - Tra b - Num c - Stan
TIME			Day		Rush	Evening	Night	Day	Rush	Evening	Day	Rush	Evening	Notes:



IGURE 5.16. OGDEN AND ATLANTIC AVES. COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - 15M -DAYTIME.



5-28



FIGURE 5.18. OGDEN AND ATLANTIC AVES. COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION -15M - EVENING.







FIGURE 5.20.

OGDEN AND ATLANTIC AVES COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - 30M - DAYTIME



FIGURE 5.21 . OGDEN AND ATLANTIC AVES. COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - 30M - RUSH HOUR.


FIGURE 5.22. OGDEN AND ATLANTIC AVES. COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - 30M- EVENING.



FIGURE 5.23 . OGDEN AND ATLANTIC AVES. COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - 60M-DAYTIME.



FIGURE 5.24 . OGDEN AND ATLANTIC AVES. COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION -60M - RUSH HOUR.



FIGURE 5.25. OGDEN AND ATLANTIC AVES. COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - 60M - EVENING.





## COLLINGSWOOD VIADUCT WAYSIDE

SITE DESCRIPTION (see Figure 5.27)

At this location, the transit line operates over concrete viaduct. The line is located over a grass island separating North and South Atlantic Avenue. Each side of the right-of-way is composed of primarily residential homes with some places of business. At the measurement site there is a 2 story building consisting of a store with apartment overhead, and a church parking lot on the opposite side of Dayton Avenue. The region under the viaduct is open to the north side of the line. Collingswood Station is located approximately 4 blocks east of this site.

NOISE CLIMATE (see Table 5.6, Figures 5.28 - 5.39)

Collingswood is a quiet residential community, but the noise of the transit system does not intrude in this locale. Traffic noise on the street is frequently of greater amplitude than the rail system noise, and barking dogs, aircraft in overflight and other community sounds comprise the ambient noise in this area.



FIGURE 5.27. WAYSIDE MEASUREMENT LOCATION, COLLINGSWOOD. CONCRETE VIADUCT

5-39

SUMMARY OF MEASUREMENT RESULTS FOR DAYTON & SOUTH ATLANTIC COMMUNITY 5,6 TABLE

Leq		67				76	72	65	67	73	64	63	68	59	66	78
TUDE	L	62				16	82	79	79	86	76	75	82	70	75	Ldn =
AMPLI	UTION L10		02	2		73	٢٢	66	63	69	65	64	68	57	69	
IVE	TRIB  L50		20	5		64	64	53	57	[9]	55	57	59	50	61	]
ULAT	DIS L90		20	3		62	63	49	54	57	51	54	57	49	57	
CUM	L99		ט ט 	} }		[9	62	48	53	56	50	53	56	48	56	
LR	WEST	1 <u>-6</u>	8/	3—4	94 2 57											
AVG	EAST	6-6	201 0	1.00												trains)
LEVEL	WEST	1 <u>-6</u>	8/	3-4	86 126											ur 2-car
AVG MAX	EAST	6 <u>-6</u>	94 2 84													means fo
	UNITS	N(q	c) <sub>S</sub>	N	dBA S	dBA	.: 4-2 Level									
TRAIN	CONDITIONS		Pass-bv			Pass-by	rains - (e.g viation of									
SAMPLE	TIME		30 min			30 min.	30 min.	30 min.	8 min.	15 min.	15 min.	8 min.	15 min.	15 min.	5 min.	ck ber of T ndard De
MIC	POSITION 15 m						1 5m	1 5m	30m	30m	30m	60m	60m	60m	120m	a - Tra b - Num c - Sta
LWF	I TIME		Day			Rush	Evening	Night	Day	Rush	Evening	Day	Rush	Evening	Day	Notes:



FIGURE 5.28.

DAYTON AND SOUTH ATLANTIC AVES. COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION -15M - DAYTIME. 5-41



FIGURE 5.29. COLLINGSWOOD COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION -15M FROM CONCRETE VIA-DUCT - RUSH HOUR.



FIGURE 5.30. DAYTON AND SOUTH ATLANTIC AVES. COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - 15M - EVENING.



FIGURE 5.31. DAYTON AND ATLANTIC AVE. COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - 15M - NIGHT.



FIGURE 5.32. DAYTON AND SOUTH ATLANTIC AVENUES COMMUNITY AND STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTIONS -30M - DAYTIME.

1



FIGURE 5.33.

DAYTON AND SOUTH ATLANTIC AVENUES COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION -30M - RUSH HOUR.



FIGURE 5.34. DAYTON AND SOUTH ATEANTIC AVENUES COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION -30M - EVENING.



FIGURE 5.35 . DAYTON AND SOUTH ATLANTIC AVENUES COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - 60M - DAYTIME.



FIGURE 5.36.

DAYTON AND SOUTH ATLANTIC AVENUES COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - 60M - RUSH HOUR.



FIGURE 5.37 . DAYTON AND SOUTH ATLANTIC AVENUES COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION -60M - EVENING.



120M - DAYTIME.



FIGURE 5.39. TYPICAL TIME HISTORY, DAYTON & SOUTH ATLANTIC, WAYSIDE

TIME - SECONDS

## WESTMONT WAYSIDE

SITE DESCRIPTION (see Figure 5.40)

A special measurement location was selected adjacent to a high rise (ll story) apartment building, Roher Towers, in Westmont. The transit system is on concrete viaduct in this region and passes within 25 meters of the apartment building. This site was selected to document the effect of reflected energy on noise at a 15 meter location. Most of the surrounding region is occupied by single family 2 and 3 story dwellings. Westmont Station is 2 blocks east of this site.

## NOISE CLIMATE (see Table 5.7, Figures 5.41 - 5.42)

This location is in a quiet neighborhood in Westmont. There are no through streets immediately adjacent to the apartment, although traffic noise from Haddon Avenue, a busy commercial and business district is audible. Occasionally, noise due to automobiles parking in the Roher Towers parking lot located under the viaduct was noted. Train passbys were a predominant noise source due to their proximity to the apartment.



FIGURE 5.40. WAYSIDE MEASUREMENT SITE, WESTMONT.

5-54

SUMMARY OF MEASUREMENT RESULTS FOR 7-MINUTE SAMPLE, COMMUNITY NOISE, PATCO-WESTMONT-ROHRER TOWERS CAR TYPE: BUDD

5, 7

TABLE

Leq 72 85 CUMULATIVE AMPLITUDE DISTRIBUTION <u>\_</u> L99 L90 L50 L10 64 56 53 53 1-5 92 1-6 92 FAR EAST ī ī AVG LR - Number of Trains - (e.g.: 4-2 means four 2-car trains) - Standard Deviation of Level NEAR 2-6 1.06 <mark>1-5</mark> 85 - <u>86</u> FAR EAST AVG MAX LEVEL NEAR a)WEST 2-6 93 1.06 UNITS dBA c)S N dBA S z q TRAIN CONDITIONS 7 min. Pass-by SAMPLE TIME a - Track b - Number c - Standal MIC POSITION 15m Notes: TIME Rush



FIGURE 5.41.

WESTMONT (ROHRER TOWERS) COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - RUSH HOUR.

FIGURE 5.42. TYPICAL TIME HISTORY, WESTMONT, WAYSIDE





## HADDONFIELD WAYSIDE

SITE DESCRIPTION (see Figure 5.43)

The transit system is located in a cutting through Haddonfield. To document this, a site was selected at Redman Avenue which crosses the transit system at Atlantic Avenue which parallels the transit right-of-way. East of Redman Avenue, Atlantic Avenue is a little-used unpaved road. The Pennsylvania Reading Seashore Line (PRSL) is also located in this cut, although it operates approximately 10 ft below the grade of the PATCO system. This vicinity is a residential neighborhood and is approximately 1-1/2 blocks from the Haddonfield Station.

NOISE CLIMATE (see Table 5.8, Figures 5.44 - 5.52)

Haddonfield is a quiet residential neighborhood with an ambient noise consisting of occasional vehicle traffic, aircraft overflights, barking dogs, children, et cetera. The noise of the transit system is lower than when operating at grade owing to its location in the cut. Occasionally, a PRSL train may also pass by, although this line is virtually unused. At night time the background noise is quite low.







5-59

SUMMARY OF MEASUREMENT RESULTS FOR 30-MINUTE SAMPLE, COMMUNITY NOISE - PATCO-REDMAN AND NORTH ATLANTIC AVES. CAR TYPE: BUDD 5, 8 TABLE

Leq		64		66	59	65	63	60	62	57	63		68
TUDE		C F	/0	77	70	۲٦	73	70	74	68	66		= up1
NOITU	L10	68		11	62	64	<u>66</u>	64	65	60	64	<u></u>	
IVE / TRIBU	L50	57		60	52	64	56	53	56	50	63		
ULAT DIS'	L90	53		54	49	63	54	49	54	48	63		
CUM	L99	52		53	48	62	53	48	53	46	62		
FAR	WESI	5-2	80 1.46										
AVG   NEAR	EASI	4-2	80 2.95										trains.
LEVEL FAR	WESI	5=2	1.15										our 2-car
AVG MAX NEAR	a JEASI	4-2	2.68										2 means f
UNITS		(q	dBA c)S	dBA	dBA	dBA	dBA	dBA	dBA	dBA	dBA		g.: 4-: evel
TRAIN CONDITIONS			rass=by	Pass-by	Pass-by	Pass-by	Pass-by	Pass-by	Pass-by	Pass-by	Pass-by		rains - (e. viation of
SAMPLE TIME			30 m1n.	30 min.	30 min.	30 min.	15 min.	15 min.	15 min.	15 min.	15 min.		ck ber of T ndard De
MIC		Ľ		15m	15m	15m	30m	30m	60m	60m	60m		 a - Tra b - Num c - Sta
I IME		Č	nay	Rush	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Day	Evening	Night		 Notes:



RE 5.44. REDMAN AND NORTH ATLANTIC AVES. COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - 15M DAYTIME.





REDMAN AND NORTH ATLANTIC AVES. COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - 15M RUSH HOUR.



FIGURE 5.46.

REDMAN AND NORTH ATLANTIC AVES. COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - 15M EVENING.



COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION -15M NIGHT.



FIGURE 5.48. REDMAN AND NORTH ATLANTIC AVENUES COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION -30M - DAYTIME



FIGURE 5.49. REDMAN AND NORTH ATLANTIC AVENUES COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION -30M - EVENING



FIGURE 5.50.

REDMAN AND NORTH ATLANTIC AVENUES COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION -60M - DAYTIME.



FIGURE 5.51 . REDMAN AND NORTH ATLANTIC AVENUES COMMUNITY STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION -60M - EVENING.




#### 5.2.2 Station Platform

The following stations were surveyed for the platform noise environment.

The Broadway Station in Camden is an underground island platform station. Track is of the older Broad-Ridge construction type, except that the rail has been fieldwelded to eliminate joints.

City Hall underground station in Camden is an island platform station, but here patrons are exposed to considerable wheel squeal.

Ferry Avenue Station, located on an elevated embankment, is subject to both local and express train noise. One end of this station is unique to the system in that a split center platform requires a wider-than-normal station width. A train is frequently parked in the tail track and this shields patrons at this end of the platform from train noise on the opposite side.

Collingswood Station is of the center platform type on concrete viaduct.

Haddonfield Station is also unique in that it is the only platform located in a cut. The vertical concrete walls of the cut increase the noise level environment of the patrons on this station platform.

15-16th Street and Locust Street Stations, underground are at the Philadelphia end of the system. Patrons on this platform are exposed to both the noises of trains arriving and departing plus the noise of trains on storage tracks near 16th Street.

9th and 10th Street and Locust Street Stations are typical of other underground island platform stations on the system.

#### BROADWAY STATION

STATION DESCRIPTION (see Figure 5.53)

Broadway Station is an underground island platform station and had originally been part of the Broad Street Subway system which operated across the Benjamin Franklin Bridge in Philadelphia. It is of concrete construction with no acoustical treatment. This is one of the two stations located within the city of Camden. West of Broadway the speed limit is restricted to 40 mph or less. Trains accelerate to the normal operating speed of 75 mph east of Broadway.

#### NOISE CLIMATE (see Table 5.9, Figures 5.54 - 5.58)

In many respects, the noise climate of this station is similar to other underground stations on the system. Trains operating between Broadway and City Hall emit squeal which is audible on the station platform. In addition, westbound trains sound the horn when departing from the platform.



CENTER OF TRAIN





⊗ MIC POSITION

CAMERA

FIGURE 5.53. BROADWAY SUBWAY STATION PLATFORM

TABLE 5.9 SUMMARY OF MEASUREMENT RESULTS FOR 30-MINUTE SAMPLE, STATION NOISE - PATCO-BROADWAY CAR TYPE: BUDD

Leq				75		77	75	76	
rude			88			88	06	88	
AMPLI	LIO		78			8]	73	80	
IVE /	L 50			63		64	62	64	
	U15			62		63	58	63	
CUM	L99			62		62	56	63	
R	EAST	5-2	91 2.26	5-2	93 2.25				
AVG L	WEAR	6-2	94 1.45	4-2	90 2.32				trains)
LEVEL	FAK EAST	5-2	84 4.72	5-2	85 4.56				ur 2-car
AVG MAX	NEAK a )WEST	6-2	1.17	6-2	83 3.74				means fo
11NTTC	SIIND	b )N	dBA c)S	z	dBA S	dBA	dBA	dBA	J.: 4-2 Level
TRAIN	TRAIN CONDITIONS		ARRIVE DEPART				ARR I VAL AND DEPARTURE		rains - (e.c
SAMPLE	SAMPLE TIME			30 min.		30 min.	30 min.	30 min.	ck ber of T ndard De
MIC	NOTITON			Center of	stopped train	Center of stopped train	Center of stopped train	Center of stopped train	a - Tra b - Num c - Sta
TIME	TIME		Day (			Rush	Evening	Night	llotes:



DAYTIME.



FIGURE 5.55. BROADWAY STATION PLATFORM STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - CENTER -RUSH HOUR,



FIGURE 5.56. BROADWAY STATION PLATFORM STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - CENTER -EVENING.







TIME - SECONDS

#### CITY HALL STATION

# STATION DESCRIPTION (see Figure 5.59)

City Hall Station (Camden) is an island platform station which is very similar in construction to the Snyder Avenue Station on the Broad Street Subway in Philadelphia. It is of concrete construction with no acoustical treatment. A direct comparison of noise levels in these two stations permits noise levels of two types of vehicles (on separate systems) to be made. This station had previously been served by the Broad Street Subway spur which operated across the Benjamin Franklin Bridge in Philadelphia.

# NOISE CLIMATE (see Table 5.10, Figures 5.60 - 5.61)

The noise environment at City Hall Station is similar to other underground stations on the system. However, some wheel squeal at the east end of the station can be heard as trains negotiate the curve leading to the Benjamin Franklin Bridge and at the west end of the platform from the curve between City Hall and Broadway Stations.







# FIGURE 5.59. CITY HALL SUBWAY STATION PLATFORM

SUMMARY OF MEASUREMENT RESULTS FOR STATION NOISE, PATCO-CITY HALL TABLE 5,10

Leq	81	
TUDE L1	93	
I UNI TU	86	
IVE P TRIBL	69	
DIS	64	
CUM L99	63	
AVG LR NEAR FAR		• trains)
LEVEL FAR		ur 2-car
AVG MAX NEAR		neans fo
UNITS	dBA	.: 4-2 r .evel
TRAIN CONDITIONS	Arrival and Departure	rains – (e.g viation of L
SAMPLE TIME	30 min	ck ber of T ndard De
MIC POSITION	Center of stopped train	b - Num c - Sta
TIME	Rush	Notes



FIGURE 5.60 .

CITY HALL STATION PLATFORM STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - CENTER -RUSH HOUR.





TIME - SECONDS

#### FERRY AVENUE STATION

## STATION DESCRIPTION (see Figure 5.62)

Ferry Avenue Station is an island platform station located on elevated embankment. At the west end of the station a third track divides the center platform into two island platforms. This track is used by trains which operate between 15th/16th and Locust in Philadelphia and Ferry Avenue during rush hours only. On the north side of the station there is an industrial area. The south side is composed of a parking lot for transit system patrons. There are no single family homes visible from the station platform but at a distance of approximately 500 ft there are two office buildings, one of which contains commercial establishments and an apartment complex. Patrons enter and exit by way of a stairway at the platform and an underground passage to the parking lot.

# NOISE CLIMATE (see Table 5.11, Figures 5.63 - 5.65)

The ambient noise at the station arises from nearby vehicular traffic. Some platform positions shield this noise and the background level is very low. The train noise is below background level while approaching the platform and often cannot be heard until it is within a distance of less than 100 ft. When a local train is parked in the tail track, patrons are shielded from trains operating on the far track. Express trains present higher noise levels to patrons than those which stop at Ferry Avenue.



CENTER OF TRAIN

COLLINGSWOOD

<mark>┽┼╏╏╞╪╪╪╡╡╡┥╡╏╋┊╎╎╡╪╪╪┼┥┥╎╞╎┼┼┽┽┽┽┥┝╎╎╎┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼</mark>┼┼



MIC POSITION

CAMERA LOCATION

FIGURE 5.62. FERRY AVE STATION PLATFORM ON ELEVATED EMBANKMENT

TABLE 5.11 SUMMARY OF MEASUREMENT RESULTS FOR 30-MINUTE SAMPLE STATION NOISE - PATCO-FERRY AVENUE CAR TYPE: BUDD

Leq	64	63	
TUDE	75	75	
MPLI'	65	64	
VE A RIBU L50	57	57	
DIST	54	54	
CUM L99	23	23	
-R FAR EAST	5-2 79 2.69 1-2 65.4		
AVG NEAR WEST	5-2 75 2.38 3-2 78 1.88		trains)
LEVEL FAR EAST	6-2 73 73 73 73 6-2 63 63 3.19		our 2-car
AVG MAX NEAR a)WEST	6-2 70 3.75 6-2 69 3.02		means fo
UNITS	b)N dBA c)S dBA dBA S	dBA	.: 4-2 Level
TRAIN CONDITIONS	ARRIVAL DEPARTURE	Arrival & Departure	rains - (e.g viation of
SAMPLE TIME	30 min.	30 min.	ck ber of T ndard De
MIC POSITION	Center of stopped train	End of stopped train	a - Tra b - Num c - Sta
TIME	рау	Evening	Notes:



DAYTIME.



FIGURE 5.64.

FERRY AVENUE STATION PLATFORM STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - END-EVENING.





TYPICAL TIME HISTORY, FERRY AVE. STATION

FIGURE 5.65.



#### COLLINGSWOOD STATION

STATION DESCRIPTION (see Figure 5.66)

Collingswood is an island platform station on concrete viaduct. Patrons enter and exit from ground level through a ground level waiting room and ticket vending area. A parking area is located alongside and underneath the viaduct. The neighborhood adjoining the right-of-way in Collingswood is predominately residential with many houses located within approximately 50 feet (15m) from the track centerline on the south side, and adjacent to the parking lot on the north side, a distance from the track of approximately 200 ft (60m). Beyond the station limits houses are within 50-75 ft (15-23m) on both sides of the right-of-way.

### NOISE CLIMATE (see Table 5.12, Figures 5.67 - 5.71)

On the station platform the view down the track is quite open and when the trains arrive, they are audible for a distance of several hundred feet. The noise is due to the propulsion system, since wheel/rail noise is lower. Community noise is primarily ground level street traffic and is generally of a very low level.



END OF TRAIN

CENTER OF TRAIN



# FIGURE 5.66. COLLINGSWOOD STATION PLATFORM ON CONCRETE VIADUCT

TABLE 5.12 SUMMARY OF MEASUREMENT RESULTS FOR 30-MINUTE SAMPLE STATION NOISE - PATCO-COLLINGSWOOD CAR TYPE: BUDD CAR TYPE: BUDD

Leo		66				73		69	69				
TUDE			:	79			86		81	81			
UT I ON	L10		28 28			75		۲۲	12				
IVE A	L50					62		57	63				
JLAT DIS	L90	56 56					61		54	58			
CUM	L99						60		53	55			
LR FAR	EAST	2-2	2.40	3-2	79	5.55							
AVG I NEAR	WEST	4-2	2.01	4-2	78	2.05							 trains)
L E V E L F A R	EAST	4-2	2.14	4-2	74	4.37							ır 2-car
AVG MAX NEAR	a)WEST	4-2	2.80	4-2	72	0*96							means fou
UNITS		N(d	dBA c)S	z	dBA	S	dBA		dBA	dBA			 : 4-2   Level
TRAIN CONDITIONS		ARRIVAL DEPARTURE						ARRIVAL AND DEPARTURE				rains-(e.g. viation of l	
SAMPLE TIME		30 min					30 min.		30 min.	20 min.			ck ber of T ndard De
MIC POSITION		Center	of	stopped		train	Center of	stopped train	Center of stopped train	Center of	stopped train		a - Tra b - Num c - Sta
TIME	TIME DAY				DAY s		RUSH		EVENING	NIGHT			Notes:





RUSH HOUR.





FIGURE 5.70. COLLINGSWOOD STATION PLATFORM STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - CENTER NIGHT.





5<del>-</del>97

#### HADDONFIELD STATION

## STATION DESCRIPTION (see Figure 5.72)

Haddonfield is an island platform station located in a cutting through the town. The tracks are approximately 15 ft. below grade. On the north side of the transit tracks and immediately adjacent to them is a single track right-of-way of the Pennsylvania Reading Seashore This track is depressed approximately ten ft. Lines. below PATCO track level. The sidewalls of the cut are There are a number of vehicle oververtical concrete. passes at street grade. At street level there is parking pa both sides of the track, with residential homes on the south and primarily commercial and business establishments on the north side. Patrons enter and exit by stairway at the center of the platform to an overhead waiting room and ticket area.

NOISE CLIMATE (see Table 5.13, Figures 5.73 - 5.77)

The noise of an approaching train can be heard for several hundred feet on both sides of the station platform, since car noise is propagated through the concrete channel by reflected waves. Even trains which are out of sight due to curves in the cut are audible before they can be seen. With no trains in the area, the background level is determined largely by a "Dial-a-Ride" bus which idles at street grade. Other sources in the ambient are children's voices, street traffic, church bells, and aircraft flyover noise.



CENTER OF TRAIN



CAMERA LOCATION

FIGURE 5.72. HADDONFIELD STATION PLATFORM IN CUT

TABLE 5.13. SUMMARY OF MEASUREMENT RESULTS FOR 30-MINUTE SAMPLE, STATION NOISE - PATCO-HADDONFIELD CAR TYPE: BUDD

20 Leg 69 68 99 82 79 78 CUMULATIVE AMPLITUDE DISTRIBUTION 8] <u>\_</u> L99 L90 L50 L10 7 72 70 69 62 60 58 59 61 57 57 57 59 56 56 56 5-2 85 1.08 5-2 83 WEST 2.41 FAR 2 AVG b - Number of Trains -(e.g.: 4-2 means four 2-car trains)
c - Standard Deviation of Level NEAR EAST 4-2 87 2.04 4-2 87 .65 LEVEL WEST 5-2 5-2 75 4.67 2.41 FAR AVG MAX NEAR 4-2 82 4.02 4-2 81 4.55 a)EAST UNITS dBA c)S dBA dBA N dBA dBA N(d S TRAIN CONDITIONS ARR I VAL AND DEPARTURE DEPARTURE ARRIVAL SAMPLE TIME 30 min. 30 min. 30 min. 30 min. Track MIC stopped train stopped train stopped train stopped Center of train EVENING Center Center Center 1 of of of Ю Notes: TIME NIGHT RUSH DAY



FIGURE 5.73. HADDONFIELD STATION PLATFORM STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - CENTER -DAYTIME.



STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - CENTER -RUSH HOUR.








TIME

#### 15TH/16TH AND LOCUST STATION

#### STATION DESCRIPTION (see Figure 5.78)

The station at 15th/16th and Locust is underground terminus of the PATCO Line in Philadelphia. It is an island platform station with center stairways leading to an overhead mezzanine which is also below grade. Storage tracks and crossovers are located beyond the west end of the platform. The construction of the station is concrete with ceramic tiles used on sides of the stairways.

#### NOISE CLIMATE (see Table 5.14, Figures 5.79 - 5.83)

As a train approaches and decelerates, the noise of the traction motor fans is an identifying feature of the acoustic signature in the station. Stopped trains display equipment blower and (depending on the season) air conditioner cooling fan and blower noise. This undercar equipment noise is also audible at the mezzanine level. As the car departs there is some squeal as brakes are released. With no cars in the station, ventilator fan noise can be heard at the west end of the platform, although there is generally a train in the station awaiting departure which masks this noise. The drainage of an underground stream is a continuous noise of low level also audible when a train is not present.



CENTER OF TRAIN





TABLE 5.14. SUMMARY OF MEASUREMENT RESULTS FOR 30-MINUTE SAMPLE, STATION NOISE - PATCO-15th-16th AND LOCUSTS STS. CAR TYPE: BUDD

Leq	77	83	78	72		
LI	88	94	ő	84		
AMPL I JT ION	82	86	82	72		
IVE / TRIBU	72	80	64	64		
DIS DIS	63	64	56	63		
CUM L99	63	60	54	63		
LR FAR EAST	5-2 95 2.75 5-2 95 0.87					
AVG NEAR WEST	4-2 96 1.72 4-2 91 1.43					trains)
LEVEL FAR EAST	5-2 86 2.95 5-2 86 1.56				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ur 2-car
AVG MAX NEAR a)WEST	4-2 88 2.40 4-2 82 82 1.32					means fo
UNITS	b)N dBA c)S dBA dBA S	dBA	dBA	dBA		.: 4-2 Level
TRAIN CONDITIONS	ARRIVAL DEPARTURE		ARR IVAL AND DEPAR TURE			rains -(e.g viation of 1
SAMPLE TIME	30 min.	30 min.	30 min.	30 min.		ck Iber of T ndard De
MIC	Center of stopped train	Center of stopped train	Center of stopped train	Center of stopped train		b - Num c - Sta
TIME	DAY	RUSH	EVENING	NIGHT		Notes:



DAYTIME



PLATFORM STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - CENTER -RUSH HOUR.









FIGURE 5.83. TYPICAL NOISE TIME HISTORY, 15-16 ST TERMINAL, CENTER PLATFORM

#### 9TH/10TH AND LOCUST STATION

STATION DESCRIPTION (see Figure 5.84)

The station at 9th/10th and Locust is a typical underground station on the PATCO Line. It is an island platform station similar in construction to 15th/16th Street. Stairways in the center exit to a mezzanine level. As with all stations on the Philadelphia side, the platforms are elevated on piers. In certain regions above the track and platform, there are steel grates open to the mezzanine area. This venting leads indirectly to the street level. The automatic ticketing area is located on the mezzanine level.

NOISE CLIMATE (see Table 5.15, Figures 5.85 - 5.87)

The noise climate at 9th and 10th Street Station is, in many respects, similar to that of 15th and 16th Street. However, unlike 15th/16th, where trains arrive only from the east (it is the western terminal), 9th and 10th Street Station has trains arriving and departing both eastbound and westbound. There are longer periods with no trains present than is true for 15th/16th. On the mezzanine above platform level, both train noise from below and vehicular traffic noise from street level are audible.







TABLE 5.15. SUMMARY OF MEASUREMENT RESULTS FOR 30-MINUTE SAMPLE, STATION NOISE - PATCO-9th-10th STS. CAR TYPE: BUDD

	Led			) 	<i>6</i> /			83	
TUDE				r Q	۲ -			94	
I TAMA	L10			( (	ŝ			86	
IVE /	L50			(	03			63	
ULAT	1190	3		C L	Ω 			60	
CUM	L99		<b>r</b>	ז נ ד	\م -	i		28	
LR F ^ D	EAST	4-2	98	1.02	4-2	96	1.56		
AVG	WEST	5=2	96	1.98	5-2	98	3.25		trains)
LEVEL	EAST	4-2	90	0.75	4-2	60	2.35		ur 2-car
AVG MAX	a )WEST	5-2	88	1.80	5-2	89	3.49		means fo
111170		N(d	dBA	c) S	z	dBA	S	dBA	: 4-2 evel
TRAIN	SNUTITUNU		ARRIVAL			DEPARTURE		ARRIVAL AND DEPARTURE	rains <b>-(e.g</b> . viation of l
SAMPLE					- 11 III 00			30 min.	ck ber of T ndard De
MIC	NOTITON	Center		of	stopped		train	Center of stopped train	a - Tra b - Num c - Sta
TIMF	1111			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	INU			RUSH	Notes:



FIGURE 5.85. 9TH - 10TH STS. STATION PLATFORM STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION - CENTER,-DAYTIME









# 5.2.3 Vehicle Interior

The in-car surveys were conducted on the PATCO Line according to the general measurement methodology outlined previously. Single and double cars were surveyed headed east-and-west bound.

A complete round trip was made in November 1974 on each of the two types of cars in service on the High Speed Line. An eastbound trip was made on car S (for single)-105, followed by a west-bound trip on car number S-120. Several days later, a noise survey was conducted on car number D (for double)-205 going west, followed by an east-bound trip on car D-227. Instrumentation problems with the microphone recording the motorman's environment on car D-205 caused a repeat to be made for this data on Car D-228, again heading west.

# Car Description - Budd Car (see Figure 5.88)

Single cars differ from double car types in that each single car carries its own motor-generator and air-compressor, whereas a double car, which is part of a married pair, carries either a motor-generator or an air-compressor. In addition, single cars, which can be operated as a one-car train, have a motorman's cab and controls at each end of the car, whereas each of the double cars have only one cab and control position.

All cars are provided with air-conditioning and heating systems, and air spring suspension for a generally smooth and quiet ride. A public address system in the cars is used to announce upcoming station stops. All cars are equipped with two sets of bi-parting sliding doors in each side and swinging end doors in both ends. The car floor construction from bottom to top is as follows: stainless steel pan, insulating material applied as a coating to the inside of the pan, an airspace and plymetal flooring. On the car interior the floor is covered with vinyl tile. The operator's compartment is located in the left front corner of the car. It has an enclosure from the floor to the bottom of the windows and a low glass panel behind the operator's head and shoulders. Passenger seats are upholstered with high resiliency polyurethane foam. Air is continuously recirculated and replaced from the outside throughout the car from air ducts running the length of the car in the ceiling colinear with the fluorescent lighting. Wheel slip-slide protection and good wheel maintenance result in low wheel rail noise levels due to the absence of wheel flats.

Noise Climate (see Table 5.16, Figures 5.89 - 5.97)

The subjective impression of an observer is that the interior of the Budd vehicle is quiet, even at high speeds. The predominant noise is due to the propulsion system motor fan whine. Wheel/rail noise is low except when passing over insulating joints of track or trackcrossovers. Occasionally, in high speed operation (75 mph), a low frequency boom, or rumble and some door rattling is associated with the passing of a train going at high speed in the opposite direction on adjacent track.

When the car is stopped at a station with the doors open, the noise of the motor generator fans and/or the air compressor on the car is audible. Also audible is the airconditioning system cooling fan noise.

Additional audible noises occur primarily in the Camden and Philadelphia vicinity of the tracks. Here the noise level changes level and character each time an underground section is encountered. Squeal noise is audible at each end of the Benjamin Franklin Bridge in curves and at other underground curves at Broadway, Franklin Square (not in use), 8th and Market, and 9th and 10th Street Stations. Prior to approach to an underground curve the train horn is sounded for safety reasons. It is also sounded prior to approach to an underground station if no stop is to be made.





CENTER OF CAR

OPERATOR'S LOCATION



 $\otimes$  mic positions

CAMERA LOCATION

FIGURE 5.88. IN-CAR MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS, PATCO BUDD CAR - SINGLE CAR

SUMMARY OF MEASUREMENT RESULTS FOR 1960 BUDD CARS -INTERIOR NOISE LEVELS **TABLE 5.16** 

Leq	75	83 87	82 84	73 64	
rube L1	81 84	93 95	92 92	82 73	
AMPLI UTION	76.	87 91	88 88 88	76 68	
IVE TRIB L50	71 73	80 84	80 82	71 62	
DIS DIS	66 68	74 76	74 77	65 56	
CUM L99	64 66	7 <u>3</u> 75	7 <u>3</u> 75	<u>63</u> 55	
AVG LR NEAR FAR					
AVG MAX LEVEL NEAR FAR					
UNITS	dBA dBA	dBA dBA	dBA dBA	dBA dBA	
TRAIN HEADING	East	West	East	West	
SAMPLE TIME	25 Min.	27 Min.	24 Min.	26 Min.	
MIC POSITION	<u>Center</u> Truck	Center Truck	Center Truck	Center Truck	
CAR TYPE	Single			Double	



FIGURE 5.89. BUDD IN-CAR STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION LINDENWOLD-TO-PHILA., CENTER CAR SINGLE CAR



FIGURE 5.90. BUDD IN-CAR STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION LINDENWOLD-TO-PHILA., OVER TRUCK SINGLE CAR



FIGURE 5.91. BUDD IN-CAR STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION , PHILA.-TO-LINDENWOLD, CENTER CAR SINGLE CAR



FIGURE 5.92. BUDD IN-CAR STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION, PHILA.-TO-LINDENWOLD, OVER TRUCK SINGLE CAR



FIGURE 5.93. BUDD IN-CAR STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION LINDENWOLD-TO-PHILA., CENTER CAR DOUBLE CAR



FIGURE 5.94. BUDD IN-CAR STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION, LINDENWOLD-TO-PHILA., OVER TRUCK DOUBLE CAR







FIGURE 5.96. BUDD IN-CAR STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION PHILA.-TO-LINDENWOLD, OVER TRUCK DOUBLE CAR



FIGURE 5.97. TIME HISTORY OF IN CAR NOISE ENVIRONMENT PATCO HIGH SPEED LINE

## PATCO

#### 6. TRANSIT SYSTEM LINE SUMMARY

## 6.1 General

The data reported in Section 5, recorded for representative community, station platform and in-car locations, is summarized for the entire line in the following tables and illustrations. For example, general information regarding system operating factors (cars/train, headway, noise measurement periods) are presented in Table 6.1 to illustrate the rationale for selecting time intervals, or 'windows' when noise measurements were obtained. Although daytime measurements were used for illustration purposes in the tables, calculation of day-night equivalent sound levels have been based on daytime, rush hour, evening, and night measurements. The quantities used in the L<sub>dn</sub> calculation have also been identified in Table 6.1.

Tables summarizing noise recorded at each community and station location evaluated in the program have been included in Section 5. This information has been further generalized to provide an over-all view of the noise climate of the PATCO system and this data is presented in Table 6.2. Wayside noise shown represents an average of the passby maximum levels in one direction analyzed as reported in Section 4. Station noise reported in this same table represents an average of the maximum level  $L_{\Lambda}$  (Max) recorded for each train observed during the recorded interval. This maximum level may occur either for the arrival or departure of the train. In-car data shown represents the plateau level achieved at a center car location between stations. A summary of PATCO track construction is presented in Figure 6.1.

#### 6.2 Community Noise

Noise levels measured for each type of segment, and illustrated in Table 6.2, are representative of other similar track segments for that line. For example, measurements which were taken at the elevated embankment site between Collingswood and Westmont apply also to the elevated embankment sites which were not measured, between Broadway and Ferry Ave, Ferry Ave. and Collingswood, Westmont and Haddonfield and east of Haddonfield. The type of community along the rightof-way, its distance (D) from the near track to most nearby

4 NIGHT NIGHT Z AM 60  $\sim$ 10 10 15 TABLE 6.1. GENERALIZED OPERATING SUMMARY AND INPUT FOR L<sub>dn</sub> CALCULATION PORT AUTHORITY TRANSIT CORPORATION 12 10 払入し ы < Г < Г 7<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> ω 2 PM 0 RU SH RUSH 10 و 4  $\sim$  $\sim$ PΑΥ 71/2 PAY 12 10 MEAS. WINDOW PATCONGHT RUSH PROGRAM ₩ MM ∞ 15 10 5 ഗ Y WEIGHTING FACTOR - 10  $\sim$ و HEADWAY, MIN TIME OF DAY CARS/TRAIN

eriod -6:30 :30-7 -9:30 :30-3:30 :30-6:30	Leq Leq NIGHT RUSH RUSH DAY RUSH EVE		(hrs. 1.5 1.5 3.5 3.5
-12	EVE	10	2
Ľ	NIGHT	01	ц

6\_2

NOISE MEASUREMENT SUMMARY - PATCO TRANSIT SYSTEM - DAYTIME TABLE 6.2.

				•								
		Average										
	Inter	Time		No. Cars						-uI	In-Car	Nolse
Station	Station Distance	Between Stations	Track Constr.	Per Train	Type Roadbed	Wayside Noise				Station Noise <sup>2.</sup>	Mid dB	Car A
	(Miles)	(Min.)				dB A 15m - Near Track	∢	8	U	dB A	Single	Double
15th-16th St. Phile			JOINTED &	~	UNDERGROUND				-	86		
	0.28	1	RAIL		UNDERGROUND						80	
12th-13th St.			Y2 TIMBER		UNDERGROUND							
	0.29	1	CONCRETE		UNDERGROUND						84	θ2
9th-10th St.					UNDERGROUND					- 89	_	
	0,43	2			UNDERGROUND						77	75
Bth St.		÷			UNDERGROUND							
	2.28	5		·	B, F, BRIDGE	82 <sup>1</sup> (CURVE)					73	72
City Hall, Camden		_			UNDERGROUND							
	0.25	1			UNDERGROUND						76	80
Broadway					UNDERGROUND		0010	So		B43 (Cwe)		
	2.16	9	Welded Rail;		EL EMB		0/ 7	2			73	75
Ferry Ave.			Wood Tie in	· · ·	EL EMB		0010	8		70		
	1.61	2	Rock Ballast		ELEMB	94 (CONC VIA),	7 7	10			73	74
Collingswood					CONC. VI ADUCT		- 9	75		74		
	1.05	2	-		ELEMB	83 (EL EMB)	1 7	//			73	74
Westmont			•		Concrete viaduct	93 (CONC VIA)	0010	8	1			
	0.87	1		_	EL EMB.		5	m	m		72	14
Haddonfield					In Cut	76 (IN CUT)	0 50	/50	1	80		
	3.19	3			EL EMB		11 7	E)	0		76	76
Ashland					EL EMB		10	200	1			
	1.79	2			EL EMB	•	- 7	N	17		76	76
Lindenwold		-	8	-	EL EMB							
	14.20 mi	-23. min.		-								

1. - SQUEAL SITE (CAMPEN) 2. - CENTER OF STOPPED TRAIN (AVG OF MAX. ENT, DEP, NEAR)

- A INDUSTRIAL/COMMERCIAL B RESIDENTIAL C OPEN (>100m)
- D DISTANCE FROM RIGHT-OF-WAY TO BUILDING LINE FT.

  - L- LENGTH of SINGLE TRACK AFFECTED THOU'S OF FT.



PATCO LINDENWOLD LINE - TRACK CONSTRUCTION SUMMARY FIGURE 6.1.

structures and the length of right-of-way (L) associated with industrial, residential and open field are also shown. Since each side of the right-of-way may border varying types of communities, the distance (L) shown in Table 6.2 between stations actually totals twice the inter-station distance.

The noise of the PATCO system in the community appears to be quite acceptable based on the lack of complaints received by PATCO. Where the system operates underground, it is inaudible at street level and this was verified at several locations and by several observers. Noise of the system measured in the community is highest where the roadbed is elevated on concrete viaduct. It is thought that this results partly due to noise radiated from the structure, but primarily from direct radiation of the undercar equipment and wheel/rail noise to a wayside observer that would normally be reflected and scattered from earth and ballast at the side of the right-of-way.

## 6.3 Station Noise

Lowest station noise levels were measured where the platform was located on elevated embankment. The combination of earth fill and reduced ground reflecting surface area appears to be the reason for this. Stations located on concrete viaduct exhibited slightly higher levels (4 dBA) with the station located in a cut (Haddonfield) displaying the highest measured level except for subway operation (80 dBA). The sound channel created by the concrete sidewalls of the cut results in higher levels for station platform patrons for greater lengths of time than for any other type of station above ground.

At the Benjamin Franklin Bridge Plaza, noise levels are higher than for any above-ground stations as this is a location where wheel squeal is generated. Rails are lubricated in this region when squeal amplitude builds up. Noise levels measured during the survey were lower than observed during the pre-measurement site selection survey.

As might be anticipated, the underground stations display the highest noise signatures of all those measured on the PATCO system.

On-line stations underground have noise levels which are nearly 20 dBA higher than the above ground stations located on elevated embankment. The terminal at 15th-16th/Locust has a 3 dBA lower noise signature due to slower approach speeds to the station. In each case, reverberation times appear to be substantially longer than for above-ground stations, although no measurements were taken to document this statement.

## 6.4 In-Car Noise

In-car noise is lowest above ground and during operation on elevated embankment and concrete viaduct. East of Westmont, trains operate in a cut with concrete vertical walls, thus increasing the noise over previous at-grade stations. East of Haddonfield, the longer inter-station distances allow higher speeds. Crossing the Delaware River bridge, levels in the car are lower than in either Camden or Philadelphia since operation within both cities is underground. Noise in the car is 5-10 dBA higher in the subway than above ground due to the reflective, reverberant field produced by that environment. Wheel squeal is produced on most underground curves in the subway.

## 6.5 PATCO Noise Summary

A graphic summary of community, station and in-car noise at PATCO is presented in Figure 6.2. The levels have been grouped into 5 dBA ranges of noise from 70-75, 75-80, 80-85, 85-90 and 90-95 dBA. It should be noted that in-car measurements were obtained in the second car of a multicar train and the notation on Figure 6.2 refering to single type and double type cars is meant to differeniate between cars which are independently capable of operation (single) as opposed to those which operate only as permanently coupled pairs (double).

Patrons in underground stations are exposed to higher noise levels than patrons at stations located on elevated embankment. Noise levels at Haddonfield (located in a cut) lie between those measured for underground and elevated embankment stations.

It should be noted that while no attempt was made to measure vehicle speeds during passby in high speed territory, at each wayside location the trains were operating at equivalent speed somewhat below the maximum of 75 mph (120 kph). At the Benjamin Franklin Bridge Plaza train speeds were substantially lower, approximately 30 mph (48 kph) when eastbound.



NOTE: GROUPED SOUND LEVEL INTERVAL INCLUDES LOWER, BUT NOT UPPER ENDPOINT. FIGURE 6.2. SUMMARY OF PATCO NOISE ENVIRONMENT Lowest wayside noise levels were measured when the system operates in a cut, due to the reflection of acoustic energy vertically. However, the concentration of acoustic energy in the cut causes Haddonfield Station patrons to be aware of an approaching or departing train for 20-30 seconds longer than patrons on other station platforms. However, the 15m community noise with the trains operating in a cut was 17-18 dBA lower than measured adjacent to concrete viaduct.

Data recorded adjacent to elevated embankment was 10 dBA lower than for concrete viaduct but 7 dBA higher than for operation in a cut. Although the system operates at grade at certain locations between Haddonfield and Lindenwold, the total percentage is small compared with the other designated roadbed types, and for simplicity, these sections have been included in the elevated embankment category. It is estimated that the levels adjacent to the at-grade sections would not differ by more than 3 dBA from those measured at elevated embankment sites.

Noise at the wayside (15m) can be characterized predominantly by the elevated embankment site. Approximatel 86% of the community noise lies in the grouped data from 80-85 dBA with remaining wayside characterized nearly equally between concrete viaduct (90-95) and in-cut levels (75-80).
## 7. REFERENCES

- 1. Kurzweil, L.G.; Lotz, R.; and Apgar, E.G.; "Noise Assessment and Abatement in Rapid Transit Systems"; Report No. UMTA-MA-06-0025-74-8; September 1974.
- Webster, W.J. and Farinacci, J.W., "Use of Graphic Level Recorders as Indicating Instruments, Part 1: Meeting the Specifications of a Sound Level Meter", Bureau of Noise, New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Albany, New York, 1974.
- 3. Shultz, T.J., "Development of an Acoustic Rating Scale for Assessing Annoyance Caused by Wheel/Rail Noise in Urban Mass Transit", Report No. UMTA-MA-06-0025-74-2, February 1974.

## APPENDIX A

A STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF SEPTA BROAD STREET SUBWAY STATION NOISE DATA

ASSESSMENT OF URBAN RAIL NOISE CLIMATES AND ABATEMENT OPTIONS FOR BART, CTS, PATCO AND SEPTA

Prepared by L. Bukowski Doyle and R. H. Spencer THE BOEING VERTOL COMPANY for DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Transportation Systems Center

CONTRACT DOT-TSC-850

## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF STATION NOISE MEASUREMENTS

### BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

In sampling the noise climate for the rapid transit systems included in the Urban Rail Noise Assessment Program, it was necessary to establish the number of train passbys required for the data sample to determine whether future reductions of 5dBA or more in system noise could be detected and whether they would be significant for a 95% confidence level. For station noise, additional questions had to be addressed. For example, a transit system patron is exposed to arrival and departure noise and trains operating on near and far tracks and, in some instances, to express train passby noise. It was necessary to determine if all noise events were from the same population and therefore whether to be grouped or separated for the study. Data was sampled in an underground station on the SEPTA Broad Street Subway to investigate these questions. Snyder Avenue was considered typical of many stations on the system.

#### NOISE SURVEY

One channel of data was recorded on the Snyder Avenue Station northbound platform at the midpoint of a stopped train at standing patron ear level (1.6m above platform level, 2 meters from the platform edge). Six train passbys were recorded in each direction during a one-half hour continuous noise survey.

Time histories of A-weighted sound levels were produced on a B&K 2305 graphic level recorder, set as follows:

Potentiometer	50 dB
Potentiometer Range	50 <b>d</b> B
Lower Limiting Frequency	10 Hz
Writing Speed	200mm/sec.
Rectifier Response	rms
Paper Width	100mm

Peak levels for arriving and departing trains were read for both north- and southbound trains (Table 1).

# TABLE I

PEAK A-WEIGHTED SOUND PRESSURE LEVELS - SNYDER AVE. STATION

	LA (Max)	~ dBA NORTHBOUND	SOUTHBOUND	SOUTHBOUND
	ARRIVAL DEPARTURE		ARRIVAL	DEPARTURE
	96	-	101	-
	98	-	95	-
	94	97	97	101
	97	95	97	98
	96	96	100	106
	97	95	97	101
x	96.3	95.8	97.8	101.5
S	1.4	0.96	2.2	3.3

Means  $(\overline{x})$  and standard deviations (s) were calculated for the data samples as follows:

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mathbf{x}_{i}}{n}$$

where n is the sample size and

$$s = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(\chi_i - \bar{\chi})^2}{n-1}\right]^{1/2}$$

## ANALYSIS OF DATA

Arrivals and departures for both north- and southbound trains were treated as separate events in order to determine whether the recorded samples were from the same population. Also, it was desired to establish with 95% confidence the number of events (passbys) required to ascertain that a future reduction in system noise of 5 dBA or more could be detected when measured by the same methods as those outlined (e.g., same sample size, microphone location, etc.).

The general relationship between mean, standard deviation and sample size for a 95% confidence envelope is known, but in order to establish the sample size it is necessary to secure information on  $\overline{x}$  and s for the station noise data after the system noise has been reduced. This, of course, is not a known value until it can be measured. However, it can be assumed that a 5 dBA reduction in the original levels could be achieved and that the standard deviation for the new data set would not differ substantially from the recorded baseline data. With these assumptions, Table II was established.

## TABLE II

		ARRIVAL BASELINE HYPOTHESIZED		DEPARTURE BASELINE HYPOTHESIZED	
NORTHBOUND	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	96.3	91.3	95.8	90.8
	s	1.4	1.4	0.96	0.96
	n	6	6	4	4
SOUTHBOUND TRAINS	x	97.8	92.8	101.5	96.5
	S	2.2	2.2	3.3	3.3
	n	6	6	4	4

## MEAN AND STANDARD DEVIATION OF PASSBY EVENTS

The statistical procedure of analysis of variance has shown that northbound arriving and departing trains and southbound arriving trains can be considered to be from the same population; southbound departing trains however, cannot be considered to be in this population. The difference is thought to result from higher train speeds for southbound departing trains.

The relationship of mean, standard deviation and sample size required to establish significant differences between two sets of data is shown in Figure 1. It is based on the sum of the sample standard deviations and the difference in the sample means. Furthermore, a 95% confidence envelope and equal sample sizes for both groups are assumed. Using the southbound arrival information as an illustration, the baseline data yields a mean of  $\overline{x}_1 = 97.8$  and a standard deviation of  $s_1 = 2.2$ ;





 $s_1 + s_2$ 

FROM L. R. HILL AND P.L. SCHMIDT "GRAPHICAL STATISTICS - AN ENGINEERING APPROACH," WESTINGHOUSE ENGR. MARCH 1950 AND MAY 1950.

FIGURE 1 - NUMBER OF TESTS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN TWO DATA SETS.

the hypothesized data has been reduced by 5 dBA, the minimum desired reduction in system noise, and the standard deviation has been retained at  $s_2 = 2.2$ . The sample size for both is n = 6.

 $s_1 + s_2 = 4.4$  and

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 = 5$$

For this condition, 4 samples in each group are shown to be sufficient to detect a difference in the 2 sets of data (Figure 1). Table III presents the resulting sample sizes required for each set of data.

## TABLE III

## SAMPLE SIZE FOR STATION DATA

	NORTHBOUND ARRIVAL DEPARTURE		SOUTH ARRIVAL	IBOUND DEPARTURE
$\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2$	5	5	5	5
s1 + s2	2.8	1.92	4.4	6.6
Reqd.Sample Size	3	1	4	6

#### STUDENT t TEST

To determine if significant differences could be detected in the two sets of data (baseline and hypothesized) the 'Student t" test was utilized. The test involves the calculation of the standard deviation of the differences of means, where

> t = difference between the means standard deviation of the difference

If t exceeds certain tabulated values (see Ref. 1), it can be stated there is a difference between two sets of data. The t test assumes that both populations are normally distributed with differing means ( $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$ ), but similar standard deviations ( $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ ). Sample parameters are used to test the population parameters.

A reduction in system noise by 5 dBA was tested as follows: Test the hypothesis:

$$H_{1}: M_{1}-H_{2}=5$$

vs 
$$H_1: \mathcal{H}_1 - \mathcal{H}_2 > 5$$

The critical region for the test is:

where,  

$$\frac{\bar{x}_{1} - \bar{x}_{2} - (\mu_{1} - \mu_{2})}{S_{w} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{1}} + \frac{1}{n_{2}}}} > t_{n_{1} + n_{2} - 2}; \infty$$

$$\int_{w} \frac{\left(n_{1} - 1\right) S_{1}^{2} + (n_{2} - 1) S_{2}^{2}}{n_{1} + n_{2} - 2}$$

and  $\alpha = 0.05$  (i.e. 95% Confidence)

If the critical region is greater than the tabulated t value, the hypothesis must be rejected. From Table II and the baseline and hypothesized northbound arrival data:

MEASURED BASELINE	HYPOTHESIZED DATA		
$\bar{x} = 96.3$	x = 90.8*		
s <sub>l</sub> = 1.4	s <sub>2</sub> = 1.4		
n <u>1</u> = 6	$n_2 = 6$		

\*Chosen so that  $\overline{x_1} - \overline{x_2} \neq 5$ , otherwise leading to a trivial case.

Sample calculation: t-test

MEASURED	BASELINE	HYPOTHESIZED	DATA
x <sub>1</sub> =	96.3	x <sub>2</sub> ≓ 90.8	
<sup>s</sup> 1 <sup>=</sup>	1.4	s <sub>2</sub> = 1.4	
n <sub>1</sub> =	6	$n_2 = 6$	

$$S_{w} = \left[\frac{(n_{1}-1) S_{1}^{2} + (n_{2}-1) S_{2}^{2}}{n_{1} + n_{2} - 2}\right]^{1/2}$$
$$= \left[\frac{(6-1) (1.4^{2}) + (6-1) (1.4)^{2}}{6 + 6 - 2}\right]^{1/2}$$

 $S_{w} = 1.4$ 

From Ref 1;  $t_{10}$ ; 0.05 = 1.812

$$\frac{96.3 - 90.8 - (5)}{1.4 \sqrt{1/6 + 1/6}} > 1.812$$

$$\frac{0.5}{1.4 \ (0.578)} > 1.812$$

however, 0.619 ≯ 1.812

Therefore, the first hypothesis, H , may be accepted, i.e., the difference of the two means is equal to five.

The second hypothesis, H1, may be accepted when:

$$\frac{(\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2) - 5}{1.4 \ (0.578)} > 1.812$$

or

$$\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2 > (1.812) (1.4) (0.578) + 5$$
  
 $\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2 > 6.47$ 

## CONFIDENCE INTERVALS

If  $\overline{x}$  and  $s_2$  are the mean and variance of a sample of size n, and are from normally distributed data  $(N(4, \sigma^2))$  where  $A, \sigma^2$ are unknown, then the confidence interval

$$C.I. = \left[ \overline{x} \pm t_{n-1}; \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \right]$$

is a  $100(1-\alpha)$ % confidence interval for  $\mu$ . Even though the data set may not be normally distributed, the expression can be applied for most cases.

## Sample calculation: Confidence Interval

Using the peak northbound arrival data:

C.I. = 
$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{x} \pm t_{n-1} \\ \vdots \\ \\ \end{array}$$
  $\begin{bmatrix} 0.05, 1 - \alpha \\ - \alpha \\ \end{array}$  = .95,  $\overline{x} = 96.3, s = 1.4, n = 6, t_5; 0.025 = 2.571$   
=  $\begin{bmatrix} 96.3 \pm 2.571 \\ \\ \hline 1.4 \\ \hline 6 \end{bmatrix}$   
= 96.3 ± 1.47

C.I. = 94.8 to 97.8 dBA (95% C.I. for  $\mu$ ).

## RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

Based on the data sample recorded and the results shown in Table III, it appears that a sample size of n = 6 is adequate for the Snyder Avenue data, considered representative for the Broad Street Subway. This statistical procedure will be followed for the remaining systems to be measured, namely, the Market-Frankford Line at SEPTA and for CTS. In each case a representative station will be selected for the data sample. Ideally, this procedure should be carried out for each type of station as well as for each community measurement. However, it is adequate to select representative locations for evaluations of required sample sizes.

Although the t test could not be evaluated using actual data for the improved system (no revisions to system noise have been made), the hypothesized data which was chosen such that  $\overline{x} - \overline{x}_2 > 5$  indicates that a 5 dBA reduction in noise level in fact can be detected, assuming that the sample size and standard deviation remain the same.

Analysis of variance has shown that northbound arriving and departing trains and southbound arriving trains are from the same population and can be grouped. Southbound departure data if treated statistically would have to be grouped separately for this set of data.

Ref.l - Holscher, Harry H., Simplified Statistical Analysis, Handbook of Methods, Examples and Tables; Cashners Books, Boston, Mass. 1971.

## APPENDIX B

### REPORT OF INVENTIONS

A detailed review of the work performed under this contract and the material contained in this report has not disclosed any discoveries or inventions. The work reported here represents a data base of noise measurements on a specific transit system, suitably extrapolated to all locations in and around the system as to provide an assessment of existing noise levels.

B - 1/B - 2

FORMERLY FORM		BORROV	HE18.5.A37 no.DOT-TSC- UMTA-78-45



----

a se en a far a se

TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS CENTER KENDALL SQUARE, CAMBRIDGE, MA. 02142

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

•

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 613

