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## Foreword

In conventional traffic safety evaluations, the outcome measure is typically the frequency of police-reported crashes, often with separate estimates for different severity levels. However, some treatments may decrease some crash types but increase others. If these crash types are characterized by different average injury severities, then comparing crash frequencies will not provide the user with an accurate picture of treatment effectiveness. Such a scenario led to the development of the crash cost estimates by crash geometry described in this report.

This paper presents estimates for the economic (human capital) and comprehensive costs per crash for six KABCO groupings (used by police to classify injury) within 22 selected crash types and within two speed limit categories ( $<=72$ kilometers per hour ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ ) ( $<=45$ miles per hour $(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}))$ and $>=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}(>=50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h})$ ). The comprehensive costs include nonmonetary losses. To produce these cost estimates, previously developed costs per victim keyed on the Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) injury severity scale were merged into U.S. traffic crash data files that scored injuries in both AIS and KABCO scales to produce per crash estimates. The detailed estimates of this study make it possible to include crash severity comparisons in the analysis of different types of crashes by attaching costs to them and to do so in 2001 dollars.

Michael Trentacoste, Director
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## SI* (MODERN METRIC) CONVERSION FACTORS

APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS TO SI UNITS

| Symbol | When You Know | Multiply By | To Find | Symbol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LENGTH |  |  |  |  |
| in | inches | 25.4 | millimeters | mm |
| ft | feet | 0.305 | meters | m |
| yd | yards | 0.914 | meters | m |
| mi | miles | 1.61 | kilometers | km |
| AREA |  |  |  |  |
| in ${ }^{2}$ | square inches | 645.2 | square millimeters | $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | square feet | 0.093 | square meters | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{yd}^{2}$ | square yard | 0.836 | square meters | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| ac | acres | 0.405 | hectares | ha |
| $m i^{2}$ | square miles | 2.59 | square kilometers | $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ |
| VOLUME |  |  |  |  |
| fl oz | fluid ounces | 29.57 | milliliters | mL |
| gal | gallons | 3.785 | liters | L |
| $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | cubic feet | 0.028 | cubic meters | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| $y d^{3}$ | cubic yards | 0.765 | cubic meters | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| NOTE: volumes greater than 1000 L shall be shown in $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| MASS |  |  |  |  |
| oz | ounces | 28.35 | grams | g |
| lb | pounds | 0.454 | kilograms |  |
| T | short tons (2000 lb) | 0.907 | megagrams (or "metric ton") | Mg (or "t") |
| TEMPERATURE (exact degrees) |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | Fahrenheit | $\begin{gathered} 5(\mathrm{~F}-32) / 9 \\ \text { or }(\mathrm{F}-32) / 1 . \end{gathered}$ | Celsius | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| fc | foot-candles | 10.76 |  |  |
| $f 1$ | foot-Lamberts | 3.426 | candela/m ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{cd} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS |  |  |  |  |
| Ibf | poundforce | 4.45 | newtons |  |
| lbf/in ${ }^{2}$ | poundforce per square | 6.89 | kilopascals | kPa |


| APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Symbol | When You Know | Multiply By | To Find | Symbol |
| LENGTH |  |  |  |  |
| mm | millimeters | 0.039 | inches | in |
| m | meters | 3.28 | feet | ft |
| m | meters | 1.09 | yards | yd |
| km | kilometers | 0.621 | miles | mi |
| AREA |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ | square millimeters | 0.0016 | square inches | in ${ }^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{m}_{2}^{2}$ | square meters | 10.764 | square feet | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | square meters | 1.195 | square yards | $\mathrm{yd}^{2}$ |
| ha | hectares | 2.47 | acres |  |
| km ${ }^{2}$ | square kilometers | 0.386 | square miles | $m i^{2}$ |
| VOLUME |  |  |  |  |
| mL | milliliters | 0.034 | fluid ounces | fl oz |
| L | liters | 0.264 | gallons | gal |
| $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | cubic meters | 35.314 | cubic feet | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | cubic meters | 1.307 | cubic yards | $y d^{3}$ |
| MASS |  |  |  |  |
| g | grams | 0.035 | ounces | oz |
| kg | kilograms | 2.202 | pounds | 1 l |
| Mg (or "t") | megagrams (or "metric ton") | 1.103 | short tons (2000 lb) | T |
| TEMPERATURE (exact degrees) |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Celsius | 1.8C+32 | Fahrenheit | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| ILLUMINATION |  |  |  |  |
| lx ${ }^{2}$ | lux ${ }^{2}$ | 0.0929 | foot-candles | fc |
| $\mathrm{cd} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | candela/m ${ }^{2}$ | 0.2919 | foot-Lamberts | $f 1$ |
| FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS |  |  |  |  |
| N | newtons | 0.225 | poundforce |  |
| kPa | kilopascals | 0.145 | poundforce per square inch | lbf/in ${ }^{2}$ |
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## INTRODUCTION

In conventional traffic safety evaluations, the outcome measure is typically the frequency of police-reported crashes, often with separate estimates for different severity levels. However, some treatments may decrease some crash types but increase others. If these crash types are characterized by different average injury severities, then comparing crash frequencies will not provide the user with an accurate picture of treatment effectiveness. Such a scenario led to the development of the crash cost estimates by crash geometry described in this report.

An example of this scenario can be found in an evaluation of red-light camera (RLC) programs in seven jurisdictions nationwide funded by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Intelligent Transportation System Joint Program Office and the Office of Safety Research and Development. RLC programs can be expected to decrease angle-type crashes, but to increase rear-end crashes. The former is usually more severe than the latter. For that reason, the study not only examined crash frequency by type, but also included crash severity in the analysis by converting each crash to an economic cost, based on unit costs by police-reported crash severity. Similar procedures would be appropriate in the evaluation of such roadside hardware as median barriers, which would be expected to increase the number of less severe sideswipe and angle crashes into the barrier while decreasing or eliminating the more severe head-on crashes into vehicles in opposing lanes of traffic.

Although many past studies developed crash costs (Miller, et al. 1997; Zaloshnja, et al., 2004), most studies provide estimates per person injured or vehicle damaged rather than the cost per crash. ${ }^{(1,2)}$ Moreover, they often provide cost breakdowns by body region and within that by injury severity measured on the Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS). AIS is specified by trained medical data coders, usually within a hospital context. It is not recorded on police crash reports, making these cost estimates unusable in the majority of safety studies conducted.
Miller et al. ${ }^{(1)}$ successfully linked crash costs to police-reported crash profiles for a number of crash scenarios by using data files that contained both AIS and police-reported KABCO ${ }^{1}$ severity (National Safety Council, 1990). ${ }^{(3)}$ That study provided aggregate costs, not unit cost, estimates by KABCO severity and crash type. It was intended to aid vehicle design that minimized overall harm. Wang et al. undertook a similar study, estimating unit costs by crash geometry and AIS for crashes that could be averted by Intelligent Vehicle-Highway Systems (IVS) technologies. ${ }^{(4)}$
This study builds on the prior studies, providing current unit costs by crash type and severity. Modifications of the previous work included:

- Providing the human capital (economic) cost estimates of hard dollar consequences and comprehensive cost estimates that add the value of the nonmonetary losses to the economic costs for six KABCO groupings within 22 critical crash types (e.g., pedestrian crash at signalized intersection; multivehicle cross-path crash at unsignalized intersection) and within two speed limit categories to account for possible differences in cost for a given KABCO level between crashes in urban and rural locales.

[^1]- Giving estimates for six different combinations of KABCO severity (e.g., each KABCO level, $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{A}$ versus $\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{O}$, all levels combined, etc.). These groupings facilitate use in studies where, for example, the sample size of fatalities is so small as to be unstable, and thus where one or two fatalities might bias the study results.


## TARGET CRASH TYPES AND COST LEVELS

Based on the past work by Miller et al. the needs of the red-light camera evaluation effort, and projected needs in future FHWA safety studies, the decision was made to estimate human capital and comprehensive costs for each of 22 geometry categories. ${ }^{(5)}$ (For a detailed listing of the crash geometries and the definitions and names, see appendix A.)
As noted earlier, the goal of this crash-cost estimation process is to produce a cost for each police-reported crash severity level (i.e., KABCO level) within each of the 22 crash geometries. However, since KABCO levels are much broader than AIS levels, the cost of injury within any KABCO level for a given crash geometry might differ depending on speed limit or urban/rural location. For example, the severity and thus the cost of A-injury angle crashes at rural higherspeed intersections may be greater than A-injury angle crashes at urban intersections. Given this fact, it was desirable to categorize these 22 geometries further by speed limit and either urban or rural location.

Unfortunately, examination of documentation for the databases to be used by Miller indicated no urban/rural indicator in one of the critical files. However, speed limit variables were present. Analyses using the Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS), National Accident Sampling System (NASS), General Estimates System (GES), and Highway Safety Information System (HSIS) data from two States were then conducted to compare crash-related speed limits to various urban versus rural designations. There was significant overlap of limits within urban and rural designations in all three files. Based on the distributions and on the need to have sufficient samples sizes in all the subcategories, cost estimates were categorized by locations with speed limits of 72 kilometers per hour (km/h) ( 45 miles per hour (mi/h)) and below versus $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ ( 50 $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ ) and above.
A third issue concerned the levels of police-reported severity for which crash cost should be estimated. Initially, the desire was to develop a human capital and comprehensive cost estimate for each level of crash severity (i.e., each KABCO level) within each speed limit category within each of the 22 crash geometries. In addition, since safety studies sometimes are based on limited data samples in which there are very few fatalities or serious injuries, it was desirable to develop costs when some of the KABCO levels are combined and costs where crash types are not separated. Preliminary analysis of the databases used in the cost development indicated some problems due to small samples within the most detailed cells. Based on the needs of the RLC evaluation and the available sample sizes, the following levels of costs were ultimately developed. In each case, "cost estimate" refers to an estimate of both human capital cost and of comprehensive cost, and each geometry is always further subdivided by the two speed limit categories, unless otherwise noted.

- Level 1 - For each of the 22 crash geometries (categorized by two speed limit categories as a surrogate for urban/rural locales), estimates of cost were made for crash severity levels K, A,
$\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}$, and O . (Sample size issues in the cost databases made it impossible to develop reasonable estimates of B versus C separately.) This analysis first was performed for each of the two speed limit categories and then with all speed limits combined.
- Level 2-For each crash geometry, estimates of cost when $K$ and $A$ are combined into one cost level and B and C are combined into one cost level-thus K+A, B+C, O. Again, estimates were calculated with and without categorization by the two speed-limit categories.
- Level 3—This level was defined to allow for comparison of "injury" versus "noninjury" crashes. Note that some crash forms (and some reporting officers) define a "C-injury" as a "minor injury" while others define it as a "possible injury." Thus, two definitions of Level 3 costs were used.
o 3.A-For each crash geometry (with and without speed limit categorization), estimates of cost when all injuries are combined into one cost level separated from the property damage only (PDO) cost level-thus $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}$ versus O .
o 3.B-For each (urban/rural) crash geometry (with and without speed limit categorization), estimates of cost when K , A , and B injuries are combined into one cost level separated from the C and PDO cost level-thus $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ versus $\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{O}$.
- Level 4-For each crash geometry (with and without speed limit categorization), estimates of crash cost without regard to crash severity (i.e., no division by levels of severity).
- Level 5-For each level of crash severity (with and without speed limit categorization), estimates of cost without regard to crash geometry.
- Level 6-Level 5 cost estimates, but with the following categories: $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{K}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$, $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{O}$.

In summary, the analyses were designed to produce both the human capital and comprehensive costs of crashes with 22 crash types, with and without categorization, by two speed limit categories. Crash severity levels within each crash type were defined to allow a variety of different levels of analysis for future studies.

## METHODOLOGY

Estimating crash costs requires estimates of the number of people involved in a given crash, the severity of each person's injuries, and the costs of those injuries, as well as associated vehicle damage and travel delay. The following section describes the methodology used to estimate the incidence and severity of crashes for selected geometries and speed limits. The succeeding section explains how the costs of crashes were estimated.

## Injury Incidence and Severity Estimation

To estimate injury incidence and severity, procedures developed by Miller and Blincoe (1994) and Miller, Galbraith, et al. (1995) and applied in Blincoe, Seay, et al (2002) were followed. ${ }^{(56,7)}$ The estimates of the average number of people involved in a crash-by-crash geometry, speed limit, and police-reported severity come from National Highway Traffic Safety Administration’s (NHTSA() GES and Crashworthiness Data System (CDS).
Crash databases do not accurately describe the severity of crashes. Accordingly, several adjustments, described below, were made to more accurately reflect the severity of crashes.

First, GES provides a sample of U.S. crashes by police-reported severity for all crash types. GES records injury severity by crash victim on the KABCO scale (National Safety Council, 1990) from
police crash reports. ${ }^{(3)}$ Police reports in almost every State use KABCO to classify crash victims as Kkilled, A-disabling injury, B-evident injury, C-possible injury, or O-no apparent injury. KABCO ratings are coarse and inconsistently coded between States and over time. The codes are selected by police officers without medical training, typically without benefit of a hands-on examination. Some victims are transported from the scene before the police officer who completes the crash report even arrives. Miller, Viner et al. (1991) and Blincoe and Faigin (1992) documented the great diversity in KABCO coding across cases. ${ }^{(8,9)}$ O’Day (1993) more carefully quantified the great variability in use of the A-injury code between States. ${ }^{(10)}$ Viner and Conley (1994) explained the contribution to this variability of differing State definitions of A-injury. ${ }^{(11)}$ Miller, Whiting, et al. (1987) found policereported injury counts by KABCO severity systematically varied between States because of differing State crash reporting thresholds (the rules governing which crashes should be reported to the police). ${ }^{(12)}$ Miller and Blincoe (1994) found that State reporting thresholds often changed over time. ${ }^{(5)}$
Thus, police reports do not accurately describe injuries medically. To minimize the effects of variability in severity definitions between States, reporting thresholds, and police perception of injury severity, NHTSA national data sets were used that included both police-reported KABCO and medical descriptions of injury in the Occupant Injury Coding system (OIC) (American Association of Automotive Medicine (AAAM), 1990; AAAM, 1985). ${ }^{(13,14)}$ OIC codes include AIS score and body region and more detailed type injury descriptors that changed from the 1985 to the 1990 edition. Both 1999-2001 CDS (NHTSA, 2002) and 1982-1986 NASS (NHTSA, 1987) data were used. ${ }^{(15,16)}$ CDS describes injuries to passenger vehicle occupants involved in tow-away crashes, but not in nontowaway crashes. The 1982-1986 NASS data were used to fill this gap. While not recent, these data provide the most recent medical description available of injuries to other non-CDS crash victims. The NASS data were coded with the 1980 version of AIS, which differs slightly from the 1985 version; but NHTSA made most AIS-85 changes well before their formal adoption. CDS data were coded in AIS-85, then in AIS-90.

The 1999-2001 GES data were used to weight the NASS data so they represent the annual estimated GES injury victim counts in non-CDS crashes. In applying these weights, the data was controlled by crash type, police-reported injury severity, speed limit <=72 km/h (<=45 mi/h) and >=80 km/h (>= 50 $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ ), and restraint use. Weighting the NASS data to GES restraint use levels updates the NASS injury profile to a profile reflecting contemporary belt usage levels. Sample size considerations drove the decision to pool 3 years worth of data. At the completion of the weighting process, a hybrid CDS/NASS file had been developed that included weights that summed to the estimated current annual incidence by police-reported injury severity and other relevant factors.

## Crash Cost Estimation

The second step required to estimate average crash costs was to generate estimates of crash costs by severity, as described in this section. To estimate the average costs per crash by geometry, speed limit, and police-reported crash severity, costs per injury by maximum AIS (MAIS), body part, and whether the victim suffered a fracture/dislocation were adapted from the costs in Zaloshnja, Miller, et al. (2002). ${ }^{(2)}$ These costs were merged onto the GES-weighted NASS/CDS file.

Comprehensive costs represent the present value, computed at a 4 percent discount rate, of all costs over the victim's expected life span that result from a crash. The following major categories of costs were included in the calculation of comprehensive costs:

- Medically-related costs.
- Emergency services.
- Property damage.
- Lost productivity.
- Monetized Quality-Adjusted Life Years (QALYs).

Human capital costs excluded the last item. The following text provides an overview of the bases for each of these cost components.

## Medically-Related Costs

Medically related costs include ambulance, emergency medical, physician, hospital, rehabilitation, prescription, and related treatment costs, as well as the ancillary costs of crutches, physical therapy, etc. To estimate medical costs, nationally representative samples that use International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD9-CM) diagnosis codes to describe the injuries of U.S. crash victims were used. ${ }^{(17)}$ The samples were the 1996-1997 National Hospital Discharge Survey (NHDS) for hospitalized victims and 1990-1996 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) for nonhospitalized victims. The analysis included the following steps, some of which are explained in further detail below:

1. Assign a cause or probabilistic cause distribution for each NHDS and NHIS case.
2. Estimate the costs associated with each crash case in NHDS and NHIS.
3. Use ICDmap-85 software (Johns Hopkins University, Tri-Analytics, 1997) to assign 1985 OIC or code groups to each NHDS and NHIS case.
4. Collapse the code groups to achieve adequate case counts per cell by MAIS, body part, and whether fracture/dislocation was involved.
5. Tabulate ICD-based costs by MAIS, diagnosis code grouping, and whether hospital admitted.
6. Estimate the percentage of hospital admitted cases by diagnosis group from 1996-1999 CDS and apply it to collapse the cost estimates to eliminate hospital admission status as a stratifier (necessary because current admission rates are unknown for crash victims in non-CDS strata).
7. Infer costs for diagnosis groups that appear in CDS crash data but not in the ICD-based file.

## Cause of Injury Assignment:

NHIS explicitly identifies victims of road crashes and NHDS includes data fields where hospitals code injury diagnoses or causes. When all seven fields are used, a cause code is rarely included. Typically, diagnosis codes (which are linked to insurance reimbursement costs) are given priority over cause codes. More than 70 percent of 1996-1997 NHDS cases with less than six diagnoses were causecoded by age group, sex, and diagnoses for these cases were representative of all injury admissions with less than six diagnoses. For NHDS cases with six or seven diagnoses, causation probabilities by age group, sex, and diagnosis were inferred using data for cases with at least six diagnoses in causecoded State hospital discharge censuses that had previously been pooled from California, Maryland, Missouri, New York, and Vermont (Lawrence et al., 2000). ${ }^{(18)}$ As a partial check, the resulting firearm injury estimate was compared with a published national surveillance estimate (Annest et al., 1995). ${ }^{(19)}$ The two estimates were less than 5 percent apart.

## Estimation of Medical Costs Associated with Each Crash Case in NHDS and NHIS:

Except for added tailoring to differentiate the costs of child from adult injury and estimating fatality costs, the methods used were the same as those employed in building the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission's (CPSC) injury cost model. These methods are summarized below and documented in detail in Zaloshnja, Miller, et al (2002), Lawrence et al. (2000), Miller et al. (1998), and Miller, Romano, and Spicer (2000). (See references 2, 18, 20 and 21.)

Although the methods for estimating the costs and consequences associated with each case differed for fatally injured persons, survivors admitted to the hospital, and survivors treated elsewhere, in each case, costs of initial treatment were extracted from nationally representative or statewide data sets. For survivors, diagnosis, aggregate medical followup, rehabilitation, and long-term costs computed from national data on the percentage of medical costs associated with initial treatment were added. Due to data unavailability, these percentages were less current than the costs for initial treatment.

For hospitalized survivors, medical costs were computed in stages. Maryland and New York were the only States that regulated and tracked the detailed relationships between charges, payments, and actual costs of hospital care in recent years. Moreover, because U.S. health care payers negotiate widely varying, sometimes large discounts from providers, hospital charges bear little relationship to actual hospital costs. Computations were by diagnosis group. Using average cost per day of hospital stay by State as an adjuster (Bureau of the Census, 1999, Table 189), diagnosis-specific hospital costs per day from Maryland in 1994-1995 and New York in 1994 (the last year of that State's cost control) were price-adjusted to national estimates. ${ }^{(22)}$ The costs per day were multiplied by diagnosis times corresponding NHDS lengths of hospital stay. Physician costs estimated from Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services (CHAMPUS) data for 1992-1994 were added to the hospital costs. ${ }^{(23)}$ Costs after hospital discharge were computed from the most recent nationally representative sources available, the "1987 National Medical Expenditure Survey (NMES)" and National Council on Compensation Insurance (NCCI) data for 1979-1987. ${ }^{(24,25)}$ Both CHAMPUS and NCCI data report only primary diagnoses at the three-digit ICD level or broader, so mapping was imperfect, especially for brain injury. The NCCI data describe occupational injury; however, following Rice et al. (1989), Miller (1993), and Miller et al. (1995), we assumed the time track of medical care by diagnosis is independent of injury cause. ${ }^{(26,27,28)}$ Where the victim was discharged to a nursing home, following Lawrence et al. (2000), ${ }^{(18)}$ nursing home lengths of stay were estimated at 2 years for burn victims and 10 years for other catastrophic injuries, at a cost double the cost of an intermediate care facility. Costs per visit for other nonfatal injuries came from CHAMPUS.

Past studies (e.g., Rice et al., 1989; Miller, 1993; and Miller et al., 1995) estimated lifetime medical spending due to a child's injury from the all-age average acute care spending shortly after the injury and the longer term recovery pattern of adults or victims of all ages. ${ }^{(26,27,28)}$ In this study, the hospitalization cost estimates are age-specific. Using longitudinal 1987-1989 health care claims data from Medstat MarketScan ${ }^{\circledR}$ Databases, diagnosis-specific factors were estimated to adjust all-age and adult estimates of followup and longer-term care to child-specific treatment patterns. ${ }^{(29)}$ The percentage of medical costs in the first 6 months that resulted from the initial medical visit or hospitalization did not vary with age. After that, children were more resilient; the percentage of their total treatment costs incurred in the first 6 months often was higher, especially for brain injuries. These conclusions were derived from analysis of a random sample of 15,526 episodes of childhood injury and 40,624 episodes of nonoccupational adult injury to victims covered by private health insurance. For each episode, the claims data covered a range of 13-36 months and an average of 24 months after
injury. Because it was decided that the diagnostic detail preserved should be maximized, sample size considerations dictated bringing costs forward onto CDS files that represented averages across victims of all ages.
For spinal cord injuries (SCI) and burns, medical costs were not estimated from NHDS and NHIS files because of the limited number of these cases in the files. In addition, long-term SCI costs are not captured in the NHDS and NHIS data. Information from a special study (Berkowitz et al., 1993) was used to estimate first year and annual medical costs for SCI. ${ }^{(30)}$ Costs were estimated by applying the age and gender distribution of SCI victims in the CDS 1993-1999 to a lifetime estimating model with 1997 life expectancy tables adjusted for spinal cord injury mortality rates from Berkowitz et al.
(1993). ${ }^{(30)}$ Highway crash-specific costs for burns were adapted from Miller, Brigham, and Cohen et al. (1993), using its regression equations. ${ }^{(31)}$

## Mapping ICD Codes into OIC Codes:

ICD-based injury descriptors were mapped to AIS-85 and body part to make the ICD data compatible with CDS and NASS descriptors. AIS-85 was mapped using the ICDmap-85. This map lists AIS by each ICD code up to the five-digit level of detail. For NHIS, which uses almost exclusively three-digit ICDs ( 85.5 percent of the data set), the lowest AIS within that three-digit group was selected.

Body part was mapped to AIS from previously collapsed ICD groupings (Miller et al., 1995) and fracture or dislocation was identified with the ICD codes. ${ }^{(28)}$ The ICD/AIS mapping was developed by consensus and contains many assumptions related to the assignment of AIS codes to ICD rubrics (Miller et al. 1995). ${ }^{(28)}$ For multiple-injury NHDS cases, the body part of the maximum AIS injury was assigned. In case of a tie in AIS, the body part defined by the principal diagnosis in discharge records was used. NHIS reports only principal diagnoses.

## Inferring Costs for Categories that Appear in CDS Data, but not in the ICD-Based File:

Six percent of the AIS/body part/fracture diagnosis categories that appear in CDS crash data did not appear in the ICD-based files. Costs for these categories were assigned as follows: (1) mean costs were estimated for each AIS, (2) based on these averages, incremental cost ratios from one preferably lower AIS to another were estimated. Lower AIS was preferred because it offered larger case counts. Finally, (3) costs for empty ICD-based cells were assigned by multiplying costs from adjacent cells by this ratio. For instance, if the mean medical costs for AIS-2 and AIS-3 were $\$ 500$ and $\$ 1,000$, respectively, then the incremental ratio for AIS-2 to AIS-3 was set to: $1,000 / 500=2$. Then, the cost for an empty AIS-3 cell was estimated by multiplying the body part/fracture-specific cost for AIS-2 times the ratio. For body parts with no cost estimates available for any AIS, a general average cost for the appropriate AIS was assigned.

## Emergency Services Costs

This cost category includes police and fire services. Fire and police costs were computed from assumed response patterns by crash severity and vehicle involvement, constrained by data on total responses. For fatal, injury, and PDO crashes, time spent per police cruiser responding came from ten jurisdictions with automated police time-tracking systems. A single officer was assumed to have responded to a PDO crash and one officer per injury to other crashes. Time spent per fire truck responding came from nine large fire departments. It was assumed that the fire personnel would respond to the following:

- Ninety percent of fatal and severe injury crashes and 95 percent of critical injury crashes.
- Forty percent of crashes involving injury.
- Twenty-five percent of police-reported crashes involving only property damage.


## Property Damage Cost

This includes the cost to repair or replace damaged vehicles, cargo, and other property, including the costs of damage compensation. Property damage costs are from Blincoe, Seay, et al (2002). ${ }^{(8)}$

## Lost Productivity Cost

Lost productivity costs include wages, benefits, and household work lost by the injured, as well as the costs of processing productivity loss compensation claims. It also includes productivity loss by those stuck in crash-related traffic jams and by coworkers and supervisors investigating crashes, recruiting and training replacements for disabled workers, and repairing damaged company vehicles. Excluded are earnings lost by family and friends caring for the injured and the value of schoolwork lost. The productivity loss resulting from traffic delay is part of the total productivity lost.

Future work-loss costs were estimated using methods that parallel the Consumer Product Safety Council (CPSC) Injury Cost Model. These methods are summarized below and documented in detail in Zaloshnja, Miller, et al. (2002); Blincoe, Seay, et al (2002); Lawrence et al. (2000); Miller et al. (1998); Miller, Romano, and Spicer (2000). (See references 2, 7, 18, 20, and 21)

For nonfatal injuries, the work loss cost is the sum of the lifetime loss due to permanent disability (averaged across permanently disabling and nondisabling cases) plus the loss due to temporary disability. Lifetime wage and household work losses due to a death or permanent total disability were computed and then discounted to present value with the standard age-earnings model described in Rice et al. (1989) and in Miller et al. (1998). ${ }^{(26,20)}$ The inputs to this model were for 1997-2000. They include, by age group and sex, survival probabilities from National Vital Statistics Reports (1999); weighted estimates of annual earnings tabulated from the 2001 Current Population Survey, a nationally representative sample; and the value of household work performed from Expectancy Data (1999). ${ }^{(33)}$

For survivors, NCCI probabilities that an occupational injury will result in permanent partial or total disability and the NCCI percentage of earning power lost to partial disability were applied to compute both the number of permanently disabled victims and the percentage of lifetime work lost. These data are listed by diagnosis group and whether injuries resulted in hospitalization. The ICD maps were used to assign 1985 and 1990 OIC injury codes or code groups to each category.
Diagnosis-specific probabilities of injuries to employed people causing wage work loss came from CDS 1993-1999. The days of work loss per person losing work were estimated from the 1999 Survey of Occupational Injury and Illness of the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. This survey contains employer reports of work losses for more than 600,000 workplace injuries coded in a system akin to the OIC but with less diagnostic detail. According to a survey of 10,000 households, injured people lose housework on 90 percent of the days they lose wage work (S. Marquis, 1992). ${ }^{(34)}$ Thus, it was possible to compute the days of household work lost from the days of wage work lost. Household work was valued based on the cost of hiring people to perform household tasks (e.g., cooking, cleaning, and yard work) and the hours typically devoted to each task from Expectancy Data (1999). ${ }^{(33)}$ Lost productivity for repairing vehicles involved in crashes was updated from Miller et al. (1991) and included in the lost household productivity. ${ }^{(8)}$

For temporary disability, it was assumed that an adult caregiver would lose the same number of days of wage work or housework because of a child's temporarily disabling injury as an adult would lose when suffering the same injury. Since the adult with the lowest salary often stays home as the caregiver, caregiver wages were estimated as the mean hourly earnings for nonsupervisory employees in private nonagricultural industries. These assumptions may provide a small overestimate because the caregiver may be able to do some work at home. Conversely, the analysis may underestimate the losses because it ignored the work loss of other individuals who visit a hospitalized child or rush to the child's bedside shortly after an injury and any temporary wage work or household work loss by adolescents.

Legal and insurance administration costs per crash victim were derived from the medical and work loss costs, using models developed by Miller (1997). ${ }^{(1)}$ Legal costs include the legal fees and court costs associated with civil litigation resulting from motor vehicle crashes. In estimating these costs, the probability of losing work, the percentage of victims who filed claims, the percentage of claimants who hired an attorney, estimated plaintiff's attorney fees, and the ratio of legal costs over plaintiff's attorney fees was taken into consideration. Insurance administration costs include the administrative costs associated with processing insurance claims resulting from motor vehicle crashes and defense attorney fees. In estimating these costs, medical expense claims, liability claims, disability insurance, Worker's Compensation, welfare payments, sick leave, property damage, and life insurance were estimated.
Following Blincoe, Seay, et al (2002) and Zaloshnja, Miller et al. (2002), travel delay was computed with three refinements. ${ }^{(7,2)}$ First, using a newer and broader survey of five police departments, the hours-of-delay ratio was updated to 49:86:233 for the delays due to PDO, injury, and fatal crashes, respectively. Second, to extract delay per person from delay per crash, data on the average number of people killed or injured in a crash were used. Finally, it was conservatively assumed that only policereported crashes delay traffic, based on the premise that any substantial impact on traffic would attract the attention of the police.

## Monetized Quality-Adjusted Life Years (QALYs)

Monetary losses associated with medical care, other resources used, and lost work do not fully capture the burden of injuries. Injuries also cost victims and families by reducing their quality of life. The good health lost when someone suffers an injury or dies can be accounted for by estimating QALYs lost. A QALY is a health outcome measure that assigns a value of one to a year of perfect health and zero to death (Gold et al., 1996). ${ }^{(35)}$ QALY loss is determined by the duration and severity of the health problem. To compute it, this analysis followed Miller et al. (1993) and used diagnosis and agegroup specific estimates from Miller et al. (1995) of the fraction of perfect health lost during each year that a victim is recovering from a health problem or living with a residual disability. ${ }^{(31,6)}$ Such an impairment fraction was estimated by body part, AIS-85, and fracture/dislocation. The resulting estimates in AIS-85 were applied to NHDS and NHIS cases, and the respective AIS90 estimates were computed from the diagnosis specific AIS90 ratings. The monetary value of a QALY $(\$ 91,752)$ was derived by dividing the value of statistical life by the number of years in the person’s life span. The value of statistical life used in this study came from a systematic review found in Miller (1990) and lies midway the values in two recent meta-analyses (Miller, 2000; Mrozek and Taylor, 2002). ${ }^{(36,37,38)}$ As with the other components of cost, QALY losses in future years are discounted to present value at a 3 percent discount rate (Gold et al., 1996; Cropper et al, 1991; Viscusi and Moore, 1989). ${ }^{(35,39,40)}$

## Crash Cost Variance Estimation:

In addition to estimates of average human capital and comprehensive crash cost for the different crash types and police-reported severity levels, this analysis also attempted to produce an estimate of the standard deviation and the 95 percent confidence intervals for each average cost. Here, the procedure "svymean" in the software STATA ${ }^{\circledR} 7$ is designed specifically to estimate standard errors and confidence intervals for complex survey data. It takes into account the stratification (strata) and clustering (Primary Sampling Units (PSUs)) used in the survey.

It was not possible to measure the variance of unit cost elements like medical costs, property damage, emergency service, travel delay, insurance administration, etc. Therefore standard errors represent the variance in crash costs caused by differences in the number of people involved in crashes of the same type, the severity of injuries suffered (as described by AIS, body part, and fracture status of the injury), and the age and sex of the victims (very important for the magnitude of lost productivity and QALYs).

## RESULTS

The results of the analyses are included in tables found in appendix A. They are organized into the following six categories or levels:

- Level 1—For each of the 22 crash geometries, estimates of cost for crash severity levels K, $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}$, and O . (Sample size issues in the cost databases made it impossible to develop reasonable estimates of B versus C separately.) These are first presented categorized by the two speed limit categories ( $<=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}(<=45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h})$ and $>=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}(>=50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h})$ ), and then with all speed limits combined.
- Level 2—For each crash geometry, estimates of cost when K and A are combined into one cost level and B and C are combined into one cost level-thus $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}$. Again, estimates were calculated with and without categorization by the two speed limit categories.
- Level 3—This level was defined to allow for comparison of "injury" versus "noninjury" crashes. Note that some crash forms (and some reporting officers) define a "C-injury" as a "minor injury" while others define it as a "possible injury." Thus, two definitions of Level 3 costs were used.
o 3.A-For each crash geometry (with and without speed limit categorization), estimates of cost when all injuries are combined into one cost level separated from the PDO cost level-thus $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}$ versus O .
o 3.B-For each crash geometry (with and without speed limit categorization), estimates of cost when $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{A}$, and B injuries are combined into one cost level separated from the C and PDO cost level-thus $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ versus $\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{O}$.
- Level 4—For each crash geometry (with and without speed limit categorization), estimates of crash cost without regard to crash severity (i.e., no division by levels of severity).
- Level 5-For each level of crash severity (with and without speed limit categorization), estimates of cost without regard to crash geometry.
- Level 6-Level 5 cost estimates, but with the following categories- $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{K}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$, $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{O}$.
At each level, in addition to estimates for individual KABCO levels and combinations, crash cost estimates are also included for two additional categories-"Injured, severity unknown (sev unk)," which means there was at least one injury in the crash, but the severity was not recorded in the police
files, and "Unknown severity," which means no injury severities were provided on the police report. These cost categories are not expected to be used very often, but they are included for completeness.

The output is presented in tabular form in appendix A. The title of each tables provides the number of the Level (e.g., "Level 1...") and a designation of whether the estimates are categorized by speed limit (e.g., "Level 1 by speed limit") or not (e.g., "Level 1 without speed limit"). An example of the top portion of the "Level 2 by speed limit" output is shown in table 1 below. The first column, which is a crash geometry number, and the columns labeled "Maximum Injsev Code" are included to assist the user in later sorts of the data. The remaining columns headings are self-explanatory.
In the more detailed levels such as Levels 1 and 2 , one finding that appears somewhat counterintuitive is that the cost estimates for the same crash injury level within the same crash type are sometimes greater for the lower speed limits. For example, in the table below, the human capital and comprehensive cost estimates for a $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{A}$ injury crash at the lower speed limit (row three) is greater than for the comparable crash at the higher speed limit in row eight (i.e., $\$ 576,985$ versus $\$ 425,414$ for mean comprehensive costs). This resulted from the fact that the cost for the A-injury crash at the lower speed limit was greater than the cost for the A-injury crash at the higher speed limit. Examination of the base data indicated that this may be a function of the fact that lower speed limits are generally in urban areas, where there may be more occupants (and younger occupants) in the involved vehicles (or more or younger pedestrians in the same crash). It is noted, however, that this pattern does not hold for all crash types even at the lower levels. This means that there are other unknown factors at work in the database used in the cost development. The user will note that this counter-intuitive finding can be overcome by using costs with combined speed limits, or using higher-level cost (e.g., Level 3 estimates include fewer of these counter-intuitive findings than Level 2 estimates, which have less than in Level 1).

## Small Samples and Outliers

Note that some of the rows in the table are coded S, I, and N. All three codes are included as "flags" to the user that these estimates are felt to be less accurate than estimates in other rows. The S-coded rows indicate estimates that were derived from small sample sizes. For example, the second S-coded row in the table (i.e., the sixth row of data in the table) indicates that there were only five observations (i.e., crashes in the CDS files used) where a no-injury pedestrian crash occurred at an intersection with a speed limit of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}(50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h})$ or greater. A decision was made to flag fatal crash cost estimates where less than five observations were present, and to flag estimates in all other injury levels where less than 10 observations were present. In these rows, only the mean crash cost estimates are included. The standard deviations and confidence intervals are omitted from the output since these are felt to be virtually meaningless given the small sample sizes. Suggestions to the user for dealing with these questionable estimates are included in the next section.

The I-coded rows indicate what are felt to be "illogical values" or "outliers" in the data-cells with ample sample sizes, but where the cost for a given injury level is an outlier when compared with either other costs within the same crash type (e.g., a B+C cost that is greater than an A-injury cost for a given crash type), or when compared to costs of different crash types at the same injury level (e.g., a no-injury cost that is much greater than all other no-injury costs). These illogical estimates were identified by looking at patterns of costs in similar severity levels or crash types. For example, the first I-coded row in table 1 (i.e., the tenth row of data in the table) indicates a very high cost per crash when compared to other no-injury level pedestrian crashes and other no-injury level crashes in general. Additional examination of the cost-development data indicated that some of these outliers might be
due to erroneous coding by the police officer (e.g., in one case, a "no-injury" pedestrian crash was found to have two rather severe injuries.) Since it was not possible to examine each illogical finding in detail, they were flagged for the user's benefit. Again, suggestions for dealing with these are found in the next section.

In addition, there are crash types in the NASS data used to develop these estimates where no fatal crashes were present. For example, if the comparable "Level 1 by speed limit" table had been presented here, the user would note the absence of a crash cost estimate for fatal crashes within the Type 3, single-vehicle animal crashes. No such fatal crash existed in the NASS data used to develop these estimates. As a result, the estimate for " $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{A}$ " crashes in the final row of table 1 below does not have a fatal crash cost component and is less accurate than similar combined costs where both K and A crashes existed in the NASS data. All combined estimates (e.g., $K+A, K+A+B, K+A+B+C$ ) with no fatal component are coded N in the tables.

Table 1. Example output for Level 2 crash cost estimates categorized by speed limits.


| Code S | = | Derived from small sample. | Sv, ped, int |  | Single-vehicle pedestrian crash at an intersection | Injsev | = | Injury severity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | = | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Sv, ped, n-int | $=$ | Single-vehicle pedestrian crash, nonintersection location | Observ | = | Observations |
| Code N | $=$ | Combined estimate with no fatal component. | Sv, animal | = | Single-vehicle crash with animal | - | = | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |

While these flagged estimates do exist, in general, most estimates are felt to be stable and usable in analysis. Many of the small sample estimates are for "unknown severity" conditions, where the officer either failed to code the injury level or simple coded it as "injured" without a specific level provided. As noted earlier, these categories are not likely to be used very often in subsequent analyses.

## Suggestions for Handling Flagged Estimates

There are at least four alternative "corrections" a user could consider when a pertinent cost estimate is flagged for sample size or as an outlier or questionable combined-severity estimate.

1. Use the small sample estimate as is. There may be cases where, even though a given estimate is flagged as having a small sample size, the estimate may appear sound. This decision can be based on study of costs within the same crash type or similar crash types. For example, while the sixthrow comprehensive-cost estimate of $\$ 4,015$ for a no-injury intersection-related pedestrian crash with a speed limit of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ ( $50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ ) or greater is only based on five observations and is coded red, it is not greatly different from the comprehensive-cost estimate of $\$ 2,831$ for the same type crash in a nonintersection location shown in the fifteenth row of the table. If so, the user might then decide that the first estimate is suitable for use.
2. Substitute an estimate from a similar category. Using the same example as above, the user might decide that the estimate the no-injury nonintersection pedestrian crash with a speed limit of 80 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}(50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h})$ or greater could be substituted for the small sample estimate for the comparable intersection-related crash.
3. Use the "combined speed limit" estimate from the same level. If using crash costs at a level where speed limit categories are important, a flagged crash cost and its companion cost (i.e., same crash type but at the other speed limit) can be replaced with the same estimate where speed limits are combined. For example, both the first and sixth-row speed limit based estimates for no-injury intersection-related pedestrian crashes could be replace with the estimate for the same crash type where speed limits are combined (e.g., \$5,432 in this case—not shown in this table, but found in the appendix A, table 2 entitled "Level 1 without speed limit").
4. Use the "next-level" cost estimate. If the user is trying to use the combined speed limit costs or feels that substituting for cost is not preferable, the user could decide to use the next higher level cost in all analyses (e.g., moving from a Level 1 to a Level 2 or Level 3 cost), since the higher levels will have larger sample sizes and fewer outliers.

Finally, it might appear that a fifth option would be for researchers to develop a customized cost specific analysis using a weighted combination of estimates provided. This should not be done. To combine different estimates (e.g., combine a K estimate and an A estimate into a $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{A}$ estimate), it is necessary to weight the individual estimates by the national estimates of the number of applicable crashes in each cell. The sample sizes provided in the output under "Observ" represent the number of raw cases in the NASS files used to develop the estimate provided. (See appendix A.) They do not represent the extrapolation of this raw frequency into a national estimate. (Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation (PIRE) used the extrapolated national estimates in developing the combined estimates in the appendix tables.)

## GUIDANCE ON THE USE OF CRASH COST ESTIMATES

The preceding two sections provided a discussion of possible issues with the cost estimates developed and guidance related to how some of these issues might be overcome. This section provides some limited general guidance on the use of crash cost estimates in safety studies.

## Comprehensive versus Human Capital (Economic) Cost Estimates

As noted earlier, both comprehensive and human capital cost estimates are provided in the accompanying tables. Comprehensive cost estimates include not only the monetary losses associated with medical care, other resources used, and lost work, but also nonmonetary costs related to the reduction in the quality of life. Since human capital costs do not capture the full burden of injury, comprehensive costs are generally used in analyses related to not only safety issues, but also other public health issues (e.g., effects of the environment on health) and by other nontransportation federal and State agencies. Thus, it is recommended that the comprehensive cost estimates provided in the tables be used.

## Choice of Cost "Level"

By developing six different levels of crash-cost estimates, this study has provided future users with a significant amount of flexibility in what crash-cost estimates to use in a given study. As described above, a component of the decision concerning which level of estimate to use will be the stability of the cost estimates for the crash types being studies-whether or not there are a large number of "flagged" estimates.
However, the most important determinate of the cost level to be used is the size of the crash data samples under study, more specifically, the number of fatal and serious-injury crashes available for study. If the analysis involves a national sample of data (e.g., an analysis using multiple years of FARS or GES data), then it may be possible to use the more detailed crash-cost estimates where each fatal crash is assigned a cost (i.e., Level 1estimates). However, in most safety studies, the number of fatal crashes in a given analysis "cell" (e.g., a specific type of crash at signalized intersections) is limited, and often the presence of one of two additional fatal crashes can greatly inflate the cost for the entire cell and disproportionately affect the economic results of the study. Hall (1998) noted in crashcost research conducted for New Mexico that not only are such fatalities somewhat "random" in any crash sample, but that the main factors determining whether an injury is a fatality rather than a severe injury are not very likely to be affected by roadway-related treatments. ${ }^{(42)}$ They are more likely to be related to occupant age, restraint use, type and size of vehicles involved, etc. He therefore argues that such small numbers of fatalities should not be allowed to affect decisions on roadway-based treatments such as those often of interest to FHWA.
In summary, the decision concerning which level of cost estimate to use in a given study will have to be made by the researcher after review based on the nature of the data-specifically the number of fatal crashes in the data set-and the stability of the comprehensive cost estimates provided for the types of crashes under study. Generally, researchers should use the highest (least detailed) cost level possible that can still provide information on the study question of interest. For example, the research information needed may require that specific crash types be analyzed, but may not require categorization by speed limit. Other studies may not require categorization by crash type, and available sample sizes of fatal crashes may allow the use of crash-cost for each KABCO severity level (i.e., as in Level 5 estimates).

## Modifying Crash Cost Estimates for Specific Years

The cost estimates developed in this study use 2001 dollars. If human capital crash costs are required for another year, the recommended adjustment procedure is to multiply the human capital costs provided in the tables by a ratio of the Consumer Price Index (CPI)—all items (CPI) for the year of interest divided by the CPI for 2001. ${ }^{(42)}$ If comprehensive crash costs are required for another year, a two-step process is recommended. First, the human capital portion of each unit cost is adjusted as described above. Then, the difference between the comprehensive cost and the human capital cost for a given unit crash cost should be multiplied by a ratio similar to that for human capital costs. However, instead of using the CPI, one should use the Employment Cost Index, not seasonally adjusted, total compensation, total private industry. ${ }^{(43)}$ Adding the two components yields updated comprehensive costs. This procedure should provide adequate cost estimates for roughly 5 years or until the next major DOT update of unit crash cost data and methods.

## APPENDIX A: CRASH TYPES AND COST LEVELS TABLES

Based on the past work by Miller et al., the needs of the red-light camera evaluation effort, and projected needs in future FHWA safety studies, the decision was made to estimate human capital and comprehensive costs for each of the following 22 geometry categories. ${ }^{(1,6,8)}$ The geometry names used in the output tables are shown in parentheses.

1. Single-vehicle struck human, at intersection (sv, ped, int).
2. Single-vehicle struck human, not at intersection (sv, ped, n-int).
3. Single-vehicle struck animal (sv, animal).
4. Single-vehicle struck object (sv, object).
5. Single-vehicle struck parked vehicle (sv, prkveh).
6. Single-vehicle rolled over (sv, rollover).
7. Multiple vehicles cross paths at signal (mcp, sig).
8. Multiple vehicles cross paths at sign (mcp, sign).
9. Multiple vehicles cross paths no signage (mcp, nosgn).
10. Multiple vehicles cross paths unspecified (mcp, unk).
11. Multiple vehicles rear-end at all locations (re-all locations).
12. Multiple vehicles rear-end at intersection with no/unknown signage (re-unk int).
13. Multiple vehicles rear-end at signed intersection (re-signed int).
14. Multiple vehicles, rear-end at signalized intersection (re-signl int).
15. Multiple vehicles rear-end, no intersection (re-no int).
16. Multiple vehicles sideswipe (ss).
17. Multiple vehicles, opposite direction not at intersection (ho, n-int).
18. Multiple vehicles, opposite direction at signalized intersection (ho, sig).
19. Multiple vehicles, opposite direction at signed intersection (ho, sign).
20. Multiple vehicles, opposite direction no/unknown signage (ho, unksgn).
21. Multiple vehicles backing (backing).
22. Undefined crash type (undefined).

In the original analyses, multiple vehicle, cross-path categories 7,8 , and 9 above were further categorized by turning maneuver-"both vehicles straight," "one turn right," "one turn left," or "unknown direction." These were later combined into the larger categories due to sample size.
Note that some of the rows in the table are coded ' S ', ‘ I ', or ' N ' under the code column. All three codes are included as "flags" to the user that these estimates are felt to be less accurate than estimates in other rows.

The ' $S$ ' coded rows indicate estimates that were derived from small sample sizes. The 'I' coded rows indicate what are felt to be "illogical values" or "outliers" in the data-cells with ample sample sizes, but where the cost for a given injury level is an outlier when compared with either other costs within the same crash type (e.g., a B+C cost that is greater than an A-injury cost for a given crash type), or when compared to costs of different crash types at the same injury level (e.g., a no-injury cost that is much greater than all other no-injury costs). These illogical estimates were identified by looking at patterns of costs in similar severity levels or crash types. Since it was not possible to examine each
illogical finding in detail, they were flagged for the user's benefit. Again, suggestions for dealing with these are found in the next section.

In addition, there are crash types in the NASS data used to develop these estimates where no fatal crashes were present. For example, if the comparable "Level 1 w SL" table had been presented here, the user would note the absence of a crash cost estimate for fatal crashes within the Type 3, singlevehicle animal crashes. No such fatal crash existed in the NASS data used to develop these estimates. All combined estimates (e.g., $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{K}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{K}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}$ ) with no fatal component are coded ' N ' in the tables.

Table 2. Level 1 by speed limits

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
Code S = $\begin{aligned} & \text { Derived from } \\ & \text { small sample }\end{aligned}$
Code I = Illogical values or outliers in data.

Observ = Observations
Conf. Interval $=$ Confidence Interval

St. Err. $=$ Standard Error

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \text { Sample size too small to calculate or the lower } \\
& \text { bound of the confidence interval was below zero. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Code $\mathrm{N}=$ Combined estimate

Table 2. Level 1 by speed limits-continued

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$


Table 2. Level 1 by speed limits-continued

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

| Code S | $=$ | Derived from small sample. | Injsev | $=$ | Injury severity |  | Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | $=$ | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | = | Observations | - | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $=$ | Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. | $=$ | Standard Error |  |  |

Table 2. Level 1 by speed limits-continued

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

| Code S | Derived from small sample. | Injsev | $=$ | Injury severity |  | $=$ Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | = | Observations | - | $=$ Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. | $=$ | Standard Error |  |  |

Table 2. Level 1 by speed limits-continued

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

| Code S |  | Derived from small sample. | Injsev |  | Injury severity |  | Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | $=$ | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | $=$ | Observations | - | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $=$ | Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. | $=$ | Standard Error |  |  |

Table 2. Level 1 by speed limits-continued

|  | Code |  | Crash geometry | Speed limit (mi/h) | Maximum injsev in crash | Maximum injsev code | Observ |  | Mean <br> human <br> pital cost <br> er crash | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  | Mean comprehensive cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 | ho, sig | <=45 | B or C | 1.5 | 18 | \$ | 67,648 | 9,797 | 48,252 | 87,043 | \$ | 119,622 | 11,151 | 97,545 | 141,700 |
|  | S | 18 | ho, sig | >=50 | B or C | 1.5 | 2 | \$ | 19,761 | - | - | - | \$ | 29,181 | - | - | - |
|  | S | 18 | ho, sig | <=45 | A | 3 | 8 | \$ | 141,744 | - | - | - | \$ | 239,933 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 18 | ho, sig | >=50 | A | 3 | 12 | \$ | 204,874 | 45,975 | 113,856 | 295,893 | \$ | 360,354 | 130,323 | 102,345 | 618,363 |
|  | S | 18 | ho, sig | <=45 | Unknown | 9 | 2 | \$ | 14,384 | - | - | - | \$ | 23,033 | - | - | - |
|  | S | 18 | ho, sig | >=50 | Unknown | 9 | 1 | \$ | 44,909 | - | - | - | \$ | 75,386 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 19 | ho, sign | <=45 | No injury | 0 | 10 | \$ | 4,793 | 407 | 3,987 | 5,599 | \$ | 4,806 | 399 | 4,017 | 5,596 |
|  | S | 19 | ho, sign | >=50 | No injury | 0 | 4 | \$ | 5,027 | - | - | - | \$ | 6,169 | - | - | - |
|  | S | 19 | ho, sign | <=45 | B or C | 1.5 | 3 | \$ | 20,809 | - | - | - | \$ | 27,351 | - | - | - |
|  | S | 19 | ho, sign | >=50 | $B$ or C | 1.5 | 7 | \$ | 44,684 | - | - | - | \$ | 74,466 | - | - | - |
|  | S | 19 | ho, sign | <=45 | A | 3 | 4 | \$ | 43,138 | - | - | - | \$ | 73,751 | - | - | - |
|  | S | 19 | ho, sign | >=50 | A | 3 | 8 | \$ | 100,465 | - | - | - | \$ | 259,822 | - | - | - |
|  | S | 19 | ho, sign | >=50 | K | 4 | 2 | \$ | 1,734,700 | - | - | - | \$ | 5,495,375 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 20 | ho, unksgn | <=45 | No injury | 0 | 29 | \$ | 7,000 | 4,060 | - | 15,037 | \$ | 10,110 | 7,031 | - | 24,030 |
|  | S | 20 | ho, unksgn | >=50 | No injury | 0 | 6 | \$ | 4,738 | - | - | - | \$ | 4,738 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 20 | ho, unksgn | <=45 | B or C | 1.5 | 14 | \$ | 22,311 | 8,526 | 5,431 | 39,191 | \$ | 33,767 | 14,933 | 4,204 | 63,330 |
|  |  | 20 | ho, unksgn | >=50 | B or C | 1.5 | 3 | \$ | 38,747 | - | - | - | \$ | 72,630 | - | - | - |
|  | S | 20 | ho, unksgn | <=45 | A | 3 | 8 | \$ | 67,464 | - | - | - | \$ | 121,628 | - | - | - |
|  | S | 20 | ho, unksgn | <=45 | Unknown | 9 | 2 | \$ | 15,264 | - | - | - | \$ | 24,292 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 21 | backing | <=45 | No injury | 0 | 11 | \$ | 4,579 | 548 | 3,495 | 5,663 | \$ | 4,579 | 548 | 3,495 | 5,663 |
|  | S | 21 | backing | <=45 | B or C | 1.5 | 2 | \$ | 35,485 | - | - | - | \$ | 68,936 | - | - | - |
| $\pm$ | S | 21 | backing | < $=45$ | A | 3 | 1 | \$ | 12,654 | - | - | - | \$ | 16,172 | - | - | - |
|  | S | 21 | backing | <=45 | Unknown | 9 | 1 | \$ | 14,506 | - | - | - | \$ | 23,154 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 22 | undefined | <=45 | No injury | 0 | 735 | \$ | 5,193 | 1,539 | 2,147 | 8,240 | \$ | 6,386 | 2,323 | 1,787 | 10,985 |
|  |  | 22 | undefined | >=50 | No injury | 0 | 304 | \$ | 3,617 | 262 | 3,098 | 4,135 | \$ | 3,826 | 330 | 3,172 | 4,479 |
|  |  | 22 | undefined | <=45 | B or C | 1.5 | 794 | \$ | 32,737 | 3,914 | 24,989 | 40,485 | \$ | 62,752 | 9,564 | 43,818 | 81,687 |
|  |  | 22 | undefined | >=50 | $B$ or C | 1.5 | 261 | \$ | 30,933 | 4,466 | 22,091 | 39,775 | \$ | 54,777 | 8,173 | 38,595 | 70,958 |
|  |  | 22 | undefined | <=45 | A | 3 | 680 | \$ | 100,526 | 9,455 | 81,807 | 119,244 | \$ | 197,468 | 19,478 | 158,906 | 236,029 |
|  |  | 22 | undefined | >=50 | A | 3 | 309 | \$ | 165,327 | 21,138 | 123,479 | 207,175 | \$ | 300,201 | 39,200 | 222,593 | 377,808 |
|  |  | 22 | undefined | <=45 | K | 4 | 24 | \$ | 1,055,005 | 28,556 | 998,471 | 1,111,538 | \$ | 3,459,892 | 49,940 | 3,361,024 | 3,558,761 |
|  |  | 22 | undefined | >=50 | K | 4 | 42 | \$ | 1,395,316 | 83,640 | 1,229,727 | 1,560,904 | \$ | 4,537,653 | 254,912 | 4,032,989 | 5,042,318 |
|  |  | 22 | undefined | <=45 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 39 | \$ | 30,476 | 4,280 | 22,002 | 38,951 | \$ | 57,595 | 10,398 | 37,009 | 78,180 |
|  | S | 22 | undefined | >=50 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 3 | \$ | 59,349 | - | - | - | \$ | 117,523 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 22 | undefined | < $=45$ | Unknown | 9 | 200 | \$ | 13,341 | 684 | 11,986 | 14,696 | \$ | 22,856 | 1,216 | 20,450 | 25,263 |
|  |  | 22 | undefined | >=50 | Unknown | 9 | 18 | \$ | 13,196 | 1,904 | 9,427 | 16,965 | \$ | 21,671 | 3,747 | 14,254 | 29,089 |

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

| Code S |  | Derived from small sample. | Injsev | $=$ | Injury severity |  | Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | = | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | = | Observations | - | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $=$ | Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. | $=$ | Standard Error |  |  |

Table 3. Level 1 without speed limits


Table 3. Level 1 without speed limits-continued

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

| Code S |  | Derived from small sample. | Injsev | $=$ | Injury severity |  | Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | $=$ | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | $=$ | Observations | - | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $=$ | Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. | = | Standard Error |  |  |

Table 3. Level 1 without speed limits-continued

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

| Code S | $=$ | Derived from small sample. | Injsev |  | Injury severity |  | $=$ | Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | $=$ | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | $=$ | Observations | - |  | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $=$ | Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. | $=$ | Standard Error |  |  |  |

Table 3. Level 1 without speed limits-continued

| Code S |  | Derived from small sample. | Injsev |  | Injury severity |  | = | Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | $=$ | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | $=$ | Observations | - |  | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $=$ | Combined estimate with no fatal compo | St. Err. | $=$ | Standard Error |  |  |  |

Table 4. Level 2 by speed limits

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

| Code S | Derived from <br> small sample. | Injsev | $=$ Injury severity | Conf. Interval | $=$ | Confidence Interval |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Code I | $=$Illogical values or <br> outliers in data. | Observ | $=$ | Observations | - | $=$Sample size too small to calculate or the lower <br> bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |

Code $\mathrm{N}=$ Combined estimate
St. Err. = Standard Error

Table 4. Level 2 by speed limits-continued

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

| Code S |  | Derived from small sample. | Injsev |  | Injury severity |  | = | Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | $=$ | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | $=$ | Observations | - |  | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $=$ | Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. | $=$ | Standard Error |  |  |  |

Table 4. Level 2 by speed limits-continued

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

| Code S | Derived from small sample. | Injsev | $=$ | Injury severity |  | $=$ Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | = | Observations | - | $=$ Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. | $=$ | Standard Error |  |  |

Table 4. Level 2 by speed limits-continued

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

| Code S | Derived from small sample. | Injsev | $=$ | Injury severity |  | $=$ Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | = | Observations | - | $=$ Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. | $=$ | Standard Error |  |  |

Table 4. Level 2 by speed limits-continued

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

| Code S |  | Derived from small sample. | Injsev | $=$ | Injury severity | Conf. Interval | $=$ | Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I |  | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | $=$ | Observations | - | $=$ | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N |  | Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. | $=$ | Standard Error |  |  |  |

Table 4. Level 2 by speed limits-continued

| Code |  | Crash geometry | Speed limit (mi/h) | Maximum injsev in crash | Maximum injsev code | Observ | Mean human capital cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  | Mean comprehensive cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 22 | undefined | >=50 | K or A | 3.5 | 351 | \$ | 405,324 | 81,478 | 244,018 | 566,630 | \$ | 1,127,019 | 258,416 | 615,417 | 1,638,621 |
|  | 22 | undefined | $<=45$ | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 39 | \$ | 30,476 | 4,280 | 22,002 | 38,951 | \$ | 57,595 | 10,398 | 37,009 | 78,180 |
| S | 22 | undefined | >=50 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 3 | \$ | 59,349 | - | - | - | \$ | 117,523 | - | - | - |
|  | 22 | undefined | $<=45$ | Unknown | 9 | 200 | \$ | 13,341 | 684 | 11,986 | 14,696 | \$ | 22,856 | 1,216 | 20,450 | 25,263 |
|  | 22 | undefined | $>=50$ | Unknown | 9 | 18 | \$ | 13,196 | 1,904 | 9,427 | 16,965 | \$ | 21,671 | 3,747 | 14,254 | 29,089 |


|  |  |  |  | $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code S | $=$ Derived from small sample. | Injsev | $=$ Injury severity | Conf. Interval | $=$ | Confidence Interval |
| Code I | $\begin{aligned} &= \text { Illogical values or } \\ & \text { outliers in data. } \end{aligned}$ | Observ | $=$ Observations | - |  | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $\begin{aligned} = & \text { Combined estimate } \\ & \text { with no fatal component. } \end{aligned}$ | St. Err. | $=$ Standard Error |  |  |  |

Table 5. Level 2 without speed limits


Table 5. Level 2 without speed limits—continued

|  | Code |  | Crash geometry | Maximum injsev in crash | Maximum injsev code | Observ | Mean human capital cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  | Mean comprehensive cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 9 | mcp, nosgn | B or C | 1.5 | 262 | \$ | 27,698 | 3,620 | 20,532 | 34,865 | \$ | 47,758 | 7,595 | 32,721 | 62,795 |
|  |  | 9 | mcp, nosgn | K or A | 3.5 | 231 | \$ | 178,055 | 26,434 | 125,722 | 230,388 | \$ | 393,709 | 57,834 | 279,211 | 508,207 |
|  | S | 9 | mcp, nosgn | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 7 | \$ | 110,650 | - | - | - | \$ | 279,770 | - | - | - |
|  | S | 9 | mcp, nosgn | Unknown | 9 | 9 | \$ | 27,860 | - | - | - | \$ | 49,825 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 10 | mcp, unk | No injury | 0 | 756 | \$ | 5,025 | 251 | 4,529 | 5,521 | \$ | 5,322 | 371 | 4,588 | 6,055 |
|  |  | 10 | mcp, unk | B or C | 1.5 | 298 | \$ | 41,000 | 8,487 | 24,198 | 57,802 | \$ | 72,951 | 16,103 | 41,069 | 104,832 |
|  |  | 10 | mcp, unk | K or A | 3.5 | 135 | \$ | 235,333 | 124,107 | - | 481,036 | \$ | 657,610 | 401,759 | - | 1,452,998 |
|  | S | 10 | mcp, unk | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 7 | \$ | 48,360 | - | - | - | \$ | 93,037 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 10 | mcp, unk | Unknown | 9 | 31 | \$ | 16,585 | 1,413 | 13,789 | 19,381 | \$ | 27,316 | 2,851 | 21,671 | 32,961 |
|  |  | 11 | re-all locations | No injury | 0 | 2958 | \$ | 8,230 | 1,046 | 6,160 | 10,301 | \$ | 9,651 | 1,505 | 6,672 | 12,631 |
|  |  | 11 | re-all locations | B or C | 1.5 | 2172 | \$ | 32,708 | 6,125 | 20,582 | 44,834 | \$ | 49,701 | 9,271 | 31,347 | 68,054 |
|  |  | 11 | re-all locations | K or A | 3.5 | 1021 | \$ | 130,557 | 24,538 | 81,978 | 179,136 | \$ | 344,032 | 69,989 | 205,470 | 482,595 |
|  |  | 11 | re-all locations | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 30 | \$ | 33,948 | 4,640 | 24,763 | 43,134 | \$ | 64,194 | 10,434 | 43,538 | 84,850 |
|  |  | 11 | re-all locations | Unknown | 9 | 229 | \$ | 18,120 | 1,266 | 15,614 | 20,625 | \$ | 28,880 | 2,209 | 24,506 | 33,253 |
|  |  | 12 | re-unk int | No injury | 0 | 532 | \$ | 6,997 | 1,941 | 3,154 | 10,841 | \$ | 7,735 | 2,226 | 3,328 | 12,142 |
|  |  | 12 | re-unk int | B or C | 1.5 | 416 | \$ | 50,583 | 12,393 | 26,048 | 75,118 | \$ | 65,390 | 13,047 | 39,559 | 91,221 |
|  |  | 12 | re-unk int | K or A | 3.5 | 143 | \$ | 168,235 | 55,178 | 58,995 | 277,475 | \$ | 431,562 | 185,747 | 63,827 | 799,296 |
|  | S | 12 | re-unk int | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 7 | \$ | 29,389 | - | - | - | \$ | 51,224 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 12 | re-unk int | Unknown | 9 | 41 | \$ | 19,369 | 1,418 | 16,561 | 22,177 | \$ | 31,709 | 2,745 | 26,276 | 37,143 |
|  |  | 13 | re - signed int | No injury | 0 | 117 | \$ | 10,325 | 3,437 | 3,520 | 17,130 | \$ | 11,990 | 4,482 | 3,117 | 20,863 |
|  |  | 13 | re - signed int | B or C | 1.5 | 64 | \$ | 21,767 | 3,829 | 14,186 | 29,348 | \$ | 38,978 | 12,123 | 14,978 | 62,978 |
|  | N | 13 | re - signed int | K or A | 3.5 | 29 | \$ | 75,825 | 15,849 | 44,448 | 107,201 | \$ | 136,469 | 33,137 | 70,865 | 202,073 |
| ف | S | 13 | re - signed int | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 2 | \$ | 45,197 | , | - | , | \$ | 129,348 | , | -865 | , |
|  | S | 13 | re - signed int | Unknown | 9 | 7 | \$ | 13,737 | - | - | - | \$ | 20,755 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 14 | re - signl int | No injury | 0 | 634 | \$ | 8,165 | 1,706 | 4,788 | 11,541 | \$ | 9,919 | 2,460 | 5,048 | 14,790 |
|  |  | 14 | re - signl int | B or C | 1.5 | 584 | \$ | 23,070 | 3,275 | 16,587 | 29,554 | \$ | 36,170 | 5,986 | 24,318 | 48,021 |
|  |  | 14 | re - signl int | K or A | 3.5 | 218 | \$ | 60,813 | 15,202 | 30,717 | 90,909 | \$ | 134,821 | 41,745 | 52,176 | 217,466 |
|  | S | 14 | re - signl int | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 8 | \$ | 29,525 | - | - | - | \$ | 48,564 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 14 | re - signl int | Unknown | 9 | 52 | \$ | 16,493 | 1,683 | 13,161 | 19,826 | \$ | 27,448 | 4,109 | 19,313 | 35,583 |
|  |  | 15 | re - no int | No injury | 0 | 1675 | \$ | 8,020 | 1,433 | 5,184 | 10,857 | \$ | 9,447 | 2,109 | 5,273 | 13,622 |
|  |  | 15 | re - no int | B or C | 1.5 | 1108 | \$ | 26,205 | 5,975 | 14,376 | 38,033 | \$ | 45,321 | 14,558 | 16,499 | 74,142 |
|  |  | 15 | re - no int | K or A | 3.5 | 631 | \$ | 155,885 | 38,259 | 80,142 | 231,628 | \$ | 423,697 | 110,143 | 205,641 | 641,753 |
|  |  | 15 | re - no int | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 13 | \$ | 36,478 | 8,007 | 20,626 | 52,331 | \$ | 70,278 | 16,573 | 37,468 | 103,088 |
|  |  | 15 | re - no int | Unknown | 9 | 129 | \$ | 19,191 | 1,840 | 15,548 | 22,834 | \$ | 29,724 | 3,097 | 23,592 | 35,856 |
|  |  | 16 | SS | No injury | 0 | 2341 | \$ | 5,490 | 222 | 5,050 | 5,930 | \$ | 5,905 | 300 | 5,311 | 6,498 |
|  |  | 16 | SS | B or C | 1.5 | 1100 | \$ | 35,037 | 4,669 | 25,793 | 44,281 | \$ | 62,075 | 8,707 | 44,838 | 79,313 |
|  |  | 16 | SS | K or A | 3.5 | 1050 | \$ | 163,818 | 33,960 | 96,585 | 231,050 | \$ | 428,393 | 96,749 | 236,854 | 619,933 |
|  |  | 16 | Ss | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 20 | \$ | 57,389 | 16,033 | 25,648 | 89,129 | \$ | 104,279 | 30,930 | 43,046 | 165,512 |
|  |  | 16 | ss | Unknown | 9 | 195 | \$ | 15,325 | 843 | 13,656 | 16,995 | \$ | 23,820 | 1,058 | 21,726 | 25,914 |

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$


Table 5. Level 2 without speed limits—continued

| Code |  | Crash geometry | Maximum injsev in crash | Maximum injsev code | Observ | Mean human capital cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  | Mean comprehensive cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 17 | ho, n-int | No injury | 0 | 80 | \$ | 3,543 | 403 | 2,745 | 4,341 | \$ | 3,703 | 446 | 2,819 | 4,587 |
|  | 17 | ho, n-int | B or C | 1.5 | 107 | \$ | 44,037 | 6,435 | 31,297 | 56,777 | \$ | 74,719 | 12,115 | 50,735 | 98,703 |
|  | 17 | ho, n-int | K or A | 3.5 | 432 | \$ | 558,183 | 65,796 | 427,923 | 688,444 | \$ | 1,547,300 | 206,716 | 1,138,052 | 1,956,548 |
| S | 17 | ho, n-int | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 3 | \$ | 36,258 | - | - | - | \$ | 64,704 | - | - | - |
| S | 17 | ho, n-int | Unknown | 9 | 5 | \$ | 9,876 | - | - | - | \$ | 15,000 | - | - | - |
|  | 18 | ho, sig | No injury | 0 | 44 | \$ | 4,934 | 271 | 4,397 | 5,470 | \$ | 4,980 | 276 | 4,433 | 5,527 |
|  | 18 | ho, sig | B or C | 1.5 | 20 | \$ | 36,567 | 13,544 | 9,753 | 63,382 | \$ | 60,922 | 24,775 | 11,875 | 109,970 |
| N | 18 | ho, sig | K or A | 3.5 | 20 | \$ | 182,970 | 29,693 | 124,184 | 241,755 | \$ | 318,572 | 78,070 | 164,012 | 473,131 |
| S | 18 | ho, sig | Unknown | 9 | 3 | \$ | 15,813 | - | - | - | \$ | 25,483 | - | - | - |
|  | 19 | ho, sign | No injury | 0 | 14 | \$ | 4,870 | 787 | 3,312 | 6,429 | \$ | 5,256 | 1,075 | 3,128 | 7,385 |
|  | 19 | ho, sign | B or C | 1.5 | 10 | \$ | 37,405 | 8,530 | 20,518 | 54,292 | \$ | 60,103 | 16,669 | 27,102 | 93,104 |
|  | 19 | ho, sign | K or A | 3.5 | 14 | \$ | 141,193 | 27,499 | 86,752 | 195,635 | \$ | 389,188 | 83,302 | 224,271 | 554,106 |
|  | 20 | ho, unksgn | No injury | 0 | 35 | \$ | 6,478 | 3,104 | 334 | 12,622 | \$ | 8,870 | 5,375 | - | 19,512 |
|  | 20 | ho, unksgn | B or C | 1.5 | 17 | \$ | 23,300 | 8,641 | 6,193 | 40,407 | \$ | 36,106 | 15,529 | 5,361 | 66,850 |
| S | 20 | ho, unksgn | K or A | 3.5 | 8 | \$ | 67,464 | - | - | - | \$ | 121,628 | - | - | - |
| S | 20 | ho, unksgn | Unknown | 9 | 2 | \$ | 15,264 | - | - | - | \$ | 24,292 | - | - | - |
|  | 21 | backing | No injury | 0 | 11 | \$ | 4,579 | 548 | 3,495 | 5,663 | \$ | 4,579 | 548 | 3,495 | 5,663 |
| S | 21 | backing | B or C | 1.5 | 2 | \$ | 35,485 | - | - | - | \$ | 68,936 | - | - | - |
| S | 21 | backing | K or A | 3.5 | 1 | \$ | 12,654 | - | - | - | \$ | 16,172 | - | - | - |
| S | 21 | backing | Unknown | 9 | 1 | \$ | 14,506 | - | - | - | \$ | 23,154 | - | - | - |
|  | 22 | undefined | No injury | 0 | 1041 | \$ | 4,010 | 268 | 3,480 | 4,540 | \$ | 4,463 | 423 | 3,626 | 5,300 |
|  | 22 | undefined | B or C | 1.5 | 1055 | \$ | 31,516 | 3,424 | 24,738 | 38,294 | \$ | 57,354 | 6,476 | 44,532 | 70,176 |
|  | 22 | undefined | K or A | 3.5 | 1057 | \$ | 369,312 | 66,870 | 236,926 | 501,698 | \$ | 1,029,205 | 210,245 | 612,970 | 1,445,441 |
|  | 22 | undefined | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 42 | \$ | 32,550 | 4,279 | 24,078 | 41,022 | \$ | 61,899 | 10,297 | 41,513 | 82,285 |
|  | 22 | undefined | Unknown | 9 | 218 | \$ | 13,333 | 636 | 12,074 | 14,591 | \$ | 22,790 | 1,115 | 20,583 | 24,997 |

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

| Code S |  | Derived from small sample. | Injsev | $=$ | Injury severity |  | Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | = | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | = | Observations | - | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $=$ | Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. | $=$ | Standard Error |  |  |

Table 6. Level 3A by speed limits

|  | Code |  | Crash geometry | Speed limit (mi/h) | Maximum injsev in crash | Maximum injsev code | Observ |  | Mean uman ital cost crash | Std. Err. | [95\% Con | erval] |  |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Co | erval] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | sv, ped, int | <=45 | No injury | 0 | 31 | \$ | 8,512 | 997 | 6,537 | 10,486 | \$ | 10,249 | 1,408 | 7,461 | $13,036$ |
|  | S | 1 | sv, ped, int | >=50 | No injury | 0 | 5 | \$ | 3,672 | - | - | - | \$ | 4,015 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1 | sv, ped, int | < $=45$ | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 916 | \$ | 75,967 | 8,246 | 59,643 | 92,291 | \$ | 169,090 | 20,584 | 128,340 | 209,841 |
|  |  | 1 | sv, ped, int | >=50 | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 52 | \$ | 87,023 | 32,842 | 22,003 | 152,043 | \$ | 183,461 | 72,718 | 39,496 | 327,426 |
|  |  | 1 | sv, ped, int | < $=45$ | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 53 | \$ | 67,342 | 22,127 | 23,536 | 111,149 | \$ | 129,418 | 42,249 | 45,774 | 213,061 |
|  | S | 1 | sv, ped, int | $>=50$ | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 2 | \$ | 61,573 | - | - | - | \$ | 146,281 | - | - | - |
|  | S | 1 | sv, ped, int | <=45 | Unknown | 9 | 4 | \$ | 14,386 | - | - | - | \$ | 22,841 | - | - | - |
|  | I | 2 | sv, ped,n-int | < $=45$ | No injury | 0 | 33 | \$ | 28,370 | 18,026 | - | 64,059 | \$ | 40,428 | 27,351 | - | 94,577 |
|  |  | 2 | sv, ped,n-int | >=50 | No injury | 0 | 18 | \$ | 2,797 | 145 | 2,509 | 3,085 | \$ | 2,831 | 175 | 2,484 | 3,178 |
|  |  | 2 | sv, ped,n-int | <=45 | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 1454 | \$ | 122,306 | 8,019 | 106,429 | 138,182 | \$ | 320,581 | 25,583 | 269,933 | 371,228 |
|  |  | 2 | sv, ped,n-int | >=50 | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 175 | \$ | 144,192 | 32,144 | 80,554 | 207,829 | \$ | 402,358 | 102,159 | 200,108 | 604,608 |
|  |  | 2 | sv, ped,n-int | $<=45$ | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 59 | \$ | 26,089 | 8,056 | 10,139 | 42,039 | \$ | 42,107 | 14,891 | 12,627 | 71,587 |
|  | S | 2 | sv, ped, n -int | >=50 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 3 | \$ | 36,790 | - | - 7,5 | - | \$ | 65,026 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2 | sv, ped,n-int | < $=45$ | Unknown | 9 | 25 | \$ | 24,427 | 8,511 | 7,578 | 41,276 | \$ | 35,189 | 10,607 | 14,190 | 56,189 |
|  | S | 2 | sv, ped,n-int | >=50 | Unknown | 9 | 2 | \$ | 12,423 | - | - | - | \$ | 18,224 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 3 | sv, animal | $<=45$ | No injury | 0 | 10 | \$ | 2,617 | - | 2,617 | 2,617 | \$ | 2,617 | - | 2,617 | 2,617 |
|  |  | 3 | sv, animal | $>=50$ | No injury | 0 | 61 | \$ | 4,904 | 2,047 | 852 | 8,956 | \$ | 5,619 | 2,661 | 351 | 10,887 |
|  | S | 3 | sv, animal | <=45 | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 6 | \$ | 44,585 | - | - | - | \$ | 90,943 | - | - | - |
|  | N | 3 | sv, animal | >=50 | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 38 | \$ | 31,122 | 7,390 | 16,491 | 45,752 | \$ | 61,341 | 16,437 | 28,800 | 93,881 |
|  |  | 4 | sv, object | <=45 | No injury | 0 | 608 | \$ | 4,835 | 1,016 | 2,825 | 6,846 | \$ | 5,721 | 1,195 | 3,355 | 8,087 |
|  |  | 4 | sv, object | >=50 | No injury | 0 | 618 | \$ | 4,513 | 298 | 3,923 | 5,104 | \$ | 5,565 | 428 | 4,718 | 6,413 |
| $\omega$ |  | 4 | sv, object | < $=45$ | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 1219 | \$ | 81,907 | 7,631 | 66,800 | 97,014 | \$ | 202,918 | 23,472 | 156,450 | 249,386 |
| $\infty$ |  | 4 | sv, object | >=50 | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 2405 | \$ | 98,810 | 14,095 | 70,905 | 126,716 | \$ | 246,235 | 35,535 | 175,884 | 316,587 |
|  | S | 4 | sv, object | <=45 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 9 | \$ | 19,267 | - | - | - | \$ | 33,034 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 4 | sv, object | >=50 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 21 | \$ | 9,205 | 1,629 | 5,980 | 12,430 | \$ | 14,358 | 3,114 | 8,193 | 20,522 |
|  |  | 4 | sv, object | <=45 | Unknown | 9 | 74 | \$ | 12,992 | 744 | 11,520 | 14,465 | \$ | 22,521 | 1,332 | 19,884 | 25,158 |
|  |  | 4 | sv, object | $>=50$ | Unknown | 9 | 25 | \$ | 11,690 | 213 | 11,268 | 12,112 | \$ | 20,024 | 389 | 19,253 | 20,794 |
|  |  | 5 | sv, prkveh | <=45 | No injury | 0 | 161 | \$ | 3,438 | 278 | 2,887 | 3,988 | \$ | 3,738 | 407 | 2,932 | 4,544 |
|  |  | 5 | sv, prkveh | >=50 | No injury | 0 | 25 | \$ | 5,288 | 462 | 4,373 | 6,203 | \$ | 6,223 | 1,364 | 3,523 | 8,923 |
|  |  | 5 | sv, prkveh | <=45 | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 126 | \$ | 29,325 | 7,848 | 13,788 | 44,862 | \$ | 57,980 | 18,768 | 20,823 | 95,137 |
|  |  | 5 | sv, prkveh | >=50 | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 89 | \$ | 79,984 | 21,027 | 38,355 | 121,614 | \$ | 214,511 | 68,242 | 79,408 | 349,615 |
|  | S | 5 | sv, prkveh | <=45 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 5 | \$ | 13,616 | , | - | , | \$ | 17,840 | - | - | - |
|  | S | 5 | sv, prkveh | >=50 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 3 | \$ | 28,688 | - | - | - | \$ | 57,388 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 5 | sv, prkveh | <=45 | Unknown | 9 | 44 | \$ | 11,941 | 137 | 11,669 | 12,213 | \$ | 20,581 | 249 | 20,089 | 21,073 |
|  |  | 6 | sv, rollover | $<=45$ | No injury | 0 | 31 | \$ | 6,940 | 806 | 5,343 | 8,536 | \$ | 9,697 | 1,398 | 6,930 | 12,464 |
|  |  | 6 | sv, rollover | $>=50$ | No injury | 0 | 89 | \$ | 8,798 | 3,583 | 1,705 | 15,892 | \$ | 13,526 | 5,772 | 2,098 | 24,954 |
|  |  | 6 | sv, rollover | $<=45$ | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 298 | \$ | 66,485 | 13,011 | 40,726 | 92,244 | \$ | 160,218 | 40,791 | 79,461 | 240,975 |
|  |  | 6 | sv, rollover | $>=50$ | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 822 | \$ | 135,611 | 17,335 | 101,292 | 169,930 | \$ | 366,821 | 56,319 | 255,322 | 478,319 |



Table 6. Level 3A by speed limits-continued

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$


Table 6. Level 3A by speed limits-continued

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

| Code S | Derived from small sample. | Injsev | $=$ | Injury severity |  | $=$ Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | = | Observations | - | $=$ Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. | $=$ | Standard Error |  |  |

Table 6. Level 3A by speed limits-continued

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

| Code S |  | Derived from small sample. | Injsev | $=$ | Injury severity |  | Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | $=$ | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | $=$ | Observations | - | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $=$ | Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. | = | Standard Error |  |  |

Table 6. Level 3A by speed limits-continued
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc} \\ & & & & & & & \text { Mean } \\ \text { human }\end{array}\right)$

| Code S |  | Derived from small sample. | Injsev |  | Injury severity |  | Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | $=$ | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | $=$ | Observations | - | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $=$ | Combined estimate with no fatal compo | St. Err. | $=$ | Standard Error |  |  |

Table 7. Level 3A without speed limits


[^2]Code S $=$| Derived from |
| :--- |
| small sample. |

Code I = Illogical values or outliers in data.

Observ = Observations

Code $\mathrm{N}=$ Combined estimate
St. Err. = Standard Error
$\qquad$ - $\quad=$ Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero.
Conf. Interval = Confidence Interval

Table 7. Level 3A without speed limits-continued

| Code |  | Crash geometry | Maximum injsev in crash | Maximum injsev code | Observ | Mean human capital cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  | Mean comprehensive cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 11 | re-all locations | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 3193 | \$ | 39,642 | 6,451 | 26,870 | 52,413 | \$ | 70,557 | 11,994 | 46,813 | 94,302 |
|  | 11 | re-all locations | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 30 | \$ | 33,948 | 4,640 | 24,763 | 43,134 | \$ | 64,194 | 10,434 | 43,538 | 84,850 |
|  | 11 | re-all locations | Unknown | 9 | 229 | \$ | 18,120 | 1,266 | 15,614 | 20,625 | \$ | 28,880 | 2,209 | 24,506 | 33,253 |
|  | 12 | re-unk int | No injury | 0 | 532 | \$ | 6,997 | 1,941 | 3,154 | 10,841 | \$ | 7,735 | 2,226 | 3,328 | 12,142 |
|  | 12 | re-unk int | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 559 | \$ | 52,829 | 11,822 | 29,423 | 76,235 | \$ | 72,379 | 12,052 | 48,518 | 96,240 |
| S | 12 | re-unk int | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 7 | \$ | 29,389 | 11,822 | , | , | \$ | 51,224 | 12,052 | , | - |
|  | 12 | re-unk int | Unknown | 9 | 41 | \$ | 19,369 | 1,418 | 16,561 | 22,177 | \$ | 31,709 | 2,745 | 26,276 | 37,143 |
|  | 13 | re - signed int | No injury | 0 | 117 | \$ | 10,325 | 3,437 | 3,520 | 17,130 | \$ | 11,990 | 4,482 | 3,117 | 20,863 |
| N | 13 | re - signed int | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 93 | \$ | 28,297 | 5,897 | 16,622 | 39,972 | \$ | 50,755 | 15,973 | 19,132 | 82,378 |
| S | 13 | re - signed int | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 2 | \$ | 45,197 | - | - | - | \$ | 129,348 | - | - | - |
| S | 13 | re - signed int | Unknown | 9 | 7 | \$ | 13,737 | - | - | - | \$ | 20,755 | - | - | - |
|  | 14 | re - signl int | No injury | 0 | 634 | \$ | 8,165 | 1,706 | 4,788 | 11,541 | \$ | 9,919 | 2,460 | 5,048 | 14,790 |
|  | 14 | re - signl int | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 802 | \$ | 27,687 | 3,648 | 20,465 | 34,909 | \$ | 48,236 | 8,422 | 31,562 | 64,909 |
| S | 14 | re - signl int | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 8 | \$ | 29,525 | - | - | - | \$ | 48,564 | - | - | - |
|  | 14 | re - signl int | Unknown | 9 | 52 | \$ | 16,493 | 1,683 | 13,161 | 19,826 | \$ | 27,448 | 4,109 | 19,313 | 35,583 |
|  | 15 | re - no int | No injury | 0 | 1675 | \$ | 8,020 | 1,433 | 5,184 | 10,857 | \$ | 9,447 | 2,109 | 5,273 | 13,622 |
|  | 15 | re - no int | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 1739 | \$ | 36,716 | 8,729 | 19,434 | 53,998 | \$ | 75,990 | 23,076 | 30,306 | 121,675 |
|  | 15 | re - no int | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 13 | \$ | 36,478 | 8,007 | 20,626 | 52,331 | \$ | 70,278 | 16,573 | 37,468 | 103,088 |
|  | 15 | re - no int | Unknown | 9 | 129 | \$ | 19,191 | 1,840 | 15,548 | 22,834 | \$ | 29,724 | 3,097 | 23,592 | 35,856 |
|  | 16 | ss | No injury | 0 | 2341 | \$ | 5,490 | 222 | 5,050 | 5,930 | \$ | 5,905 | 300 | 5,311 | 6,498 |
|  | 16 | Ss | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 2150 | \$ | 61,848 | 6,715 | 48,553 | 75,142 | \$ | 138,339 | 16,816 | 105,048 | 171,630 |
|  | 16 | SS | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 20 | \$ | 57,389 | 16,033 | 25,648 | 89,129 | \$ | 104,279 | 30,930 | 43,046 | 165,512 |
|  | 16 | SS | Unknown | 9 | 195 | \$ | 15,325 | 843 | 13,656 | 16,995 | \$ | 23,820 | 1,058 | 21,726 | 25,914 |
|  | 17 | ho, n-int | No injury | 0 | 80 | \$ | 3,543 | 403 | 2,745 | 4,341 | \$ | 3,703 | 446 | 2,819 | 4,587 |
|  | 17 | ho, n-int | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 539 | \$ | 283,845 | 41,386 | 201,910 | 365,780 | \$ | 761,559 | 117,293 | 529,346 | 993,771 |
| S | 17 | ho, n-int | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 3 | \$ | 36,258 | - | - | , | \$ | 64,704 | - | - | - |
| S | 17 | ho, n-int | Unknown | 9 | 5 | \$ | 9,876 | - | - | - | \$ | 15,000 | - | - | - |
|  | 18 | ho, sig | No injury | 0 | 44 | \$ | 4,934 | 271 | 4,397 | 5,470 | \$ | 4,980 | 276 | 4,433 | 5,527 |
| N | 18 | ho, sig | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 40 | \$ | 76,590 | 31,203 | 14,816 | 138,364 | \$ | 131,356 | 55,993 | 20,504 | 242,209 |
| S | 18 | ho, sig | Unknown | 9 | 3 | \$ | 15,813 | - | - | - | \$ | 25,483 | - 1,075 | - | - |
|  | 19 | ho, sign | No injury | 0 | 14 | \$ | 4,870 | 787 | 3,312 | 6,429 | \$ | 5,256 | 1,075 | 3,128 | 7,385 |
|  | 19 | ho, sign | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 24 | \$ | 54,426 | 15,577 | 23,586 | 85,266 | \$ | 114,072 | 43,990 | 26,982 | 201,162 |
|  | 20 | ho, unksgn | No injury | 0 | 35 | \$ | 6,478 | 3,104 | 334 | 12,622 | \$ | 8,870 | 5,375 | - | 19,512 |
|  | 20 | ho, unksgn | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 25 | \$ | 34,786 | 12,633 | 9,776 | 59,796 | \$ | 58,347 | 23,901 | 11,028 | 105,666 |
| S | 20 | ho, unksgn | Unknown | 9 | 2 | \$ | 15,264 | - | - | , | \$ | 24,292 | , | - | - |
|  | 21 | backing | No injury | 0 | 11 | \$ | 4,579 | 548 | 3,495 | 5,663 | \$ | 4,579 | 548 | 3,495 | 5,663 |
| S | 21 | backing | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 3 | \$ | 29,008 | - | - | - | \$ | 53,966 | - | - | - |
| S | 21 | backing | Unknown | 9 | 1 | \$ | 14,506 | - | - | - | \$ | 23,154 | - | - | - |
|  | 22 | undefined | No injury | 0 | 1041 | \$ | 4,010 | 268 | 3,480 | 4,540 | \$ | 4,463 | 423 | 3,626 | 5,300 |


| Code S |  | Derived from small sample. | Injsev |  | Injury severity |  | $=$ | Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | $=$ | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | $=$ | Observations | - |  | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $=$ | Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. |  | Standard Error |  |  |  |

## Table 7. Level 3A without speed limits-continued

| Code | Crash geometry | $\underset{\text { in crash }}{\text { Maximum injsev }}$ | Maximum injsev code | Observ | Mean human capital cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  | Mean comprehensive cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | undefined | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | 2112 | \$ | 121,590 | 29,936 | 62,324 | 180,857 | \$ | 316,501 | 89,655 | 139,006 | 493,997 |
| 22 | undefined | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 42 | \$ | 32,550 | 4,279 | 24,078 | 41,022 | \$ | 61,899 | 10,297 | 41,513 | 82,285 |
| 22 | undefined | Unknown | 9 | 218 | \$ | 13,333 | 636 | 12,074 | 14,591 | \$ | 22,790 | 1,115 | 20,583 | 24,997 |


| Code S |  | Derived from small sample. | Injsev |  | Injury severity |  | = | Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | $=$ | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | $=$ | Observations | - |  | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $=$ | Combined estimate with no fatal compo | St. Err. | $=$ | Standard Error |  |  |  |

Table 8. Level 3B by speed limits

| Code |  | Crash geometry | Speed limit (mi/h) | Maximum injsev in crash | Maximum injsev code | Observ | Mean human capital cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  | Mean comprehensive cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | sv, ped, int | <=45 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 260 | \$ | 23,506 | 4,700 | 14,202 | 32,809 | \$ | 37,829 | 8,191 | 21,614 | 54,045 |
|  | 1 | sv, ped, int | >=50 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 18 | \$ | 12,033 | 2,291 | 7,497 | 16,568 | \$ | 18,253 | 3,920 | 10,493 | 26,013 |
|  | 1 | sv, ped, int | <=45 | K/A/B | 3 | 687 | \$ | 103,147 | 9,232 | 84,871 | 121,424 | \$ | 237,532 | 24,589 | 188,852 | 286,212 |
|  | 1 | sv, ped, int | >=50 | K/A/B | 3 | 39 | \$ | 157,464 | 52,158 | 54,203 | 260,725 | \$ | 340,672 | 118,613 | 105,846 | 575,497 |
|  | 1 | sv, ped, int | <=45 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 53 | \$ | 67,342 | 22,127 | 23,536 | 111,149 | \$ | 129,418 | 42,249 | 45,774 | 213,061 |
| S | 1 | sv, ped, int | >=50 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 2 | \$ | 61,573 | - | - | - | \$ | 146,281 | - | - | - |
| S | 1 | sv, ped, int | <=45 | Unknown | 9 | 4 | \$ | 14,386 | - | - | - | \$ | 22,841 | - | - | - |
|  | 2 | sv, ped, n-int | <=45 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 303 | \$ | 28,864 | 3,707 | 21,525 | 36,203 | \$ | 50,680 | 7,457 | 35,918 | 65,442 |
|  | 2 | sv, ped, n-int | >=50 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 32 | \$ | 18,435 | 7,776 | 3,040 | 33,830 | \$ | 32,422 | 15,703 | 1,334 | 63,510 |
|  | 2 | sv, ped, n-int | <=45 | K/A/B | 3 | 1184 | \$ | 156,307 | 10,751 | 135,023 | 177,592 | \$ | 418,747 | 34,254 | 350,933 | 486,562 |
|  | 2 | sv, ped, n-int | >=50 | K/A/B | 3 | 161 | \$ | 211,462 | 44,317 | 123,725 | 299,199 | \$ | 612,370 | 145,036 | 325,234 | 899,507 |
|  | 2 | sv, ped, n-int | <=45 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 59 | \$ | 26,089 | 8,056 | 10,139 | 42,039 | \$ | 42,107 | 14,891 | 12,627 | 71,587 |
| S | 2 | sv, ped, n-int | >=50 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 3 | \$ | 36,790 | - | - | - | \$ | 65,026 | - | - | - |
|  | 2 | sv, ped, n-int | <=45 | Unknown | 9 | 25 | \$ | 24,427 | 8,511 | 7,578 | 41,276 | \$ | 35,189 | 10,607 | 14,190 | 56,189 |
| S | 2 | sv, ped, n-int | >=50 | Unknown | 9 | 2 | \$ | 12,423 | - | - | - | \$ | 18,224 | - | - | - |
|  | 3 | sv, animal | <=45 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 11 | \$ | 2,687 | 72 | 2,544 | 2,829 | \$ | 2,719 | 105 | 2,511 | 2,928 |
|  | 3 | sv, animal | >=50 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 68 | \$ | 4,964 | 2,002 | 1,000 | 8,928 | \$ | 5,716 | 2,603 | 562 | 10,870 |
| S | 3 | sv, animal | <=45 | K/A/B | 3 | 5 | \$ | 64,229 | - | - | - | \$ | 134,391 | - | - | - |
| N | 3 | sv, animal | >=50 | K/A/B | 3 | 31 | \$ | 43,794 | 9,483 | 25,021 | 62,567 | \$ | 89,348 | 20,511 | 48,741 | 129,955 |
|  | 4 | sv, object | <=45 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 776 | \$ | 8,743 | 1,231 | 6,305 | 11,180 | \$ | 12,806 | 1,832 | 9,179 | 16,433 |
|  | 4 | sv, object | >=50 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 933 | \$ | 8,918 | 969 | 6,999 | 10,837 | \$ | 14,586 | 1,940 | 10,746 | 18,426 |
|  | 4 | sv, object | <=45 | K/A/B | 3 | 1051 | \$ | 109,100 | 11,129 | 87,068 | 131,132 | \$ | 281,968 | 33,326 | 215,989 | 347,946 |
|  | 4 | sv, object | >=50 | K/A/B | 3 | 2090 | \$ | 140,416 | 12,972 | 114,734 | 166,099 | \$ | 358,218 | 33,272 | 292,347 | 424,090 |
| S | 4 | sv, object | <=45 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 9 | \$ | 19,267 | - | - | - | \$ | 33,034 | - | , | - |
|  | 4 | sv, object | >=50 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 21 | \$ | 9,205 | 1,629 | 5,980 | 12,430 | \$ | 14,358 | 3,114 | 8,193 | 20,522 |
|  | 4 | sv, object | <=45 | Unknown | 9 | 74 | \$ | 12,992 | 744 | 11,520 | 14,465 | \$ | 22,521 | 1,332 | 19,884 | 25,158 |
|  | 4 | sv, object | >=50 | Unknown | 9 | 25 | \$ | 11,690 | 213 | 11,268 | 12,112 | \$ | 20,024 | 389 | 19,253 | 20,794 |
|  | 5 | sv, prkveh | <=45 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 182 | \$ | 4,056 | 414 | 3,236 | 4,876 | \$ | 4,718 | 629 | 3,473 | 5,964 |
|  | 5 | sv, prkveh | >=50 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 39 | \$ | 5,803 | 622 | 4,572 | 7,035 | \$ | 6,881 | 1,481 | 3,949 | 9,813 |
|  | 5 | sv, prkveh | <=45 | K/A/B | 3 | 105 | \$ | 33,106 | 10,180 | 12,952 | 53,260 | \$ | 68,390 | 24,479 | 19,927 | 116,853 |
|  | 5 | sv, prkveh | >=50 | K/A/B | 3 | 75 | \$ | 107,488 | 29,462 | 49,161 | 165,815 | \$ | 298,505 | 95,514 | 109,410 | 487,600 |
| S | 5 | sv, prkveh | <=45 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 5 | \$ | 13,616 | - | - | - | \$ | 17,840 | - | - | - |
| S | 5 | sv, prkveh | >=50 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 3 | \$ | 28,688 | - | - | - | \$ | 57,388 | - | - | - |
|  | 5 | sv, prkveh | <=45 | Unknown | 9 | 44 | \$ | 11,941 | 137 | 11,669 | 12,213 | \$ | 20,581 | 249 | 20,089 | 21,073 |
|  | 6 | sv , rollover | <=45 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 62 | \$ | 33,760 | 6,018 | 21,846 | 45,673 | \$ | 60,195 | 10,558 | 39,293 | 81,097 |
|  | 6 | sv, rollover | >=50 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 173 | \$ | 12,133 | 2,551 | 7,082 | 17,185 | \$ | 19,816 | 4,014 | 11,870 | 27,762 |
|  | 6 | sv, rollover | <=45 | K/A/B | 3 | 267 | \$ | 70,505 | 15,828 | 39,169 | 101,841 | \$ | 175,585 | 49,362 | 77,860 | 273,310 |
|  | 6 | sv, rollover | >=50 | K/A/B | 3 | 738 | \$ | 166,177 | 21,872 | 122,875 | 209,479 | \$ | 454,443 | 70,180 | 315,503 | 593,382 |



Table 8. Level 3B by speed limits-continued

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

| Code S |  | Derived from small sample. | Injsev |  | Injury severity |  |  | Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | $=$ | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | $=$ | Observations | - |  | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $=$ | Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. |  | Standard Error |  |  |  |

Table 8. Level 3B by speed limits-continued

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$


Table 8. Level 3B by speed limits-continued

| Code |  | Crash geometry | Speed limit (mi/h) | Maximum injsev in crash | Maximum injsev code | Observ | Mean human capital cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  | Mean comprehensive cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 16 | ss | <=45 | K/A/B | 3 | 603 | \$ | 58,815 | 5,185 | 48,551 | 69,080 | \$ | 117,422 | 15,568 | 86,601 | 148,243 |
|  | 16 | ss | $>=50$ | K/A/B | 3 | 921 | \$ | 106,755 | 13,125 | 80,771 | 132,738 | \$ | 259,439 | 35,590 | 188,979 | 329,899 |
|  | 16 | Ss | < $=45$ | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 13 | \$ | 93,418 | 21,175 | 51,495 | 135,340 | \$ | 173,762 | 41,739 | 91,129 | 256,396 |
|  | 16 | ss | >=50 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 7 | \$ | 34,884 | - | - | - | \$ | 60,877 | - | - | - |
|  | 16 | ss | $<=45$ | Unknown | 9 | 134 | \$ | 16,110 | 1,092 | 13,948 | 18,272 | \$ | 24,956 | 1,132 | 22,716 | 27,197 |
|  | 16 | ss | >=50 | Unknown | 9 | 61 | \$ | 13,978 | 915 | 12,167 | 15,789 | \$ | 21,870 | 1,763 | 18,381 | 25,360 |
|  | 17 | ho, n-int | <=45 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 78 | \$ | 7,806 | 2,167 | 3,517 | 12,095 | \$ | 10,412 | 3,438 | 3,605 | 17,219 |
|  | 17 | ho, n-int | >=50 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 50 | \$ | 12,845 | 3,003 | 6,899 | 18,790 | \$ | 19,617 | 5,304 | 9,117 | 30,117 |
|  | 17 | ho, n-int | <=45 | K/A/B | 3 | 113 | \$ | 110,682 | 32,619 | 46,103 | 175,260 | \$ | 250,217 | 84,076 | 83,766 | 416,669 |
|  | 17 | ho, n-int | $>=50$ | K/A/B | 3 | 376 | \$ | 482,960 | 55,063 | 373,950 | 591,971 | \$ | 1,331,706 | 174,575 | 986,090 | 1,677,322 |
| S | 17 | ho, n-int | >=50 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 3 | \$ | 36,258 |  | - | - | \$ | 64,704 | - | - | - |
| S | 17 | ho, n-int | <=45 | Unknown | 9 | 2 | \$ | 5,560 | - | - | - | \$ | 5,984 | - | - | - |
| S | 17 | ho, n-int | $>=50$ | Unknown | 9 | 3 | \$ | 13,001 | - | - | - | \$ | 21,528 | - | - | - |
|  | 18 | ho, sig | $<=45$ | No injury or C | 0.5 | 52 | \$ | 5,977 | 895 | 4,206 | 7,749 | \$ | 7,083 | 1,956 | 3,211 | 10,955 |
| S | 18 | ho, sig | >=50 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 3 | \$ | 13,171 | - | - | - | \$ | 18,824 | - | - | - |
| N | 18 | ho, sig | $<=45$ | K/A/B | 3 | 16 | \$ | 104,522 | 23,312 | 58,370 | 150,673 | \$ | 174,272 | 41,100 | 92,904 | 255,640 |
| N | 18 | ho, sig | $>=50$ | K/A/B | 3 | 13 | \$ | 198,547 | 43,255 | 112,913 | 284,182 | \$ | 349,486 | 122,653 | 106,663 | 592,309 |
| S | 18 | ho, sig | $<=45$ | Unknown | 9 | 2 | \$ | 14,384 | - | - | - | \$ | 23,033 | - | - | - |
| S | 18 | ho, sig | $>=50$ | Unknown | 9 | 1 | \$ | 44,909 | - | - | - | \$ | 75,386 | - | - | - |
|  | 19 | ho, sign | $<=45$ | No injury or C | 0.5 | 11 | \$ | 7,825 | 3,091 | 1,705 | 13,945 | \$ | 9,055 | 4,398 | 348 | 17,761 |
| S | 19 | ho, sign | >=50 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 6 | \$ | 21,699 | , | - | - | \$ | 32,452 | - | - | - |
| S | 19 | ho, sign | $<=45$ | K/A/B | 3 | 6 | \$ | 33,259 | - | - | - | \$ | 55,484 | - | - | - |
| N | 19 | ho, sign | >=50 | K/A/B | 3 | 15 | \$ | 115,538 | 42,498 | 31,401 | 199,675 | \$ | 306,343 | 135,457 | 38,171 | 574,515 |
|  | 20 | ho, unksgn | <=45 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 36 | \$ | 7,768 | 4,051 | - | 15,789 | \$ | 11,189 | 6,966 | - | 24,980 |
| S | 20 | ho, unksgn | >=50 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 8 | \$ | 4,904 | - | - | - | \$ | 4,984 | - | - | - |
|  | 20 | ho, unksgn | $<=45$ | K/A/B | 3 | 15 | \$ | 34,915 | 15,144 | 4,934 | 64,895 | \$ | 59,390 | 29,049 | 1,880 | 116,900 |
| S | 20 | ho, unksgn | $>=50$ | K/A/B | 3 | 1 | \$ | 67,108 | - | - | - | \$ | 134,010 | - | - | - |
| S | 20 | ho, unksgn | $<=45$ | Unknown | 9 | 2 | \$ | 15,264 | - | - | - | \$ | 24,292 | - | - | - |
|  | 21 | backing | < $=45$ | No injury or C | 0.5 | 13 | \$ | 7,162 | 1,356 | 4,479 | 9,846 | \$ | 9,958 | 3,073 | 3,874 | 16,042 |
| S | 21 | backing | $<=45$ | K/A/B | 3 | 1 | \$ | 12,654 | - | - | - | \$ | 16,172 | - | - | - |
| S | 21 | backing | <=45 | Unknown | 9 | 1 | \$ | 14,506 | - | - | - | \$ | 23,154 | - | - | - |
|  | 22 | undefined | <=45 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 1055 | \$ | 9,023 | 1,611 | 5,835 | 12,212 | \$ | 14,104 | 2,866 | 8,431 | 19,778 |
|  | 22 | undefined | >=50 | No injury or C | 0.5 | 419 | \$ | 6,533 | 1,288 | 3,983 | 9,084 | \$ | 9,043 | 2,281 | 4,527 | 13,558 |
|  | 22 | undefined | $<=45$ | K/A/B | 3 | 1178 | \$ | 110,116 | 16,014 | 78,412 | 141,820 | \$ | 291,023 | 51,484 | 189,097 | 392,949 |
|  | 22 | undefined | >=50 | K/A/B | 3 | 497 | \$ | 198,685 | 58,631 | 82,610 | 314,760 | \$ | 535,779 | 176,517 | 186,318 | 885,241 |
|  | 22 | undefined | <=45 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 39 | \$ | 30,476 | 4,280 | 22,002 | 38,951 | \$ | 57,595 | 10,398 | 37,009 | 78,180 |
| S | 22 | undefined | >=50 | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 3 | \$ | 59,349 | - | - | - | \$ | 117,523 | - | - | - |
|  | 22 | undefined | < $=45$ | Unknown | 9 | 200 | \$ | 13,341 | 684 | 11,986 | 14,696 | \$ | 22,856 | 1,216 | 20,450 | 25,263 |

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

| Code S | Derived from small sample. | Injsev | $=$ | Injury severity |  | $=$ Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | = | Observations | - | $=$ Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. | $=$ | Standard Error |  |  |

## Table 8. Level 3B by speed limits-continued



|  |  |  |  | $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code S | $=\begin{aligned} & \text { Derived from } \\ & \text { small sample }\end{aligned}$ small sample. | Injsev | $=$ Injury severity | Conf. Interval | $=$ | Confidence Interval |
| Code I | $=\begin{aligned} & \text { Illogical values or } \\ & \text { outliers in data. }\end{aligned}$ | Observ | $=$ Observations | - |  | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $=$ Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. | $=$ Standard Error |  |  |  |

Table 9. Level 3B without speed limits


|  |  |  |  |  | $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |  | $50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code S | $\begin{aligned} &= \text { Derived from } \\ & \text { small sample. } \end{aligned}$ | Injsev |  | Injury severity | Conf. Interval | = | Confidence Interval |
| Code I | $\begin{aligned} &= \text { Illogical values or } \\ & \text { outliers in data. } \end{aligned}$ | Observ |  | Observations | - |  | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $\begin{aligned} &= \text { Combined estimate } \\ & \text { with no fatal component. } \end{aligned}$ | St. Err. | $=$ | Standard Error |  |  |  |

Table 9. Level 3B without speed limits-continued

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

| Code S |  | Derived from small sample. | Injsev | $=$ | Injury severity |  | Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code I | $=$ | Illogical values or outliers in data. | Observ | $=$ | Observations | - | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $=$ | Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. | = | Standard Error |  |  |

## Table 9. Level 3B without speed limits-continued

| Code | Crash geometry | Maximum injsev in crash | Maximum injsev code | Observ | Mean human capital cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  | Mean comprehensive cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | undefined | K/A/B | 3 | 1677 | \$ | 173,905 | 42,818 | 89,135 | 258,674 | \$ | 466,928 | 128,957 | 211,624 | 722,232 |
| 22 | undefined | Injured, sev unk | 5 | 42 | \$ | 32,550 | 4,279 | 24,078 | 41,022 | \$ | 61,899 | 10,297 | 41,513 | 82,285 |
| 22 | undefined | Unknown | 9 | 218 | \$ | 13,333 | 636 | 12,074 | 14,591 | \$ | 22,790 | 1,115 | 20,583 | 24,997 |



Table 10. Level 4 by speed limits

| Code |  | Crash geometry | Speed limit (mph) | Observ | Mean human capital cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  | Mean comprehensive cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | sv, ped, int | <=45 | 1004 | \$ | 73,887 | 7,844 | 58,357 | 89,416 | \$ | 164,029 | 19,570 | 125,285 | 202,774 |
|  | 1 | sv, ped, int | $>=50$ | 59 | \$ | 70,493 | 24,849 | 21,299 | 119,687 | \$ | 148,326 | 54,685 | 40,062 | 256,590 |
|  | 2 | sv, ped, n-int | <=45 | 1571 | \$ | 114,529 | 7,774 | 99,137 | 129,920 | \$ | 297,917 | 24,330 | 249,750 | 346,085 |
|  | 2 | sv, ped, n-int | >=50 | 198 | \$ | 99,255 | 20,711 | 58,253 | 140,258 | \$ | 275,162 | 66,241 | 144,022 | 406,303 |
| N | 3 | sv, animal | $<=45$ | 16 | \$ | 3,959 | 1,010 | 1,959 | 5,959 | \$ | 5,441 | 2,398 | 693 | 10,190 |
| N | 3 | sv, animal | >=50 | 99 | \$ | 6,033 | 2,071 | 1,933 | 10,132 | \$ | 8,018 | 2,905 | 2,268 | 13,768 |
|  | 4 | sv, object | $<=45$ | 1910 | \$ | 29,201 | 4,288 | 20,712 | 37,691 | \$ | 67,353 | 11,517 | 44,552 | 90,154 |
|  | 4 | sv, object | >=50 | 3069 | \$ | 44,461 | 9,795 | 25,069 | 63,852 | \$ | 107,423 | 24,691 | 58,541 | 156,305 |
|  | 5 | sv, prkveh | < $=45$ | 336 | \$ | 8,763 | 948 | 6,887 | 10,639 | \$ | 14,580 | 1,960 | 10,700 | 18,460 |
|  | 5 | sv, prkveh | >=50 | 117 | \$ | 15,681 | 5,167 | 5,452 | 25,911 | \$ | 35,123 | 15,281 | 4,871 | 65,375 |
|  | 6 | sv, rollover | <=45 | 335 | \$ | 61,500 | 11,960 | 37,822 | 85,178 | \$ | 147,629 | 37,400 | 73,586 | 221,672 |
|  | 6 | sv, rollover | >=50 | 917 | \$ | 96,174 | 14,925 | 66,625 | 125,723 | \$ | 255,916 | 48,527 | 159,844 | 351,988 |
|  | 7 | mcp, sig | <=45 | 2081 | \$ | 14,351 | 1,844 | 10,699 | 18,002 | \$ | 21,863 | 3,119 | 15,688 | 28,039 |
|  | 7 | mcp, sig | >=50 | 1879 | \$ | 35,114 | 3,106 | 28,965 | 41,263 | \$ | 75,197 | 7,747 | 59,859 | 90,535 |
|  | 8 | mcp, sign | $<=45$ | 1632 | \$ | 18,655 | 2,710 | 13,290 | 24,019 | \$ | 32,559 | 5,873 | 20,932 | 44,185 |
|  | 8 | mcp, sign | >=50 | 1061 | \$ | 43,646 | 10,571 | 22,718 | 64,574 | \$ | 96,942 | 25,619 | 46,222 | 147,663 |
| N | 9 | mcp, nosgn | $<=45$ | 491 | \$ | 12,268 | 2,551 | 7,217 | 17,319 | \$ | 18,862 | 5,096 | 8,774 | 28,950 |
|  | 9 | mcp, nosgn | >=50 | 330 | \$ | 21,325 | 4,367 | 12,681 | 29,970 | \$ | 40,190 | 10,008 | 20,376 | 60,003 |
| N | 10 | mcp, unk | $<=45$ | 1095 | \$ | 19,141 | 2,284 | 14,619 | 23,663 | \$ | 32,191 | 4,366 | 23,548 | 40,834 |
|  | 10 | mcp, unk | >=50 | 132 | \$ | 48,607 | 22,310 | 4,438 | 92,775 | \$ | 120,308 | 70,448 | - | 259,777 |
|  | 11 | re-all locations | $<=45$ | 3754 | \$ | 16,648 | 2,623 | 11,455 | 21,842 | \$ | 22,664 | 2,873 | 16,976 | 28,352 |
|  | 11 | re-all locations | >=50 | 2649 | \$ | 21,497 | 3,768 | 14,038 | 28,957 | \$ | 38,892 | 8,886 | 21,300 | 56,483 |
|  | 12 | re-unk int | < $=45$ | 851 | \$ | 31,716 | 16,091 | - | 63,573 | \$ | 40,559 | 17,928 | 5,066 | 76,051 |
|  | 12 | re-unk int | >=50 | 287 | \$ | 34,884 | 5,136 | 24,715 | 45,052 | \$ | 50,173 | 5,573 | 39,140 | 61,205 |
| N | 13 | re - signed int | $<=45$ | 179 | \$ | 11,064 | 3,388 | 4,357 | 17,771 | \$ | 13,377 | 4,446 | 4,574 | 22,180 |
| N | 13 | re - signed int | >=50 | 40 | \$ | 6,719 | 2,146 | 2,471 | 10,967 | \$ | 10,008 | 4,027 | 2,036 | 17,980 |
| N | 14 | re - signl int | $<=45$ | 1055 | \$ | 16,184 | 2,162 | 11,904 | 20,465 | \$ | 23,872 | 3,532 | 16,879 | 30,865 |
|  | 14 | re - signl int | >=50 | 439 | \$ | 17,689 | 2,290 | 13,155 | 22,223 | \$ | 32,544 | 6,219 | 20,233 | 44,856 |
|  | 15 | re - no int | <=45 | 1669 | \$ | 13,284 | 1,789 | 9,742 | 16,827 | \$ | 18,933 | 2,510 | 13,964 | 23,903 |
|  | 15 | re - no int | >=50 | 1883 | \$ | 19,564 | 4,076 | 11,493 | 27,634 | \$ | 37,785 | 11,267 | 15,478 | 60,091 |
|  | 16 | ss | < $=45$ | 2745 | \$ | 10,628 | 1,229 | 8,195 | 13,062 | \$ | 16,019 | 2,261 | 11,543 | 20,494 |
|  | 16 | ss | >=50 | 1953 | \$ | 25,913 | 4,592 | 16,822 | 35,003 | \$ | 55,339 | 11,182 | 33,201 | 77,476 |
|  | 17 | ho, n-int | <=45 | 193 | \$ | 29,264 | 10,211 | 9,049 | 49,480 | \$ | 60,451 | 23,223 | 14,476 | 106,426 |
|  | 17 | ho, n-int | >=50 | 432 | \$ | 225,530 | 52,181 | 122,223 | 328,837 | \$ | 613,098 | 144,416 | 327,189 | 899,007 |
| N | 18 | ho, sig | $<=45$ | 70 | \$ | 10,909 | 3,196 | 4,582 | 17,236 | \$ | 15,788 | 5,874 | 4,159 | 27,417 |
| N | 18 | ho, sig | >=50 | 17 | \$ | 49,741 | 24,071 | 2,086 | 97,397 | \$ | 84,052 | 42,658 | - | 168,505 |
| N | 19 | ho, sign | $<=45$ | 17 | \$ | 9,828 | 3,254 | 3,385 | 16,271 | \$ | 12,711 | 4,722 | 3,363 | 22,060 |
|  | 19 | ho, sign | $>=50$ | 21 | \$ | 40,730 | 15,707 | 9,633 | 71,826 | \$ | 87,997 | 41,091 | 6,647 | 169,347 |
| N | 20 | ho, unksgn | $<=45$ | 53 | \$ | 12,643 | 4,565 | 3,606 | 21,680 | \$ | 19,832 | 8,028 | 3,939 | 35,725 |

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

Code S $=$| Derived from |
| :--- |
| small sample. |

Code I = Illogical values or outliers in data.

Injsev = Injury severity
Conf. Interval = Confidence Interval

Observ = Observations $\qquad$ $=$ Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero.

Code $\mathrm{N}=$ Combined estimate
St. Err. = Standard Error with no fatal component.

Table 10. Level 4 by speed limits-continued

| Code |  | Crash geometry | Speed limit <br> (mph) | Observ | Mean human capital cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  | Mean comprehensive cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 20 | ho, unksgn | >=50 | 9 | \$ | 5,998 | - | - | - | \$ | 7,253 | - | - | - |
| N | 21 | backing | <=45 | 15 | \$ | 10,165 | 2,572 | 5,073 | 15,257 | \$ | 15,283 | 4,878 | 5,626 | 24,940 |
|  | 22 | undefined | <=45 | 2472 | \$ | 16,656 | 897 | 14,880 | 18,433 | \$ | 32,236 | 2,373 | 27,538 | 36,934 |
|  | 22 | undefined | >=50 | 937 | \$ | 37,479 | 11,473 | 14,766 | 60,192 | \$ | 93,322 | 33,754 | 26,496 | 160,148 |


|  |  | $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code S | $=\begin{aligned} & \text { Derived from } \\ & \text { small sample. } \end{aligned}$ | Injsev | $=$ Injury severity | Conf. Interval | $=$ | Confidence Interval |
| Code I | $\begin{aligned} & =\text { Illogical values or } \\ & \text { outliers in data. } \end{aligned}$ | Observ | $=$ Observations | - |  | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $\begin{aligned} & =\text { Combined estimate } \\ & \text { with no fatal component. } \end{aligned}$ | St. Err. | $=$ Standard Error |  |  |  |

Table 11. Level 4 without speed limits

| Code | Crash geometry |  | Observ | Mean human capital cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  | Mean comprehensive cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | sv, ped, int | 1063 | \$ | 72,771 | 9,585 | 53,795 | 91,746 | \$ | 158,866 | 22,288 | 114,740 | 202,992 |
|  | 2 | sv, ped,n-int | 1769 | \$ | 107,816 | 11,086 | 85,868 | 129,765 | \$ | 287,917 | 34,152 | 220,304 | 355,530 |
| N | 3 | sv, animal | 115 | \$ | 5,710 | 1,785 | 2,177 | 9,243 | \$ | 7,617 | 2,526 | 2,616 | 12,617 |
|  | 4 | sv, object | 5019 | \$ | 39,569 | 7,086 | 25,541 | 53,598 | \$ | 94,669 | 18,284 | 58,471 | 130,867 |
|  | 5 | sv, prkveh | 454 | \$ | 10,573 | 1,320 | 7,959 | 13,187 | \$ | 19,964 | 3,550 | 12,935 | 26,993 |
|  | 6 | sv, rollover | 1271 | \$ | 90,932 | 13,119 | 64,960 | 116,905 | \$ | 239,721 | 42,950 | 154,690 | 324,752 |
|  | 7 | mcp , sig | 3973 | \$ | 24,260 | 2,133 | 20,036 | 28,484 | \$ | 47,333 | 5,135 | 37,167 | 57,500 |
|  | 8 | mcp, sign | 2703 | \$ | 29,741 | 4,644 | 20,547 | 38,935 | \$ | 61,114 | 11,205 | 38,932 | 83,296 |
|  | 9 | mcp, nosgn | 823 | \$ | 16,361 | 2,432 | 11,546 | 21,177 | \$ | 28,501 | 5,339 | 17,930 | 39,071 |
|  | 10 | mcp, unk | 1227 | \$ | 27,135 | 6,509 | 14,249 | 40,022 | \$ | 56,098 | 19,525 | 17,442 | 94,753 |
|  | 11 | re-all locations | 6410 | \$ | 19,002 | 2,120 | 14,805 | 23,199 | \$ | 30,544 | 3,945 | 22,735 | 38,353 |
|  | 12 | re-unk int | 1139 | \$ | 33,093 | 9,482 | 14,321 | 51,865 | \$ | 44,744 | 10,766 | 23,430 | 66,059 |
| N | 13 | re - signed int | 219 | \$ | 10,885 | 3,256 | 4,438 | 17,332 | \$ | 13,238 | 4,267 | 4,792 | 21,685 |
|  | 14 | re - signl int | 1496 | \$ | 16,675 | 1,660 | 13,388 | 19,962 | \$ | 26,735 | 3,303 | 20,195 | 33,274 |
|  | 15 | re - no int | 3556 | \$ | 17,001 | 2,130 | 12,784 | 21,218 | \$ | 30,090 | 5,641 | 18,922 | 41,259 |
|  | 16 | ss | 4706 | \$ | 17,610 | 2,033 | 13,585 | 21,636 | \$ | 34,004 | 4,803 | 24,495 | 43,514 |
|  | 17 | ho, n-int | 627 | \$ | 140,997 | 35,901 | 69,923 | 212,072 | \$ | 375,075 | 98,140 | 180,782 | 569,368 |
| N | 18 | ho, sig | 87 | \$ | 15,620 | 5,102 | 5,518 | 25,722 | \$ | 24,069 | 9,082 | 6,089 | 42,050 |
|  | 19 | ho, sign | 38 | \$ | 24,098 | 8,484 | 7,302 | 40,895 | \$ | 47,478 | 20,253 | 7,382 | 87,574 |
|  | 20 | ho, unksgn | 62 | \$ | 11,378 | 3,579 | 4,292 | 18,464 | \$ | 17,437 | 6,313 | 4,940 | 29,935 |
|  | 21 | backing | 15 | \$ | 10,165 | 2,572 | 5,073 | 15,257 | \$ | 15,283 | 4,878 | 5,626 | 24,940 |
|  | 22 | undefined | 3413 | \$ | 24,448 | 4,247 | 16,040 | 32,855 | \$ | 55,060 | 12,560 | 30,194 | 79,926 |

$45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
Conf. Interval = Confidence Interval
-
$=$ Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero.

## Table 12. Level 5 with speed limits

| Code | Maximum injsev in crash | Maximum injsev codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Speed limit } \\ (\mathbf{m i} / \mathrm{h}) \end{gathered}$ | Mean human capital cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  | Observ | Mean comprehensive cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No injury | 0 | <=45 | \$ | 6,291 | 423 | 5,454 | 7,128 | 8077 | \$ | 7,068 | 547 | 5,985 | 8,152 |
|  | No injury | 0 | $>=50$ | \$ | 6,497 | 737 | 5,039 | 7,956 | 3511 | \$ | 7,800 | 1,003 | 5,813 | 9,786 |
|  | C | 1 | $<=45$ | \$ | 27,393 | 5,760 | 15,991 | 38,796 | 3211 | \$ | 40,074 | 7,100 | 26,017 | 54,131 |
|  | C | 1 | $>=50$ | \$ | 29,401 | 2,511 | 24,430 | 34,372 | 2092 | \$ | 49,549 | 3,807 | 42,012 | 57,086 |
|  | B | 2 | $<=45$ | \$ | 35,114 | 2,695 | 29,779 | 40,449 | 2938 | \$ | 62,180 | 5,562 | 51,169 | 73,190 |
|  | B | 2 | $>=50$ | \$ | 46,464 | 6,779 | 33,043 | 59,886 | 1810 | \$ | 91,622 | 15,405 | 61,123 | 122,120 |
|  | A | 3 | $<=45$ | \$ | 101,125 | 10,682 | 79,978 | 122,272 | 4179 | \$ | 194,725 | 21,053 | 153,045 | 236,405 |
|  | A | 3 | $>=50$ | \$ | 114,414 | 10,335 | 93,953 | 134,874 | 5192 | \$ | 222,311 | 18,795 | 185,101 | 259,520 |
|  | K | 4 | $<=45$ | \$ | 1,117,167 | 30,422 | 1,056,939 | 1,177,396 | 356 | \$ | 3,622,179 | 80,996 | 3,461,826 | 3,782,533 |
|  | K | 4 | $>=50$ | \$ | 1,277,640 | 17,259 | 1,243,471 | 1,311,809 | 1010 | \$ | 4,106,620 | 50,820 | 4,006,008 | 4,207,232 |
|  | Injured, sev unk | 5 | $<=45$ | \$ | 38,344 | 4,437 | 29,559 | 47,128 | 241 | \$ | 72,002 | 9,971 | 52,262 | 91,742 |
|  | Injured, sev unk | 5 | $>=50$ | \$ | 49,624 | 14,695 | 20,531 | 78,717 | 68 | \$ | 95,368 | 29,184 | 37,590 | 153,146 |
|  | Unknown | 9 | $<=45$ | \$ | 14,577 | 385 | 13,814 | 15,340 | 788 | \$ | 23,993 | 621 | 22,763 | 25,223 |
|  | Unknown | 9 | $>=50$ | \$ | 16,027 | 1,225 | 13,600 | 18,453 | 196 | \$ | 25,735 | 2,085 | 21,608 | 29,863 |

[^3]Code $\mathrm{N}=$ Combined estimate with no fatal component.

## Table 13. Level 5 without speed limits

| Code | Maximum injsev in crash | Maximum injsev codes | Mean human capital cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  | Observ | Mean comprehensive cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No injury | 0 | \$ | 6,390 | 396 | 5,607 | 7,173 | 11605 | \$ | 7,428 | 548 | 6,342 | 8,513 |
|  | C | 1 | \$ | 28,405 | 3,143 | 22,183 | 34,626 | 5320 | \$ | 44,868 | 4,254 | 36,445 | 53,291 |
|  | B | 2 | \$ | 41,882 | 3,918 | 34,125 | 49,638 | 4757 | \$ | 79,777 | 8,636 | 62,679 | 96,874 |
|  | A | 3 | \$ | 111,376 | 9,037 | 93,486 | 129,267 | 9419 | \$ | 216,059 | 16,506 | 183,382 | 248,737 |
|  | K | 4 | \$ | 1,245,579 | 15,182 | 1,215,522 | 1,275,637 | 1378 | \$ | 4,008,885 | 45,148 | 3,919,504 | 4,098,267 |
|  | Injured, sev unk | 5 | \$ | 43,469 | 7,798 | 28,031 | 58,907 | 310 | \$ | 82,642 | 15,447 | 52,060 | 113,224 |
|  | Unknown | 9 | \$ | 14,799 | 406 | 13,995 | 15,603 | 986 | \$ | 24,248 | 668 | 22,926 | 25,570 |


|  |  |  |  |  | $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |  | $50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code S | = Derived from small sample. | Injsev | $=$ | Injury severity | Conf. Interval | = | Confidence Interval |
| Code I | $=\begin{aligned} & \text { Illogical values or } \\ & \text { outliers in data. }\end{aligned}$ | Observ | = | Observations | - | = | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $=$ Combined estimate with no fatal component. | St. Err. | = | Standard Error |  |  |  |

## Table 14. Level 6 with speed limits

| Code | Maximum injsev in crash | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maximum } \\ \text { injsev } \\ \text { codes } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Speed limit } \\ (\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}) \end{gathered}$ | Mean human capital cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  | Observ | Mean comprehensive cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No injury | 0 | <=45 | \$ | 6,291 | 423 | 5,454 | 7,128 | 8,077 | \$ | 7,068 | 547 | 5,985 | 8,152 |
|  | No injury | 0 | >=50 | \$ | 6,497 | 737 | 5,039 | 7,956 | 3,511 | \$ | 7,800 | 1,003 | 5,813 | 9,786 |
|  | C/O | 0.5 | < $=45$ | \$ | 11,040 | 1,282 | 8,501 | 13,579 | 11,288 | \$ | 14,496 | 1,482 | 11,563 | 17,430 |
|  | C/O | 0.5 | >=50 | \$ | 11,762 | 963 | 9,856 | 13,668 | 5,603 | \$ | 17,396 | 1,508 | 14,410 | 20,382 |
|  | B/C | 1.5 | < $=45$ | \$ | 29,575 | 4,634 | 20,402 | 38,748 | 6,149 | \$ | 46,321 | 6,241 | 33,966 | 58,676 |
|  | B/C | 1.5 | >=50 | \$ | 35,632 | 2,674 | 30,338 | 40,927 | 3,902 | \$ | 64,914 | 5,968 | 53,098 | 76,730 |
|  | A/B/C | 2 | $<=45$ | \$ | 36,604 | 4,700 | 27,298 | 45,910 | 10,328 | \$ | 60,900 | 7,441 | 46,169 | 75,631 |
|  | A/B/C | 2 | $>=50$ | \$ | 52,569 | 3,436 | 45,766 | 59,372 | 9,094 | \$ | 98,752 | 7,689 | 83,530 | 113,974 |
|  | K/A | 3.5 | $<=45$ | \$ | 184,538 | 21,186 | 142,595 | 226,481 | 4,535 | \$ | 476,104 | 58,473 | 360,341 | 591,867 |
|  | K/A | 3.5 | $>=50$ | \$ | 246,331 | 33,294 | 180,417 | 312,246 | 6,202 | \$ | 662,817 | 95,875 | 473,008 | 852,626 |
|  | K/A/B | 3 | $<=45$ | \$ | 79,309 | 6,048 | 67,335 | 91,283 | 7,473 | \$ | 184,605 | 17,106 | 150,739 | 218,471 |
|  | K/A/B | 3 | >=50 | \$ | 138,049 | 8,804 | 120,619 | 155,479 | 8,012 | \$ | 353,359 | 24,917 | 304,029 | 402,690 |
|  | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | $<=45$ | \$ | 46,015 | 5,864 | 34,407 | 57,624 | 10,684 | \$ | 91,917 | 12,881 | 66,415 | 117,420 |
|  | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | $>=50$ | \$ | 85,356 | 8,135 | 69,250 | 101,461 | 10,104 | \$ | 206,015 | 23,787 | 158,922 | 253,108 |
|  | Injured, sev unk | 5 | $<=45$ | \$ | 38,344 | 4,437 | 29,559 | 47,128 | 241 | \$ | 72,002 | 9,971 | 52,262 | 91,742 |
|  | Injured, sev unk | 5 | $>=50$ | \$ | 49,624 | 14,695 | 20,531 | 78,717 | 68 | \$ | 95,368 | 29,184 | 37,590 | 153,146 |
|  | Unknown | 9 | $<=45$ | \$ | 14,577 | 385 | 13,814 | 15,340 | 788 | \$ | 23,993 | 621 | 22,763 | 25,223 |
|  | Unknown | 9 | $>=50$ | \$ | 16,027 | 1,225 | 13,600 | 18,453 | 196 | \$ | 25,735 | 2,085 | 21,608 | 29,863 |


|  |  |  |  |  | $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |  | $50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code S | $=$ Derived from small sample. | Injsev | $=$ | Injury severity | Conf. Interval | $=$ | Confidence Interval |
| Code I | $\begin{aligned} & =\text { Illogical values or } \\ & \text { outliers in data. } \end{aligned}$ | Observ | $=$ | Observations | - | = | Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero. |
| Code N | $\begin{aligned} &= \text { Combined estimate } \\ & \text { with no fatal component. } \end{aligned}$ | St. Err. |  | Standard Error |  |  |  |

# Table 15. Level 6 without speed limits 

| Code | Maximum injsev in crash | Maximum injsev codes | Mean human capital cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  | Observ | Mean <br> comprehensive cost per crash |  | Std. Err. | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No injury | 0 | \$ | 6,390 | 396 | 5,607 | 7,173 | 11,605 | \$ | 7,428 | 548 | 6,342 | 8,513 |
|  | C/O | 0.5 | \$ | 11,403 | 756 | 9,906 | 12,899 | 16,925 | \$ | 15,953 | 995 | 13,983 | 17,922 |
|  | B/C | 1.5 | \$ | 32,807 | 2,658 | 27,544 | 38,071 | 10,077 | \$ | 56,272 | 4,627 | 47,111 | 65,434 |
|  | A/B/C | 2 | \$ | 45,747 | 3,267 | 39,278 | 52,216 | 19,496 | \$ | 82,588 | 6,587 | 69,547 | 95,629 |
|  | K/A | 3.5 | \$ | 232,167 | 25,876 | 180,939 | 283,395 | 10,797 | \$ | 619,988 | 73,407 | 474,659 | 765,316 |
|  | K/A/B | 3 | \$ | 118,594 | 7,632 | 103,486 | 133,703 | 15,554 | \$ | 297,561 | 22,069 | 253,869 | 341,252 |
|  | K/A/B/C | 2.5 | \$ | 68,846 | 6,694 | 55,593 | 82,099 | 20,874 | \$ | 158,177 | 18,832 | 120,894 | 195,460 |
|  | Injured, sev unk | 5 | \$ | 43,469 | 7,798 | 28,031 | 58,907 | 310 | \$ | 82,642 | 15,447 | 52,060 | 113,224 |
|  | Unknown | 9 | \$ | 14,799 | 406 | 13,995 | 15,603 | 986 | \$ | 24,248 | 668 | 22,926 | 25,570 |

[^4]Code $\mathrm{N}=$ Combined estimat with no fatal component.

## REFERENCES

1. Miller, T.R. (1997) "Societal Costs of Transportation Crashes," The Full Social Costs and Benefits of Transportation, D. Greene, D. Jones, M. Delucchi, eds., Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, Germany, pp. 281-314.
2. Zaloshnja, E., Miller, T.R., Romano, E.O., and Spicer, R.S. (2002) "Crash Costs by Body Part Injured, Fracture Involvement, and Threat-to-Life Severity," Accident Analysis and Prevention 36 (3), May 2004, pp. 415-427.
3. National Safety Council. Manual on Classification of Motor Vehicle Traffic Accidents, Fifth Edition (ANSI D-16.1-1989). National Safety Council, Itasca, IL.
4. Wang, J.S., Knipling, R.R., and Blincoe, L.J. (1999) "The Dimensions of Motor Vehicle Crash Risk." Journal of Transportation and Statistics, 2, pp.19-43.
5. Miller, T.R. and Blincoe, L.J. (1994) "Incidence and Cost of Alcohol-Involved Crashes in the United States." Accident Analysis and Prevention 26(5), October 1994, pp. 583-592.
6. Miller, T.R., Galbraith, M.S., Lestina, D.C., Schlax, T., Mabery, P., Deering, R., Massie, D., and Campbell, K. (1995) "Understanding the Harm from U.S. Motor Vehicle Crashes." 39th Proceedings, Association for the Advancement of Automotive Medicine, Des Plaines, IL, pp. 327-342.
7. Blincoe, L.J., Seay, A. G., Zaloshnja, E., Miller, T.R., Romano, E.O., Luchter, S., and Spicer, R.S. (2002). The Economic Impact of Motor Vehicle Crashes, 2000. Report DOT HS 809 446. U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Washington, DC.
8. Miller, T. R., Viner, J., Rossman, S., Pindus, N., Gellert, W., Dillingham, A., and Blomquist, G. (1991) The Costs of Highway Crashes. The Urban Institute, Washington DC.
9. Blincoe, L.J. and Faigin, B.M. (1992) The Economic Cost of Motor Vehicle Crashes, 1990. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT HS 807 876, Washington DC:.
10. O’Day, J., ed. (1993) "Accident Data Quality: A Synthesis of Highway Practice," National Cooperative Highway Research Program Synthesis 192, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, National Academy Press: Washington, DC.
11. Viner, J.G. and Conley, C. (1994) Consistency of Police Reported "Incapacitating Injuries between States. Working Paper; Federal Highway Administration.
12. Miller T.R., Whiting, B., Kragh, B., and Zegeer, C. (1987) Sensitivity of a Highway Safety Resource Allocation Model to Variations in Benefit Computation Parameters, Transportation Research Record 1124, pp. 58-65.
13. Association for the Advancement of Automotive Medicine (AAAM) (1990) The Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) 1990.Barrington, IL:
14. Association for the Advancement of Automotive Medicine (AAAM) (1985) The Abbreviated Injury (AIS) Scale 1985. Barrington, IL:.
15. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (1987) National Accident Sampling System 1984-86. Washington, DC.
16. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (2002) National Accident Sampling System Crashworthiness Data System 1999-2001. Washington, DC.
17. National Center for Health Statistics (2005) International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM). Hyattsville, MD. http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/about/otheract/icd9/abticd9.htm.
18. Lawrence, B.A., Miller, T.R., Jensen, A.F., Fisher, D. A., and Zamula, W.W. (2000). "Estimating the Costs of Nonfatal Consumer Product Injuries in the United States." Injury Control and Safety Promotion - 2000, 7(2), pp. 97-113.
19. Annest, J. L., Mercy, J.A., Gibson, D.R., and Ryan, G.W. (1995). "National Estimates of Nonfatal Firearm-Related Injuries: Beyond the tip of the iceberg." Journal of the American Medical Association, 273(22), pp. 1749-1754.
20. Miller, T.R., B Lawrence, B., Jensen, A., Waehrer, G., Spicer, R., Lestina, D., Cohen, M. (1998) Estimating the Cost to Society of Consumer Product Injuries: The Revised Injury Cost Model. U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission. Bethesda, MD.
21. Miller, T.R., Romano, E., and Spicer, R.S. (2000) "The Cost of Unintentional Childhood Injuries and the Value of Prevention," The Future of Children, p. 10,1, pp. 137-163
22. Bureau of the Census (1999) 1997 Economic Census-Vehicle Inventory and Use Survey (VIUS). U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC.
23. Department of Defense (1996). Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services 1992-1994 Reimbursement Summary Tables. Unpublished computer printout.
24. Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Health Care Policy and Research (now the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality) (1987) "National Medical Expenditure Survey (NMES)." Rockville, MD. Accessible at http://webapp.icpsr.umich.edu/cocoon/ICPSRSERIES/00045.xml (last accessed 5/9/05).
25. National Council on Compensation Insurance(NCCI) Annual Data for 1979-1987. NCCI Manuals, Boca Raton, FL.
26. Rice, D.P., MacKenzie, E.J., Jones, A.S., Kaufman, S.R., deLissovoy, G.V., Max, W., McLoughlin, E., Miller, T.R., Robertson, L.S., Salkever, D.S., and Smith, G.S. (1989). Cost of Injury in the United States: A Report to Congress. Prepared for the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
27. Miller, T.R. (1993) "Costs and Functional Consequences of U.S. Roadway Crashes." Accident Analysis and Prevention. 25(5), pp. 593-607.
28. Miller, T.R., Pindus, N.; Douglass, J.; Rossman, S. (1995) Databook on Nonfatal InjuryIncidence, Costs, and Consequences. The Urban Institute Press: Washington DC.
29. MedStat Systems Group (2003). Medstat MarketScan ${ }^{\circledR}$ Database. Ann Arbor, MI. Thomson Medstat Inc.
30. Berkowitz, M.; Harvey, C.; Greene, C.; Wilson, and S. (1993) The Economic Consequences of Spinal Cord Injury, Demos Medical Publishing, New York, NY.
31. Miller, T.R., Brigham, P., Cohen, M., Douglass, J., Galbraith, M., Lestina, D., Nelkin, V., Pindus, N., and Regojo-Smith, P. (1993). "Estimating the Costs to Society of Cigarette Fire Injuries." In Report to Congress in response to the Fire Safe Cigarette Act of 1990. (Vol. 85, pp. 932-938). Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office and Consumer Product Safety Commission.
32. National Vital Statistics Reports. (1999, 12/13/99). United States Life Tables, 1997. (Vol. 47, No. 28). National Center for Health Statistics, Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA.
33. Expectancy Data. (1999). The Dollar Value of a Day - 1997 Dollar Valuation. Shawnee Mission, KS: Expectancy Data.
34. Marquis, S. (1992). The RAND Corporation. Personal communication.
35. Gold, M. R., Siegel, J.E., Russell, L. B., and Weinstein, M. C. (Eds.). (1996). Cost-Effectiveness in Health and Medicine. Oxford University Press, New York, NY.
36. Miller, T.R. (1990, Fall). "The plausible range for the value of life: Red herrings among the mackerels." Journal of Forensic Economics, 3(3), pp. 75-89.
37. Miller, T.R. (2000). "Valuing Nonfatal Quality of Life Losses with Quality-Adjusted Life Years: The Health Economist's Meow." Journal of Forensic Economics, 13(2), pp. 145-168.
38. Mrozek, J.R., and Taylor, L.O. (2002). "What Determines the Value of Life? A Meta-Analysis." Journal of Policy Analysis and Management, 21(2), pp. 253-270.
39. Cropper, M.L., Aydede, S.K., and Portney, P.R. (1991). "Discounting Human Lives." American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 73, pp. 1410-1415.
40. Viscusi, W.K., and Moore, M.W. (1989). "Rates of Time Preference and Valuations of the Duration of Life." Journal of Public Economics, 38, pp. 297-317.
41. Hall, J.W. (1998). Economic benefit of accident reductions," Proceedings of the 68th Annual Meeting of the Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, DC: ITE, 1998.
42. Economic Report of the President (2002). U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington DC.

[^0]:    *SI is the symbol for the International System of Units. Appropriate rounding should be made to comply with Section 4 of ASTM E380 (Revised March 2003)

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The KABCO severity scale (National Safety Council, 1990) is used by the investigating police officer on the scene to classify injury severity for occupants with five categories: K, killed; A, disabling injury; B, evident injury; C, possible injury; O, no apparent injury. These definitions may vary slightly for different police agencies.

[^2]:    $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

[^3]:    $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
    Conf. Interval = Confidence Interval

    - $\quad=$ Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero.

[^4]:    $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \quad 50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
    Conf. Interval = Confidence Interval
    -
    = Sample size too small to calculate or the lower bound of the confidence interval was below zero.

