

TRANSPORTATION COMMUNITY INSTITUTIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE STUDY

VOLUME I: NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION NEEDS MAIL SURVEY

Prima-Tec Incorporated
a subsidiary of
DYNATREND INCORPORATED
131 Middlesex Street
Burlington, Massachusetts 01803



APRIL 1976

FINAL REPORT

Document is available to the U.S. public through the
National Technical Information Service,
Springfield, Virginia 22161

Prepared for

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS CENTER
Kendall Square
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142



technology
sharing

1. Report No. DOT-TSC-OST-76-41, I		2. Government Accession No.		3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle TRANSPORTATION COMMUNITY INSTITUTIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE STUDY Volume 1: National Transportation Needs Mail Survey				5. Report Date April 1976	
				6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author(s) J.F. Connors,* A.J. Gravallesse,† R.G. DiLuzio,* and P.A. Albin*				8. Performing Organization Report No. DYN-UR-001	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address PRIMA-TEC INCORPORATED‡ 1901 N. Moore Street Suite 608 Arlington, VA 22209				10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) DOT-TSC-960	
				11. Contract or Grant No.	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address U.S. Department of Transportation Transportation Systems Center Kendall Square Cambridge, MA 02142				13. Type of Report and Period Covered Final Report July-August 1975	
				14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes *DYNATREND INCORPORATED †PRIMA-TEC INCORPORATED ‡PRIMA-TEC is a subsidiary of DYNATREND INCORPORATED, Burlington, Massachusetts.					
16. Abstract The results of the Transportation Community Infrastructure Study are presented as a three volume series. This series presents a surveyed priority of topics for information exchange, a case study of a proposed training program, and an analysis of the transportation infrastructure. Volume 1 presents the results of a mail survey conducted during the months of July and August 1975 to help determine the technical content, attendance factors, problem areas, and/or effectiveness of proposed workshops/seminars as a technology sharing medium. Volume 2 presents the results of a study to determine the training needs of highway-oriented transportation personnel transitioning to mass transit under the auspices of an UMTA/FHWA Joint Training Program. Volume 3 presents a transportation infrastructure study that develops the various interfaces in the transportation decision-making process.					
17. Key Words Technology Sharing Transportation Needs Mail Survey National Priorities			18. Distribution Statement Document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.		
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified		20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified		21. No. of Pages 132	22. Price

PREFACE

During the months of July and August 1975, a mail survey was conducted to help determine the technical content, attendance factors, problem areas, and/or effectiveness of the proposed workshop seminars as a technology sharing medium. The mail survey was sponsored by the Technology Sharing Program Office of the Transportation Systems Center, U.S. Department of Transportation. This document presents the results of the mail survey, lists the participants, and tabulates the data.


This is Volume 1 of a three volume series presenting the work of DYNATREND INCORPORATED in the Transportation Community Institutional Infrastructure Study. Volume 2 presents the results of the study to determine the training needs of highway-oriented transportation personnel transitioning to mass transit under the auspices of an UMTA/FHWA Joint Training Program. Volume 3 presents a transportation infrastructure study that develops the various interfaces in the transportation decision-making process.

The authors would like to express their appreciation to the many planners, engineers, municipal officials, commissioners, and directors who conscientiously responded to the questionnaire. Appreciation is also extended to the Technology Sharing Program Office who helped prepare the questionnaire and suggested methods of data presentation.

METRIC CONVERSION FACTORS

Approximate Conversions to Metric Measures

Symbol	When You Know	Multiply by	To Find	Symbol
LENGTH				
in	inches	2.5	centimeters	cm
ft	feet	30	centimeters	cm
yd	yards	0.9	meters	m
mi	miles	1.6	kilometers	km
AREA				
in ²	square inches	6.5	square centimeters	cm ²
ft ²	square feet	0.09	square meters	m ²
yd ²	square yards	0.8	square meters	m ²
mi ²	square miles	2.6	square kilometers	km ²
	acres	0.4	hectares	ha
MASS (weight)				
oz	ounces	28	grams	g
lb	pounds	0.45	kilograms	kg
	short tons (2000 lb)	0.9	tonnes	t
VOLUME				
tsp	teaspoons	5	milliliters	ml
Tbsp	tablespoons	15	milliliters	ml
fl oz	fluid ounces	30	milliliters	ml
c	cups	0.24	liters	l
pt	pints	0.47	liters	l
qt	quarts	0.95	liters	l
gal	gallons	3.8	liters	l
ft ³	cubic feet	0.03	cubic meters	m ³
yd ³	cubic yards	0.76	cubic meters	m ³
TEMPERATURE (exact)				
°F	Fahrenheit temperature	5/9 (after subtracting 32)	Celsius temperature	°C



Symbol	When You Know	Multiply by	To Find	Symbol
LENGTH				
mm	millimeters	0.04	inches	in
cm	centimeters	0.4	inches	in
m	meters	3.3	feet	ft
m	meters	1.1	yards	yd
km	kilometers	0.6	miles	mi
AREA				
cm ²	square centimeters	0.16	square inches	in ²
m ²	square meters	1.2	square yards	yd ²
km ²	square kilometers	0.4	square miles	mi ²
ha	hectares (10,000 m ²)	2.5	acres	ac
MASS (weight)				
g	grams	0.035	ounces	oz
kg	kilograms	2.2	pounds	lb
t	tonnes (1000 kg)	1.1	short tons	st
VOLUME				
ml	milliliters	0.03	fluid ounces	fl oz
l	liters	2.1	pints	pt
l	liters	1.06	quarts	qt
l	liters	0.26	gallons	gal
m ³	cubic meters	35	cubic feet	ft ³
m ³	cubic meters	1.3	cubic yards	yd ³
TEMPERATURE (exact)				
°C	Celsius temperature	9/5 (then add 32)	Fahrenheit temperature	°F




TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
1 BACKGROUND	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Methodology	1
2 SUMMARY	3
2.1 General	3
2.2 Results and Analysis	4
3 SURVEY RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	7
3.1 Distribution and Response	7
3.2 Priorities	8
3.2.1 Overall Priority	8
3.2.2 Priority by Standard DOT Regions	9
3.2.3 Priority by Population	9
3.3 Institutional and Governmental Problem Areas ...	9
3.4 Effectiveness of Workshop/Seminar	10
3.5 Attendance Factors	11
3.6 Other Suggested Topics	11
3.7 Workshop/Seminar Content	11
3.8 Pertinent Respondent Comments	12

Appendices

A Master Roster	A-1
B Survey Form	B-1
C Data Base	C-1
D Report of Inventions	D-1

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
1 Standard DOT Regional Boundaries	15
2 Total Responses by Region	16
3 Overall Raw Scores	17
4 Priority Profile by Governmental Class	18
5 Regions I through X Priority by Governmental Class	19-28
6 Priority by Governmental Class in DOT Regions for Topics (a) through (j)	29-38
7 Priority Profile of Municipalities by Population	39

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
1 Distribution and Response to Workshop/Seminar Survey ..	41
2 Total Responses by State within DOT Regions	42
3 Overall Survey Priority	43
4 Overall Survey Priority by Governmental Class	44
5 Overall Survey Priority by Standard DOT Regions	45
6 Priority in Municipalities Arranged by Population	46

1. BACKGROUND

1.1 INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes the results and tabulates the data of a mail survey¹ conducted by DYNATREND INCORPORATED for the Technology Sharing Program Office of the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), as part of the Transportation Community Institutional Infrastructure Study.

The objective of the survey was to help determine what information potential users of DOT developed technology would like to see transmitted through a workshop/seminar approach. Individuals from various state, regional, and local governments, with known interests in transportation systems or planning, were queried for their views on:

- (1) Intergovernmental and institutional problems,
- (2) Workshop/seminar effectiveness,
- (3) Alternate Communication mechanisms,
- (4) Workshop/seminar attendance factors,
- (5) Priority ranking of potential workshop/seminar topics, and
- (6) Other suggested potential topics.

The information obtained from almost 300 respondents was sorted, tabulated, analyzed, and graphically represented. The results of this survey provides the rationale to design an effective and appropriate workshop/seminar program for the sharing of technology.

1.2 METHODOLOGY

The methodology used to achieve the survey objectives incorporated five major elements, pursued in the order indicated.

-
1. This survey was performed with the approval of the Office of Management and Budget, as specified in the Federal Reports Act and Bureau of the Budget Circular A-40 (as amended).

They were:

(1) Edit the original questionnaire and list potential workshop/seminar topic candidates, as developed under the Workshop/Seminar Requirements Study (Report DOT-TSC-OST-75-54), into tenable form.

(2) Develop a mailing list (master roster) to ensure an appropriate representative sampling by governmental structure in the various geographic regions.

(The agencies to be served are (a) state departments of transportation or their equivalent, such as public works or highway commissions, (b) state agencies for local affairs, (c) regional councils (including councils of government, regional planning commissions, and economic and local development districts), and (d) municipalities (defined as active governmental units officially designated as cities, boroughs, villages, or towns). Individual addresses and names were taken from the American Road Builders Association Directory of Transportation Agency Personnel, National Association of Regional Councils Directory, International City Management Association Municipal Year Book, and the 1974 National Transportation Study, Manual 11, Volume 2.)

(3) Generate the cover letter, print the questionnaire and cover letter, prepare the return envelopes, then mail the total package.

(4) Log the individual returns and prepare a preliminary analysis of the survey.

(5) Finally, prepare a detailed report to include tabulated data, response profiles, updated master roster, data analysis, graphi presentations, priority lists, and inputs by individual respondents.

2. SUMMARY

2.1 GENERAL

This section presents a brief summary of the mail survey results. Detailed information is contained in Section 3.

The consensus of the individual respondents is as follows:

(1) The vast majority considers a workshop/seminar as being an effective tool in achieving a sharing of technology.

(2) The most common factors that influence the decision to attend a relevant workshop/seminar are the reputation of the panelists or discussion leaders and the length of the program (2 or 3 days is preferred).

(3) From the candidate list of topics the following overall order of priority was established:

<u>Priority</u>	<u>Survey Topic</u>
1	Transportation Systems Planning Process (a) ¹
2	Technology Review (b)
3	Bus Systems (c)
4	Elderly and Handicapped Transportation Systems (g)
5	Management Systems (d)
6	Marketing Techniques (f)
7	Demand-Responsive Systems (e)
8	Rural Public Transportation (j)
9	Rail Systems (h)
10	Procurement Procedures (i).

1. Refer to the lettered listing and descriptions of the survey topics, found in the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix B, page B-3.

2.2 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Of the 438 surveys distributed, 292 (66.6 percent) were returned. State DOT's or their equivalent returned 37 of 41 (90.2 percent); planning commissions, councils, or regions returned 100 of 148 (67.6 percent); and municipalities returned 155 of 249 (62.2 percent). Responses for each DOT Standard Region ranged from 50 to 70 percent. Analysis of topic ranking by DOT Standard Region, governmental class, and municipal population exhibited the same overall trends, with some minor changes in rankings.

Respondents were asked to react to a list of institutional and intergovernmental problem areas that they individually have encountered. The most frequently encountered problem was inadequate funding. Other problems added by respondents were: conflicting goals, excessive and unwarranted Federal procedures, inadequate state policy, funding inflexibility, interstate jurisdiction, insufficient local responsibility, time delay in approval funding, and lack of cooperation between local governments.

Respondents were asked to indicate the level of information desired for the listed candidate workshop/seminar topics or their suggestions under the "other" category. The levels presented on the survey were: general overview, detailed information, and experience of others. Generally, higher priority topics were accompanied by requests for details and experiences, while a general overview sufficed for the lower priority topics. In most cases more than one type of content was checked.

While general comments were not solicited, some respondents elected to provide an additional insight into their attitudes and views regarding the workshop/seminar concept. Some of the comments reflected: (1) the use of a workshop/seminar as a "sounding board" for new Federal directives, (2) the workshop/seminar's need to recognize the potential audience mix between technical and management

personnel, (3) the workshop/seminar as a forum for the transition of new transportation systems from prototype to general applications, including funding sources, (4) the workshop/seminar as a tool to bring transportation information to rural areas, and (5) the use of the workshop/seminar as "problem solving" sessions. The consensus of these comments was overwhelmingly in favor of the workshop seminar concept.

3. SURVEY RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The analysis of the survey responses focuses on the following areas:

- (1) survey distribution,
- (2) subjective priorities, as perceived by the respondents,
- (3) effectiveness of workshop/seminar as a dissemination tool,
- (4) factors which influence attendance at workshop/seminars,
- (5) suggested topics for transportation workshop/seminars,
- (6) workshop/seminar content, and
- (7) pertinent comments from the survey responses.

Complete survey responses as well as a roster of survey respondents are contained in the appendices.

3.1 DISTRIBUTION AND RESPONSE

Table 1 presents the distribution by governmental class of the 66.6 percent response rate. The distribution of the 438 questionnaires was: 41 to state departments of transportation or equivalent; 148 to planning commissions, councils, or regions; and the remaining 249 to municipalities.

Approximately 86 percent of the questionnaires were mailed to the larger cities (population greater than 50,000) where transportation is a major issue. Of the 409 U.S. municipalities that have populations in excess of 50,000, 215 were selected to receive the questionnaire. The remaining 14 percent of the questionnaires

in this group (34 municipalities) were mailed to selected cities (less than 50,000 population) in sparsely populated states.

Figure 1 shows the geographic location of the 10 DOT Regions. Table 2 lists the total responses by state within these 10 regions. Figure 2 consolidates the distribution and response totals by DOT Standard Region.

3.2 PRIORITIES

The survey participants were asked to rank a list of 10 potential workshop/seminar topics according to the importance in the individuals' activities. The topics were ranked on a scale from 1 (the most important) to 10 (the least important). No rank was to be used more than once.

The returned data were compiled and analyzed to determine if priority differed by the various governmental classes, population, or DOT Standard Region.

3.2.1 Overall Priority

Table 3 shows the overall order of priority. This overall ranking was determined by summing all the rankings assigned to each item and arriving at the resultant raw score. These scores were arranged in ascending order and the corresponding survey topics were so ranked. The letter beside each topic corresponds to the letter designator assigned to that topic in the questionnaire.

Figure 3 is a graphic presentation of this overall order of priority, indicating the raw scores of the ranked topics. The significance of this plot is the very small range in the raw score of the rankings assigned to topics 4 through 8. The data show

three distinct priority groups: items 1 through 3 (highest priority), items 4 through 8, and items 9 and 10 (lowest priority).

Table 4 presents the overall order of priority by the three governmental classes included in the survey. This tabulation indicates general agreement in the ranking among these three governmental classes. The most dramatic exception is topic "j" (rural public transportation). As might be expected, municipalities ranked it very low (9) while the regions and state DOT's ranked it high (4 and 3, respectively). Figure 4 presents a comparative priority profile by governmental class.

3.2.2 Priority by Standard DOT Regions

Table 5 is a comparison of the overall priority ranking by the 10 Standard DOT Regions. The 10 figures, 5a through 5j, present regional priorities by governmental class for each topic. The 10 figures, 6a through 6j, present the priority by governmental class by region for each topic.

3.2.3 Priority by Population

In Table 6, the municipalities that participated in the survey have been arranged by population classes, and the priority ranking determined for each class. Figure 7 is a priority profile of the municipalities presented by population class.

3.3 INSTITUTIONAL AND GOVERNMENTAL PROBLEM AREAS (Question 3)¹

The institutional infrastructure (transportation decision-making process) problems are central to the focus of this study. The question was stated: "(3) Intergovernmental and institutional

1. The question numbers refer to the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix B, page B-3.

problems often impede or have impeded effective implementation of transportation planning or operational programs. Please check and/or specify those problems which you have encountered."

The responses, ranked by decreasing frequency are:

- (1) Inadequate funding,
- (2) Fragmentation of responsibility,
- (3) Inadequate communications,
- (4) Inadequate local policy,
- (5) Overlapping programs,
- (6) Inadequate Federal policy, and
- (7) Conflicting standards.

Other problem areas mentioned are (1) conflicting goals, (2) excessive and unwarranted Federal procedures, (3) inadequate state policy, (4) funding inflexibility, (5) interstate jurisdiction, (6) insufficient local responsibility, (7) time delay in approval funding, and (8) lack of cooperation between local governments.

3.4 EFFECTIVENESS OF WORKSHOP/SEMINAR (Question 4)

More than 90 percent of the respondents view workshop/seminars as an effective tool in achieving a transfer of technology and understanding. Other transfer mechanisms suggested included:

- (1) Publication of manuals, technical data, and reports,
- (2) Publication of case studies,
- (3) Quarterly bibliography of reports,
- (4) Newsletters,
- (5) Publication of specific experience,
- (6) Direct contact by DOT,
- (7) Two-way, closed-circuit television, and
- (8) Precise, 1-day local briefing sessions.

3.5 ATTENDANCE FACTORS (Question 5)

All of the four factors listed in the questionnaire were considered as influencing an individual's decision to attend a workshop/seminar. However, the reputation of the panelists or discussion leaders and the length of the workshop/seminar were the most frequently checked factors. According to the consensus of respondents, the length of stay should be between 2 and 3 days. However, the most frequently mentioned "Other" factor indicated was the availability of funds to support attendance and travel.

3.6 OTHER SUGGESTED TOPICS (Question 7)

In addition to the topics which were covered in the breakdown listed in the survey under the main topic heading (Question 6), respondents suggested the following subjects for inclusion in a workshop/seminar program:

- (1) Impacts of transportation systems,
- (2) Travel-forecasting techniques/mathematical modeling,
- (3) Intergovernment responsibilities,
- (4) Multimodal analyses,
- (5) Administration of transportation systems,
- (6) Citizen participation in the planning process,
- (7) Traffic engineering and construction,
- (8) Federal-funding application preparations,
- (9) Bikeways,
- (10) Utilities relocation,
- (11) Methods for predicting the future availability of funds, and
- (12) Alternatives to capital equipment replacement.

3.7 WORKSHOP/SEMINAR CONTENT (Questions 6 and 7)

Overall, a proposed workshop/seminar held on any of the listed

topics should include all three of the types of information options contained in the survey: general overview, detailed information, and experience of others. Generally, the higher priority topics were accompanied by requests for details and experiences, while a general overview sufficed for the lower priority topics. In most cases, more than one type of content was checked, details and experience being commonly paired. A preference for all three types of information was not uncommon.

The "Other (Specify)" column was seldom used. However, some interesting ideas were presented, such as orienting the workshop/seminar content to transportation officials and professional planners, and encouraging interactive attendance participation among the role-players (decision-makers, planners, operators) in the transportation community.

3.8 PERTINENT RESPONDENT COMMENTS

Most respondents simply returned the completed questionnaire as requested. However, some elected to comment further on some of the issues presented in the survey. These comments provided additional insight into the attitudes and views of the survey respondents. Selected comments include:

"...a workshop could be considered prior to establishing new Federal directives, particularly regarding the planning process."


"It is important to recognize that the needs (type and detail of information) are different for managers of transportation studies versus those technicians actually accomplishing the work (policy and product content versus procedure and technique)."

"Most people involved in transportation are familiar with the transportation-planning process and need more information pertaining to types of systems in operation, problems with these systems, and where funding for new systems is available."

"A workshop/seminar relative to public transportation and its applicability to rural areas would be most beneficial. . ."

"One of the most important reasons to attend a conference of any sort is to obtain assistance with current and/or foreseeable problems. With this in mind, I would offer that the Workshop/Seminars could be used as 'problem solvers.'"

These comments are representative of the many received. While most comments had a unique point of view, no comments were received indicating a negative response to the workshop/seminar concept.








FIGURE 1. STANDARD DOT REGIONAL BOUNDARIES.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STANDARD REGIONS

FIGURE 2. TOTAL RESPONSES BY REGION

OVERALL TOPIC RANKING



1. Refer to the lettered listing and descriptions of the survey topics, found in the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix, Page B-3.

2. Score of 1 for highest priority on a response. Score of 10 for lowest priority or no response.

FIGURE 3. OVERALL RAW SCORES (Based on 292 Questionnaires Returned)

SYMBOLS

- STATE DOT'S
- △ REGIONS
- MUNICIPALITIES

OVERALL TOPIC RANKING

1. TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS
PLANNING PROCESS (a)¹

2. BUS SYSTEMS (c)

3. TECHNOLOGY REVIEW (b)

4. ELDERLY AND HANDICAPPED
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS (g)

5. MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS (d)


6. MARKETING TECHNIQUES (f)

7. DEMAND-RESPONSIVE SYSTEMS (e)

8. RURAL PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION (j)

9. RAIL SYSTEMS (h)

10. PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES (i)




1. Refer to the lettered listing and descriptions of the survey topics, found in the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix B, page B-3.

OVERALL TOPIC RANKING

GOVERNMENTAL CLASS

- STATE DOT's
- △ REGIONS
- MUNICIPALITIES
- OVERALL REGIONAL PRIORITY



1. Refer to the lettered listing and descriptions of the survey topics, found in the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix B, page B-3.


FIGURE 5a. REGION I PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS.

OVERALL TOPIC RANKING

GOVERNMENTAL CLASS

- STATE DOT'S
- △ REGIONS
- MUNICIPALITIES
- OVERALL REGIONAL PRIORITY

1. TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS PLANNING PROCESS (a)¹
2. BUS SYSTEMS (c)
3. TECHNOLOGY REVIEW (b)
4. ELDERLY AND HANDICAPPED TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS (g)
5. MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS (d)
6. MARKETING TECHNIQUES (f)
7. DEMAND-RESPONSIVE SYSTEMS (e)
8. RURAL PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION (j)
9. RAIL SYSTEMS (h)
10. PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES (i)



1. Refer to the lettered listing and descriptions of the survey topics, found in the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix B, page B-3.

OVERALL TOPIC RANKING

STATE DOT's
REGIONS
MUNICIPALITIES
OVERALL REGIONAL
PRIORITY

OVERALL
RESULTANT
PRIORITY


LOWER
PRIORITY
→

HIGHER
PRIORITY
→


HIGHEST 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 LOWEST OR
NO RESPONSE

1. Refer to the lettered listing and descriptions of the survey topics, found in the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix B, page B-3.

FIGURE 5c. REGION III PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS




OVERALL TOPIC RANKING



1. Refer to the lettered listing and descriptions of the survey topics, found in the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix B, page B-3.

FIGURE 5d. REGION IV PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS




1. Refer to the lettered listing and descriptions of the survey topics, found in the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix B, page B-3.

FIGURE 5e. REGION V PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS.

OVERALL TOPIC RANKING

GOVERNMENTAL CLASS

- STATE DOT'S
- △ REGIONS
- MUNICIPALITIES
- OVERALL REGIONAL PRIORITY



1. Refer to the lettered listing and descriptions of the survey topics, found in the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix B, page B-3.

FIGURE 5f. REGION VI PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS.

OVERALL TOPIC RANKING

GOVERNMENTAL CLASS

- STATE DOT'S
- △ REGIONS
- MUNICIPALITIES
- OVERALL REGIONAL PRIORITY

LOWER PRIORITY →

OVERALL RESULTANT PRIORITY


← HIGHER PRIORITY

HIGHEST 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 LOWEST OR NO RESPONSE

1. TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS PLANNING PROCESS (a)¹
2. BUS SYSTEMS (c)
3. TECHNOLOGY REVIEW (b)
4. ELDERLY AND HANDICAPPED TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS (g)
5. MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS (d)
6. MARKETING TECHNIQUES (f)
7. DEMAND-RESPONSIVE SYSTEMS (e)
8. RURAL PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION (j)
9. RAIL SYSTEMS (h)
10. PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES (i)


1. Refer to the lettered listing and descriptions of the survey topics, found in the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix B, page B-3.

FIGURE 5g. REGION VII PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS.




1. Refer to the lettered listing and descriptions of the survey topics, found in the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix B, page B-3.

FIGURE 5h. REGION VIII PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS.



1. Refer to the lettered listing and descriptions of the survey topics, found in the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix B, page B-3.

FIGURE 5i. REGION IX PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS.



1. Refer to the lettered listing and descriptions of the survey topics, found in the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix B, page B-3.

FIGURE 5j. REGION X PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS.




FIGURE 6a. PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS IN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REGIONS FOR TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS PLANNING PROCESS (a)




FIGURE 6b. PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS IN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REGIONS FOR TECHNOLOGY REVIEW (b)




FIGURE 6C. PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS IN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REGIONS FOR BUS SYSTEMS (c)





FIGURE 6d. PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS IN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REGIONS FOR MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS (d)



GOVERNMENTAL CLASS

- STATE DOT's
- MUNICIPALITIES
- REGIONS

FIGURE 6e. PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS IN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REGIONS FOR DEMAND-RESPONSIVE SYSTEMS (e)




FIGURE 6f. PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS IN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
REGIONS FOR MARKETING TECHNIQUES (f)






FIGURE 6g. PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS IN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REGIONS FOR ELDERLY AND HANDICAPPED TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS (9)



GOVERNMENTAL CLASS

- STATE DOT's
- MUNICIPALITIES
- REGIONS

FIGURE 6h. PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS IN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REGIONS FOR RAIL SYSTEMS (h)



GOVERNMENTAL CLASS

- STATE DOT's
- MUNICIPALITIES
- REGIONS

FIGURE 6i. PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS IN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REGIONS FOR PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES (i)





FIGURE 6j. PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS IN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REGIONS FOR RURAL PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION (j)



1. Refer to the lettered listing and descriptions of the survey topics, found in the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix B, page B-3.

FIGURE 7. PRIORITY PROFILE OF MUNICIPALITIES BY POPULATION.

TABLE 1. DISTRIBUTION AND RESPONSE TO WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY

Governmental Class	Questionnaires Mailed	Questionnaires Returned	Percent Participation
State Departments of Transportation or Their Equivalent	41	37	90.2
Planning Commissions, Councils, or Regions	148	100	67.6
Municipalities	249	155	62.2
Totals	438	292	66.6

TABLE 2. TOTAL RESPONSES BY STATE WITHIN DOT REGIONS

DOT Region	BREAKDOWN BY STATES IN REGION			
	State	Mailings	Returns	Percent Participation
I	Connecticut	12	9	75
	Maine	7	5	71
	Massachusetts	11	6	55
	New Hampshire	5	4	80
	Rhode Island	2	2	100
	Vermont	4	2	50
II	New Jersey	7	2	29
	New York	15	9	60
III	Delaware	3	2	67
	District of Columbia	1	1	100
	Maryland	5	4	80
	Pennsylvania	14	7	50
	Virginia	12	10	83
	West Virginia	6	3	50
IV	Alabama	10	7	70
	Florida	13	10	77
	Georgia	10	8	80
	Kentucky	7	6	86
	Mississippi	7	5	71
	North Carolina	13	9	69
	South Carolina	7	4	57
	Tennessee	9	4	44
V	Illinois	12	8	67
	Indiana	12	8	67
	Michigan	14	12	86
	Minnesota	9	7	78
	Ohio	21	16	76
	Wisconsin	11	8	73
VI	Arkansas	5	4	80
	Louisiana	10	4	40
	New Mexico	2	1	50
	Oklahoma	7	4	57
	Texas	33	23	70
VII	Iowa	8	4	50
	Kansas	7	6	86
	Missouri	11	8	73
	Nebraska	5	3	60
VIII	Colorado	6	5	83
	Montana	5	4	80
	North Dakota	2	1	50
	South Dakota	3	3	100
	Utah	8	5	62
	Wyoming	2	2	100
IX	Arizona	6	3	50
	California	32	21	66
	Nevada	5	0	0
X	Alaska	1	0	0
	Idaho	5	2	40
	Oregon	9	6	67
	Washington	7	5	71

TABLE 3. OVERALL SURVEY PRIORITY

Priority	Topic
1	Transportation System Planning Process (a) ¹
2	Bus Systems (c)
3	Technology Review (b)
4	Elderly and Handicapped Transportation Systems (g)
5	Management Systems (d)
6	Marketing Techniques (f)
7	Demand-Responsive Systems (e)
8	Rural Public Transportation (j)
9	Rail Systems (h)
10	Procurement Procedures (i)

1. Refer to the lettered listing and descriptions of the survey topics, found in the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix B, page B-3.

TABLE 4. OVERALL SURVEY PRIORITY BY GOVERNMENTAL CLASS

Overall Topic Ranking	Priority By Governmental Class		
	Municipalities	Commissions, Councils, or Regions	State Departments of Transportation
Transportation Systems Planning Process (a) ¹	1	1	1
Bus Systems (c)	3	3	2
Technology Review (b)	2	2	4
Elderly and Handicapped Transportation Systems (g)	5	5	5
Management Systems (d)	4	6	6
Marketing Techniques (f)	6	8	7
Demand-Responsive Systems (e)	7	7	8
Rural Public Transportation (j)	9	4	3
Rail Systems (h)	10	9	9
Procurement Procedures (i)	8	10	10

¹ Refer to the lettered listing and descriptions of the survey topics, found in the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix B, page B-3.

TABLE 5. OVERALL SURVEY PRIORITY BY STANDARD DOT REGIONS

Region No.	Overall Topic Ranking									
	Transportation Systems Planning Process (a)	Bus Systems (c)	Technology Review (b)	Elderly and Handicapped Transportation Systems (g)	Management Systems (d)	Marketing Techniques (f)	Demand-Responsive Systems (e)	Rural Public Transportation (j)	Rail Systems (h)	Procurement Procedures (i)
I	2	1	5	3	4	6	7	8	9	10
II	1	2	3	4	8	7	5	9	6	10
III	1	2	3	4	5	7	6	8	10	9
IV	1	2	3	6	4	5	7	8	10	9
V	1	2	3	5	4	6	7	8	10	9
VI	1	2	3	8	4	5	6	7	10	9
VII	1	2	4	3	5	8	7	6	9	10
VIII	1	3	2	4	6	8	5	7	10	9
IX	2	3	5	4	7	8	6	1	9	10
X	1	5	4	2	8	6	7	3	9	10

1. Refer to the lettered listing and descriptions of the survey topics, found in the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix B, page B-3.

TABLE 6. PRIORITY IN MUNICIPALITIES ARRANGED BY POPULATION

	Population						Overall Priority
	Over 500,000	Over 250,000	Over 100,000	Over 50,000	Under 50,000		
Overall Topic Ranking	2	1	2	1	1	1	
Transportation System Planning Process (a) ¹	1	2	1	2	2	2	
Bus Systems (c)							
Technology Review (b)	3	5	3	3	3	3	
Elderly and Handicapped Transportation Systems (g)	4	3	6	6	6	4	
Management Systems (d)	7	6	5	4	4	5	
Marketing Techniques (f)	6	4	4	5	5	6	
Demand-Responsive Systems (e)	5	7	7	7	7	7	
Rural Public Transportation (j)	10	10	9	9	9	8	
Rail Systems (h)	8	8	10	10	10	9	
Procurement Procedures (i)	9	9	8	8	8	10	

¹ Refer to the lettered listing and descriptions of the survey topics, found in the "Questionnaire, Transportation Workshop/Seminar Program," Appendix B, page B-3.

APPENDIX A
MASTER ROSTER
TRANSPORTATION WORKSHOP/SEMINAR
MAIL SURVEY PROGRAM

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
ALABAMA		
State of Alabama Highway Department J.L. Skinner, Urban Planning Engineer Montgomery, Alabama 36104	yes	Urban Planning Engineer
East Alabama Regional Planning and Development Commission Sam F. Reynolds, Jr., Director P.O. Box 2186 700 Quintard Avenue Anniston, Alabama 36201	yes	Planning Director
South Central Alabama Development Commission Lewis F. Duckworth, Executive Director 285 East South Boulevard Montgomery, Alabama 36111	no	
Top of Alabama Regional Council of Governments Dean Y. Matthews, Director Suite 350, State National Bank Building Huntsville, Alabama 35801	yes	Director
City of Birmingham Mayor City Hall Birmingham, Alabama 35203	yes	Traffic System Engineer
City of Gadsden Chief Executive City Hall Gadsden, Alabama 35902	no	
City of Huntsville Mayor City Hall Huntsville, Alabama 35801	no	
City of Mobile Chief Executive City Hall Mobile, Alabama 36602	yes	Director Public Works

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
ALABAMA (Concluded)		
City of Montgomery Chief Executive City Hall Montgomery, Alabama 36104	yes	Planning Coordinator
City of Tuscaloosa Chief Executive City Hall Tuscaloosa, Alabama 35401	yes	Director Planning Department
ALASKA		
City of Anchorage Chief Executive City Hall Anchorage, Alaska 99501	no	
ARIZONA		
Arizona Highway Department W.E. Willey, Assistant Director Planning and Programming 206 South 17th Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85007	no	
District Four Council of Governments Robert W. Kennerly, Executive Director 377 Main Street, Room 202 Yuma, Arizona 85364	yes	Director of Physical Resources
Maricopa Association of Governments John J. DeBolske, Secretary 1820 W. Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	yes	Chief Transportation Planner
Southeastern Arizona Governments Lloyd Clark, Regional Administration P.O. Box 204 Bisbee, Arizona 85603	yes	Research Specialist
City of Phoenix Chief Executive City Hall Phoenix, Arizona 85003	no	

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
ARIZONA (Concluded)		
City of Tucson Chief Executive City Hall Tucson, Arizona 85701	no	
ARKANSAS		
Arkansas State Highway Department Henry Gray, Director of Highways P.O. Box 2261 Little Rock, Arkansas 72203	yes	Planner
East Arkansas Planning and Development District Henry P. Jones, III, Director P.O. Box 1403 706 South Main Street Jonesboro, Arkansas 72401	yes	Director of Planning
Metroplan Jason Rouby, Executive Director Continental Building, Room 509 100 Main Street Little Rock, Arkansas 72201	no	
City of Little Rock Chief Executive City Hall Little Rock, Arkansas 72201	yes	Technology Agent
City of Pine Bluff Mayor City Hall Pine Bluff, Arkansas 71601	yes	Administrative Assistant
CALIFORNIA		
Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments Leslie L. Doolittle, Director 798 Cass Street Monterey, California 93941	no	
Butte County Association of Governments Clifton C. Michelson, Secretary Administrative Office-Courthouse Oroville, California 95965	no	

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
CALIFORNIA (Continued)		
Central Sierra Planning Council Government Center San Andreas, California 95249	yes	Executive Consultant
Cities and County of Colusa Area Planning Commission Andrew R. Clark, Secretary Colusa County Courthouse Colusa, California 95932	no	
Comprehensive Planning Organization Richard J. Huff, Executive Director Security Pacific Plaza, Suite 524 1200 Third Avenue San Diego, California 92101	yes	Senior Transportation Planner
Council of Fresno County Governments Peter R. Oswald, Executive Director 1221 Fulton Mall, Suite 607 Fresno, California 93721	yes	Executive Director
Humboldt County Association of Governments Jack Segal, Executive Director P.O. Box 1018 Eureka, California 95501	no	
Inyo-Mono Association of Governmental Entities Robert Sandy, Secretary P.O. Box 8 Bridgeport, California 93517	no	
Kern County Council of Governments Lanier C. "Nick" Greer, Director 1098-26th Street Bakersfield, California 93301	yes	Director
Kings County Regional Planning Agency Charles Gardner, Executive Secretary Box C Kings County Courthouse Hanford, California 93230	yes	Planner I
Mendocino County-Cities Planning Council Albert P. Beltrami, Executive Secretary Room 110, Courthouse Ukiah, California 95482	yes	Executive Secretary

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
CALIFORNIA (Continued)		
Merced County Association of Governments William G. Snyder, Executive Secretary 759 West 22nd Street Merced, California 95340	yes	Executive Secretary
San Joaquin County Council of Governments Peter D. Verdoorn Executive Director 1850 East Hazelton Avenue Stockton, California 95205	yes	Transportation Planner
San Luis Obispo County and Cities Area Planning Coordinating Council Ned A. Rogoway, Executive Secretary Room 102, Courthouse Annex San Luis Obispo, California 93401	yes	Program Coordinator
Shasta County and Cities Area Planning Council James J. Herbert, Secretary 1855 Placer Street Redding, California 96001	no	
Santa Barbara County-Cities Area Planning Council Samuel R. Clawson, Director 123 East Anapamu Street Santa Barbara, California 93101	yes	Transportation Planning Engineer
Stanislaus Area Association of Governments Doyle D. Dodd, Executive Director 729-13th Street Modesto, California 95354	yes	Executive Director
Tahoe Regional Planning Agency Richard M. Heika, Executive Officer P.O. Box 8896 South Lake Tahoe, California 95705	yes	Senior Transpor- tation Planner
Tri-County Planning Council Shannon O. Patterson, Chairman P.O. Box 250 Red Bluff, California 96080	no	
Tulare County Association of Governments Robert L. Wall, Executive Secretary County Courthouse, Room 107 Visalia, California 93277	yes	Staff Manager

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
CALIFORNIA (Continued)		
City of Fresno Chief Executive City Hall Fresno, California 93721	yes	Transit Superintendent
City of Long Beach Chief Executive City Hall Long Beach, California 90802	yes	Assistant To City Manager
City of Modesto Chief Executive City Hall Modesto, California 95353	yes	Director of Parking & Traffic
City of Monterey Chief Executive City Hall Monterey, California 93940	no	
City of Riverside Chief Executive City Hall Riverside, California 92501	yes	Transportation Coordinator
City of Santa Barbara Chief Executive City Hall Santa Barbara, California 93101	no	
City of Sacramento Chief Executive Sacramento, California 95814	yes	Assistant Traffic Engineer
City of Salinas Chief Executive City Hall Salinas, California 93901	yes	City Manager
City of San Diego Chief Executive City Hall San Diego, California 92101	no	
City of San Francisco Mayor City Hall San Francisco, California 94102	no	

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
CALIFORNIA (Concluded)		
City of San Jose Chief Executive City Hall San Jose, California 95110	yes	Deputy Director Public Works
City of Santa Rosa Chief Executive City Hall Santa Rosa, California 95402	yes	City Manager
City of Stockton Chief Executive City Hall Stockton, California 95204	no	
COLORADO		
Pikes Peak Area Council of Governments Michael J. Meehan, Council 103 West Costilla Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903	yes	Transportation Planner
Pueblo Area Council of Governments Fred Weisbrod, Executive Director 1 City Hall Place Pueblo, Colorado 81003	no	
San Luis Valley Council of Governments Donald A. Rapp, Executive Director Box 123, Adams State College Alamosa, Colorado 81102	yes	Regional Planner
City of Boulder Chief Executive City Hall Boulder, Colorado 80302	yes	Transportation Director
City of Colorado Springs Chief Executive City Hall Colorado Springs, Colorado 80902	yes	Public Works Coordinator

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
COLORADO (Concluded)		
City of Pueblo Chief Executive City Hall Pueblo, Colorado 81003	yes	Director of Transportation
CONNECTICUT		
Central Connecticut Regional Planning Agency Melvin J. Schneidermeyer, Director 30 Bank Street New Britain, Connecticut 06051	yes	Transportation Planner
Litchfield Hills Regional Planning Agency Leonard S. Campbell, Director 40 Main Street Torrington, Connecticut 06790	yes	Regional Planner
Southeastern Connecticut Regional Planning Agency Richard B. Erickson, Director 139 Boswell Avenue Norwich, Connecticut 06360	yes	Director
City of Bridgeport Mayor City Hall Bridgeport, Connecticut 06604	yes	Transportation Engineer
City of Bristol Mayor City Hall Bristol, Connecticut 06010	yes	Commissioner Development Coordination
City of Hartford Chief Executive City Hall Hartford, Connecticut 06103	yes	Traffic Engineer
City of Meriden Mayor City Hall Meriden, Connecticut 06450	no	

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
CONNECTICUT (Concluded)		
City of New Britain Mayor City Hall New Britain, Connecticut 06050	no	
City of New Haven Mayor City Hall New Haven, Connecticut 06501	yes	Director Traffic
City of Norwalk Mayor City Hall Norwalk, Connecticut 06856	no	
City of Stamford Mayor City Hall Stamford, Connecticut 06901	yes	Executive Director Transit
City of Waterbury Mayor City Hall Waterbury, Connecticut 06720	yes	Coordinator Planning & Management
DELAWARE		
State of Delaware Department of Highways and Transportation John O. Hidinger, Director of Transportation & Aeronautics Administration Building, Box 778 Dover, Delaware 19901	yes	Chief Grants & Capital Programs
Wilmington Metropolitan Area Planning Coordinating Council Edward J. O'Donnell, Director 2062 New Castle Avenue Cross Roads Shopping Center New Castle, Delaware 19720	yes	Executive Director
City of Wilmington Mayor City Hall Wilmington, Delaware 19801	no	

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
Department of Highways and Traffic T.G. Airis, Director Presidential Building 415-12th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004	yes	Director
FLORIDA		
North Central Florida Regional Planning council Charles F. Justice, Director 5 S.W. Second Place Gainesville, Florida 32601	yes	Transportation Planner
City of Fort Lauderdale Chief Executive City Hall Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301	yes	Assistant City Manager
City of Gainesville Chief Executive City Hall Gainesville, Florida 32601	yes	Director Traffic Engineering
City of Hollywood Chief Executive City Hall Hollywood, Florida 33020	yes	City Engineer
City of Jacksonville Mayor City Hall Jacksonville, Florida 32202	yes	Administrative Aide
City of Miami Chief Executive City Hall Miami, Florida 33139	no	
City of Orlando Mayor City Hall Orlando, Florida 32801	yes	City Transportation Engineer

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
FLORIDA (Concluded)		
City of Pensacola Chief Executive City Hall Pensacola, Florida 32502	yes	Director Community Services
City of St. Petersburg Chief Executive City Hall St. Petersburg, Florida 33701	yes	Public Works Administrator
City of Tallahassee Chief Executive City Hall Tallahassee, Florida 32304	yes	Director TALTRAN
City of Tampa Mayor City Hall Tampa, Florida 33602	no	
City of West Palm Beach Chief Executive City Hall West Palm Beach, Florida 33401	yes	Assistant Traffic Engineer
GEORGIA		
Georgia Department of Transportation Drew Brown, State Transportation Program Engineer No. 2 Capitol Square, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30334	yes	Chief Research of Development Bureau
Atlanta Regional Commission Harry West, Executive Director 100 Peachtree Street, N.W. Suite 910 Atlanta, Georgia 30303	yes	Transportation Planner
Coastal Area Planning and Development Commission Vernon D. Martin, Executive Director P.O. Box 1316 Brunswick, Georgia 31520	yes	Executive Director

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
GEORGIA (Concluded)		
North Georgia Area Planning and Development Commission George Sutherland, Executive Director 212 N. Pentz Street Dalton, Georgia 30720	no	
City of Albany Chief Executive City Hall Albany, Georgia 31702	yes	Director of Planning
City of Atlanta Mayor City Hall Atlanta, Georgia 30303	yes	Commissioner Department of Planning
City of Augusta Mayor City Hall Augusta, Georgia 30902	no	
City of Columbus Chief Executive City Hall Columbus, Georgia 31902	yes	Chief Transportation Planning
City of Macon Mayor City Hall Macon, Georgia 31201	yes	Planning Director
City of Savannah Chief Executive City Hall Savannah, Georgia 31401	yes	City Manager
IDAHO		
Idaho Department of Highways V.N. Richardson, State Highway Engineer 3311 W. State Street, Box 7129 Boise, Idaho 83707	yes	Chief of Planning
Ada Council of Governments Robert R. McAbee, Director 525 West Jefferson Street Boise, Idaho 83702	no	

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
IDAHO (Concluded)		
Southeast Idaho Council of Governments Scott B. McDonald, Director P.O. Box 4169 209 East Louis Pocatello, Idaho 83201	no	
South Idaho Resource Planning and Development and Association Paul Kelly, Executive Director 634 Addison Avenue West Twin Falls, Idaho 83301	no	
City of Boise City Mayor City Hall Boise City, Idaho 83702	yes	Transportation Planner
ILLINOIS		
State of Illinois Department of Transportation Thomas Lisco, Director of Research and Development Springfield, Illinois 62764	yes	Director of Research and Development
Champaign County Regional Planning Commission Robert L. Pinkerton, Director 117 West Elm Street Urbana, Illinois 61801	no	
Greater Egypt Regional Planning and Development Commission Franklyn H. Moreno, Director 211 1/2 West Main Street Carbondale, Illinois 62901	no	
McLean County Regional Planning Commission Herman Dirks, Executive Director 207 W. Jefferson Street Bloomington, Illinois 61701	yes	Associate Planner
City of Bloomington Chief Executive City Hall Bloomington, Illinois 61701	yes	Mayor

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
ILLINOIS (Concluded)		
City of Champaign Chief Executive City Hall Champaign, Illinois 61820	no	
City of Decatur Chief Executive City Hall Decatur, Illinois 62523	yes	Administrator Assistant City Manager
City of Normal Chief Executive City Hall Normal, Illinois 61761	yes	City Manager
City of Peoria Chief Executive City Hall Peoria, Illinois 61602	no	
City of Rockford Mayor City Hall Rockford, Illinois 61104	yes	Transportation Coordinator
City of Springfield Chief Executive City Hall Springfield, Illinois 62701	yes	Chief Transportation Planner
City of Urbana Mayor City Hall Urbana, Illinois 61801	yes	Mayor
INDIANA		
Indiana State Highway Commission Roger F. Marsh, Executive Director State Office Building Indianapolis, Indiana 46204	yes	Executive Director

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
INDIANA (Concluded)		
Lake-Porter County Regional Transportation and Planning Commission Norman E. Tufford, Director 8149 Kennedy Avenue, Suite D Highland, Indiana 46322	yes	Chief Transportation Planner
Michiana Area Council of Governments George L. Kruse, Jr., Director Room 712, County-City Building South Bend, Indiana 46601	no	
West Central Indiana Economic Development District Jerry Dolley, Executive Director Box 627, 700 Wabash Avenue Terre Haute, Indiana 47808	yes	Acting Director
City of Anderson Mayor City Hall Anderson, Indiana 46011	yes	Assistant Planning Director
City of Evansville Mayor City Hall Evansville, Indiana 47708	yes	Executive Assistant to the Mayor
City of Fort Wayne Mayor City Hall Fort Wayne, Indiana 46802	yes	Director Long Range Planning
City of Indianapolis Mayor City Hall Indianapolis, Indiana 46204	yes	Principal Planner
City of Lafayette Mayor City Hall Lafayette, Indiana 47902	no	
City of Muncie Mayor City Hall Muncie, Indiana 47302	yes	CBD Coordinator

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
INDIANA (Concluded)		
City of South Bend Mayor City Hall South Bend, Indiana 46624	no	
City of Terre Haute Mayor City Hall Terre Haute, Indiana 47808	no	
IOWA		
Iowa State Highway Commission Raymond L. Lassell, Deputy Chief Engineer of Planning Highway Commission Building Ames, Iowa 50010	yes	Deputy Chief Engineer of Planning
Iowa Northland Regional Council of Governments Hugh J. Copeland, Director Russell Lamson Building, Suite N Waterloo, Iowa 50701	no	
Johnson County Regional Planning Commission Robert Hilgenberg, Director 22 1/2 South Dubuque Street Iowa City, Iowa 52240	no	
Siouxland Interstate Metropolitan Planning Council Donald M. Meisner, Director Box 447 626 Insurance Exchange Building Sioux City, Iowa 51102	yes	Director
City of Cedar Rapids Chief Executive City Hall Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52401	no	
City of Des Moines Chief Executive City Hall Des Moines, Iowa 50307	yes	Director Transportation Department
City of Sioux City Chief Executive City Hall Sioux City, Iowa 51101	yes	City Manager

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
IOWA (Concluded)		
City of Waterloo Mayor City Hall Waterloo, Iowa 50701	no	
KANSAS		
State Highway Commission of Kansas John D. McNeal, State Highway Engineer State Office Building Topeka, Kansas 66612	yes	State Highway Engineer
Chikaskia and Indian Hills Regional Planning Commissions Dennis Flotz, Executive Director Box 301, County Courthouse Pratt, Kansas 67124	yes	Director of Planning
Northwest Kansas Planning and Development Commission Roy Davis, Director P.O. Box 248 Hill City, Kansas 67642	no	
Wichita-Sedgwick County Metropolitan Area Planning Commission Robert A. Lakin, Director 104 South Main Street Wichita, Kansas 67202	yes	Principal Planner
City of Kansas City Chief Executive City Hall Kansas City, Kansas 66101	yes	Transportation Coordinator
City of Topeka Chief Executive City Hall Topeka, Kansas 66603	yes	Administrative Analyst
City of Wichita Chief Executive City Hall Wichita, Kansas 67202	yes	Executive Director MTA

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
KENTUCKY		
Kentucky Department of Transportation James Fehr, Director of Planning State Office Building Frankfort, Kentucky 40601	yes	Transportation Planning Engineering
Barren River Area Development District T. Jack Eversole, Executive Director P.O. Box 154 Bowling Green, Kentucky 42101	yes	Civil Engineer
Falls of the Ohio Metropolitan Council of Governments Wilbert F. Watkins, Director 802 Fiscal Court Building Louisville, Kentucky 40202	yes	Director of Transportation Planning
Kentucky River Area Development District Paul T. Townes, Executive Director P.O. Box 986 North Main and Memorial Drive Hazard, Kentucky 41701	yes	Physical Planner
City of Lexington Chief Executive City Hall Lexington, Kentucky 40507	yes	Acting Director Division of Planning
City of Louisville Mayor City Hall Louisville, Kentucky 40202	yes	Traffic Engineer
City of Owensboro Chief Executive City Hall Owensboro, Kentucky 42301	no	
LOUISIANA		
Louisiana Department of Highways A.B. Ratcliff, Jr., Chief Engineer Box 44245, Capitol Station Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804	no	

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
LOUISIANA (Concluded)		
Capital Region Planning Commission Sidney L. Gray, Executive Director 101 St. Ferdinand Street, Suite 205 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70801	no	
Caddo-Bossier Council of Local Governments G. Parsons, Acting Director P.O. Box 1109 Shreveport, Louisiana 71102	yes	Assistant Director for Transportation
Regional Planning Commission for Jefferson, Orleans, St. Bernard and St. Tammany Parishes Charles F. O'Daniel, Jr., Director Masonic Temple Building, Suite 900 333 St. Charles Avenue New Orleans, Louisiana 70130	no	
City of Baton Rouge Mayor City Hall Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70801	yes	Chief Planner
City of Lake Charles Mayor City Hall Lake Charles, Louisiana 70601	no	
City of Lafayette Chief Executive City Hall Lafayette, Louisiana 70501	no	
City of Monroe Mayor City Hall Monroe, Louisiana 71201	yes	Planning Director
City of New Orleans Mayor City Hall New Orleans, Louisiana 70112	yes	Director City Planning Commission
City of Shreveport Chief Executive City Hall Shreveport, Louisiana 71101	no	

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
MAINE		
Maine Department of Transportation Daniel Webster, Director State Office Building Augusta, Maine 04330	yes	Director
Greater Portland Council of Governments William O. Rogers, Director 169A Ocean Street South Portland, Maine 04106	no	
Northern Maine Regional Planning Commission James A. Barresi, Director P.O. Box 911 Presque Isle, Maine 04769	yes	Chief Computer Planning Division
Washington County Regional Planning Commission Donald J. Bushey, Director P.O. Box 273 Machias, Maine 04654	yes	Director
City of Auburn Chief Executive City Hall Auburn, Maine 04210	no	
City of Lewiston Mayor City Hall Lewiston, Maine 04240	yes	Intergovernment Coordinator
City of Portland Chief Executive City Hall Portland, Maine 04111	yes	Community Development Specialist
MARYLAND		
Maryland Department of Transportation Clyde E. Pyers, Director, Division Systems Planning and Development Friendship International Airport Box 8755 Baltimore, Maryland 21240	yes	Assistant Planning Director

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
MARYLAND (Concluded)		
Regional Planning Council Robert N. Young, Executive Director 701 St. Paul Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202	no	
Tri-County Council of Southern Maryland Gerald C. McKinney, Director P.O. Box 301 Waldor, Maryland 20601	yes	Community Development Analyst
Tri-County Council of Western Maryland Edward I. Heath, Executive Director Algonquin Motor Inn, Suite 510 Cumberland, Maryland 21502	yes	Executive Director
City of Baltimore Mayor City Hall Baltimore, Maryland 21202	yes	Chief Transportation Planning
MASSACHUSETTS		
Cape Cod Planning and Economic Development Commission Norman H. Cook, Director First District Court House Barnstable, Massachusetts 02630	yes	Transportation Planner
Franklin County Department of Regional Planning Frederick J. Muehl, Director Main Street, Court House Greenfield, Massachusetts 01301	no	
Southeastern Regional Planning and Economic Development District William D. Toole, Director 68 Winthrop Street Taunton, Massachusetts 02780	yes	Transportation Coordinator
City of Boston Mayor City Hall Boston, Massachusetts 02201	no	
City of Brockton Mayor City Hall Brockton, Massachusetts 01853	yes	Assistant City Planner

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
MASSACHUSETTS (Concluded)		
City of Fitchburg Mayor City Hall Fitchburg, Massachusetts 01420	no	
City of Leominster Mayor City Hall Leominster, Massachusetts 01453	no	
City of Lowell Chief Executive City Hall Lowell, Massachusetts 01853	yes	City Manager
City of New Bedford Mayor City Hall New Bedford, Massachusetts 02740	yes	Administrative SRTA
City of Pittsfield Mayor City Hall Pittsfield, Massachusetts 01201	yes	Transportation Planner
City of Worcester Chief Executive City Hall Worcester, Massachusetts 01601	no	
MICHIGAN		
Michigan Department of State Highways Samuel J. Cryderman, Department Director Bureau of Planning State Highways Building Lansing, Michigan 48904	yes	Department Director Bureau of Planning
Northwest Michigan Regional Planning & Development Commission Bill G. Rowden, Director 120 West State Street Traverse City, Michigan 49684	yes	

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
MICHIGAN (Continued)		
Southeast Michigan Council of Governments Michael K. Glusal, Executive Director Eighth Floor, Book Building 1249 Washington Boulevard Detroit, Michigan 48226	yes	Director of Planning
Tri-County Regional Planning Commission Herbert D. Maier, Director 535 North Clippert Street Lansing, Michigan 48912	yes	Senior Planner
City of Ann Arbor Chief Executive City Hall Ann Arbor, Michigan 48108	yes	Traffic Engineer
City of Bay City Chief Executive City Hall Bay City, Michigan 49003	yes	City Manager
City of Detroit Mayor City Hall Detroit, Michigan 43226	yes	Director Department of Transportation
City of Flint Chief Executive City Hall Flint, Michigan 48502	yes	City Manager
City of Grand Rapids Chief Executive City Hall Grand Rapids, Michigan 49502	yes	General Manager Transit Authority
City of Jackson Chief Executive City Hall Jackson, Michigan 49201	yes	General Manufacturer Transit Authority
City of Kalamazoo Chief Executive City Hall Kalamazoo, Michigan 49003	no	

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
MICHIGAN (Concluded)		
City of Lansing Mayor City Hall Lansing, Michigan 48933	no	
City of Muskegon Chief Executive City Hall Muskegon, Michigan 49440	yes	Transit System Supervisor
City of Saginaw Chief Executive City Hall Saginaw, Michigan 48601	yes	Transit Administrator
MINNESOTA		
Minnesota Department of Highways Charles E. Burrill, Regional Administrator State Highway Building St. Paul, Minnesota 55155	yes	Regional Administrator
Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments Francis Chichester, Director 44 Foss Lane Moorhead, Minnesota 56560	yes	Transportation Planner
Metropolitan Council Robert T. Jorvig, Director Suite 300, Metor Square Building St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	yes	Transportation Planner
Metropolitan Inter-County Council James C. Shipman, Director 55 Sherburne Avenue, Suite 111 St. Paul, Minnesota 55103	yes	Director
Rochester-Olmsted Council of Governments R. Daniel Castle, Director 1421 Third Avenue, S.E. Rochester, Minnesota 55901	no	

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
MINNESOTA (Concluded)		
City of Minneapolis Mayor City Hall Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415	yes	Principal Planner
City of Moorehead Mayor City Hall Moorehead, Minnesota 56560	yes	Assistant Planning Director
City of Rochester Mayor City Hall Rochester, Minnesota 55901	yes	Transportation Planner
City of St. Paul Chief Executive City Hall St. Paul, Minnesota 55102	no	
MISSISSIPPI		
Mississippi State Highway Department V.J. Rogers, Chief Engineer and Deputy, Director Box 1850 Jackson, Mississippi 39205	yes	Transportation Planner Engineer
Gulf Regional Planning Commission Jack Different, Executive Director P.O. Box 1346 Gulfport, Mississippi 39501	yes	Executive Director
Lee County Council of Governments Harry A. Martin, Director P.O. Drawer A Tupelo, Mississippi 38801	yes	Research Analyst
North Central Planning & Development District Bob Williamson, Executive Director Box 668 321 Summit Street Winona, Mississippi 38967	yes	Governmental Specialist

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
MISSISSIPPI (Concluded)		
City of Biloxi Chief Executive City Hall Biloxi, Mississippi 39530	no	
City of Gulfport Chief Executive City Hall Gulfport, Mississippi 39501	no	
City of Jackson Chief Executive City Hall Jackson, Mississippi 39201	yes	Senior Planner
MISSOURI		
Missouri State Highway Commission R.N. Hunter, Chief Engineer Jefferson City, Missouri 65101	yes	Chief Engineer
East-West Gateway Coordinating Council Eugene G. Moody, Director 720 Olive Street, Suite 2110 St. Louis, Missouri 63101	yes	Director Transportation Planning
Kaysinger Basin Regional Planning Commission Hal L. Taylor, Executive Director Ninth and East Ohio Streets Clinton, Missouri 64735	yes	Executive Director
Mid-America Regional Council Richard F. Davis, Director 20 West Ninth Street, Third Floor Kansas City, Missouri 64105	yes	Director of Transportation
Missouri Valley Regional Planning Commission Russell I. Nicholas, Director P.O. Box 747 Farmers Savings Bank Building, Room 239 Marshall, Missouri 65340	yes	Director

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
MISSOURI (Concluded)		
Ozark Gateway Regional Planning Commission Jack L. Williams, Director P.O. Box 1355 303 East Third Street Joplin, Missouri 64801	no	
City of Columbia Chief Executive City Hall Columbia, Missouri 65201	no	
City of Kansas City Chief Executive City Hall Kansas City, Missouri 64106	yes	Director of Transportation
City of Springfield Chief Executive City Hall Springfield, Missouri 65802	yes	Director of Planning
City of St. Joseph Mayor City Hall St. Joseph, Missouri 64501	yes	Planning Director
City of St. Louis Mayor City Hall St. Louis, Missouri 63102	no	
MONTANA		
Montana Department of Highways J.J. Anderson, Director of Highways 6th Avenue and Roberts Helena, Montana 59601	yes	Chief Planning & Research
Billings-Yellowstone City-County Planning Board Michael J. McCollum, Director 524 Avenue "B" Billings, Montana 59101	no	

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
MONTANA (Concluded)		
Great Falls City-County Planning Board John R. Richards, Director Box 1609 Great Falls, Montana 59403	yes	Planner
City of Billings Mayor City Hall Billings, Montana 59101	yes	Planner I
City of Great Falls Chief Executive City Hall Great Falls, Montana 59401	yes	Traffic Engineer
NEBRASKA		
Nebraska Department of Roads Thomas D. Doyle, Director - State Engineer S. Junction of U.S. 77 and N-2 Lincoln, Nebraska 68509	yes	Planning Engineer
Extra Metropolitan Council of Governments John P. Krueger, Director P.O. Box C Fremont, Nebraska 68025	yes	Director
Omaha-Council Bluffs Metropolitan Area Planning Agency J.G. Harvell, Director 7000 West Center Road Omaha, Nebraska 68106	yes	Director Transportatio Planning Department
City of Lincoln Mayor City Hall Lincoln, Nebraska 68508	no	
City of Omaha Mayor City Hall Omaha, Nebraska 68102	no	

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION</u> <u>IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
NEVADA		
Nevada Department of Highways William A. Nagel, Assistant Deputy Highway Engineer-Design State Highway Building 1263 S. Stewart Street Carson City, Nevada 89701	no	
Area Council of Governments Frank Freean, Executive Director P.O. Box 1900, City Hall Reno, Nevada 89504	no	
Carson River Basin Council of Governments James M. Friedlander, Director P.O. Box 1927, 201 South Fall Street Carson City, Nevada 89701	no	
City of Las Vegas Chief Executive City Hall Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	no	
City of Reno Chief Executive City Hall Reno, Nevada 89501	no	
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
State of New Hampshire Department of Public Works and Highways Robert G. Kenevel, Planning and Economic Engineering Morton Office Building, 85 Loudon Road Concord, New Hampshire 03301	yes	Planning and Economic Engineer
Nashua Regional Planning Commission Max A. Wolfe, Executive Director 115 Main Street, Third Floor Nashua, New Hampshire 03060	no	
Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission W. Ray Walker, Executive Director 815 Elm Street Manchester, New Hampshire 03101	yes	Executive Director

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
NEW HAMPSHIRE (Concluded)		
Upper Valley Planning and Development Council Charles D. McKinney, Director 14 Parkhurst Street Lebanon, New Hampshire 03766	no	
City of Manchester Mayor City Hall Manchester, New Hampshire 03101	yes	Coordinator of Development
City of Nashua City Hall Nashua, New Hampshire 03060	yes	Planning Director
NEW JERSEY		
State of New Jersey Department of Transportation Alan Sagner, Commissioner 1035 Parkway Avenue Trenton, New Jersey 08625	yes	Director of Transportation Planning
North Hudson Council of Mayors Nicholas S. Mastorelli, Director 6907 Bergenline Avenue Guttenberg, New Jersey 07093	no	
City of Atlantic City Chief Executive City Hall Atlantic City, New Jersey 08401	no	
City of Jersey City Mayor City Hall Jersey City, New Jersey 07302	no	
City of Newark Mayor City Hall Newark, New Jersey 07102	no	
City of Millville Chief Executive City Hall Milville, New Jersey 08332	yes	Deputy City Clerk

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
NEW JERSEY (Concluded)		
City of Vineland Mayor City Hall Vineland, New Jersey 08360	no	
NEW MEXICO		
Eastern Plains Planning Council Troy Ramsey, Executive Director Curry County Court House Clovis, New Mexico 88101	no	
McKinley Area Council of Governments Jeff Meyer, Executive Director 300 West Hill, Suite 2 Gallup, New Mexico 87301	yes	Planner
NEW YORK		
New York Department of Transportation E.Wilson Campbell, Assistant Commissioner Planning and Development 1220 Washington Avenue Albany, New York 12226	yes	Assistant Commissioner Planning and Development
Capital District Regional Planning Commission S. Thygarajan, Director Executive Park Tower Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York 12203	yes	Transportation Planner
Central New York Regional Planning and Development Board Robert C. Morris, Executive Director 321 East Water Street Syracuse, New York 13202	yes	Executive Director
Erie and Niagara Counties Regional Planning Board Leo J. Nowak, Jr., Director 2085 Baseline Road Grand Island, New York 14072	yes	Director

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
NEW YORK (Continued)		
Metropolitan Regional Council Robert P. Slocum, Director 1 World Trade Center, Room 2437 New York, New York 10048	yes	Director of Planning
City of Albany Mayor City Hall Albany, New York 12207	yes	City Engineer
City of Binghamton Mayor City Hall Binghamton, New York 13901	no	
City of Buffalo Mayor City Hall Buffalo, New York 14202	yes	Commissioner Department of Transportation
City of New York Mayor City Hall New York City, New York 10007	no	
City of Rochester Chief Executive City Hall Rochester, New York 14614	yes	City Engineer
City of Rome Mayor City Hall Rome, New York 13440	no	
City of Schenectady Chief Executive City Hall Schenectady, New York 10314	no	
City of Syracuse Mayor City Hall Syracuse, New York 13202	no	

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
NEW YORK (Concluded)		
City of Troy Mayor City Hall Troy, New York 12180	yes	
City of Utica Mayor City Hall Utica, New York 13503	no	
NORTH CAROLINA		
State of North Carolina Department of Transportation W.F. Caddell, Jr., Assistant Secretary Planning Highway Building Raleigh, North Carolina 27611	yes	Assistant Secretary Planning
Cape Fear Council of Governments Beverly P. Paul, Executive Director Suite 206, One North Third Street Wilmington, North Carolina 28401	yes	Regional Planning Director
Centralina Council of Governments Frank M. Kivett, Executive Director P.O. Box 4168 1229 Greenwood Cliff Charlotte, North Carolina 28204	no	
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments Carl Loop, Regional Planning Director Four Seasons Offices 2120 Pinecroft Road Greensboro, North Carolina 27400	yes	Regional Planning Director
City of Asheville Chief Executive City Hall Asheville, North Carolina 28801	yes	Transportation Planner
City of Charlotte Chief Executive City Hall Charlotte, North Carolina 28202	yes	Transportation Planning Coordinator

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
NORTH CAROLINA (Concluded)		
City of Durham Chief Executive City Hall Durham, North Carolina 27701	yes	Director Department of Transportation
City of Fayetteville Chief Executive City Hall Fayetteville, North Carolina 28302	no	
City of Greensboro Chief Executive City Hall Greensboro, North Carolina 27402	yes	Transportation Planner
City of High Point Chief Executive City Hall High Point, North Carolina 27260	yes	Assistant City Manager
City of Raleigh Chief Executive City Hall Raleigh, North Carolina 27602	no	
City of Wilmington Chief Executive City Hall Wilmington, North Carolina 28401	no	
City of Winston-Salem Chief Executive City Hall Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27101	yes	Director of Planning
NORTH DAKOTA		
State of North Dakota State Highway Department L.L. Zink, Planning & Research Engineer State Highway Building, Capital Grounds Bismarck, North Dakota 58501	no	
City of Fargo Chief Executive City Hall Fargo, North Dakota 58102	yes	Mayor

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
OHIO		
Ohio Department of Transportation Bobby F. Everhart, Deputy Director 25 South Front Street Columbus, Ohio 43215	yes	Design Coordinator
Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission Robert W. Wirgau, Executive Director 814 Adams Street Steubenville, Ohio 43452	yes	Transportation Engineer Planner
Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission William C. Habig, Executive Director 514 South High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215	yes	Deputy Director
Northeast Ohio Areawide Coordinating Agency Frederick E.J. Pizzeda, Director 439 The Arcade Cleveland, Ohio 44114	yes	Director
Toledo Metropolitan Area Council of Governments Calvin M. Lakin, Executive Director 420 Madison Avenue, Suite 725 Toledo, Ohio 43604	yes	Director of Transportation Planning
City of Akron Mayor City Hall Akron, Ohio 44308	yes	Assistant Study Director AMATS
City of Canton Mayor City Hall Canton, Ohio 44302	no	
City of Cincinnati Chief Executive City Hall Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	yes	Management Analyst
City of Cleveland Mayor City Hall Cleveland, Ohio 44114	yes	Director of City Planning

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
OHIO (Continued)		
City of Columbus Mayor City Hall Columbus, Ohio 43215	yes	Mayor
City of Dayton Chief Executive City Hall Dayton, Ohio 45401	no	
City of Elyria Mayor City Hall Elyria, Ohio 44035	no	
City of Hamilton Chief Executive City Hall Hamilton, Ohio 45011	no	
City of Lima Mayor City Hall Lima, Ohio 45802	yes	Director of Planning
City of Lorain Mayor City Hall Lorain, Ohio 44052	yes	Director Community Development
City of Mansfield Mayor City Hall Mansfield, Ohio 44901	yes	Mayor
City of Middletown Chief Executive City Hall Middletown, Ohio 45042	yes	Director of Public Services
City of Springfield Chief Executive City Hall Springfield, Ohio 45501	yes	Assistant City Manager

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
OHIO (Concluded)		
City of Toledo Chief Executive City Hall Toledo, Ohio 43624	yes	Commissioner Division of Traffic
City of Warren Mayor City Hall Warren, Ohio 44482	yes	Administration Coordinator
City of Youngstown Mayor City Hall Youngstown, Ohio 44503	no	
OKLAHOMA		
Oklahoma Department of Highways V.O. Bradley, Assistant Director-Planning and Research Jim Thorpe Building Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105	yes	Assistant Director- Planning and Research
Association of Central Oklahoma Governments Larry E. Goodman, Director 221 Plaza Court Building 1100 Classen Drive Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73103	yes	Transportation Planner
Association of South Central Oklahoma Governments Homer G. Snodgrass, Director 802 Main Street Duncan, Oklahoma 73533	no	
Southern Oklahoma Development Association & Council of Governments Orlie J. Boehler, Executive Director Box 3125 Ardmore Airpark, Oklahoma 73401	yes	Planner-in Charge
City of Lawton Mayor City Hall Lawton, Oklahoma 73501	yes	Section Metropolitan Planning Commissioner
City of Oklahoma Chief Executive City Hall Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102	no	

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
OKLAHOMA (Concluded)		
City of Tulsa Chief Executive City Hall Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101	no	
OREGON		
Oregon Department of Transportation R.E. Royer, Manager Planning Services Highway Building Salem, Oregon 97310	yes	Manager Planning Services
Clatsop-Tillamook Intergovernmental Council Paul Howard, Executive Director P.O. Box 488 Cannon Beach, Oregon 97110	no	
Columbia Region Association of Governments A.M. Rich, Acting Director 6400 S.W. Canyon Court Portland, Oregon 97221	yes	Director Transportation Planning
Lane Council of Governments L. Douglass Halley, Executive Director 135 Sixth Avenue East Eugene, Oregon 97401	yes	Transportation Coordinator
Mid Willamette Valley Council of Governments W.J. Kvarsten, Director Room 305, Civic Center 555 Liberty Street, S.E. Salem, Oregon 97301	yes	Association Transportation Planner
Rogue Valley Council of Governments Richard T. Howisley, Executive Director 33 N. Central, Suite 211 Medford, Oregon 97501	yes	Executive Director
City of Eugene Chief Executive City Hall Eugene, Oregon 97401	no	
City of Portland Chief Executive City Hall Portland, Oregon 97201	no	

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
OREGON (Concluded)		
City of Salem Chief Executive City Hall Salem, Oregon 97301	yes	Senior Planner
PENNSYLVANIA		
Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission Walter K. Johnson, Director Penn Towers Building, Third Floor 1819 John F. Kennedy Boulevard Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103	yes	Director
Merce County Regional Planning Commission Leslie E. Spaulding, Director 1565 East State Street Sharon, Pennsylvania 16146	yes	Director
Tri-County Regional Planning Commission Oliver M. Fanning, Director 2001 North Front Street Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17103	yes	Assistant Director Bureau or Advisory Planning
City of Altoona Chief Executive City Hall Altoona, Pennsylvania 16601	no	
City of Erie Mayor City Hall Erie, Pennsylvania 16501	yes	Planning Director
City of Harrisburg Mayor City Hall Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101	no	
City of Johnstown Chief Executive City Hall Johnstown, Pennsylvania 15901	yes	Director Department of Public Works

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
PENNSYLVANIA (Concluded)		
City of Lancaster Mayor City Hall Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17602	yes	Traffic Engineer
City of Philadelphia Mayor City Hall Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107	no	
City of Pittsburgh Mayor City Hall Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219	no	
City of Reading Chief Executive City Hall Reading, Pennsylvania 19601	no	
City of Scranton Mayor City Hall Scranton, Pennsylvania 18503	yes	City Planner
City of Wilkes Barre Chief Executive City Hall Wilkes Barre, Pennsylvania 18703	no	
City of York Mayor City Hall York, Pennsylvania 17405	no	
RHODE ISLAND		
Rhode Island Department of Transportation Morris Chorney, Executive Director & Chief Engineer State Office Building Providence, Rhode Island 02903	yes	Chief Division of Planning DOT
City of Providence Mayor City Hall Providence, Rhode Island 20803	yes	Traffic Engineer

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
SOUTH CAROLINA		
South Carolina State Highway Department W.R. Crockett, Advance Planning Engineer Drawer 191 Columbia, South Carolina 29202	yes	Advance Planning Engineer
Berkeley-Charleston-Dorchester Regional Planning Council Jennings F. Stright, Director Room 302, 2 Court House Square Charleston, South Carolina 29401	no	
South Carolina Appalachian Council of Governments Donald R. Hinson, Director P.O. Box 6668 Greenville, South Carolina 29606	yes	Director of Regional Planning
City of Charleston Mayor City Hall Charleston, South Carolina 29401	no	
City of Columbia Chief Executive City Hall Columbia, South Carolina 29201	no	
City of Greenville Chief Executive City Hall Greenville, South Carolina 29602	yes	Assistant City Manager
City of Spartanburg Chief Executive City Hall Spartanburg, South Carolina 29301	yes	City Manager
SOUTH DAKOTA		
State of South Dakota Department of Transportation William Voss, Transportation System Analyst Pierre, South Dakota 57501	yes	Transportation System Analyst

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
SOUTH DAKOTA (Concluded)		
Sixth District Planning and Development Larry Finnerty, Executive Director P.O. Box 1586 1825 West Main Street Rapid City, South Dakota 57701	yes	Transportation Planner
City of Sioux Falls Chief Executive City Hall Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57102	yes	City Engineer
TENNESSEE		
State of Tennessee Department of Transportation Wm. C. Wallace, Administration Statewide Transportation Planning Nashville, Tennessee 37219	yes	Administration Statewide Transportation Planning
Chattanooga Area Regional Council of Governments (Southeast Tennessee Development District) Charles L. Thrailkill, Director 413 James Building-735 Broad Street Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402	no	
East Tennessee Development District John W. Anderson, Jr., Director 1810 Lake Avenue Knoxville, Tennessee 37916	yes	Assistant Director
Mid-Cumberland Council of Governments and Mid-Cumberland Development District Marshall S. Stuart, Director Suite 801, Capitol Boulevard Building 226 Capitol Boulevard Nashville, Tennessee 37219	yes	Transportation Planner
Mississippi-Arkansas-Tennessee Council of Governments William M. Fondren, Director 125 North Main Street, Room 518 Memphis, Tennessee 38103	no	
City of Chattanooga Chief Executive City Hall Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402	no	

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
TENNESSEE (Concluded)		
City of Knoxville Mayor City Hall Knoxville, Tennessee 37902	no	
City of Memphis Mayor City Hall Memphis, Tennessee 38103	yes	
City of Nashville-Davidson Mayor City Hall Nashville-Davidson, Tennessee 37201	no	
TEXAS		
State of Texas Highway Department B.L. DeBerry, State Highway Engineer Austin, Texas 78701	yes	State Planning Engineer
Alamo Area Council of Governments Al J. Notzon, III, Director 400 Three America's Building San Antonio, Texas 78205	no	
Capital Area Planning Council Richard G. Bean, Director 105 West Riverside Dr., Suite 246 Austin, Texas 78704	no	
Coastal Bend Council of Governments Robert R. Weaver, Director International Airport Corpus Christi, Texas 78408	no	
North Central Texas Council of Governments William J. Pitsitck, Director P.O. Draw COG Arlington, Texas 76011	yes	Department Director of Transportation
Panhandle Regional Planning Commission Ernest R. Clark, Director P.O. Box 9257 Amarillo, Texas 79105	yes	Director of Regional Planning

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
TEXAS (Continued)		
West Central Texas Council of Governments Bobbie T. Gallagher, Director P.O. Box 3195 3349 North 12th Street Abilene, Texas 79604	no	
City of Abilene Chief Executive City Hall Abilene, Texas 79601	yes	City Manager
City of Amarillo Chief Executive City Hall Amarillo, Texas 79105	yes	Traffic Engineer
City of Austin Chief Executive City Hall Austin, Texas 78701	yes	Assistant Director Urban Transportation
City of Beaumont Chief Executive City Hall Beaumont, Texas 77704	yes	Director of Transportation
City of Brownsville Chief Executive City Hall Brownsville, Texas 78520	yes	Associate Planner
City of College Station Chief Executive City Hall College Station, Texas 77840	no	
City of Corpus-Christi Chief Executive City Hall Corpus-Christi, Texas 78403	yes	City Manager
City of Dallas Chief Executive City Hall Dallas, Texas 75201	yes	Assistant City Manager

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
TEXAS (Continued)		
City of El Paso Mayor City Hall El Paso, Texas 79901	no	
City of Fort Worth Chief Executive City Hall Fort Worth, Texas 76102	yes	Assistant City Manager
City of Galveston Chief Executive City Hall Galveston, Texas 77550	yes	Director Planning & Traffic
City of Harlingen Chief Executive City Hall Harlingen, Texas 78550	no	
City of Houston Mayor City Hall Houston, Texas 77002	yes	Program Director Public Transportation
City of Laredo Mayor City Hall Laredo, Texas 78040	no	
City of Lubbock Chief Executive City Hall Lubbock, Texas 79401	yes	Assistant City Manager
City of McAllen Chief Executive City Hall McAllen, Texas 78501	no	
City of Midland Chief Executive City Hall Midland, Texas 79701	yes	Traffic Engineer
City of Odessa Chief Executive City Hall Odessa, Texas 79760	yes	City Manager

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
TEXAS (Concluded)		
City of Port Arthur Chief Executive City Hall Port Arthur, Texas 77640	yes	Director Urban Development
City of San Antonio Chief Executive City Hall San Antonio, Texas 78205	yes	Director of Transportation
City of San Angelo Chief Executive City Hall San Angelo, Texas 76901	yes	City Manager
City of Sherman Chief Executive City Hall Sherman, Texas 75090	no	
City of Texas City Chief Executive City Hall Texas City, Texas 77590	yes	Director of Traffic
City of Tyler Chief Executive City Hall Tyler, Texas 75701	yes	Administrative Assistant
City of Waco Chief Executive City Hall Waco, Texas 76703	yes	Transportation Planner
City of Wichita Falls Chief Executive City Hall Wichita Falls, Texas 76307	yes	Director of Transportation
UTAH		
Utah Department of Highways Howard B. Leatham, Engineer for Planning & Programming State Office Building Salt Lake City, Utah 84114	yes	Engineer for Planning & Programming

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
UTAH (Concluded)		
Mountainland Association of Governments George Scott, Director 160 East Center Street Provo, Utah 84601	no	
Salt Lake County Council of Governments Joseph L. Moore, Director 2500 South State Street Salt Lake City, Utah 84115	yes	Director
Wasatch Front Regional Council Albert L. Bott, Director Davis County Courthouse Farmington, Utah 84025	yes	Director of Physical Resources
City of Ogden Chief Executive City Hall Ogden, Utah 84401	no	
City of Orem Chief Executive City Hall Orem, Utah 84057	yes	City Manager
City of Provo Chief Executive City Hall Provo, Utah 84601	yes	City Traffic Engineer
City of Salt Lake City Chief Executive City Hall Salt Lake City, Utah 84111	no	
VERMONT		
Vermont Department of Highways Edgar H. Stickney, Chief Engineer 133 State Street Montpelier, Vermont 05602	yes	Chief Engineer
Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission Lee A. Williams, Director 144 Main Street Montpelier, Vermont 05602	yes	Transportation Planner

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
VERMONT (Concluded)		
Windham Regional Planning and Development Commission William H. Schmidt, Director 139 Main Street Brattleboro, Vermont 05301	no	
City of Burlington Mayor City Hall Burlington, Vermont 05401	no	
VIRGINIA		
Virginia Department of Highways H.G. Blundon, Director of Programming and Planning 1221 E. Broad Street Richmond, Virginia 23219	yes	Director of Planning
Central Virginia Planning District Commission William W. Hibbert, III, Director 2511 Memorial Avenue, Suite 301 Lynchburg, Virginia 24501	yes	Principal Transportation Planner
Richmond Regional Planning District Commission Edward G. Councill, III, Director 701 East Franklin St., Suite 810 Richmond, Virginia 23219	yes	Chief of Transportation Planning
Southeastern Virginia Planning District Commission Robert F. Foeller, Director 110 West Plume Street Norfolk, Virginia 23510	yes	Transportation Engineer
West Piedmont Planning District Commission Walter E. Mather, Director P.O. Box 1191 18-22 Walnut Street Martinsville, Virginia 24112	yes	Chief Physical Planning Section
City of Hampton Chief Executive City Hall Hampton, Virginia 23369	yes	Assistant City Manager

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
VIRGINIA (Concluded)		
City of Lynchburg Chief Executive City Hall Lynchburg, Virginia 24505	yes	President Greater Lynchburg Transit
City of Newport News Chief Executive City Hall Newport News, Virginia 23607	yes	Director of Transportation
City of Norfolk Chief Executive City Hall Norfolk, Virginia 23510	yes	Director City Planning
City of Petersburg Chief Executive City Hall Petersburg, Virginia 23803	yes	Director of Traffic Engineering
City of Richmond Chief Executive City Hall Richmond, Virginia 23219	no	
City of Roanoke Chief Executive City Hall Roanoke, Virginia 24011	no	
WASHINGTON		
Washington Department of Highways H.R. Goff, Assistant, Director for Planning Research & State Aid Highway Administration Building Olympia, Washington 98504	yes	Assistant, Director for Planning Research & State Aid
Cowlitz-Wahkiakum Regional Planning Commission Fred L. Dayharsh, Director 5th Avenue Annex-Courthouse Kelso, Washington 98626	no	
Puget Sound Governmental Conference Mark Kask, Executive Director Grand Central on-the Park Building 1st and South Main Seattle, Washington 98104	yes	Senior Transportation Planning Engineer

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
WASHINGTON (Concluded)		
Spokane Regional Planning Conference Jose M. Urcia, Director Spokane City Hall, Room 309 Spokane, Washington 99201	yes	Transportation Study Director
City of Seattle Mayor City Hall Seattle, Washington 98104	yes	Mayor
City of Spokane Chief Executive City Hall Spokane, Washington 99201	no	
City of Tacoma Chief Executive City Hall Tacoma, Washington 98402	yes	Director of Transportation
WEST VIRGINIA		
State of West Virginia Department of Highways P.O. Bailey, Jr., Director, Urban Planning Division 1900 Washington Street, East Charleston, West Virginia 25305	yes	Director, Urban Planning Division
KYOVA Interstate Planning Commission Ray Crabtree, Executive Director Cabell County Courthouse, Room 305 Huntington, West Virginia 25701	no	
Regional Intergovernment Council Benjamin F. Howatt, Director 410 Kanawha Boulevard, East Charleston, West Virginia 25301	yes	Transportation Specialist
City of Charleston Mayor City Hall Charleston, West Virginia 25301	no	

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
WEST VIRGINIA (Concluded)		
City of Huntington Chief Executive City Hall Huntington, West Virginia 25717	yes	Planning Director
City of Whelling Chief Executive City Hall Wheeling, West Virginia 26003	no	
WISCONSIN		
Wisconsin Department of Transportation T.J. Hart, Administrator Division of Planning 4802 Sheboygan Avenue Madison, Wisconsin 53702	yes	Administrator Division of Planning
Dane County Regional Planning Commission Charles Montemayor, Director Room 312, City-County Building Madison, Wisconsin 53709	no	
East Central Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission Roy C. Willey, Jr., Director 12th Floor, Zuelke Building 103 West College Avenue Appleton, Wisconsin 54911	yes	Transportation Planner
Mississippi River Regional Planning Commission William J. Kroll, Executive Director 1707 Main Street La Crosse, Wisconsin 54601	yes	Executive Director
City of Appleton Mayor City Hall Appleton, Wisconsin 54911	no	
City of Green Bay Mayor City Hall Green Bay, Wisconsin 54301	yes	Transportation Planner

<u>STATE/STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PARTICIPATION IN WORKSHOP/SEMINAR SURVEY</u>	<u>COMPLETED BY/TITLE</u>
WISCONSIN (Concluded)		
City of Kenosha Mayor City Hall Kenosha, Wisconsin 53140	yes	Mayor
City of Madison Mayor City Hall Madison Wisconsin 53709	yes	Transit Planner
City of Milwaukee Mayor City Hall Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202	yes	Senior Planner
City of Oshkosh Chief Executive City Hall Oshkosh, Wisconsin 54901	no	
City of Racine Mayor City Hall Racine, Wisconsin 53403	yes	Traffic Engineer
WYOMING		
Wyoming State Highway Department Leno H. Menghini, Director, Planning & Programming Box 1708 Cheyenne, Wyoming 82001	yes	Director, Planning & Programming
City of Cheyenne Chief Executive City Hall Cheyenne, Wyoming 82001	yes	Chief of Planning

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS CENTER
KENDALL SQUARE
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02142



APPENDIX B

SURVEY FORM

The U.S. Department of Transportation is conducting a study, one of the basic objectives of which is to determine what information that you, as a potential user of the Department's developed products, would like to see transmitted through a workshop/seminar approach. We would like your personal assessment as to which products, particularly of research and development programs, would be of the greatest value to state, regional, and local governments in meeting transportation-related needs. Views and comments from your perspective as a prospective attendee relative to what subject matter you would like to see included in a series of transportation workshops and seminars will aid immeasurably in the development of a viable program attuned to the needs of the user.

The workshop/seminar approach would generally be one which would establish a forum for the imparting and exchange of information among a group who have similar transportation-related interests. This would include presentations from individuals who have both the technical knowledge of the subject matter and the understanding of operational and implementation realities experienced by state and local governments. The forum would further afford attendees the opportunity to participate in round-table discussions where there would be an interchange of ideas and approaches to the problems at hand.

We earnestly solicit your help in this study. The enclosed questionnaire should be completed and returned to us within two weeks. Please be assured that all individual responses will be kept confidential.

Sincerely,

R. V. (Bud) Giangrande, Chief
Technology Sharing Program Office

QUESTIONNAIRE
TRANSPORTATION WORKSHOP/SEMINAR PROGRAM
"Application of U.S. Department of Transportation Technology
and Science to State and Local Needs"

- (1) Questionnaire Completed by:

Name: _____ Title: _____

- (2) Activity:

a. Organization Title _____

Example (Planning Board, Development District, Transportation Dept. etc.)

- b. Level, check one:

State _____ County _____ Region _____

City _____ Borough _____ Town _____

Council of Governments _____

Regional Transit District _____

c. Population _____

- (3) Intergovernmental and institutional problems often impede or have impeded effective implementation of transportation planning or operational programs. Please check and/or specify those problems which you have encountered.

___ a. Conflicting Standards

___ e. Inadequate Communications

___ b. Inadequate Local Policy

___ f. Inadequate Funding

___ c. Inadequate Federal Policy

___ g. Fragmentation of Responsibility

___ d. Overlapping Programs

___ h. Other (specify) _____

- (4) Do you feel that workshop/seminars are an effective tool in achieving a transfer of knowledge and understanding? () yes () no
If no, what communication mechanism do you suggest be employed?

- (5) What factors would encourage or influence your decision to attend a workshop/seminar (WS/S) which you would consider relevant because of subject matter? (Check those applicable)

___ a. Able to commute to
WS/S Location

___ d. Length of WS/S no more than:
(check one)

___ b. WS/S Location at a
"Convention" City

___ 1 day ___ 3 days

___ 2 days ___ 4 days

___ c. Reputation of Panelists
or Discussion Leaders

___ e. Other (specify) _____

APPENDIX C

DATA BASE

Data Base Legend

The survey was organized into four major subjects: (1) Problem Areas (question 3), (2) Attendance Factors (question 5), (3) Topics (question 6), and (4) Content (question 6). The codes used within each of these subject areas in the following survey response abstracts are shown below.

(1) Problem Areas

<u>Code</u>	<u>Definition</u>
A	Conflicting Standards
B	Inadequate Local Policy
C	Inadequate Federal Policy
D	Overlapping Programs
E	Inadequate Communications
F	Inadequate Funding
G	Fragmentation of Responsibility
H	Other (specify)

(2) Attendance Factors (including duration)

<u>Code</u>	<u>Definition</u>
A	Able to commute to Workshop/Seminar location
B	Workshop/Seminar locations at a convention city
C	Reputation of panelists or discussion studies
D	Length of Workshop/Seminar
E	Other (specify)
1-4	Number of days stay

(3) Topics (including relative priority)

<u>Code</u>	<u>Definition</u>
a	Transportation Systems Planning Process
b	Technology Review
c	Bus Systems
d	Management Systems
e	Demand-Responsive Systems
f	Marketing Techniques
g	Elderly and Handicapped Transportation Systems
h	Rail Systems
i	Procurement Procedures
j	Rural Public Transportation
1-10	Priority: "1" most important and "10" least important.

(4) Content

<u>Code</u>	<u>Definition</u>
G	General Overview
D	Detail Information
E	Experience of Others
O	Other (specify)

The survey abstracts below were arranged alphabetically by state within the three broad groups of state departments of transportation; municipalities; and planning commissions, councils, or regions. This tabulation presents all 292 returned questionnaires. Incomplete responses have been noted.

STATE DEPARTMENTS OF TRANSPORTATION

STATE	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECT.	ATT. FACTORS	TOPICS									
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j
Alabama	BDEF		AC 3	1/DE	2/OE	3/OE	5/O	8/O	6/OE	4/DE	10/O	9/O	7/O
Arkansas	ABCDEF	Yes	AC 2	1/D	2/OE	5/OE	9/O	8/O	7/E	4/O	6/D	10/O	3/D
Delaware	BF	Yes	2	9	8	7	6	3	4	1	10	2	5
Georgia	ABCDEF	Yes	ABCD 3	2/ODE	1/ODE	3/ODE	7/ODE	8/OE	6/ODE	9/O	4/	10/O	5/ODE
Idaho	ADEG	Yes	CD 2	1/ODE	5/O	7/O	8/O	4/ODE	6/O	3/DE	10/O	9/O	2/ODE
Illinois		Yes	C 1-2										
Indiana	ADG	Yes	AC 2	1/OE	2/OE	4/OE	5/O	6/O	9/O	7/O	10/O	8/O	3/OE
Iowa	BCEF	Yes	C 3	2/DE	1/OE	5/OE	6/O	7/E	8/OE	4/O	10/OE	9/O	3/DE
Kansas	EG	Yes	ACD 2	3/OE	5/DE	6/DE	7/OE	8/OE	9/OE	1/DE	10	4/D	2/DE
Kentucky	ACDEF	Yes	AC 3	1/ODE	3/D	6/DE	2/OE	8/DE	7/DE	5/O	9/DE	10/O	4/DE
Maine	ADEFG	Yes	C 2	1/D		4/O					3/O		2/E
Maryland	ADEG	Yes	AC 3	1/ODE	3/ODE	7/OE	9/O	4/OE	8/OE	6/OE	2/ODE	10/O	5/OE
Michigan	ABCDEF	Yes	AC 3	1/DE	2/ODE	4/ODE	6/ODE	5/ODE	7/OE	9/OE	3/OD	10/O	8/ODE
Minnesota	DFG		C 2	1/OE	2/OE	5/O	8/O	6/O	10/O	4/OE	7/O	9/O	3/OE
Mississippi	BF	Yes	C 2	2/DE	1/DE	9/O	5/OE	7/E	4/D	8/O	10/O	6/O	3/D
Missouri		No											
Montana	ADG	Yes	AC 3	1/OD	2/OD			INCOMPLETE					
Nebraska	BFG	Yes	C 2	1/DE	2/DE	5/DE	7/O	6/DE	9/O	4/DE	10/O	8/D	3/DE
New Hampshire	DF	Yes	AD 2	1/ODE	2/ODE	5/ODE	8/O	7/OE	9/O	4/ODE	6/O	10/O	3/ODE

STATE DEPARTMENTS OF TRANSPORTATION (Cont'd)

STATE	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECT.	ATT. FACTORS	TOPICS PRIORITY/CONTENT									
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j
New Jersey	BDEF	Yes	C 2-3	1/E	6/D	2/O	7/D	10/E	3/E	4/E	5/E	8/O	9/D
New York	ACDEFG	Yes	AC 4	2/OE	3/O	5/E	1/OD	6/DE	7/OD	4/DE	9/OD	10/O	8/DE
North Carolina	DEG	Yes	AC	1/ODE	10/O	2/ODE	5/E	7/E	6/E	4/ODE	9/O	8	3/ODE
Ohio	ABDEF	Yes	CD 3	4/OE	5/O	1/DE	2/DE	8/OD	3/ODE	7/OE	6/OE	10/O	9/OE
Oklahoma	DG	Yes	C 3	1/E	6/O	3/D	8/E	5/O	2/D	9/O	10/O	7/O	4/O
Oregon	ABCDEFG		C 2	1/DE	3/DE	8/D	9/O	5/DE	7/DE	6/DE	4/DE	10/O	2/DE
Rhode Island	BDF	Yes	A 2	10/O	4/D	1/D	2/D	9/O	3/E	7/E	5/D	6/D	8/O
South Carolina		Yes	A 3	2/DE	1/DE	3/DE	8/O	5/DE	7/O	6/E	9/O	10/O	4/DE
South Dakota	CEG	Yes	C 3	6/OE	1/OE	2/ODE	7/O	5/OE	8/O	4/OE	10	9/O	3/ODE
Tennessee	CDFG	Yes	A 2	1	5	7	3	6	4	8	9	10	2
Texas	EFG	Yes	C 3	1/OE	2/DE	3/OE	8/OE	7/O	4/OD	5/O	9/O	10/O	6/OE
Utah	BEFG	Yes	AC 2	1/DE	3/OE	4/ODE	9/O	7/OE	10/O	5/ODE	6/OE	8/O	2/ODE
Vermont	CDFG	Yes	C 3	1/ODE	4/DE	9/O	5/DE	6/DE	7/OE	3/DE	10/O	8/OE	2/DE
Virginia	CDG	Yes	AC 2	1/E	2/OD	4/DE	5/DE	7/E	6/E	8/D	3/O	10/E	9/OE
Washington	ACG	Yes	AC	1/ODE	4/ODE	6/O	5/OE	10/O	7	3/ODE	9/O	8/O	2/ODE
West Virginia	BCEFG	Yes	AC 3	1/ODE	2/ODE	4/OE	3/ODE	7/OE	8/OE	6/OE	10/O	9/O	5/OE
Wisconsin	CDFG	Yes	AC 2	1/D	7/O	2/E	3/D	8/E	6/D	4/O	9/O	10/O	5/D
Wyoming	CDEF	Yes	CD	1/ODE	2/ODE	7/O	3/ODE	8/O	9/O	5/O	6/O	10/O	4/ODE

MUNICIPALITIES

STATE/MUN.	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECT.	ATTEND. FACTORS	TOPICS PRIORITY/CONTENT										
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	
ALABAMA														
Birmingham	A	No	AB 2	2/GE	1/DE	3/GE	4/G	5/G	6/G	7/G	8/G	9/G	10/G	
Mobile	E	Yes	A 2	2/G	3/D	4/D	1/GDE	6/G	5/D	7/G	10/G	8/G	9/G	
Montgomery	ABCEF	Yes	BC 3	6/GE	4/DE	1/G	7/G	5/DE	2/G	3/GE	10/G	9/D	8/G	
Tuscaloosa	E	Yes	C 2	4/G	5/D	1/E	2/E	6/D	7/G	3/D	10/E	9/D	8/G	
ARKANSAS														
Little Rock	BCEFG	Yes	ABC 2	3/GDE	1/GDE	2/GDE	5/GE	4/GD	8/G	7/G	10/G	9/G	6/G	
Pine Bluff	BEFG	Yes	ACDE 1	1/G	6/G	2	4	7	3	5	9	8	10	
CALIFORNIA														
Fresno	DF		A 1	5/E	6/E	1/E	3/E	10	2/E	7/E	9	4/E	8/E	
Long Beach	CDG	Yes	C 3	1/GDE	3/GDE	4/GE	2/GDE	6/GE	5/GDE	7/DE	8/GE	10/GD	9/G	
Modesto	H	Yes	AC 3	4/GDE	7/G	6/DE	5/GE	1/DE	3/GDE	2/DE	10/S	9/S	8/S	
Riverside	DG	Yes	AC 4	3/DE	9/G	1/DE	2/GE	5/DE	8/G	7/E	10/G	4/D	6/GE	
Sacramento	ABCDEFHG	Yes	ACDE 1	1	2	5	4	3	6	9	7	8	10	
Salinas	H	Yes	ACD	7/G	8/D	1/DE	4/D	9/E	5/GDE	2/DE	10	6/DE	3/DE	
San Jose	CEFH	Yes	AC 2	1/GDE	4/GDE	3/DE	2/GE	8/GE	7/GE	6/GE	5/GDE	9/GE	10/G	
Santa Rosa	ADEG	Yes	CD 2	5/GE	1/DE	2/DE	9/G	3/DE	8/G	4/E	10/G	6/DE	7/E	
COLORADO														
Boulder	AEG	Yes	AD 3	3/G	2/GDE	1/D	9/GE	4/DE	6/D	8/DE	7/G	5/D	10/G	
Colorado Springs	EGH	Yes	ACD 3	2/D	3/GE	6/GE	5/DE	8/GE	1/DE	4/DE	9	7/G	10	
Pueblo	ACEF	Yes	CD 2	2/D	3/D	1/GE	6/D	8/G	4/DE	9/G	7/G	5/D	10/G	

MUNICIPALITIES (Cont'd)

STATE/MUN.	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECT.	ATTEND. FACTORS	TOPICS										
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	
CONNECTICUT														
Bridgeport	ADFG	Yes	CD 2	2/DE	5/G	1/DE	4/D	9/G	3/DE	6/D	8/D	7/G	10/G	
Bristol	AEF	Yes	A 1	6/G	1/D	2/D	5/DE	3/D	9/D	4/E	7/E	8/D	10/D	
Hartford	FG	Yes	ACD 1	3/DE	2/DE	5/GE	1/DE	4/GE	6/GDE	9/GE	7/GDE	8/DE	10/G	
New Haven	ACDEFGH	Yes	CD 2	5	4	1	8	3	7	2	6	9	10	
Stamford	ABCEFH	Yes	AC 2	8	3/GE	4/GDE	5/DE	2/DES	7/ES	6/G	9	1/GDES	10	
Waterbury	ABCDEF	No	E	1	6	3	4			5	2			
FLORIDA														
Fort Lauderdale	FG	Yes	AE 2	1/D	2/GDE	4/E	7/DE	5/DE	8/GE	3/DE	6	9	10	
Gainesville	BCDEFG	Yes	AC 3	2/GDE	1/GDE	3/GDE	4/GDE	5/GE	6/G	7/GE	9/G	8/GD	10/G	
Hollywood	EFG	Yes	A 2	1	3	5	2	8	6	7	9	4	10	
Jacksonville	DE	Yes	A 2	7/GE	6/G	1/DE	8/G	4/DE	3/D	2/DE	5/G	9/G	10/G	
Orlando	AEFGH	Yes	ACD 3	1/G	3/DE	2/DE	8/G	4/GE	10/GD	5/D	7/G	6/D	9/GD	
Pensacola	ADF	Yes	CE 2	1/GE	2/D	3/DE	5/D	4/DE	8/GE	6/DE	10/G	9/G	7/DE	
St. Petersburg	G	Yes	A 1	5/GE	6/D	3/GE	10/G	7/D	2/D	4/GE	9/G	1/D	8/D	
Tallahassee	EG	Yes	C 3	4/D	5/G	1/DE	2/DE	7/GD	3/GD	6/GD	10/G	9/GD	8/G	
West Palm Beach	DEFGH	Yes	C 2	6/GE	1/DE	3/E	9/GE	2/E	4/GE	8/GE	7/GE	5/DE	10/GE	
GEORGIA														
Albany	FG	Yes	AC 2	1/GDE	3/GE	6/GE	7/G	9/G	8/GD	5/GDE	10/G	2/D	4/DE	
Atlanta	ABDEFG	Yes	ACDE 2	1/DE	7/GE	3/DE	8/G	6/DE	4/DE	5/DE	2/DE	9/G	10/G	
Columbus	BDEFG	Yes	AC	1/DE	6/GE	3/DE	2/DE	7/E	4/E	5/GDE	8/E	10/GD	9/G	
Macon	ADEFG	Yes	ABCD 3	2/DE	4/DE	1/D	3/DE	5/G	6/G	8/G	10/G	9/G	7/G	
Savannah	ABCDEF	Yes	CD 2	1/G	4/G	2/G	3/G	5/G	6/G					
IDAHO														
Boise City	BCEF	Yes	CD 3	1	7	5	8	6	2	3	10	9	4	

MUNICIPALITIES (Cont'd)

STATE/MUN.	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECT.	ATTEND. FACTORS	TOPICS										
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	
<u>ILLINOIS</u> Bloomington Decatur Normal Rockford Springfield Urbana	EF	Yes	BC 2	2/G	1/G	4/E	3/G	5/G	8/G	6/E	10/G	7/G	8/G	
	EH	Yes	ACE 2	3/DE	4/DE	6/G	7/D	5/E	2/D	1/GDE	10/G	9/G	8/G	
	ACFG	Yes	BC 2	2/E	3/D	5/GE	1/DE	9/E	7/E	6/D	4/DE	8/E	10	
	ACH	Yes	CDE 2	5/G	2/DE	3/DE	6/G	4/G	8/G	1/DE	7/G	10/G	9/G	
	CFG	Yes	ADE 3	2/DE	1/DE	3/DE	8/G	4/DE	5/GE	6/G	10/G	9/G	7/G	
	EFGH	Yes	E	1/GE	2/G	5/G	3/G	6/G	7/G	8/G	9/G	4/G	10/G	
	<u>INDIANA</u> Anderson Evansville Fort Wayne Indianapolis Muncie	FH	Yes	AD 2	1/DE	9/G	2/DE	7/G	4/GDE	3/DE	5/D	10/D	8/G	6/G
ACD		Yes	D 4	8/E	7/GD	1/DE	6/G	3/GDE	2/DE	4/GDE	10/G	9/D	5/G	
ABCEF		Yes	BCE 3	1/D	2/DE	3/DE	5/DE	4/DE	7/DE	10/DE	8/D	9/G	6/DE	
BDEG		Yes	C 3	1/D	3/D	2/D	8/GE	4/E	7/E	5/GE	6/G	9/G	10/G	
FH		Yes	BCD 2	1/GDE	7/G	4/GD	2/GDE	8/G	3/GDE	5/GDES	9/G	6/GDE	10/G	
<u>IOWA</u> Des Moines Sioux City		AH	Yes	CE 2	8	1/GDES	2/GDES	4	3	5	6	10	7	9
		ABFGH	Yes	ABD 2	1/GE	3/G	4/GDE	2/DE	9/G	5/DE	6/DE	10	8/DE	7/DE
<u>KANSAS</u> Kansas City Topeka Wichita	BCEFG	Yes	ACE	7/DE	4/DE	1/DE	8/DE	6/DE	3/DE	2/DE	10/DE	9/DE	5/DE	
	ABF	Yes	ACE 2	2/DE	4/DE	1/DE	6/DE	7/DE	3/DE	5/DE	8/DE	9/DE	10/	
	F	Yes	C 3-4	7/GDE	4/GDE	1/GDE	6/DE	5/GDE	2/DE	3/GDE	10/G	8/GD	9/G	
	<u>KENTUCKY</u> Lexington Louisville	ABCEFG	Yes	CD 3	1/E	2/DE	3/DE	6/E	10/E	7/E	9/DE	4/DE	5/G	8/G
BEFGH		Yes	AC 3	1/GDE	6/GE	2/E	3/GDE	5/DE	4/GDE	8/GE	7/G	9/G	10/G	

MUNICIPALITIES (Cont'd)

STATE/MUN.	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECT.	ATTEND. FACTORS	TOPICS PRIORITY/CONTENT									
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j
<u>MINNESOTA</u>				1	2	7	6	8	4	3	10	5	9
	BCD	Yes	AC 2	1/D	2/DE	6/DE	8/G	5/DES	3/GE	7/GS	10/G	9/G	4/DE
	EG	Yes	CD 2	1/DE	5/GE	6/D	2/D	4/D	9/G	3/GE	8/G	10/G	7/G
	B		E										
<u>MISSISSIPPI</u>													
Jackson	EG	Yes	CD 4	2/D	1/D	5/G	6/G	7/G	3/G	4/G	8/G	10/G	9/G
<u>MISSOURI</u>													
Kansas City	ADFG	Yes	CD 2	6/GE	4/D	3/D	5/DE	1/E	7/D	2/DE	9/G	8/D	10/DE
Springfield	ABCDEF	Yes	CE 2	5/GE	1/GDE	2/GD	9/G	6/GDE	8/GE	3/DE	7/GD	10/D	4/GDE
St. Joseph	F	Yes	C 2	1/GD	6/GD	5/DE	3/E	4/E	10/D	2	8/DE	7/G	9/D
<u>MONTANA</u>													
Billings	ACE	Yes	ACE 2	1/GD	2/GDE	3/GD	9/G	5/G	8/G	6/G	10/G	4/GD	7/G
Great Falls	BFG	Yes	BCE 3	1/GD	4/GE	9/G	8/D	3/DE	7/G	6/DE	5/G	10/D	2/GDE
<u>NEW HAMPSHIRE</u>													
Manchester	FG	Yes	DE 1	4/G	6/GE	5/E	3/E	7/G	2/DE	1/GDE	9/G	8/D	10/G
Nashua	BDEFG	Yes	A 1	9/G	4/G	1/DE	10/G	2/DE	7/G	3/DE	5/GD	8/G	6/G
<u>NEW JERSEY</u>													
Millville	F	Yes	C 2	6/G	10/G	4/G	8/G	1/D	3/E	2/DE	9/G	7/D	5
<u>NEW YORK</u>													
Albany	F	Yes	CD 1	8	7	1	6	2	9	3	5	10	4
Buffalo	ACDEFG	Yes	AC 3	5/D	4/GE	7/G	8/G	2/GDE	3/GDE	1/GDE	6/GDE	9/G	10/G
Rochester	CEF	Yes	C 2	1/GD	2/DE	6/DE	8/DE	3/DE	9/DE	5/DE	4/GE	10/G	7/GE
Troy	E	Yes	AD 1	1/DE	2/E	4/E	7/G	8/GE	9/G	6/DE	5/E	3/D	10/G

MUNICIPALITIES (Cont'd)

STATE/MUN.	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECT.	ATTEND. FACTORS	TOPICS PRIORITY/CONTENT									
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j
<u>LOUISIANA</u> Baton Rouge Monroe New Orleans	ABDEG	Yes	ACD 3	1/G	8/G	2/GE	3/DE	9/G	4/DE	7/DE	10/G	5/DE	6/DE
	ABCDEFG	Yes	ACD 3	1/GDE	2/GDE	3/DE	4/DE	7/E	8/E	6/DE	5/DE	9/G	10/G
	ABCDEFHG	Yes	ACD 3	1/GDE	2/GDE	3/DE	7/D	6/E	8/E	5/GDE	4/GDE	9/E	10/G
<u>MAINE</u> Lewiston Portland	EFGH	Yes	BCD 2	1/GDE	6/G	2/GDE	4/G	7/GDE	3/G	5/GDE	8/G	9/G	10/G
	CFGH	Yes	ACD 2	3/DE	4/D	1/DE	5/G	9/G	8/G	6/DE	5/G	2/DE	10/G
<u>MARYLAND</u> Baltimore	AFG	Yes	AC 2	6/DE	5/GD	3/DE	8/GE	4/GDE	7/GE	2/GDE	1/GDE	9	10
<u>MASSACHUSETTS</u> Brockton Lowell New Bedford Pittsfield	ADG	Yes	A 3	2/DE	4/DE	1/DE	3/DE	8/DE	5/DE	7/DE	9/DE	6/DE	10/DE
	BDEFGH	Yes	ACE	1/GDE	7/GD	4/GDE	2/G	6/DE	5/DE	3/GDE	9/GD	10/GD	8/GDE
	EF	Yes	CDE 3	7/G	9/G	4/DE	2/DE	8/E	1/DE	3/DE	10	5/GD	6/GD
	EGH	Yes	AC 1	1/DE	3/D	2/D	10/G	7/D	5/G	6/G	8/D	9/G	4/DE
<u>MICHIGAN</u> Ann Arbor Bay City Detroit Flint Grand Rapids Jackson Muskegon Saginaw	AEF	Yes	C 2	1/D	2/D	3/G	4/G	5/G	7/G	6/G	9/G	10/G	8/G.
	AFG	Yes	ACD 1	1/DE	2/GDE	3/DE	6/DE	7/E	5/DE	9/GDE	4/GDE	8/DE	10/E
	DFG	Yes	CD 3	6/G	5/GE	1/DE	2/GF	9/E	3/GE	7/D	4/GE	8/D	10/GE
	BCFG	Yes	C 2	3/D	4/D	1/E	2/D	8/E	5/G	7/E	6/D	9/G	10/G
	CEFG	No	CDE 4	8/DE	10/DE	10/DE	10/DE	5/G	8/DE	5/G	5/G	8/DE	8/DE
	BCEFG	Yes	AC 3	3/GDE	5/GDE	2/GDE	4/GDE	6/GDE	1/GDE	7/GDE	8/GDE	9/GDE	10/GDE
	ACEF	Yes	CD 4	6/GD	7/GDE	3/DE	5/DE	8/GDE	1/GDE	2/GDE	10/G	4/GD	9/GDE
	B	Yes	BD 3	5/G	9/G	4/G	1/DE	8/G	3/E	6/G	10/G	2/D	7/G

MUNICIPALITIES (Cont'd)

STATE/MUN.	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECT.	ATTEND. FACTORS	TOPICS PRIORITY/CONTENT									
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j
NORTH CAROLINA													
Asheville	F	Yes	ACD 2	1/DE	2/D	3/DE	6/G	4/G	5/G	7/DE	8/G	10/D	9/D
Charlotte	BEF	Yes	CD 3	2/GD	8/DE	1/GDE	7/G	6/DE	4/DE	3/DE	9/G	5/GDE	10/G
Durham	ADH	Sometimes	ADE 1	2/DE	3/GE	1/GDE	4/G	6/GE	5/GDE	7/G	10	8	7
Greensboro	E	Yes	BCE	1/G	5/G	2/DE	7/G	6/E	4/G	3/D	10/G	8/G	9/G
High Point	ADEFG	Yes	AC 2	4/D	8/G	1/DE	2/DE	3/DE	5/DE	6	10	7/DE	9/G
Winston-Salem	CFG	Yes	ACE	7/GD	3/GD	1/GDE	8/GD	6/GDE	4/GDE	2/GDE	10/GD	9/GD	5/GDE
NORTH DAKOTA													
Fargo	H	Yes	B 2	9/G	8/G	1/DE	7/G	2/DE	3/E	4/E	10/G	6/G	5/E
OHIO													
Akron	AH	Yes	E	1/GE	2/GE	3/GE	8	4/GE	6	5/GE	10	9	7
Cincinnati	BFGH	Yes	C	2/DE	6/GE	3/E	5/DE	7/DE	8/G	4/DE	9/G	10/G	11/G
Cleveland	BGH	Yes	BC 3	5	3/GD	4/GD	6/DE	1/DE	7/GD	2/DE	9/G	8/GD	10/DE
Columbus	ACEF			1/G	4/GE	5/G	6/G	7	3/GE	2/GDE	9	8	10
Lima	DFG	Yes*	AC 2	9/G	8/GE	1/GDE	3/GDE	6/GE	2/GDE	5/GE	10/G	7/G	4/GE
Lorain	ADEF	Yes	CLE 3	1/DE	2/D	3/D	6/G	5/G	4/D	7/E	9/G	8/G	10/G
Mansfield	F	Yes	AC 2	2/D	1/D	6/G	7/S	5/G	10/S	3/E	9/S	4/D	8/S
Middletown	ACE			3/DE	4/GDE	5/GDE	1/GDE	9/GDE	2/GDE	8/GDE	10/GDE	6/GDE	7/GDE
Springfield	DGH	No	C 1	6	5	3	1	7	2	8	9	4	10
Toledo	ABCDEF	Yes	CD 3	1/DE		2/DE		3/DE		4/DE		5/DE	
Warren	AF	Yes	D 2	1	3/GD	2/G	5/D	7/G	6/G	4/D	9/G	8/G	10/G
OKLAHOMA													
Lawton	DG	Yes	AC 2	1/DE	2/GE	3/DE	4/DE	8/GE	5/DE	6/GE	10/GE	7/GE	9/GE
OREGON													
Salem	BFG	Yes	ACD 2	4/G	5/G	7/D	8/DE	9/GD	1/DE	6/DE	10/G	2/GDE	3/GE

MUNICIPALITIES (Cont'd)

STATE/MUN.	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECT.	ATTEND. FACTORS	TOPICS PRIORITY/CONTENT									
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j
<u>PENNSYLVANIA</u>													
Erie	F	Yes	D 2	6/G	5/G	2/D	8/G	7/G	1/D	4/D	3/D	9/G	10/G
Lancaster	BH	Yes	ACD 2	1/D	2/G	6/G	3/D	7/E	8/G	4/G	9/G	5/G	10/G
Johnstown	BDEF	Yes	AC 2	2/D	5/D	1/DE	6/G	8/G	3/G	4/DE	7/G	9/G	10/G
Scranton		Yes	ACD 2	2/GDE	1/GDE	4/GDE	5/GDE	6/GDE	7/GDE	3/GDE	8/GDE	9/GDE	10/GDE
<u>RHODE ISLAND</u>													
Providence	F	Yes	AC 3	1/GDE	2/DE	3/G	7	8	4	6	5	9	10
<u>SOUTH CAROLINA</u>													
Greenville	H	Yes	AC	4/GE	3/GE	1/DE	2/DE	8/G	5/GE	7/G	9/G	6/GE	10/G
Spartanburg	BFG	Yes	CD 3	5/G	4/G	1/DE	3/D	10/E	2/D	7/G	8/G	6/G	9/G
<u>SOUTH DAKOTA</u>													
Sioux Falls	ADF	Yes	AD 3	1/DE	3/DE	2/GE	6/GD	6/DE	10	4/DE	8	9	7
<u>TENNESSEE</u>													
Memphis		Yes	C 2	1/DE	2/DE	6/GE	4/DE	3/GE	7/DE	8/GE	5/DE	9/GE	10/GE
<u>TEXAS</u>													
Abilene	F	Yes	ACD 2	1/G	2/D	4/DE	3/G	6/DE	5/E	7/E	10	8/D	9/G
Amarillo	EFG	Yes	ACD 2	2/GDE	1/GDE	4/G	3/G	6/G	5/G	7/G	9/G	8/G	10/G
Austin	ABCDG	Yes	CD 3	2/DE	1/GDE	3/GDE	5/DE	7/DE	4/GDE	6/DE	8/GDE	9/DE	10/E
Beaumont	EFG	Yes	ACD 2	1/GDE	2/GDE	3/GDE	7/G	5/GDE	6/G	4/GDE	10/G	8/G	9/G
Brownsville	ABFGH	Yes	CD 3	5/DE	8/G	1/DE	3/DE	7/G	2/DE	4/DE	10/G	9/E	6/DE
Corpus-Christi	AG	Yes	CDE 2	7	6	2/DE	9	3/DE	1/DE	4/DE	10	8	5/G
Dallas	CDG	Yes	ACD 2	2/GDE	6/GE	1/GDE	5/GE	3/GDE	4/GE	7/GDE	8/GE	9/G	10/G

MUNICIPALITIES (Cont'd)

STATE/MUN.	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECT.	ATTEND. FACTORS	TOPICS									
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j
TEXAS (Concl'd)	ADG	Yes	AC 2	4/G	5/G	1/DE	3/DE	7/DE	2/D	6/D	10/G	8/G	9/G
	BDEF	Yes	AC 2	1/GDE	4/GD	2/GE	3/GE	8/GE	7/GE	5/GDE	9/G	6/GD	10/G
	CEFG	Yes	CDE 2	7/G	9/G	3/DE	5/GDE	4/DE	1/GDE	2/DE	8/G	6/D	10/G
	AEFH	Yes	ACE	5/D	6/G	1/G	2/D	3/G	4/D	7/G	10/G	8/G	9/G
	FG	Yes	BC 3	1/D	3/E	5/G	2/D	10/G	7/G	6/G	9/G	4/D	8/G
	DEF	Yes	C 2	1/D	2/D	3/E	4/G	5/G	6/DE	8/D	10/DE	7/G	9/G
	BDEFG	Yes	AB	1/GDE	2/GE	3/GDE	5/GE	7/GE	4/GDE	6/GE	9/G	8/GDE	10/G
		No	D 1	2/G	5/G	1/G	4/G	3/G	6/G	7/G	9/G	8/G	10/G
	BCEFG	Yes	CDE 3	3/D	1/GDE	2/D	7/G	4/GD	5/GE	6/G	9/G	8/G	10/G
	ADEF	Yes	BCD 3	10/G	1/DE	2/GE	5/G	3/DE	4/G	6/G	8/G	9/G	7/DE
	BE	Yes	BC 2	5/GE	8/G	1/DE	6/G	2/DE	3/DE	4/DE	9/None	7/G	8/None
	BG	Yes	CD 2	1/D	7/G	2/DE	3/DE	6/E	4/E	8/G	10/G	5/D	9/D
	CE	Yes	CDE 4	1/D	5/G	3/D	2/D	8/GE	4/D	7/G	10/G	6/G	9/G
UTAH	BDEFH	Yes	ACE 1	1/GDE	3/DE	4/DE	2/GDE	5/GDE	8/GD	6/GDE	10/G	7/GD	9/GD
	EF	Yes	AC 2-3	1/DE	3/G	7/DE	2/GDE	6/GDE	5/GDE	8/GDE	10/GDE	4/GDE	9/GDE
VIRGINIA	ABCDEFH	Yes-No	AC 3	2/GE	5/GE	3/GE	4/G	7/DE	1/GE	8/GE	10/GE	6/GE	9/G
	EG	Yes	AC 2	7/G	9/G	1/D	2/D	8/G	3/D	4/D	10/E	5/D	6/D
	BCD	Yes	ACE 4	2/D	1/D	4/G	7/G	3/D	9/G	6/D	5/G	10/G	8/G
	ABEF	Yes	AC	1/D	8/G	2/DE	5/DE	4/DE	6/DE	3/DE	9/G	7/DF	10/G
	DF	Yes	ADE 3	1/GDE	2/GDE	3/GE	5/GE	9/E	6/GE	7/E	10/E	4/GDE	8/E

MUNICIPALITIES (Concl'd)

STATE/MUN.	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECT.	ATTEND. FACTORS	TOPICS PRIORITY/CONTENT									
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j
<u>WASHINGTON</u>													
	BCF	Yes	C 2	5/G	4/G	1/DE	7/G	3/GE	6/G	2/DE	8/E	9/G	10/G
	H	Yes	A 3	4/GE	5/GE	8/GE	3/GDE	2/GDE	6/GDE	1/GDE	10/G	9/GE	7
<u>WEST VIRGINIA</u>													
Huntington	CDEF	Yes	AC 2	1/GDE	2/GDE	6/E	3/GDE	5/DE	8/E	10/E	9/E	4/GDE	7/E
<u>WISCONSIN</u>													
Green Bay	ABFG	Yes	CD 2	1/DE	3/G	2/DE	7/GE	5/GE	4/DE	9/G	8/G	6/G	10/GE
Kenosha	EG	Yes	CD 2	1/G	2/DE	4/G	3/G	5/G	6/DE	7/DE	10/G	8/G	9/G
Madison	ABE	Yes	A 2	3/GE	2/GE	6/G	4/E	7/DE	1/DE	5/DE	8/G	9/G	10/G
Milwaukee	BCG	Yes	E	10/D	9/D	1/DE	2/DE	4/E	3/DE	5/E	8/GD	7/G	6/E
Racine	FG	Yes	A	4/G	6/G	1/GDE	2/GE	7/GE	3/DE	8/G	10/G	5/G	9/G
<u>WYOMING</u>													
Cheyenne	BCDEFG	Yes	C 3	1/DE	3	2/DE	5/G	4/G	6/GDE	7/E	10/E	9/E	8/E

PLANNING COMMISSIONS, COUNCILS, OR REGIONS

STATE/ STRUCTURE	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECTS	ATTEND FACTORS	TOPICS									
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j
ALABAMA													
East Alabama Regional Plng. & Dev. Comm. Anniston	BCEF	YES	ACD 1	1/OD	4	5/OD	2/OD		3/OD				
Top of Alabama Reg. Council of Govts. Huntsville	FG	NO	C 2	3/OE	5/OE	7	8	6	9	2/ODE	4/OE	10	1/ODE
ARIZONA													
District #4 Council of Govts. Yuma	DFG	YES	C 2	2/ODE	10/0	7/0	3/ODE	4/ODE	8/0	5/ODE	6/ODE	9/0	1/ODE
Maricopa Assoc. of Government Phoenix	BF	YES	CD 3	3/DE	2/OE	1/E	8/0	4/E	6/OE	5/E	9/0	7/OE	10/OE
Southeastern Arizona Governments Bisbee	ACDFG	YES	D 2	2/DE	6/0	5/DE	7/0	4/DE	8/0	3/DE	10/0	9/0	1/DE
ARKANSAS													
East Arkansas Planning & Development Dist. Jonesboro	CF		C 3	3/OE	1/OE	8/ODE	4/OD	5/0	6/0	7/OE	9/0	10/0	2/ODE
CALIFORNIA													
Central Sierra Plng. San Andreas	BCDEFG	YES	AD 1	4/0	5/0	3/OS	8/0	6/0	9/0	2/ODE	7/0	10/0	1/ODE
Comprehensive Plng. Or. San Diego	D	YES	AC	6/DE	1/DE	2/DE	8/DE	5/DE	7/DE	4/DE	3/DE	9/DE	10/DE
Council of Fresno County Govts. Fresno	DFG	YES	AC	1/0	8/0	4/OE	6/0	7/D	5/DE	3/DE	9/OE	10/0	2/D
Kern County Council of Governments Bakersfield		NO											
Kings County Regional Planning Agency Hanford	AF	YES	C	8/0	7/0	5/0	9/0	2/ODE	6/OD	4/ODE	3/ODE	10/0	1/ODE
Mendocino County- Cities Plng. Council Ukiah	BDFG	YES	AD 1	3/OE	6/OE	2/ODE	5/OE	7/OE	8/0	9/0	10/0	4/ODE	1/ODE
Merced County Assoc. of Governments Merced	ABCDEFG	YES	ACD 3	1/D	8/OE	3/D	6/0	5/OD	10/ODE	4/D	7/OE	9/OE	2/ODE
San Joaquin County Council of Governments Stockton	ABCEFG	YFS	C 4	6/DE	2/DE	1/ODE	8/OE	7/ODE	5/OE	4/DE	10/0	9/0	3/ODE

STATE/ STRUCTURE	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECT.	ATTEND FACTORS	TOPICS PRIORITY/CONTENT									
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j
<u>CALIFORNIA</u> (Concl'd) San Luis Obispo County & Cities Area Png. Coordinating Council San Luis Obispo Santa Barbara County- Cities Area Png Coun. Santa Barbara Stanislaus Area Assoc of Governments Modesto Tahoe Regional Png. South Lake Tahoe Tulare County Assoc. Visalia	BCEFG	YES	AC	6/DE	4/OD	8/DE	7/DE	2/DE	3/DE	5/ODE	10/D	9/D	1/ODE
	BCEFG	YES	CD 3	3/ODE	1/ODE	2/ODE	7/0	5/ODE	8/OD	4/ODE	9/0	10/0	6/ODE
	BCEG	YES	2	1/D	9/0	6/D	7/0	5/0	3/E	4/E	10/0	8/0	2/E
	BCEFG	YES	D 2	1/0	4/E	2/DE	3/DE	8/0	5/0E	9/E	7/0	10/0E	6/0E
	AFG	YES	AD 2	1/0	3/0	5/0	7/D	6/D	10/0	4/D	9/0	8/0	2/D
<u>COLORADO</u> Pikes Peak Area Council of Governments Colorado Springs San Luis Valley Council of Govts. Alamosa	BCEFGH	YES	A 2	1/0E	6/0	3/DE	2/0E	7/DE	9/0	4/DE	10/0	8/D	5/DE
	F	YES	ACD 2	7/0	2/DE	3/DE	4/0	6/0	10/0	5/DE	8/0	9/0	1/DE
	EG	YES	CD 2	1/ODE	9/0E	2/ODE	7/0E	3/ODE	6/0E	4/0E	5/0E	8/0E	10/0E
	EF	YES	AD 3	1/DE	8/0	4/0E	3/ODE	5/DE	7/0	6/DE	9/0	10/0	2/DE
	CDFGH	YES	ACD 1	8/E	7/0	6/0	2/D	5/0	1/D	4/D	10/0	9/D	3/D
<u>DELAWARE</u> Wilmington Met. Area Png. Coord. Council New Castle DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA Dept. of Hgvy. & Traffic	B	YES	BC 3	1/E	2/D								
	ABG	YES	CD 1	1/E	4/G	2/E	5/E	6/E	8/G	3/E	7/G	9/0	10/G
	EF	YES	CD 2	1/ODE	2/ODE	3/0E	4/0E	9/ODE	7/ODE	6/0E	8/0E	10/0	5/ODE
	AEH	YES	AE	2/D	1/0E	6/0	7/0D	3/DE	8/E	4/DE	5/0D	10	9/ODE
<u>FLORIDA</u> North Central Florida Reg. Png Council Gainesville <u>GEORGIA</u> Atlanta Regional Comm. Atlanta													

PLANNING COMMISSIONS, COUNCILS, OR REGIONS (Cont'd)

STATE/ STRUCTURE	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECT.	ATTEND FACTORS	TOPICS PRIORITY/CONTENT									
a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j				
GEORGIA (Concl'd)													
Coastal Area Plng. & Dev. Commission Brunswick	DF		CD 2	7/O	6/OD	2/DE	5/O	4/OE	8/O	3	10/O	9/O	1/DE
ILLINOIS													
McLean County Reg. Planning Comm. Bloomington	BCEG	YES	CE	1/ODE	2/D	4/OE	3/DE	6/OE	7/OD	5/OE	10/O	8/OE	9/O
INDIANA													
Lake-Porter County Reg. Trnas. & Plng Highland	CFH	YES	DE 3	1/D	9/O	3/D	7/O	5/D	8/O	6/E	4/D	10/O	2/D
West Central Indiana Economic Dev. Dist. Terre Haute	H	YES	C 2	1/ODE	3	9	7	4	6	5	10	8	2
IOWA													
Siouxland Interstate Metro. Plng. Council Sioux City	EFH	YES	ABC 2	1/D	8/O	4/E	2/D	10/O	6/DE	3/DE	5/DE	9/O	2/DE
KANSAS													
Chikaskia & Indian Hills Reg. Plng Comm. Pratt	ABCDEFG	NO	E	3/O	8/O	6/D	9/O	7/DE	10/O	2/DE	5/O	4/O	1/DE
Wichita-Wedgwick Cty. Met. Area Plng. Comm Wichita	CEFG	YES	AC 2	4/DE	1/DE	2/DE	7/O	3/DE	8/O	5/DE	6/O	10/O	9/O
KENTUCKY													
Barren River Area Dev. Bowling Green	DFG	YES	A 2	2/D	1/DE	5/DE	6/DE	8/DE	4/DE	7/DE	9/DE	10/DE	3/DE
Falls of the Ohio Metro Council of Govts. Louisville	BDEF	YES	AD 3	1/ODE	4/OE	2/ODE	6/DE	8/O	3/OE	5/ODE	9/O	10/O	7/E
Kentucky River Area Dev. District Hazard	CDEFG	YES	ACE	2/D	5/O		3/D		6/O			4/DE	1/DE
LOUISIANA													
Caddo-Bossier Council of Local Govts Shreveport	EG	YES	CD 3	1/ODE	4/OE	3/OE	8/OE	9/DE	5/DE	2/DE	10/E	7/ODE	6/ODE
MAINE													
Northern Me. Reg. Planning Comm. Presque Isle	CFH	YES	CD 2	4/DE	2/DE	5/OE	9/OE	3/DE	10/OE	6/OE	7/OE	8/OE	1/DE
Washington County Regional Plng. Comm. Machias	BFG	YES	A	1/OE	2/O	9/O	3/O	5/DE	6	7	10	8	4

STATE/ STRUCTURE	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECT.	ATTEND FACTORS	TOPICS PRIORITY/CONTENT									
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j
<u>MARYLAND</u>													
Tri-County Council of Southern Maryland Waldor	BFG	NO	A 2	1/ODE	7/0	3/E	5/0	6/OE	4/DE	8/D	10/0	9/D	2/ODE
Tri-County Council of Western Maryland Cumberland	AEF	YES	A 2	7	6	2/DE	8	9	3	4	5	10	1/DE
<u>MASSACHUSETTS</u>													
Cape Cod PIng & Econ Dev. Comm. Barnstable	BE	YES	A	9	10	4	5	3	6	2	8	7	1
Southeastern Reg. PIng. & Econ. Dev. Dist. Taunton	BEFG	YES	DE 2	1/D	9/0	2/D	5/D	8/E	4/D	6/E	7/0	10/0	3/D
<u>MICHIGAN</u>													
Northwest Michigan Reg. PIng. & Dev. Comm Traverse City	C	YES	AC	1/ODE	7/0	4/DE	9/0	6/0	8/0	5/DE	2/ODE	10/0	3/DE
Southeast Michigan Council of Govts. Detroit	AC	YES	C 2	2/D	1/0	4/E	3/D	9/E	10/E	6/D	7/D	5/D	8/D
Tri-County Regional Planning Comm. Lansing	BEFG	YES	AC 3	1/OD	2/OD	6/ODE	3/DE	9/DE	5/DE	8/OD	10/0	4/OD	7/ODE
<u>MINNESOTA</u>													
Fargo-Moorhead Met. Council of Governments Moorhead	ABCDG	YES	A	1/DE	8/D	6/DE	2/CDE	3/DE	7/DE	4/E	10/E	9/GD	5/0
Metropolitan Council St. Paul	FG	YES	ACD 2	1/E	4/0	3/D	6/0	2/D	8/0	5/D	7	9	10
Metropolitan Inter-Cty. St. Paul	EG	YES	AD 1	1/0	2/0	3/0	5/0	4/0	6/0	7/0	10/0	8/0	9/0
<u>MISSISSIPPI</u>													
Gulf Reg. PIng Comm Gulfport	BFG	YES	ABD 3	1/ODE	2/ODE	6/ODE	9/ODE	7/ODE	8/ODE	5/ODE	3/ODE	10/ODE	4/ODE
Lee County Council of Governments Tupelo	EG	YES	ABD 3	5/E	8/0	1/E	4/DE	7/0	6/0	3/DE	10/0	9/D	2/0
North Central PIng & Dev. District Winona	BEFG	NO	ABCD 2	3/G	2/D	4/G	9/G	6/D	8/G	7/E	5/E	10/G	1/D

PLANNING COMMISSIONS, COUNCILS, OR REGIONS (Cont'd)

STATE/ STRUCTURE	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECT.	ATTEND FACTORS	TOPICS PRIORITY/CONTENT										
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	
<u>MISSOURI</u> East-West Gateway Coordinating Council St. Louis Kaysinger Basin Reg. Plng. Commission Clinton Mid-America Regional Council Kansas City Missouri Valley Reg. Planning Commission Marshall	ABCDEF	YES	C 3	1/ODE	3/0	2/ODE	5/0	6/0E	8/0E	4/ODE	7/0E	10/0	9/ODE	
	ABDEFG	YES	AC	1/D	3/D	7/D	4/0	5/D	9/0	6/0	8/0	10/0	2/D	
	CEF	YES	D 2	1/DE	9/0	4/D	2/D	3/DE	Theory 6/DES	7/DE	8/D	10/0	5/DE	
	DF	YES	AB 1							2/ODE			1/ODE	
<u>MONTANA</u> Great Falls City-County Planning Board Great Falls	EFG	YES	AD 2	1/0	4/0	2/DE	8/0	9/0	5/DE	6/0	10/0	3/D	7/0	
		YES	ACD 2	2/D	5/D	6/E	4/D	10/0	1/D	9/0	8/0	7/D	3/D	
<u>NEBRASKA</u> Extra Met. Council of Governments Fremont Omaha-Council Bluffs Metropolitan Area Omaha	BCDEFG	YES	ACD 3	1/DE	6/0E	2/DE	9/0	5/DE	7/0E	3/DE	8/0E	10/0	4/DE	
		YES	CD 3	1/D	6/E	2/E	3/0	8/0E	5/E	7/0	10/0	4/D	9/0	
<u>NEW HAMPSHIRE</u> Southern N.H. Plng Commission Manchester	ACDEFG													
<u>NEW MEXICO</u> McKinley Area Council of Governments Gallup	ABEFG		ACD 3	4/ODE	6/0D	2/ODE	3/0D	7/ODE	8/0D	9/0D	10/0D	5/0D	1/ODE	
<u>NEW YORK</u> Capital Dist. Reg. Planning Comm. Albany Central N.Y. Reg. Plng & Dev. Board Syracuse	ABCE	YES	AC 2	1/DE	7/0D	2/DE	9/0D	4/DE	3/DE	6/DE	8/D	10/0	5/DE	
	DH	YES	ACD 3	1/ODE	5/0	3/DE	7/D	6/0E	9/0	8/D	2/0E	10	4/DE	
Erie & Niagara Counties Reg. Plng. Grand Island	CDGH	YES	ACD 1	5/0E	1/ODE	2/DE	9/0E	6/DE	8/E	7/0E	3/ODE	10/0	4/DE	

STATE/ STRUCTURE	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECT.	ATTEND FACTORS	TOPICS									
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j
<u>NEW YORK</u> (Concl'd) Metropolitan Reg. Council New York	H	NO	ACD	1/D	5/D	4/E	2/D	8/E	3/O	7/O	6/D	10/O	9/O
<u>NORTH CAROLINA</u> Cape Fear Council of Governments Wilmington	BEG	YES	C 3	1/OD	2/OD	3/OD	4/O	5/OD	6/OD	8/O	10/O	7/O	9/O
Piedmont Triad Council of Govts. Greensboro	BCG	YES	CE 2	1/OE	5/D	6/DE	7/E	2/OE	8/DE	3/OD	10/O	9/O	4/ODE
<u>OHIO</u> Brooke-Hancock- Jefferson Met. Ping Steubenville	AEG	YES	ABD A/1 B/2	4/O	6/O	1/DE	5/DE	8/O	2/D	7/O	10/O	9/O	3/E
Mid-Ohio Reg. Ping. Commission Columbus	H	YES	C 3	2/DE	3/O	1/DE	6/O	5/D	7/O	4/D	8/DE	10/O	9/O
Northeast Ohio Area- wide Coord. Agcy Cleveland	DG	NO	CE 1	2/O	3/D	6/O	5/D	4/DE	1/ODE	8/O	7/O	10/D	9/O
Toledo Met. Area Council of Govts. Toledo	BCDEG	YES	CE 3	1/DE	3/DE	2/ODE	6/O	3/O	2/DE	5/ODE		10	5/ODE
<u>OKLAHOMA</u> Assoc. of Central Oklahoma Govts. Oklahoma City	BG	YES	ACD 3	3/OD	2/DE	1/DE	8/O	7/O	6/O	4/OE	10/O	9/O	5/OE
Southern Oklahoma Dev. Assoc & Council Ardmore Airport	BEF	YES	CD 2	4/O	5/O	4/D	7/O	3/D	8/O	2/DE	10/O	9/O	
<u>OREGON</u> Columbia Region Assoc. of Govts. Portland	CEFG	YES	ACD 3	5/D	6/O	8/D				7/D			
Lane Council of Governments Eugene	BCEG	YES	C	1/E	5/O	3/O	9	7	6	4/OD	8	10	2/ES
Mid Willamette Valley Council of Govts. Salem	AEGH	YES	A 3	1/OE	2/ODE	7/ODE	8/OE	5/OE	6/OE	4/ODE	9/OE	10/ODE	3/ODE

PLANNING COMMISSIONS, COUNCILS, OR REGIONS (Cont'd)

STATE/ STRUCTURE	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECT.	ATTEND FACTORS	TOPICS									
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j
<u>OREGON</u> (Concl'd) Rogue Valley Council of Govts. Medford	BEFG	YES	AC 2	5/ODE	8/0	4/ODE	3/OD	6/ODE	7/OD	2/ODE	10/0	9/0	1/ODE
<u>PENNSYLVANIA</u> Delaware Valley Reg. Plng. Comm. Philadelphia	BCF	YES	BC 3	1/DE	3/OE	4/DE	2/OE	7/OE	8/OE	6/DE	5/DE	9/OE	10/0
Mercer County Reg. Plng. Comm. Sharon	F	YES	AC 2	6/0	5/0	3/D	7/0	2/E	8/0	4/D	10/E	9/E	1/E
Tri-County Reg. Plng. Comm. Harrisburg	CDFG	YES	CD 3	1/D	2/OD	4/0	7/0	8/0	9/0	6/D	5/D	10/0	3/D
<u>SOUTH CAROLINA</u> South Carolina Appa- lachian Council Greenville	BCEFG	YES	C 4	3/ODE	2/ODE	1/ODE	4/ODE	5/OE	8/ODE	9/OD	7/OD	6/OD	10/OD
<u>SOUTH DAKOTA</u> Sixth District Plng. & Dev. Rapid City	E		C	7/0	8/0	4/DE	9/0	3/DE	2/D	5/DE	6/DE	10/0	1/DE
<u>TENNESSEE</u> East Tenn. Dev. Dist. Knoxville	BCF	YES	AC 2	6/0	8/0	3/DE	7/0	1/DE	5/0	4/DE	10/0	9/0	2/DE
Mid-Cumberland Council of Govts. Nashville	BEG	Very seldom	AD 1	1/E	2/D	4/D	3/E	7/E	6/E	10/D	8/D	5/0	9/D
<u>TEXAS</u> North Central Texas Council of Govts. Arlington	BCFG	YES	C 3	1/DE	3/OE	4/OE	5/DE	7/E	9/DE	2/ODE	8/OE	10/OE	6/E
Panhandle Regional Planning Comm. Amarillo	EG	YES	C 2	6	7	5	4	10	3	2	9	8	1
<u>UTAH</u> Salt Lake County Council of Govts. Salt Lake City	ACEFG		CD 2	1/ODE	3/ODE	5/ODE	6/ODE	4/ODE	7/OE	2/ODE	9/OE	8/OE	10/OE
Wasatch Front Regional Council Farmington			CD 2	1/GDE	3/GDE	5/GDE	6/GDE	4/GDE	7/GE	2/GDE	9/GE	8/GE	10/GE

PLANNING COMMISSIONS, COUNCILS, OR REGIONS (Concl'd)

STATE/ STRUCTURE	PROBLEM AREAS	WS/S EFFECT.	ATTEND FACTORS	TOPICS									
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j
<u>VERMONT</u> Central Vermont Reg. Png. Comm. Montpelier	AE	YES	E	4/DE	8/DE	1/ODE	3/DE	9/ODE	5/DE	7/E	10/E	6/D	2/E
<u>VIRGINIA</u> Central Virginia Png. Dist. Comm. Lynchburg	BCH	YES	AD 1	1/OE	6/	7/OD	10	2/D	5/ODE	4/OE	9/O	8	3/OD
Richmond Reg. Png. Dist. Commission Richmond	EG	YES	E	2/DE	3/E	1/D	5/DE	6/E	4/DE	7/E	9/O	8/O	10/E
Southeastern Virginia Png. Dist. Comm. Norfolk	ADEG	YES	AC	1/D	4/O	3/D	2/D	5/O	8/O	7/O	10/O	9/O	6/D
West Piedmont Png. Dist. Comm. Martinsville	BEFG	YES	AD 2	1/OD	4/O	5/OE	7/DE	8/OE	6/OE	3/OE	10/OE	9/D	2/OE
<u>WASHINGTON</u> Puget Sound Governmental Conf. Seattle	ABCFG	YES	AC 2	1/DE	3/DE	2/ODE	4/D	7/OD	9/O	6/DE	5/DE	10/O	8/ODE
Spokane Regional Planning Conference Spokane	BEFG	YES	ACD 3	1/DE	4/OE	2/DE	7/DE	6/DE	5/DE	3/DE	10/O	9/O	8/ODE
<u>WEST VIRGINIA</u> Regional Inter- government Council Charleston	ADEF	YES	C	1/DE	3/ODE	8/OE	7/DE	4/ODE	9/OE	5/OE	6/DE	10/O	2/DE
<u>WISCONSIN</u> East Central Wisconsin Reg. Png Appleton	ABCDEF	YES	CD 3	7/E	9/O	5/E	3/DE	8/OE	4/DE	1/DE	10/O	6/D	2/DE
Mississippi River Regional Png. Comm La Crosse	ADF	YES	A 2	1/O	7/E	5/O	6/E	8/D	10/D	2/E	4/E	9/D	3/O



APPENDIX D
REPORT OF INVENTIONS

A diligent review of the work performed under this contract has revealed no innovation, discovery, improvement, or invention.

