Report No. FRA/ORD-78/29

• •

٠.

ENGINEERING DATA ON SELECTED HIGH SPEED PASSENGER TRUCKS

•

PB293-246

Stephen M. Shapiro

The Budd Company Technical Center 300 Commerce Drive Fort Washington PA 19034



JULY 1978 FINAL REPORT

DOCUMENT IS AVAILABLE TO THE U.S. PUBLIC THROUGH THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE, SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22161



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION Office of Research and Development , Washington DC 20590





NOTICE

2

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof.

NOTICE

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report.

Technical Report Documentation Page

		3, Recipient's Catalog No.
1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	
FRA/ORD-78/29		
4. Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date
		July 1978
ENGINEERING DATA ON SELECT	TED .	6. Performing Organization Code
HIGH SPEED PASSENGER TRUCK	KS	
		8 Performing Organization Report No.
7. Author's		
Stephen M. Shapiro		DOT-TSC-FRA-78-4
9 Parlan Dana A A A		
The Budd Company *	ress (13)	
Technical Center	14	RR6507R6519
300 Commerce Drive		
Fort Washington PA 1903/		D01-15C-1222
Fore washington IA 19034		
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address 11. S. Department of Transpo	rtation	Final Report
Enderal Pailroad Administ		
Office of Decomply and Dec		April 1977 - November 197
Unifice of Research and Dev	veropment	14. Sponsoring Agency Code
washington DC 20590		l
15. Supplementary Notes	U.S. Department of T	ransportation
	Research and Special	Programs Administration
"Under contract to:	Transportation Syste	ms Center
	Cambridge MA 02142	
16 Abiwact		
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati	Project is to compile a characterization in d ions are supplied for t	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany,
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S	Project is to compile a c characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S	Project is to compile a characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S	Project is to compile a characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The Y	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S	Froject is to compile a characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The Y	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S	Froject is to compile a characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S	roject is to compile a characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S	roject is to compile a characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S	roject is to compile a characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S	Project is to compile a c characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S	roject is to compile a characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S	Project is to compile a c characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S	Project is to compile a c characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S	Project is to compile a c characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S	Project is to compile a c characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S	Project is to compile a c characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S	Project is to compile a c characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S	Project is to compile a c characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S	Project is to compile a c characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S 17. Key Words	roject is to compile a c characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The 18. Disri	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S 17. Key Words	Project is to compile a c characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The 18. Diswit	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S 17. Key Words Sprung Mass, Unsprung Mass	roject is to compile a c characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The S.S.R. (Canada and The 18. Diswit	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S 17. Key Words Sprung Mass, Unsprung Mass Stiffness, Damping, High	roject is to compile a c characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The S.S.R. (Canada and The 18. Diswit	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States.
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S 17. Key Words Sprung Mass, Unsprung Mass Stiffness, Damping, High Speed Truck, Passenger Car	Project is to compile a c characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The S.S.R. (Canada and The 18. Diswit	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States. / Document is available to the U.S. PUBLIC THROUGH THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE, SPRINGFIELD. VIRGINIA 22161
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S 17. Key Words Sprung Mass, Unsprung Mass Stiffness, Damping, High Speed Truck, Passenger Car	Project is to compile a c characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The S.S.R. (Canada and The 18. Diswit	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States. / Document is available to the U.S. PUBLIC THROUGH THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE, SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22161
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S 17. Key Words Sprung Mass, Unsprung Mass Stiffness, Damping, High Speed Truck, Passenger Car	Project is to compile a c characterization in d ions are supplied for t S.S.R., Canada and The S.S.R., Canada and The 18. Distrib 4.	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States. / Document is available to the U.S. PUBLIC THROUGH THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE, SPRINGFIELD. VIRGINIA 22161
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S 17. Key Words Sprung Mass, Unsprung Mass Stiffness, Damping, High Speed Truck, Passenger Car 19. Security Clossif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this p	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States. / Dulion Statement DOCUMENT IS AVAILABLE TO THE U.S. PUBLIC THROUGH THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE, SPRINGFIELD. VIRGINIA 22161 Tage)
The purpose of this A engineering parameters for activities. Data tabulati Italy, England, Japan, U.S 17. Key Words Sprung Mass, Unsprung Mass Stiffness, Damping, High Speed Truck, Passenger Car 19. Security Clossif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (of this p	list of high speed truck ynamic performance modeling rucks from France, Germany, United States. / Document is available to the U.S. PUBLIC THROUGH THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE, SPRINGFIELD. VIRGINIA 22181 Proge) 22. Price A&G - AG

.

ĩ,

公 て

-

4

;

3

.

-

É.

. .

.

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

This document may be affected by one or more of the following statements

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the sponsoring agency. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the sponsoring agency and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

·

PREFACE

÷.

During the conduct of the program, significant contributions were made by members of the Budd Staff with particular recognition to Mr. Philip Strong.

Acknowledgement is also given to Frank P. DiMasi, Raymond Ehrenbeck, and Herbert Weinstock of TSC for their help in the program.

The Budd Company gratefully acknowledges the following publications for granting permission to reproduce selected pages contained in their magazine articles: <u>Chemins De Fer, French Railway Techniques, Rail Engineering International</u>, and <u>Railway Gazette International</u>. The Budd Company also acknowledges other sources which were made available to them.

Preceding Page Blank

METRIC CONVERSION FACTORS

Ī 5 5 # % Ē ፞ኇ፞፝ዿ፝፞፞፝፞፞፞ - L = 32-3 equere inches equere vards square mites acres 8 Fahrantha i f flund ounces pints quarts gallons cubic feet cubic yerds ounces pounds short tone Approximate Conversions from Metric Measures Ta Find inches inches fraet yards metes TEMPERATURE (exect) MASS (weight) Maltiply by LENGTH VOLUME 9/5 (then add 32) square continetara 0.16 square moleca 1.2 square trilometery 0.4 bectares (10,000 m²) 2.5 0.035 2.2 1.1 0.0 0.4 1.1 1.1 2.1 2.1 35 35 1.06 ŝ grams kilograms tormes (1000 kg) Celsius temperature When Yos Know multuluigers Linters Linters Linters Cubic meters Cubic meters milimaters centimeters meters moters kildmeters ;;;1;;; Symbol ไรโร ٤ðe e S . 2 _ີ∈ີ∈ Symbol โระ โร 55 - 5 . 2 . ĒĒĒ_ _ ^ _ square centimeters square meters square meters square kricmeters hectares Celsius temperature Centimeters Centimeters meters kilometers Multiliters multilitiers multiliters litters litters hitters buters cubic meters cubic meters græns ki kograms tonnes To Find Approximate Conversions to Metric Measures TEMPERATURE (axact) 6.5 0.09 0.8 0.4 0.4 MASS (weight) Muhiph by 5/9 (aher subtracting 32) 28 0.45 0.9 5 0.24 0.95 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 LENGTH VOLUME 2.5 8.0 8.5 square inches square feer square miles scres Fahrenheit temperature ounces pounds short rons (2000 lb) Man You Knew cubic feel cubic yards terspoons tablespoons fluid cunces cupe inches faet yards miles Sint a 5 æ 🕉 ê <u>፝</u>ዸኇዄ፝፝፞ቒ a e ÷

-8-

iv

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

Ý

 \cap

Ĩ,

_		
1.		1
	l.l Background	1
	1.2 Objectives	l
	1.3 Plan	2
2.	TRUCK LIST	4
	2.1 Passenger Truck List	4
	2.2 High Speed Trucks	15
3.	TRUCK SUMMARY	16
	3.1 General Truck Characteristics	16
	3.2 Summary of Truck Designs	17
4.	TRUCK AND CAR DATA COLLECTION	19
	4.1 Truck Description and Illustration	19
	4.2 Truck Data	67
	4.3 Car Data	86
5.	INFLUENCE OF TRUCK SUSPENSION PARAMETER	0.0
	VARIATION ON RAILCAR DYNAMIC RESPONSE	89
6.	RECOMMENDATIONS	93
	References	94
	Appendix A Report of Inventions 1	07
	Appendix B Photographs of High Speed Trucks 1	_08

v

÷

Ø

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

, **B**

Þ,

Figu	re	Truck Type	Pa	ge
· 1,	2	Y-28	22,	23
3,	4	Y-32	25,	<u>,</u> 26
5,	6	¥-224	28,	29
7,	8	Y-225	32,	33
9,	10	Y-226	35,	36
11,	12	FIAT Eurofa	38,	39
13,	14	Z 1040	41,	42
15,	16	ET-403	44,	45
17,	18	Minden Deutz	47,	48
19,	20	DT-200	50,	51
21,	22	LRC	53,	54
23,	24	BT-10	56,	57
25,	26	ER 200	59,	60
27,	28	P-III	62,	63.
29,	30	Metroliner	65,	66

.

LIST OF TABLES

Page.

ł

Table

e	Title	Page
1	Truck Summary	18
2	Y-28	71
3	Y-32	72
4	Y-224	73
5	Y-225	74
6	Y-226	75
7	FIAT Eurofa	76
8	z 1040	77
- 9	ET 403	78
10	Minden Deutz	79
11	DT-200	80
12	LRC	81
13	BT 10	82
1 4	ER 200	83
15	P-III	84
16	Metroliner	85
17	Car Body Parameters	87, 88



5

2

.

V.

.

· · · · · · · · · · · · 4.

• • • •

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

TSC is supporting the Federal Railroad Administration's improved passenger service program by providing engineering data to support the development of truck design specifications for use in high speed passenger service. A part of this includes analysis of the sensitivity of vehicle performance characteristics to vehicle and truck configurations. Accordingly, TSC is collecting data and analytic tools to conduct a review of the relationship between vehicle and truck configurations, track geometry variations and performance characteristics such as ride vibration and ranges of safe operation speeds.

The truck configuration and its associated compliance, damping and sprung/unsprung mass characteristics represent a major influence of vehicle stability and are important to the truck optimization process. To date, however, there has been no systematic effort to catalog this data in order to characterize the diverse population of current and proposed truck designs. The purpose of this project has been to assemble such data to characterize passenger truck configurations for use in parametric studies to assess the influence of truck (and vehicle) parameters on performance characteristics such as vehicle stable performance and ride vibration.

The Budd Company, a major developer of rail vehicle/ truck systems, has collected a library of data on many truck designs from: internal development projects; published technical literature; and personal contact with international representatives. The object of this contract was to provide the required catalog of passenger truck configuration data for powered and non powered trucks by taking maximum advantage of existing raw data gathered by The Budd Company. The data presented in this report is limited in scope in that it is information which was available and retrievable from The Budd Company files only.

1.2 Objectives

The objectives of this contract are as follows:

- A Compilation of truck design configurations in current use or proposed for use in improved intercity rail passenger service.
- A tabulation of the engineering parameters necessary for modeling of the dynamic performance of a rail car equipped with these trucks, obtainable from current data.

- 1 -

- A description of car body types in current use or proposed for U.S. passenger service.

12

5

٤.

- Tabulation of the mass and stiffness properties of the above car bodies.

1.3 Plan

The plan was divided into the following parts:

- (A) Literature search for passenger trucks
- (B) Truck Description and Illustration
- (C) Truck Data Tabulation
- (D) Car Data Collection

1.3.1 Literature Search for Passenger Trucks

An extensive search was conducted in the data bank and engineering files of the Budd Technical Center as well as collaboration with other Budd staff members to develop as complete a list of passenger trucks which are in use or proposed for use in U.S. rail passenger service as possible.

When it became apparent that complete physical descriptions could be provided for only a few of the passenger trucks, the Technical Monitor designated certain high speed trucks as priority trucks for which complete descriptions would be provided. The list of passenger trucks was then condensed to include only selected high speed trucks for data collection purposes. A high speed truck is considered to be designed for speeds of 125 mph (200 kmh) or greater.

1.3.2 Truck Data Description and Illustration

A description and a spring-mass-damper sketch of each truck was made to aid in clarifying each high speed truck. The description includes information on the primary and secondary suspension systems and a brief history of the truck whenever available.

1.3.3 Truck Data Tabulation

This section includes a major portion of the work performed on this contract; i.e., analysis of the information available to obtain detailed dynamic data. Several engineering articles for each high speed truck were studied before attempting a data tabulation. Several iterations were made to achieve an appropriate engineering format. Tables of truck information were primarily based on the raw data and engineer-

- 2 -

ing estimates were made where data was incomplete or unavailable. These estimates are denoted by an asterisk in the table. Estimates were made based on The Budd Company's experience in the railcar industry and should be treated as engineering approximations.

1.3.4 Car Data Collection

For each high speed truck tabulated car data is provided to include the significant parameters for dynamic modeling purposes.

4 a 17 1 a 17 -1 a

. 2

· 1

2. TRUCK LIST

2.1 Passenger Truck List

This list presents the passenger trucks which are based on the Budd Company's available data and literature. Trucks from France, Germany, Japan, Switzerland, Italy, Sweden, England, Canada, Australia, U.S.S.R., and the United States have been included.

The list indicates the truck or vehicle designation, the truck builder, whether it is powered or unpowered, if some data is available or not, the system the truck is used on, and the application, transit, mainline, or commuter. On the truck list under the category powered, if both yes and no appears, this indicates that at least one truck is powered on the vehicle, and that one is unpowered.

- · ·		·			•			
			PAS	SENGER TRUC	JK LİST			
COUNTRY	TRUCK OR VEHICLE DESIGNATION	TRUCK BUILDER	POWERED	SOME DATA AVAILABLE	SYSTEM USED ON	AI Transit	PLICATION Mainline	V Commuter
FRANCE	Y20	SNCF	No	Yes	Mistral Sud Express		×	ſ
					Parts-Nice Run			
	Y20D	¥	No		Car A9 Mistral			
	Y22	=	No					
	Y24	=	No	Yes	Paris-Hendays Sud Express		X	
	Y24A1	=	No		TEE Paris-Brussels Amster		`	
	Y24C	=	No	_	dam CAPITOLE Paris-Tonlou	se		5
-	Y26	=	No		New carriages for Mistral		Х	
			•		SNCF Rest. Cars Paris-Rul	r		
	Y26C	н	Na					
	Y26P	Ŧ	No	Yes	Rest. Cars of Mistral		X	
×					Train -			
· .	Y28	=	No	/ Yes	SNCF Home Service Carri-		Х	
•					ages, TEE Trains			
•	Y28B	=	Ňo	· Yes				
	Y28C	=	NO.		Ę			
	Y28E		No		Mistral Paris-Mersailles		х	
	Y28E2	=	No	~ Yes				
	Y28E3	=	No					
	Y28F	=	No	Yes	•			
•	Y 28Q	Schliercn	NO					
	Y30	SNCF	No	Yes	Car-Carrier Vehicles			
	Y30P	z	No	Yes	Double Decker Suburban			×
						•.		

			•		•.							•																			
	•	Commuter	X																						-			·		~	
		PLICATION Mainline																	-			Х		×.	×		х Мар	•		•	
	-	AI Transit																						-	·		- -				
	JK LIST	SYSTEM USED ON	First Class Coach Agu TEE	and Second Class BllU	TEE A9U Coach European	Standard Coach			BllU Coach - TEE													Paris-Caen-Cherbourg Line	RTG Turbine Train	RTG Turbine Train	TGV001 Turbine Train	Z-7001					
	ENGER TRUC	SOME DATA AVAILABLE	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes				Yes			Yes				Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes			برا	•	
· ·	PASS	POWERED	. ON		No		No	No	No	No	No	No	No	NO	No	No	No	No	No		No	Yea	. •	No	Yes	Yès		,	•	er.	
· ·		TRUCK BUILDER	SNCF		=		H	=	=	н	=	=	=	Ŧ	=	11	E	=	=	=	=	=		=	=	=					
. 		TRUCK OR VEHICLE DESIGNATION	¥32		Y32A		Y32A1	Y32A2	Y32B	Y32B1	Y32B2	Y32E	Y187	Y205	Y207	Y207A	Y207B	Y207B2	Y207B3	Y208	Y214	Y223		Y224	¥225	L X226					
		COUNTRY .													•			۰					•				•				
		• •					-*	-	6	-		•			•										,						

*

PASSENGER TRUCK LIST

••

.

	-							
COUNTRY	TRUCK OR VEHICLE	TRUCK BUILDER	POWERED	SOME DATA	SYSTEM USED ON	A	PPLICATION	
	DESIGNATION			AVALENDE		Trans1t	Mainline	Commuter
	Y226a		No		Z-7001			
·	MF67C3 mono-	MTE	Yes	Yes	Paris Metro	x		
	motor Bogle							
	Eurofa	SNCF	Yes	Yes	Standard Passenger Coache	л И		
GERMANY	М5	Klochner-Ham-		Yes	First twenty T2 Cars		X	
		boldt-Deutz						
		(Minden Dentz)						
	мб	E		Yes				
	M6-2B	2		Yes	Carel Air Conditioned T2			
					Cars Carel Fouche		Х	
•	M6-1C	ц		,	T2 & Sleeping Cars		X	
•	MD50	11						-
	ET420	M.A.N.		Yes				
	ET403		Yes	Yea	High Speed German Inter-		х	
					City Network			
	VT614	u	Yes,No					•
	ET472/47	MBB Linke-	Yes	Yes	Hamburg			
		Hofmann-Busch						
:	DT1	=	Yes		Hamburg Metro			
	DT2	=	Yes	Yes	Hamburg Metro			
	DT3	=	Yes	Yes	Hamburg Metro		Ņ.	
-	DuWag U-2	DuWag	Yes, No	Yes	Frankfurt Subway			
							ŀ	

•

- 7 -

¢

9

A

ິ
н
1
-
×
0
D
22
5
~
œ,
EB
GER
NGER
ENGER
SENGER
SENGER
SSENGER
ASSENGER

	•		Commuter	•		-																				,
۰. د		APPLICATION	Mainline																							
			Transit							0		S				00		.h.								
	K LIST	SYSTEM USED ON		TEE Parsifal Paris-Ruhr				Tobin R.R. Co., Ltd.		Kcihan Electric Railway		Odakyu Electric Railway	E	Nagoya Railroad Co.	Ξ	Kaisal Electric Railway	-	Tcito Rapid Transit Aut	=	-					-	
•	ENGER TRUC	SOME DATA AVAILABLE	•					Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
·	PASS	POWERED		Yes, No		ND	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							÷
		TRUCK BUILDER		l Wegmann	=		=	Ind. Sumitomo Metal	=	E	=	1	=	- =	=	-	11	Ŧ	=	Tokyu Car Mfg.	=	=	H	7	Ħ	
		TRUCK OR VEHICLE	DESIGNATION	Munchen-Kasse	WTB-69	<u>S-WTB-69-1</u>	S-WTR-69-1	FS308	FS357	FS302	FS337B	FS330	F'S360	FS326	FS335A	FS329A	FS329B	FS323	FS358	TSI01	TS105	TS301	TS302	T3303	TS501	
		COUNTRY						JAPAN						•								•				-
.•		•	1					– [`] 8	3 -	-	•						۰.								U	

PASSENGER TRUCK		SOME DATA SYSTEM USED ON APPLICATION	AVAILABLE Transit Mainline C	Yes	Kcihan Electric Railway)dakyu Railways		hin Electric Ralway	Electric Railway		lectric Railway			do Line X			ldo Line X	da Line · X	lo Line X	io Line X		ido Line X
PASSENGER TRUCK		SOME DATA SYSTEM USED ON A	AVAILABLE Transit	Yes	Kcihan Electric Railway)dakyu Railways		thin Electric Ralway	Electric Railway		lectric Railway			do Line			tdo Line	da Line ·	lo Line	do Line		ldo Line
PASSENGER TRUCK LIST		SOME DATA SYSTEM USED ON	AVAILABLE	Yes	Kcihan Electric Railway		2)dakyu Raflways		thin Electric Ralway	Electric Railway		lectric Railway			do Line		тао птие	tdo Line	da Line ·	lo Line	do Line		do Line
PASSENGER T		SOME DATA	AVAILABLE	Yes	-							Hans	Kcihan		Kcihan E	(Osaka)		New Toka1		New Toka.	New Toka:	New Tokal	New Tokald	New Tokal		New Tokal
5 7 7 7			~				Yes					Yes	-	-	Yes								Yes			
	-	POWEREI																Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes
		TRUCK BUILDER			Kisha Seizo	Kalsha		Kisha Seizo	Kalsha	litachi		Sumitomo Metal	Kisha Seizo	Kalsha	Sumitomo Metal			Nippon Sharyo	Selza	Kisha Selzo Katsha	Kinki Sharyo	Sumitomo Metal		Kawasaki Roll-	ing Stock	H1 tach1
•	1 2 2 2 2 2	TRUCK OR VEHICLE	DESIGNATION	DT23	KS50	TR55	TR58	KS51 i		KH15	KS53	FS207	KS55		PS337C		DT92	DT9001		2006.10	DT9003	DT9004	DT200	DT9005		DT9006
		. COUNTRY													•								:	•		

ION	ne Commuter																 1							
PPLICAT	Mainli																				•			
A	Trans1t																							
SYSTEM USED ON		Suburbs and Basell													Systems to Speeds of	250 km/hr	Stock operating at speed:	to 200 km/hr	Stock operating at speed:	to 140 km/hr ·		European Standard Coache		
SOME DATA	THATA	Yes					Yes	Yes	Yes		YES				Yes		Yes		Yes			Yes	Yes	
POWERED		Yes, No						Yes	Yes		Yes, No							•			-			
TRUCK BUILDER		Schindler	=	Schlicren	=	н	-	If	SIG	SIG	SIG	SIG		Flat	Fiat	•	Flat		Flat		FS	Fiat	Breda	
TRUCK OR VEHICLE	DESIGNATION	BE4/6	CFF B4	Y28Q	CFFA ⁴ 1258	M2 2e	LaBrugerize	Be 8/8	CFFA ⁴ 1255	TEE	B 4/8	CFF 1 re		7170	Y0160		71		7196		27A	Eurota Bogle	Breda Bogie	
COUNTRY		SWITZERLAND											• .	. ITALY .										

.

PASSENGER TRUCK LIST

...

•

- 10 -

			PASS	SENGER THUC	LSTT X			•
COUNTRY	TRUCK OR VEHICLE	TRUCK BUILDER	POWERED	SOME DATA	SYSTEM USED ON	API	PLICATION	
•	DESIGNATION			AVALLADUL		Transit N	Mainline	Commuter
SWEDEN	c1	ASEA	Үев					
	C2	=	Yes					
·	c3	=	ON					
	C4	11	Yes					
	c5	H and S Orn-	Yes					
		skoldsvik					_	
	c6	Linkoping	Yes					
	C7	ASEA	Yes					
	c8	=	·Yes	Yes	Stockholm Metro	×		
	60	=						
•								
ENGLAND	RR B4	British Rail			Mark II Coaches		х	
•	BR Type II	=						
	BP 8		Yes	Yeş				
	BT 10	-			High Speed Train London-		х	
					Bristol/South Wales			
·	APT		Yes, No	Yes			Х	
	APT-E		Yes, No	Yes			х	
- -	c-69	Metro Cammell	Yes, No	·Yes	London Transport Execut1	ve		
•	BR BT 5	British Rail	•		Mark III Coaches		х	
					-			

.

.

لر

- 11 -

Z	Commuter	X			Х			X				Х			х								-		Х
PPLICATIO	Mainline	rpe)X			Х		Х				Х							Х					· · ·		
A	Transit	(Protot		e)					ental)	Х			Х	Х	-	tal)	Х		Х	Х		-		х	
SYSTEM USED ON		Lt. Wt. Railcar 3880	SOREFAME	CTA Test Truck (Prototyn	RDC Demo Car	P.R.R.	Sorocabana	SEPTA	BARTD Test 2-401 (Experin	NYCTA (4 Car Sets)	4 Test Cars	GT 1 and GT 2	DRPA (Lindenw ld)	СТА	МТА	L.I.M. Vehicle (Experimer	Sao Paulo	AMTRAK	SOAC	Cleveland CTS	Boston MTA tunnel ·	Boston MTA elevated	Hudson and Manhattan	Chicago CTA	New York City MTA
SOME DATA	AVAILABLE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	γes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
POWERED		NO	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	nd Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yeş
TRUCK BUILDER		Budd Company	=	E	=	=	H	н	и	=	=	=	2	=	=	н	#	H	=	Gen'l Steel I	=	=	=	=	Ing "
TRUCK OR VEHICLE	DESIGNATION	Ploneer III	=	=	=			=	=	=	=	¥	u.	н	1	=	=	=	ASDP Truck	Cast Steel	=	L	Ŧ	=	Inboard Bear
COUNTRY		USA											•	•								:			

 \mathcal{D}

PASSENGER TRUCK LIST

- 12 -

PASSENGER TRUCK LIST

.

...

9

,**~**

4

- 13 -

,

		Commuter	•																		•1
	PPLICATIO	Mainline			x							х			х		×				۲
	A	Trans1t	×		1				~						-						
K LIST	SYSTEM USED ON		Comeng Electric Rapid Transit		Western Australian Goverr	ment Rallways (Perth and	Kalsoarlic)		Morroto Trevent Commissis			LRC	UACL - United Aircraft of	Canada Ltd, Northeast	Corridor and Canada		<u>Moscow-Lenigrad</u>				
ENGER TRUC	SOME DATA								Võe	1		Yes	Yes			 +-	Yes				
PASS	POWERED		Yes		Үев				Noo Noo								Yes. No				•
	TRUCK BUILDER		Commonwealth	(NSW) Pty.Ltd.	=				Dominion and	Foundries Co.	(Dofasco)	=									
· · ·	TRUCK OR VEHICLE	DESIGNATION	Budd-Comeng (1				Cont Stool	Alloy							ER 200				
	COUNTRY		AUSTRALIA						CAVADA				•	•			RUSSIA	÷			-
							. –	14 '	-	•			•						,		Ļ,

2.2 High Speed Trucks

 \overline{a}

The passenger truck list just provided in section 2.1 has been condensed to include selected high speed trucks for which engineering data will be presented and they are as follows:

French:	Y-28 Y-32 Y-224 Y-225 Y-226
Italian:	Fiat Eurofa Z 1040
German:	ET-403 Minden Deutz
Japan:	DT 200
Canadian	LRC
British:	BT 10
Russian:	ER 200
U.S.:	P- III Metroliner

0

Ν

The above high speed trucks have been included because the most complete data tabulations could be provided. All of the trucks or vehicles are designed for 125 mph (200 kmh) or higher except for the U.S. P-III (Amcoaches) which are locomotive hauled and designed for 120 mph (193 kmh).

'3. TRUCK SUMMARY

3.1 General Truck Characteristics

The basic design characteristics of the high speed trucks listed in Section 2.2 are presented in Table 1. The design parameters included are conventional yaw pivot, soft primary suspension, rigid truck frame, powered, swing hanger design, air spring secondary suspension, roll bar, active tilt control, equalizer beam, articulated train, and electromagnetic brakes.

The conventional yaw pivot denotes a truck with a center pin arrangement between the truck and the car body which allows the car body to rotate with respect to the truck.

A soft primary suspension is a primary vertical suspension having the vertical bounce resonance of the truck on its springs of 8 Hz or less. The calculation of this resonant frequency considers the two degree-of-freedom system of the car body on its vertical springs coupled with the truck on its vertical springs. Typical practice is a 1 Hz secondary.

A rigid truck frame is a truck frame which is considered to have no flexibilities, and a powered truck is one which has motors attached to the truck, either axle mounted or frame mounted.

A swing hanger design is one which has swing links connecting the truck frame with the bolster which allows the truck to move laterally. This movement provides the secondary lateral suspension of the vehicle.

Air spring secondary suspension pertains to a truck having air springs in the secondary suspension.

A roll bar is a device by which additional secondary roll stiffness can be provided for systems not having enough stiffness from the secondary springs.

Active tilt control applies to those vehicles having a system where a device such as an accelerometer is used to sense acceleration levels and when these levels become too high the tilting mechanism is activated.

An articulated train applies to those trucks where the ends of two car bodies rest on a single truck.

An equalizer beam truck is one where equalization of the truck is provided by the equalizer beam and its springs. This configuration allows the springs to be mounted longitudinally inboard of the wheels. Electromagnetic brakes refer to trucks which have brakes which react with the rail.

- 16 -

3.2 Summary of Truck Designs

The basic design characteristics of the high speed trucks tabulated in Section 3.2 are presented in the Truck Summary Table on the following page. The first parameter included is conventional yaw pivot which is composed of a center pivot arrangement. Trucks such as the Y-28 and Y-32 which utilize resiliently mounted traction linkages are not considered conventional yaw pivot.

A soft primary suspension is considered to apply to systems having a truck bounce frequency less than 8 Hz. The P-III and the Metroliner are distinguished from the other 13 trucks since they have truck bounce frequencies of about 26 Hz and 10 Hz, respectively. Another category is a rigid truck frame. All of the trucks shown have rigid frames except for the P-III which is an articulated frame with independent side frames.

Other features included in the table are powered, swing hanger design, air spring secondary, and roll bar. From the trucks presented, there are five powered trucks and four swing hanger designs. Half of the trucks utilize air springs for the secondary suspension, and four have roll bars.

The one truck with active tilt control is the Canadian LRC. This particular vehicle has an accelerometer to detect lateral vibration levels. When these levels become too high; the tilting mechanism is activated.

The Y-225 is the only truck used in an articulated train, which is a vehicle having the ends of two passenger cars resting on a single truck.

The Metroliner is the only equalizer beam truck of the fifteen high speed trucks.

There are nine trucks which have electromagnetic brakes.

ţ

TABLE 1 TRUCK SUMMARY

.

ctromag- netic Brake	×	X	×	×	×	×		x	×				X		
Ele	 		 							 		 	 		
Edualizer Beam															Х
Artic- ulated Train				X											
Active Tilt Control					-						x				
Roll Bar	×	x				X			×						
Alr Spring Secondary Suspension				X				X		X	X	Х	×	X	X
Swing Hanger Design	. X						х		x			x			
Powered				×			x	x		x			×		x
Rigid Truck Frame	x	X	х	×	×	x	х	x	X	х	х	×	x	,	х
Soft Primary Suspension	×	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X	X	Х	x	x	X	х		Х
Conventional Yaw Pivot							X		Х	X		x	x	Х	X
Truck Type	Y-28	Y-32	Y-224	Y-225	Y-226	Flat Eurofa	Z1040	ET403	Minden Deutz	DT200	LRC	BT10	ER200	III-d	Metroliner

ł

١

. ς. ~ ۰.

.

•

.

•

.

- 18 -

4. TRUCK AND CAR DATA COLLECTION

4.1 Truck Description and Illustration

Ş

A description and a spring-mass-damper sketch of each high speed truck mentioned in Section 2.2 are presented in the following pages. Wherever available, a brief history is given indicating such things as maximum speed attained, the train consist, and other significant features of the particular vehicle.

The following sumbols are used in the sketches:

 primary suspension three directional flexibility and inherent damping

- elastic element and inherent damping

_ - viscous damping element

- carbody connection

Definitions of terms contained in the descriptions and sketches are as follows:

Anchor rod	-	a bar which takes longi- tudinal loads and is located either between the bolster and carbody or bolster and truck frame. There are two of these per truck.
Bolster	- '	a load bearing crossmember which is not rigidly con- nected to the truck frame.
Equalizer bar	-	a member located in the center of a truck which the center pivot connects to.
Equalizer beam	-	equalization of the truck is provided by this struc- tural piece and its springs. There are two equalizer beams per truck.
Roll bar	-	is a bar which is mounted in a manner which provides addi-

tional roll stiffness to the

secondary suspension.

- 19 -

Slide pad-allows rotational motions
to occur between the slider
and the carbody.Swing link-a link which permits lateral
motions to occur and provides
the lateral secondary suspen-
sion stiffness.Traction linkages-linkages which take braking
loads.

The Y-28 truck is used on the Trans European Express (TEE) coaches for 200 km/hr service. The primary suspension is composed of four groups of coiled springs and four groups of silentbloc materials of considerable size. Each group is located outboard of the wheels. The silentbloc material which connects the axle to the truck frame provides sufficient stiffness in the lateral and longitudinal direction to keep the axles relative to the truck frame, and in the vertical direction adds to the stiffness of the coil springs.

The secondary vertical suspension is made up of two groups of two coiled springs per truck, each group being represented in the sketch by a single elastic element and two hydraulic shock absorbers to control the vertical movements. There are two swing links between the body and bolster which are articulated at the lower end to two body brackets represented by a ground connection. These swing links are located in line with the lateral axis of the truck and provide the lateral suspension. There is a lateral shock absorber to control the lateral displacement between the body and the truck.

A roll bar helps to control the rolling motions between the truck frame and bolster, and is supported under the truck frame cross member and connected by links to the bolster.

The Y-28 truck has no conventional yaw pivot for steering. It is driven by means of traction linkages arranged longitudinally between the truck frame and two crutches forming part of the body represented by ground connections. The connection is more or less at axle level. These traction linkages have resilient attachments to relieve the linkages of shock loads. The resilient attachment includes helical spring and Belleville washers, resulting in progressively varying degree of elasticity. Stops engage if force in wire rope reaches 12 metric tonnes which is rare. The ultimate tensile strength is 24 tonnes.

The vertical and lateral car body loads are transmitted through car body brackets to the swing links into the secondary suspension. Then the load passes through the truck frame and the primary suspension. The longitudinal load path proceeds from the carbody crutches or brackets represented in the sketch by ground connections through the traction linkages to the truck frame and finally goes out through the primary suspension.



Figure 1

- 22 -

Y - 28



Figure 2

Courtesy of French Railway Techniques

- 23 -

Y-28

The Y-32 truck is designed for the European standard truck and for speeds in excess of 125 mph.

The primary suspension is composed of four steel coiled springs for vertical stiffness. Flexible connections between the axlebox and truck frame result in three-dimensional flexibility which gives longitudinal and lateral stiffness, and in the vertical direction adds to the stiffness of the coil springs to provide the required vertical spring rate. There are four hydraulic shock absorbers on the truck to restrict vertical motions.

The secondary suspension consists of two long helical springs for vertical stiffness. In addition, these helical springs provide for lateral suspension of the body, and enable the truck to rotate. There are hydraulic dampers controlling the vertical and lateral movements of the coach in relation to the truck.

The truck has a unique feature because there is no conventional center pivot. The connection between truck and body is made by traction linkages located at axle level and resiliently attached to the carbody to permit vertical and lateral motions of the truck across the secondary suspension.

The truck has a roll bar fitted between the truck frame and the carbody to provide additional roll stiffness. A yaw damping arrangement relying on the truck rotating in relation to the body is also a feature of this truck, and only damps yaw rotational motions.

The carbody vertical and lateral loads are transmitted through the long helical springs into the truck frame and go out the primary suspension.

The longitudinal loads proceed from the carbody brackets through the traction linkages into the truck frame and out the primary suspension.


Y - 32

Figure 3

- 25 -

sjûn





Figure 4

- 26 -

The Y-223 and Y-224 trucks are used on the R.T.G. French turbotrain. The turbotrain is composed of two turbine power cars at the ends of three intermediate trailer cars. Each turbine power car rests on one powered Y-223 truck, and one unpowered Y-224 truck. The trailer cars, rest on the Y-224 truck; however, both trucks are quite similar. Data will be supplied for the Y-224 trailer car truck. The R.T.G. train sets went into regular service in May, 1973.

The Y-224 primary vertical suspension consists of four sets of helical springs resting on axleboxes and in series with a rubber disc to absorb the track noise. The axleboxes are connected to the truck frame by rubber bushed links which provide the lateral and longitudinal stiffnesses. There are four vertical hydraulic shock absorbers.

The secondary suspension uses two Saint-Urbain springs (rubber inside steel coil), per truck, which act both as vertical suspension and lateral control and permit the rotation of the truck. Vertical and lateral damping are by hydraulic dampers. There are two longitudinal dampers.

Two rubber-bushed rods, connected to the truck frame and to an equalizer bar which is connected to the body pivot through a rubber ring, transmit the tractive effort to the body.

The vertical and lateral loads are transmitted from the car body to the helical springs with rubber inside through the truck frame and is taken out by the primary suspension.

The longitudinal load is transmitted from the carbody through the center pivot to the equalizer bar and the rubber bushed rods into the truck frame and out the primary suspension.

ú

ప





Figure 5

- 28 -



Y-224





Courtesy of French Railway Techniques

- 29 -

The Y-225 truck which is used on the French turbotrain TGV-001 (production versions will use a Y-229 B truck similar to the Y-226 with a 3 meter wheelbase according to a current report) is designed for speeds in excess of 125 mph. In a test run in December of 1972, the TGV-001 hit a speed of 318 km/hr or 199 mph. By December 1974, TGV-001 had run more than 16000 km at speeds greater than 260 km/hr and had made over one hundred runs at more than 300 km/hr. The TGV-001 is composed of two power cars with three trailer cars between them. The five cars are supported on six trucks, all of which are powered. The TGV is an articulated train.

The primary suspension consists of eight sets of helical springs per truck resting on the axlebox brackets and in series with rubber bearers which insulate the body from sound vibrations. This suspension system provides vertical, lateral, and longitudinal stiffnesses. Four hydraulic anti-pitching dampers complete the system.

The secondary suspension consists of two Sumiride air springs per truck. One air spring is on each side of the truck and rests on a bearer on the lateral suspension. Two lateral links per bearer ensure that the air springs operate vertically only. The vertical damping comes from the air springs. The air systems for the two springs are connected by a differential valve to ensure that in the event of failure of one of the springs, the car body will drop vertically on two rubber stops which are not shown in the sketch.

The secondary lateral suspension is made up of four Kleberman Colombes metal rubber sandwiches per truck installed in sets of two giving a frequency of .8 Hz. These four sandwiches are represented in the sketch by four elastic elements. The deformation of the sandwiches in shear permits the rotation of the truck in relation to the body. Two stops, which are not represented in the sketch, each exerting its effort gradually, limit the total lateral displacement between car and body to \pm 80 mm or 3.17 inches. A hydraulic shock absorber provides control of lateral movements.

There is a yaw damping arrangement in the secondary suspension which is composed of a bar with hydraulic dampers connected to the car body, and this is located on both sides of the truck as shown in the sketch. This device also keeps the truck on the line bisecting the angle between two adjacent car bodies.

The vertical load is transmitted from the carbody through the air springs into the rubber sandwiches to the truck frame, and then is taken out through the primary suspension.

- 30 -

The lateral load goes from the carbody through the lateral links and the rubber sandwiches to the truck frame and out the primary suspension.

The longitudinal load goes from the body through the 'T-shaped member in the center part of the truck into the truck frame central, cross member and then out the primary suspension. This T-shaped member is resiliently mounted within the truck central cross member and is restrained laterally and longitudinally, but allows vertical motions.



- 32 -

Y - 225



French Y-226

The Y-226 truck is used on experimental motor coach No. 27001. Since entering service in April of 1974, motor coach Z7001 had run 125000 km by the end of January 1975 and made over 100 runs at speeds between 250 and 306 km/hr.

The primary vertical suspension system consists of eight helical springs, and four vertical hydraulic dampers. Two vertical guides on the Y-226 fit into brackets in the axlebox casting and the primary longitudinal and lateral suspension consists of alternate steel and rubber rings around these guides. This arrangemnt allows the stiffness to be varied in the longitudinal and lateral directions.

The secondary suspension is composed of two large helical springs enclosing a rubber cylinder located at the ends of the truck frame central cross member. This system allows vertical, lateral and rotational motions and four hydraulic dampers restrict vertical motions. Rubber stops are arranged to provide progressively increasing resistance so that the lateral truck body movements are limited to 70 mm or 2.77 inches and these stops are not shown in the sketch. There is one lateral and two longitudinal dampers per truck. The truck rotation is limited by four stops.

The vertical and lateral loads are transmitted from the car through the long, helical springs with rubber inside into the truck frame and out the primary suspension.

The longitudinal loads are taken through the center pivot into the truck frame and out the primary suspension.



Figure 9





FIAT Eurofa

This Fiat truck is similar to the Y-32 truck previously described. Both the Y-32 and Fiat were designs for the European standard, and are capable of speeds in excess of 125 mph.

The primary suspension of the Fiat is composed of four helical coiled springs to provide vertical stiffness and flexible connections between the axlebox and truck frame to provide longitudinal and lateral stiffnesses represented in the sketch by one symbol labeled primary suspension. There are four hydraulic shock absorbers for restricting vertical motions.

The secondary suspension consists of two helical springs capped by rubber and located over the truck side frames. These helical springs provide both vertical and lateral suspension stiffnesses. There are two hydraulic dampers controlling the vertical movements and two controlling the lateral movements.

The truck has a rollbar to provide additional roll stiffness and a yaw damping arrangement to control yaw rotational motions which are fitted between the truck and the carbody.

The vertical and lateral loads are transmitted from the carbody through the helical springs into the truck frame and out the primary suspension.

The longitudinal load path follows the center pin through the equalizer bar and links into the truck frame and goes out the primary suspension.





Figure 11

- 38 -

٦

FIAT EUROFA



Courtesy of Rail Engineering International

Figure 12

- 39 -

Italian Z 1040

The Z 1040 trucks are used on the Italian State Railway's improved ALe601 electric motor coaches designed for 200 km/hr. The truck is a swing hanger type design and is powered.

The primary suspension consists of eight helical springs for vertical stiffness. Inside the helical springs are mounted concentric vertical tubes containing rubber segments, internal springs, and phenolic plastic bearings. This arrangement provides lateral and longitudinal stiffnesses and also damping of the primary helical springs because of friction between the phenolic plastic and the vertical tubes. The primary damping is represented by the symbol for viscous damping in the sketch.

The secondary suspension is composed of four helical springs for vertical stiffness, located between the bolster and spring plank, and four swing links for lateral stiffness. The bolster and spring plank is represented by an area in this particular sketch. Damping of the secondary is obtained by two vertical and four lateral hydraulic dampers, only two lateral dampers are shown in the sketch.

The car body rests on the bolster with a center pin to allow for rotational motions.

There are two truck frame cross members located close to the center of the truck which are not shown in the sketch.

The vertical load is transmitted from the carbody through the slide pads and center pivot through the bolster into the secondary vertical suspension down to the spring plank through the swing link to the truck frame, and finally out the primary suspension.

The lateral load is transmitted from the carbody through the center pin into the bolster and coil springs to the spring plank. It then goes through the swing links to the truck frame, and finally out the primary suspension.

The longitudinal load follows the center pin to the center pivot through the bolster, and then through a rod connected between the bolster and truck frame. The longitudinal load then goes out the primary suspension.

- 40 -



Ξ 1040

Figure 13

١

- 41 -





Courtesy of Rail Engineering International

Figure 14

- 42 -

German ET-403

The ET-403 is a 200 km/h four car electrically propelled train with all trucks powered.

The primary suspension is composed of four helical springs and four vertical hydraulic dampers. The longitudinal and lateral stiffnesses are provided by leaf links and elastic boxes. The longitudinal and lateral stiffnesses can be altered by changing the leaf links and the elastic boxes. Tests on the ET-403 will indicate the proper selection of the longitudinal and lateral stiffnesses for obtaining the most favorable conditions for 200 km/h stability.

The secondary suspension is made up of two M.A.N. air springs supported on laminated hollow rubber block springs which provide vertical and lateral stiffnesses and also allow rotation. After 15 mm air spring movement in negotiating curves, the air spring suspension is supplemented by elastic stops which are not shown. The secondary has two hydraulic shock absorbers for damping vertical motions, and one hydraulic shock for damping lateral and rotational motions by being located a distance from the lateral truck centerline. This truck is designed for body tilting of up to four degrees.

The vertical and lateral loads are transmitted from the carbody to the truck frame by the air springs, and then go out the primary suspension.

The longitudinal load goes through the center pin and the center pivot into the equalizer bar through the rubber bushed rods, and into the truck frame and out the primary suspension.

Ą



Truck frame cross member



- 44 -



Courtesy of Rail Engineering International



1

- 45 -

German Minden Deutz

This particular Minden Deutz truck is designed for 200 km/h or higher and was one of the four designs submitted for use as the European standard truck. The truck is a swing hanger type design.

The primary suspension is composed of eight helical coil springs for vertical motions, and eight leaf links for lateral and longitudinal motions. There are four hydraulic dampers for restricting vertical motions.

The secondary suspension comprises four helical coil springs mounted between the spring plank and the bolster only two are shown in the sketch. There are two vertical hydraulic dampers. The lateral stiffness comes from the swing links which is supplemented by rubber stops. These rubber stops are not shown in the sketch. There is one lateral damper located between the bolster and spring plank.

Rotational restraint consisting of link rods to guide the bolster and prevent it from moving longitudinally is an added feature of the truck. Also, the truck has a roll bar with spherical joints to allow free lateral motion of the bolster.

The vertical and lateral load is transmitted from the carbody through the center pin into the bolster down through the coiled spring secondary to the spring plank into the swing links. Then it goes through the truck frame and finally out through the primary suspension.

1

The longitudinal load is transmitted through the center pin into the bolster through the long link rods into the truck frame, and outthe primary suspension.



MINDEN DEUTZ

فرنشة

Figure 17

- 47 -

د. 1

MINDEN DEUTZ



229

Courtesy of Chemins De Fer

Figure 18

- 48 -

JAPAN DT200

The DT200 is a truck which is used on the Japanese New Tokaido Line and is designed for speeds of 200 km/h between the cities of Tokyo and Osaka. The track is standard gauge 1435 mm or 56.5 inches.

The primary suspension is composed of eight helical coil springs for vertical movements, and eight leaf links, similar to the Minden Deutz truck, with rubber bushings at the end for lateral and longitudinal motions. This suspension system is represented in the sketch by four springs. Four vertical dampers restrict vertical motions.

The secondary suspension consists of two air springs which provide vertical and lateral stiffnesses, and also restrict vertical motions due to air damping. This vertical air damping is supplemented by two hydraulic dampers. There are two lateral hydraulic dampers in the secondary. The longitudinal stiffness comes from two anchor rods which connect the bolster to the carbody.

The vertical load is transmitted from the carbody through the air springs, into the side bearers and out the primary suspension.

The lateral load is transmitted through the air springs into the center pivot, and out the primary suspension.

The longitudinal load is transmitted from the car through the anchor rods into the center pivot, and out the primary suspension.

 $e^{i \frac{1}{2} \epsilon}$



Truck frame center cross member

Figure 19

- 50 -

DT - 200

, . .









Courtesy of Chemins De Fer

Figure 20

- 51 - ,

Canadian LRC

The LRC was tested at the U.S. Department of Transportation High Speed Ground Test Center at Pueblo, Colorado in the late autumn of 1974. Speeds of up to 210 km/h were reached.

The primary suspension of the LRC passenger car vehicle is composed of four Metalastik Chevron-springs which provide vertical, lateral and longitudinal stiffnesses. There are four Houdaille rotary hydraulic shock absorbers mounted at the axle boxes to control truck frame vertical and pitching motions.

The secondary suspension has two large diameter rollingdiaphragm air springs for vertical and rotational motions. The lateral suspension is made up of the combined shearing of two traction and four bearing pads. The traction pads are located between the top bolster and the tilting bolster, and are contained between the top bolster and the center post. The center post is situated between the tilt bolster and the top bolster. The traction pads are represented in the sketch by two lateral suspension elements. The four bearing pads are located between the upper bolster and the tilt bolster and are represented in the sketch by one suspension element. There are two vertical and two lateral hydraulic dampers for restricting their respective motions.

The LRC truck is an interesting one due to the addition of an active tilt system. An accelerometer is mounted on the tilt bolster to sense lateral accelerations. When these accelerations become too high, the accelerometer signal causes the activation of the tilting mechanism, and the bolster tilts until the lateral acceleration falls below the detection threshold of the accelerometer.

The vertical load is transmitted through the air springs into the top bolster through the bearing pads to the tilt bolster out to the truck frame, and down the primary suspension.

The lateral load is transmitted from the carbody to the bolster through the lateral link into the traction and bearing pads to the tilt bolster. The load goes out to the truck side frames and through the primary suspension.

The longitudinal load is transmitted to the bolster through longitudinal traction links to the center post down to the tilt bolster out to the side frames and through the primary suspension.



Figure 21

~ 53 -

LRC.



£

Exploded view of the coach bogie

92

۹.

Courtesy of Rail Engineering International

Figure 22

· 54 -

English BT10

The BT10 truck is used on the British Rail's High Speed Train passenger coaches. This high speed diesel train was tested at a speed of 225 km/h in June of 1973 and is composed of two power cars and several intermediate passenger coaches.

The primary suspension consists of four helical springs for vertical stiffness. There are axle box radius arms which are pinned through rubber bushings to the frame and they provide the lateral and longitudinal stiffnesses. Four hydraulic dampers restrict the vertical motions between the frame and the axle.

The secondary suspension is composed of two diaphragm air springs for vertical motions. These are located between the spring plank and bolster. Four swing links which connect the truck frame to the spring plank provide the lateral stiffness. The longitudinal secondary stiffness comes from two anchor rods which are connected between the bolster and the truck frame. It should be noted that both the spring plank and bolster are represented in the sketch by an area. There are two truck frame cross members not indicated in the sketch.

The vertical load path is transmitted from the body through the slide pads into the bolster through the air springs up the swing links to the truck frame and then out the primary suspension.

The lateral load path is carried from the body through the center pin and the bolster. The load goes down the air springs to the spring plank up the swing links and then out the primary suspension.

The longitudinal load is transmitted through the center pin out to the sides of the bolster through the anchor rods to the truck frame and out the primary suspension.



Figure 23

- 56 -

BT - 10

BT-10

Fig. 2. Isometric drawing of BR Mark III coach BT10 bagie as fitted to the intermediate veh-icles of the HST 1. Primary damper 2. Disc brake 3. Lateral damper 4. Air reservoir 5. Bolster 6. Bagie frame

З.

- 5. Bolster 6. Bogie frame 7. Wheel slide protection detector 8. Traction rod 9. Levelling valve 10. Spring plank 11. Air suspension indicator 2. Aic surger
- 12. Air spring 13. Swing link 14. Centre pivot



Courtesy of Rail Engineering International

Figure 24

- 57 -

RUSSIAN ER200

The ER200, a 14-car train designed for 200 km/h service between Moscow and Leningrad had already achieved 206 km/h prior to June of 1975 on the Sherbinka test track near Moscow. The 14-car train has the trucks of the two end cars unpowered with all the remaining trucks powered. The same type truck is used under all cars. The track gauge is 1524 mm or 60 inches as opposed to the standard of 1435 mm and 56.5 inches.

The primary suspension of the ER200 has eight helical coil springs to provide vertical stiffness. There are flexible connections between the axle and the truck frame to provide vertical, lateral and longitudinal motions. There are four hydraulic shock absorbers for restricting vertical motions.

The secondary suspension consists of two self-levelling air springs to provide vertical and lateral stiffnesses. There are two vertical, and two lateral hydraulic dampers per truck. The anchor rods located between the bolster and carbody give the longitudinal secondary suspension stiffness.

The vertical load is transmitted from the carbody through the air springs, down the side bearers into the truck frame and out the primary suspension.

The lateral load goes from the carbody through the air springs, down the center pin to the pivot into the truck frame and out the primary suspension.

The longitudinal load goes from the carbody through anchor rods down the center pin into the truck frame and out the primary suspension.



Figure 25

- 59 -

ER 200



ER 200-



Powered bogie for the ER200 with electro-magnetic rail brakes for emergency use only

Courtesy of Railway Gazette International

Figure 26

- 60 -
Pioneer - III

This particular version of the Pioneer - III truck was designed for use on the Amcoaches. The Amcoaches are locomotive hauled and capable of speeds up to 120 mph.

The P - III primary suspension consists of four rubber rings between the axle and the side frame and four side bearers between the side frames and the bolster. There are no hydraulic dampers in the primary. The primary suspension is relatively stiff in comparison to the other high speed trucks described.

The secondary vertical suspension consists of coil springs in series with air springs. The lateral stiffness is obtained by the shearing of the coil springs in series with lateral stabilizing rods having rubber bushings at the ends. There are two Houdaille rotary shock absorbers in both the vertical and lateral directions for restricting these motions. Two anchor rods connected between the bolster and carbody restrict longitudinal motions.

The P - III is an articulated frame with independent side frames.

The vertical load is transmitted from the carbody through the air and coil springs to the side bearers and out the primary suspension.

The lateral load goes from the carbody through the coil and stabilizing rods through the center pivot to the truck frame and out the primary suspension,

The longitudinal load goes from the carbody through the anchor rods into the center pivot to the truck frame and out the primary suspension.



P - III

Figure 27

- 62 -



TRUCK ARRANGEMENT

Figure 28

- 63 - .

METROLINER

The Metroliner is a vehicle designed for speeds of 160 mph or 258 kmh. There are 61 of these vehicles in service in the Northeast Corridor. Both axles of the Metroliner truck are powered.

The primary suspension consists of an equalizer beam with Pirelli coil springs mounted on it, which provide vertical, lateral and longitudinal suspension stiffness.

The secondary suspension is composed of coil springs in parallel with air springs to give vertical and lateral suspension stiffnesses. The air springs are designed to provide load leveling of the carbody under the passenger load and does not add much stiffness to the coil spring. Anchor rods connected between the bolster and carbody give the longitudinal stiffness. Secondary damping is achieved by two vertical rotary dampers and two lateral rotary dampers.

The Metroliner has a 12500 pound power transformer suspended from the carbody which adds complexity to the dynamic system.

The vertical and lateral loads are transmitted from the carbody through the air and coil springs into the bolster to the center pin down to the central cross member out to the truck frame down the primary suspension and then out the equalizer beam.

The longitudinal load goes from the carbody through the anchor rods to the bolster over to the center pin back out over to the cross member to the truck side frame through the primary suspension and out the equalizer beam.





Figure 29

-65 -

می اورین با این مربقی میشود و میروسید از معالی می مربومیند و میروسید از این مربور میشود و میروسید از میروسید میروند از میروسید از میروسید از میروسید از میروسید از میروسید از میروسی

METROLINER



4.2 Truck Data

The data for each high speed truck mentioned in section 2.2 is included here in a table with a common engineering format. Basic properties or characteristics of the trucks are given at the top of each page such as the truck type, total truck weight per vehicle, car body ready to run weight and the design speed. The units are specified in both the English System and in parenthesis for the Metric System.

The data presented are limited in scope being based upon data which were available and retrievable from The Budd Company's files. Engineering estimations supplied in the tables are based on The Budd Company's experience in the railcar industry.

The table itself is broken down into three main sections; the truck unsprung mass, the truck sprung mass and the truck suspension characteristics. All the basic parameters essential for dynamic modeling activities are provided in this table. An NA in the table means the data is not applicable.

The engineering format developed is based on the truck being symmetrical about its axis, so there are no offset distances to be considered. The truck frame and bolster center of gravity are located at their geometric center.

4.2.1 Truck Unsprung Mass Discussion

In the Truck Unsprung Mass Section of the table the following methods were employed where engineering estimations were required:

- Wheelset mass approximations were based on the wheel diameter and on other truck wheelsets where masses were known.
- 2) The total truck unsprung mass was estimated to be twice the wheelset mass, except for the LRC and the ER 200 where the estimations of truck unsprung mass were chosen so that the ratio of truck sprung to unsprung mass was in the same range as the ratios for the other unpowered and powered trucks, respectively.

4.2.2 Truck Sprung Mass Discussion

The mass estimations in the truck sprung mass section of the table were calculated based on the estimation for unsprung mass and then doing the necessary subtractions since the total truck mass was always known.

The roll pitch and yaw radii of gyration calculations for all trucks were based on one-third of the sprung weight at each side frame and one-third in the middle section. The column for traction motor mass includes one of the following three items:

- a) Unpowered, mass not applicable
- b) Powered, but mass unknown
- c) An actual mass number

In the tables where b) and c) are applicable either an NI or I is used to explain whether the traction motor mass has been included in the truck sprung. An NI indicates the mass has not been included, and an I indicates the mass has been included.

The electromagnetic brake mass is not included in the sprung mass of trucks Y-28, Y-32, Fiat Eurofa, Minden Deutz, and ER200. This is represented in the table by an NI-adjacent to the mass number of the E.M. brake. In these trucks, the brake is sprung from the truck frame at an estimated frequency of less than 5 Hz. This would effect the low frequency dynamics for soft primary suspension trucks.

The electromagnetic brake mass is included in trucks Y-224, Y-225, Y-226 and ET-403; and this is represented in the data table by an I adjacent to the mass number for the brake. In these trucks the E. M. brake is controlled by a pneumatic system and unless the E. M. brakes are applied, the mass is considered to be part of the sprung mass of the truck.

When estimations of the E.M. brake masses were needed, they were made based on other truck E.M. brakes where masses were known.

4.2.3 Truck Suspension Discussion

In the truck suspension section of the table, the stiffness and damping numbers are on a per truck basis. The vertical distance from the top of the running rail (TORR) to the springs and dampers are distances to their effective points of action, usually at the geometric center.

The following methods were used when engineering estimations were needed:

- 1) The range of lateral and longitudinal primary suspension stiffnesses were based on a single degree-of-freedom approach for the truck rigid body mode where frequencies varied from a lower limit of 4 Hz to an upper limit of 100 Hz.
- 2) The lateral and longitudinal primary damping assumed a range of critical damping from 2% to 50% considering the truck rigid body mode.

- 3) The range of longitudinal secondary suspension stiffness varied from 3 Hz to 50 Hz for the rigid car body modes.
- 4) The longitudinal secondary damping ranged from 2% to 50% of critical damping for the rigid car body modes.
- 5) A range of vertical primary suspension damping was provided. The upper limit of the vertical uncoupled sprung truck mass bounce mode was damped at 50% of critical damping. The lower limit of the primary damping was based on a total weight (1/2 car and sprung truck) resting on the primary suspension of a truck, that the vibration would be damped at 2% of critical damping.
- 6) The vertical secondary damping was estimated based on 17% of critical damping of the carbody vertical bounce mode and the effects due to the primary suspension.
- 7) The secondary lateral damping was estimated based on a range between 10% and 30% of critical damping of the carbody uncoupled lateral rigid body mode.

In instances where the primary and secondary suspension stiffnesses were not available from the literature, the stiffnesses were calculated based on a 1.1 Hz uncoupled carbody bounce frequency and 5.5 Hz coupled vertical carbody and truck bounce modes, except in cases of the Y-224 truck, and the Minden Deutz truck.

The Y-224 stiffnesses were based on coupled carbody and truck modes having a low frequency of 1.35 Hz and a high frequency of 7.35 Hz.

The Minden Deutz primary vertical suspension was based on an article from the literature, but it was uncertain whether the information was directly applicable to this truck. The secondary vertical stiffness assumed a 1.1 Hz uncoupled car body bounce frequency.

The lateral secondary suspension stiffness estimations were based on an uncoupled lateral rigid carbody mode having a frequency of .7 Hz. The .7 Hz frequency number was used due to the experience obtained from known trucks. The ET 403 is an exception to this: the estimation was based on an article from the literature where the suspension was said to be slightly stiffer than another truck where the data was known. The value of slightly stiffer was selected to be 10% greater than the known truck.

The part of the truck suspension section of the table having to do with roll stiffness and damping, and yaw stiffness and damping has numbers supplied only when a truck has a particular device for adding to the stiffness or damping in these degrees of freedom. For example, if a truck has a roll bar, this would provide additional roll stiffness, than that provided by other suspension elements.

The Y-28 and Y-32 roll bar stiffnesses were calculated based on coupled roll lateral frequencies. The Fiat Eurofa truck and the minden Deutz roll stiffnesses were estimated based on their similarity to the Y-32.

Yaw frictional restraint numbers were calculated for all trucks based on the following article by j. L. Koffman entitled "Rotational Resistance of Bogie Wagons" from <u>Rail Engineering</u> International, July, 1971, pages 106 to 112.

Yaw damping estimations were made only on those trucks which had a device which included a shock absorber for restricting only yaw motion. These trucks are the Y-32, Y-225 and Fiat Eurofa. The estimations were based on converting the range of yaw frictional restraint into viscous damping numbers.

- Truck data table abbreviations:
 - NA data is not applicable
 - NI mass is not included in truck sprung mass
 - I mass is included in truck sprung mass
 - * engineering estimation

Y - 28

Table 2

Truck lype: French Y-28 Car Body: See Table 17 Truck Weight (2 Trucks): 26400 lbs. (121) Car Body RYR Weight: 33790 lbs. (381) Vehicle RTR Weight: 110250 lbs. (48.8t) Dealgn Speed: 125 mph (200 kmh)

•

.7

.

TRUCK UNSPRUNG MASS

	Mags Lb-Sec ² /In (Kg-Sec ² /Cn)	Dlameter In (nm)	Conteity N.D.	Wheelbase In (mm)	Track Gauge In (mm)
Wheelset	7.3	35.2		100.8	56.5
	(1.31)	(920)	1,4041.3*	(2560)	(1435)
Total Truck Unsprung	14.6				
	(2.62)				

	Nass Lb-Sect/In	Rad	Radii of Gyration In. (mm)				
	(Kg-Sec ² /Cm) Per Truck	Roll	Roll Pitch				
Truck Sprung	13.8	34.6*	29.1*	45.1*	25.6		
	(2.46)	(879)*	(739)*	(1146)	(650)		
Bolster	2.4	22.5*	74	23.5*	34.6		
	(.42)	(572)¶	(178)*	(597)∎	(880)		
Fruck and Bolster	16.2	33.1*	27.1*	42.5*	26.8		
Sprung	(2.88)	(842)■	(689)♥	(1080)"	(680)		
Traction Motor	Unpowered						
E.M. Brake	3.4 (.61) ^{NI}				·		

TRUCK SUSPENSION

	í	54465-444	Damping	Spring Spacings In (mm)		Danper S	pacings	In (กรก)	
		Lb/In (Kg/Cm) Per Truck	(kg-Sec/Cm) Per Truck	Vertical From TORP	Lateral	Long.	Vertical From TORR	Lateral	Long.
P		13150	52"-125*		77.6	100.8		77.6	100.8
R	Vertical	(2347)	(11#-27*)	NA	(1970)	(2560)	NA	(1970)	(2560)
I		112000-168000	18*-1650*	18.1		64.2	18.1		64.2
A	Lateral	(2000-3000)	(3*-295*)	(460)	NA	(1630)	(460)	NA	(1630)
R		.560000	120*-3012*	18.1	77.6		18.1	77.6	
Y	Long.	(100000)	(21#-538#)	(460)	(1970)	NA	(460)	(1970)	NA
3		5186	120*		74.0	19.1		54.4	54.4
E	vertical	(926)	(91*)	NA	(1880)	(485)	NA	(1380)	(1380)
с о		2130	96#288#	25.6		ο.	25.5		Ο.
И	Lateral	(390)	(20*-62*)	(650)	na -		(650)	NA	
D		\$8506-10.7x10	828-34000#	25.6	0		25.6	0	
A	Long.	(7900-1.9x10 ⁶)	⁽⁾ (;5¥-CoBo#)	(650)	(0)	NA	(650)	(0)	Бă.
X		Stiffness In-Lb/Rad	Damping Lu-Jec+In	Fricti	unal <u>sea</u> In - Lb	ี่มีกะ*่∩ป	_		
I		(Kg-Cm/Rad)	(<u>h/cc-Cm)</u>	+	<u>(Kg - 05</u>	2			
	Roll	1.2x 40 (1.8x10)	104	}	ΝA				
	Yaw	ti A	ИА	1 x 1 1.2 z	0 ⁵ * to 5 10 ⁵ * to	у 10 ⁵ ∰ Су 105€)			

Reproduced from best available copy. ÷

_

•

.

.

Truck Type: Car Body: Truck Weight (2 Trucks):	French Y-32 Yes Mable 17 R0000 1bs. (13.6t) 70240 1bs. (31.9t)		Y - 32
Vehicle RTR Weight: Design Speed:	1003/10 105. (45.50) 155 mph (150 kmh)	•	Table 3

TRUCK UNSPRUNG MASS

	Nass ,lb-Sec ² /In (Ng-Sec ² /Cm)	Dlameter In (mm)	Conicity N.D.	Wheelbase In (mm)	Track Gauge In (mm)
Wheelset	8.0	35.0		100.8	5.90
	(1.43)	(890)	1/403-	(25(0)	(1435)
Total Truck	16.0				
Unsprung	(2.85)				

TRUCK SPRUNG MASS

	Mass	Rad	Radii of Gyration In. (mm)			
	(Kg-Sec ² /Cm) Per Truck	Roll	Pitch	Yaw		
Truck Sprung	19 4#	35.0	29.0∎	45.3*	19.7	
	(3.46)*	(889)	(737)*	(1151)	(500)	
Bolster	ŅA	NA	NA	ŅA	NA	
Nruck and Bolster Sprung	 NA	ŅA	NA	NA	NA	
Traction Motor	Unpowered					
E.M. Brake	3.6 (.64) NI			×.		

TRUCK SUSPENSION

	1	······							
		Stiffness	Damping Lb-Sec/In	Spring S	pacings	In. (m.m.)	Damper S	pacings 1	(n (na)
		Lb/In (Kg/Cm) Per Truck	(^K E-Sec/Cm) Per Truck	Vertical From TCRE	Lateral	Long.	Vertical From TORR	Lateral	Long.
Р		14000	50¥-266¥		78.7	100.8		78.7	120.6
н	Vertical	(2500)	(9*-47*)	NA	(2000)	(2560)	NA	(2000)	(3064)
I		26600	295-718*	18.1		66.9	17.5		66.9
A	Lateral	(4750)	(5*-128*)	(460)	NA	(1700)	(444)	NA	(1700)
R		324800	100#-2510#	18.1	78.7		18.1	78.7	
¥	Long.	(58000)	(18*_448*)	(460)	(2000),	NA	(460)	(2000)	NA
s		4590	335*		78.7	¢.		106.3	23.0
Ξ	Vertical	(820)	(60*)	NA	(2000)		NA	(2700)	(585)
C O		1867 to 1930	£3#-25C₩	28,4		¢.	32.2		0
N	Lateral	333 to 345	(184-54*)	(721)	NA		(818)	١'A	(0)
D		32300-9x10 ⁵	694-28609*	17.5	0		17.5	٥	
A	Long.	(5770-].6x10⁶)	* (12*-5100*) \	(444)	(0)	NA 1	(444)	(u)	pe.
н У		Stiffness Jn-L5/Rad (Kg-Cm/Had)	Damping Lb-Sec-In (Kg-Nec-Cm)	Fricti In - I	onal ben 15 (Kz -	trairt Cm)			<u> </u>
	Ro11	2.5x10" (2.3 z10")	NA		NA		-		
	Yaw	nin	1.6-8.1 X10 ⁶ * (1.6-9.3 X10 ⁶ *	1 x-10 (<u>1 x 10</u>	5* 11.5 5 11.6 x	x 10 ⁵ * 10 ⁵ *		•	

;

- 72 -

· • • • • •

• • • • •

.

Truck Type: Can Body:	French Y224 Son Woble 27	
Truck Weight (2 Trucks):	28800 1:5. (13.06t)	ະ ວາມ
Car Body RTR Weight: Nobicle BTR Weight:	65490 10s. (29.7t) 95290 154 (42.7t)	1 - 224
Design Speed:	125 - 155 mph (200 - 210 kmh)	Table 4

TRUCK UNSPRUNG MASS

	Nass Lb-Sec/In (Kg-Sec ² /Cm)	Diameter In (mm)	Conicity N.D.	Wheelbase In (mm)	Track Gauge In (mm)
Wheelsct	8.0* (1.5*)	33 .9 (860)	1/4043*	106.3 (2700)	56.5 (1435)
Total Truck Unsprung	16.0" (2.86")				

		TRUCK	SPRUNG MASS	_			
	Mass	Radi	Radii of Gyration In. (mm)				
	(Kg-Sec ² /Cm) Per Truck	Roll	Pitch	Yaw	IOAA CO HUCA C.g. In (mm)		
Truck Sprung	21,2*	36.7*	30.54	47.6*	18.1		
	(3.79)*	(932)*	(777)•	(1209)*	(460)		
Bolster	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Truck and Bolster Sprung	NA	NA	- NA	NA	NA		
Traction Motor	Unpowered						
E.M.Brake	3.4* (.61*) I						

.,*

TRUCK SUSPENSION

	ſ		Damping	Spring Spacings In (mm)		Damper S	pacings 1	(mm)	
		Stiffness Lb/In (Kg/Cm) Per Truck	Lb-Sec/In (Kg-Sec/Cm) Per Truck	Vertical From TORP	Lateral	Long	Vertical From TORR	Lateral	Long.
P R	Vertical	37300 * (6660)*	85*-619* (19*-134)	NA	82.1 (2085)	106.3 (2700)	NA	82.1 (2085)	106.3 (2700)
I M A	Lateral	13390-8 x10 ⁵ (2391-1.4x1໔)	21#-13000¥ *(4*-2300*)	16.5 (420)	NA	106.3 (2700)	17 (430)	NA	106.3 (2700)
R Y	Long.	13390-8x10 ⁶ # (2391-1.4x10 ⁶)	21#-13000# (4#-2300*)	16.5 (420)	82.1 (2085)	NA	17 (430)	82.1 (2085)	NA
S E	Vertical	7500 * (1339)*	356* (64)*	NA	82.1 (2085)	0.	NA	82.1 (2085)	24.8 (630)
C O N	Lateral	1650* (295)∎	150" (27)"	40.2 (1020)	NA	0.	40.2 (1020)	NA	18.7 (475)
DA	Long.	30100-8.4x10 ⁶ (5370-2.7x10 ⁶)	64¶-20700¥ * (11¶-4800)*	40.2 (1020)	ΰ.	NF.	40.2 (1020)	106.3 (2700)	NA
R		Stiffness In-Lb/Rad (Kg-Cm/Rad)	Damping Lb-Sec-In (Kg-Sec-Cm)	Frictio	onal Rest In - Lb Kg - Cm)	raint			
	Roll	NA	НА		NA				
	Yaw	МА	11A.,	J x 10 ⁵	1 to 5 k	10 ⁵ * 10 ⁵)*			

Reproduced from best available copy.

œ

Truck Weight	Truck Type: Car Hody: (Articulated Train);	French ¥-225 See Table 17 22491 (ba. (10.2t)	Y - 225
	Car Body RTR Weight: Vehicle RTR Weight: Design Speed:	41800 165. (197) 64380 165. (29.2t) .155-180 mph (250-300 kmh)	Table 5

TRUCK U	NSPRUNG	MASS
---------	---------	------

	Mass Lb-Sec ² /In (Kg-Sec ² /Cm)	Diameter In (תח)	Conicity N.D.	Wheelbase In (mm)	Track Gauge In (mm)
Wheelset	8.6 *	35.4	1/40=3	102.4	56.5
	(1.53)*	(900)		(2600)	(1435)
Total Truck	18.2				
Unsprung	(3,26)		,		

TRUCK SPRUNG MASS Radii of Gyration In. (mm) Nass Lb-Sec²/In (Kg-Sec²/Cm) Per Truck TORR to Truck c.g. In (r-.) Yaw Pitch Ro11 39.9 34.2* 34.9* 48.7* 20.3 Truck Sprung (515) (7.14) (869) (886)* (1237) Solster NA NA NA NA ΝA Truck and Bolster Sprung • NA NA NA ŇА NA 16.7 Traction Motor I (2.99) 3.4= E.M. Brake I (.61)*

TRUCK SUSPENSION

		Stiffness	Damping Lb-Sec/In	Spring S	pacings	In. (mm)	Damper S	pacings 1	ln (mm)
		Lb/In (Kg/Cm) Per Truck	(Kg-Sec/Cm) Per_Truck	Vertical From TCRE	Lateral	Long.	Vertical From TORP	Lateral	Long.
'P R	Vertical	32000 * (5713.6) *	85*-619* (15*-121)*	NA	76.8 (1950)	102.4 (2600)	NA	76.8 (1950)	129,9 (3300)
I M A	Lateral	2.5x107*-1.5 x107 (4.5x103-2.9 x10 ⁶)*	40*-25060* (7.1*~450C)*	15.8 (401)	NA	102.4 (2600)	15.8 (401)	NZ	102.4 (2600)
R Y	Long.	2.5x10 ⁵ -1.6 x ¹⁰⁷ (4.5x10 ³ -2.9 x ¹⁰ ⁵ *	40*-25000* (7.1*-4500*	15.8 (401)	76.8 (1950)	NA	17.7 (450)	76.8 (1950)	NA
SE	Vertical	5000# (892.7)₽	310* (55) *	NA	76.8 (1950)	ο.	NA	76.8 (1950)	0.
0 N	Lateral	2000 * (357)*	93*-279* (17*-50)*	27.0 (686)	NA	27.6 (700)	27.0 (686)	NA	υ.
D A	Long.	38500-10.7 x;0 ⁶⁴ (6900*-1.9 x)10 ⁶)	82#-34060# (15#-6200)#	27.0 (686)	76.8 (1950)	АП	27.0 (C86)	76.8 (105.3	NA
R Y		Stiffness In-Lb/Rad (Kg-Cn/Rad)	Damping Lb-Sec-In (Kg-Sec-Cm)	-1351-1 1 ()	20al Re.r In - 15 1 <u>7 - Cr</u>)	raint			
	Roll	N4	N <i>I</i> .		NA				
	Yaw	АА	9.3x10 - 6.7x10 (1.1-1.6411)*	50 x 10-9 (.7 x 10 ⁵)	to 3 x	105 x 10 ²)*			,

 $\frac{9.3x^{(3)} \cdot 6.7x^{(1)}}{(1.1^{-6} \cdot 6.6x^{(1)})^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{10^{5}}{(.7 \times 10^{5})^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{10^{5}}{1.3} \frac{1}{3.5} \frac{1}{2} \frac{10^{5}}{1.5} \frac{1}{2}$

Ĩ ŝ

- 74 -

Truck Type:	French Y-126
Car Sody:	See Table 17
Truck Weight (2 Trucks):	30600 Ibs. (16.6t)
Car Body RTR Weight:	94225 1bs. (45t)
Vehicle RTR Weight:	134325 lbs. (61.6t)
Design Speed:	155-186 mph (250 - 300 kmh)

Y - 226 .

Table 6

		TRUCK L	INSPRUNG MASS		
	Mass 'Lb-Sec ² /In (Kg-Sec ² /Cm)	Dlameter In (mm)	Conicity N.D.	Wheelbase In (mm)	Track Gauge In (mm)
Wheelset	8.6	35.8	1/40*3*	114.1	56.5
	(1.53)	(910)		(2900)	(1435)
Total Truck	Vert. 22 (39)				
Unsprung	Lat. 45 (8.1)				

TRUCK SPRUNG MASS

	Mass Th-See /Th	Radi	TOBE to Truck		
	(Kg-Sec ² /Cm) Per Truck	Roll	Pitch	Yaw	
Fruck Sprung	Vert.25.4(4.5)	36.4*	33.2*	50.04	16.9
	Lat.(2.3)(.40)	(1924)*	(843)¶	(1270)*	(430)
Bolster	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Truck and Bolster Sprung	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Traction	Suspended		_ 4		<u></u>
Motor	From Body NI				
E M Brotes	3.14				
Die. Drake	(.56)				
1					

••

TRUCK SUSPENSION

	1		Damping	Spring S	Spring Spacings In (mm)			pacings I	in (mm)
		Stiffness Lb/In (Kg/Cm) Per Truck	LD-Sec/In (Kg-Sec/Cm) Per Truck	Vertical From TORK	Lateral	Long.	Vertical From TORR	Lateral	Long.
P P.	Vertical	25000 * (4463.7)*	84*-475* (15*-85)#	NA	90.6 (2300)	114.2 (2900)	NA	96.5 (2450)	114.2 (2900)
I M A	Lateral	1453-9.1x10 ⁵ # (259-1.6x10 ⁵)	3*-1450* (1*-259)*	18 (457)	NA	113.6 (3520)	18 (457)	NA	113.6 (3520)
R Y	Long,	1453-9.1x10 ⁵ * 259~1.6x10 ⁵)*	3*-1450# (1*-259)*	18 - (457)	90.6 (2300)	NA	18 (457)	90.6 (2300)	NA
SE	Vertical	6000♥ (1017)♥	412 * (74)*	NA	78.0 (1980)	٥.	NA	78.0 (1980)	27.6 (700)
с о л	Lateral	2480* - (443.)*	53*-159* (9*-28)*	30.7 (780)	tIA	0.	30.7 (780)	NA	o.
D A	Long. $\begin{array}{c} 45600 - 12.7 & 97^{\bullet} - 40400^{\bullet} \\ \times 10^{6} & (17^{\bullet} - 7200)^{\bullet} \\ (8149 - 2.3210^{6})^{\bullet} \end{array}$		30.7 (780)	78.0 (1980)	на	30.7 (780)	98.4 (2500)	<i>li</i> A	
R Y		Stiffness In-Lb/Rad (Kr-Cm/Rad)	Damping Lb-Sec-In (Kg-Sec-Cm)	Frictional Restraint In - Lb (Kr Cm)					
	Roll	A11	NA		NA		ļ		
		11/	ПА	1.5 × 10) ⁵ to 7.	5 x 10 ⁵ * <u>x 10⁵*</u>).			

Truck Type: Car Body: Truck Weight (2 Trucks): Car Body RTR Weight: Vehicle RTR Weight: Design Speed:

Truck Type: Italian Fiat Eurofa Car Body: See Table 17 ; (2 Trucks): 31000 lbs. (14t) / RTR Weight: 66150 lbs. (30t) 5 RTR Weight: 97150 lbs. (44t) Design Speed: 125 to 155 mph (200 to 250 kmh)

Fiat Eurofa

. .

Table 7 .

menek	TINSPRIMO	MACC
TRUCK	UNSFRUNU	PIA00

	Mass Lb-Sec ² /In (Kg-Sec ² /Cm)	Diameter In (num)	Conicity N.D.	Wheelbase In (mm)	Track Gauge
Wheelset	8.6	36.2	1/40*3*	102.4	56.5
	(1.53)`	(920)		(2600)	(1435)
Total Truck	17.2				
Unsprung	(3.06)				

TRUCK SPRUNG MASS

{	Nass Th-Seed/In	Had:	TORR to Truck		
	(Kg-Sec ² /Cm) Per Truck	Roll	Pitch	Yaw	c.g. In (۲۰۰۱)
Truck Sprung	19.7 * (3.52)*	36.9* (937)*	29.3 * (744)*	47.3* (3048)*	15.7 (400)
Bolster	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Truck and Bolster Sprung	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Traction Mctor	Unpowered				,
E.M. Brake	3.40 NI (.61)				

TRUCK SUSPENSION

		St.1ffness	Damping Stiffness Lb-Ser/In		Spring Spacings In (mm)			Damper Spacings In (mm)		
		Lb/In (Kg/Cm) Per Truck	K5-Sec/Cm) Per Truck	Vertical From TCRR	Lateral	Long.	Vertical From TORR	Lateral	Long.	
P R	Vertical	14000 (2500)	53*-266* (9*-47*)	NA	79.1 (2010)	102.4 (2600)	NA	79.1 (2010)	122.0 (3100)	
I M A	Lateral	26000 (4650)	29#~716# (5#-128#)	17.7 (450)	NA	70.1 (1780)	18.1 (460)	NA	70.1 (1780)	
R _. Y	Long.	+ 170260 (30400)	73 * -1831* (13*-327*)	17.7 (450)	78.7 (2000)	NA	18.1	78.7	NA	
S E	Vertical	4667 to 4870 (833 to 870)	343 * (E1)*	NA	79.1 (2010)	0.	NA	105.; (2670)	0.	
O N	Lateral	1867 to 1931 (333 to 345)	81" to 247" (17" to 53)"	26.5 (673)	NA	ο,	26.5 (673)	NA	0	
D A	Long.	30400-8.4x)0 ⁶ (5430=-1.5x10 ⁶	65=-27400= (12)=4800)#	26.5 (673)	79.1 (2010)	NA	26.5 (673)	(2776)	NA	
R Y		Stiffnese In-Do/Red (Kr-Cr/Red)	Damping hb-Sec-In (Xg-Sec-Cm)	Frictional hestraint In - 15 (Kg - Cm)		raint				
	Holl	2.6210 / (2.9210)	л. ^У		ΝΛ					
	Yaw	μA	1.6-8.1710 ⁴ * (1.8.9.3717)*	1 x 10 ⁵ (1.7 x 1 ⁵	*10 5 x 1 10 6 x	10 ⁵ * 1 <u>0</u> 5]*				

į

San Lenner

• -

 $\mathbb{N}_{12} = \mathbb{N}_{2}$

۱. ۲۰۰۰ Truck Type: Italian Z1040 Car Body: See Table 17 Truck Weight (2 Trucks): colf0 lba. (30.01) Car Body RTR Weight: 72705 lba. (33.01) Vehicle RTR Weight: 138915 lba. (63.01) Design Speed: 149 mph (240 kmh)

ι.

Z 1040

Table 8

TRUCK UNSPRUNG MASS

	Mass Lb-Sec ² /In (Kg-Sec ² /Cm)	In (ma)	Conicity N.D.	Wheelbase In (nm)	Track Gauge In (nm)	
Wheelset	12.8* .	40.9	1 // 04 24	118.1	56.5	
	(2,3∜)	(1040)	1/40-2.3-	(3000)	(1435)	
Total Truck	25.7*					
Unsprung	(4.59)*					

TRUCK SPRUNG MASS

	Mass Lb-Sec2/Tr	Rad	Radii of Gyration in. (r.m)				
	(Kg-Sec ² /Cm) Per Truck	Roll	Pitch	Yaw	C.G. In (mm)		
Truck Sprung	28.5*	34.9	40.9*	53.5*	31.5		
	·(5.10)¥	(885)#	(1039)*	(1359)*	(800)		
Bolster	4.0*	26.9	13.3*	30.0*	11.0		
	(1.71)"	(683)∎	(338)*	(762)*	(280)		
Truck and Bolster	32.5	34.7*	39.2*	51.2*	29.		
Sprung	(5,81)*	(881)∎	(995)•	(1301)*	(737)		
Traction Motor	27.4 NI 4.89						
E.M. Brake	NA						

TRUCK SUSPENSION

			•						
		Stiffness	Damping Lb-Sec/In	Spring S	pacings	In (mm)	Damper S	pacings :	In (mm)
		Lb/In (Kg/Cm) Per Truck	(Kg-Sec/Om) Per Truck	Vertical From TORK	Lateral	Long.	Vertical From TCRP	Lateral	Long.
P	Vortfaal	11668	58*-327*		78.7	118.1		78.7	118.1
R	15101091	(2083)	(10*-58*)	NA	(2000)	(3000)	NA	(2000)	(3000)
I		20.5x10 ⁴ -1.28	103*-20400*	20.5		118.1	20.5		118.1
A	Lateral	$(3.7 \times 10^{4})^{104}$	(18*~3600*)	(520)	NA	(3000)	(520)	NA	(3000)
R		20.5x104-1.28	103-20400*	20.5	78.7		20.5	78.7	
Y	Long.	(3.7x106-2.3 x10 ⁶ *)	(18⊭∼ 3600⊬)	(520)	(2000)	NA	(521)	(2000)	NA
s	1	5186	413*		81.1	16.9		53.7	٥.
E	vertical.	(926)	(74*)	NA	(2060)	(430)	NA	(2380)	
C O		1750#	81* 10 242*	21.7		39.4	18.9		18.9
N	Lateral	(312)*	(17# to 52#)	(550)	NA	(1000)	(481)	ŇÅ	(480)
D		33500*-9.3	71*-29600*	21.7	81.1		21.7	81.1	
A	Long.	x30 ⁰ " (5980-1.7z10 ⁶ ")	(17#-5280₽)	(550)	(2060)	ПА	(550)	(2060)	NA
R		Stiffness In-Lb/Rad	Damping Lb-Sec-In	Prietto	nal Rest In - Lb	raint	1	.	
		(Kg-Cm/Rad)	(4 <u>0-Sec-Cm)</u> /:a	<u> (</u>	<u>ки - Слі)</u> На				
		ļ							
	Yaw	1/A	ΝА	$1.5 \times 10^{5*}$ to 7.5 y 10^{5*} (1.7 x 10^{5*} to 8.6 y 10^{5*}					

- 77 -

5

Reproduced from best available copy.

O

Truck Type: Car Body:	German MAN ET-403 See Table 17	
Truck Weight (2 Trucks): Car Body RTB Weight:	5][cJ_lbs, (23.2t) "OS60_lbs,*(32t*)	
Vehicle RPR Weight:	121720 1ba. (55.2t)	•
Design Speed:	125 mpri (Lod Kadi)	

ET 403

Table 9

TRUCK UNSPRUNG MASS								
	Mass Lb-Sec ² /In (Xg-Sec ² /Cm)	Diarieter In (mm)	Conicity N.D.	Wheelbase In_(rm)	Track Gauge In (mm)			
Wheelset	11.4	41.3	1/40*3*	102,4	56.5			
	(2.04).	(1050)		(2600)	(1435)			
Total Truck	20.3		ñ					
Unsprung	(4.69)							

	Mass.	Rad	1n. (mm)	TORR to Truck	
	(Kg-Sec ² /Cm) Per Truck	Roll	Pitch	Yaw	c.g.
Truck Sprung	39.9	34.7	44.0*	52-3*	21.3
	(7.14)	(881)*	(1118)*	(1318)*	(540)
Bolster	NA	NA	, NA	NA	NA
Truck and Bolster Sprung	NA	NA	NĄ	NA	NA
Traction Motor	Powered I	· ·			
E.M. Brake	34 * (.61*)				

TRUCK SUSPENSION

	ļ	Stiffness	Damping Lb-Sec/In	Spring S	pacings	In (mm)	Damper S	pacings	In (mm)
		Lb/In (Kg/Cm) Per Truck	(Kg-Sen/Cm) Per Truck	Vertical From TORF	Lateral	_Long.	Vertical From TORR	Lateral	Long.
P R T	Vertical	^щ 2560 (7600)	103*-1119* (18*-200*)	NA	78.7 (2000)	102.4 (2600)	NA	78.7 (2000)	88.6 (2250)
M	Lateral	28009- 302000 (5000 - 54000)	42*-3470* (7*-62*)	21.3 (540)	NA	102.4 (2600)	21.3 (540)	, NA	102.4 (2600)
R Y	Long.	26900- 240000 (4800 -53000)	41≣-3090* (7∎-553#)	21.3 (540)	78.7 (2000)	NA	21.3 (540)	78.7 (2000)	NA
S E	Vertical	4400∎ (786)¥	250# (45#)	NA	78.7 (2000)	o.	NA	100.0 (2540)	29.5 (750)
O N	Lateral	3600* (643)*	115* to 344* (25* to 74*)	3 ⁴ .1 (865)	NA	0.	34.1 (865)	на	0.
D	Long.	32400*-9, x10 ⁶ (5780-1.6 x10 ⁶	69"-28700* (12"-5120*)	34.1 (865)	78 .7 (2000)	NA	34.1 + 265)	10년 (25개가)	NA
R Y		Stiffness In-Lb/Rad (Kg-Cm/Rad)	Damping Lb-Sec-In (Kg-Sec-Cm)	Fricti	Frictional Restraint In - Lb (Kg - Cm)			·	·
1	Roll	NΛ	NA		NA				
	Yaw	117	411	1.2 × 20 ⁵ (1.4 × 20 ⁵	■ to 6 x * to 7 x	10 ⁵ к 10 ⁵ к)			

- 78 -

١

Truck Type: German Minden Deutz Car Body: See Table 10 Truck Weight (2 Trucks): 304/9 15a. (13.8t) Car Body RTR Weight: 0/181 15a. (28.2t) Vehicle RTR Weight: 90610 15a. (47.0t) Design Speed: 125 mph (200 kmh)

Minden Deutz

ł

Table 10

Reproduced from best available copy.

O

		TRUCK U	INSPRUNG MASS		
	Mass Lb-Sec2/In (Kg-Sec2/Cm)	Dianeter In (mm)	Conicity N.D.	Wheelbase In (mm)	Track Gauge in (mr)
Wheelset	9.7	37.ó	1/403	99.	56.5
L	(1. <u>73</u>)*·	(950)		(2500)	(1435)
Total Truck	10.4				
Unsprung	(2.40)*	ł			

TRUCK SPRUNG MASS

	Mass Lb-Sec ² /To	Rad	ո ւո. (տայ	TOBE to True	
	(Kg-Sec ² /Cm) Per Truck	Roll	Pitch	Yaw	0.g. In (52)
Truck Sprung	13*	35.1	38.2*	51.9₽	30.9
	(2.32)*	(892)*	(970)*	(1318)•	(765)
Bolster	. 3.4 =	24.9*	9.4	26.9*	12.2
	(.61)*	(632)⊧	(239)*	(683)*	(310)
Truck and . Bolster	16.4*	33.9*	. 35.1*	47.8*	27.0
Sprung	(2.93)*	(861)*	(891)*	(1215)*	(686)
Traction . Motor	Unpowered				
E.M. Brake	3.4* (.61)* N1				
	! 1				

TRUCK SUSPENSION

	,					-			
		Stiffness	Damping Lb-Sec/In	Spring S	pacings	In (mua)	Damper S	pacings	In (rum)
	· · ·	Lb/In (Kg/Cm) Per Truck	(Kg-Sec/Cm) Per Truck	Vertical From TORF	Lateral	Long.	Vertical From TORH	Lateral	Long.
P R	Vertical	14000 * (2500)*	52*-270* {9*-48*)	NA	79.2 (2000)	98.4 (2500)	NA	93.9 (2370)	98.4 (2500)
M	Lateral	1.04 x10 ⁴ = 6.5 x10 ⁶ 0.9 x10 ³ = 1.2 x10 ⁶ =)	17*-10300* (3*~1840*)	18.7 (475)	NA	98.4 (2500)	18.7 (475)	NA	98.4 (2500)
R Y	Long.	1.04 x104 - 6.9 x103 (1.9x106) x106)	17*-10300* (3*-1846*)	18.7 (475)	79.2 (2000)	NA	18.7 (475)	79.2 (2000)	NΛ
3 E	Vertical	3800≢ (678)*	270₩ (48#)	NA	79 .2 (2000)	15. (380)	NA	94.; (2400)	0.
O N	Lateral	1500* (268)*	70* to 210# (12.5°to 37.5*)	25.6 (650)	NA	Ο.	25.6 (650)	НА	n,
n D A	Long.	28661#-7.9 ×64 (\$105-1.4z10 ⁶	61*5'00*)(11*-4500*)	30.2 (767)	97.1 (2467)	NΛ	30.2 (767)	97.1 (2467)	hA
Y		Stiffness In-Lb/Rad (Kr-Gr/Rad)	Damping Lt-Sec-In (Kg-Sec-Om)	Frietić (In - Lb (Kg - Cm)		- 1	L	
	Roll	2.52.00 + (2.92.00 +)	HA	-	117	_			
_	Yaw	411	NA	-9 x 105* (1. x 105*	to 4.5 ; to 5.2 ;	k 105≠ k 105≠)			

Truck Type: Japanese PT200 Car Body: See Tatle 17 Truck Weight (? Trucka): 44100 lbs. (201) Car Body KTR Weight: 74970 lbs. (341) Vehicle RTR Weight: 114070 lbs. (541) Design Speed: 125 mph (300 kmh)

DT 200

Table 11

		TRUCK U	NSPRUNG MASS		
	Mags Lb-Sec2/In (Kg-Sec2/Cm)	Dianeter In (mm)	Conicity N.D.	Wheelbase In (rm)	Track Gauge In (mm)
Wheelset	9.0≇	35.8	1/40	98.4	56.5
	(1.61*);	(910)		(2500)	(1435)
Total I r uck Unsprung	22,8				
	(4.07)				

TRUCK SPRUNG MASS

	Lb-Sec ² /In	Radi	TOBE to Truck		
	(Kg-Sec ² /Cm) Per Truck	Roll	Pitch	Yaw	с.g. In (тта)
Truck Sprung	29*	39.1*	34.2*	51.8	21.7
	(5.18)*	(993)*	(869)*	(1316)*	(550)
Bolster	5.2*	35.4*	5.9*	35.1*	39.7
	(.93≇)	(899*)	(150)*	(B92)*	(1008)
Truck and Bolster	34.2	39.1*	32.2	49.6*	24.4
Sprung	(6.11)	(993)	(818)*	(1260)*	(.96)
Traction Motor II	Fowered		From avail if mass in	able sources u cluded in truc	nclear k sprung
E.M. Frake	NA				T.

TRUCK SUSPENSION

	(Salffrage	Damping	Spring S	pacings	In (mm)	Damper S	pacings 1	in (mm)
		Lb/In (Kg/Cm) Per Truck	Kg-Sec/Cm) Per Truck	Vertical From TCRF	Lateral	Long.	Vertical From TORR	Lateral	Long.
P R	Vertical	28000 (5000)	448 (80)	NA	82.7 (2100)	98.4 (2500)	NA	100.4 (2550)	98.4 (2500)
I M A	Lateral	168000-224000 (30000-40000)	96*-2768* (17*-494*)	17.7 (450)	NA	98.4 (2500)	17.7 (450)	NA	98.4 (2500)
R Y	Long.	336000-448000 (60000-80000)	136*-3914* (24*-699*)	17.7 (459)	98.4 (2500)	NA	17.7 (450)	98.4 (2500)	NA
SE	Vertical	4480 (800)	227 (49.5)	NA	98.4 (2500)	0.	MA	98.4 (2500)	0.
о к	Lateral	4032 (720)	560 (100)	41.3 (1050)	NA	0.	41.3 (1050)	ΝΛ	23.C (600)
D A R Y	Long.	34500-9.6x10 ⁶ (6:60*-1.7 x19 ⁶ *	73#-30500# (13#-5400*)	22.0 (560)	114.2 (2900)	MA	22.0 (560)	114.2 (29(0)	NA
		Stiffness In-Lb/Rod (Kg-Cm/Rad)	Damping Lb-Sec-In (Kg-Sec-Cm)	Frictional Restraint In - Lb (Kg - Cm)					
	Koll	NA	NA		NA				
	Yaw	. 11A	::/	1.0 x 100 (2.4 x 10	* 10 6 x 5* 10 6,	105∎ <u>9 x 102≭j</u>			

.

.

LRC

Truck Type: Cin Body; Truck Weight (C. Drucks); Car Body RDR Weight; Vehicle RDR Weight; Design Speed;

NA

E.M. Brake

Canadian LRC Dofaseo See Table 17 29/00 lbs. (13.2 t) 00400 lbs. (27.4 t) 84000 lbs. (40.6 t) 125 mph (200 kmh)

Table 12

TCRR to Truck c.g. In (mn)

. .

TOUGE	THE PERMIT	NACC.
	USSERUN	

	Mass Lb-Sec ² /In (Xg-Sec ² /Cm)	Diameter In (mm)	Conicity N.D.	Wheelbase In (mm)	Track Gauge In (mm)
Wheelset	5.6 (1.18)	30. (762)	1/40 - 1/5	97 (2454)	50.5 (1435)
Total Truck Unsprung	13.2 (2.36)				

	TRUCK SPRUNG MASS				
l'ass Ib-Sect/In	Radii of Gyration In. (mm)				
(Kg-Sec ² /Cm) Per Truck	Roll	Pitch	Yaw		
	7 . <i>(</i>		26.00		

Truck Sprung	19.0	21.6	31	36.25	17.9
	(3.39)	(549)	(787)	(921)	(455)
Bolster	5.74.	- 35	14.5	37.2	28.9
	(1.02)	(889)	(368)	(945)	(734)
Truck and Bolster Sprung	24.74	25.8 (655)	28.4 (721)	36.5 (927)	20.5 (521)
Traction Motor	Unpowered				

TRUCK SUSPENSION

	ĺ	Stiffness	Damping Lb-Sec/In	Spring S	Spring Spacings In (mm)		Damper Spacings In (m		
		Lb/In (Kg/Ca) Per Truck	(Kg-Sec7Cm) <u>Per Truck</u>	Vertical From TORS	Lațeral	Long.	Vertical From TORR	Lateral	Long.
P R	Vertical	17000 (3035)	200 (36)	NA	44 <i>-</i> 0 1118	97 (2464)	NA	33 (838)	97 (2464)
I M A	Lateral	34000 (6071)	73-146 (13-26)	16 (406)	NA	97 (2464)	76 (406)	NA	97 、2464)
R Y	Long.	230000 (41066)	191-382 (34-68)	16 (406)	44.0 1118	NA	16 (405)	44 (1118)	NA
S E C	Vertical	2068 (369)	250 (45)	NA	88 (2235)	0.	NA	88 (22 3 5)	39 (991)
O N	Lateral.	1500 (268)	200 (36)	26-31 (660-787)	NA	0-355 (0-902)	28 (711)	NA	50 (1270)
D A	Long.	30000 (5356)	1125 (201)	26-31 (660-787)	0-64 (0-1626	NA	12.4 (314)	92 (2337)	NA
R Y		Stiffness In-Lb/Rad (Kg-Cm/kad)	Lb-Cee-In (Kg-Coe-Cm)	Frictio I (X	Frictional Restraint In-Lb (Xe-Cm)			i	
	Ro11	Tilt Control	NA		NA				
	Yaw	ħΛ	NA	138000 (159000)					
<u> </u>		- k	<u> </u>	.					

81 -

Truck Type:	English BT10
Car Body:	See Table 17
Truck Weight (2 Trucks):	26678 lbs. (11.6t)
Car Body NTR Weight:	47187 lbs. (21.4t)
Vchiele RTR Weight:	72765 lbs. (333)
Dealer Speed	125 mb. (2012mb)
Design Speed:	125 mph (200kmh)

BT 10

Table 13

		TRUCK U	NSPRUNG MASS		
	Mass Lb-Sec2/In (Kg-Sec2/Cm)	Dlameter In (mm)	Conicity N.D.	Wheelbase In (mm)	Track Gauge In (mm)
Wheelset	6.8*	36.0		102.4	56.5
	(1.22)	(914)	17/1034	(2600)	(1435)
Total Truck Unsprung	13.7*				
	(2.45)				

TRUCK SPRUNG MASS

	Lb-Sec ² /In				TOBE to Truck
	(Kg-Sec ² /Cm) Per Truck	Roll	Pitch	Yaw	c.g.
Fruck Sprung	13.7*	35.94	37.6*	52.0*	30.7
	(2.45)*	(912)	(955)*	(1321)*	(780)
Bolster	5.7*	30.7*	11.1*	32.7*	13.
	(1.02)*	(780)•	(282)≇	(831)"	(330)
Truck and Bolster	19.4*	35.4*	33.2*	37.1*	25.5
Sprung	(3.47)*	(899)•	(843)*	(1197)*	
Traction Notor	Unpowered				
E.M. Brake	NA ·				1

TRUCK SUSPENSION

	[Stifferes	Damping	ing Spring Spacings In (mm)		Damper Spacings In (mm)			
		Lb/In (Kg/Cm) Per Truck	(Kg-Sec /Cm) Per Truck	Vertical From TORP	Lateral	Long.	Vertical From TORP	Lateral	Long.
P R	Vertical	23000 * (4107)*	56*-467* (10*-83*)	NA	80 (2032)	102.4 (2600)	NA	80 (2032)	102.4 (2600)
J A R Y	Lateral	2250-7.7X10 ⁶ # 2187-1.4x10 ⁶ #	19*-12200* (3*-2180*)	18 (457)	NA	102.4 (2600)	18 (458)	NA	102.4 (2600)
	Long.	12250-7.7x10 ⁶ 2187-1.4x10 ⁶ *	19*-12200* (3*-2180*)	18 (457)	80 (2032)	NA	18 (458)	80 (2032)	NA
S E	Vertical	3000 * (536)≢	175* (31*)	NA	78.0 (1982)	0.	NA	99.6 (2530)	22.4 (570)
0 11	Lateral	900 * (161)*	47*-141* (8*-25*)	24.8 (630)	НА	0.	24.8 (630)	ИА	27 (685)
D A	Long.	81700-6.0x10 ⁶ * (3870-1.1x10 ⁶ *	46*-19100*) (#*-3100*)	18 (457)	78 (1982)	на	18 14577	7P (1982)	NA
R Y		Stiffnesn In-Lb/Rad (Kg-Cm/Rad)	Damping 1.b-Sec-in (Kg-Sec-Cm)	Frictional Nestraint Jn - Lb (Eg - C1)					
	Rdll	NA.	N P	ĺ	N۸		ĺ		
ļ	Yaw	NA	31.0	.7 × 10 ⁵ (.0 × 10 ⁵	* to 3.5	x 10 ^{5,} * 10 ^{5,} *.			

- 82 -

ر در این ا کارها کاریو ایروز کاریزی از طریعها کارونو دارد این ایرون

.

Truck Type: Car Body:	Russian ER 200 See Table 17
Truck Meight (? Trucks):	57331 Ibs. (20c)
Car Body RTR Weight:	70115 H.S. (31.8t)
Vchicle RTR Weight:	127449 11s. (57.8t)
Design Speed:	125 mph (200 kmh)
•	

.

·ER 200

Table 14

	Mass -Lb-Sec2/In (Kg-Sec2/Cm)	Dismeter In (mm)	Conicity N.D.	Wheelbase In (mm)	Track Gauge In (mm)
Wheelset	9.7*	37.4		98.4	60.0
	(1.73)* ;	(950)	17403-	(2500)	(1524)
Total Truck Unsprung	22.8*				
	(4.08)*				

٩

TRUCK SPRUNG MASS

	Mass	Rad	TOBE to Truck		
	(Kg-Sec ² /Om) Fer Truck	Roll	Pitch	Yaw	c.g. In (mm)
Truck Sprung	42.6≢ (7.43)≭	38.4* (975)*	36.9 ≢ (937) *	52.9" (1344)"	19.7 (500)
Bolster	5.2 * (.93)*	28,5⊧ (724)⊧	4 .9 ■ (124)*	28.7* (729)*	36.3 (922)
Truck and Bolster Sprung	47.8* (8.53)*	37.8* (960)*	- 35.8* *894)*	50.8* (1290)*	21.5 (546)
Traction Motor	Powered I				
E.M. Brake	3.6* NI (.64)*				

TRUCK SUSPENSION

	(Stiffness	Damping Lb-Sec/In	Spring S	pacings	In (mm)	Damper S	Darper Spacings In (mm)			
		Lb/In (Kg/Cm) Per Truck	(Kg-Sec/Cr) Per Truck	Vertical From TORP	Lateral	Long.	Vertical From TORR	Lateral	Long.		
P P.	Vertical	31000 (5535)	109≢-944¥ (19≇-169*)	NA	82.7 (2100)	98.4 (2500)	NA	95-3 (2420)	98.4 (2500)		
т М А	Lateral	3.2x10 ⁴ -2x10 ⁷ (5.7x10 ³ -3.6 x10 ⁶ #)	* 51*-32000* (9*-5700*)	18.7 (475)	NA	98.4 (2500)	181 7 (475)	NA	98.4 (2500)		
R Y	Long. $3.2 \times 10^4 - 2 \times 10^6$ (5.7 $\times 10^6 \times 10^6$	$3.2 \times 10^{4} - 2 \times 10^{7}$ $(5.7 \times 10^{3} - 2.6)$ $\times 10^{6} \times 10^{6}$	51 *- 32000* (9 * -5700*)	18.7 (475)	82.7 (2100)	NA	18.7 (475)	82.7 (2100)	NA		
S E	Vertical	5850 (1045)	432∎ (77⊮)	NA	82.7 (2100)	0,	NA	117.3 (2986)	ο.		
ง ง ม	3200* Lateral (571*)		145*-436* (26*-78*)	37.4 * (950)*	NA .	ο.	36.2* (920)*	NA	19.7 (500)		
D A	Long.	58600-16.3×10 (10500-2.9 ×10 ^{(*} *)	8600-16.3×10 * 124*-51860* 10500-2.4 (22.1-9260*) 710 *)		24.6 108.1 (625) (2746)		e4.6 108.1 NA (2746)				
н Ү		Stiffness In-Lb/Rad (Kg-Cm/Rad)	Damping Lb-Sec-In (Kg-Sec-Cm)	Frictio	0nal Rost In - 1.0 (Kg - Cm)	raint		ġ			
	Ro 11	11A	NA		NA						
	Yaw	ΝA	NA	ילסנ איט ו ילחב אינ ר. ק	to 10.4	105* , 10 ⁵ *)					

•



Truck Type: P-111 Car Body: See Table 17 Truck Weight (2 Trucks): 274.0 lbs. (12.44) Car Body RTR Weight: Te440 (14.94) Vehicle KTR Weight: 104370 lbs. (47.30) Design Speed: 120 mph (193 kmh)

.

P - III

Table 15

		TRUCK U	NSPRUNG MASS		
	Mass Lb-Sec ² /In (Kg-Sec ² /Cn)	Diameter In (mm)	Conicity N.D.	Wheelbase In (mm)	Track Gauge In (mm)
Wheelset	. 8.9	36.	1/20	102.	56 5
	(1.6)	(914)	1720	(2591)	(1435)
Total Truck	17.8				
Unsprung	(3.2)				

*

		TRUCK	SPRUNG MASS		
	Mass Lb-Sec ² /In	Rad	11 of Gyration	In. (mm)	TORR to Truck
	(Kg-Sec ² /Cm) Per Truck	HOII	Fiten	14"	In (mm.)
Souck Sprung	9.8	31.5	19.1	38.1	18.6
	(1.7)	(800)	(485)	(968)	(472)
Roleter	. 7.9	37.2	8.7	37.4	26.3
013001	(1.4)	(945)	(221)	(950)	(668)
Truck and	17.7	34.4	. 15.8	\$7.8	22.2
Sprung	(3.2)	(873)	(402)	(960)	(564)
Traction Motor	Unpowered				
E.M. Brake	NA				X.

TRUCK SUSPENSION

	í	Stiffeers	Damping	Spring S	pacings	In (mm)	Damper Spacings In (mm)				
		Lb/In (Kg/Cm) Per Truck	(Kg-Sec/Cm) Per Truck	Vertical From TORF	Lateral	Long.	Vertical From TORR	Lateral	Long.		
P R	Vertical	645000 (115164)	357* to 1000* (77* to 216*)	NA	46. (1168)	102. (2591)	NA	46 (1168)	102 (2591)		
L M A	Lateral	4100000. (732051)	340*-8500* (61*-1520*)	18 (457)	NA	102. (2591)	18 (457)	па	102 (2591)		
R Y	Long.	1180000. (210688)	183*-45?0 * (33∎-⊱16≭)	18. (457)	46. (1168)	NA	18 (457)	46 (1168)	NA		
S E	Vertical	3700 (661)	240 (43)	NA .	90. (2286)	Ο.	NA	87. (22)0)	0.		
O N	Lateral	4060 (714)	200 (36)	40. (1016)	NA .	Ο.	29. (737)	ПА	0.		
D A	Long.	25000 (4464)	78 (14)	20. (508)	20. 168. (508) (2743)		20. (508)	108. (2743)	NA		
R Y		Sciffness In-L5/Pad (Kg-Cn/Bad)	Damping Lb-Sec-In (Xg-Cgc-Cm)	Frictio	Frictional Restraint In - Lb (Kr - CD)			L	·		
	Roll	All	NA	L!A							
	Yaw	MA	HA	.9	x 10 ⁵ x 10 ⁵ と						

10

-

5. ...

a

Truck Type: d81 Metveliner Car Body: See Table 17 Truck Weight (2 Trucks): W7.40 lbs. (27.4t) Car Body RTR Weight: 105/05 lbs (07.7t) Vehicle RTR Weight: 15/451 lbs (60.1t) Design Speed: 100 mph (258 kmh)

Metroliner

Table 16

	TRUCK UNSPRING MASS										
	Mass Lb-Sec ² /In (Ng-Sec ² /Cm)	Diaboter In (mm)	Conicity ' N.D.	Wheelbase In (mm)	Track Gauge In (mm)						
Wheclset	· 12.5 (2.23)	36. (914)	1/20	102 (2591)	56.5 (1435)						
Total Truck Unsprung	29.1 (5.20)										

TRUCK SPRUNG MASS Radii of Gyration In. (mm) Mass Lb-Sec²/In (Kg-Sec²/Cm) Per Truck TORR to Truck c.g. In (run) Roll Pitch Yaw 25.2 24.6 Truck Sprung 31.2 37.5 20.3 (4.50) (625) (792) (953) (516) Bolster 6.9 37.2 8.7 37.4 27.6 (1.23) (945) (221) (950) (701) Truck and Bolster 32.1 28.6 (726) 28.1 37.5 21.9 (5.73) Sprung (714) (953) (556) 10.8 Traction I Motor (1.93) E.M. Brake NA

TRUCK SUSPENSION

. Damping Stiffness Lb-Sec/In			Spring S	pacings	In (mm)	Damper Spacings In (mm)				
		Lb/In (Kg/Cm) Per Truck	(Kg-Sec/Om) Per Truck	Vertical From TORR	Lateral	Long.	Vertical From TORR	Lateral	Long.	
₽	Vention	109000	260	NA	79	57.5	ΝΔ	79	102	
R	Verbicar	(19462)	(46.4)		(2007)	(1461)		(2007)	(2591)	
⊥ M	Internal	55800	260 .	18	NA	57.5	18	NT A	102	
A	Lateral	(9963)	(46.4)	(457)		(1461)	(457)	N.P.	(2591)	
B	Long	55800	260	18	79		18	79		
Y	Long.	(9963)	(46.4)	(457)	(2007)	NA 	(457)	(2007)	' NA	
S	Vertical	7740	115	NA	92			99	0	
E		(1382)	(20.5)		(2337)	0	NA	(2515)		
0	Interal	3386	173	39	NA	D	37	, N A		
N	Dateral	(605)	(30.9)	(991)			(940)			
D	Long	96660	78	21	108	NA	23	108	нл	
, A .	Doug.	(17259)	(13.9)	(533)	(2743)	 	(533)	(2743)		
н Ч		Stiffness In-Lb/Rad (Kg-Cm/Rad)	Pamping Lb-Sec-In (Kg-Sec-Cm)	Friction 1 (kg	al Hestr n-Lb -Cm)	#1rit				
	Roll	N7	5) fi	21A						
	Yaw	A15	NA	1.7x10 ² -8 (1. <u>1</u> €×10 ⁶	.5x10 ⁵ - <u>9.8x10'</u>					



4.3 Car Data

Car body data for each high speed truck in Section 3.2 are provided in this section. The data are organized in a common engineering format and include such parameters as body mass, radii of gyration, geometry, and the first flexible car body frequency. These data are presented in one line of the table to enable comparisons to be made by looking at the the table.

The car center of gravity unless definitely specified was assumed to be at the geometric center in both the lateral and longitudinal directions and one-third the height from the floor to roof in the vertical direction.

The radii of gyration calculations were based on a car body weight distribution of half in the car floor, one-sixth in the roof, and one-sixth at each side.

The following two pages show the tabulated car body data.

Table 17

Ì

41

CAR BODY PARAMETERS

یں ۵ ۲	Eody First Vertical	Flexible Freq.Hz.	8.5		8.5		10		6.1		10		6		10 *		6*_10	> -
		-Car Height	118.4	(0662)	116.9	(0262)	1.711	(2662)	118.1	(3000)	102.4	(2600)	114.	(20062)	105.9	(2690)	94.5	(2400)
	rtical	TORR To Cg.	81.5*	(5069)	81.5*	(2069)	80.9*	(5056)	78.8*	(1002)	55.1	(00hl)	89.1*	(2263)	77.6*	(1261)	10.9	(1801)
n. (mm)	Λé	TORR To Floor	ų2	(1067)	42.5	(1080)	7.14	(1060)	4.95	(0001)	41.3	(1050)	51.1	(1300)	42.3	(1075)	39.4	(1000)
ensions I	Lateral	Car Width	111.2	(2825)	111.6	(2835)	4.III	(2830)	133.1	(3380)	115.4	(2930)	110.0	(5462)	113.0	(2870)	110.7	(1814)
Dim	dinal	Truck Spacing	748.0	(00061)	748.0	(00061)	712.6	(18100)	0.683	(17500)	651.2	(16540)	748.0	(00061)	716.5	(18200)	720.5	(18300)
	Long1tu	Car Length	1039.4	(26400)	1039.4	(26400)	1003.9	(25500)	984.3	(25000)	1004.3	(25510)	1069.3	(27160)	1078.7	(27400)	720.5	(18300)
	(mm)nī r	Y£W	306#	(1772)	306*	(7772)*	335	8 (8509)	284*	. (∦121)	296	(7520)	312*	(7925)	314 *	*(3797)	203.	(5156)*
	Gyratio	P1tch	306∎	•(2772)	306	(1772)	293 =	*(2442)	284*	*(#12L)	596≇	(7520)	312#	(1925)	314#	# (9262)	203.*	(5156)*
	Rad11 of	Roll	63#	∎(1600)	63#	(1600)	63#	(1600)	68	(1727)	63#	∦ (0091)	61 *	∎(6†5⊺)	59-5*	(1151)	59. 4	. (66∦T)
	/In (Crush	239.8°	(42.8*)	228.8*	(40.8*)	262.8	(#6.9#)	250.6*	(44.8*)	226.7*	(40.5*)	228"	(40.8")	216.9"	(38.7")	161.4*	(28.6*)
	as Lb-Sec ² Kg-Sec ² /Cm	Seated	210.7	(37.6)	200	(35.7)	239.8*	(42.8)#	222.3*	■ (7.9E)	1981.1 [®]	(35.4)	205.5*	(36.7)	211.1	(37.7)	134.7*	(24.05)
	Ma Ma	RTR	181.6	(32.4)	171.2	(30.6)	216.8	(38.7)	194.0	(34.6)	169.5	(30.3)	183 •	(32.6)	188.3	(33.6)	108	(19.46)
		Truck Type	c c	1-34	FIAT	Eurofa		1-20	00044			1221		NHU		0407 7		(77-1
		Car Type	French	A9U	Eurofina	A ⁴ B6	French	A ^B tu	Japan	NTL	French	(Trailer) Car)	German	ET 403	İtallan	ALE 601	French	Trailer

Table 17, Continued

Car Body First Vertical Flexible Freq.Hz. 6.B to 61-10 ß 7.5 8.3 8.75 9.2 10 7.5 10. Car Height 118.1 (2848) 113.4 (2200) (2880) (2565) (5152) 112.1 (2265) (3000) (2186)" (2794) 66 110 101 161 TORR To Cg. 81.7* (2022) #(1861) 86.1 (1585) 86.6 (1913) (1905) 62.4 Vertical 75.3 78* 15. TORR TO Floor Dimensions In. (mm) (1075) (1067) (0521) (1256) (11461) (1289) (0401) 50.75 42.3 40.9 48.8 52.8 <u>1.6</u> 42 Lateral (18136) Car Width 112.2 103.1 (3080) (562) (3200) (3175) (2620) 121.3 115.2 (2850) 126. 126 125 Truck Spacing (17400) (18136) (18800) (00061) (18j36) (16000) 40.2 685.0 (18136) 630. 748. 714. 714 714 Longitudinal (25908) (26000) (00492) (26010) Car Length (28000) (23000) 905.5 1102.4 (25908) 1020.0 1023.6 1039.4 1024. 1020 Radii of Gyration In(mm) (7468)* (6807)* (6807)* *(6951) (1696)* (1696)* .(1569) (8204) (1277) Yaw 303* 298* 323# 234 268* \$862 297 (7569)* *(#078) (7468)* (1569)* (1595) Pitch 323# 268* 303* 298* 294# 298* 299 (1626)∎ (1549)# (1575) (1397)[#] (1295)[#] (1600)* (1143) **1** 1 61 63# 55 64# 62# Roll ť5 (#2.1*) (45.4*) (#1.1[#]) (33.6") (62.1") 235.9" 188.3* (49.5) (61.3) 254.7* 229.5* 348.* 343.1 277.4 Crush Mass Lb-Sec²/In (Kg-Sec²/Cm) Seated **=**(6.4€) *****(0.42) (27.7) 302.4* (35.0) 155.2# (38.9) (48.6) 195.2* (42.5) 196.1 238.3 218.1 307.7 (32.4) (28.7) (6.75) (35.5) (148.6) (21.8) (45.9) 156.3 181.5 150.9 1.99.1 272.3 256.8 122.1 RTR PT 10 Truck Dofascd Minden Deutz Y-226 III-4 GSI English HST Mark III German Mainline Coach Canadian Amcoach Russian ER 200 Metro-liner French Z 7001 Car Type LRC ĸ.

CAR BODY PARAMETERS

Metroliner Mass Excludes Transformer - 32.0 (5.7)

1

- 88 -

5. INFLUENCE OF TRUCK SUSPENSION PARAMETER VARIATIONS ON RAILCAR DYNAMIC RESPONSE

J.,

The influence of truck suspension on vehicle dynamic response is characterized by the changes in natural frequencies and associated modal dampings of the vehicle dynamic system associated with changes in the truck suspension parameters. The railcars using the 15 trucks described previously have many features in common which allow a common approach to characterizing their dynamic response, namely:

- (1) Individual cars are approximately symmetrical about a vertical-transverse plane through the car body center of gravity. This allows the vertical input to the trucks due to rail irregularities to be partitioned into the average of the two truck motions which drives purely symmetrical vertical vibrations, and the out-of-phase motions which drive purely antisymmetric (pitch) vertical vibrations.
- (2) Individual cars are approximately symmetrical about a vertical-longitudinal plane through the car body center of gravity. This completely uncouples the roll and lateral dynamics from the vertical and pitch dynamics. This and the preceding symmetry completely uncouple the anti-symmetric (yaw) dynamics from the other dynamic responses.
- (3) The symmetric vertical responses of all 15 cars have a lowest resonant frequency which is well approximated as a rigid car bouncing on the primary and secondary vertical suspensions in series (the car bounce frequency).
- (4) All 15 cars have the two lowest resonant frequencies in response to roll and/or lateral wheelset inputs well approximated by the response of the two degree of freedom system consisting of the rigid car on its lateral and vertical truck suspension, where the primary and secondary springs are in series and the truck sprung mass is neglected (referred to as the lower and upper car roll-lateral resonant frequencies).

For the 15 high speed trains described in this report, the car bounce, lower and upper roll-lateral, and rigid-body car pitch and yaw resonant frequencies are all between 0.5 Hz and 2 Hz. These frequencies are controlled to a large extent by the secondary vertical and-lateral spring stiffnesses. A 10% change in secondary spring stiffness will cause between 7% and 10% change in overall vertical stiffness (between 3.5%

- 89 -

change in car bounce resonant frequency). However, a 10% change in primary spring stiffness will cause only between 0% and 2.5% change in overall vertical stiffness. The overall lateral rate is even more closely controlled by the secondary lateral stiffness than is the vertical rate by the secondary vertical stiffness.

The resonant frequencies between 0.5 and 2 Hz should be as low as possible so that good riding qualities will ensue, by virtue of the isolation of the car body from track irregularities at frequencies above these resonances. Sufficient damping must be provided in these modes to control the resonant car body accelerations, yet the damping should be moderate since it provides a path for transmission of vibrations at higher frequencies.

All 15 truck suspensions have some vertical damping in both the primary and secondary suspensions. For trucks where data is available, the modal damping at the car bounce frequency is between 13% and 20% of critical damping. The car bounce modal damping is largely controlled by the damping in the secondary vertical suspension, and this damping is higher than it would have to be if it were connected from car body to unsprung mass.

A formula for estimating modal damping of the car body bounce resonant mode is

C

% of Critical

Damp	ing		= <u> </u>	$\frac{kp+1}{kp+1}$	$\overline{(s)}$	U	kp + ks
					ć	2m ^w m	۸. ⁺
Where	Cp	-	Vertical <u>lb_sec</u> in	damping	in	primary	suspension,
	Cs	=	Vertical <u>lb_sec</u> in	damping	in	seconda	ry suspension,
	1				1		

 $l ks \sqrt{2} + \frac{C_s}{kp}$

 λ^{2}

J.

- 90 -

m = Mass of 1/2 the Car Body, <u>lb sec ²</u> in

m = Resonant Car Bounce Frequency, rad./sec

The range of fractions of critical damping found in the 15 trucks tabulated controls resonant acceleration and also should serve to maintain the car body within its clearance envelope as defined by the bumpers, for all but relatively large track irregularity inputs which occur relatively infrequently. In other words, the low frequency modal damping serves to prevent frequent vertical bumper contact. A lower bound on the car bounce resonant frequency is set by the criterion for infrequent bumper contact as well as acceptably low car floor vertical acceleration levels.

W

The damping in the lateral truck suspensions is not well specified in the literature. It is also hard to estimate since the 15 railcars studied have ratios of lateral secondary to net vertical spring rate which ranges from 0.27 to 1.1 Also, some of the trucks studied have roll bars. The roll-lateral low frequency dynamics is more complex than the vertical due to there being two coupled modes. The variability between cars and the relatively complex dynamics make it impractical to generalize about the influence of lateral damping on dynamic response.

Several important low resonant responses above 2 Hz are associated with the truck mass between primary and secondary springs and the car body as a flexible structure. There are two degrees of freedom which cause two resonant responses in the range between 3 Hz and 12 Hz for all but one of the 15cars covered herein. These resonant responses can be better understood by identifying two single-degree-of-freedom dynamic subsystems whose resonant frequencies are closely equal to the total system resonances provided. The subsystems are nearly uncoupled. The truck mass between primary and secondary springs, if treated as rigid (the truck sprung mass), has a vertical degree of freedom which yields the truck bounce resonant frequency. The car floor is assumed fixed for this subsystem. This frequency varies between 3.5 Hz and 7.5 Hz for 13 of the 15 trucks described in this report. It is relatively uncoupled from the car bounce mode, by virtue of occurring at more than 3 times the car bounce frequency. Therefore, the subsystem resonance is close to the corresponding total system resonance provided flexible car behavior 's not significant at the truck bounce resonance.

In 10 of the 15 cars, the car lowest resonant frequency as a free beam in space is between 1.2 and 2.0 times higher than the the truck bounce frequency. Thus, in these cases it is coupled to the truck bounce frequency. The Italian Z1040 has a ratio greater than 2.0. For two vehicles the car frequency

- 91 -

was unknown. The AMCOACH and Metroliner have truck bounce frequencies of about 26 Hz, and car body free beam resonances of about 7 Hz and 10 Hz, respectively, which is in the range of the other 13 car bodies. The relatively high truck bounce frequencies distinguish these vehicles from the other 13.

The Metroliner has a power transformer equal to about 10% of the car body weight sprung under the center of the car at about 4.5 Hz, which influences its low frequency dynamic behavior.

The primary vertical springing provides between 70% and 92% of the total stiffness for the truck bounce mode. Thus, a given fractional variation in the primary springing has more effect on the truck bounce resonance than the same variation in secondary springing. The primary and secondary vertical dampers work in parallel to control the truck bounce mode. For most of the trucks described, the primary vertical damping is not specified. It should be selected to supplement the secondary in sufficiently damping the truck bounce mode while not being so high as to transmit excessive high frequency vibrations.

The low frequency dynamics of some of the railcars reported is modified by the addition of a linkage which provides torsional restraint between each truck and the car body. The purpose of this spring (referred to as a roll bar) is to prevent excessive lean or lateral motion of the car in response to static or quasistatic side loads, such as occur when operating at overspeed or underspeed on curves. The excessive lean is accentuated by cars with center of gravity relatively high above the suspension, or relatively soft suspensions, since the roll moment augment due to center of gravity lateral shift becomes of major importance in adding to the lean and lateral shift on these cases. Several of the trucks have active tilt control to help prevent lean. It is not known whether or not this control influences dynamic behavior.

<...

All the cars described have some provision for allowing truck yaw with respect to the car, as necessitated for traversing curves. In most cases frictional or hydraulical damping is included with a geometry which allows yaw without static restraining forces. In some cases the yaw occurs through springs which do offer static restoring forces. The yaw damping is necessary to control the combined yaw and lateral motion of the truck as it steers by virtue of its coned wheels. The damping should be maintained at a level sufficient to maintain stability while being low enough not to cause flanging on curves.

- 92 -

. RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that tests be performed on any or all of the high speed trucks included in this report or that communications be extended to the countries involved. Either method will enable the data extracted from the references to be verified, the engineering estimations where asterisks appeared in the table to be substantiated and the areas where reasonable engineering approximations could not be performed to be completed.

References

Y-28

Mauzin, Andre, "New Basic Principles of Body/Bogie Suspension," French Railway Techniques, No. 2, 1965, pp. 83-93.

"The SNCF Coaching Stock Bogies," <u>French Railway Techniques</u>, No. 4, 1967, pp. 181-186.

Robert, J. "Improving the Running Qualities of the Coaches to be Included in High Speed Luxury Trains," <u>French Railway Techniques</u>, No. 2, 1968, pp. 99, 103, 106-107, 114.

Moron, P., "Basic Principles for the Design of Bogies for Passenger Rolling Stock," <u>French Railway Techniques</u>, No. 4, 1970, pp. 119, 121, 129, 131-132.

"High Standard (Grand Comfort) Coaches," <u>French Railway Techniques</u>, No. 1, 1971, p. 3.

Moron, P., New Bogies for High Speed Coaches From Type Y-28 to Type Y-32," French Railway Techniques, No. 1, 1975, pp. 1-12.

"Towards the Optimum High Speed Passenger Bogie," <u>Railway Gazette</u> <u>International</u>, Volume 131, No. 3, March 1975, pp. 114-115.

Robert, J., "Designing for Long Distance on the SNCF," <u>International</u> <u>Railway Journal</u>, Volume XII, No. (4), April 1974, pp. 24-26.

References

Y-32

5

Moron, P., "New Bogies for High Speed Coaches From Type Y-28 to Type Y-32," French Railway Techniques, No. 1, 1975, pp. 1-12.

Daffos, Jean, "The Y-32 Bogie Basic Studies and Tests," <u>French</u> <u>Railway Techniques</u>, No. 1, 1975, pp. 13-22.

"The Second Class Prototype Coach B¹¹Tu," <u>French Rail News</u>, No. 2, 1973, pp. 17-21.

"Railway Locomotives and Rolling Stock Developments and Innovations," <u>French Rail News</u>, No. 4, 1973, p. 57.

"The European Standard Coach A⁹U," <u>French Rail News</u>, No. 1, 1974, pp. 8-11.

"The Second Generation of T2 Sleeping Cars," <u>French Rail News</u>, No. 4, 1974, p. 69.

Moron, P., and Santianera, O., "A Coach Bogie of International Collaboration for Very High Speed - Y-32 - FIAT," <u>Rail Engineering</u> International, Volume 5, No.(8), November/December 1975, pp. 318-328.

"Towards the Optimum High Speed Passenger Bogie," <u>Railway Gazette</u> <u>International</u>, Volume <u>131</u>, No. (3), March 1975, pp. 114-115.

Moron, P., and Daffos, J., "Le Bogie Y-32," Chemins De Fer, No. 307, 1974-4, pp. 168-189.

References

Y**-**224

Bernard, J. - Ph., "The RTG Turbine Trains," French Railway <u>Techniques</u>, No. 4, 1972, pp. 131-145.

"The Entry Into Service of the RTG Trains," <u>French Rail News</u>, No. 4, 1973, pp. 49-52.

Senac, Guy, "Tests With Turbine Train RTGOl," <u>Frenc. Railway</u> <u>Techniques</u>, No. 4, 1974, pp. 123-140.

Bernard J. - Ph., "Tests and Services of the SNCF Turbotrains RTG and TGV .001 The Turbotrain--A Trump Card for Railways," <u>Rail Engineering International</u>, Volume <u>3</u>, No. (9), November/ December 1973, pp. 401-406.

"French 200 km/h Turbotrains for Amtrak and Iran," <u>Rail Engineer-</u> ing <u>International</u>, Volume <u>5</u>, No. (7), October 1975, pp. 281-282.

Dubois, Claude, "Braking the SNCF's Turbotrains," <u>Railway Gazette</u> International, Volume 129, No. (2), February 1973, pp. 51-52.
<u>Y-225</u>

Bernard, J. - Ph., "The Experimental Turbine Train TGV-001," French Railway Techniques, No. 1, 1973, pp. 1-24.

Senac, G., "Experiments with the TGV-001 Turbotrain," <u>French</u> <u>Railway Techniques</u>, No. 3, 1974, pp. 67-74.

"Trails of the TGV-001 in the Landes," French Rail News, No. 4, 1972, pp. 49-50.

"The Experimental Gas Turbine Train T.G.V. 001," <u>French Rail</u> News, No. 2, 1972, pp. 17-20.

"Presentation of TGV 001 to CELTE," French Rail News, No. 3, 1974, p. 43.

Bernard, J. - Ph., "Tests and Services of the SNCF Turbotrains RTG and TGV 001 The Turbotrain--A Trump Card for Railways," <u>Rail</u> <u>Engineering International</u>, Volume <u>3</u>, No. (9), November/December 1973, pp. 401-408.

"France Builds Experimental 300 km/h Train for Paris-Lyon," <u>Railway Gazette International</u>, Volume <u>128</u>, No. (5), May 1972, pp. 172-175.

Dubois, Claude, "Braking the SNCF's Turbotrains," <u>Railway Gazette</u> International, Volume 129, No. (2), February 1973, pp. 49-53.

Prud'homme, A., "Evolution of Permanent Way for 300 km/h Lines," <u>Railway Gazette International</u>, Volume <u>131</u>, No. (4), April 1975, pp. 144-148.

"Turbotrain T.G.V.-001" <u>S.N.C.F. Direction Du Materiel, Department</u> <u>Constructions</u>, May 1972, Report No. 4.1252.18.

<u>Y-226</u>

"A New Arrival, Motor Coach No. Z-7001, "<u>French Rail News</u>, No. 3, 1974, p. 44.

Portefaix, Andre', "The Outcome of Motor Coach Z-7001, <u>"French</u> Railway Techniques, No. 1, 1976, pp. 1-2.

Boileau, Robert, "Description of Experimental Unit, <u>"French</u> Railway Techniques, No. 1, 1976, pp. 3-11.

Senac, Guy, "The Aims and Performance of the Test Programme," <u>French Railway Techniques</u>, No. 1, 1976, pp. 12-25.

Garde, Raymond, "Trials by SNCF of a New Bogie Designed for Very High Speeds," <u>Rail Engineering International</u>, Volume <u>4</u>, No. (9), November/December 1974, pp. 399-405.

Prud'homme, A. "Evolution of Permanent Way for 300 km/h Lines," <u>Railway Gazette International</u>, Volume <u>3</u>, No. (4), April 1975, pp. 144-148.

"Z-7001 Tests Pave the Way for Electric TGV's," <u>Railway Gazette</u> <u>International</u>, Volume <u>3</u>, No. (6), June 1975, pp. 213-216.

Manos, W. P., "Progress in Railway Mechanical Engineering (1974-1975 Report of Survey Committee) Cars and Equipment," Report No. 75-WA/RT-6, ASME, July 1975, p. 7.

FIAT EUROFA

Koffman, J. L., "The Torsion Bar Spring," <u>Rail Engineering</u> <u>International</u>, Volume <u>3</u>, No. (2), February 1973, p. 56.

Moron, P., and Santianera, O. "A Coach Bogie of International Collaboration for Very High Speeds--The Y32-FIAT," <u>Rail Engi-</u> <u>neering International</u>, Volume <u>5</u>, No. (8), November/December 1975, pp. 318 - 328.

Santianera, O., "Bogie Design for Higher Speeds, More Comfort," <u>International Railway Journal</u>, Volume <u>XV</u>, No. (10), October 1975, pp. 48 - 50, 54 - 55.

 $\leq r$

Lespirois, Philippe B. de, and Marcotochino, Jean, "500 Eurofima Coaches for International Service," <u>French Railway Techniques</u>, No. 3, 1974, pp. 89 - 94.

<u>Z1040</u>

Goldsack, Paul, "FS Prepares Plans for a High-Speed Future," International Railway Journal, Volume \underline{X} , No. (6), June 1970, pp. 25-31.

Koffman, J. L., "The Connection Between Coach Body and Bogies," <u>Rail Engineering International</u>, Volume 2, No. (2), February/ March 1972, pp. 62-68.

Giovanardi, Giulio, "Italian State Railways Improved ALe601 Motor Coaches for 200 km/h and Their Related Trailers," <u>Rail</u> <u>Engineering International</u>, Volume <u>3</u>, No. (8), October 1973, pp. 378 - 383.

Koffman, J. L., "The Friction Damper," <u>Rail Engineering Inter-</u> <u>national</u>, Volume <u>3</u>, No. (9), November/December 1973, p. 415.

"FS Modifies Settebello Cars for Speeds Up to 240 km/h," <u>Railway Gazette International</u>, Volume <u>126</u>, No. (15), August 7, 1970, pp. 578 - 579.

<u>ET403</u>

"Ultra-Modern Light-Weight Constructional Features Applied to DB200 km/h Electric Train Sets," <u>Rail Engineering International</u>, Volume <u>3</u>, No. (7), September 1973, pp. 314-316.

Kalb, Hans, "German Federal Railway's Plans for Extending and Remodeling Its Network for 200 km/h and Above," <u>Rail Engineering</u> <u>International</u>, Volume <u>4</u>, No. (5), June 1974, p. 210.

Bauermeister, Kurt, "The ET403, a New DB High Speed Electric Multiple-Unit Consist for 200 km/h," <u>Rail Engineering Inter-</u> national, Volume 4, No. (7), September 1974, pp. 314-318.

Kayserling, Ulrich, "Power Bogies of the DB200 km/h ET403 Incorporating Body-Tilt Through the Secondary Air-Suspension," <u>Rail</u> <u>Engineering International</u>, Volume <u>4</u>, No. (9), November-December 1974, pp. 414-418.

Kayserling, Ulrich, "Luftgefederte Drehgestelle des Triebzuges Baureihe 420, (English translation-"The Air Suspended Pivot Mounting of the Drivetrain Series 420"), "<u>Elektrische Bahnen</u>, Heft II (40. Jahrgang 1969), pp. 263-269.

"Versatile Suburban Stock Used by DB on the Munich Network," <u>Rail</u> Engineering International, Volume 2, No. (3), April 1972, pp. 124-132.

"First Superspeed Intercity Sets," <u>International Railway Journal</u>, Volume <u>XII</u>, No. (4), April 1972, p. <u>32</u>.

MINDEN DEUTZ

Robert, J., "Improving the Running Qualities of the desches to be Included in High Speed Luxury Trains," <u>French Railway Jechniques</u>, Number 2, 1968, pp. 99, 115.

Koffman, J. L., "The Connection Between Coach Body and Bogies," <u>Rail Engineering International</u>, Volume <u>2</u>, No. (2), February-March 1972, pp.62-68.

Koffman, J. L., "Tractive Resistance of Passenger Coaches," <u>Rail</u> Engineering International, Volume <u>3</u>, No. (1), January 1973, p. 17.

Eschenauer, Klaus-Peter, "Development of the Minden-Deutz Hogie for Speeds Above 200 km/h," <u>Rail Engineering Internationar</u>, Volume 5, No. (3), April/May 1975, pp. 91-97.

Bruhat, Louis, "Evolution des Bogies de Voitures et Tranquillite de Marche (English translation-"Evolution of Car Bogies and Rollingquiteness"), "Chemins de Fer, No. 261, 1966-6, p. 229.

"Eurofima Tests Prototype Standard Coaches," <u>Railway Gazette Inter-</u> <u>national</u>, Volume 30, No. (5), May 1974, p. 291.

DT200

Robert, J., "Improving the Running Qualities of the Coaches to be Included in High Speed Luxury Trains," <u>French Railway Tech-</u> <u>niques</u>, No. 2, 1968, -p. 99, 103 - 104, 117.

Bruhat, Louis, "Suspension Pneumatique et Bogies (English translation-"Tire Suspension and Bogies"), <u>Chemins De Fer</u>, No. 267, Volume 6, 1967, pp. 268 - 272.

Kasai, Koso, "Japanese National Railways High-Speed Electric Test Trains for 250 km/h Evaluation for the Shanransen Nationwide Scheme," <u>Rail Engineering International</u>, Volume <u>3</u>, No. (7), September 1973, pp. 311 - 313.

"Electric Trains for the New Tokaido Line," <u>Railway Gazette</u> <u>International</u>, Volume <u>121</u>, No. (3), February 5, 1965, pp. 100 - 104.

Parkinson, T. E., "High Speed Ground Transport," <u>Railway Gazette</u> <u>International</u>, Volume <u>124</u>. No. (11), June 7, 1968, p. 421.

Dimant, Y. N., Zhivs, V. I., and Barsky, M. R., "Moscow-Leningrad Train Set Designed for 200 km/h," <u>Railway Gazette International</u>, Volume <u>127</u>, No. (4), April 1971, p. 146.

Takiyama, Dr. Eng. M., "Shinkangen In a Changing World," <u>Railway</u> <u>Gazette International</u>, Volume <u>130</u>, No. (12), December 1974, p. 465.

Isozaki, Satoshi, "Japan Plans for the 21st Century," <u>International</u> <u>Railway Journal</u>, Volume <u>XII</u>, No. 4, April 1972, pp. 19 - 22.

Howson, F. H., "135 mph Travel on Japan's New Tokaido Line," <u>Modern Railways</u>, Volume <u>XXI</u>, No. (206), November 1965, pp. 624-630, 634.

LRC

Tomaka, J. Z., "The LRC--A Canadian High-Speed Diesel Train," <u>Rail Engineering International</u>, Volume <u>4</u>, No. (2), February/ March 1974, pp. 88-96.

"Canadian Run by LRC at 205 km/h," <u>Railway Engineer</u>, Volume <u>1</u>, No. (2), March/April 1976, pp. 45-46.

"Canada's LRC Prototype Coach On Trial," <u>Railway Gazette Inter-</u> <u>national</u>, Volume <u>127</u>, No. (11), November 1971, pp. 438-439.

"Canadian LRC Train Begins High-Speed Test Runs," International Railway Journal, Volume XIV, No. (11), November 1974, p. 68.

"New Entry In Intercity Race Takes Aim at the World Market," Railway Age, Volume <u>175</u>, No. (17), September 9, 1974, pp. 50-51.

Manos, W. P., "Progress in Railway Mechanical Engineering (1974-1975 Report of Survey Committee) Cars and Equipment," Report No. 75-WA/RT-6, <u>ASME</u>, July 1975, pp. 6-7.

4

BT10

"BR 200 km/h Mark III Passenger Rolling Stock for the High Speed Train and Conventional Hauled Services," <u>Rail Engineering</u> International, Volume 3, No. (2), February 1973, pp. 63 - 70.

Koffman, J. L. "Rational Approach to Design," <u>Rail Engineering</u> <u>International</u>, Volume <u>3</u>, No. (6), July 1973, pp. 248 - 254.

"Recent Speeds of 230 km/h in Western Europe," <u>Rail Engineering</u> <u>International</u>, Volume <u>3</u>, No. (6), July 1973, p. 285.

"Series-Production BR High Speed Trains Follow On The Successful Prototype," <u>Rail Engineering International</u>, Volume <u>5</u>, No. (5), August 1975, pp. 195 - 200.

Smith, J. G., "Exploiting BR's High Speed Hardware," <u>Railway</u> <u>Gazette International</u>, Volume <u>127</u>, No. (12), December 1971, pp. 462 - 466.

1

"Next Generation of BR Coaches Emerges," <u>Railway Gazette Inter</u>national, Volume 128, No. (4), April 1972, pp. 145 - 149.

Roberts, H. P. "Improved Braking Raises BR's Inter-City Speeds," Railway Gazette International, Volume <u>129</u>, No. (2), February 1973, pp. 54 - 57.

"HST Prototype Ready for Commercial Service," <u>Railway Gazette</u> <u>International</u>, Volume <u>129</u>, No. (9), September <u>1973</u>, pp. 351 - 353.

Sephton, B. G., "High Speed Train Prototype Proves Its Worth," <u>Railway Gazette International</u>, Volume <u>131</u>, No. (2), February 1975, pp. 58 - 62.

"Experimental Trainsets Set the Pace for High-Speed Services," <u>International Railway Journal</u>, Volume <u>XIII</u>, No. (11), November 1973, pp. 34, 38, 66.

Smith, J. G., "Seeking New Markets for BR's Inter-City Services," <u>International Railway Journal</u>, Volume <u>XV</u>, No. (10), October 1975, pp. 23 - 25, 70.

"British Rail Research Moves to the Offensive," <u>Modern Railways</u>, Volume XXVI, No. (264), September 1970, p. 391.

"200 km/h Passenger Trains Is 1976 Aim of British Railways," Railway Transportation, Volume 23, No. (6), July 15, 1974, pp.30,32.

British Rail Engineering Ltd. and Metro-Cammell Ltd., "Speed for the Seventies HST," September 1975, pp. 1 - 10.

<u>ER-200</u>

Dimant, Y. N., Zhivs, V. I., and Barsky, M. R., "Moscow-Leningrad Train Set Designed for 200 km/h," <u>Railway Gazette</u> <u>International</u>, Volume <u>127</u>, No. (4), April 1971, pp. 145-148.

Dimant, Yuri, and Zhivs, Victor, "Riga's 200 km/h EMU Ready for Moscow-Leningrad Line," <u>Railway Gazette International</u>, Volume <u>3</u>, No. (5), June 1975, pp. 220-222.

Sokolov, S. Mushkin, M. and Zhivs, V., "Soviet Train for High Speed Service," <u>International Railway Journal</u>, Volume <u>XIV</u>, No. (8), August 1974, pp. 21-25, 28, 34.

Ì,

APPENDIX A

7

か ひ

€ - 1 -

Report of Inventions

The main purpose of this project was to tabulate truck and car body data for rail vehicles traveling at speeds of 125 mph or higher. No inventions were achieved during the performance of work under the contract. However, the tabulated engineering parameters in this report can be used for modeling of rail vehicle dynamic performance which may lead to improvement of ride quality and operational safety.

APPENDIX B

A star into the

ر ان ان

Photographs of High Speed Trucks

This appendix contains photographs of the fifteen selected 125 mph high speed trucks, courtesy of these publications: <u>Chemins de Fer, French Railway Techniques, Rail Engineering</u> <u>International</u>, and <u>Railway Gazette International</u>.

Pictures of the Z1040 and BT10 truck are representative of them, but it is unclear from the literature if they are the actual trucks. All the other pictures contained in this appendix are the actual trucks.